Review Article

The Effectiveness and Safety of *Abelmoschus manihot* in Treating IgA Nephropathy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Qi Jia,^{1,2,3} Jing Guo ^(b),^{2,3} Yuzi Cai,^{2,3} Weijun Huang ^(b),^{2,3} Zebing Zhu,^{2,3} Chenhui Xia,^{2,3} Keting Guo,^{2,3} Hongcai Shang,² Yuning Liu ^(b),^{2,3} and Weijing Liu ^(b),^{2,3}

¹Department of Neurology, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China ²Key Laboratory of Chinese Internal Medicine of Ministry of Education and Beijing, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

³Renal Research Institution of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Dongzhimen Hospital Affiliated to Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuning Liu; liuyn2021@126.com and Weijing Liu; liuweijing-1977@hotmail.com

Received 29 September 2021; Revised 29 August 2022; Accepted 13 September 2022; Published 5 October 2022

Academic Editor: George B. Lenon

Copyright © 2022 Qi Jia et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction. IgA nephropathy (IgAN) is a common issue. In China, Abelmoschus manihot (AM) is widely used in the treatment of IgAN. However, their combined effectiveness and safety for this purpose have not yet been explored. AM is an effective medicine for treating IgAN. This meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of AM for IgAN. Materials and Methods. The Cochrane Library, PubMed, EMBASE, Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure Database (CNKI), Chinese Science and Technique Journals Database (VIP), and the Wanfang Database were searched from their inceptions to June 2021. Random clinical trials (RCTs) comparing the effects of AM treatment in patients with IgAN were included. The study evaluated the efficacy or effectiveness of AM for IgAN and had clear outcome data, such as total effectiveness rate or proteinuria. Results. A total of 11 RCTs with 850 participants were included in this meta-analysis. The results of the meta-analysis showed that, compared with that of the conventional therapy alone, being combined with conventional treatment was significantly more effective for the total efficacy rate (OR = 4.33; 95% CI = 2.66, 7.04; P < 0.00001) and proteinuria (MD = -0.41 g/24 h; 95% CI = -0.44, -0.38; P < 0.00001) but had no effect on serum creatinine (Scr) (MD = -2.23 µmol/L; 95% CI = -5.90, 1.45; P = 0.24), eGFR (MD = -0.45 mL/min·1.73 m2; 95% CI = -1.24, 2.13; P = 0.60), Bun (MD = -0.22 mmol/L; 95% CI = -0.59, 0.14; P = 0.23), systolic blood pressure (MD = -0.04 mmHg; 95% CI = -2.59, 2.51;P = 0.98), diastolic blood pressure (MD = -0.34 mmHg, 95% CI = -1.65, 2.33; P = 0.74), systolic blood pressure (MD = -0.04 mmHg, 95% CI = -2.59, 2.51; P = 0.98), or serum albumin (MD = 1.70 g/L, 95% CI = -1.06, 4.45; P = 0.23). Conclusions. AM provided additional benefits to proteinuria individuals with IgAN. However, due to the high clinical heterogeneity and small sample size of the included trials, future studies should conduct more rigorous RCTs on the clinical efficacy and safety of AM and RCTs with a larger sample size involving multicenters.

1. Introduction

IgAN is the most common worldwide primary glomerular disease, which leads to chronic kidney disease (CKD) and even end-stage renal disease (ESRD). It can occur at all ages, but the peak occurrence is at 20–40 years. There is a significant regional difference in the incidence of IgAN, which is significantly higher in Asia than in other regions. A total of 13,519 kidney biopsy data in China showed that IgAN

accounted for 45% of primary glomerular diseases. Up to 50% of IgAN patients can gradually enter ESRD within 20–25 years, which suggests that it is important to actively treat IgAN and control its progression [1-3].

A variety of treatments have attempted to release the burden on the kidneys and reduce the high risk of kidney failure in IgAN patients. The clinical manifestations are varied, among which the presence of microscopic hematuria and proteinuria are the most common [4]. The Guide to Prognosis of Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcome (KDIGO) suggests that renin-angiotensin system inhibitors are used for patients with IgAN consisting of persistent proteinuria ≥ 0.5 g/d, and renin-angiotensin system inhibitors plus corticosteroid treatment are used for IgAN patients with proteinuria ≥ 1 g/d (2012). At present, there are no specific drugs for the treatment of IgAN and no drugs for the treatment of IgAN have been approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA). This kind of nephropathy is mainly treated with drugs, such as ARBs/ACEI, to alleviate symptoms but often fails to meet the treatment needs of patients. Due to the limited treatment methods currently available, it is necessary to conduct a novel, effective, and safe treatment for IgAN.

The flower of Abelmoschus manihot (Linn) Medicus (family Malvaceae), namely, Flos A. manihot, was used to treat inflammatory diseases in China [5]. Huangkui capsule (HKC), purified from AM, gained approval from China's State Food and Drug Administration (Z19990040) for the treatment of chronic nephritis in 1999 [6]. Several studies have shown that HKC improved renal inflammation in CKD, including nephrotic syndrome, membranous nephropathy, IgAN, and DN effectively used in clinical diagnosis [7-9]. Recently, increasing clinical evidence in China has been suggested that HKC is the safe and effective dose of 7.5 g/kg/day can reduce microurinary albumin (micro-UAlb) in IgAN patients [10, 11] and that its therapeutic action may be concerned with immunological reaction, inflammation, renal fibrosis, and renal tubular epithelial injury [12].

However, previous studies have not been sufficiently systematic. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to determine whether or not AM is beneficial to patients with IgAN. To evaluate the effect of AM, being combined with standard ARBs/ACEIs was used in the experimental group, and standard ARBs/ACEIs alone was administered in the control group. Our objective was to evaluate the benefits and potential harms of AM for treating IgAN.

