



Article Cooking Methods and Their Relationship with Anthropometrics and Cardiovascular Risk Factors among Older Spanish Adults

Montserrat Rodríguez-Ayala ^{1,2}, Helena Sandoval-Insausti ³, Ana Bayán-Bravo ⁴, José R. Banegas ¹, Carolina Donat-Vargas ^{1,5,6}, Rosario Ortolá ¹, Fernando Rodríguez-Artalejo ^{1,7}, and Pilar Guallar-Castillón ^{1,7,*}

- ¹ Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid and CIBERESP (CIBER of Epidemiology and Public Health), 28029 Madrid, Spain
- ² Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, Hospital Universitario La Paz, 28046 Madrid, Spain
- ³ Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA
- ⁴ Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics Unit, Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, 12 de Octubre Hospital, 28041 Madrid, Spain
- ⁵ ISGlobal, Campus Mar, 08036 Barcelona, Spain
- ⁶ Unit of Cardiovascular and Nutritional Epidemiology, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁷ IMDEA-Food Institute, CEI UAM+CSIC, 28049 Madrid, Spain
- * Correspondence: mpilar.guallar@uam.es; Tel.: +34-91-3475480

Abstract: Food consumption has a prominent role in the occurrence of cardiometabolic diseases, however, little is known about the specific influence of cooking methods. This study examined the association between cooking methods and anthropometrics, cardiovascular risk factors, and cardiac damage biomarkers in older adults. Data were taken from 2476 individuals aged \geq 65 from the Seniors-ENRICA 2 cohort in Spain and recruited between 2015 and 2017. Eight cooking methods (raw, boiling, roasting, pan-frying, frying, toasting, sautéing, and stewing) were assessed using a face-to-face validated dietary history. Study associations were summarized as adjusted percentage differences (PDs) in anthropometrics, cardiovascular risk factors, and cardiac damage biomarkers between extreme sex-specific quintiles ((5th - 1st/1st) \times 100) of food consumed with each cooking method, estimated using marginal effects from generalized linear models. After adjusting for potential confounders, including diet quality, PDs corresponding to raw food consumption were -13.4% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for weight, -12.9% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for body mass index (BMI), -14.8% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for triglycerides, and -13.6% (p-trend: <0.115) for insulin. PDs for boiled food consumption were -13.3% (p-trend: <0.001) for weight, -10.0% (p-trend: <0.001) for BMI, and -20.5% (p-trend: <0.001) for insulin. PDs for roasted food consumption were -11.1 (*p*-trend: <0.001) for weight and -23.3% (p-trend: <0.001) for insulin. PDs for pan-fried food consumption were -18.7% (p-trend: <0.019) for insulin, -15.3% (p-trend: <0.094) for pro-B-type natriuretic peptide amino-terminal, and -10.9% (p-trend: <0.295) for troponin T. No relevant differences were observed for blood pressure nor for other cooking methods. Raw food consumption along with boiling, roasting, and pan-frying were associated with healthier cardiovascular profiles, mainly due to lower weight and insulin levels. Future experimental research should test the effectiveness of these cooking methods for cardiovascular prevention in older adults.

Keywords: cooking methods; anthropometrics; cardiovascular risk factors; blood pressure; cardiac function biomarkers; older adults; Seniors-ENRICA 2 cohort

1. Introduction

Diet is one of the leading underlying factors linked to death from chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) and several types of cancer [1–3]. The most influ-



Citation: Rodríguez-Ayala, M.; Sandoval-Insausti, H.; Bayán-Bravo, A.; Banegas, J.R.; Donat-Vargas, C.; Ortolá, R.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Guallar-Castillón, P. Cooking Methods and Their Relationship with Anthropometrics and Cardiovascular Risk Factors among Older Spanish Adults. *Nutrients* 2022, 14, 3426. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu14163426

Academic Editor: Christina Chrysohoou

Received: 30 June 2022 Accepted: 10 August 2022 Published: 20 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). ential dietary guidelines, such as those for the US population [4] and other European countries [5,6], have mainly based their recommendations on nutrients, foods, and dietary patterns. However, few of them have considered the influence of cooking methods on the risk of chronic disease, in particular CVD.

Food preparation could influence original food composition. For example, by modifying nutrient and vitamin bioavailability [7], through water evaporation and its replacement with oil [8–11], alterations in antioxidant activity [12,13], formation of glycation end products [14–16], and modifications in glycemic and insulin responses [17,18], among others.

Evidence of the role of cooking methods on health is growing, although it is still based on a limited number of population-based studies. The main reason is the difficulty in collecting information on cooking methods when using semiquantitative food frequency questionnaires (the standard method to collect diet data in large epidemiological studies). At most, these questionnaires can identify a small group of foods that are frequently consumed fried, e.g., French fries, donuts, fried eggs, etc. [19–21], but data on other cooking methods is almost lacking.

Consequently, frying has been the main focus in epidemiological studies. Several studies in the US have shown a deleterious association between frying and cardiovascular health [22,23]. However, this association was not identified with mortality nor with incidence of CVD [24,25] when studies were conducted in Spain (probably due to the use of olive oil or other vegetable oils for frying in Mediterranean countries). Besides frying, other cooking methods have hardly been studied or have shown inconclusive results [26]. Nonetheless, it has been suggested that cooking methods may influence blood lipid profile [27] and CVD risk [28]. Additionally, raw (but not cooked) vegetable intake was associated with lower CVD incidence and mortality in participants from the U.K. Biobank [29], although, in these results, residual confounding could not be ruled out.

Thus, this study evaluated for the first time the association between cooking methods and anthropometrics, cardiovascular risk factors, and cardiac damage biomarkers in a large population-based sample of older adults from Spain.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

The Seniors-ENRICA 2 is a population-based study conducted with 3273 participants aged \geq 65 and recruited from 2015 to 2017 (wave 0—baseline) in the city of Madrid and other four large adjacent cities (Getafe, Torrejón, Alcorcón, and Alcalá de Henares) [30]. Participants were selected by sex- and district-stratified random sampling based on their national healthcare card.

Information was obtained through a three-stage methodology similar to that in the Seniors-ENRICA 1 cohort [31]. Sociodemographic, lifestyle, and morbidity information was obtained by a telephone interview. Then, a nurse performed a physical examination, and blood and urine samples were collected (first home visit). Seven days later (second home visit), an interviewer obtained food consumption data and placed an ambulatory blood pressure monitor around the arm of the participant, which was removed 24 h later.

A total of 806 (24.6%) participants were excluded from the initial sample of 3273 individuals: 483 without dietary information, 12 with implausible values for total energy intake (<800 or >5000 kcal/day in men; <500 or >4000 kcal/day in women) and 9 lacking data on potential confounders, 52 on outcome variables, and 250 on other variables. Therefore, 2467 participants remained for analyses. Two laboratory parameters (glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and insulin) were only measured in a nested subsample consisting of 1066 participants who were recruited from January 2017 to the end of the study (Supplemental Figure S1).

The Clinical Research Ethics Committee of La Paz University Hospital in Madrid approved the study protocol, and all participants provided written informed consent.

2.2. Diet Assessment

Habitual food consumption was collected with a validated dietary history (DH-ENRICA) conducted by trained and certified nonmedical interviewers [31]. The DH-ENRICA collects information on 861 foods consumed at least once every two weeks in the preceding year, along with its cooking technique or preservation method. A total of 127 sets of digitalized photos together with household measurements of typical Spanish foods and recipes were used for estimation of portion size [32]. The cooking methods most frequently used in Spain, with a mean consumption of at least 15 g/day, were raw, boiling, roasting, pan-frying, frying, toasting, sautéing, and stewing. Mixed cooking methods were not considered for the analyses (e.g., boiling + sautéing, frying + boiling, and sautéing + baking) due to the impossibility of distinguishing between methods. A detailed description of the main cooking methods is shown in Supplemental Table S1. Energy intake (kcal/day) as well as nutrients such as very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids (g/day) and fiber (g/day) were derived by using standard composition tables from Spain.

2.3. Outcome Variables

2.3.1. Anthropometrics

Trained staff measured weight, mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), waist, hip, and calf circumferences using standardized procedures. These parameters were measured twice, and a mean between them was calculated. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by the square of the body height in meters (kg/m²). Electronic scales (model Seca 841: Seca Deutschland, Hamburg, Germany, precision to 0.1 kg), portable extendable stadiometers (model Ka We 44 444 Seca), and flexible inelastic belt-type tapes were used for these measurements.

