NEUROSCIENCE

An energy costly architecture of neuromodulators for human brain evolution and cognition

Gabriel Castrillon^{1,2,3}, Samira Epp^{1,4}, Antonia Bose^{1,4}, Laura Fraticelli^{1,4}, André Hechler^{1,4}, Roman Belenya^{1,4}, Andreas Ranft⁵, Igor Yakushev⁶, Lukas Utz¹, Lalith Sundar⁷, Josef P Rauschecker^{8,9}, Christine Preibisch^{1,10}, Katarzyna Kurcyus¹, Valentin Riedl^{1,3}*

In comparison to other species, the human brain exhibits one of the highest energy demands relative to body metabolism. It remains unclear whether this heightened energy demand uniformly supports an enlarged brain or if specific signaling mechanisms necessitate greater energy. We hypothesized that the regional distribution of energy demands will reveal signaling strategies that have contributed to human cognitive development. We measured the energy distribution within the brain functional connectome using multimodal brain imaging and found that signaling pathways in evolutionarily expanded regions have up to 67% higher energetic costs than those in sensory-motor regions. Additionally, histology, transcriptomic data, and molecular imaging independently reveal an up-regulation of signaling at G-protein-coupled receptors in energy-demanding regions. Our findings indicate that neuromodulator activity is predominantly involved in cognitive functions, such as reading or memory processing. This study suggests that an up-regulation of neuromodulator activity, alongside increased brain size, is a crucial aspect of human brain evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Over 400 million years, the brain structure of various species has evolved according to similar organizational principles (1, 2). Neurons, acting as the local signaling units, form a dense connectome with widespread signaling pathways through their synapses. Nonetheless, when compared to humans, certain mammals exhibit larger brain sizes (e.g., the Indian elephant), higher brainto-body mass ratios (e.g., the mouse), or a greater number of neurons (e.g., the long-finned pilot whale) (3–8). This suggests that brain structure scaling is not the only factor that has contributed to the emergence of human cognition (6, 9–13).

Here, our focus is on exploring the metabolic characteristics of the brain connectome. The brain depends on a constant supply of energy substrates and, in the case of humans, ranks among the organs with the highest energy demands (14-18). In comparison to other species, the human brain exhibits one of the highest energy demands relative to body metabolism (19). How does metabolic energy distribute across the brain? The fundamental design of neurons has been conserved throughout evolution, with the signaling costs of individual cells being comparable across different mammals (20-26). On a systems level, the human brain has the Copyright © 2023 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

expected quantity of neurons and nonneuronal cells for a primate brain of its size (27). Furthermore, it maintains a similar distribution of neurons throughout its cerebral cortex as observed in other species (4). As a result, we hypothesized that regional energy demands will vary based on the degree of signaling within the brain connectome.

In addition to the degree of neuronal signaling, researchers suggest that neuromodulation plays a crucial role in adaptive behavior and cognition in humans (28, 29). Topological analysis of the brain connectome even suggests a trade-off in energetic costs between signaling efficiency and modulation (30). Although our knowledge of the impact of neuromodulation on human evolution is still evolving, receptor autoradiography data from human donor brains reveal substantial variations in the distribution of neuromodulator receptors across the cortex (31). Comparative studies of the brain metabolome further demonstrate substantial differences in metabolites related to energy metabolism and synaptic modulation between the human brain and closely related primate species, with a notable regional variability (6). In summary, metabolomics and receptor data indicate regional heterogeneity in neuromodulation and suggest a potential link to energy metabolism. However, it remains unknown whether energy metabolism varies across the human connectome and scales with the presence of certain signaling mechanisms.

RESULTS

We quantified the energetic costs of signaling by examining the correlation between a voxel's glucose metabolism and its overall functional connectivity across the cortex. Healthy subjects were scanned on an integrated positron emission tomography (PET)/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner, allowing us to simultaneously measure the cerebral metabolic rate of glucose (CMRglc) and the cumulative level of synchronized signaling among approximately

¹Department of Neuroradiology at Klinikum rechts der Isar, TUM School of Medicine and Health, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ²Research Group in Medical Imaging, SURA Ayudas Diagnósticas, Medellin, Colombia. ³Department of Neuroradiology at Uniklinikum Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany. ⁴Graduate School of Systemic Neurosciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany. ⁵Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine at Klinikum rechts der Isar, TUM School of Medicine and Health, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁶Department of Nuclear Medicine at Klinikum rechts der Isar, TUM School of Medicine and Health, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁷Quantitative Imaging and Medical Physics Team, Center for Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. ⁸Center for Neuroengineering, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA. ⁹Institute for Advanced Study, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ¹⁰Department of Neurology at Klinikum rechts der Isar, TUM School of Medicine and Health, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. *Corresponding author. Email: valentin.riedl@tum.de

12.000 cortical voxels, i.e., the degree of functional connectivity (dFC).

We identified a linear relationship between CMRglc and dFC in each individual brain of three different cohorts that were measured at two different institutions. We first analyzed data from an exploratory cohort (TUM.exp1 and TUM.exp2, age: 43 ± 7 years, four females, N = 9, measured twice) and replicated our results in three other datasets from two cohorts (TUM.rep cohort, age: 27 ± 5 years, six females, N = 11, measured once; VIE.rep1 and VIE.rep2 cohort, age: 27 ± 7 years, five females, N = 10, measured twice) using the identical analysis pipeline (Fig. 1B; all $P_{\text{smash}} < 0.024$, correcting for spatial autocorrelation with permutation testing, see Materials and Methods). Figure 1D shows exemplary plots of individual subject data, while the results of each subject and imaging session can be found in fig. S1 (Pearson's *r*: mean = 0.42, SD = 0.08; all $P < 0.1 \times 10^{-24}$, from individual correlation analyses, N = 47; $P_{\text{smash}} > 0.05$ for N = 8 datasets).

There was no significant difference in Pearson's r between cohorts {Pearson's r range = [0.17, 0.57]; mean = 0.42; SD = 0.08; $F_{1,28} = 2.41$, P = 0.13, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Please note that across cohorts and scanners, we identified a similar increase in energy demand per connection (slope range = 1.12 to 3.79; mean = 2.42; SD = 0.69; $F_{1,28}$ = 0.07, P = 0.79, oneway ANOVA), but varying baseline CMRglc (y intercept). Furthermore, the linear increase in energetic costs is independent of sex and age (Pearson's r female /male: mean = 0.44 / 0.41, SD = 0.10 / 0.05; $F_{1,28} = 0.82, P = 0.37$, one-way ANOVA; Pearson's r age = 0.07; P = 0.70; CI: [-0.30, 0.42]; N = 30 subjects). We also found no effect of gray matter partial volume on the energy-connectivity scaling using voxel-based morphometry as an explanatory variable in the linear model ($F_{2,330} = 50.05$, P = 0.13, one-way ANOVA). Finally, we tested the stability of the energy-connectivity scaling for different connectivity measures. Results show a consistent scaling of energetic costs of signaling with both dynamic functional and structural connectivity (fig. S2). We deposited scripts and data in online repositories, and one can replicate individual steps of the analysis in an online jupyter-notebook (see Data and materials availability).

Next, we identified regions with deviating energetic costs of signaling calculated as the residual CMRglc per dFC (Fig. 2A, top row). Across all cohorts and individual brains, we identified a consistent cortical distribution of energetic costs for signaling. The brain map in Fig. 2A shows regions of higher (red) and lower (blue) energetic costs averaged across subjects of the cohort TUM.exp1. We performed identical analyses on the remaining four datasets and found a consistent cortical distribution of metabolic energy in each of the cohorts, with higher energetic costs of signaling in frontal and lower costs in sensory cortices [Fig. 2B; significant spatial similarity between the pattern of each cohort and that of TUM.exp1, all $P_{\text{smash}} < 0.0001$, voxel-wise permutation test (twosided) preserving spatial autocorrelation, 1000 permutations]. Figure 2C shows the average map of energetic costs of signaling across all subjects of all cohorts. Please note that residual CMRglc varies by up to \pm 25% across the connectome compared to an average metabolic rate of CMRglc = $31.4 \mu mol/(min * 100 g)$.

We then investigated whether energetic costs of signaling relate to certain functional domains of the cortex. Figure 2D shows the result of a group analysis identifying cortical regions with significant deviations in CMRglc against the null hypothesis of the linear model fit [P < 0.01, voxel-wise nonparametric permutation t test (two-sided) with 5000 permutations, corrected for multiple comparisons using the family-wise error rate]. Results show that 28.7% of all regions have significantly lower (blue) and 24.1% of regions have significantly higher (red) energetic costs than predicted by the model. On the subject level, we identified a set of 76 regions (23% of all regions) with diverging energetic costs in >95% of all datasets (colored ROIs in Fig. 2E; dots represent single subjects) and a core set of 23 regions (7% of all functional regions) with deviating energetic costs in each subject of all datasets. The color scheme in Fig. 2F indicates the affiliation of cost-deviating regions to one of six established functional networks (see Materials and Methods). The pie charts show that regions with lower energetic costs cluster in sensory-motor networks (violet, blue, and green sum up to 75%), while regions with higher energetic costs are mainly located in fronto-parietal networks (red and yellow sum up to 78%).

In summary, we identify a consistent pattern of varying energetic costs of signaling pathways in individual subject data. In particular, the energy demand for signaling in fronto-parietal networks is up to 67% higher than in sensory-motor networks.