2. Methods

2.1. Protocol and Registration. This systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted by the order of the PRISMA [13]. It is available on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Review (PROSPERO), with a registration number CRD42018104427.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the patients of every single study were diagnosed as IgAN by renal biopsy; (2) the participants of the treatment groups were given 2.5 g of AM three times a day; (3) the conventional therapy was angiotensin-II receptor blockers/angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors alone, AM combined with conventional treatment was treatment groups; (4) the studies evaluated the efficacy or effectiveness of AM for IgAN and has clear outcome data, such as total effectiveness rate or proteinuria; and (5) selected RCTs for the treatment of IgAN.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the study subjects did not rule out secondary IgAN, such as lupus nephritis, purpuric nephritis, or hepatitis-associated nephropathy; (2) the study subjects did not rule out factors affecting proteinuria, such as fever, infection, or heart failure; (3) the test group and/or control group used hormonal therapy; and (4) the full text could not be obtained.

2.3. Search Strategies. We searched the following sources for the identification of trials: the Cochrane Library, PubMed, EMBASE, Allied and Complementary Medicine Database (AMED), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure Database (CNKI), Chinese Science and Technique Journals Database (VIP), and the Wanfang Database. Databases of ongoing trials were also searched. Search terms for PubMed (free words search) were as follows: (huangkui OR ambrette OR abelmoschus OR Abelmoschus manihot) and (IgAN OR mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis OR glomerular disease). A different search strategy was applied for Chinese and foreign language databases. Conference abstracts were searched manually. All abovementioned databases were searched from the available date of inception until the latest issue (6). No other restrictions were performed, and the freetext strategy and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were conducted in the term-searching process. The searching language in Chinese, English, and Japanese was slightly changed based on the situation of adaptation to different databases.

2.4. Data Selection. Two reviewers (Qi Jia and Jing Guo) independently screened the literature, extracted the data, and cross-checked each other. If there was any disagreement, a third party was consulted to assist in the judgment. Any lacking information was investigated by contacting the authors and by requesting for the missing information. When screening the literature, the title and abstract were first read. After excluding unrelated literature, the reviewers read the full text to determine whether it would be included in the present study or not. The data extraction content primarily included the following: basic information for the study, comprising research titles; first author; published journal and time; baseline characteristics of the study, including the number of samples and the age, gender, and disease status of the patients in each group; specific details of the intervention; follow-up time; risk of bias; key elements of the evaluation; outcome indicators; and outcome measurement data of interest. We have contacted the authors of the trial to get more information from papers. Two of the authors (Qi Jia and Jing Guo) evaluated the risk of bias of each trial independently in accordance with the CONSORT-CHM. All criteria were referred to from the Cochrane guidelines. There were three categories of results: "low risk of bias," "unclear risk of bias," and "high risk of bias."

2.5. Data Extraction. Two authors (Qi Jia and Jing Guo) used data extraction tables designed before the beginning of the literature retrieval to extract the following data: publication information, sample size, age, proteinuria, serum creatinine, intervention group, control group, treatment duration, and outcome. The effects of AM were measured by proteinuria and the total effective rate. The characteristics of the trials are summarized in Table 1.

2.6. Outcome Measures. Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.3. The dichotomous data adopted the odds ratio (OR) as the effect index, the measurement data used the mean difference (MD) as the effect index, and each effect quantity was given its point estimate and 95% CI. As the outcomes of this meta-analysis, proteinuria, Scr, eGFR, blood pressure, and serum albumin were presented as MD, while the effect rate was presented as OR.

The heterogeneity between the included studies was analyzed using the χ^2 test, and I² was used to quantitatively determine the size of heterogeneity. I^2 values of 25%, 50%, and 75% corresponded to low, medium, and high levels of heterogeneity, respectively. If there was heterogeneity in an acceptable range between the results of each study, a fixedeffects model was used for meta-analysis; if there was statistical heterogeneity among the results, further analysis of heterogeneity sources excluded the effects of significant clinical heterogeneity. After that, a meta-analysis was performed using a random-effects model. Significant clinical heterogeneity was treated by subgroup analysis, sensitivity analysis, or only descriptive analysis [24]. Funnel plots were interpreted to report biases. The prespecified subgroup analysis was performed according to the difference in treatment duration.

2.7. Methodological Quality. Two reviewers (Qi Jia and Jing Guo) independently assessed the methodological quality of the studies according to the Cochrane Collaboration's risk of bias tool. If there was any disagreement, a third party was consulted to assist in the judgment. The assessment included the following seven components: (1) random sequence generation; (2) allocation concealment; (3) blinding; (4) assessor blinding; (5) incomplete outcome data; (6) selective reporting; and (7) other sources of bias.

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection. We identified 320 relevant articles from six different electronic databases, and after screening through layers, 155 studies were excluded for the reason of duplication. Next, 108 records were removed for improper titles and abstracts, and 47 publications were further ruled out after full-text analysis for the following reasons: four publications were duplicates or were plagiarized; interventions of ten studies were not matched; three studies had insufficient data; and two studies were nonrandomized clinical trials. Eventually, 11 articles [11, 14–23] were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis. The flowchart of the study screening process in the meta-analysis is presented in Figure 1.

3.2. Characteristics of Eligible Studies. There were 850 patients in this study (experimental group: 432 patients and control group: 418 patients). For the included articles, all of them except eight studies used ACEI as the control treatment [17, 19, 22], while ARB was used in the remaining studies. All included trials, except for four studies, recruited participants with a normal Scr. Of the five articles, three studies [11, 19, 20] recruited patients with eGFR >60 ml/ min; one study [22] (24) involved patients with Scr <264 µmol/L; and one study [17] received participants with Scr < 350 μ mol/L. The proteinuria of all enrolled participants was less than 3.5 g/d. Regarding the selection of treatment periods, 1 study [19] reported changes after 16 weeks, 4 studies [14-16, 18] detected changes after 12 weeks, 4 studies [17, 20–22] were limited to eight weeks, and 2 studies [11, 23] only identified changes after 24 weeks. The primary characteristics of the trials are summarized in Table 1.

