



Association of Cholecystectomy With Liver Fibrosis and Cirrhosis Among Adults in the USA: A **Population-Based Propensity Score-Matched Study**

Aldo Torre,

Zhi-Qin Xie^{1†}, Hong-Xia Li^{2†}, Wen-Liang Tan¹, Lei Yang¹, Xiao-Wu Ma¹, Wen-Xin Li³, Qing-Bin Wang¹, Chang-Zhen Shang^{1*} and Ya-Jin Chen^{1*}

¹ Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, ² Department of Pathology, Zhuzhou Hospital Affiliated to Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Zhuzhou, China, ³ Department of Cardiology, The Eighth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, China

Background and Aims: Cholecystectomy is the "gold standard" for treating diseases of the gallbladder. In addition, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), liver fibrosis or cirrhosis, are major causes of morbidity and mortality across the world. However, the association between cholecystectomy and these diseases is still unclear. We assessed the association among US adults and examined the possible risk factors.

Methods: This cross-sectional study used data from 2017 to 2018 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, a population-based nationally representative sample of US. Liver fibrosis and cirrhosis were defined by median stiffness, which was assessed by transient elastography. Furthermore, patients who had undergone cholecystectomy were identified based on the questionnaire. In addition, Propensity Score Matching (PSM, 1:1) was performed based on gender, age, body mass index (BMI) and diabetes.

Results: Of the 4,497 included participants, cholecystectomy was associated with 60.0% higher risk of liver fibrosis (OR:1.600;95% CI:1.278-2.002), and 73.3% higher risk of liver cirrhosis (OR:1.733, 95% CI:1.076–2.792). After PSM based on age, gender, BMI group and history of diabetes, cholecystectomy was associated with 139.3% higher risk of liver fibrosis (OR: 2.393;95% CI: 1.738–3.297), and 228.7% higher risk of liver cirrhosis (OR: 3.287, 95% CI: 1.496-7.218).

Conclusions: The present study showed that cholecystectomy is positively associated with liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in US adults. The discovery of these risk factors therefore provides new insights on the prevention of NAFLD, liver fibrosis, and cirrhosis.

Keywords: cholecystectomy, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, liver fibrosis, liver cirrhosis, association

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), Mexico

Reviewed by:

Mengfei Liu, Mayo Clinic, United States Paolo Gallo. Policlinico Universitario Campus Bio-Medico, Italy

*Correspondence:

Chang-Zhen Shang shangcz_sysu@163.com Ya-Jin Chen cyj0509@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Gastroenterology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 01 October 2021 Accepted: 03 November 2021 Published: 30 November 2021

Citation:

Xie Z-Q, Li H-X, Tan W-L, Yang L, Ma X-W, Li W-X, Wang Q-B, Shang C-Z and Chen Y-J (2021) Association of Cholecystectomy With Liver Fibrosis and Cirrhosis Among Adults in the USA: A Population-Based Propensity Score-Matched Study. Front. Med. 8:787777. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.787777

1

INTRODUCTION

Chronic liver diseases, such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), liver fibrosis (LF) or cirrhosis (LC), are major causes of morbidity and mortality across the world (1–3). With the rising prevalence of NAFLD, interest is increasing in LF, which is a reversible condition and can progress to irreversible LC and even hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), thereby leading to a major social and economic burden (4, 5). Previous researches have reported that LF is correlated with long-term outcomes of NAFLD patients (6). However, liver fibrosis continues to threaten public health despite decades of research, and thus scientists are now focused on prevention strategies. Classically, LF is caused by various risk factors, such as viral hepatitis, alcoholism, obesity, and type 2 diabetes (4). Better understanding of the associated risk factors may contribute to the early prevention of the underlying liver disease.

Gallbladder diseases are also among the most prevalent conditions worldwide, affecting 10 to 20% of the adult population (7). Cholecystectomy is widely used as the "gold standard" for the treatment of gallbladder diseases, such as gallstones, acute cholecystitis and benign tumors of the gallbladder (8). However, few studies have evaluated whether cholecystectomy is associated with an increased risk of developing NAFLD. A previous retrospective, multicenter study in Turkey showed that there is no independent association between the presence of cholecystectomy and advanced LF (9). The study focused on whether the presence of gallstones in patients with biopsyproven NAFLD was associated with advanced LF and histological non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), providing preliminary reference for research on hepatology and cholecystectomy. Unfortunately, the main shortcoming of the study was the small sample size which included 41 cases of cholecystectomy and 387 without. On the contrary, another cross-section study using data of the third US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III, 1988-1994), showed the positive association between NAFLD and cholecystectomy (10). Moreover, no further study on the association between cholecystectomy and LF or LC has been conducted ever since. Recently, ultrasound transient elastography (TE) was widely used to evaluate liver fibrosis in chronic liver diseases in a non-invasive and reproducible manner (11). Notably, transient elastography was first conducted in the NHANES 2017-2018 cycle, providing opportunity to assess the weak connection between cholecystectomy and LF or LC.

Consequently, the present study sought to examine the association between cholecystectomy and LF or LC using a nationally representative sample of US adults from the NHANES 2017–2018.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

This study analyzed data from NHANES 2017-2018, where ultrasound TE of the liver was first conducted. The NHANES was a national, cross-sectional survey that assessed the health and nutritional status of individuals in the United States. A detailed description of NHANES has been published elsewhere (12). During the 2017-2018 cycle of NHANES, 9,254 participants finished the survey. However, the present study excluded individuals who were <20 years old and could not undergo TE (N = 4,744). Patients with autoimmune hepatitis and those lacking data of cholecystectomy were also excluded from further analysis (N = 13). Consequently, 4,497 participants were enrolled for further analysis. Moreover, written informed consent was obtained from all the participants and the survey protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics. Additionally, specific informed consent was not required for this secondary analysis of the publicly available data. This report was also drafted according to the reporting guidelines for cross-sectional studies, stipulated by Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) (13).

Primary Exposure

Patients who had undergone cholecystectomy were identified based on self-reports and this information was acquired from the "medical conditions" section of the questionnaire. In addition, 8,897 participants who were older than 20 years answered the question; "Ever had cholecystectomy?" Notably, 641 (7.2%) individuals answered "Yes" while 4,925 (55.4%) participants answered "No".