Given the higher energetic costs of certain signaling pathways, we next investigated whether the human brain has an overall higher glucose metabolism as compared to other species. The biological scaling of metabolism in relation to the size or volume of an organ is called metabolic allometry. We replicated the scaling exponent of -0.14 (18) for the log-log relationship between brain CMRglc and brain volume across 10 mammals [least-square fit, $CMRglc = 0.814 * brain volume^{-0.14}; R^2 = 0.81; P = 0.0004; N =$ 10. The average glucose metabolism in our human cohorts $(CMRglc = 31.35 \mu mol/min * 100 g)$] lies within the confidence interval of the least-square fit (Fig. 3A; CI: [-0.19, -0.01]). This means that the energy metabolism of the entire human brain is as high as predicted for its size by allometric scaling. Is there a regionally varying distribution of energetic costs? Using a morphometric atlas that describes the expansion of homologous brain regions from chimpanzees to humans (see Materials and Methods), we found a positive linear relationship between the energetic costs of signaling and brain expansion [Fig. 3B; *r* = 0.30, *P* < 0.0001; *P*_{smash} = 0.047, CI: [0.49, 0.89]; N = 335 regions from Human Connectome Project -Multi-Modal Parcellation (HCP-MMP) parcellation], which remained after removing outlier values (±3 median absolute deviations; r = 0.28, P < 0.0001; $P_{smash} = 0.053$, CI: [0.51, 0.98]; N =335 regions from MMP parcellation). Please note that the x axis indicates the extent of expansion during evolution and not the actual size of a region. In summary, the cortical metabolism of the entire human brain follows allometric scaling. However, regions that expanded most during human evolution have higher metabolic demands per gram tissue than the rest of the brain.

In a next step, we studied the microstructure of brain regions with high energetic costs of signaling by means of the BigBrain Atlas (see Materials and Methods). This is a histological atlas of the cellular distribution across 50 cortical layers of a human donor brain. For each region, the staining intensity of brain cells is plotted along the cortical depth and transformed into a density distribution. The density skewness indicates the relative distribution of cells along the cortical depth (left skewness ~ higher cell density in lower layers). We then calculated the correlation between energetic costs of signaling and the cell density distribution across cortical regions. Results show a significant negative relationship

A Energetic costs of signaling

Does regional glucose metabolism scale with cortex-wide functional connectivity?



Hypothesis: CMRglc ~ dFC

C Group statistics

Transformation into group space









Cohort

B Simultaneous brain imaging



D Subject statistics

Exploratory cohort TUM.exp (within-subject replication)











Fig. 1. Glucose metabolism scales linearly with the degree of functional connectivity in individual brains. (**A**) Illustration of the cortical distribution of energy metabolism and brain-wide functional connectivity for four exemplary regions (*r*). The cerebral metabolic rate of glucose (CMRglc) is derived from PET and calculated per voxel or average per region r. The degree of functional connectivity (dFC) is calculated as the sum of connection weights with all other voxels or regions across the cortex; weights are proportional to the level of synchronized fMRI signals between two voxels or regions. The table includes only exemplary values. (**B**) We analyzed the voxel-wise relationship of CMRglc and dFC in the individual brain space of each subject from an exploratory cohort measured twice at our institution (TUM.exp1/2: light/dark orange), from a younger replication cohort measured again at our institution (TUM.rep: violet), and from a second replication cohort of healthy subjects that were scanned twice at a different institution (VIE.rep1/2: light/dark green). (**C**) For group statistics, brain data were transformed into a standard brain space (MNI, see Materials and Methods) on either the level of voxels or averaged for functional regions of a standard parcellation atlas (top; MMP, see Materials and Methods). Significant Pearson's correlation between voxel-wise CMRglc and dFC in MNI space averaged across individuals from each of the five groups. The distribution of Pearson's *r* values of each individual dataset are summarized in violin plots (bottom). (**D**) Exemplary plots of significant Pearson's correlation between voxel-wise CMRglc and dFC of individual datasets. Regression plots of all subjects can be found in fig. S1.



Fig. 2. Regional distribution of energetic costs of signaling across the brain connectome. (**A**) Upper row: Scatterplot of dFC (*x* axis) and CMRglc (*y* axis) for a single subject with corresponding distribution of residual CMRglc showing voxels with higher (red) and lower (blue) energy demand per dFC. Lower row: Brain map of average energetic costs of signaling in cohort TUM.exp1. The scatterplot of fitted (*x* axis) versus residual (*y* axis) CMRglc across all subjects shows a random distribution, indicating no unexplained structure in the model (r = 0, p = 1, CI = [-0.03, 0.03]). (**B**) Significant spatial similarity between the distribution of energetic costs of signaling in TUM.exp1 and each of the four cohorts. (**C**) Voxel-wise scatter plot and region-wise brain surface of energetic costs of signaling. Pie chart summarizes the distribution of regions with varying energetic costs. (**E**) Strip plot illustrates the region-wise (*x* axis) distribution of energetic costs of signaling for each subject. Color codes illustrate the affiliation of regions to one of seven normative functional networks (see Materials and Methods). (**F**) Pie charts summarize the affiliation of regions with lower (left) and higher (right) energetic costs of signaling to any of the six normative brain networks projected on the brain surface.

between energetic costs and the skewness towards upper layers (Fig. 3C, right; r = -0.5, P < 0.0001, $P_{smash} = 0.011$, CI: [-5.29, -3.81]; N = 335 MMP regions). This means that regions with high energetic costs of signaling have a higher cell density in lower cortical layers compared to regions with low energetic costs.

Given our results so far about the difference in macroscale and microscale morphology, we hypothesized a unique molecular profile associated with the distribution of energetic costs of signaling. The Allen Human Brain Atlas (AHBA) provides transcriptomic data sampled across the cortical surface and averaged across six donor brains (see Materials and Methods). We projected the AHBA microarray data onto our map of energetic costs of signaling and performed pairwise correlations between regional costs and each of the expression profiles of 8426 genes across cortical regions. Results show that 617 gene expression profiles significantly correlate with energetic costs of signaling across the human cortex (Fig. 4A, left; P < 0.005, FDR-corrected for multiple comparisons). We then investigate the putative function of the significantly correlated genes using a gene ontology enrichment (GOE) analysis. The

analysis of "cellular components" revealed that regions with high energetic costs of signaling are significantly enriched in genes coding for the components "synapse," "synapse part," and "dendrite," i.e., cellular compartments that are involved in signal transduction (Fig. 4A, middle; P < 0.02, FDR-corrected; table S1). The analysis of "molecular functions" identified seven significantly enriched clusters, also predominantly related to signal transduction (Fig. 4A, right; P < 0.05, FDR-corrected; table S2). Specifically, we found gene annotations for the activity of receptors and transporters involved in metabotropic, i.e., G protein-coupled, neuromodulation, and voltage-gated signaling. Results were replicated using a different GOE tool and database (see Materials and Methods, tables S3 and S4). A summary of the molecular functions is depicted in the stacked pie chart of Fig. 4B. This shows that 95% of genes that are overexpressed in regions with high energetic costs are involved in signal transduction (green), and mainly in metabotropic signaling (pink, 40%). In other terms, the human brain spends excessive energy on the long-lasting regulation of (fast) neurotransmission

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Evolutionary allometry



C Cell density across cortical layers



Fig. 3. Energetic costs of signaling relate to the evolution of brain morphology on the macro- and microscale. (A) Allometric scaling of brain CMRglc with volume across 10 mammals including humans (external data, see Materials and Methods). Our data from gray matter (GM) fall within the confidence interval of the model fit (orange dot). (B) Significant Pearson's correlation between energetic costs of signaling and the degree of cortical expansion from nonhuman to human primates. (C) Energetic costs of signaling correlate with cell density in infragranular layers. Histological slice of the BigBrain atlas (see Materials and Methods) showing the staining intensity for cells in the gray matter (top). The distribution of staining intensity for cells between pial and white matter (WM) surfaces is shown for two exemplary regions (violet and yellow), which is then translated into the skewness of staining intensity (bottom). The two regions are examples for high cell density in supragranular (yellow, right tailed) and infragranular (violet, left tailed) layers. The brain surface shows the cortical distribution of layer predominance across the entire cortex. The scatterplot reveals a significant negative Pearson's correlation between energetic costs of signaling and cell density skewness, indicating highest energetic costs in regions with highest cell density in infragranular layers.

with (slow) neuromodulators such as serotonin, dopamine, or noradrenaline.

Next, we replicated this finding about the genetic up-regulation of neuromodulator activity with receptor imaging data. In a strong collaborative approach, colleagues recently gathered PET imaging data about the brain-wide activity of 19 unique receptors and transporters from 28 different PET studies (see Materials and Methods). This includes receptor-activity maps of the serotonergic (5HT1b, 5HT6, 5HT1a, 5HTT, 5HT2a, and 5HT4), dopaminergic (D1, FDOPA, DAT, and D2), cholinergic (A4B2, VAChT, and M1), adrenergic (NAT), histaminergic (H3), cannabinoid (CB1), and opioid (MU) system, in addition to three receptors of the glutamatergic (mGluR5 and NMDA) and GABA-ergic (GABAa-bz) system. We entered all PET maps of ligand occupancy into a partial leastsquares regression (PLS) analysis to test the joint explanatory power of neuromodulator activity for the spatial distribution of energetic costs of signaling. The first component of the PLS analysis (brain map in Fig. 4C) explains 86% of the cortical variance in energetic costs (P = 0.0002 after 5000 randomizations). Specifically, 16 receptors and transporters significantly contribute to the main latent variable (bar plots in Fig. 4C). This means that the cortical distribution of energetic costs of signaling is strongly related to the regional level of neuromodulator activity.