3.3. Risk of Bias. We carried out the risk of bias assessment based on the information retrieved from the trials. The details of the risk biases are summarized in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

3.3.1. Allocation. All included studies mentioned randomization. However, only two [11, 14] of them reported the specific methodology (i.e., a random number table) and (low risk of bias). Allocation concealment was not mentioned in any of the studies. Accordingly, all trials were at unclear risk of selection bias.

3.3.2. Blinding. None of the articles illustrated that experimenters or participants were blind to the experimental conditions; therefore, the trials were assessed at a high risk of performance bias. None of the trials reported blinding of outcome assessment; therefore, the trials were at unclear risk of detection bias.

3.3.3. Incomplete Outcome Data. All included clinical trials reported having no missing outcome data and included all participants in the data analyses. Therefore, we assessed these trials at low risk of bias.

3.3.4. Selective Reporting. The risk of bias in selective reporting was high, as none of the nine studies published their protocols and lack of data on adverse events and health-related quality of life outcomes. The other two trials [14, 21] reported adverse events (unclear risk of bias).

3.3.5. Other Potential Sources of Bias. All included trials showed free of other factors that could put them at risk of bias. We classified the included trials at low risk of other biases.

Studies	Sample size (I/C)	Age(I/C)	Proteinuria (g/ 24 h) (I/C)	Scr (µmol/L) (I/C)	Intervention group	Control group	Treatment duration	Outcome
[14]	30/30	$(32.8 \pm 12.70)/$ (34.5 ± 11.7)	$(1.48 \pm 0.51)/$ (1.35 ± 0.63)	$(87.4 \pm 26.6)/$ (86.4 ± 25.4)	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus olmesartan (20 mg/d)	Olmesartan (20 mg/d)	12w	24hUTP scr BUN ALB fib
[15]	28/25	$(39.9 \pm 7.9)/$ (41.7 ± 8.7)	$(0.80 \pm 0.38)/$ (0.78 ± 0.21)	I	A manihot(2.0 g tid) plus valsartan(80 mg/d)	Valsartan (80 mg/d)	12w	24hUTP eGFR SBP DBP
[16]	24/24	$(34.4 \pm 7.9)/$ (34.2 ± 8.1)	$(1.85 \pm 0.77)/$ (1.92 ± 0.66)	I	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus irbesartan(150 mg/d)	Irbesartan (150 mg/d)	12w	24hUTP eGFR ALB SBP DBP
[17]	70/60	$(30.2 \pm 16.2)/(31.3 \pm 15.4)$	$(2.72 \pm 0.78)/(2.78 \pm 0.63)$	$(181.62 \pm 22.11)/$ (177.60 ± 19.13)	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus lisinopril (10 mg bid)	Lisinopril (10g bid)	8w	24hUTP scr ALB CHO
[18]	34/34	$(37.5 \pm 3.6)/$ (37.2 ± 3.2)	$(1.9 \pm 0.8)/(1.8 \pm 0.7)$		A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus Irbesartan(150 mg/d)	Irbesartan (150 mg/d)	12w	24hUTP eGFR SBP DBP ALB
[19]	42/38	$(36.4 \pm 10.8)/(35.8 \pm 12.4)$	$(1.8 \pm 0.4)/$ (1.9 ± 0.3)	I	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus benazepril (10 mg/d)	benazepril (10 mg/d)	16w	24hUTP RBC
[20]	30/30	I	$(1.27 \pm 0.73)/$ (0.94 ± 0.43)	$(90.83 \pm 15.43)/$ (89.23 ± 16.18)	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus losartan(50 mg/d)	Losartan (50 mg/d)	8w	24hUTP scr BUN ALB
[11]	72/76	$(37.2 \pm 10.9)/$ (35.5 ± 11.0)	$(1.02 \pm 0.43)/$ (1.02 ± 0.44)	$(73.26 \pm 21.22)/(73.26 \pm 18.56)$	A manihot (2.5 g tid)plus losartan potassium (50 mg/d)	Losartan potassium (50 mg/d)	24w	24hUTP scr eGFR
[21]	36/36	I	$(1.36 \pm 0.64)/$ (1.38 ± 0.59)	$(86.54 \pm 26.35)/$ (86.60 ± 25.87)	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus telmisartan(40 mg/d)	Telmisartan (40 mg/d)	8w	24hUTP scr BUN ALB fib
[22]	20/39	$(34.0 \pm 9.0)/$ (33.0 ± 8.0)	$(2.91 \pm 0.39)/(2.87 \pm 0.37)$	$(114 \pm 23)/(117 \pm 24)$	A manihot (2.5 g tid) plus benazepril(10 mg/d)	Benazepril (10 mg/d)	8w	24hUTP scr BUN MCP-1
[23]	26/26	$(37.1 \pm 11.7)/$ (38.7 ± 12.1)	Ι	l	A manihot(2.5 g tid) plus losartan potassium(100 mg/d)	Losartan Potassium (100 mg/d)	24w	24hUTP scr ALB eEGFR
I/C: interv blood pres	ention group/co sure; CHO: chol	nventional group; Scr: lesterol; RBC: red bloc	serum creatinine; Bun: od cell; and MCP-1: hu	: urea nitrogen; ALB: blood unan macrophage chemoat	Albumin; Fib: fibrin; eGFR: estimated gloı tractant protein-1.	merular filtration rate; SBP:	systolic blood p	ressure; DBP: Diastolic

studies.
included
of the
characteristics
Basic
÷
TABLE

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine



FIGURE 1: The flowchart of the study-screening process.



