

# Impact of ultrasound angiography combined with fine needle aspiration for the diagnosis of thyroid nodules

Jing Wang, MB<sup>a</sup>, Xiao-Gang Bai, MB<sup>a</sup>, Zhe Liu, MB<sup>b,\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** This study aims to systematically investigate the impact of ultrasound angiography (UA) combined with fine needle aspiration (FNA) for the diagnosis of thyroid nodules (TNs).

**Methods:** The following electronic databases will be searched: MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, Web of Science, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Allied and Complementary Medicine Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure. We will search them from their inceptions to the present without language limitations. We will consider all case–controlled studies on investigating the impact of diagnosis UA combined FNA for TNs. We will apply Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies tool to assess methodological quality for all eligible studies.

**Results:** In this study, outcomes consist of sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio. All these outcomes will be analyzed to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of UA combined with FNA for TNs.

Conclusion: This study will provide evidence of the diagnostic accuracy of UA combined with FNA for TNs.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO CRD42019138884.

**Abbreviations:** CCSs = case-controlled studies, FNA = fine needle aspiration, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis, TNs = thyroid nodules, UA = ultrasound angiography.

Keywords: fine needle aspiration, sensitivity, specificity, thyroid nodule, ultrasound angiography

#### 1. Introduction

Thyroid nodules (TNs) are very common health problems in clinical practice among the adult population.<sup>[1-3]</sup> They are commonly diagnosed as noncancerous or cancerous.<sup>[4,5]</sup> It has been reported that the prevalence of TNs is about 19% to 68% of the healthy population,<sup>[6]</sup> and it is higher in women than men with tends of increased age. Specifically, TNs are commonly clinical findings of 1% to 5% at physical examination, and 20% to 70% at ultrasound examination.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Thus, it is very important to detect TNs early and to lead a better prognosis.<sup>[9–14]</sup>

This study was supported by Yan'an Diabetes Prevention Research and Technology Innovation Team (2015CXTD-09). The supported was not allowed to involve any sections of this study.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Endocrine and Metabolism, <sup>b</sup> Department of Cardiology, Yan'an University Affiliated Hospital, Yan'an, China.

\* Correspondence: Zhe Liu, Department of Cardiology, Yan'an University Affiliated Hospital, No. 43 Beida St, Baota District, Yan'an, Shaanxi 716000, China (e-mail: zheliu198002@outlook.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang J, Bai Xg, Liu Z. Impact of ultrasound angiography combined with fine needle aspiration for the diagnosis of thyroid nodules. Medicine 2019;98:39(e17286).

Received: 27 August 2019 / Accepted: 29 August 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000017286 Ultrasound angiography (UA) has been widely used as the imaging modality choice for early diagnosis due to its wide availability.<sup>[15,16]</sup> In addition, fine needle aspiration (FNA) is also widely utilized as one of the most common diagnosis option for TNs.<sup>[17–20]</sup> However, the single diagnostic accuracy of UA and FNA respectively is still not satisfied. Thus, it is very important to apply the combination of UA and FNA modalities for TNs diagnosis. Although numerous studies have reported to choose the combination of UA and FNA options for TNs diagnosis,<sup>[21–23]</sup> no study has been conducted to investigate the diagnostic accuracy of UA and FNS for diagnosis of TNs.

#### 2. Methods

## 2.1. Study registration

This study protocol has been registered on PROSPERO CRD42019138884. The reporting of this study is based on the guideline of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Protocol statement.<sup>[24]</sup>

### 2.2. Ethics and dissemination

No personal data will be used in this study, thus, this study will not need ethic approval. The results of this study will be published at peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings.

#### 2.3. Eligibility criteria

**2.3.1.** Types of studies. We will include all case–controlled studies (CCSs) on the diagnostic accuracy of UA combined with FNA for TNs.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**2.3.2.** Types of participants. We will include patients with histological proven TNs in this study.

**2.3.3.** Type of index test. Index test: UA combined with FNA is used for TNs diagnose in the experimental group.

Reference test: Histological proven TNs are utilized for TNs diagnose in the control group.

**2.3.4.** Types of outcome measurements. Sensitivity and specificity will be assessed as primary outcomes. Positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio will be evaluated as secondary outcomes.

### 2.4. Data sources and search strategy

**2.4.1. Electronic searches.** We will adapt a comprehensive search strategy from the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, Web of Science, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Allied and Complementary Medicine Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure. All databases will be searched from their inceptions to the present without language limitations. We will include all CCSs on investigating the impact of diagnosis UA combined FNA for TNs. The search strategy details for MEDILINE are showed in Table 1. Similar strategy will be applied to other electronic databases.