We finally validated the consistency between transcriptomic and PET imaging data for individual receptors. Three of the 617 overexpressed genes from the AHBA specifically code for membrane receptors whose density was captured by unique PET ligands, respectively (see "bold' ligands in Fig. 4C; receptor/gene/PET ligand combination listed here: opioid receptor *MU/OPRM1/*[11 C]-carfentanil; serotonergic receptor *5HT4/HTR4/*[11 C]-SB207145; cholinergic receptor *alpha-4 beta-2/CHRNA4/*[18F]-flubatine). This allowed us to specifically test the consistency between gene expression levels and imaging-based activity for unique receptors. For each of the three receptors, ligand activity confirmed the level of gene expression with similar signs and slopes of regressions across cortical regions (Fig. 4C, right; PET data: MU: r = 0.32, P < 0.0001, CI = [0.17, 0.46]; 5HT4: r = -0.05, P = 0.532, CI: [-0.21, 0.11]; A4B2: r = 0.41, P < 0.0001, CI: [0.26, 0.53]). Together, transcriptomics and molecular imaging independently suggest a high level of neuromodulator activity in energetically expensive regions of the brain. In particular, our analyses point toward excessive energy demands for long-lasting, G protein–coupled neuromodulation.

So far, we have identified a high density of slow-acting neuromodulator activity in evolutionarily most expanded cortex. In a final step, we explored whether these regions are involved in higher cognitive processing. This would support the notion of an expensive signaling architecture being dedicated to human cognition. The Neurosynth project is a meta-analytic database with statistical maps aggregating voxel-wise activity for a wide range of cognitive functions derived from thousands of neuroimaging studies (see Materials and Methods). We extracted the regional activity maps for 23 cognitive domains ranging from simple sensory processing to complex cognition and evaluated the similarity between the chemoarchitecture map of Fig. 4C with each activity map. Results show that regions with strong neuromodulator activity particularly contribute to complex functions such as memory processing and reading but are less prominent in activity patterns of sensorymotor processing (Fig. 4D).

DISCUSSION

Using a unique imaging setup, we quantified the energetic costs of signaling across the human brain. We identified an excessive

A Genetic mapping



Signal transduction Cell-cell signaling Cellular signaling

Others



Fig. 4. Regional activity of neuromodulators relates to high energetic costs of signaling and complex cognition. (**A**) Significant Pearson's correlations (dashed lines) between energetic costs and each of 8.426 brain specific gene expression values across 170 cortical regions. Gene ontology enrichment analysis identifies cellular components (middle) and molecular functions (right) that are significantly associated with correlated genes. (**B**) Hierarchical summary of the gene ontology enrichment analysis from (A) stressing the involvement of genes coding for proteins involved in signal transduction (95%, green, inner pie), and particularly of G protein–coupled, metabotropic, neuromodulation (40%, pink, external pie), and ionotropic signaling (26%, orange, external pie). (**C**) Multi-dimensional PLS analysis reveals that 86% of the cortical distribution of energetic costs (first latent variable, PLS 1) is explained by the linear combination of neurochemical signaling as defined by receptor-PET imaging of 19 neuromodulators and neurotransmitters (metabotropic: pink, ionotropic: orange, transporters: gray). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals using bootstrap resampling (5000 permutations). (Right) For three receptors, both transcriptomic [colored bars in (A)] and imaging ("bold' ligands in (C)] data are available, which allows validating the activity of unique receptors with gene expression data. For the ionotropic (A4B2) and the two metabotropic (MU, 5HT4) receptors, the separate regression analyses between energetic costs and both transcriptomic and imaging data yield similar directions and slopes (colored regressions: PET imaging, gray regressions: transcriptomic data), supporting the results from imaging with transcriptomic data. The *x* axes correspond to *z*-scores of either the receptor density (colored) or the gene expression (gray). (**D**) Joyplot shows histograms of the voxel-wise first latent score between energetic costs and chemoarchitecture profiles (*z*-score > 2.3) for each of 23 cognitive

metabolic demand for the long-term regulation of neurotransmitter signaling via neuromodulators. Neuromodulator activity is dense in the evolutionarily most expanded cortex and is particularly involved in cognitive processing.

On a global level, we confirm that total cerebral energy metabolism scales according to metabolic allometry (18, 32, 33). As with metabolic allometries reported for other organs (19), the exponent of -0.14 means that larger brains use proportionally less energy per volume. It has been suggested that this is due to an energy-efficient global signaling architecture in humans (2, 12, 20, 21, 30). We measured an average metabolic rate of $31.35 \,\mu$ mol glucose/min per 100 g of gray matter tissue, which is the equivalent of around 12 cubes of sugar (4 g per cube) that are metabolized by an average-sized human brain per day. On the regional level, however, we identified up to 50% variance in energetic costs for individual signaling pathways. A recently published BrainEnergyAtlas models the energy budget

5

of all neuropil activity and also found unequal regional distributions (34). In particular, we noticed higher energy metabolism in evolutionarily most expanded structures. However, it is important to note that any differences between the human and chimpanzee brain data cannot be attributed to a single ancestor but rather reflect an interaction of changes on both lineages. Still, our finding about regional variance in metabolism corresponds with findings from transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses of post-mortem brains from humans and primates, which suggest metabolic up-regulation in the frontal cortices (6, 9, 11, 35).

What is the mechanism behind the excessive energy demands? Using transcriptomic and receptor imaging data, we find that excessive energy metabolism in evolutionarily expanded cortex is related to neuronal signaling and particularly to metabotropic neuromodulator activity. These neuromodulators, such as serotonin, dopamine, and noradrenaline, act as general modulators of brain-wide circuits (*36*, *37*). The G protein–mediated regulation of fast neurotransmitter signaling creates a long-lasting and widespread effect on information processing. This effect is more about setting the tone of general excitability than transferring individual bits of information.

The greater energy demand of slow-acting neuromodulators compared to fast neurotransmitter signaling is due to a sequence of biochemical steps that include second messengers and protein transformations (*38*). This confirms our observation of excessive energy demand for regions with a large number of neuromodulators on top of a general linear scaling of energy demand with the degree of signaling pathways. Regions with an up-regulation of metabotropic signaling also have a distinct cellular and genetic composition. Our analysis of histological data indicates a higher cell density in lower cortical layers, while transcriptomic data show an enrichment of genes associated with signal integration at dendrites and synapses. This is supported by recent autoradiography data that link information integration to cellular components, particularly those in lower cortical layers (*31*).

Recent research has suggested that the energetic costs of signaling increase during long-term processes such as memory formation (39). Our human data indicate that brain regions with high energetic costs of metabotropic signaling play an important role in cognitive processing over longer timescales, including memory processing, cognitive inhibition, and reading. Additionally, neuromodulators have been linked to cognitive dysfunctions associated with major mental disorders (40). Unfortunately, the efficacy of current psychoactive drugs in regulating neuromodulators is limited, and further research is needed to better understand the dysfunction of metabotropic signaling in those patients.

Our findings suggest that the evolution of human cognition may have emerged not only from an overall larger brain, but particularly by the development of slow-acting neuromodulator circuits. It seems that the benefits of increased cortical energy metabolism, together with an increased supply of energy substrates (15-17), have outweighed its risks. Yet, our knowledge of how the interaction of slow-acting neuromodulators with fast information processing contributes to human cognition is still limited.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data processing was performed using the Python packages Pandas (41) and Numpy (42), the neuroimaging data were handled using Nilearn (43) and Nibabel (44), the plotting was performed with Matplotlib (45), Seaborn (46), and Joyplot (47), whereas the brain surface representations were printed using WBplot (48).

Participants

Forty-seven datasets from 30 healthy participants from three independent cohorts were included in the study. Three additionally available datasets had to be excluded, two due to motion artifacts with framewise displacement >0.25 mm (49) and one due to incomplete data. All participants were right-handed and did not report any history of psychiatric conditions. Participants were informed about the objectives and potential risks of the study, and signed a written consent inform. The study was approved by the local institutional review board of the Hospital Rechts der Isar and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Three cohorts of participants were analyzed, two of them were recruited from our site and another from an external site: (i) a within-subject exploration sample (TUM.exp) of 9 participants (mean age = 43 years, SD = 7 years; four females); (ii) a prospective replication sample (TUM.rep) of 11 participants (mean age = 27 years, SD = 5 years; six females); and (iii) an external within-subject replication sample (VIE.rep) (50) of 10 participants (mean age = 27 years, SD = 7 years; five females). Two participants from the VIE.rep cohort had only one session.

Data acquisition

At TUM, we simultaneously measured FDG-PET activity and blood oxygen level–dependent (BOLD)–functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) signals during resting conditions while the participants kept their eyes open, except for the second imaging session of TUM.exp., where the participants had their eyes closed. Data were acquired on an integrated PET/MR (3 T) Siemens Biograph mMR scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and used a 12-channel phase-array head coil for the MRI acquisition. The PET data were collected in list-mode format with an average intravenous bolus injection of 184 MBq (SD = 12 MBq) of [18F]FDG. In parallel to the PET measurement, automatic arterial blood samples were taken from the radial artery every second to measure blood radioactivity using a Twilite blood sampler (Swisstrace, Zurich, Switzerland).