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias assessment.

3.4. The Effects of Interventions

3.4.1. Effective Rate. Six studies [14, 17, 19-22] with a total of 477 patients reported clinical curative efficiency. The efficiency rates of the four studies [14, 17, 20, 21] were defined as follows: basic remission: 24-hour urine protein measurement 0.2 g, disappearance of highly active red blood cells, and/or normal renal function. 24-hour urine protein measurement 0.2 g, 50% less than before treatment, and/or 3 high-powered red blood cells, normal or minimally normal renal function, 15% deviation from normal value; improvement: 24-hour urine protein quantification that is 25%-50% less than before therapy and/or contains no more than 5 high-powered red blood cells, as well as normal or enhanced renal function; and ineffective: no improvement or decline in the aforementioned indexes. No change or deterioration in the aforementioned indicators indicates that the kidney function is normal or improving. The efficiency of Xu et al.'s study [19] is defined as follows: complete

remission: no urine protein, no urine red blood cells, normal renal function. Basic remission is defined as a reduction of more than 50% in urine protein and red blood cells, as well as normal or nearly normal renal function. Urine protein and red blood cell reductions of more than 25%, normal or improved renal function and ineffective: no change in urine protein, red blood cells, or renal function tests. The efficiency of Zhao's study [22] is defined as follows: complete remission: no urine protein, no urine red blood cells, normal renal function. Basic remission is defined as a reduction of more than 50% in urine protein and red blood cells, as well as normal or nearly normal renal function. Urine protein and red blood cell reductions of more than 25%, normal or improved renal function and ineffective: no change in urine protein, red blood cells, or renal function tests. The heterogeneity test ($I^2 = 42\%$, P = 0.13) indicated moderate statistical heterogeneity between studies, so we applied a fixed-effects model to measure the combined odds ratio (OR = 4.33) and 95% CI as 4.43 (2.66, 7.04, P < 0.00001), · · ·

indicating a statistically significant difference between groups. We performed a subgroup analysis in terms of different efficiency criteria. A total of 240 patients in four studies [14, 17, 20, 21] are shown in Figure 4, AM could significantly improve the therapeutic effect of ACEI/ARBs for IgAN (MD = 5.45; 95% CI, 3.04 to 9.77; P < 0.00001).

3.4.2. Proteinuria. All studies included 850 participants who assessed proteinuria. Proteinuria occurred at a lower rate among people in the combined group compared with those in the control group at the end of treatment (MD = -0.41 g/ 24 h; 95% CI -0.44 to -0.38; P < 0.00001) (Figure 5). We performed a subgroup analysis in terms of different treatment periods. Interestingly, we found that the MD became smaller with the prolonging of the treatment period. A total of 331 patients in four studies (eight weeks) showed that 24-h proteinuria in the experimental group was significantly lower than that of the control group (MD = -0.50 g/24 h; 95% CI, -0.74 to -0.26; P < 0.00001). There were four articles over 12 weeks (n = 239) demonstrating that AM had better downregulated effect in 24-h proteinuria than that in the control group (MD = -0.48 g/24 h; 95% CI, -0.78 to-0.17; P < 0.0001). Additionally, the other three studies (n = 280) in which the treatment period was greater than 16 weeks reported that AM could significantly reduce the level of 24-h proteinuria more than that in the control group (MD = -0.19 g/24 h; 95% CI, -0.28 to 0.10; P < 0.00001).

3.4.3. Kidney Function. Kidney function was measured by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) or serum creatinine (Scr). A total of seven studies [11, 14, 17, 20–23] (n = 641) were included to evaluate Scr levels. The results reported that there was no significant difference in the experimental group compared with the control group (MD = -2.23μ mol/L, 95% CI, -5.90 to 1.45; P = 0.24; Figure 6). As for eGFR, five studies [11, 15, 16, 18, 23] (n = 369) were included. However, the results showed no difference between the experimental group and the control group (MD = -0.45, 95% CI, -1.24 to 2.13; P = 0.60; Figure 7).

3.4.4. Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN). Four studies [14, 20–22] that recruited 274 participants were used to assess the urea nitrogen (BUN) level. However, no significant difference was observed between the AM group and the control group (MD = -0.22 mmol/L, 95% CI, -0.59 to 0.14; P = 0.23; Figure 8).

3.4.5. Blood Pressure. Three studies [15, 16, 18] reported the blood pressure level in 169 patients. However, no significant difference was found in systolic blood pressure (MD = -0.04 mm Hg, 95% CI, -2.59 to 2.51; P = 0.98) or diastolic blood pressure (MD = -0.34 mm Hg, 95% CI, -1.65



FIGURE 3: Risk of bias in individual studies.

to 2.33; P = 0.74) at the end of the treatment or during follow-ups (Figures 9 and 10).

3.4.6. Albumin. There were seven studies [14, 16–18, 20, 21, 23] that reported the albumin level in 480 patients. However, albumin was not significantly changed in patients with AM compared with that in the control group at the end of the treatment or during follow-up (MD = 1.70 g/L, 95% CI, -1.06 to 4.45; P = 0.23; Figure 11).

3.5. Adverse Reactions. Adverse reactions were monitored in two studies. There was not an incident of adverse events in the study by Li et al. [14], and Zhang et al. [21] ^[18] showed that one person developed slight dizziness in the intervention group; in the control group, abdominal distension was found for one case, and dizziness was found in another case.

3.6. Publication Bias. A funnel plot was adopted to summarize the publication bias. We found that the funnel plot was not completely symmetrical, which indicated that some publication bias was present in these studies (Figure 12).