2.3.2. Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Blood samples were centrally analyzed in the CORE laboratory of La Paz University Hospital in Madrid to measure total cholesterol (colorimetric enzymatic method with cholesterol-oxidase, esterase, and peroxidase), HDL-cholesterol (direct method to eliminate other particles and its reaction with cholesterol esterase), triglycerides (colorimetric enzymatic method with lipase and glycerol kinase), and glucose (using a colorimetric hexokinase procedure). LDL-cholesterol was estimated with the Friedewald formula [33]. HbA1c (high-performance liquid chromatography) and insulin (chemiluminescent immunoassay) were also measured.

Casual blood pressure (BP) and heart rate were also measured under standardized conditions [34]. For casual BP, we used the mean from the second and third measurements. In addition, 24 h ambulatory blood pressure measurements (ABPM) were obtained using a validated non-invasive oscillometric device (Mobil-O-Graph 24 h PWA, I.E.M., Stolberg, Germany; Mediscan, Spain) programmed to register BP at 20 min intervals. Appropriate cuff sizes were used for each participant. ABPM recordings were performed on working days, and participants were instructed to maintain their usual activities and to keep the arm extended and immobile at the time of cuff inflation. ABPM were considered valid when they successfully recorded \geq 70% of systolic and diastolic BPs during both daytime and nocturnal periods [35].

2.3.3. Cardiac Damage

Two cardiac damage biomarkers were determined on fasting blood samples. Serum pro-B-type natriuretic peptide amino-terminal (NT-proBNP) and troponin T were measured on Cobas[®] 6000 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) by Elecsys[®] electrochemiluminescence immunoassay. The lower detection limits were 10 and 3 pg/mL, respectively.

2.3.4. Potential Confounders

Information on age, sex, educational level, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, time spent watching TV, and the number of physician-diagnosed chronic

diseases was collected; we also recorded the number of prescribed medications, which were checked against the drug packages. Leisure time and household physical activity were evaluated using the EPIC short questionnaire containing 17 items. Each item was multiplied by its energy expenditure rate in Metabolic Equivalents (METS) [36], and all the activities (expressed in METS·h/week) were summed up. To adjust for body size, total energy intake was taken into account. Diet quality was represented by very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids and fiber intake.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

To take into account body size and sex, food consumption was expressed as g/kg of body weight and participants were classified into sex-specific quintiles of food consumed with each cooking method. Data on anthropometrics, cardiovascular risk factors, and cardiac biomarkers were log-transformed to improve normality. Marginal effects were obtained from generalized linear models (GLM) adjusted for the potential confounders described above. Geometric means were estimated, and percentage differences (PDs) in anthropometrics, cardiovascular risk factors and cardiac damage biomarkers according to food consumed with each cooking method were calculated to summarize the study associations. We set a cut-off point of 10% for PDs to be considered clinically relevant for CVD prevention [37]. To calculate p for a linear trend, quintiles of food consumption were modelled as a continuous variable.

A two-tailed *p*-value < 0.05 was set as statistically significant. Analyses were performed with Stata, version 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). We used the STROBE cross-sectional checklist when writing this report [38].

3. Results

In the study sample (N = 2467), the mean age of the participants was 71.6 years (SD 4.4), 53.0% were women, and most of them had primary studies or less (63.6%). This sample is also notable for a high dietary fiber intake of 32.0 g/d (SD 8.0). The most frequently consumed cooking methods were raw with 470.0 g/d (SD 208.0), boiling with 277.0 g/d (SD 119.0), roasting with 156.0 g/d (SD 68.0), and pan-frying with 63.0 g/d (SD 47.0) (Table 1).

After adjusting for potential confounders, PDs in weight and some other cardiovascular risk factors were higher than 10% for several cooking methods. Specifically, for raw food consumption, PDs between the highest and the lowest quintiles were -13.4% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for weight, -12.9% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for BMI, -14.8% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for triglycerides, and -13.6% (*p*-trend: <0.115) for insulin (Table 2). Regarding boiled food, PDs were -13.3% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for weight, -10.0% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for BMI, and -20.5%(*p*-trend: <0.001) for insulin (Table 3). PDs for roasted food were -11.1 (*p*-trend: <0.001) for weight and -23.3% (*p*-trend: <0.001) for insulin (Table 4). Finally, PDs for pan-fried food were -18.7% (*p*-trend: <0.019) for insulin (Table 5). In addition, pan-frying was the single cooking method with negative PDs for cardiac damage biomarkers: -15.3% (*p*-trend: <0.094) for NT-proBNP and -10.9 (*p*-trend: 0.295) for troponin T (Table 5).

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants in the Seniors ENRICA 2 study (N = 2467).

Characteristics	Total			
N	2467			
Women, (%)	1308 (53.0)			
Age, mean (SD), years	71.6 (4.4)			
Educational level, no. (%)				
Primary or less	1569 (63.6)			
Secondary	460 (18.7)			
Higher	438 (17.8)			
Cigarette smoking status, no. (%)				
Current	226 (9.2)			
Former	941 (38.1)			
Never	1300 (52.7)			

Table 1. Cont.

Characteristics	Total
Alcohol consumption, median (IQR), g/d	
Ex-drinker status, no. (%)	251 (10.2)
Recreational physical activity, median (IQR), METS·h/week	24.5 (16.3-36.8)
Household physical activity, median (IQR), METS h/week	35.0 (17.5-54.6)
Hours of television watching, mean (SD)	22.3 (11.0)
No. of chronic diseases *, median (IQR)	1.0 (0-2.0)
No. of medications, median (IQR)	3.0 (1.0-5.0)
Dietary variables	
Energy intake, mean (SD), kcal/d	2382 (449)
Very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, median (IQR), g/d	0.6 (0.3–0.9)
Fiber, mean (SD), g/d	31.5 (8.4)
Cooking methods consumption	
Raw, mean (SD), g/d	470 (208)
Boiling, mean (SD), g/d	277 (119)
Roasting, mean (SD), g/d	156 (68.0)
Pan-frying, mean (SD), g/d	63.0 (47.0)
Frying, mean (SD), g/d	42.0 (33.0)
Toasting, mean (SD), g/d	42.0 (40.0)
Sautéing, mean (SD), g/d	22.0 (21.0)
Stewing, mean (SD), g/d	19.0 (21.0)

SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; METS: metabolic equivalent of task. * Chronic diseases: pneumonia, asthma, cardiac infarction, stroke, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, arthrosis, rheumatoid arthritis, hip fracture, cholelithiasis, cirrhosis, urinary tract infections, cataract, depression, anxiety, Parkinson disease, dementia/Alzheimer, periodontal disease, obstructive sleep apnea, and cancer.

Table 2. Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for a linear trend across quintiles of raw food consumption.