The fMRI data were acquired during a 10-min time interval using a single-shot echo planar imaging sequence (300 volumes; 35 slices; repetition time, TR = 2000 ms; echo time, TE = 30 ms; flip angle, FA = 90°; field of view, FOV = 192 × 192 mm²; matrix size = 64 × 64; voxel size = $3 \times 3 \times 3.6$ mm³). Diffusion-weighted images were acquired using a single-shot echo planar imaging sequence (60 slices; 30 noncolinear gradient directions; *b*-value = 800 s/mm² and one *b* = 0 s/mm² image; TR = 10,800 ms, TE = 82 ms; FA = 90°; FOV = 260 × 264 mm²; matrix size = 130 × 132; voxel size = $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm³). Anatomical images were based on a T1-weighted 3D-MPRAGE sequence (256 slices; TR = 2300 ms; TE = 2.98 ms; FA = 9°; FOV = 256 × 240 mm²; matrix size = 256 × 240; voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³).

The acquisition and formats of data from the VIE site were similar to the TUM site and described elsewhere (*50*). The main differences were a higher dose and variability of injected [18F]FDG (mean dose = 356 MBq, SD = 66 MBq), manual measurement of the blood radioactivity for the arterial input function, and a slightly different fMRI protocol with acquisition time (~7 min), TR (2400 ms), and voxel size ($2 \times 2 \times 3.7$ mm³).

Data processing

The following pre- and postprocessing pipelines for MR and PET data were established on the TUM.exp-dataset and applied without further modification to the TUM.rep- and VIE.rep-datasets, except for reasons of different data structure between TUM and VIE data, which is explicitly stated below. All processing steps and calculation of parameter maps were performed in native space of each modality and only transformed for group analyses into a standard brain space of the Montreal Neurological Institute MNI152NLin6ASym with 3-mm voxel resolution. For the region-of-interest (ROI) analyses, we used the HCP-MMP1.0 parcellation scheme, a population-based cortical parcellation with 180 ROIs per hemisphere from the Human Connectome Project dataset (*51*) and calculated the median value of the metric of interest per ROI. Furthermore, each ROI was labeled according to its location within one of seven normative brain functional networks (*52*).

Magnetic resonance imaging

The preprocessing of the structural and fMRI data was performed using the Configurable Pipeline for the Analysis of Connectomes (53) (C-PAC, version 1.4.0) following a standard protocol:

- The anatomical images were skull-stripped, segmented into three tissue types [cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), white matter (WM), and gray matter (GM)] and registered to the MNI152NLin6ASym template provided by FSL (54). The individual gray matter masks were generated by keeping the voxels with a probability of over 25% in the gray matter probability maps and a temporal signalto-noise ratio (tSNR) over the 15 percentiles of all tSNR values in the functional image. The gray matter group masks for every cohort were derived by averaging the gray matter probability maps across subjects and keeping the voxels with a gray matter probability over 25%. For the joint analysis, the gray matter group masks of every cohort were multiplied with each other. The voxelbased morphometry (VBM) analysis was performed using FSL-VBM (55). The modulated gray matter images were smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel [full width at half maximum (FWHM) = 5 mm].

- Functional images were slice-time-corrected, realigned, motion-corrected, skull-stripped, and registered to the anatomical images. Thereafter, the global mean intensity was normalized across the fMRI run, the nuisance signals were regressed-out (scanner drift, physiological noise, and head motion signals), and the time series were band-pass-filtered (0.01-0.1 Hz). Next, the dFC was calculated based on the voxel-wise Pearson's correlation (P < 0.001 significance threshold) of the preprocessed time series of each voxel within the individual gray matter mask using the function 3dDegreeCentrality from AFNI (56). Finally, the dFC map was spatially smoothed (Gaussian filter, FWHM = 6 mm) and registered to the MNI152NLin6ASym 3-mm template through the anatomical image. The regression of the nuisance signals modeled the scanner drift using quadratic and linear detrending, whereas the physiological noise was modeled using the five principal components with the highest variance from the decomposition of white matter and CSF voxel time series (CompCor) (57).

- The dynamic functional connectivity was calculated as the SD over time of the dFC generated from sliding windows time series (58) (width = 40 s in steps of 20 s). The dynamic dFC map was spatially smoothed (Gaussian filter, FWHM = 6 mm) and registered to the MNI152NLin6ASym 3-mm template through the anatomical image.

- Diffusion-weighted images preprocessing and probabilistic tractography were performed using MRtrix3 (version 3.0.0) (59), FSL (60), and Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs) (61), following the anatomically constrained tractography pipeline (62). The preprocessing included denoising, eddy-current correction, motion correction (using FSL topup), and bias-field correction (using ANTs). The structural connectivity matrices were derived from the preprocessed images using single-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution informed filtering was applied to the tractograms, constrained by the anatomical tissue masks and the HCP-MMP parcellation. Finally, the strength of the structural connectivity was derived from the communicability between each pair of brain regions (64), capturing the communication capacity of direct and indirect connections.

Positron emission tomography

For the TUM cohorts, the first 45 min of the PET acquisition was reconstructed offline using the NiftyPET library (65) based on the ordered subsets expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm with 14 subsets and four iterations, and divided into 33 dynamic frames: 10×12 s, 8×30 s, 8×60 s, 2×180 s, and 5×300 s. The attenuation-correction was based on the T1-derived pseudo-CT images (66). For the VIE cohort, the first 40 min of the PET acquisition was reconstructed offline using the Siemens e7 reconstruction tool based on the OSEM algorithm with 21 subsets and three iterations, and divided into 30 dynamic frames: 24×5 s, 1×60 s, 1×120 s, 1×300 s, 1×600 s, and 2×1200 s after injection. The attenuation-correction was based on low-dose CT images from the participants (50).

All reconstructed PET images were motion-corrected and spatially smoothed (Gaussian filter, FWHM = 6 mm). The net uptake rate constant (K_i) was calculated using the Patlak plot model (67) based on the last five frames of the preprocessed PET images (frames between 20 to 45 min) and the arterial input function derived from the preprocessed arterial blood samples. The cerebral metabolic rate of glucose (CMRglc) was calculated by multiplying the K_i map with the concentration of glucose in plasma of every participant, divided by a lumped constant of 0.65 (68). Finally, the CMRglc maps were partial volume corrected using the GM, WM, and CSF masks derived from the T1 images using the iterative Yang method (69) and registered to the MNI152NLin6A-Sym 3-mm template through the anatomical image.

Arterial input function

For the TUM cohorts, the blood time-activity curves (TACs) were preprocessed using the Turku PET Center command-line interface library TPCCLIB (70) (version 0.7.5). First, the blood TACs were converted to plasma TAC using the b2plasma function, based on the reference FDG plasma/blood ratio function (71) over time and the hematocrit value of each participant when measured; otherwise, a reference value of 0.4/0.45 (female/male) was used (72). For the VIE cohort, the whole blood samples were centrifuged to measure the radioactivity in the plasma. For all cohorts, the plasma TACs were modeled using a sum of exponential functions, which was fitted to the middle of the reconstructed PET timeframes to derive the arterial input function (AIF) (73). For four participants from the TUM.exp. cohort without complete arterial sampling, the AIF was generated based on a population-based input function (PBIF) (74) derived from all the participants from the TUM.

Population-based input function

The population-based AIF was calculated as the average AIF across participants with arterial input sampling from our center (n = 16). The individual AIF was then normalized by the expected FDG concentration immediately after injection $Cp^*(0)$ (Eq. 1) (75)

$$PBIF(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{AIF(t)}{Cp_i^*(0)}$$
(1)

where $Cp_i^*(0)$ is the initial plasma concentration of [18F]FDG, defined as the expected concentration directly after tracer injection, calculated by evaluating Eq. 2 at t = 0. Equation 2 models the plasma concentration of tracer as an exponential function during the period of intravascular and extravascular [18F]FDG equilibrium (76), between 5 and 30 min after tracer injection (77).

$$Cp^*(t) = Cp^*(0) \cdot e^{-\alpha t}$$
⁽²⁾

α was derived by fitting Eq. 2 with the nonlinear least squares optimization method from SciPy (78). For four participants of TUM.exp., who did not have arterial sampling due to technical errors, their individual AIFs (AIF_{iPBIF}) were calculated based on the population-based input function (Eq. 1), scaled by the expected [18F]FDG concentration immediately after injection [$Cp^*(0)$] (Eq. 3).

$$AIF_{iPBIF}(t) = Cp^{*}(0) \cdot PBIF(t)$$
(3)

where $Cp^*(0)$ can be approximated as a function of the injected dose (*iD*), and the participant body weight (*W*) and height (*H*), when arterial sampling is not available (Eq. 4) (74, 75).

$$Cp^*(0) = \frac{iD}{c \cdot H^h \cdot W^w} \tag{4}$$

where *h*, *w*, and *c* were derived by minimizing the coefficient of variation of $c \left[CV = \frac{S.D.(c)}{mean(c)} \right]$ across the participants with arterial input sampling in our center, while varying independently the parameters *h* and *w* in the ranges of 0 to 2 and 0 to 1 in Eq. 4, respectively (*75*). *Energetic costs of signaling*

The relationship between brain energy metabolism (*CMRglc*) and the degree of brain-wide functional connectivity (dFC) was modeled using a linear regression model (Eq. 5) across cortical voxels within the GM mask.

$$CMRglc = dFC \cdot \beta + \varepsilon$$
(5)

The energetic costs were defined as the residual after fitting the model in Eq. 5, representing the variation in *CMRglc* not explained by dFC. Positive energetic costs, located above the regression line, represent areas with a higher energy demand than expected for a given dFC, whereas negative energetic costs, located below the regression line, represent areas with a lower energy demand than expected for a given dFC.