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

	Experimental		Contr	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weiaht	M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl	M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl
1.1.1 1							
LI L S.2012	26	30	19	30	15.1%	3.76 [1.04, 13.65]	
TANG W G.2009	64	70	23	50	13.7%	12.52 [4.58, 34.20]	
ZHANG C.2014	27	31	21	31	16.2%	3.21 [0.88, 11.70]	
ZHANG W.2014	32	36	28	40	17.6%	3.43 [0.99, 11.85]	
Subtotal (95%CI)		167		151	62.6%	5.45 [3.04, 9.77]	•
Total events	149		91				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 4$.13, df = 3 ((P = 0.25)); $l^2 = 279$	6			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 5.69 (P <	< 0.00001)				
1.1.22							
XU K.2009	33	42	26	38	34.9%	1.69 [0.62,4.63]	
Subtotal (95%CI)		42		38	34.9%	1.69 [0.62,4.63]	
Total events	33		26				
Heterogeneity: Notappl	icable						
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.03 (P =	= 0.31)					
1.1.3							
ZHAO J.2013	40	40	34	39	2.5%	12.91 [0.69,241.94]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		40		39	2.5%	12.91 [0.69,241.94]	
Total events	40		34				
Heterogeneity: Notappl	icable						
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.71 (P =	= 0.09)					
Total (95% CI)		249		228	100.0%	4.33[2.66, 7.04]	•
Total events	222		151				
Heterogeneity:Chi ² = 8.	56, df = 5 (P = 0.13)	; $I^2 = 42\%$	6		F	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 5.91 (P <	0.00001)			0.001	0.1 1 10 1000
TT (C 1 1:07		4 47 3	f = 2(D)	- 0.11)	$1^2 - 55.20^{\circ}$		Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

FIGURE 4: Forest plot of the effects of interventions.

	Expe	rimenta	ıl	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed. 95% Cl	IV. Fixed. 95% Cl					
2.2.1 8week														
TANG W G.2009	1.09	0.53	70	2.12	1.02	50	0.8%	-1.03 [-1.34, -0.72]						
ZHANG C.2014	0.33	0.41	30	0.57	0.34	30	2.0%	-0.24 [-0.43, -0.05]						
ZHANG W.2014	0.42	0.13	36	0.69	0.22	36	10.3%	-0.27 [-0.35, -0.19]						
ZHAO J.2013	0.63	0.11	40	1.21	0.05	39	51.0%	-0.58 [-0.62, -0.54]						
Subtotal (95% CI)			176			155	64.0%	-0.52 [-0.56, -0.49]	•					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	62.96, df =	= 3 (P <	0.000	()1); $I^2 =$	95%									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 30.72	(P < 0.0)	00001)											
2 2 2 12week														
LIL \$ 2012	0.71	0.43	30	1 31	0 59	30	1.1%	-0.60 [-0.86, -0.34]						
PENG T 2010	0.2	0.09	28	0.36	0.13	35	24.2%	-0.16[-0.21, -0.11]	+					
SU BF.2014	0.73	0.54	24	1.35	0.72	24	0.6%	-0.62 [-0.98, -0.26]						
TANG Y.2018	0.71	0.43	34	1.31	0.59	34	1.2%	-0.60 [-0.85, -0.35]						
Subtotal (95% CI)			116			123	27.0%	-0.21 [-0.26, -0.15]	♦					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	26.46, df =	= 3 (P <	0.000	()1); $I^2 =$	89%									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.83 (P < 0.00	0001)											
2.2.3 ≥16week														
LIANG Y 2017	0.53	0.41	26	0.71	0.59	26	0.9%	-0.18 [-0.46, -0.10]						
XU K.2009	0.5	0.3	42	0.7	0.2	38	5.8%	-0.20 [-0.31, -0.09]	-					
Zhang L.2014	0.51	0.55	72	0.67	0.56	76	2.2%	-0.16 [-0.34, -0.02]						
Subtotal (95% CI)			140	2		140	9.0%	-0.19 [-0.28, -0.10]	•					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.14, df =	2(P = 0)	0.93); I	$^{2} = 0\%$										
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.13 (P < 0.00	001)											
Total (95% CI)			432			418	100.0%	-0.41 [-0.44,-0.38]	•					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	218.72, df	= 10 (F	P < 0.00	0001); I ²	= 95%)								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 29.88	(P < 0.0)	00001)						-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1					
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Cl	$\dot{hi}^2 = 17$	Q16, d	f = 7 (P)	9 = 0.00	0001), I ²	$^{2} = 98.5\%$		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]					

FIGURE 5: Effect of AM with ACEIs/ARBs therapy on proteinuria.





	Expe	rimenta	al	Со	ntrol			Mean Difference			Mean Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed. 95% Cl			IV. Fixed. 9	5% Cl	
LIANG Y 2017	108	24	26	106	23	26	1.7%	2.00 [-10.78, 14.78]					
PENG T.2010	105.3	11	28	103.5	11.2	25	7.9%	1.80 [-4.19, 7.79]					
SU B F.2014	93.5	6.7	24	92.8	5.8	24	22.6%	0.70 [-2.85, 4.25]					
TANG Y.2018	92.53	4.59	34	92.28	4.33	34	63.0%	0.25 [-1.87, 2.37]				-	
Zhang L.2014	105	23	72	106	25	76	4.7%	-1.00 [-8.73, 6.73]					
Total (95% CI)			184			185	100.0%	0.45 [-1.24, 2.13]			+		
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0$.44, df =	4 (P =	0.98); I ²	= 0%					+				+
Testforoveralleffect: Z =	= 0.52 (P =	= 0.60)							-20	-10	0	10	20
		,								Favours [e	xperimental]	Favours [con	troll

FIGURE 7: Effect of AM with ACEIs/ARBs therapy on eGFR.