Raw Food Consumption								
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	PDs	р	
n (median, g/kg of body weight) in men	232 (2.98)	232 (4.90)	232 (6.32)	232 (8.08)	231 (10.5)			
n (median, g/kg of body weight) in women	262 (3.15)	262 (4.77)	261 (6.30)	262 (8.02)	261 (10.9)			
Anthropometrics								
Weight (kg) BMI (kg/m ²) MUAC (cm)	76.7 (76.1–77.3) 29.4 (29.2–29.6) 29.3 (29.2–29.4)	74.2 (73.6–74.9) 28.7 (28.5–28.8) 28.9 (28.8–29.0)	71.6 (71.0–72.2) 27.7 (27.5–27.8) 28.8 (28.7–28.9)	70.4 (69.8–71.0) 27.3 (27.1–27.4) 28.4 (28.3–28.5)	66.4 (65.8–67.0) 25.6 (25.5–25.8) 27.7 (27.6–27.8)	-13.4 -12.9 -5.50	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001	
Waist circumference (cm)	100.3 (99.8–100.8)	97.9 (97.3–98.4)	95.3 (94.8–95.8)	94.8 (94.2–95.3)	91.5 (91.0–92.0)	-8.80	< 0.001	
Hip circumference (cm)	106.2 (105.9–106.5)	104.2 (103.9–104.5)	102.6 (102.3–102.9)	101.9 (101.6–102.2)	99.7 (99.4–100)	-6.10	< 0.001	
Calf circumference (cm)	34.4 (34.3–34.5)	34.1 (34.0–34.2)	33.6 (33.6–33.7)	33.6 (33.5–33.7)	33.2 (33.1–33.3)	-3.50	< 0.001	
Cardiovascular risk factors								
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	190.3 (188.9–191.8)	189.2 (187.7–190.7)	188.3 (186.8–189.8)	189.7 (188.2–191.1)	192.4 (190.9–193.9)	1.10	0.949	
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	53.1 (52.5–53.6)	52.1 (51.6–52.7)	53.5 (52.9–54.1)	53.9 (53.3–54.5)	57.8 (57.2–58.4)	8.90	< 0.001	
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	(112.6–114.7)	(112.4–114.7)	112.6 (111.5–113.7)	(112.9–115.0)	114.4 (113.4–115.5)	0.70	0.409	
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	110.6 (109.7–111.5)	111.5 (110.5–112.4)	104.6 (103.7–105.5)	102.4 (101.6–103.2)	94.2 (93.5–94.9)	-14.8	< 0.001	
Glucose (mg/dL)	100.3 (99.7–100.9)	100.3 (99.7–100.9)	97.0 (96.5–97.6)	97.0 (96.5–97.6)	93.7 (93.2–94.2)	-6.60	< 0.001	
HbA1c (%) Insulin (μU/mL)	5.82 (5.79–5.85) 10.6 (10.3–10.9)	5.89 (5.86–5.92) 10.9 (10.7–11.2)	5.75 (5.72–5.78) 9.05 (8.81–9.29)	5.77 (5.74–5.80) 9.89 (9.64–10.1)	5.70 (5.67–5.72) 9.16 (8.96–9.37)	-2.10 -13.6	0.086 0.115	
Blood pressure								
Casual SBP (mmHg)	136.1 (135.8–136.3)	137.0 (136.8–137.2)	134.5 (134.3–134.7)	135.5 (135.3–135.7)	134.9 (134.7–135.1)	-0.90	0.092	
Casual DBP (mmHg)	79.8 (79.5–80.1)	81.1 (80.8–81.4)	79.2 (78.9–79.5)	80.1 (79.9-80.4)	79.8 (79.6–80.1)	0.00	0.325	

Raw Food Consumption							
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	PDs	p
Casual HR (bpm)	71.2 (71.0–71.5)	71.0 (70.8–71.2)	70.3 (70.1–70.5)	69.1 (68.8–69.3)	69.2 (69.0-69.5)	-2.80	0.058
24 h SBP (mmHg)	128.4 (128.2–128.6)	128.1 (127.9–128.3)	126.6 (126.4–126.8)	127.2 (127.0–127.4)	125.3 (125.1–125.4)	-2.40	0.005
24 h DBP (mmHg)	74.9 (74.6-75.1)	75.0 (74.7-75.2)	74.0 (73.7-74.2)	74.5 (74.3-74.7)	74.0 (73.8-74.2)	-1.20	0.148
24 h HR (bpm)	69.4 (69.2–69.6)	68.3 (68.1–68.5)	68.0 (67.9–68.5)	67.0 (66.8–67.2)	67.1 (66.9–67.3)	-3.30	0.028
Cardiac function biomarkers							
NT-proBNP (pg/mL) Troponin T (ng/L)	92.9 (90.3–95.6) 10.0 (9.80–10.2)	81.9 (79.7–84.2) 9.90 (9.70–10.1)	82.2 (80.1–84.3) 9.30 (9.10–9.50)	87.0 (84.7–89.3) 9.40 (9.20–9.60)	88.1 (86.0–90.3 9.50 (9.30–9.70)	$-5.20 \\ -5.00$	0.649 0.287

Table 2. Cont.

Analyses were adjusted for sex, age (continuous), educational level (primary or less, secondary, university), cigarette smoking status (former, current, never), alcohol consumption (continuous), ex-drinker status (yes, no), recreational physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), hours of television (continuous), number of chronic diseases (continuous), number of prescribed medications (continuous), energy intake in kcal/d (continuous), very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids consumption (continuous), and fiber consumption (continuous). PDs: percentage difference calculated as [(5th quintile – 1st quintile)/1st quintile] \times 100, *p*: *p* for a linear trend. BMI: body mass index, MUAC: mid-upper arm circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, HR: heart rate. Analyses for HbA1c and insulin were performed in a nested subsample of 1066 participants.

Table 3. Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for a linear trend across quintiles of boiled food consumption.

Boiled Food Consumption							
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	PDs	р
n (median, g/kg of body weight) in men	232 (2.04)	232 (2.91)	232 (3.62)	232 (4.59)	231 (6.09)		
n (median, g/kg of body weight) in women	262 (2.02)	262 (2.90)	261 (3.65)	262 (4.57)	261 (6.10)		
Anthropometrics							
Weight (kg)	76.9 (76.3–77.5)	74.0 (73.3–74.6)	72.0 (71.4–72.6)	69.9 (69.2–70.6)	66.7 (65.9–67.4)	-13.3	<0.001
MIIAC (cm)	29.1 (29.0–29.3) 29.2 (29.1–29.3)	20.2 (20.1-20.4) 28.9 (28.8-28.9)	27.9(27.7-20.1) 28.6(28.6-28.7)	27.2(27.0-27.3) 28.4(28.3-28.5)	26.2 (26.0-26.4)	-10.0 -4.50	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	99.3 (98.8–99.8)	97.7 (97.1–98.2)	96.4 (95.9–97.0)	94.4 (93.8–95.0)	91.8 (91.2–92.4	-7.60	< 0.001
Hip circumference (cm)	105.6 (105.3–106.0)	103.9 (103.6–104.2)	103.1 (102.8–103.4)	101.5 (101.2–101.8)	100.4 (100.1–100.7)	-4.90	< 0.001
Calf circumference (cm)	34.3 (34.3–34.4)	34.0 (33.9–34.1)	33.7 (33.6–33.8)	33.7 (33.6–33.8)	33.2 (33.1–33.3)	-3.20	< 0.001
Cardiovascular risk factors							
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	188.6 (187.2–190.1)	189.2 (187.7–190.6)	190.0 (188.5–191.5)	190.9 (189.4–192.3)	191.3 (189.8–192.8)	1.40	0.021
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	52.6 (52.0–53.2)	53.8 (53.2–54.3)	54.4 (53.8–55.0)	54.6 (54.0-55.2)	55.0 (54.4–55.7)	4.60	< 0.001
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	112.6 (111.6–113.7)	113.7 (112.6–114.8)	113.5 (112.4–114.6)	113.8 (112.8–114.9)	114.5 (113.4–115.5)	1.70	0.152
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	109.2 (108.2–110.3)	102.0 (101.1–102.9)	103.8 (102.9–104.7)	105.3 (10.4–106.3)	102.1 (101.3–103.0)	-6.50	0.043
Glucose (mg/dL)	98.4 (97.8–99.0)	97.2 (96.6–97.8)	98.5 (97.9–99.1)	97.7 (97.1–98.3)	96.4 (95.8–97.0)	-2.00	0.214
HbA1c (%)	5.82 (5.79–5.85)	5.76 (5.73–5.78)	5.83 (5.80–5.86)	5.74 (5.71–5.77)	5.77 (5.74–5.80)	-0.90	0.338
	11.0 (10.7–11.3)	9.94 (9.70-10.2)	10.1 (9.80–10.4)	9.03 (9.34-9.92)	8.73 (8.32-8.99)	-20.3	<0.001
Blood pressure							
Casual SBP (mmHg)	135.0 (134.8–135.1)	135.9 (135.7–136.1)	135.4 (135.2–135.6)	135.4 (135.2–135.6)	136.3 (136.1–136.5)	1.00	0.884
Casual DBP (mmHg)	79.4 (79.1–79.7)	80.2 (79.9-80.4)	80.0 (79.7-80.2)	80.0 (79.7-80.3)	80.5 (80.2-80.8)	1.40	0.539
Casual HR (bpm)	69.8 (69.5–70.0)	69.6 (69.3–69.8)	70.5 (70.3–70.8)	70.7 (70.5–70.9)	70.3 (70.0–70.5)	0.70	0.042
24 h SBP (mmHg)	127.5 (127.3–127.7)	128.0 (127.8–128.2)	127.2 (127.1–127.4)	125.9 (125.7–126.1)	127.0 (126.8–127.1)	-0.40	0.084
24 h DBP (mmHg)	74.7 (74.4–74.9)	75.0 (74.7–75.2)	74.3 (74.1–74.5)	74.0 (73.7–74.2)	74.4 (74.1–74.6)	-0.40	0.085
24 h HK (bpm)	68.0 (67.8–68.3)	67.7 (67.5–67.9)	68.6 (68.4–68.9)	67.9 (67.6–68.1)	67.6 (67.4–67.9)	-0.60	0.495
Cardiac function biomarkers							

Boiled Food Consumption							
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	PDs	p
NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	83.5 (81.5-85.6)	86.2 (84.0-88.5)	90.1 (87.7–92.5)	83.0 (80.9-85.2)	89.1 (86.7–91.7)	6.70	0.142
Troponin T (ng/L)	9.90 (9.70–10.0)	9.70 (9.50–9.90)	9.60 (9.40-9.90)	9.30 (9.10–9.50)	9.50 (9.30–9.70)	-4.00	0.032

Analyses were adjusted for sex, age (continuous), educational level (primary or less, secondary, university), cigarette smoking status (former, current, never), alcohol consumption (continuous), ex-drinker status (yes, no), recreational physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), houses of television (continuous), number of chronic diseases (continuous), number of prescribed medications (continuous), energy intake in kcal/d (continuous), very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids consumption (continuous), and fiber consumption (continuous). PDs: percentage difference calculated as [(5th quintile – 1st quintile)/1st quintile] \times 100, *p*: *p* for a linear trend. BMI: body mass index, MUAC: mid-upper arm circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, HR: heart rate. Analyses for HbA1c and insulin were performed in a nested subsample of 1066 participants.