Outcomes

During NHANES 2017-2018, TE was first conducted by educated health technicians. Additionally, liver stiffness was measured using the FibroScan[®] model 502 V2 Touch, which used ultrasound and vibration-controlled TE. Notably, TE is a widely used, noninvasive and reliable method of evaluating LF or LC (14, 15). All participants older than 12 years of age were eligible except for individuals who could not lie on the exam table, had an implanted electronic medical device, were pregnant or had a lesion at the site of examination. In addition, only individuals with complete tests (a fasting time of 3 hours, complete stiffness ≥10 measures and interquartile range of liver stiffness/median stiffness <30%) were enrolled in this study. Moreover, LF was defined as F0-F4, with the cutoff values of median liver stiffness (LSM) being 6.3, 8.3, 10.5 and 12.5 (KPa), respectively (16). Furthermore, Significant LF and LC was defined as LSM ≥ 6.3 KPa (fibrosis grade \geq F1) and LSM \geq 12.5 KPa (fibrosis grade \geq F4), respectively (16, 17).

Covariates

Covariates were selected based on known confounders from previous literature and clinical practice. Briefly, demographic factors such as age, sex and race/ethnicity were included first. In addition, levels of education, alcohol use, diabetes, HBV infection, HCV infection, physical activity status, serum cotinine

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline Phosphatase; ALB, albumin; BMI, body mass index; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter; CI, confidence interval; GGT, gamma glutamyl transferase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IQR, interquartile range; LF, liver fibrosis; LC, liver cirrhosis; LSM, median liver stiffness; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; OR, odds ratio; PSM, propensity score matching; TC, total cholesterol; TB, total bilirubin.

levels, Body Mass Index (BMI), and the poverty income ratio were also evaluated through interviews.

In this study, age was classified into six categories: 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70-80 years. In NHANES 2017-2018, race/ethnicity was classified as Hispanic (referring to all Hispanics), non-Hispanic White (referring to whites with no Hispanic origin), non-Hispanic Black (meaning blacks with no Hispanic origin), non-Hispanic Asian (meaning Asians with no Hispanic origin) or other races including Alaska Natives or American Indians, Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders and multiracial individuals. In addition, the BMI was categorized into three groups: under/normal weight (<25.0 kg/m²), overweight (25.0–29.9 kg/m² and obesity (\geq 30.0 kg/m²). Participants with diabetes were also defined as those with a selfreported history of diagnosis with diabetes or glycohemoglobin \geq 6.5% (18). Moreover, individuals with HCV or HBV infections were identified based on positive diagnostic tests (19, 20) or self-reported infection.

Furthermore, current alcohol use was categorized as none, moderate (>0 to <2 drinks/d for men or >0 to <1 drink/d for women), heavy (>2 to <5 drinks/d for men or >1 to <4 drink/d for women) or binge (≥ 5 drinks/d for men or \geq 4 drink/d for women) based on recommendations from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) in the National Institute of Health. On the other hand, smoking was categorized according to the serum cotinine levels into low (<0.015 ng/ml), moderate (0.015-3 ng/ml) and high levels (>3 ng/ml) (21). Moreover, the participants were categorized into three groups: active (>the recommended level of activity), less active (<the recommended level of activity) and inactive (no activity), based on evidence that more than 75 min of vigorous or 150 min of moderate physical activity per week is recommended for Americans (22). In addition, the level of income was measured using the poverty income ratio (ratio of family income to poverty threshold) and was classified into three categories: <1.3, 1.3-1.8, and >1.8. The level of education (more than high school education, high school education, less than high school education) and laboratorymeasured levels of Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT), Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST), Albumin (ALB), Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP), y-glutamyl Transpeptidase (GGT), Total Cholesterol (TC), Total Bilirubin (TB) and platelet were also evaluated through interviews.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as the weighted mean (standard deviation) and comparisons between two groups were made using the independent samples *T*-test or Mann-Whitney test. In addition, categorical variables were described by weighted percentages (95% confidence interval, 95% CI) and compared using the χ^2 test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was also performed to evaluate the correlation between LF, LC and cholecystectomy. The final model was adjusted for age, gender, race, level of education, alcohol use, diabetes, HBV infection, HCV infection, physical activity status, serum cotinine levels, BMI, and the poverty income ratio.



Additionally, subgroup analyses were conducted by examining age, gender, race/ethnicity. Propensity Score Matching (PSM) was also applied to match two groups, with a ratio of 1:1 and a clipper of 0.00 using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM, New York, USA).

All the statistical analysis were performed using the R software (http://www.R-project.org, The R Foundation) and Empowerstats (http://www.empowerstats.com, X&Y Solutions, Inc), with appropriate interview/examination weights to represent the complex survey design. Moreover, 2-sided tests were used to obtain all the *p* values and statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Overall Characteristics of the Participants

A total of 4,497 participants who were older than 20 years in NHANES 2017–2018, were included in this analysis. Herein, 490 individuals had undergone cholecystectomy while 4,007 participants had not (**Figure 1**). The overall characteristics of the included participants are shown in **Table 1**. **Table 1** showed that individuals who had undergone cholecystectomy were mostly older (57.21 \pm 14.62 years vs. 46.68 \pm 16.95 years, p < 0.001), female [77.3% (95% CI, 73.6–81.0%) vs. 47.1% (95% CI, 45.6–48.6%), p < 0.001], non-Hispanic Whites [73.2% (95% CI, 69.3–77.1%) vs. 61.1% (95% CI, 59.6–62.6%), p < 0.001], obese [57.8% (95% CI, 53.4–62.2%) vs. 38.8% (95% CI, 37.3–40.3%), p < 0.000

TABLE 1 General characteristics of included participants ($n = 4497$) by the
presence or absence of a history of cholecystectomy in the NHANES 2017–2018.