	Expe	perimental Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference						
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% Cl		IV. Ra	ndom. 95	5% Cl			
LI L S.2012	5.16	1.08	30	5.34	1.12	30	28.1%	-0.18 [-0.74, 0.38]							
ZHANG C.2014	5.12	0.76	30	5.67	0.81	30	41.2%	-0.55 [-0.95, -0.15]			-				
ZHANG W.2014	5.34	1.4	36	5.15	1.28	36	24.4%	0.19 [-0.43, 0.81]					_		
ZHAO J.2013	7.4	3.3	41	7.3	3.2	41	6.3%	0.10 [-1.31, 1.5]							
Total (95% CI)			137			137	100.0%	-0.22[-0.59, 0.14]							
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.04$; $Chi^2 = 4.39$, $df = 3$ (P = 0.22); $I^2 = 32\%$								-	1	0.5		0.5			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.20 (P	= 0.23	3)						-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1		
							Fav	ours [experin	nental]	Favours [control]				

FIGURE 8: Effect of AM with ACEIs/ARBs therapy on Bun.



FIGURE 9: Effect of AM with ACEIs/ARBs therapy on systolic blood pressure.

4. Discussion

IgAN, which is now known as slowly progress to end-stage renal disease, is the most common type of glomerulonephritis around the world [25]. Berger and Hinglais first discovered IgAN in 1968, and IgAN represents the pivotal reason for kidney failure among most populations [26]. Aberrant glycosylation of IgAN exerts an autoimmune response, which generates antiglycan antibodies. Consequent immune complex deposited in the glomerular mesangium, which activates the complement pathway, stimulates mesangial cells, and induces the secretion of cytokines, which finally results in inflammation and fibrosis. Therefore, IgAN is an autoimmune disease in which immune complexes induce renal injury [27]. However, specific and effective treatment are still lacking. Only antihypertensive drugs, such as ACEI and ARB, are useful interventions [28]. In recent studies, many Chinese medicines have been

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine



FIGURE 10: Effect of AM with ACEIs/ARBs therapy on diastolic blood pressure.

	Expe	Experimental Control Mean Difference					Mean Difference		ce				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% Cl		IV. R	andom. 959	% Cl	
LI L S.2012	38.9	4.9	30	38.7	5.1	30	14.0%	0.20 [-2.33, 2.73]			-	-	
LIANG Y 2017	35.6	4.7	26	34.4	3.6	26	14.4%	1.20 [-1.08, 3.48]				_	
SU B F.2014	37.1	4.4	24	36.3	5	24	13.9%	0.80 [-1.86, 3.46]				_	
TANG W G.2009	37.2	4.52	70	28.7	5.02	50	15.0%	8.50 [6.75, 10.25]				_	-
TANG Y.2018	37.48	4.53	34	36.93	4.31	34	14.6%	0.55 [-1.55, 2.65]					
ZHANG C.2014	38.64	4.11	30	38.52	3.85	30	14.7%	0.12 [-1.90, 2.14]			_		
ZHANG W.2014	38.92	6.38	36	38.82	6.4	36	13.5%	0.10 [-2.85, 3.05]		-		_	
Total (95% CI)	2 41. Chi	2 (2)	250	((D + (00001	230	100.0%	1.70 [-1.06, 4.45]			-		
Tast for overall effects 7	2.41; CIII - 1.21 (D	= 02.3	(3, 0) = (3, 0)	0 (P < U	.00001); 1 = 5	90%		-10	-5	0	5	10
rest for overall effect: Z	- 0.23	")						Favo	urs [control] Favours	[experimen	ıtal]	





FIGURE 12: Publication-bias chart.

demonstrated to be effective in treating kidney disease, including IgAN [29–31]. Proteinuria is an independent risk factor for the progression of IgAN [3]. Furthermore, the prognosis for patients with IgAN is worse than that for patients with other glomerular diseases with similar proteinuria levels [32]. The results indicated that the combination of AM and RAS blockers seems to be effective and safe in further reducing proteinuria in IgAN patients.

The possible mechanisms of AM in the treatment of diabetic kidney disease (DKD) could be alleviating the early glomerular pathological changes via inhibiting Akt/mTOR/ p70S6K signaling, ameliorating inflammation by the

inhibition of iRhom2/TACE signaling, improving lipid disorders by enhancing PPAR α/γ , protecting ER stress and suppressing the expression of TNF- α and TGF- β 1 [33–36]. Studies have applied adriamycin-inducednephropathyinducedSprague-Dawley rats, HKC has a good effect on renal inflammation by reducing TGF- α , TGF- β 1 expression, and intervening p38MAPK signaling [9, 37]. It has been confirmed that these pharmacologically active compounds, isolated from AM, have numerous beneficial biological effects. Moreover, hyperoside could protect against cisplatininduced AKI by inhibiting oxidant response and inflammatory [38]. Myricetin can protect the kidney from cisplatin-induced toxicity partly by decreasing the number of inflammatory mediators, including TNF- α and IL-6 [39].

This is the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the effects of AM on proteinuria and renal function in IgAN patients. In the present study, we reviewed 11 RCTs involving a total of 850 participants and assessed the add-on effects and safety of AM to ACEIs/ARBs in people with IgAN. None of the included trials mentioned ESRD rates, and as for eGFR, five studies showed no difference between the AM plus a RAS blocker and a RAS blocker alone. The results showed that the combination of AM and RAS blockers was associated with significant improvement in proteinuria compared with RAS blockers. The range of 24-h proteinuria included in the study was 0.5 g/24 h-3.5 g/24 h, and the HKC was suitable for people with a small amount of urine protein (i.e., the urine protein was lower than 3.5 g/24 h). The recommended dose of HKC for inclusion in the study was 2.5 g three times a day. We found that AM could significantly reduce the level of 24-h proteinuria than that in the control group when the treatment period was more than 16 weeks and the funnel plot also indicates 8 weeks trials are inconclusive, 12 weeks does not show a difference while more than 16 weeks may demonstrate the clinical difference, so we suggest the treatment duration for the future trials. We recommend clinical experimenters require patients to provide information that affects the progression of IgAN, such as ethnicity, family history, and comorbidity/risk factors in future research. The results also showed that AM may be generally well-tolerated, as an addition to RAS blockers, it did not increase the incidence of adverse events.