External data sources

Here, we integrated our group imaging data with seven different external datasets about brain morphology, histology, transcriptomic data, molecular imaging, and comparative brain data. Each of the external datasets was provided in their own regional parcellation schemes and first converted to the HCP-MMP1.0 parcellation using the parcellation conversion tools provided by the Enigmatoolbox (79).

1. Allometric scaling between total brain metabolism and brain volume across species (18). This dataset includes the CMRglc of unanesthetized adult animals during resting conditions from 10 different species, including humans, compiled from previous studies.

2. Cortical expansion from nonhuman primates to humans (35). This dataset provides a brain map of the cortical expansion from chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) to humans (*Homo sapiens*) using surface-to-surface mapping of 3D cortical regions across both species based on in vivo T1-weighted MR images of 29 chimpanzees and 30 humans.

3. *BigBrain Atlas* (80). This dataset provides an ultrahigh-resolution volumetric reconstruction of a postmortem, Merker-stained human brain from a 65-year-old. We used the version with 50 equivolumetric surfaces sampled between the pial and WM surfaces provided by the BigBrain Warp toolbox (81). First, the volume values were inverted to reflect the cellular density across cortical depth (82), and then the skewness of each intensity profile was calculated to illustrate the distribution of cellular density between infra- and supra-granular layers (83).

4. Allen Human Brain Atlas (84). This dataset provides microarray data collected across the entire cortex of six human donor brains. We used a preprocessed version of the data provided by the Enigma toolbox (79), based on the Abagen toolbox (85). Preprocessing included the intensity-based filtering of microarray probes, the selection of a representative probe for each gene across hemispheres, the matching of microarray samples to brain parcels from the HCP-MMP1.0 parcellation, the normalization using the scaled robust sigmoid function across genes and samples, and the averaging within parcels and across donors of genes with a similarity across donors of at least 0.2, leaving a total of 8426 genes for further analysis. For the gene sets below, we calculated the regionwise mean and z-scored expression values for each gene.

5. Genotype Tissue Expression database (GTEx v8) (86). This dataset provides tissue-specific gene expression profiles collected from 54 nondiseased tissue sites from around 1000 participants. A list of 1588 genes that are expressed significantly more in the brain than compared to other organs was extracted based on P < 0.05 (one-sided *t* test, FDR-corrected) and used as a background list for the GOE analysis (35).

6. *External PET neuroreceptors maps* (87). This dataset compiles data from 28 different and previously published PET-imaging studies on the chemoarchitecture of the healthy human. It includes group-averaged volumetric PET maps of ligand occupancy from 19 unique receptors and transporters across nine neurotransmitter and neuromodulator systems: dopamine, norepinephrine, serotonin, acetylcholine, glutamate, GABA, histamine, cannabinoid, and opioid. A subsequent analysis between transcriptomic and PET-imaging data included the following three PET ligands: the cholinergic nicotinic (acetylcholine) receptor alpha 4 beta 2 ([18F]flubatine tracer), the opioid receptor mu 1 ([11C]carfentanil tracer), and the serotonin 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 4 ([11C] SB207145 tracer).

7. *Neurosynth database* (88). This dataset provides meta-analytic, statistical maps of brain activity related to 23 cognitive terms that are gathered from thousands of studies and ranging from sensorimotor to higher-order cognitive functions (89). The statistically significant areas from the meta-analytic maps (*z*-score > 2.3) were used as masks to extract the distribution of the joint PLS score between the energetic costs and chemoarchitecture maps.

Statistical analysis

Correlation analyses

The significance of the relationship between two variables was evaluated parametrically, based on the *P* value associated to the Pearson's correlation between them, and nonparametrically, based on the distribution of *P* values derived from permuting the data 1000 times while preserving the spatial autocorrelation information of the target map (P_{smash}) using the Brainsmash toolbox (90). To test for possible differences between cohorts and sex, these two variables were used as between-subject factors in independent one-way ANOVA analyses. The slope and correlation values derived from the linear relationship between CMRglc and dFC were used as the dependent variable. Additionally, the effect of age on the linear relationship between CMRglc and dFC was tested by calculating the Pearson's correlation between the individual correlation values and age. The ANOVA and correlation analyses were performed using the python package Pingouin (version 0.3.9) (91).

Analysis of spatial similarity between brain maps

The spatial similarity between the distribution of energetic costs of TUM.exp1 and those of the remaining cohorts was assessed based on a spatial Pearson's correlation between them. The statistical significance of this correlation was evaluated by comparing it to a null distribution of correlation values derived from permuting the energetic costs of the TUM.exp1 map (1000 times) while preserving its spatial autocorrelation.

Statistical comparison between linear models

A one-way ANOVA was used to test for differences in the CMRglc variance explained by two linear models: a simple one with dFC as the only predictor variable, and a multiple linear model with dFC and other connectivity measures as additional predictors. The model comparison was performed in R Statistical Software (version 4.1.2) (92).

Allometric scaling

Allometry describes the scaling relationship of body parameters (93). Metabolic allometry of the brain model's total brain size as a function of *CMRglc* is according to the general allometric scaling relationship (18)

brain size
$$\sim$$
 intercept * CMRglc^{allometric factor} (6)

Equation 6 was fitted as a linear regression between log-log data of *brain size* and *CMRglc* using the nonlinear least squares optimization method provided by the python library SciPy (version 1.7.3) (78).

Group analysis of energetic costs

Brain regions with significantly deviating energetic costs were identified using voxel-wise one-sample *t* tests of the individual parameter maps across all participants from all cohorts using the FSL randomize (94) permutation-testing tool (P < 0.01, familywise error rate corrected, 5000 permutations).

GOE analysis

In this analysis, we assessed the differentially expressed genes and their putative functions in brain regions of varying energetic costs. Across cortical regions, we correlated expression values of the 8426 AHBA genes with the average energetic costs map. Significantly correlated genes (P < 0.005, Benjamini-Hochberg FDR-

corrected) were subsequently used as an input to the GOE analysis and visualization tool GOrilla (version 03/06/2021) (95), and replicated using a different tool, Panther (version 17.0) (96). This analysis identifies gene ontology annotations for which the genes are significantly enriched using a minimal hypergeometric P value threshold of 10^{-3} (95, 97), and corrected for multiple comparisons using a Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction. As background, we used the brain specific gene set from the GTEx database (see the "External data sources" section).

PLS analysis

The pyls python library (98) was used to perform the PLS analysis between the z-scored map of energetic costs (334 ROIs \times 30 participants) and the set of external PET maps about the chemoarchitecture of the human brain (334 ROIs \times 19 neurotransmitter receptors, see above). This analysis uses singular value decomposition to reveal the shared information between the two datasets represented as a set of orthogonal latent variables. The statistical significance of the latent variables was determined using permutation testing (5000 permutations), whereas bootstrap resampling (5000 times) was used to examine the contribution and reliability of the input features to each latent variable. The energetic costs score (surface representation in Fig. 4C) was calculated by projecting the energetic costs maps onto the first latent variable, whereas the receptor loadings (bar plot in Fig. 4C) are the Pearson correlation between the neuroreceptors map and the first energetic costs score.

Supplementary Materials

This PDF file includes: Figs. S1 and S2 Tables S1 to S4

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- D. A. Clark, P. P. Mitra, S. S.-H. Wang, Scalable architecture in mammalian brains. *Nature* 411, 189–193 (2001).
- 2. P. Sterling, S. B. Laughlin, Principles of Neural Design (MIT Press, 2017).
- K. Semendeferi, A. Lu, N. Schenker, H. Damasio, Humans and great apes share a large frontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 272–276 (2002).
- S. Herculano-Houzel, The remarkable, yet not extraordinary, human brain as a scaled-up primate brain and its associated cost. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, 10661–10668 (2012).
- J. DeFelipe, The evolution of the brain, the human nature of cortical circuits, and intellectual creativity. *Front. Neuroanat.* 5, 29 (2011).
- M. Somel, X. Liu, P. Khaitovich, Human brain evolution: Transcripts, metabolites and their regulators. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 14, 112–127 (2013).
- H. S. Mortensen, B. Pakkenberg, M. Dam, R. Dietz, C. Sonne, B. Mikkelsen, N. Eriksen, Quantitative relationships in delphinid neocortex. *Front. Neuroanat.* 8, 132 (2014).
- J. B. Smaers, R. S. Rothman, D. R. Hudson, A. M. Balanoff, B. Beatty, D. K. N. Dechmann, D. de Vries, J. C. Dunn, J. G. Fleagle, C. C. Gilbert, A. Goswami, A. N. Iwaniuk, W. L. Jungers, M. Kerney, D. T. Ksepka, P. R. Manger, C. S. Mongle, F. J. Rohlf, N. A. Smith, C. Soligo, V. Weisbecker, K. Safi, The evolution of mammalian brain size. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabe2101 (2021).
- M. Caceres, J. Lachuer, M. A. Zapala, J. C. Redmond, L. Kudo, D. H. Geschwind, D. J. Lockhart, T. M. Preuss, C. Barlow, Elevated gene expression levels distinguish human from nonhuman primate brains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **100**, 13030–13035 (2003).
- P. Rakic, Evolution of the neocortex: A perspective from developmental biology. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 724–735 (2009).
- X. Fu, P. Giavalisco, X. Liu, G. Catchpole, N. Fu, Z.-B. Ning, S. Guo, Z. Yan, M. Somel, S. Pääbo, R. Zeng, L. Willmitzer, P. Khaitovich, Rapid metabolic evolution in human prefrontal cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 6181–6186 (2011).
- 12. T. M. Preuss, The human brain: Rewired and running hot. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1225, E182–E191 (2011).
- R. J. V. Roberts, S. Pop, L. L. Prieto-Godino, Evolution of central neural circuits: State of the art and perspectives. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 23, 725–743 (2022).