Characters	Yes (<i>n</i> = 490)	No (<i>n</i> = 4007)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	57.21 ± 14.62	46.68 ± 16.95	<0.001
20–29	4.3 (2.5-6.1)	20.6 (19.3–21.9)	
30–39	10.6 (7.9–13.3)	19.1 (17.9–20.3)	
40–49	17.0 (13.7–20.3)	15.6 (14.5–16.7)	
50–59	18.9 (15.4–22.4)	19.2 (18.0–20.4)	
60–69	27.5 (23.6–31.5)	14.6 (13.5–15.7)	
70–80	21.8 (18.1–25.5)	10.9 (9.9–11.9)	
Gender			<0.001
Male	22.7 (19.0–26.4)	52.9 (51.4–54.4)	
Female	77.3 (73.6–81.0)	47.1 (45.6–48.6)	
Race/ethnicity			<0.001
Hispanic	11.7 (8.9–14.6)	16.3 (15.2–17.4)	
Non-Hispanic White	73.2 (69.3–77.1)	61.1 (59.6–62.6)	
Non-Hispanic Black	6.5 (4.3–8.7)	11.8 (10.8–12.8)	
Non-Hispanic Asian	2.2 (0.9–3.5)	6.3 (5.5–7.1)	
Other races ^a	6.4 (4.2–8.6)	4.5 (3.9–5.1)	
Education	011 (112 010)		0.184
More than high school	58.3 (53.9–62.7)	62.6 (61.1–64.1)	0.104
High school or equivalent	30.4 (26.3–34.5)	26.3 (24.9–27.7)	
Less than high school	11.3 (8.5–14.1)	11.0 (10.0–12.0)	
Not recorded	0.0	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	
	0.0	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	0.928
Poverty-income ratio	17 1 (12 9 00 4)	176 (16 / 19 9)	0.920
<1.3	17.1 (13.8–20.4)	17.6 (16.4–18.8)	
1.3–1.8	8.4 (5.9–10.9)	8.2 (7.4–9.0)	
>1.8	65.0 (60.8–69.2)	63.9 (62.4–65.4)	
Not recorded	9.5 (6.9–12.1)	10.3 (9.4–11.2)	
BMI group			< 0.001
<25	13.8 (10.8–16.9)	28.9 (27.5–30.3)	
25–30	27.9 (23.9–31.9)	31.8 (30.4–33.2)	
≥30	57.8 (53.4–62.2)	38.8 (37.3–40.3)	
Not recorded	0.6 (-0.1, 1.3)	0.6 (0.4–0.8)	
Physical activity level			< 0.001
Inactive	51.2 (46.8–55.6)	50.8 (49.3–52.3)	
Less active	12.5 (9.6–15.4)	7.1 (6.3–7.9)	
Active	36.4 (32.1–40.7)	42.1 (40.6–43.6)	
Daily alcohol drinking status			<0.001
Non-drinkers	7.4 (5.1–9.7)	7.1 (6.3–7.9)	
Moderate-drinkers	30.5 (26.4–34.6)	29.6 (28.2–31.0)	
Heavy-drinkers	17.8 (14.4–21.2)	13.6 (12.5–14.7)	
Binge-drinkers	22.0 (18.3–25.7)	34.4 (32.9–35.9)	
Not recorded	22.3 (18.6–26.0)	15.3 (14.2–16.4)	
History of diabetes			< 0.001
Yes	25.0 (21.2–28.8)	11.3 (10.3–12.3)	
Having HBV infection			0.009
Yes	1.0 (0.1–1.9)	0.9 (0.6–1.2)	
Having HCV infection			0.006
Yes	2.9 (1.4–4.4)	2.4 (1.9–2.9)	
Laboratory parameters			
Smoking (serum cotinine levels)			<0.001

TABLE 1 | Continued

Characters	Yes (<i>n</i> = 490)	No (<i>n</i> = 4007)	<i>p</i> -Value
<0.015	46.5 (42.1–50.9)	35.7 (34.2–37.2)	
0.015–3	29.5 (25.5–33.5)	36.7 (35.2–38.2)	
≥3	22.6 (18.9–26.3)	23.5 (22.2–24.8)	
Not recorded	1.4 (0.4–2.4)	4.1 (3.5–4.7)	
ALT (U/L)	20.98 ± 14.97	23.65 ± 17.13	< 0.001
AST (U/L)	20.15 ± 10.31	22.58 ± 13.29	< 0.001
ALP (U/L)	82.89 ± 33.52	75.44 ± 22.99	< 0.001
ALB (g/L)	39.68 ± 3.23	41.13 ± 3.06	< 0.001
GGT (U/L)	30.79 ± 62.64	30.10 ± 35.28	0.706
TC (mmol/L)	4.83 ± 0.94	4.91 ± 1.03	0.098
TB (umol/L)	7.99 ± 4.87	8.09 ± 4.60	0.632
Platelet (×10 ⁹ /L)	255.77 ± 71.75	243.21 ± 58.68	< 0.001
Transient Elastography			
Median stiffness (kPa)	6.71 ± 6.45	5.52 ± 4.27	< 0.001
Controlled attenuated parameter (dB/m)	279.84 ± 59.85	261.35 ± 62.53	<0.001
Liver fibrosis status			< 0.001
Yes	34.7(30.5–38.9)	19.8(18.6–21.0)	
Liver cirrhosis			0.041
Yes	4.5 (2.7–6.3)	2.8 (2.3–3.3)	

Values are weighted mean \pm SD or weighted % (95% confidence interval). P values are weighted. ^aOther races include American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and multiracial persons.

NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; BMI, body mass index; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline Phosphatase; ALB, albumin; GGT, gamma glutamyl transferase; TC, total cholesterol; TB, total bilirubin.

0.001], had less physical activity levels (12.5 vs. 7.1%, p < 0.001), had diabetes [25.0% (95% CI, 21.2–28.8%) vs. 11.3% (95% CI, 10.3–12.3%), p < 0.001] and had lower serum cotinine levels [less than 0.015 ng/mL, 46.5% (95% CI, 42.1–50.9%) vs. 35.7% (95% CI, 34.2–37.2%), p < 0.001], compared to those who has not received the surgery. Moreover, participants who had undergone cholecystectomy had higher levels of ALP (82.89 ± 33.52 U/L vs. 75.44 ± 22.99 U/L, p < 0.001), platelets (255.77 ± 71.75 ×10⁹/L vs. 243.21 ± 58.68 ×10⁹/L, p < 0.001), median liver stiffness (6.71 ± 6.45 KPa vs. 5.52 ± 4.27 KPa, p < 0.001), controlled attenuated parameter (279.84 ± 59.85 dB/m vs. 261.35 ± 62.53 dB/m, p < 0.001), than those who had not received the surgery.