There were some potential limitations of our metaanalysis. First, some significant heterogeneity in these included studies was observed, which may be due to the small sample size and short treatment periods, and the nature of the disease course in the effective rate. The limitation to detecting a significant difference between the combined therapy group and the control group may exist. Second, all involved studies were conducted in different centers in China, and all involved patients were Chinese, so it is unavoidable that our meta-analysis had some regional bias.

5. Conclusion

Adjuvant therapy of AM with ARBs/ACEIs provided additional benefits on proteinuria in individuals with IgAN. However, a large number of RCTs will be required in the future to verify this speculation. If the positive effect of AM is confirmed by more high-quality clinical trials in the future, it may potentially become a complementary therapy for IgAN.

Abbreviations

AM:	Abelmoschus manihot
IgAN:	IgA nephropathy
CKD:	Chronic kidney disease
ESRD:	Even end-stage renal disease
KDIGO:	The guide to improving global prognosis of kidney
	disease
FDA:	Food and drug administration
RCTs:	Randomized clinical trials
Bun:	Urea nitrogen.

Data Availability

Aggregate data were extracted from published studies.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

The study was designed by WL and YL. QJ and JG independently screened the literature, extracted data, and evaluated study quality. YC and WH validated the data. QJ conducted data synthesis and analysis. ZZ, CX, and KG finalized the results. HS, YL, and WJL participated in the discussion of data interpretation. QJ drafted the manuscript and WL revised it. Qi Jia and Jing Guo have contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 81774278) and the National Major Scientific and Technological Special Project for "Significant New Drugs Development" (No. 2017ZX09304019). These funds provide financial support for the study of language editing and article publishing fees.

References

- L. S. Li and Z. H. Liu, "Epidemiologic data of renal diseases from a single unit in China: analysis based on 13, 519 renal biopsies," *Kidney International*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 920–923, 2004.
- [2] C. Manno, G. F. Strippoli, C. D'Altri, D. Torres, M. Rossini, and F. P. Schena, "A novel simpler histological classification for renal survival in IgA nephropathy: a retrospective study," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 763–775, 2007.
- [3] R. J. Wyatt and B. A. Julian, "IgA nephropathy," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 368, no. 25, pp. 2402–2414, 2013.
- [4] M. Tan, J. Fang, Q. Xu et al., "Outcomes of normotensive IgA nephropathy patients with mild proteinuria who have impaired renal function," *Renal Failure*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 875–882, 2019.
- [5] L. Rubiang-Yalambing, J. Arcot, H. Greenfield, and P. Holford, "Aibika (Abelmoschus manihot L.): genetic variation, morphology and relationships to micronutrient composition," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 193, pp. 62–68, 2016.
- [6] Y. Chen, G. Cai, X. Sun, and X. Chen, "Treatment of chronic kidney disease using a traditional Chinese medicine, Flos Abelmoschus manihot (Linnaeus) Medicus (Malvaceae)," *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 145–148, 2016.
- [7] E. F. Carney, "Antiproteinuric efficacy of A. manihot superior to losartan," *Nature Reviews Nephrology*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 300, 2014.
- [8] Z. Liu, S. Liu, L. Zhou et al., "Effects of HuangKui capsules on glibenclamide pharmacokinetics in rats," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 139, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2012.
- [9] Y. Tu, W. Sun, Y. G. Wan et al., "Huangkui capsule, an extract from Abelmoschus manihot (L.) medic, ameliorates adriamycin-induced renal inflammation and glomerular injury via inhibiting p38MAPK signaling pathway activity in rats," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 311–320, 2013.
- [10] P. Li, H. Lin, Z. Ni et al., "Efficacy and safety of Abelmoschus manihot for IgA nephropathy: a multicenter randomized clinical trial," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 76, Article ID 153231, 2020.
- [11] L. Zhang, P. Li, C. Y. Xing et al., "Efficacy and safety of Abelmoschus manihot for primary glomerular disease: a prospective, multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 57–65, 2014b.
- [12] P. Chen, Y. Wan, C. Wang et al., "[Mechanisms and effects of Abelmoschus manihot preparations in treating chronic kidney disease]," *Zhongguo Zhong yao za zhi = Zhongguo zhongyao zazhi = China journal of Chinese materia medica*, vol. 37, no. 15, pp. 2252–2256, 2012.
- [13] D. Moher, L. Shamseer, M. Clarke et al., "Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement," *Systematic Reviews*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 1, 2015.