**2.4.2.** Other resources. We will also screen conference proceedings, and reference lists of included studies to obtain more potential studies.

Table 1   Search strategy for MEDLINE database.	
1	Thyroid gland
2	Thyroid nodule
3	Thyroid neoplasm
4	Thyroid tumor
5	Thyroid carcinoma
6	Thyroid cancer
7	Or 1–6
8	Fine needle aspiration
9	Needle biopsy
10	Biopsy
11	Image guided biopsy
12	Biopsy needle
13	Large core needle biopsy
14	Tumor biopsy
15	Biopsy technique
16	Fine biopsy needle
17	Core biopsy needle
18	Or 8–17
19	Ultrasound angiography
20	Intravascular ultrasound
21	Ultrasound image
22	Or 19–22
23	Case-controlled study
24	Observational study
25	Clinical study
26	Controlled study
27	Or 23–26
28	7 and 18 and 22 and 27

# 2.5. Data collection and analysis

**2.5.1.** Selection of studies. After comprehensive electronic search performance, the literature records will be managed by using EndNote X7 software (Philadelphia, USA). Two authors will independently carry out the study selection according to the previous designed eligibility criteria. Any disagreements will be solved by a third author through discussion. The whole process of study selection includes 2 stages. At first stage, the titles and abstracts of all literature records will be scanned, and all irrelevant records will be further reviewed by full-text, and each excluded study will be recorded with the specific reason. The study selection process is illustrated in the PRISMA diagram.

**2.5.2.** Data collection and management. Two authors will independently extract the data for each included study. Any disagreements regarding the data extraction between 2 authors will be resolved by a third author through discussion. The items we will extract comprise of: basic characteristics (title, authors, publication year, region, journal, etc.), study design (study period, follow-up details, setting details, diagnosis of TNs, etc.), patient data (sample size in each group, tumor stage, comorbidities, etc.), and outcomes (measured tools, time points, results, etc.).

**2.5.3.** *Missing data dealing with.* If there is insufficient or missing information, primary authors will be contacted to request those data. If those data are not achievable, only available data will be analyzed, and the potential effects of missing data will be evaluated and discussed.

# 2.6. Methodological quality evaluation

Two authors will independently assess methodological quality for all eligible studies using Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies tool.<sup>[25]</sup> It includes 4 domains, and each domain is further assessed. Any divergences regarding methodological assessment between 2 authors will be solved by a third author through discussion.

# 2.7. Statistical analysis

This study will apply RevMan V.5.3 (RevMan, London, UK) and Stata V12.0 softwares (College Station, USA) to perform statistical analysis. The descriptive statistics and 95% confidence intervals will be calculated for all included studies. In addition, descriptive forest plot and a summary receiver operating characteristic plot will be performed. The sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio from primary studies will be plotted.

**2.7.1.** Assessment of heterogeneity. This study will apply  $I^2$  statistic to check heterogeneity for all included studies. The value of  $I^2 \le 50\%$  indicates low heterogeneity, while the value of  $I^2 > 50\%$  indicates substantial heterogeneity among eligible studies.

**2.7.2.** Data synthesis. When the heterogeneity is low  $(I^2 \le 50\%)$ , the data will be pooled, and meta-analysis will be carried out. When the heterogeneity is significant  $(I^2 > 50\%)$ , the subgroup analysis will be performed, and meta-analysis will be carried out in accordance with the results of subgroup analysis. If the heterogeneity is still substantial after subgroup analysis, the outcome data will not be pooled, and meta-analysis will not be conducted. However, we will use bivariate random-effects regression to summarize the estimates of sensitivity and specificity.

**2.7.3.** Subgroup analysis. Subgroup analysis will be carried out according to the different characteristics of studies, and participants.

**2.7.4.** Sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis will be performed to check the stability of pooled outcome results by eliminating the low methodological quality studies.

**2.7.5.** Reporting bias. Reporting bias will be carried out by using funnel plots and relevant regression tests<sup>[26]</sup> in this study.

# 3. Discussion

A variety of clinical studies have reported that the combination of UA and FNA is used for TNs diagnosis. However, its diagnostic accuracy is still inconclusive and no study has systematically explored the diagnostic accuracy of UA and FNS for TNs. Thus, this study will firstly investigate the diagnostic accuracy of UA and FNS in patients with TNs via evaluating its sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio. The findings of this study will summarize the latest evidence on the diagnostic accuracy of UA and FNS for TNs, and will provide helpful recommendation for the clinical findings of TNs.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai, Zhe Liu.

Data curation: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai, Zhe Liu.

Formal analysis: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai.

Funding acquisition: Zhe Liu.

Investigation: Zhe Liu.