- R. D. Martin, Relative brain size and basal metabolic rate in terrestrial vertebrates. *Nature* 293, 57–60 (1981).
- A. Navarrete, C. P. van Schaik, K. Isler, Energetics and the evolution of human brain size. *Nature* 480, 91–93 (2011).
- H. Pontzer, M. H. Brown, D. A. Raichlen, H. Dunsworth, B. Hare, K. Walker, A. Luke, L. R. Dugas, R. Durazo-Arvizu, D. Schoeller, J. Plange-Rhule, P. Bovet, T. E. Forrester, E. V. Lambert, M. E. Thompson, R. W. Shumaker, S. R. Ross, Metabolic acceleration and the evolution of human brain size and life history. *Nature* 533, 390–392 (2016).
- S. A. Heldstab, K. Isler, S. M. Graber, C. Schuppli, C. P. van Schaik, The economics of brain size evolution in vertebrates. *Curr. Biol.* 32, R697–R708 (2022).
- J. Karbowski, Global and regional brain metabolic scaling and its functional consequences. BMC Biol. 5, 18 (2007).
- Z. Wang, J. Zhang, Z. Ying, S. B. Heymsfield, Organ-tissue level model of resting energy expenditure across mammals: New insights into Kleiber's law. *ISRN Zool.* 2012, 673050 (2012).
- M. Conrad, E. Engl, R. B. Jolivet, "Energy use constrains brain information processing" in 2017 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM) (IEEE, 2017), pp. 11.3.1–11.3.3.
- S. B. Laughlin, Energy as a constraint on the coding and processing of sensory information. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **11**, 475–480 (2001).
- C. Howarth, P. Gleeson, D. Attwell, Updated energy budgets for neural computation in the neocortex and cerebellum. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 32, 1222–1232 (2012).
- S. Herculano-Houzel, Scaling of brain metabolism with a fixed energy budget per neuron: Implications for neuronal activity, plasticity and evolution. *PLOS ONE* 6, e17514 (2011).
- J. Karbowski, Approximate invariance of metabolic energy per synapse during development in mammalian brains. PLOS ONE 7, e33425 (2012).
- F. Hyder, D. L. Rothman, M. R. Bennett, Cortical energy demands of signaling and nonsignaling components in brain are conserved across mammalian species and activity levels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 3549–3554 (2013).
- J. J. Harris, R. Jolivet, E. Engl, D. Attwell, Energy-efficient information transfer by visual pathway synapses. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 3151–3160 (2015).
- F. A. C. Azevedo, L. R. B. Carvalho, L. T. Grinberg, J. M. Farfel, R. E. L. Ferretti, R. E. P. Leite, W. J. Filho, R. Lent, S. Herculano-Houzel, Equal numbers of neuronal and nonneuronal cells make the human brain an isometrically scaled-up primate brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 513, 532–541 (2009).
- J. M. Shine, E. J. Müller, B. Munn, J. Cabral, R. J. Moran, M. Breakspear, Computational models link cellular mechanisms of neuromodulation to large-scale neural dynamics. *Nat. Neurosci.* 24, 765–776 (2021).
- M. A. Raghanti, M. K. Edler, A. R. Stephenson, E. L. Munger, B. Jacobs, P. R. Hof, C. C. Sherwood, R. L. Holloway, C. O. Lovejoy, A neurochemical hypothesis for the origin of hominids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E1108–E1116 (2018).
- E. Bullmore, O. Sporns, The economy of brain network organization. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13, 336–349 (2012).
- A. Goulas, J.-P. Changeux, K. Wagstyl, K. Amunts, N. Palomero-Gallagher, C. C. Hilgetag, The natural axis of transmitter receptor distribution in the human cerebral cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2020574118 (2021).
- R. S. Balaban, Allometry of brain metabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 3216–3217 (2013).
- G. B. West, W. H. Woodruff, J. H. Brown, Allometric scaling of metabolic rate from molecules and mitochondria to cells and mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 2473–2478 (2002)
- Y. Yu, A. Akif, P. Herman, M. Cao, D. L. Rothman, R. E. Carson, D. Agarwal, A. C. Evans, F. Hyder, A 3D atlas of functional human brain energetic connectome based on neuropil distribution. *Cereb. Cortex* 33, 3996–4012 (2023).
- Y. Wei, S. C. de Lange, L. H. Scholtens, K. Watanabe, D. J. Ardesch, P. R. Jansen, J. E. Savage, L. Li, T. M. Preuss, J. K. Rilling, D. Posthuma, M. P. van den Heuvel, Genetic mapping and evolutionary analysis of human-expanded cognitive networks. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4839 (2019).
- F. Nadim, D. Bucher, Neuromodulation of neurons and synapses. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 29, 48–56 (2014).
- C. D. Grossman, J. Y. Cohen, Neuromodulation and neurophysiology on the timescale of learning and decision-making. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 45, 317–337 (2022).
- P. Greengard, The neurobiology of slow synaptic transmission. Science 294, 1024–1030 (2001).
- A. Bazzari, H. Parri, Neuromodulators and long-term synaptic plasticity in learning and memory: A steered-glutamatergic perspective. *Brain Sci.* 9, 300 (2019).
- B. L. Roth, Molecular pharmacology of metabotropic receptors targeted by neuropsychiatric drugs. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 26, 535–544 (2019).
- 41. Pandas—Python Data Analysis Library; https://pandas.pydata.org/.

- C. R. Harris, K. J. Millman, S. J. van der Walt, R. Gommers, P. Virtanen, D. Cournapeau, E. Wieser, J. Taylor, S. Berg, N. J. Smith, R. Kern, M. Picus, S. Hoyer, M. H. van Kerkwijk, M. Brett, A. Haldane, J. F. del Río, M. Wiebe, P. Peterson, P. Gérard-Marchant, K. Sheppard, T. Reddy, W. Weckesser, H. Abbasi, C. Gohlke, T. E. Oliphant, Array programming with NumPy. *Nature* 585, 357–362 (2020).
- A. Abraham, F. Pedregosa, M. Eickenberg, P. Gervais, A. Mueller, J. Kossaifi, A. Gramfort, B. Thirion, G. Varoquaux, Machine learning for neuroimaging with scikit-learn. *Front. Neuroinform.* 8, 14 (2014).
- M. Brett, C. J. Markiewicz, M. Hanke, M.-A. Cóté, B. Cipollini, P. McCarthy, D. Jarecka, C. P. Cheng, Y. O. Halchenko, M. Cottaar, E. Larson, S. Ghosh, D. Wassermann, S. Gerhard, G. R. Lee, H.-T. Wang, E. Kastman, J. Kaczmarzyk, R. Guidotti, O. Duek, J. Daniel, A. Rokem, C. Madison, B. Moloney, F. C. Morency, M. Goncalves, R. Markello, C. Riddell, C. Burns, J. Millman, A. Gramfort, A. Sólon, J. Leppäkangas, J. J. F. van den Bosch, R. D. Vincent, H. Braun, K. Subramaniam, K. J. Gorgolewski, P. R. Raamana, J. Klug, B. N. Nichols, E. M. Baker, S. Hayashi, B. Pinsard, C. Haselgrove, M. Hymers, O. Esteban, S. Koudoro, F. Pérez-García, N. N. Oosterhof, B. Amirbekian, I. Nimmo-Smith, L. Nguyen, S. Reddigari, S. St-Jean, D. Papadopoulos Orfanos, E. Panflov, E. Garyfallidis, G. Varoquaux, J. H. Legarreta, K. S. Hahn, O. P. Hinds, B. Fauber, J.-B. Poline, J. Stutters, K. Jordan, M. Cieslak, M. E. Moreno, V. Haenel, Y. Schwartz, Z. Baratz, A. Van, B. C. Darwin, B. Thirion, C. Gauthier, I. Solovey, I. Gonzalez, J. Palasubramaniam, J. Lecher, K. Leinweber, K. Raktivan, L. Waller, M. Calábková, P. Fischer, *P. Gervais*, S. Gadde, T. Ballinger, T. Roos, T. Hrnčiar, V. R. Reddam, freec84, nipy/nibabel: 3.2.2 (2022), doi:10.5281/zenodo.6617121.
- 45. J. D. Hunter, Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput. Sci. Eng. 9, 90–95 (2007).
- 46. M. Waskom, Seaborn: Statistical data visualization. JOSS 6, 3021 (2021).
- L. Taccari, Joyplots in Python with matplotlib & pandas (2023); https://github.com/ leotac/joypy.
- J. Burt, Automated plotting of neuroimaging maps from Python using Connectome Workbench (2022); https://github.com/jbburt/wbplot.
- J. D. Power, A. Mitra, T. O. Laumann, A. Z. Snyder, B. L. Schlaggar, S. E. Petersen, Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage* 84, 320–341 (2014).
- L. K. S. Sundar, O. Muzik, L. Rischka, A. Hahn, I. Rausch, R. Lanzenberger, M. Hienert, E. M. Klebermass, F. G. Füchsel, M. Hacker, M. Pilz, E. Pataraia, T. Traub-Weidinger, T. Beyer, Towards quantitative [18F]FDG-PET/MRI of the brain: Automated MR-driven calculation of an image-derived input function for the non-invasive determination of cerebral glucose metabolic rates. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. **39**, 1516–1530 (2019).
- M. F. Glasser, T. S. Coalson, E. C. Robinson, C. D. Hacker, J. Harwell, E. Yacoub, K. Ugurbil, J. Andersson, C. F. Beckmann, M. Jenkinson, S. M. Smith, D. C. Van Essen, A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* **536**, 171–178 (2016).
- B. T. Yeo, F. M. Krienen, J. Sepulcre, M. R. Sabuncu, D. Lashkari, M. Hollinshead, J. L. Roffman, J. W. Smoller, L. Zöllei, J. R. Polimeni, B. Fischl, H. Liu, R. L. Buckner, The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J. Neurophysiol.* **106**, 1125–1165 (2011).
- S. Sharad, C. Brian, K. Ranjit, G. Satra, Y. Chao-gan, L. Qingyang, V. Joshua, B. Randal, C. Stanley, C. Cameron, M. Maarten, K. Clare, D. Adriana, C. Francisco, M. Michael, Towards automated analysis of connectomes: The configurable pipeline for the analysis of connectomes (C-PAC). *Front. Neuroinform.* 8, (2014).
- S. M. Smith, M. Jenkinson, M. W. Woolrich, C. F. Beckmann, T. E. J. Behrens, H. Johansen-Berg, P. R. Bannister, M. De Luca, I. Drobnjak, D. E. Flitney, R. K. Niazy, J. Saunders, J. Vickers, Y. Zhang, N. De Stefano, J. M. Brady, P. M. Matthews, Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage* 23, S208–S219 (2004).
- G. Douaud, S. Smith, M. Jenkinson, T. Behrens, H. Johansen-Berg, J. Vickers, S. James, N. Voets, K. Watkins, P. M. Matthews, A. James, Anatomically related grey and white matter abnormalities in adolescent-onset schizophrenia. *Brain* **130**, 2375–2386 (2007).
- R. C. Craddock, D. J. Clark, Optimized implementations of voxel-wise degree centrality and local functional connectivity density mapping in AFNI. *GigaScience* 5, s13742-016-0147-0d (2016).
- Y. Behzadi, K. Restom, J. Liau, T. T. Liu, A component based noise correction method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *Neuroimage* 37, 90–101 (2007).
- Q. Yu, E. B. Erhardt, J. Sui, Y. Du, H. He, D. Hjelm, M. S. Cetin, S. Rachakonda, R. L. Miller, G. Pearlson, V. D. Calhoun, Assessing dynamic brain graphs of time-varying connectivity in fMRI data: Application to healthy controls and patients with schizophrenia. *Neuroimage* 107, 345–355 (2015).
- J.-D. Tournier, R. Smith, D. Raffelt, R. Tabbara, T. Dhollander, M. Pietsch, D. Christiaens, B. Jeurissen, C.-H. Yeh, A. Connelly, MRtrix3: A fast, flexible and open software framework for medical image processing and visualisation. *Neuroimage* 202, 116137 (2019).
- M. Jenkinson, C. F. Beckmann, T. E. J. Behrens, M. W. Woolrich, S. M. Smith, FSL. NeuroImage 62, 782–790 (2012).