Moreover, the incidence of LF and LC was higher in participants who had received cholecystectomy [34.7% (95% CI, 30.5–38.9%) vs. 19.8% (95% CI, 18.6–21.0%), p < 0.001; 4.5% (95% CI, 2.7–6.3%) vs. 2.8% (95% CI, 2.3–3.3%), p = 0.041, respectively].

Characteristics of Participants After PSM

Given the significant differences at baseline between included participants who had undergone cholecystectomy and those who had not, PSM was performed on the individuals using such covariates as age, gender, BMI group and history of diabetes, which were previously associated with metabolic disorder and **TABLE 2** | General characteristics of participants (n = 978) by the presence or absence of a history of cholecystectomy after propensity score matching in the NHANES 2017–2018.

Characters	Yes (n = 489)	No (n = 489)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	57.23 ± 14.61	56.76 ± 15.09	0.624
20–29	4.3 (2.5-6.1)	4.5 (2.7–6.3)	
30–39	10.6 (7.9–13.3)	8.9 (6.4–11.4)	
40–49	16.9 (13.6–20.2)	16.2 (12.9–19.5)	
50–59	18.9 (15.4–22.4)	18.6 (15.2–22.0)	
60–69	27.6(23.6–31.6)	21.9 (18.2–25.6)	
70–80	21.9 (18.2–25.6)	29.9 (25.8–34.0)	
Gender			0.343
Male	22.7 (19.0–26.4)	25.3 (21.4–29.2)	
Female	77.3 (73.6–81.0)	74.7 (70.8–78.6)	
Race/ethnicity			<0.001
Hispanic	11.7 (8.9–14.5)	17.0 (13.7–20.3)	
Non-Hispanic White	73.2 (69.3–77.1)	59.9 (55.6-64.2)	
Non-Hispanic Black	6.5 (4.3–8.7)	12.0 (9.1–14.9)	
Non-Hispanic Asian	2.2 (0.9–3.5)	6.4 (4.2–8.6)	
Other races ^a	6.5 (4.3–8.7)	4.8 (2.9–6.7)	
Education		- ()	0.086
More than high school	58.2 (53.8–62.6)	60.9 (56.6–65.2)	
High school or equivalent	30.5 (26.4–34.6)	,	
Less than high school	11.3 (8.5–14.1)	14.8 (11.7–17.9)	
Not recorded	0.0	0.1 (-0.2, 0.4)	
Poverty-income ratio			0.988
<1.3	17.2 (13.9–20.5)	17.2 (13.9–20.5)	
1.3–1.8	8.2 (5.8–10.6)	8.3 (5.9–10.7)	
>1.8	65.1 (60.9–69.3)	65.7 (61.5–69.9)	
Not recorded	9.5 (6.9–12.1)	8.8 (6.3–11.3)	
BMI group	0.0 (0.0 12.1)	0.0 (0.0 11.0)	0.417
<25	13.8 (10.7–16.9)	13.7 (10.7–16.7)	0.417
25-30	28.5 (24.5–32.5)	,	
>30	57.7 (53.3–62.1)	61.5 (57.2–65.8)	
Physical activity level	01.1 (00.0 02.1)	01.0 (07.2 00.0)	0.009
Inactive	51.3 (46.9–55.7)	59.8 (55.5–64.1)	0.000
Less active	12.5 (9.6–15.4)	7.7 (5.3–10.1)	
Active	36.3 (32.0-40.6)	32.6 (28.4–36.8)	
Daily alcohol drinking status	00.0 (02.0-40.0)	02.0 (20.4-00.0)	0.006
Non-drinkers	7.4 (5.1–9.7)	6.4 (4.2–8.6)	0.000
Moderate-drinkers	30.4 (26.3–34.5)	36.0 (31.7–40.3)	
Heavy-drinkers	17.8 (14.4–21.2)	14.3 (11.2–17.4)	
Binge-drinkers	22.1 (18.4–25.8)	28.2 (24.2–32.2)	
Not recorded	22.3 (18.6–26.0)	15.1 (11.9–18.3)	
History of diabetes	22.0 (10.0 20.0)	10.1 (11.0 10.0)	0.900
Yes	24.9 (21.1–28.7)	25.1 (21.3–28.9)	0.000
Having HBV infection	2		0.128
Yes	1.0 (0.1–1.9)	1.4 (0.4–2.4)	5.120
Having HCV infection			0.053
Yes	2.9 (1.4-4.4)	2.1 (0.8–3.4)	0.000
Laboratory parameters	(2(0.0 0)	
Smoking (serum cotinine levels)			0.183

TABLE 2 | Continued

Characters	Yes (<i>n</i> = 489)	No (n = 489)	p-Value
<0.015	46.5 (42.1–50.9)	44.4 (40.0–48.8)	
0.015–3	29.6 (25.6–33.6)	33.5 (29.3–37.7)	
≥3	22.5 (18.8–26.2)	19.3 (15.8–22.8)	
Not recorded	1.4 (0.4–2.4)	2.8 (1.3–4.3)	
ALT (U/L)	20.92 ± 15.17	21.92 ± 14.52	0.314
AST (U/L)	20.10 ± 10.45	21.72 ± 12.15	0.030
ALP (U/L)	83.01 ± 33.97	80.50 ± 25.16	0.216
ALB (g/L)	39.66 ± 3.27	40.46 ± 2.94	< 0.001
GGT (U/L)	30.67 ± 63.47	31.63 ± 41.87	0.794
TC (mmol/L)	4.83 ± 0.95	5.11 ± 1.22	< 0.001
TB (umol/L)	7.99 ± 4.93	7.14 ± 4.45	0.007
Platelet (×10 ⁹ /L)	255.86 ± 72.11	245.84 ± 60.77	0.023
Transient Elastography			
Median stiffness (kPa)	6.71 ± 6.46	5.03 ± 2.41	< 0.001
Controlled attenuated parameter (dB/m)	279.75 ± 59.85	266.38 ± 58.55	<0.001
Liver fibrosis			< 0.001
Yes	34.6 (30.4–38.8)	16.4 (13.1–19.7)	
Liver cirrhosis			0.0099
Yes	4.5 (2.7–6.3)	1.5 (0.4–2.6)	

Values are weighted mean \pm SD or weighted % (95% confidence interval). p values are weighted. ^aOther races include American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and multiracial persons.

NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; BMI, body mass index; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline Phosphatase; ALB, albumin; GGT, gamma glutamyl transferase; TC, total cholesterol; TB, total bilirubin; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

liver disease (23-25). After PSM, 489 pairs of cases were further analyzed (Table 2). The findings showed that gender, age, level of education, diabetes, HBV infection, HCV infection, serum cotinine levels, BMI group, and the poverty income ratio, were comparable between the two groups after PSM. Compared to participants who had not received cholecystectomy after PSM, more individuals who had undergone cholecystectomy were Non-Hispanic Whites [73.2% (95% CI, 69.3-77.1%) vs. 59.9% (95% CI, 55.6-64.2%), p < 0.001], heavy-drinkers [17.8% (95% CI, 14.4–21.2%) vs. 14.3% (95% CI, 11.2–17.4%), p = 0.006], had higher levels of physical activity (less active: 12.5 vs. 7.7%; active: 36.3 vs. 32.6%; p = 0.009), TB (7.99 ± 4.93 umol/L vs. 7.14 ± 4.45 umol/L, p = 0.007), platelet [(255.86 \pm 72.11)×10⁹/L vs. $(245.84 \pm 60.77) \times 10^9$ /L, p = 0.023), and higher values of median stiffness (6.71 \pm 6.46 KPa vs. 5.03 \pm 2.41 KPa, p < 0.001) as well as controlled attenuated parameter (279.75 \pm 59.85 dB/m vs. 266.38 \pm 58.55, *p* < 0.001). However, those who had undergone cholecystectomy had lower levels of ALB (39.66 \pm 3.27 g/L vs. 40.46 ± 2.94 g/L, p < 0.001), TC (4.83 ± 0.95 mmol/L vs. 5.11 \pm 1.22 mmol/L, p < 0.001) and AST (20.10 \pm 10.45 U/L vs. 21.72 \pm 12.15 U/L, p = 0.030). Moreover, the incidence of LF $(\geq F1)$ was more than two-fold higher in participants who had received cholecystectomy [34.6% (95% CI, 30.4-38.8%) vs. 16.4% (95% CI, 13.1–19.7%), p < 0.001), and LC (\geq F4) was threefold TABLE 3 Associations between cholecystectomy and liver fibrosis after propensity score matching (n = 978), NHANES 2017–2018.

	Model 1 OR (95% Cl),	Model 2 OR (95% Cl),	Model 3 OR (95% CI),
	P	P	P
Cholecystectomy			
No	Reference	Reference	Reference
Yes	2.130 (1.598, 2.839)	2.149 (1.598, 2.891)	2.393 (1.738, 3.297)
	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Stratified by age			
20–29у	Inf. (0.000, Inf)*	Inf. (0.000, Inf)	105266.561 (0.000, Inf)
	0.996	0.997	1.000
30–39y	4.154 (1.341, 12.870)	3.016 (0.869, 10.465)	1.134 (0.152, 8.466)
	0.014	0.082	0.903
40–49y	2.375 (1.108, 5.092)	2.563 (1.155, 5.686)	4.287 (1.407, 13.060)
	0.026	0.021	0.010
50–59y	3.804 (1.910, 7.576)	4.380 (2.110, 9.090)	5.488 (2.238, 13.461)
	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
60–69y	1.384 (0.790, 2.426)	1.435 (0.807, 2.553)	1.728 (0.847, 3.523)
	0.256	0.219	0.132
70–80y	1.600 (0.959, 2.669)	1.590 (0.934, 2.705)	1.585 (0.866, 2.901)
	0.072	0.087	0.135
Stratified by gender			
Men	1.810 (1.073, 3.054)	1.972 (1.130, 3.441)	2.153 (1.113, 4.166)
	0.026	0.017	0.023
Women	2.309 (1.631, 3.268)	2.247 (1.572, 3.211)	2.555 (1.727, 3.778)
	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Stratified by race			
Hispanic	1.832 (1.042, 3.218)	1.751 (0.978, 3.135)	1.496 (0.736, 3.038)
	0.035	0.060	0.265
Non-Hispanic White	3.253 (1.959, 5.404)	3.199 (1.918, 5.334)	3.835 (2.186, 6.730)
	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Non-Hispanic Black	1.023 (0.529, 1.978)	1.038 (0.518, 2.079)	1.276 (0.559, 2.912)
	0.947	0.916	0.562
Non-Hispanic Asian	2.700 (1.004, 7.263)	2.174 (0.749, 6.310)	5.924 (0.912, 38.490)
	0.049	0.153	0.062
Other races	2.422 (0.753, 7.786)	3.671 (0.946, 14.247)	106.704 (1.434, 7938.920
	0.138	0.060	0.034

Model 1: Non-adjusted model; Model 2 adjusted for: gender; age; race; Model 3 adjusted for: gender; age; race; education; alcohol; diabetes; HBV infection; HCV infection; physical activity status; serum cotinine levels; BMI, and poverty income ratio. */Inf' means that values can't be calculated.

NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; BMI, body mass index; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

higher [4.5% (95% CI, 2.7–6.3%) vs. 1.5% (95% CI, 0.4–2.6%), *p* = 0.0099].

Associations Between Cholecystectomy and LF

After PSM and unadjusted analysis (**Table 3**), the OR value for the presence of LF in participants who had undergone cholecystectomy was 2.130 (95% CI, 1.598–2.839), compared to those who had not received the surgery. This value remained statistically significant after adjusting for gender, age, and race (OR, 2.149 [95% CI, 1.598–2.891]). In addition, there was an increase in the OR value for the association of LF with cholecystectomy, after full adjustment (2.393 [95% CI, 1.738–3.297]).