Table 4. Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for a linear trend across quintiles of roasted food consumption.

	Roasted Food Consumption						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	РС	p
n (median, g/kg of body weight) in men	232 (1.06)	232 (1.72)	232 (2.15)	232 (2.58)	232 (3.41)		
n (median, g/kg of body weight) in women	262 (0.960)	262 (1.70)	261 (2.16)	262 (2.65)	261 (3.49)		
Anthropometrics							
Weight (kg) BMI (kg/m ²)	73.8 (73.1–74.4) 28.3 (28.1–28.5)	75.8 (75.2–76.5) 28.9 (28.7–29.0)	73.2 (72.6–73.9) 28.2 (28.1–28.4)	71.0 (70.4–71.6) 27.5 (27.3–27.6)	65.6 (64.9–66.2) 25.8 (25.6–25.9)	$-11.1 \\ -8.80$	<0.001 <0.001
MUAC (cm)	29.2 (29.1–29.3)	29.5 (29.4–29.6)	28.6 (28.5–28.7)	28.5 (28.4–28.6)	27.2 (27.1–27.3)	-6.90	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	96.7 (96.1–97.2)	99.3 (98.7–99.8)	97.1 (96.5–97.6)	95.5 (95.0–96.0)	91.1 (90.6–91.7)	-5.80	< 0.001
Hip circumference (cm)	104.1 (103.8–104.4)	105.3 (105.0–105.6)	103.5 (103.2–103.8)	102.7 (102.4–103.0)	98.9 (98.6–99.2)	-5.20	< 0.001
Calf circumference (cm)	34.4 (34.3–34.5)	34.4 (34.4–34.5)	34.0 (34.0–34.1)	33.8 (33.7–33.9)	32.3 (32.2–32.4)	-6.10	< 0.001
Cardiovascular risk factors							
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	190.8 (189.2–192.3)	188.5 (187.0–190.0)	188.9 (187.5–190.4)	191.2 (189.8–192.6)	190.6 (189.1–192.0)	-0.10	0.622
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	54.2 (53.6-54.8)	52.1 (51.5–52.7)	53.6 (53.0-54.2)	54.8 (54.2–55.4)	55.6 (55.0–56.2)	2.60	< 0.001
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	114.3 (113.1–115.4)	113.1 (112.0–114.2)	112.6 (111.5–113.6)	114.5 (113.5–115.5)	113.7 (112.6–114.8)	-0.50	0.817
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	103.6 (102.7–104.5)	108.9 (107.9–110.0)	106.2 (105.3–107.1)	103.0 (102.1–103.9)	100.8 (99.9–101.7)	-2.70	0.030
Glucose (mg/dL)	97.1 (96.4–97.7)	98.7 (98.1–99.3)	98.6 (98.0–99.2)	97.1 (96.6–97.7)	96.7 (96.1–97.2)	-0.40	0.300
HbA1c (%)	5.77 (5.74–5.80)	5.84 (5.81–5.87)	5.82 (5.79–5.85)	5.73 (5.70–5.75)	5.74 (5.71–5.77)	-0.50	0.163
Insulin (µU/mL)	10.7 (10.4–11.0)	10.4 (10.1–10.7)	10.5 (10.2–10.8)	9.21 (9.00–9.44)	8.21 (7.96-8.46)	-23.3	<0.001
Blood pressure							
Casual SBP (mmHg)	135.0 (134 8–135 2)	135.4 (135 2–135 6)	136.2 (136.0–136.4)	135.0 (134 8–135 2)	136.4 (136.2–136.6)	-1.04	0.819
Casual DBP (mmHg)	79.7 (79.4–78.0)	80.4 (80.1–80.6)	80.1 (79.8–80.3)	79.7 (79.4–80.0)	80.2 (79.9–80.5)	0.60	0.803
Casual HR (bpm)	70.1 (69.9–70.4)	70.3(70.1-70.5)	69.7 (69.5–69.9)	70.7 (70.5–70.9)	70.0 (69.8–70.2)	-0.10	0.751
24 h SBP (mmHg)	126.8 (126.6–126.9)	127.8 (127.6–127.9)	127.4 (127.3–127.6)	126.7 (126.6–126.9)	126.9 (126.7–127.0)	0.10	0.210
24 h DBP (mmHg)	74.4 (74.2–74.6)	74.9 (74.7–75.1)	74.7 (74.5–74.9)	74.0 (73.8–74.3)	74.2 (74.0–74.4)	-0.30	0.138
24 h HR (bpm)	67.9 (67.7–68.1)	68.0 (67.7–68.2)	67.9 (67.6–68.1)	68.4 (68.2–68.7)	67.8 (67.5–68.0)	-0.10	0.922
Cardiac function biomarkers							
NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	84.3 (82.1-86.5)	81.7 (79.6-83.9)	89.9 (87.7–92.2)	84.4 (82.1-86.7)	91.8 (89.4–94.3)	8.90	0.191
Troponin T (ng/L)	9.20 (9.00–9.40)	9.80 (9.60–10.0)	9.80 (9.60–10.0)	9.50 (9.30–9.70)	9.80 (9.60–10.0)	6.50	0.751

Analyses were adjusted for sex, age (continuous), educational level (primary or less, secondary, university), cigarette smoking status (former, current, never), alcohol consumption (continuous), ex-drinker status (yes, no), recreational physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), hours of television (continuous), number of chronic diseases (continuous), number of prescribed medications (continuous), energy intake in kcal/d (continuous), very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids consumption (continuous), and fiber consumption (continuous). PDs: percentage difference calculated as [(5th quintile – 1st quintile)/1st quintile] \times 100, *p*: *p* for a linear trend. BMI: body mass index, MUAC: mid-upper arm circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, HR: heart rate. Analyses for HbA1c and insulin were performed in a nested subsample of 1066 participants.