- [14] L. Li, S. Yan, and Z. Zhou, "Clinical observation of Huangkui capsule combined with olmesartan in the treatment of mild to moderate proteinuria in IgA nephropathy," *China Medical Herald*, vol. 9, pp. 74-75, 2012.
- [15] T. Peng, X. Yang, and D. Li, "Herapeutic effect of Huangkui capsule combined with valsartan on IgA nephropathy," *Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Nephrology*, vol. 9, pp. 74-75, 2010.
- [16] B. Su and H. Zhang, "Therapeutic effect of Huangkui capsule combined with irbesartan on IgA nephropathy," *Chinese Journal of Prevention and Control of Chronic Noncommunicable Diseases*, vol. 22, pp. 585-586, 2014.
- [17] W. Tang, M. Xu, J. Lu, and M. Xiang, "Clinical study of Huangkui capsule combined with lisinopril in the treatment of IgA nephropathy," *Practical Clinical Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 9, pp. 34-35, 2009.
- [18] Y. Tang, Q. Huang, K. Sun, Y. Chi, and J. Yan, "Co-pyrolysis characteristics and kinetic analysis of organic food waste and plastic," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 249, pp. 16–23, 2018.
- [19] K. Xu, Q. Li, and H. Bu, "Analysis of 80 cases of IgA nephropathy treated by huangkui capsule combined with luodingxin," vol. 11, pp. 1519-1520, 2009.
- [20] C. Zhang, M. Wang, and Y. Zhang, "Clinical study of Huangkui capsule combined with losartan in the treatment of mild to moderate proteinuria in IgA nephropathy," *Traditional Medicine*, vol. 23, pp. 112–114, 2014a.
- [21] W. Zhang, P. Li, Z. K. Cai et al., "[Safety and efficacy of Lcarnitine and tadalafil for late-onset hypogonadism with ED: a randomized controlled multicenter clinical trial]," *Contemporary Medicine*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 133–137, 2014.
- [22] J. Zhao, "Clinical study of Huangkui capsule combined with losartan in the treatment of mild to moderate proteinuria in IgA nephropathy," *Traditional Medicine*, vol. 40, pp. 15–17, 2013.
- [23] Y. Liang, L. Zhang, Y. Lu, and W. Guo, "Clinical observation on treatment of IgA nephropathy with huangkui capsule combined with losartan potassium," *Shaanxi Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 38, pp. 1192-1193, 2017.
- [24] J. P. T. Higgins, S. G. Thompson, J. J. Deeks, and D. G. Altman, "Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses," *BMJ*, vol. 327, no. 7414, pp. 557–560, 2003.
- [25] G. D'Amico, "Natural history of idiopathic IgA nephropathy: role of clinical and histological prognostic factors," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 227–237, 2000.
- [26] K. Suzuki, K. Honda, K. Tanabe, H. Toma, H. Nihei, and Y. Yamaguchi, "Incidence of latent mesangial IgA deposition in renal allograft donors in Japan," *Kidney International*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 2286–2294, 2003.
- [27] L. Ji, X. Chen, X. Zhong et al., "Astragalus membranaceus upregulate Cosmc expression and reverse IgA dys-glycosylation in IgA nephropathy," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 195, 2014.
- [28] R. Coppo, L. Peruzzi, A. Amore et al., "IgACE: a placebocontrolled, randomized trial of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors in children and young people with IgA nephropathy and moderate proteinuria," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1880–1888, 2007.
- [29] M. Chang, B. Yang, L. Li et al., "Modified huangqi chifeng decoction attenuates proteinuria by reducing podocyte injury in a rat model of immunoglobulin a nephropathy," *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 12, Article ID 714584, 2021.

- [30] X. Mou, D. Y. Zhou, D. Zhou, K. Liu, L. J. Chen, and W. H. Liu, "A bioinformatics and network pharmacology approach to the mechanisms of action of Shenxiao decoction for the treatment of diabetic nephropathy," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 69, Article ID 153192, 2020.
- [31] S. Zhou, Z. Ai, W. Li et al., "Deciphering the pharmacological mechanisms of taohe-chengqi decoction extract against renal fibrosis through integrating network pharmacology and experimental validation in vitro and in vivo," *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 11, p. 425, 2020.
- [32] D. C. Cattran, H. N. Reich, H. J. Beanlands, J. A. Miller, J. W. Scholey, and S. Troyanov, "The impact of sex in primary glomerulonephritis," *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation: Official Publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association - European Renal Association*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 2247–2253, 2008.
- [33] J. Ge, J. J. Miao, X. Y. Sun, and J. Y. Yu, "Huangkui capsule, an extract from Abelmoschus manihot (L.) medic, improves diabetic nephropathy via activating peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor (PPAR)-*α*/*γ* and attenuating endoplasmic reticulum stress in rats," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 189, pp. 238–249, 2016.
- [34] S. Liu, L. Ye, J. Tao, C. Ge, L. Huang, and J. Yu, "Total flavones of Abelmoschus manihot improve diabetic nephropathy by inhibiting the iRhom2/TACE signalling pathway activity in rats," *Pharmaceutical Biology*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2017.
- [35] Z. M. Mao, S. M. Shen, Y. G. Wan et al., "Huangkui capsule attenuates renal fibrosis in diabetic nephropathy rats through regulating oxidative stress and p38MAPK/Akt pathways, compared to α-lipoic acid," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 173, pp. 256–265, 2015.
- [36] W. Wu, W. Hu, W. B. Han et al., "Inhibition of akt/mTOR/ p70S6K signaling activity with huangkui capsule alleviates the early glomerular pathological changes in diabetic nephropathy," *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 9, p. 443, 2018.
- [37] Q. Zhao, Y. G. Wan, W. Sun et al., "[Effects of huangkui capsule on renal inflammatory injury by intervening p38MAPK signaling pathway in rats with adriamycin-induced nephropathy]," *Zhongguo Zhong yao za zhi = Zhongguo zhongyao zazhi = China journal of Chinese materia medica*, vol. 37, no. 19, pp. 2926–2934, 2012.
- [38] C. S. Chao, C. S. Tsai, Y. P. Chang, J. M. Chen, H. K. Chin, and S. C. Yang, "Hyperin inhibits nuclear factor kappa B and activates nuclear factor E2-relatedfactor-2 signaling pathways in cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury in mice," *International Immunopharmacology*, vol. 40, pp. 517–523, 2016.
- [39] R. Petrova and A. L. Joyner, "Roles for Hedgehog signaling in adult organ homeostasis and repair," *Development (Cambridge, England)*, vol. 141, no. 18, pp. 3445–3457, 2014.
- [40] "Chapter 10: immunoglobulin A nephropathy," Kidney International Supplements, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 209–217, 2012.