In addition, subgroup analyses revealed that cholecystectomy patients who are 40–49 years old, 50–59 years old, female, or Non-Hispanic White are at a higher risk of developing

LF regardless of whether PSM was performed. After PSM, the OR value for the association of LF with cholecystectomy remained significant in participants who were 40–49 years old (Full adjustment: 4.287 [95% CI, 1.407–13.060]) and 50–59 years of age (Full adjustment: 5.488 [95% CI, 2.238–13.461]). After stratification by gender, the OR value remained significant especially in females (Full adjustment: 2.555 [95% CI, 1.727–3.778]). Additionally, there were significant associations between cholecystectomy and other covariates, including non-Hispanic Whites (Full adjustment: OR, 3.835 [95% CI, 2.186–6.730]).

Associations Between Cholecystectomy and LC

Finally, the study assessed the association between cholecystectomy and LC (**Table 4**) in participants after PSM. After PSM and unadjusted analysis, the OR value for the presence of LC in participants who had undergone cholecystectomy was

	Model 1 OR (95% CI),	Model 2 OR (95% Cl),	Model 3 OR (95% Cl),
	P	P	P
Cholecystectomy			
No	Reference	Reference	Reference
Yes	3.020 (1.455, 6.267)	3.030 (1.435, 6.395)	3.287 (1.496, 7.218)
	0.003	0.004	0.003
Stratified by Age			
20–29y	1.000 (0.000, Inf)*	1.000 (0.000, Inf)	1.000 (0.000, Inf)
	1.000	1.000	1.000
30–39y	Inf. (0.000, Inf)	Inf. (0.000, Inf)	Inf. (0.000, Inf)
	0.995	0.998	1.000
40–49y	Inf. (0.000, Inf)	Inf. (0.000, Inf)	123814.773 (0.000, Inf)
	0.996	0.999	1.000
50–59y	1.537 (0.418, 5.653)	1.703 (0.435, 6.664)	1.494 (0.218, 10.233)
	0.517	0.444	0.683
60–69y	2.069 (0.607, 7.058)	2.284 (0.628, 8.308)	3.913 (0.697, 21.985)
	0.246	0.210	0.121
70–80y	5.292 (1.139, 24.588)	4.401 (0.929, 20.847)	3.738 (0.679, 20.591)
	0.034	0.062	0.130
Stratified by gender			
Men	3.507 (1.111, 11.066)	3.009 (0.904, 10.016)	4.557 (0.937, 22.147)
	0.032	0.073	0.060
Women	2.744 (1.061, 7.093)	2.708 (1.025, 7.150)	2.673 (0.962, 7.426)
	0.037	0.044	0.059
Stratified by race			
Hispanic	3.421 (0.884, 13.231)	3.109 (0.765, 12.625)	2.272 (0.329, 15.680)
	0.075	0.113	0.405
Non-Hispanic White	1.978 (0.626, 6.248)	1.833 (0.570, 5.894)	1.688 (0.461, 6.179)
	0.245	0.309	0.429
Non-Hispanic Black	4.560 (0.466, 44.664)	3.619 (0.360, 36.410)	0.157 (0.000, Inf)
	0.192	0.275	1.000
Non-Hispanic Asian	6.300 (0.629, 63.127)	2.780 (0.228, 33.932)	Inf. (0.000, Inf)
	0.118	0.423	1.000
Other races	2.667 (0.260, 27.382)	6.139 (0.461, 81.690)	Inf. (0.000, Inf)
	0.409	0.169	1.000

TABLE 4 Associations between cholecystectomy and liver cirrhosis after propensity score matching (n = 978), NHANES 2017–2018.

Model 1: Non-adjusted model; Model 2 adjusted for: gender; age; race; Model 3 adjusted for: gender; age; race; education; alcohol; diabetes; HBV infection; HCV infection; physical activity status; serum cotinine levels; BMI, and poverty income ratio. */Inf' means that values can't be calculated.

NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; BMI, body mass index; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

3.020 (95% CI, 1.455–6.267), compared to those who had not received the surgery. This value remained statistically significant after adjusting for gender, age, and race (OR, 3.030 [95% CI, 1.435–6.395]). In addition, there was an increase in the OR value for the association of LC with cholecystectomy, after full adjustment (3.287 [95% CI, 1.496–7.218]).

In addition, subgroup analyses after PSM revealed that there was no statistically difference in demographic data including race, gender, and age.

DISCUSSION

The results showed that there was a positive correlation between cholecystectomy and LF or LC. In addition, the association remained statistically significant even after adjusting for possible confounders. Moreover, the association was still significant after exact PSM by age, gender, BMI, and diabetes.

Prior to this day, little research had been conducted on the correlation between cholecystectomy and LF or LC. Notably, a retrospective, multicenter study in Turkey showed no independent association between the presence of cholecystectomy and advanced LF (9). On the contrary, another cross-section study using data of the third US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III, 1988-1994), showed the positive association of NAFLD with cholecystectomy (10). Nonetheless, research on the association between cholecystectomy and LF or LC is largely scarce. The results obtained herein were contrary to those reported in Turkey and may be a good update to understand the association of cholecystectomy and LF/LC (9). Cholecystectomy is the mainstream procedure for treating most gallbladder diseases and is associated with such complications as bile duct injury (0.08-0.5%), bile leak (0.42-1.1%), retained common bile duct stones (0.8-5.7%) and biliary strictures (0.4-0.6%) (26-30). These complications can in turn lead to prolonged hospital stays,

increased morbidity, increased claims and more financial burden (30, 31). Moreover, obstruction of the bile duct caused by bile duct injury or biliary stricture may lead to LF, LC, and portal hypertension (32).

The possibility of correlation between cholecystectomy and LF or LC is further supported by the findings from the present study. After cholecystectomy, changes in bile flow and concentration of bile acid in the bile duct (33) might occur, which may cause chronic cholestasis, NAFLD and metabolic syndrome (10, 34–36). Interestingly, the study showed that participants with cholecystectomy for over 14 years had a higher incidence of LF than those <14 years (**Supplementary Table 4**).

Additionally, numerous studies have shown the positive association between metabolic syndrome and LF (25, 37). Moreover, the discovery of a bile acid shunt pathway between the gallbladder and liver, provided new insights on the protective role of the gallbladder (38). Interestingly, endocrine hormones secreted by the gallbladder, such as FGF19, may provide another possible mechanism for the development of metabolic syndrome after cholecystectomy (39–41).