Pan-Fried Food Consumption Q1 Q2 Q4 Q5 PC Q3 p n (median, g/kg of body weight) 232 (0.200) 232 (0.480) 232 (0.760) 232 (1.13) 231 (1.74) in men n (median, g/kg of body weight) 262 (0.130) 262 (0.500) 261 (0.770) 262 (1.14) 261 (1.78) in women Anthropometrics 72.9 (72.3-73.5) 71.4 (70.7-72.0) 69.0 (68.4-69.6) Weight (kg) 73.7 (73.1-74.4) 72.4 (71.8-73.0) -6.40< 0.001 28.5 (28.3-28.6) 28.1 (27.9-28.2) 27.7 (27.6-27.9) 27.6 (27.4-27.8) 26.8 (26.6-26.9) -6.00< 0.001 BMI (kg/m²) MUAC (cm) 28.8 (28.7-28.9) 28.9 (28.8-29.0) 28.5 (28.4-28.6) 28.6 (28.5-28.7) 28.2 (28.1-28.3) -2.100.026 Waist circumference (cm) 97.7 (97.2-98.3) 96.3 (95.8-96.8) 96.3 (95.8-96.8) 95.3 (94.8-95.9) 94.0 (93.5-94.5) -3.80< 0.001 104.3 103.6 102.9 101.2 102.6 Hip circumference (cm) -3.00 < 0.001 (104.0 - 104.7)(103.3 - 103.9)(102.6 - 103.2)(102.3 - 102.9)(100.9 - 101.5)Calf circumference (cm) 33.8 (33.7-33.9) 34.2 (34.1-34.3) 33.8 (33.7–3.9́) 33.8 (33.8-33.9) 33.4 (33.3-33.5) -1.200.013 Cardiovascular risk factors 190.3 191.1 188.4189.6 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 90.6 (189.2-192.0) -0.400.157 (188.8-191.7) (189.6-192.5) (186.9 - 189.9)(188.1 - 191.1)54.2 (53.6-54.8) 53.8 (53.2-54.4) 53.8 (53.2-54.4) 53.6 (53.0-54.1) 55.0 (54.4-55.6) HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) 1.50 0.939 112.2 113.3 114.8 113.3 114.6 LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) 0.00 0.216 (113.8-115.9) (113.8–115.9) (112.2 - 114.4)(111.1 - 113.3)(112.2-114.4) 107.0 107.1 102.9 105.2 100.2 (99.4-101.0) Triglycerides (mg/dL) -6.400.141 (106.1 - 108.1)(106.1 - 107.9)(102.1 - 103.8)(104.3 - 106.1)97.7 (97.1-98.3) Glucose (mg/dL) 97.9 (97.3-98.5) 98.4 (97.8-99.0) 97.2 (96.6-97.8) 96.9 (96.4-97.5) -1.020.955 HbA1c (%) 5.79 (5.75-5.82) 5.78 (5.75-5.80) 5.78 (5.75–5.81) 5.77 (5.74-5.80) 5.79 (5.76-5.81) 0.00 0.194 Insulin (µU/mL) 10.6 (10.3-10.9) 10.3 (10.1-10.6) 9.87 (9.62-10.1) 10.1(9.88-10.4) 8.62 (8.44-8.82) -18.70.019 Blood pressure 135.3 136.1 136.0 136.2 134.3 Casual SBP (mmHg) -0.700.655 (135.1-135.5) (136.0-136.3) (135.8-136.2) (136.0-136.4) (134.1-134.5) Casual DBP (mmHg) 80.0 (79.7-80.2) 80.5 (80.3-80.8) 80.4 (80.1-80.6) 80.4 (80.1-80.7) 78.8 (78.5-79.0) -1.500 1 1 2 71.4 (71.2–71.6) 69.6 (69.3-69.8) 70.2 (70.0-70.4) 69.9 (69.6-70.1) 69.8 (69.6-70.0) Casual HR (bpm) -2.200.610 127.6 128.0 125.6 127.1 127.2 24 h SBP (mmHg) -1.200.862 (126.9–127.3) (127.5-127.8) (127.1-127.4) (127.8-128.2) (125.4-125.7) 24 h DBP (mmHg) 74.4 (74.1-74.6) 74.7 (74.4-74.9) 75.1 (74.9–75.4) 73.3 (73.1-73.6) 74.8 (74.6-75.0) -1.500.251 67.2 (67.0-67.4) 68.2 (67.9–68.4) 68.2 (68.0-68.4) 67.6 (67.4–67.8) 0.875 24 h HR (bpm) 68.5 (68.5-69.0) -1.30Cardiac function biomarkers NT-proBNP (pg/mL) 94.9 (92.1-97.8) 83.7 (81.7-85.8) 88.3 (86.1-90.5) 85.0 (82.9-87.2) 80.4 (78.4-82.6) -15.30.094 9.70 (9.50-9.90) 9.40 (9.20-9.60) Troponin T (ng/L) 10.1 (9.90-10.3) 9.90 (9.70-10.1) 9 00 (8 80-9 20) _10.9 0 295

Table 5. Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for a linear trend across quintiles of pan-fried food consumption.

Analyses were adjusted for sex, age (continuous), educational level (primary or less, secondary, university), cigarette smoking status (former, current, never), alcohol consumption (continuous), ex-drinker status (yes, no), recreational physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), household physical activity in METS-hours/week (continuous), hours of television (continuous), number of chronic diseases (continuous), number of prescribed medications (continuous), energy intake in kcal/d (continuous), very-long-chain omega-3 fatty acids consumption (continuous), and fiber consumption (continuous). PDs: percentage difference calculated as [(5th quintile – 1st quintile)/1st quintile] \times 100, *p*: *p* for a linear trend. BMI: body mass index, MUAC: mid-upper arm circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, HR: heart rate. Analyses for HbA1c and insulin were performed in a nested subsample of 1066 participants.

No relevant differences were observed for other anthropometrics, blood pressure, and heart rate (Tables 2–5) nor for other cooking methods (Supplemental Tables S2–S5).

4. Discussion

In this large epidemiological study, we found that four cooking methods were beneficial. Raw food consumption as well as boiling, roasting, and pan-frying (entailing no added fats exposed to high temperatures) were associated with beneficial cardiometabolic profiles. Raw food consumption, boiling, and roasting were associated with healthy weight profiles. The consumption of raw food was also associated with lower triglycerides, and these four cooking methods were associated with lower insulin levels. Finally, pan-frying was associated with lower markers of cardiac damage. According to these findings, the selection of these four cooking methods could be a strategy for cardiovascular prevention and healthy aging.

For anthropometric measurements, consumption of raw, boiled, and roasted food appeared to reduce weight and BMI. A possible explanation is that few to no added fats are used with these cooking methods [39]. In addition, in older adults, not only weight but also fat distribution is important. During aging, subcutaneous fat redistributes towards the central areas, increasing waist circumference [40] and reducing skeletal muscle mass [41]. Several studies have shown that a dietary pattern high in raw food was associated with a lower gain in waist circumference [42,43]. Our results were in line with this but without reaching clinical relevance. Small negative PDs were observed for waist circumference and for other muscle groups such as MUAC or calf circumference, which are all predictors of lower mortality in old people [44].

Differences in lipid fractions associated with cooking methods were not substantial, except for triglycerides in raw food consumption. This is partly consistent with the results of one study with 201 participants where a strict raw food diet lowered triglycerides and total cholesterol over 3.5 years of follow-up [45]. This was likely related to the low fat content of this strict diet. However, although strict raw food diets are neither common nor desirable among the general older adult population, advice to increase raw food consumption might be appropriate for individuals suffering from hypertriglyceridemia.

With regard to glucose metabolism, insulin levels were lower with higher consumption of raw, boiled, roasted, and pan-fried food. Indeed, insulin was the variable with higher negative PDs in all cooking methods. In animal models, raw starch consumption reduces fasting [46] and postprandial [47] blood glucose levels. In addition, in human studies, fresh fruit consumption decreases incident diabetes and its vascular complications in Chinese adults [48]. Aside from raw food, lower insulin levels associated with other cooking methods could be related to a higher content of water during cooking (such as in boiling) or to higher temperatures reached during the cooking process (such as in roasting and panfrying) [49]. Additionally, these cooking methods promote resistant starch formation [50], increase insulin sensitivity [51], and improve adipose tissue metabolism [52]. Likewise, during boiling, greater resistant starch production may occur [49], which in turn, requires a longer period for digestion [53], and generates a lower glycemic load [54,55]. Therefore, we hypothesize that the four cooking methods associated with lower insulin levels might be particularly beneficial for patients with altered glycemic metabolism.

Regarding blood pressure levels, we found no strong association with the main cooking methods. In literature, the results are inconclusive [56]. Fried food consumption has been associated with a higher prevalence of elevated blood pressure in women but not men from South Korea [57], with a higher frequency of prehypertension and hypertension among Filipino women [58], and with a higher incidence of hypertension in a cohort of university graduates from Spain [59]. Except for frying, other cooking methods have barely been studied, although boiled food consumption has been proposed as a strategy to reduce hypertension [60].

Concerning cardiac damage biomarkers and its relation to cooking methods, there is little to no evidence in the literature. Based on our general results for NT-proBNP and troponin T, we suggest that pan-frying is a safe cooking method. Moreover, pan-frying with extra virgin olive oil could improve food lipid content [61]. Until there are new data from clinical trials, pan-frying with a minimum amount of oil (to prevent food sticking) might be considered a healthy cooking method.