Methodology: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai.

Project administration: Zhe Liu.

Resources: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai.

Software: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai.

Supervision: Zhe Liu.

Validation: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai, Zhe Liu.

Visualization: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai, Zhe Liu.

Writing – original draft: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai, Zhe Liu. Writing – review & editing: Jing Wang, Xiao-Gang Bai, Zhe Liu.

#### References

- Detweiler K, Elfenbein DM, Mayers D. Evaluation of thyroid nodules. Surg Clin North Am 2019;99:571–86.
- [2] Maxwell C, Sipos JA. Clinical diagnostic evaluation of thyroid nodules. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2019;48:61–84.
- [3] Wong R, Farrell SG, Grossmann M. Thyroid nodules: diagnosis and management. Med J Aust 2018;209:92–8.
- [4] La Vecchia C, Malvezzi M, Bosetti C, et al. Thyroid cancer mortality and incidence: a global overview. Int J Cancer 2015;136:2187–95.
- [5] Pellegriti G, Frasca F, Regalbuto C, et al. Worldwide increasing incidence of thyroid cancer: update on epidemiology and risk factors. J Cancer Epidemiol 2013;2013:965212.

- [6] Brander A, Viikinkoski P, Nickels J, et al. Thyroid gland: US screening in a random adult population. Radiology 1991;181:683–7.
- [7] Tamhane S, Gharib H. Thyroid nodule update on diagnosis and management. Clin Diabetes Endocrinol 2016;2:17.
- [8] Knox MA. Thyroid nodules. Am Fam Physician 2013;88:193-6.
- [9] Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: The American Thyroid Association guidelines task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. Thyroid 2016;1:1–33.
- [10] Moon WJ, Baek JH, Jung SL, et al. Ultrasonography and the ultrasoundbased management of thyroid nodules: consensus statement and recommendations. Korean J Radiol 2011;12:1–4.
- [11] Tunbridge WM, Evered DC, Hall R, et al. The spectrum of thyroid disease in a community: the Whickham survey. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1977;7:481–93.
- [12] Guth S, Theune U, Aberle J, et al. Very high prevalence of thyroid nodules detected by high frequency (13 MHz) ultrasound examination. Eur J Clin Invest 2009;39:699–706.
- [13] Brander AE, Viikinkoski VP, Nickels JI, Kivisaari LM. Importance of thyroid abnormalities detected at US screening: a 5-year follow-up. Radiology 2000;215:801–6.
- [14] Hegedüs L. Clinical practice. The thyroid nodule. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1764–71.
- [15] Li WB, Zhang B, Jiang YX, et al. Value of quantitative three-dimensional Doppler ultrasound in the differentiation of benign and malignant thyroid nodules. Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao 2015;37: 300–4.
- [16] Demirel K, Kapucu O, Yücel C, et al. A comparison of radionuclide thyroid angiography, (99m)Tc-MIBI scintigraphy and power Doppler ultrasonography in the differential diagnosis of solitary cold thyroid nodules. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003;30:642–50.
- [17] Wang D, Fu HJ, Xu HX, et al. Comparison of fine needle aspiration and non-aspiration cytology for diagnosis of thyroid nodules: a prospective, randomized, and controlled trial. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 2017;66:67–81.
- [18] Braga M, Cavalcanti TC, Collaço LM, Graf H. Efficacy of ultrasoundguided fine-needle aspiration biopsy in the diagnosis of complex thyroid nodules. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:4089–91.
- [19] Musgrave YM, Davey DD, Weeks JA, et al. Assessment of fine-needle aspiration sampling technique in thyroid nodules. Diagn Cytopathol 1998;18:76–80.
- [20] Boey J, Hsu C, Wong J, Ong GB. Fine-needle aspiration versus drillneedle biopsy of thyroid nodules: a controlled clinical trial. Surgery 1982;91:611–5.
- [21] Yokozawa T, Miyauchi A, Kuma K, Sugawara M. Accurate and simple method of diagnosing thyroid nodules the modified technique of ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy. Thyroid 1995;5: 141–5.
- [22] Nathan AR, Raines KB, Lee YT, et al. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy of cold thyroid nodules. Cancer 1988;62:1337–42.
- [23] Ashcraft MW, Van Herle AJ. Management of thyroid nodules. II: scanning techniques, thyroid suppressive therapy, and fine needle aspiration. Head Neck Surg 1981;3:297–322.
- [24] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. PRISMA-P GroupPreferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;349: g7647.
- [25] Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, et al. QUADAS-2 Group-QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med 2011;155:529–36.
- [26] Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L. The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. J Clin Epidemiol 2005;58:882–93.