These results highlighting the positive correlation between cholecystectomy and LF or LC in adults have an important implication in public health. Cholecystectomy is among the most common operations performed worldwide, with 750,000-1,000,000 procedures conducted in the United States, annually (42). Considering the early and delayed complications associated with cholecystectomy, it would be important to reassess the function and importance of the gallbladder (34). Strict surgical indications should also be implemented to reduce unnecessary cholecystectomy (43), given that preoperative evaluation of abdominal pain through gastroduodenoscopy was reported to be able to prevent 3.8% of cholecystectomies (44). Additionally, inexperienced surgeons should undergo standardized and strict training according to the operation protocols in order to reduce cholecystectomy-related bile duct injury (42). More importantly, annual monitoring of cholecystectomy patients should be conducted through liver ultrasound TE, especially those with such risk factors as being 40-59 years old, female, or Non-Hispanic White. This might help with the early diagnosis of LF or LC, hence enabling timely intervention (11). Moreover, further research is needed to identify the exact group of cholecystectomy patients who may be at a higher risk of developing LF or LC.

While the present study uncovered some insightful findings, it had a few limitations. First, the research results are not applicable to individuals younger than 20 years, including children and adolescents because of the age limit in cholecystectomy questionnaires used in NHANES 2017–2018. In addition, the study was not able to collect new data because this was a secondary analysis. Therefore, there might be a risk of residual confounding bias from the non-recorded covariates. Specifically, the results were not adjusted for cholecystectomy-related complications, which are potentially important contributors to LF or LC. Moreover, the study was unable to establish causality based on the cross-sectional data. On the other hand, it is ethically impossible to perform a randomized clinical trial on cholecystectomy in humans. Nonetheless, the study had several strengths, including a large sample size, a nationally representative population and use of exact PSM. As outcome variables, LF/LC were also assessed though the widely used TE in a standardized way, including repeated measurements to maintain accuracy.

In conclusion, the present study showed that cholecystectomy is positively associated with LF and LC in US adults, regardless of PSM. The discovery of this risk factors therefore provides new insights on the prevention of LF, LC.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Z-QX and H-XL: contributed to the conception and design, the acquisition, analysis, interpretation of the data, and the drafting of the article or critical revision for important intellectual content. W-LT, LY, X-WM, W-XL, and Q-BW: collected data. C-ZS and Y-JC: contributed to the conception and design and the reviewing of the article or critical revision for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

FUNDING

W-LT was supported by Grant 2020M683094 from China Postdoctoral Science Foundation. C-ZS was supported by Grant 82072714 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China. Y-JC was supported by Grant 81972263 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the program of Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Digestive Diseases (2020B1111170004).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Prof. Li Ling of Sun Yat-sen University for the timely help on statistical analysis. Besides, we wish to thank Home for Researchers editorial team (www.home-for-researchers.com) for their carefully language polishing.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed. 2021.787777/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Nan Y, An J, Bao J, Chen H, Chen Y, Ding H, et al. The Chinese Society of Hepatology position statement on the redefinition of fatty liver disease. J Hepatol. (2021) 75:454–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.05.003
- Tapper EB, Parikh ND. Mortality due to cirrhosis and liver cancer in the United States, 1999–2016: observational study. *BMJ*. (2018) 362:k2817. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k2817
- Asrani SK, Devarbhavi H, Eaton J, Kamath PS. Burden of liver diseases in the world. J Hepatol. (2019) 70:151–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.09.014
- Kisseleva T, Brenner D. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of liver fibrosis and its regression. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 18:151– 66. doi: 10.1038/s41575-020-00372-7
- Anstee QM, Reeves HL, Kotsiliti E, Govaere O, Heikenwalder M. From NASH to HCC: current concepts and future challenges. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 16:411–28. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0145-7
- Hagstrom H, Nasr P, Ekstedt M, Hammar U, Stal P, Hultcrantz R, et al. Fibrosis stage but not NASH predicts mortality and time to development of severe liver disease in biopsy-proven NAFLD. J Hepatol. (2017) 67:1265– 73. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.07.027
- Lammert F, Gurusamy K, Ko CW, Miquel JF, Mendez-Sanchez N, Portincasa P, et al. Gallstones. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2016) 2:16024. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.24
- Zhao JJ, Syn NL, Chong C, Tan HL, Ng JYX, Yap A, et al. Comparative outcomes of needlescopic, single-incision laparoscopic, standard laparoscopic, mini-laparotomy, and open cholecystectomy: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of 96 randomized controlled trials with 11,083 patients. Surgery. (2021) 170:994–1003. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2021.04.004
- Yilmaz Y, Ayyildiz T, Akin H, Colak Y, Ozturk O, Senates E, et al. Gallstone disease does not predict liver histology in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gut Liver*. (2014) 8:313–7. doi: 10.5009/gnl.2014.8.3.313
- Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Relationship of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with cholecystectomy in the US population. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2013) 108:952– 8. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2013.70
- Serra-Burriel M, Graupera I, Toran P, Thiele M, Roulot D, Wai-Sun Wong V, et al. Transient elastography for screening of liver fibrosis: cost-effectiveness analysis from six prospective cohorts in Europe and Asia. *J Hepatol.* (2019) 71:1141–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.08.019
- Chen TC, Clark J, Riddles MK, Mohadjer LK, Fakhouri THI. National health and nutrition examination survey, 2015-2018: sample design and estimation procedures. *Vital Health Stat.* (2020) 2:1–35.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (strobe) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *PLoS Med.* (2007) 4:e296. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0040296
- Xiao G, Zhu S, Xiao X, Yan L, Yang J, Wu G. Comparison of laboratory tests, ultrasound, or magnetic resonance elastography to detect fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* (2017) 66:1486–501. doi: 10.1002/hep.29302
- Jiang W, Huang S, Teng H, Wang P, Wu M, Zhou X, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of point shear wave elastography and transient elastography for staging hepatic fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* (2018) 8:e021787. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021787
- Cassinotto C, Boursier J, de Ledinghen V, Lebigot J, Lapuyade B, Cales P, et al. Liver stiffness in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a comparison of supersonic shear imaging, FibroScan, and ARFI with liver biopsy. *Hepatology.* (2016) 63:1817–27. doi: 10.1002/hep.28394
- Weng Z, Ou W, Huang J, Singh M, Wang M, Zhu Y, et al. Circadian misalignment rather than sleep duration is associated with MAFLD: a population-based propensity score-matched study. *Nat Sci Sleep.* (2021) 13:103–11. doi: 10.2147/NSS.S290465
- American-Diabetes-Association. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2020. *Diabetes Care*. (2020) 43:S14– 31. doi: 10.2337/dc20-S002
- Centers-for-Disease-Control-and-Prevention-CDC. Testing for HCV infection: an update of guidance for clinicians and laboratorians. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. (2013) 62:362–5.