- B. B. Avants, N. J. Tustison, G. Song, P. A. Cook, A. Klein, J. C. Gee, A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration. *Neuroimage* 54, 2033–2044 (2011).
- R. E. Smith, J.-D. Tournier, F. Calamante, A. Connelly, Anatomically-constrained tractography: Improved diffusion MRI streamlines tractography through effective use of anatomical information. *Neuroimage* 62, 1924–1938 (2012).
- J.-D. Tournier, F. Calamante, A. Connelly, Robust determination of the fibre orientation distribution in diffusion MRI: Non-negativity constrained super-resolved spherical deconvolution. *Neuroimage* 35, 1459–1472 (2007).
- J. J. Crofts, D. J. Higham, A weighted communicability measure applied to complex brain networks. J. R. Soc. Interface 6, 411–414 (2009).
- P. J. Markiewicz, M. J. Ehrhardt, K. Erlandsson, P. J. Noonan, A. Barnes, J. M. Schott, D. Atkinson, S. R. Arridge, B. F. Hutton, S. Ourselin, NiftyPET: A high-throughput software platform for high quantitative accuracy and precision PET imaging and analysis. *Neuroinformatics* **16**, 95–115 (2018).
- N. Burgos, M. J. Cardoso, K. Thielemans, M. Modat, S. Pedemonte, J. Dickson, A. Barnes, R. Ahmed, C. J. Mahoney, J. M. Schott, J. S. Duncan, D. Atkinson, S. R. Arridge, B. F. Hutton, S. Ourselin, Attenuation correction synthesis for hybrid PET-MR scanners: Application to brain studies. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **33**, 2332–2341 (2014).
- C. S. Patlak, R. G. Blasberg, Graphical evaluation of blood-to-brain transfer constants from multiple-time uptake data. Generalizations. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 5, 584–590 (1985).
- H.-M. Wu, M. Bergsneider, T. C. Glenn, E. Yeh, D. A. Hovda, M. E. Phelps, S.-C. Huang, Measurement of the global lumped constant for 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose in normal human brain using [150]water and 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography imaging. A method with validation based on multiple methodologies. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 5, 32–41 (2003).
- J. Yang, C. Hu, N. Guo, J. Dutta, L. M. Vaina, K. A. Johnson, J. Sepulcre, G. E. Fakhri, Q. Li, Partial volume correction for PET quantification and its impact on brain network in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 13035 (2017).
- V. Oikonen, J. Johansson, K. Liukko, H. Merisaari, S. Alenius, C. Laakkonen, R. Krais, TPCCLIB: Turku PET Centre command line tools. http://www.turkupetcentre.net/tpcclib-doc/.
- M. E. Phelps, S. C. Huang, E. J. Hoffman, C. Selin, L. Sokoloff, D. E. Kuhl, Tomographic measurement of local cerebral glucose metabolic rate in humans with (F-18)2-fluoro-2deoxy-D-glucose: Validation of method. *Ann. Neurol.* 6, 371–388 (1979).
- C. C. Chernecky, B. J. Berger, Laboratory Tests and Diagnostic Procedures (Elsevier Health Sciences, 2012).
- D. Feng, S.-C. Huang, X. Wang, Models for computer simulation studies of input functions for tracer kinetic modeling with positron emission tomography. *Int. J. Biomed. Comput.* 32, 95–110 (1993).
- D. Vriens, L.-F. de Geus-Oei, W. J. G. Oyen, E. P. Visser, A curve-fitting approach to estimate the arterial plasma input function for the assessment of glucose metabolic rate and response to treatment. J. Nucl. Med. 50, 1933–1939 (2009).
- T. Shiozaki, N. Sadato, M. Senda, K. Ishii, T. Tsuchida, Y. Yonekura, H. Fukuda, J. Konishi, Noninvasive estimation of fdg input function for quantification of cerebral metabolic rate of glucose: Optimization and multicenter evaluation. J. Nucl. Med. 41, 1612–1618 (2000).
- I. L. O. Buxton, "Pharmacokinetics: The dynamics of drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination" in *Goodman & Gilman's: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, L. L. Brunton, R. Hilal-Dandan, B. C. Knollmann, Eds. (McGraw Hill, ed. 13, 2017; accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?aid=1162532708).
- N. Sadato, T. Tsuchida, S. Nakaumra, A. Waki, H. Uematsu, N. Takahashi, N. Hayashi, Y. Yonekura, Y. Ishii, Non-invasive estimation of the net influx constant using the standardized uptake value for quantification of FDG uptake of tumours. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* 25, 559–564 (1998).
- 78. P. Virtanen, R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy, D. Cournapeau, E. Burovski, P. Peterson, W. Weckesser, J. Bright, S. J. van der Walt, M. Brett, J. Wilson, K. J. Millman, N. Mayorov, A. R. J. Nelson, E. Jones, R. Kern, E. Larson, C. J. Carey, İ. Polat, Y. Feng, E. W. Moore, J. VanderPlas, D. Laxalde, J. Perktold, R. Cimrman, I. Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, C. R. Harris, A. M. Archibald, A. H. Ribeiro, F. Pedregosa, P. van Mulbregt; SciPy 1.0 Contributors, A. Vijaykumar, A. P. Bardelli, A. Rothberg, A. Hilboll, A. Kloeckner, A. Scopatz, A. Lee, A. Rokem, C. N. Woods, C. Fulton, C. Masson, C. Häggström, C. Fitzgerald, D. A. Nicholson, D. R. Hagen, D. V. Pasechnik, E. Olivetti, E. Martin, E. Wieser, F. Silva, F. Lenders, F. Wilhelm, G. Young, G. A. Price, G.-L. Ingold, G. E. Allen, G. R. Lee, H. Audren, I. Probst, J. P. Dietrich, J. Silterra, J. T. Webber, J. Slavič, J. Nothman, J. Buchner, J. Kulick, J. L. Schönberger, J. V. de Miranda Cardoso, J. Reimer, J. Harrington, J. L. C. Rodríguez, J. Nunez-Iglesias, J. Kuczynski, K. Tritz, M. Thoma, M. Newville, M. Kümmerer, M. Bolingbroke, M. Tartre, M. Pak, N. J. Smith, N. Nowaczyk, N. Shebanov, O. Pavlyk, P. A. Brodtkorb, P. Lee, R. T. McGibbon, R. Feldbauer, S. Lewis, S. Tygier, S. Sievert, S. Vigna, S. Peterson, S. More, T. Pudlik, T. Oshima, T. J. Pingel, T. P. Robitaille, T. Spura, T. R. Jones, T. Cera, T. Leslie, T. Zito, T. Krauss, U. Upadhyay, Y. O. Halchenko, Y. Vázquez-Baeza, SciPy 1.0:

Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).