Regarding frying, our findings are in line with the results obtained in the EPIC-Spain study, where no association was found for cardiovascular mortality or incident coronary heart disease [25] nor for stroke [24]. Thus, the results do not support a detrimental role of frying on health among older Spanish adults. One possible explanation could rely on the use of olive oil for frying in Spain, which is rich in polyphenols and antioxidants and could counteract the potentially harmful effects of frying [56]. In contrast, in the United States (where fats other than olive oil are used for frying), fried food consumption has been associated with diabetes and cardiovascular disease in the Nurses' Health Study, in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study [23], and in the Women's Health Initiative [22]. A further step in frying investigation was taken looking for the interaction between frying and some genes. The Women's Genome Health Study showed a genetic predisposition for increased adiposity with the consumption of fried food [62]. In light of these findings, cautionary advice seems reasonable, emphasizing the importance of reducing fried food

intake in Western countries. However, in Mediterranean countries (as in Spain), a higher risk of cardiovascular diseases associated with fried food consumption is not supported by the current evidence [56].

The study of cooking methods and their associations with cardiovascular risk factors deserves further attention. First, since there is universal exposure to them, understanding their effects on health could improve cardiometabolic profile among older adults who already have a high cardiovascular risk. Second, culinary education should be used to promote cardiovascular disease prevention [63]. For those pursuing a healthy lifestyle, simple cooking techniques could foster a culture of cooking as opposed to the consumption of ultra-processed food [64,65]. Indeed, in older adults, ultra-processed food has been associated with an increased risk of abdominal obesity [66], dyslipidemia [67], and renal function decline [68]. Finally, the selection of healthy cooking methods could derive in some benefits in specific subpopulations (e.g., individuals with overweight, obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, or among those who are prediabetics).

This study has several limitations. First, the study was cross-sectional, so causality cannot be established. However, our analyses are a first step in the assessment of cooking methods and their association with metabolic and cardiovascular risk. Second, we assessed the cooking method used and the food consumed jointly, as they were consumed, which did not allow us to distinguish between them. Third, participants used colloquial expressions to report cooking methods, and some degree of misclassification cannot be ruled out. Nonetheless, this information allows simple advice on cooking methods to be disseminated and understood by the general population. Finally, the sample was not representative of the adult Spanish population since data collection was only conducted in the Community of Madrid, and cardiovascular risk factors might vary throughout the country. Nevertheless, the sample was population-based, selected through random sampling, and participants came from both urban and rural areas. Furthermore, there might be geographical differences in the use of cooking methods throughout Spain; however, age is the variable that explains most of these differences [69]. Among the study strengths is the use of a dietary history, which takes into account the recipes usually cooked in Spain and was shown to be valid and reproducible in the Spanish population. Additionally, we used standardized procedures to collect anthropometric data, as well as casual and 24 h blood pressure. Likewise, all biological samples were analyzed in a central laboratory to ensure reliability. Furthermore, several confounding factors were controlled for, although some residual confounding cannot be ruled out. Lastly, this is the first time in which these relationships have been examined in a large epidemiological study.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, some cooking methods, such as raw, boiling, roasting, and pan-frying, were associated with a healthier cardiometabolic profile. These results highlight the importance of cooking methods and their potential benefits in cardiovascular prevention. More studies are needed to establish causality and to fully understand the impact of cooking methods on health.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu14163426/s1, Figure S1: Flow chart; Table S1: Cooking methods description; Table S2: Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for linear trend across quintiles of fried food consumption; Table S3: Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for linear trend across quintiles of toasted food consumption; Table S4: Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for linear trend across quintiles of sautéed food consumption; Table S5: Adjusted means (95% confidence interval), percentage difference, and *p* for linear trend across quintiles of stewed food consumption. Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.G.-C.; methodology, P.G.-C. and M.R.-A.; formal analysis, M.R.-A. and P.G.-C.; writing—original draft preparation, M.R.-A. and P.G.-C.; writing—review and editing, P.G.-C., M.R.-A., F.R.-A., J.R.B., R.O., C.D.-V., H.S.-I. and A.B.-B.; funding acquisition, J.R.B., F.R.-A. and P.G.-C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by FIS PI17/1709, PI20/144 (State Secretary of R+D and FEDER/FSE) and the CIBERESP, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of La Paz University Hospital (HULP PI-1793). Date of approval: 15 June 2015.

Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated and/or analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

- 1. Brandhorst, S.; Longo, V.D. Dietary Restrictions and Nutrition in the Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease. *Circ. Res.* **2019**, *124*, 952–965. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prentice, R.L.; Willett, W.C.; Greenwald, P.; Alberts, D.; Bernstein, L.; Boyd, N.F.; Byers, T.; Clinton, S.K.; Fraser, G.; Freedman, L.; et al. Nutrition and Physical Activity and Chronic Disease Prevention: Research Strategies and Recommendations. 2004. [CrossRef]
- Schulze, M.B.; Martínez-González, M.A.; Fung, T.T.; Lichtenstein, A.H.; Forouhi, N.G. Food based dietary patterns and chronic disease prevention. *BMJ* 2018, *361*, k2396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; U.S. Department of Agriculture. *Dietary Guidelines for Americans* 2020–2025, 9th ed.; 2020; pp. 1–164. Available online: https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2020-12/Dietary_Guidelines_for_ Americans_2020-2025.pdf (accessed on 25 June 2022).
- European Commission. Health Promotion and Disease Prevention. Food-Based Dietary Guidelines in Europe-Table1 | Knowledge for Policy. Available online: https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/health-promotion-knowledge-gateway/food-baseddietary-guidelines-europe-table-1_en (accessed on 19 June 2022).
- Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Knowledge Gateway. Food-Based Dietary Guidelines in Europe-Table4 | Knowledge for Policy. Available online: https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/health-promotion-knowledge-gateway/food-based-dietaryguidelines-europe-table-4_en (accessed on 12 March 2022).
- Hotz, C.; Gibson, R. Traditional Food-Processing and Preparation Practices to Enhance the Bioavailability of Micronutrients in Plant-Based Diets. J. Nutr. 2007, 137, 1097–1100. Available online: https://academic.oup.com/jn/article/137/4/1097/4664654 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bouaziz, F.; Koubaa, M.; Neifar, M.; Zouari-Ellouzi, S.; Besbes, S.; Chaari, F.; Kamoun, A.; Chaabouni, M.; Chaabouni, S.E.; Ghorbel, R.E. Feasibility of using almond gum as coating agent to improve the quality of fried potato chips: Evaluation of sensorial properties. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* 2016, 65, 800–807. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kita, A.; Lisińska, G.; Gołubowska, G. The effects of oils and frying temperatures on the texture and fat content of potato crisps. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *102*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 10. Osawa, C.C.; Gonçalves, L.A.G. Changes in breaded chicken and oil degradation during discontinuous frying with cottonseed oil. *Food Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *32*, 692–700. [CrossRef]
- Miranda, J.; Martínez, B.; Pérez, B.; Antón, X.; Vázquez, B.; Fente, C.; Franco, C.; Rodríguez, J.; Cepeda, A. The effects of industrial pre-frying and domestic cooking methods on the nutritional compositions and fatty acid profiles of two different frozen breaded foods. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* 2010, 43, 1271–1276. Available online: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S00236438100 01131 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 12. Miglio, C.; Chiavaro, E.; Visconti, A.; Fogliano, V.; Pellegrini, N. Effects of different cooking methods on nutritional and physicochemical characteristics of selected vegetables. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 139–147. [CrossRef]
- Jiménez-Monreal, A.M.; García-Diz, L.; Martínez-Tomé, M.; Mariscal, M.; Murcia, M.A. Influence of Cooking Methods on Antioxidant Activity of Vegetables. J. Food Sci. 2009, 74, 97–103. [CrossRef]
- 14. Uribarri, J.; Woodruff, S.; Goodman, S.; Cai, W.; Chen, X.; Pyzik, R.; Yong, A.; Striker, G.E.; Vlassara, H. Advanced Glycation End Products in Foods and a Practical Guide to Their Reduction in the Diet. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **2010**, *110*, 911–916.e12. [CrossRef]
- DeChristopher, L.R. Perspective: The Paradox in Dietary Advanced Glycation End Products Research—The Source of the Serum and Urinary Advanced Glycation End Products Is the Intestines, Not the Food. *Adv. Nutr. Int. Rev. J.* 2017, *8*, 679–683. Available online: https://academic.oup.com/advances/article/8/5/679-683/4772198 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]

