

LETTER

## Not everything that can be done should be done

#### Cor Slagt

Radboud University Medical Center, Department Anaesthesia, Pain and Palliative Medicine, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

#### **Dear editor**

After reading the article, "Thoracic combined spinal epidural anesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a geriatric patient with ischemic heart disease and renal insufficiency" by Mehta et al, <sup>1</sup> I have the following considerations. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed under spinal anesthesia in healthy patients.<sup>2</sup> Perioperative hemodynamic instability (59%) and discomfort (43%) were noticed in this group of 49 patients. From the gastroenterology literature, we know that a combination of lumbar spinal and thoracic epidural anesthesia can be used as a monotherapy for high-risk patients undergoing gastrointestinal and colorectal surgery.<sup>3</sup> Perioperative hemodynamics and discomfort were not observed in 12 patients. Is this a stress-free environment? Preventing general anesthesia should not be a goal on its own. From an oxygen delivery-consumption point of view, general anesthesia reduces oxygen consumption and can promote oxygen delivery, theoretically preventing organ failure, especially in high-risk surgical patients with diseases that involve multiple organs.<sup>4</sup> Our body has protected the delicate spinal cord by the vertebral column. Damaging the spinal cord during anesthesia, for instance, during epidural procedures, is one of the greatest fears of our patients and anesthesiologists.<sup>5,6</sup> New techniques should be thoroughly tested on healthy patients before they are used on high-risk surgical patients. A combined thoracic spinal epidural anesthesia is, in the light of the above, an undesirable technique, especially combined with pneumoperitoneum when hemodynamic and respiratory homeostasis and patient comfort can be compromised. Although there is the possibility to place a thoracic combined spinal epidural anesthesia, I strongly like to emphasize that especially in the view of patient safety, this procedure is undesirable. A thoracic epidural combined with general anesthesia is in the most cases (if not all cases) a safe alternative.

#### **Disclosure**

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

# Correspondence: Cor Slagt Radboud University Medical Center, Department Anaesthesia, Pain and Palliative Medicine, References 1. Mehta N, Gupta S, cholecystectomy in

- Mehta N, Gupta S, Sharma A, Dar MR. Thoracic combined spinal epidural anesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a geriatric patient with ischemic heart disease and renal insufficiency. *Local Reg Anesth.* 2015;8:101–104.
- Tzovaras G, Fafoulakis F, Pratsas K, Georgopoulou S, Stamatiou G, Hatzitheofilou C. Spinal vs general anesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: interim analysis of a controlled randomized trial. *Arch Surg.* 2008;143:497–501.

 $\textbf{submit your manuscript} \mid \mathsf{www.dovepress.com}$ 

Email cor.slagt@radboudumc.nl

Geert Grooteplein-Zuid 10,

6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Dovepress

 Skipworth J, Srilekha A, Raptis D, O'Callaghan D, Siriwardhana S, Navaratnam R. Combined lumbar spinal and thoracic high-epidural regional anesthesia as an alternative to general anesthesia for high-risk patients undergoing gastrointestinal and colorectal surgery. World J Surg. 2009;33:1809–1814.

Slagt

- Hamilton MA, Cecconi M, Rhodes A. A systematic review and metaanalysis on the use of preemptive hemodynamic intervention to improve postoperative outcomes in moderate and high-risk surgical patients. *Anesth Analg.* 2011;112:1392–1402.
- Aromaa U, Lahdensuu M, Cozanitis DA. Severe complications associated with epidural and spinal anesthesia's in Finland 1987–1993: a study based on patient insurance claims. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1997;41:445–452.
- Butterworth J, Douglas-Akinwande A. Lower extremity paralysis after thoracotomy or thoracic epidural: image first, ask questions later. *Anesth Analg*. 2007;104:201–203.

### **Authors' reply**

Nandita Mehta Sunana Gupta Atul Sharma Mohd Reidwan Dar

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Acharya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Correspondence: Mohd Reidwan Dar

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Acharya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir 180017. India

Email mohdreidwandar@rediffmail.com

#### **Dear editor**

We thank Dr Slagt for his interest in our work and the opportunity to reply. We agree to the comment that preventing general anesthesia should not be the goal on its own. However, regarding the questionability of stress-free environment provided by spinal anesthesia, we would like to direct the author's attention to the point that the quoted study used lumbar spinal anesthesia, whereas we used thoracic route to create segmental blockade. Imbelloni et al<sup>2</sup> reported significantly less hypotensive episodes in thoracic spinal as compared to lumbar spinal anesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. "Less dermatomes blocked, less vasodilatation". Maintaining mean arterial pressure within normal limits in itself is a security against organ ischemia. Regarding the author's comment "New techniques should be thoroughly tested on healthy patients before they are used on high-risk surgical patients", we would like to state that actually reverse has been done with thoracic spinal technique; it was initially reserved for high-risk patients unfit for general anesthesia. Encouraged by the successful management of a patient with end-stage lung disease<sup>3</sup> for laparoscopic cholecystectomy with thoracic spinal anesthesia, van Zundert et al<sup>4</sup> decided to study the feasibility in healthy subjects. Since then many authors<sup>3–5</sup> have reported studies in healthy subjects and till date no reports of neurological damage have been noted. Regarding the safety of thoracic spinal anesthesia, I would like to request the author to go through the detailed discussion of the case report. More detailed discussion on this issue is to appear soon in *Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology*.

#### **Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

#### References

- Tzovaras G, Fafoulakis F, Pratsas K, Georgopoulou S, Stamatiou G, Hatzitheofilou C. Spinal vs general anesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: interim analysis of a controlled randomized trial. *Arch Surg*. 2008;143:497–501.
- Imbelloni LE, Sant'anna R, Fornasari M, Fialho JC. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy under spinal anesthesia: comparative study between conventional-dose and low-dose hyperbaric bupivacaine. *Local Reg Anesth.* 2011;4:41–46.
- van Zundert AA, Stultiens G, Jakimowicz JJ, van den Borne BE, van der Ham WG, Wildsmith JA. Segmental spinal anaesthesia for cholecystectomy in a patient with severe lung disease. Br J Anaesth. 2006;96:464–466.
- van Zundert AAJ, Stultiens G, Jakimowicz JJ, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy under segmental thoracic spinal anaesthesia: a feasibility study. Br J Anaesth. 2007;98:682–686.
- Elakany MH, Abdelhamid SA. Segmental thoracic spinal has advantages over general anesthesia for breast cancer surgery. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2013;7:390–395.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Local and Regional Anesthesia 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Local and Regional Anesthesia editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

#### Local and Regional Anesthesia

#### Publish your work in this journal

Local and Regional Anesthesia is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal publishing on the development, pharmacology, delivery and targeting and clinical use of local and regional anesthetics and analgesics. The journal welcomes submitted papers covering original research, basic science, clinical studies, reviews & evaluations,

guidelines, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/local-and-regional-anesthesia-journal-anesthe$ 