- S. Larivière, C. Paquola, B. Park, J. Royer, Y. Wang, O. Benkarim, R. Vos de Wael, S. L. Valk, S. I. Thomopoulos, M. Kirschner, L. B. Lewis, A. C. Evans, S. M. Sisodiya, C. R. McDonald, P. M. Thompson, B. C. Bernhardt, The ENIGMA toolbox: Multiscale neural contextualization of multisite neuroimaging datasets. *Nat. Methods* 18, 698–700 (2021).
- K. Amunts, C. Lepage, L. Borgeat, H. Mohlberg, T. Dickscheid, M.-E. Rousseau, S. Bludau, P.-L. Bazin, L. B. Lewis, A.-M. Oros-Peusquens, N. J. Shah, T. Lippert, K. Zilles, A. C. Evans, BigBrain: An ultrahigh-resolution 3D human brain model. *Science* **340**, 1472–1475 (2013).
- C. Paquola, J. Royer, L. B. Lewis, C. Lepage, T. Glatard, K. Wagstyl, J. DeKraker, P.-J. Toussaint, S. L. Valk, L. Collins, A. R. Khan, K. Amunts, A. C. Evans, T. Dickscheid, B. Bernhardt, The BIGBRAINWARP toolbox for integration of BIGBRAIN 3D histology with multimodal neuroimaging. *eLife* **10**, e70119 (2021).
- C. Paquola, R. Vos De Wael, K. Wagstyl, R. A. I. Bethlehem, S.-J. Hong, J. Seidlitz,
 E. T. Bullmore, A. C. Evans, B. Misic, D. S. Margulies, J. Smallwood, B. C. Bernhardt, Microstructural and functional gradients are increasingly dissociated in transmodal cortices. *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000284 (2019).
- K. Zilles, A. Schleicher, N. Palomero-Gallagher, K. Amunts, "Quantitative analysis of cytoand receptor architecture of the human brain" in *Brain Mapping: The Methods* (Elsevier, 2002), pp. 573–602.
- M. J. Hawrylycz, E. S. Lein, A. L. Guillozet-Bongaarts, E. H. Shen, L. Ng, J. A. Miller, L. N. van de Lagemaat, K. A. Smith, A. Ebbert, Z. L. Riley, C. Abajian, C. F. Beckmann, A. Bernard, D. Bertagnolli, A. F. Boe, P. M. Cartagena, M. M. Chakravarty, M. Chapin, J. Chong, R. A. Dalley, B. D. Daly, C. Dang, S. Datta, N. Dee, T. A. Dolbeare, V. Faber, D. Feng, D. R. Fowler, J. Goldy, B. W. Gregor, Z. Haradon, D. R. Haynor, J. G. Hohmann, S. Horvath, R. E. Howard, A. Jeromin, J. M. Jochim, M. Kinnunen, C. Lau, E. T. Lazarz, C. Lee, T. A. Lemon, L. Li, Y. Li, J. A. Morris, C. C. Overly, P. D. Parker, S. E. Parry, M. Reding, J. J. Royall, J. Schulkin, P. A. Sequeira, C. R. Slaughterbeck, S. C. Smith, A. J. Sodt, S. M. Sunkin, B. E. Swanson, M. P. Vawter, D. Williams, P. Wohnoutka, H. R. Zielke, D. H. Geschwind, P. R. Hof, S. M. Smith, C. Koch, S. G. N. Grant, A. R. Jones, An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. *Nature* 489, 391–399 (2012).
- R. D. Markello, A. Arnatkeviciute, J.-B. Poline, B. D. Fulcher, A. Fornito, B. Misic, Standardizing workflows in imaging transcriptomics with the abagen toolbox. *eLife* 10, e72129 (2021).
- The GTEx Consortium, The GTEx Consortium Atlas of Genetic Regulatory Effects across Human Tissues, Science 369, eaaz1776 (2020) DOI: 10.1126/science.aaz1776.
- J. Y. Hansen, G. Shafiei, R. D. Markello, K. Smart, S. M. L. Cox, M. Nørgaard, V. Beliveau, Y. Wu, J.-D. Gallezot, É. Aumont, S. Servaes, S. G. Scala, J. M. Du Bois, G. Wainstein, G. Bezgin, T. Funck, T. W. Schmitz, R. N. Spreng, M. Galovic, M. J. Koepp, J. S. Duncan, J. P. Coles, T. D. Fryer, F. I. Aigbirhio, C. J. M. Ginnity, A. Hammers, J.-P. Soucy, S. Baillet, S. Guimond, J. Hietala, M.-A. Bedard, M. Leyton, E. Kobayashi, P. Rosa-Neto, M. Ganz, G. M. Knudsen, N. Palomero-Gallagher, J. M. Shine, R. E. Carson, L. Tuominen, A. Dagher, B. Misic, Mapping neurotransmitter systems to the structural and functional organization of the human neocortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 25, 1569–1581 (2022).
- T. Yarkoni, R. A. Poldrack, T. E. Nichols, D. C. Van Essen, T. D. Wager, Large-scale automated synthesis of human functional neuroimaging data. *Nat. Methods* 8, 665–670 (2011).
- D. S. Margulies, S. S. Ghosh, A. Goulas, M. Falkiewicz, J. M. Huntenburg, G. Langs, G. Bezgin, S. B. Eickhoff, F. X. Castellanos, M. Petrides, E. Jefferies, J. Smallwood, Situating the defaultmode network along a principal gradient of macroscale cortical organization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 12574–12579 (2016).
- J. B. Burt, M. Helmer, M. Shinn, A. Anticevic, J. D. Murray, Generative modeling of brain maps with spatial autocorrelation. *Neuroimage* 220, 117038 (2020).
- 91. R. Vallat, Pingouin: Statistics in python. JOSS 3, 1026 (2018).
- 92. R Core Team, R: The R Project for Statistical Computing (2022); https://r-project.org/.
- 93. A. Shingleton, Allometry: The study of biological scaling. Nat. Educ. Knowl. 3, 2 (2010).
- A. M. Winkler, G. R. Ridgway, M. A. Webster, S. M. Smith, T. E. Nichols, Permutation inference for the general linear model. *Neuroimage* 92, 381–397 (2014).
- E. Eden, R. Navon, I. Steinfeld, D. Lipson, Z. Yakhini, GOrilla: A tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 10, 48 (2009).
- H. Mi, A. Muruganujan, X. Huang, D. Ebert, C. Mills, X. Guo, P. D. Thomas, Protocol Update for large-scale genome and gene function analysis with the PANTHER classification system (v.14.0). *Nat. Protoc.* 14, 703–721 (2019).
- E. Eden, D. Lipson, S. Yogev, Z. Yakhini, Discovering Motifs in Ranked Lists of DNA Sequences. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 3, e39 (2007).
- R. Markello, pyls: Partial Least Squares in Python; https://pyls.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ index.html.
- G. Castrillon, S. Epp, A. Bose, L. Fraticelli, A. Hechler, R. Belenya, A. Ranft, I. Yakushev, L. Utz, L. Sundar, J. Rauschecker, C. Preibisch, K. Kurcyus, V. Riedl, The energetic costs of the human connectome. *OpenNeuro*, (2023).

Acknowledgments: We thank P. Markiewicz for technical support in the use of the NiftyPET library, T. Beyer for sharing the PET/MRI dataset from the VIE site, and M. van den Heuvel and Y. Wei for help in using the dataset about cortical expansion from nonhuman primates to humans. We thank M. Ploner for valuable discussions throughout the study process. We also thank our colleagues at the Technical University of Munich, particularly C. Zimmer, W. Weber, G. Schneider, and S. Schachoff, who have been consistently supportive of the multimodal imaging pipeline at TUM. Funding: V.R. has been funded by the European Union (ERC Starting Grant, SUGARCODING, 759659). Author contributions: Conceptualization: V.R. Supervision: V. R. Data curation: G.C. and L.S. Investigation: A.B., A.R., C.P., I.Y., J.P.R., K.K., L.S., L.U., and S.E. Methodology: G.C. Formal analysis: A.H., G.C., L.F., L.S., L.U., and R.B. Visualization: G.C. and V.R. Validation: G.C., Virting—original draft: G.C. and V.R. Writing—review and editing: A.B., A.H., A. R., C.P., G.C., I.Y., J.P.R., K.K., L.F., L.S., L.U., R.B., S.E., and V.R. Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. All raw and processed data from the TUM site, as well as all processed data from the VIE site, are available in the online repository of OpenNeuro (99) (https:// openneuro.org/datasets/ds004513). A time-stamped version of the scripts, python jupyter notebooks, and configuration files for replication of all analyses and figures are available in the data repository on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10084430) and additionally on github (https://github.com/NeuroenergeticsLab/energetic_costs), where you will also find a link to a ready-to-run, interactive notebook to generate all results from this manuscript via binder.

Submitted 16 May 2023 Accepted 10 November 2023 Published 13 December 2023 10.1126/sciadv.adi7632