- Coffin CS, Zhou K, Terrault NA. New and old biomarkers for diagnosis and management of chronic hepatitis b virus infection. *Gastroenterology*. (2019) 156:355–68. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.11.037
- Reja D, Makar M, Visaria A, Karanfilian B, Rustgi V. Blood lead level is associated with advanced liver fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A nationwide survey (NHANES 2011-2016). Ann Hepatol. (2020) 19:404–10. doi: 10.1016/j.aohep.2020. 03.006
- Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. *JAMA*. (2018) 320:2020– 8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.14854
- Papatheodoridi AM, Chrysavgis L, Koutsilieris M, Chatzigeorgiou A. The role of senescence in the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and progression to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology*. (2020) 71:363– 74. doi: 10.1002/hep.30834
- Tacke F, Weiskirchen R. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)-related liver fibrosis: mechanisms, treatment and prevention. *Ann Transl Med.* (2021) 9:729. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-4354
- 25. Ciardullo S, Monti T. Perseghin G. High prevalence of advanced liver fibrosis assessed by transient elastography among US adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* (2021) 44:519–25. doi: 10.2337/dc2 0-1778
- Rainio M, Lindstrom O, Udd M, Haapamaki C, Nordin A, Kylanpaa L. Endoscopic therapy of biliary injury after cholecystectomy. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2018) 63:474–80. doi: 10.1007/s10620-017-4768-7
- Halbert C, Altieri MS, Yang J, Meng Z, Chen H, Talamini M, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with common bile duct injury following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc.* (2016) 30:4294–9. doi: 10.1007/s00464-016-4745-9
- Fong ZV, Pitt HA, Strasberg SM, Loehrer AP, Sicklick JK, Talamini MA, et al. Diminished survival in patients with bile leak and ductal injury: management strategy and outcomes. J Am Coll Surg. (2018) 226:568– 71. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2017.12.023
- Barrett M, Asbun HJ, Chien HL, Brunt LM, Telem DA. Bile duct injury and morbidity following cholecystectomy: a need for improvement. *Surg Endosc.* (2018) 32:1683–8. doi: 10.1007/s00464-017-5847-8
- Ahmad DS, Faulx A. Management of postcholecystectomy biliary complications: a narrative review. Am J Gastroenterol. (2020) 115:1191–8. doi: 10.14309/ajg.000000000000704
- Gartland RM, Bloom JP, Fong ZV, DeRoo C, Dwyer K, Quinn G. et al. What have we learned from malpractice claims involving the surgical management of benign biliary disease?: a 128 million dollar question. *Ann Surg.* (2019) 269:785–91. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000003155
- Barbier L, Souche R, Slim K, Ah-Soune P. Long-term consequences of bile duct injury after cholecystectomy. J Visc Surg. (2014) 151:269– 79. doi: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2014.05.006
- 33. Sotozono H, Tamada T, Kanki A, Yasokawa K, Fukunaga T, Yamamoto A, et al. Influence of cholecystectomy on the flow dynamic pattern of bile in the extrahepatic bile duct: assessment by cine-dynamic MRCP with spatially-selective IR pulse. *Magn Reson Imaging*. (2020) 74:213–22. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2020.08.016
- Qi L, Tian Y, Chen Y. Gall bladder: The metabolic orchestrator. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2019) 35:e3140. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3140
- Shen C, Wu X, Xu C, Yu C, Chen P, Li Y. Association of cholecystectomy with metabolic syndrome in a Chinese population. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e88189. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088189
- Chen Y, Wu S, Tian Y. Cholecystectomy as a risk factor of metabolic syndrome: from epidemiologic clues to biochemical mechanisms. *Lab Invest.* (2018) 98:7–14. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.2017.95
- Trzepizur W, Boursier J, Le Vaillant M, Ducluzeau PH, Dubois S, Henni S, et al. Increased liver stiffness in patients with severe sleep apnoea and metabolic comorbidities. *Eur Respir J.* (2018) 51:18. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00601-2018
- 38. Boyer JL, Soroka CJ, А. cholecystohepatic shunt pathway: does the gallbladder the liver? protect Gastroenterology. (2012) 142:1416-9. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012. 04.036

- Alvarez-Sola G, Uriarte I, Latasa MU, Fernandez-Barrena MG, Urtasun R, Elizalde M, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 15/19 (FGF15/19) protects from diet-induced hepatic steatosis: development of an FGF19-based chimeric molecule to promote fatty liver regeneration. *Gut.* (2017) 66:1818– 28. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312975
- Guan D, Zhao L, Chen D, Yu B, Yu J. Regulation of fibroblast growth factor 15/19 and 21 on metabolism: in the fed or fasted state. *J Transl Med.* (2016) 14:63. doi: 10.1186/s12967-016-0821-0
- Markan KR, Potthoff MJ. Metabolic fibroblast growth factors (FGFs): Mediators of energy homeostasis. Semin Cell Dev Biol. (2016) 53:85– 93. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.09.021
- Brunt LM, Deziel DJ, Telem DA, Strasberg SM, Aggarwal R, Asbun H, et al. Safe Cholecystectomy Multi-society Practice Guideline and State of the Art Consensus Conference on Prevention of Bile Duct Injury During Cholecystectomy. Ann Surg. (2020) 272:3–23. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000 03791
- Moris D, Pappas TN. Time to revisit indications for cholecystectomy. Lancet. (2019) 394:1803–4. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)3 2478-X
- 44. Lamberts MP, Kievit W, Ozdemir C, Westert GP, van Laarhoven CJ, Drenth JP. Value of EGD in patients referred for cholecystectomy: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc.* (2015) 82:24–31. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.01.024

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Xie, Li, Tan, Yang, Ma, Li, Wang, Shang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.