- 16. Yang, Y.-C.; Wang, Z.-H.; Yin, M.-C. Content of nitric oxide and glycative compounds in cured meat products-Negative impact upon health. *BioMedicine* **2018**, *8*, 28–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hodges, C.; Archer, F.; Chowdhury, M.; Evans, B.L.; Ghelani, D.J.; Mortoglou, M.; Guppy, F.M. Method of Food Preparation Influences Blood Glucose Response to a High-Carbohydrate Meal: A Randomised Cross-over Trial. *Foods* 2019, *9*, 23. Available online: https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/9/1/23 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Sonia, S.; Witjaksono, F.; Ridwan, R. Effect of cooling of cooked white rice on resistant starch content and glycemic response. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2015**, *24*, 620–625. [PubMed]
- 19. Beaudry, K.M.; Ludwa, I.A.; Thomas, A.M.; Ward, W.; Falk, B.; Josse, A.R. First-year university is associated with greater body weight, body composition and adverse dietary changes in males than females. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0218554. [CrossRef]
- 20. National Cancer Institute Division of Cancer Control & Population Sciences. Usual Dietary Intakes: NHANES Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) | EGRP/DCCPS/NCI/NIH. NIH. Available online: https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/diet/usualintakes/ffq. html (accessed on 19 June 2022).
- De La Fuente-Arrillaga, C.; Vzquez Ruiz, Z.; Bes-Rastrollo, M.; Sampson, L.; Martinez-González, M.A. Reproducibility of an FFQ validated in Spain. *Public Health Nutr.* 2010, 13, 1364–1372. Available online: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/public-health-nutrition/article/reproducibility-of-an-ffq-validated-in-spain/731A27CDC36B744A56C430BEDFB16F29 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 22. Sun, Y.; Liu, B.; Snetselaar, L.G.; Robinson, J.G.; Wallace, R.B.; Peterson, L.L.; Bao, W. Association of Fried Food Consumption with All Cause, Cardiovascular, and Cancer Mortality: Prospective Cohort Study. *BMJ* **2019**, *23*, 364. [CrossRef]
- Cahill, L.E.; Pan, A.; Chiuve, S.E.; Sun, Q.; Willett, W.C.; Hu, F.B.; Rimm, E.B. Fried-food consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease: A prospective study in 2 cohorts of US women and men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2014, 100, 667–675. [CrossRef]
- Rey-García, J.; Guallar-Castillón, P.; Donat-Vargas, C.; Moreno-Iribas, C.; Barricarte, A.; Rodriguez-Barranco, M.; Colorado-Yohar, S.; Huerta, J.-M.; Chirlaque, M.-D.; Lasheras, C.; et al. Fried-Food Consumption Does Not Increase the Risk of Stroke in the Spanish Cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Study. J. Nutr. 2020, 150, 3241–3248. Available online: https://academic.oup.com/jn/article/150/12/3241/5906639 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Guallar-Castillón, P.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Lopez-Garcia, E.; Leon-Munoz, L.M.; Amiano, P.; Ardanaz, E.; Arriola, L.; Barricarte, A.; Buckland, G.; Chirlaque, M.-D.; et al. Consumption of fried foods and risk of coronary heart disease: Spanish cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. *BMJ* 2012, 344, e363. [CrossRef]
- Mozaffarian, D.; Gottdiener, J.S.; Siscovick, D.S. Intake of Tuna or Other Broiled or Baked Fish Versus Fried Fish and Cardiac Structure, Function, and Hemodynamics. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2006, 97, 216–222. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ 16442366/ (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Scherr, C.; Ribeiro, J.P. The influence of food preparation methods on atherosclerosis prevention. *Rev. Da Assoc. Méd. Bras.* 2013, 59, 148–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moholdt, T.; Devlin, B.L.; Nilsen, T.I.L. Intake of Boiled Potato in Relation to Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in a Large Norwegian Cohort: The HUNT Study. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Feng, Q.; Kim, J.H.; Omiyale, W.; Bešević, J.; Conroy, M.; May, M.; Yang, Z.; Wong, S.Y.; Tsoi, K.K.; Allen, N.; et al. Raw and Cooked Vegetable Consumption and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease: A Study of 400,000 Adults in UK Biobank. *Front. Nutr.* 2022, 9, 831470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ortolá, R.; García-Esquinas, E.; Cabanas-Sánchez, V.; Migueles, J.H.; Martínez-Gómez, D.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F. Association of Physical Activity, Sedentary Behavior, and Sleep with Unhealthy Aging: Consistent Results for Device-Measured and Self-reported Behaviors Using Isotemporal Substitution Models. J. Gerontol. Ser. A 2020, 76, 85–94. Available online: https: //academic.oup.com/biomedgerontology/article/76/1/85/5875545 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Graciani, A.; Guallar-Castillón, P.; León-Muñoz, L.M.; Zuluaga, M.C.; López-García, E.; Gutiérrez-Fisac, J.L.; Taboada, J.M.; Aguilera, M.T.; Regidor, E.; et al. Rationale and Methods of the Study on Nutrition and Cardiovascular Risk in Spain (ENRICA). *Rev. Española Cardiol.* 2011, 64, 876–882. [CrossRef]
- Guallar-Castillón, P.; Sagardui-Villamor, J.; Balboa-Castillo, T.; Sala-Vila, A.; Ariza Astolfi, M.J.; Sarrión Pelous, M.D.; León-Muñoz, L.M.; Graciani, A.; Laclaustra, M.; Benito, C. Validity and reproducibility of a Spanish dietary history. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e86074. [CrossRef]
- Fukuyama, N.; Homma, K.; Wakana, N.; Kudo, K.; Suyama, A.; Ohazama, H.; Tsuji, C.; Ishiwata, K.; Eguchi, Y.; Nakazawa, H.; et al. Validation of the Friedewald Equation for Evaluation of Plasma LDL-Cholesterol. J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr. 2007, 43, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- Banegas, J.R.; Graciani, A.; de la Cruz-Troca, J.J.; León-Muñoz, L.M.; Guallar-Castillón, P.; Coca, A.; Ruilope, L.M.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F. Achievement of Cardiometabolic Goals in Aware Hypertensive Patients in Spain. *Hypertension* 2012, *60*, 898–905. Available online: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112.193078 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Banegas, J.R.; De La Cruz, J.J.; Graciani, A.; López-García, E.; Gijón-Conde, T.; Ruilope, L.M.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F. Impact of Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring on Reclassification of Hypertension Prevalence and Control in Older People in Spain. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* 2015, 17, 453–461. Available online: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/jch.12525 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]

- Ainsworth, B.E.; Haskell, W.L.; Herrmann, S.D.; Meckes, N.; Bassett, D.R.; Tudor-Locke, C.; Greer, J.L.; Vezina, J.; Whitt-Glover, M.C.; Leon, A.S. 2011 compendium of physical activities: A second update of codes and MET values. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2011, 43, 1575–1581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Steinberg, D.; Bennett, G.G.; Svetkey, L. The DASH Diet, 20 Years Later. JAMA 2017, 317, 1529–1530. [CrossRef]
- von Elm, E.; Altaman, D.; Egger, M.; Pocock, S.; Gotzsche, P.; Vandenbroucke, J. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2008, 61, 344–349. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aranceta-Bartrina, J.; Partearroyo, T.; López-Sobaler, A.M.; Ortega, R.M.; Varela-Moreiras, G.; Serra-Majem, L. Updating the Food-Based Dietary Guidelines for the Spanish Population: The Spanish Society of Community Nutrition (SENC) Proposal. *Nutrients* 2019, *11*, 2675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Chang, S.H.; Beason, T.S.; Hunleth, J.M.; Colditz, G.A. A systematic review of body fat distribution and mortality in older people. *Maturitas* **2012**, *72*, 175–191. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ponti, F.; Santoro, A.; Mercatelli, D.; Gasperini, C.; Conte, M.; Martucci, M.; Sangiorgi, L.; Franceschi, C.; Bazzocchi, A. Aging and Imaging Assessment of Body Composition: From Fat to Facts. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2020**, *10*, 861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Romaguera, D.; Angquist, L.; Du, H.; Jakobsen, M.U.; Forouhi, N.; Halkjær, J.; Feskens, E.; Van Der, A.D.L.; Masala, G.; Steffen, A.; et al. Food Composition of the Diet in Relation to Changes in Waist Circumference Adjusted for Body Mass Index. *PLoS ONE* 2011, *6*, e23384. Available online: https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023384 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Newby, P.; Muller, D.; Hallfrisch, J.; Qiao, N.; Andres, R.; Tucker, K.L. Dietary patterns and changes in body mass index and waist circumference in adults. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2003, 77, 1417–1425. Available online: https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/article/77/6/1 417/4689846 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Weng, C.-H.; Tien, C.-P.; Li, C.-I.; L'Heureux, A.; Liu, C.-S.; Lin, C.-H.; Lin, C.-C.; Lai, S.-W.; Lai, M.-M.; Lin, W.-Y. Mid-upper arm circumference, calf circumference and mortality in Chinese long-term care facility residents: A prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2018, *8*, e020485. Available online: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020485 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 45. Koebnick, C.; Garcia, A.L.; Dagnelie, P.C.; Strassner, C.; Lindemans, J.; Katz, N.; Leitzmann, C.; Hoffmann, I. Long-Term Consumption of a Raw Food Diet Is Associated with Favorable Serum LDL Cholesterol and Triglycerides but Also with Elevated Plasma Homocysteine and Low Serum HDL Cholesterol in Humans. *J. Nutr.* 2005, 135, 2372–2378. Available online: https://academic.oup.com/jn/article/135/10/2372/4669843 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 46. Zhao, Q.; Hou, D.; Laraib, Y.; Xue, Y.; Shen, Q. Comparison of the effects of raw and cooked adzuki bean on glucose/lipid metabolism and liver function in diabetic mice. *Cereal Chem.* **2021**, *98*, 1081–1090. Available online: https://onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/full/10.1002/cche.10456 (accessed on 7 December 2021). [CrossRef]
- 47. Lee, E.-S.; Shin, H.; Seo, J.-M.; Nam, Y.-D.; Lee, B.-H.; Seo, D.-H. Effects of raw potato starch on body weight with controlled glucose delivery. *Food Chem.* **2018**, 256, 367–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Du, H.; Li, L.; Bennett, D.; Guo, Y.; Turnbull, I.; Yang, L.; Bragg, F.; Bian, Z.; Chen, Y.; Chen, J. Fresh fruit consumption in relation to incident diabetes and diabetic vascular complications: A 7-y prospective study of 0.5 million Chinese adults. *PLoS Med.* 2017, 14, e1002279. [CrossRef]
- Sajilata, M.G.; Singhal, R.S.; Kulkarni, P.R. Resistant Starch—A Review. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 2006, 5, 1–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Inan Eroglu, E.; Buyuktuncer, Z. The effect of various cooking methods on resistant starch content of foods. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 2017, 47, 522–533. [CrossRef]
- 51. Wahjuningsih, S.B.; Haslina, H.; Marsono, M. Hypolipidaemic Effects of High Resistant Starch Sago and Red Bean Flour- based Analog Rice on Diabetic Rats. *Mater. Socio-Med.* **2018**, *30*, 232–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Robertson, M.D.; Bickerton, A.S.; Dennis, A.L.; Vidal, H.; Frayn, K.N. Insulin-sensitizing effects of dietary resistant starch and effects on skeletal muscle and adipose tissue metabolism. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2005, *82*, 559–567. Available online: https: //academic.oup.com/ajcn/article/82/3/559/4607459 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 53. Robertson, T.M.; Alzaabi, A.Z.; Robertson, M.D.; Fielding, B.A. Starchy carbohydrates in a healthy diet: The role of the humble potato. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1764. [CrossRef]
- 54. Nayak, B.; De JBerrios, J.; Tang, J. Impact of food processing on the glycemic index (GI) of potato products. *Food Res. Int.* **2014**, *56*, 35–46. Available online: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0963996913006741 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 55. Kumar, A.; Sahoo, U.; Baisakha, B.; Okpani, O.A.; Ngangkham, U.; Parameswaran, C.; Ngangkham, U.; Basak, N.; Kumar, G.; Sharma, S.G. Resistant starch could be decisive in determining the glycemic index of rice cultivars. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2018, 79, 348–353. [CrossRef]
- 56. Sayon-Orea, C.; Carlos, S.; Martínez-Gonzalez, M.A. Does cooking with vegetable oils increase the risk of chronic diseases? A systematic review. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2015, *113*, S36–S48. Available online: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-nutrition/article/does-cooking-with-vegetable-oils-increase-the-risk-of-chronic-diseases-a-systematic-review/AF44097B0 E9C4FF9BD44F1D55CD353D6 (accessed on 20 June 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Kang, Y.; Kim, J. Association between fried food consumption and hypertension in Korean adults. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2015**, *115*, 87–94. [CrossRef]

- 58. Provido, S.M.P.; Abris, G.P.; Hong, S.; Yu, S.H.; Lee, C.B.; Lee, J.E. Association of fried food intake with prehypertension and hypertension: The Filipino women's diet and health study. *Nutr. Res. Pract.* **2020**, *14*, 76–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sayon-Orea, C.; Bes-Rastrollo, M.; Gea, A.; Zazpe, I.; Basterra-Gortari, F.J.; Martinez-Gonzalez, M.A. Reported fried food consumption and the incidence of hypertension in a Mediterranean cohort: The SUN (Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra) project. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2014, *112*, 984–991. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Nesimi, A.; Aslan, B.; Ozturk, M.; Aykut, M.; Ilhan, I. Improving control of high blood pressure among middle-aged Turkish women of low socio-economic status through public health training. *Croat. Med. J.* **2004**, *45*, 477–482. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15311423/ (accessed on 19 June 2022).
- Ansorena, D.; Guembe, A.; Mendizábal, T.; Astiasarán, I. Effect of Fish and Oil Nature on Frying Process and Nutritional Product Quality. J. Food Sci. 2010, 75, H62–H67. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20492236/ (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Livingstone, K.M.; Celis-Morales, C.; Navas-Carretero, S.; San-Cristobal, R.; Forster, H.; O'Donovan, C.B.; Woolhead, C.; Marsaux, C.F.; Macready, A.L.; Fallaize, R. Fat mass- and obesity-associated genotype, dietary intakes and anthropometric measures in European adults: The Food4Me study. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2016, 115, 440–448. Available online: https: //www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-nutrition/article/fat-mass-and-obesityassociated-genotype-dietaryintakes-and-anthropometric-measures-in-european-adults-the-food4me-study/174273DA8681B5D8AF2B190EF16EC299 (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- 63. Razavi, A.C.; Dyer, A.; Jones, M.; Sapin, A.; Caraballo, G.; Nace, H.; Dotson, K.; Razavi, M.A.; Harlan, T.S. Achieving Dietary Sodium Recommendations and Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Prevention through Culinary Medicine Education. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 3632. [CrossRef]
- Lam, M.C.L.; Adams, J. Association between home food preparation skills and behaviour, and consumption of ultra-processed foods: Cross-sectional analysis of the UK National Diet and nutrition survey (2008–2009). *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 2017, 14, 68. [CrossRef]
- 65. Andrade, G.C.; Julia, C.; Deschamps, V.; Srour, B.; Hercberg, S.; Kesse-Guyot, E.; Allès, B.; Chazelas, E.; Deschasaux, M.; Touvier, M.; et al. Consumption of Ultra-Processed Food and Its Association with Sociodemographic Characteristics and Diet Quality in a Representative Sample of French Adults. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, 682. [CrossRef]
- 66. Sandoval-Insausti, H.; Jiménez-Onsurbe, M.; Donat-Vargas, C.; Rey-García, J.; Banegas, J.R.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Guallar-Castillón, P. Ultra-Processed Food Consumption Is Associated with Abdominal Obesity: A Prospective Cohort Study in Older Adults. *Nutrients* 2020, *12*, 2368. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32784758/ (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef]
- Donat-Vargas, C.; Sandoval-Insausti, H.; Rey-García, J.; Moreno-Franco, B.; Åkesson, A.; Banegas, J.R.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Guallar-Castillón, P. High Consumption of Ultra-Processed Food is Associated with Incident Dyslipidemia: A Prospective Study of Older Adults. *J. Nutr.* 2021, 151, 2390–2398. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34038538/ (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rey-García, J.; Donat-Vargas, C.; Sandoval-Insausti, H.; Bayan-Bravo, A.; Moreno-Franco, B.; Banegas, J.R.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Guallar-Castillón, P. Ultra-Processed Food Consumption is Associated with Renal Function Decline in Older Adults: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Nutrients* 2021, 13, 428. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moreno-Franco, B.; Rodríguez-Ayala, M.; Donat-Vargas, C.; Sandoval-Insausti, H.; Rey-García, J.; Lopez-Garcia, E.; Banegas, J.; Rodríguez-Artalejo, F.; Guallar-Castillón, P. Association of Cooking Patterns with Inflammatory and Cardio-Metabolic Risk Biomarkers. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, 633. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33669219/ (accessed on 19 June 2022). [CrossRef] [PubMed]