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#### Abstract

On account of their significance as apoptosis inducing agents, merging indole and 3-hydrazinoindolin-2one scaffolds is a logic tactic for designing pro-apoptotic agents. Consequently, 27 hybrids ( $\mathbf{6 a - r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{9 a - f}$ and 11a-c) were synthesised and evaluated for their cytotoxicity against MCF-7, HepG-2 and HCT-116 cancer cell lines. SAR studies unravelled that $N$-propylindole derivatives were the most active compounds such as 6 (MCF-7; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), which displayed a significant decrease of cell population in the G2/M phase and significant increase in the early and late apoptosis by 19 -folds in Annexin-V-FTIC assay. Also, $\mathbf{6 n}$ increased the expression of caspase-3, caspase-9, cytochrome C and Bax and decreased the expression of Bcl-2. Moreover, compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ generated ROS by significant increase in the level of SOD and depletion of the levels of CAT and GSH-Px in MCF-7.
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## Introduction

Apoptosis, programmed cell death, is considered as an essential mechanism by the body to get rid of unwanted cells. Therefore, triggering apoptosis in cancer cells will lead to automatic death and increase the relief from cancer proliferation. Thoroughly understanding the mechanism of apoptosis reveals that it is affected by the expression of caspases, Bcl-2 family proteins, including either anti-apoptotic or pro-apoptotic members. Induction of apoptosis is considered as one of the most successful strategies to target cancer ${ }^{1}$.

Indole is a well-known interesting scaffold to generate anticancer agents through induction of apoptosis. Indole-3-carbinol (I3C) (Figure 1), a naturally occurring compound found in family Cruciferae, displayed a remarkable activity against different types of cancer cells including breast, colon, leukaemia and prostate. This activity was justified by its ability to induce apoptosis through arresting G1/S phase of cell cycle ${ }^{2,3}$. Interestingly, under acidic conditions, indole-3-carbinol is dimerised into $3,3^{\prime}$-diindolylmethane (DIM) which exhibited superior activity as apoptosis inducing agent over indole-3-carbinol ${ }^{2,3}$. Moreover, Phemindole (Figure 1) overcame the poor bioavailability of DIM and illustrated apoptotic activity against triple negative breast cancer cells (MDA-MB231) through reactive oxygen species (ROS) mediated mitochon-drial-dependent apoptosis ${ }^{4}$. Modifications for both I3C and DIM took place to get more active compounds. For example, OSU-A9, $N$-substituted derivative of I3C, was 100 times more potent than the parent compound (the range of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of OSU-A9 against MDA-MB-231, MCF-7 and SKBR3 is $1.2-1.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of IC3 $=200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ )
with more acid stability against both breast and prostate cancer cells (Figure 1) ${ }^{5,6}$. In addition, 1,1-Bis(3'-indolyl)-1-(p-hydroxyphenyl)methane (I), a derivative of Phemindole, was found to promote apoptosis in both pancreatic and colon cancer cells in vivo as well as in vitro ${ }^{7,8}$.

On the other hand, several 3-hydrazinoindolin-2-one derivatives proved their anticancer activity through induction of apoptosis in various cancer cells ${ }^{9}$. For instance, compounds Ila-c (Figure 2) showed cytotoxic activity against HepG2 cancer cells with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range ( $1.0-2.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The apoptosis in HepG2 cells by compounds lla-c was investigated through increasing the expression of Bax, a pro-apoptotic protein, and decreasing the expression of $\mathrm{BCl}-2$, an anti-apototic protein, accompanied by high levels of caspase-3 ${ }^{10}$. Moreover, compound III, a water-soluble oxindole derivative (Figure 2), possessed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.14 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against human colorectal cells; HCT-116. It had an inhibitory activity against tubulin polymerisation $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.19 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ through induction of apoptosis ${ }^{11}$. Furthermore, compound IV had the ability to activate caspase 3/7 and promote apoptosis in Panc1 cells ${ }^{12}$. The use of 3 -hydrazinoin-dolin-2-one as apoptosis inducer was augmented by designing bis-isatin hydrazones connected with linker as in compound $\mathbf{V}$ (Figure 2). It showed cytotoxic activity against two cancer cell lines, namely MCF-7 and HCT-116 with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.84 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.31 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The pro-apoptotic activity of this compound was assured by cell cycle disruption and Annexin-V FTIC analysis ${ }^{13}$.

Inspired by these findings and as a part of our ongoing efforts towards developing novel and potent anticancer agents based on the indoline-2-one moiety ${ }^{14}$, we herein report the design and
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Figure 1. Reported indole derivatives with apoptotic activity.
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Figure 2. Reported 3-hydrazinoindolin-2-one derivatives (II-V) with apoptotic activity.
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Figure 3. The designed compounds ( $\mathbf{6 a - r}, \mathbf{9 a - f}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 a} \mathbf{a}$ ) as apoptosis-inducing agents.
synthesis of new three different sets of indole-indolin-2-one hybrids 6a-r, 9a-f and 11a-c (Figure 3), with the prime goal of developing potent anticancer agents with potential pro-apoptotic activity. First, methylenehydrazono ( $\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=$ ) linker was selected to conjugate the indole and indolin-2-one moieties. Then, three strategies were applied to develop the three hybrids series. For the first series 6a-r, indole-3-carboxaldehyde or a variety of $N$-alkylated indole derivatives ( $N$-methyl, $N$-propyl or $N$-benzyl) was conjugated with 5-/7-substituted indolin-2-one moieties. In the second series 9a-f, indole-3-carboxaldehyde was hybridised with a
variety of $N$-alkylated indolin-2-one derivatives ( $N$-methyl, $N$-propyl or $N$-benzyl). Regarding the third series, it was designed so as to maintain the pharmacophoric $N$-propyl-indole moiety while exploring the chemical variation at the N -position of the indolin-2-one moiety, in an attempt to optimise the obtained cytotoxicity results from the first and the second series and to carry out further elaboration of the indole-indolin-2-one hybrid scaffolds to explore a valuable SAR.

All compounds will be subjected to cytotoxic activity. According to the results, the most active hybrid will be further
investigated regarding its effects on cell cycle progression and potential apoptotic effect in the MCF-7 cells, to acquire perception of the mechanism of the anti-proliferative activity of the synthesised hybrids. As inhibition of apoptotic markers (as Bcl-2, Bax, Caspase 3 and 9 and cytochrome C) leads to the accumulation of ROS and results in oxidative stress ${ }^{15}$, some oxidative stress parameters will be taken into consideration in our biological study.

## Materials and methods

## Chemistry

Melting points were measured with a Stuart melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Infrared (IR) Spectra were recorded as KBr disks using Schimadzu FT-IR 8400S spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Mass spectral data are given by GCMSQP1000 EX spectrometer at 70 eV . NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury NMR spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum was run at 400 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum was run at 100 MHz in deuterated dimethylsulphoxide ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ). Chemical shifts are expressed in values ( $p p m$ ) using the solvent peak as internal standard. All coupling constant (J) values are given in Hertz. The abbreviations used are as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; m, multiplet. Elemental analyses were carried out at the Regional Center for Microbiology and Biotechnology, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates containing UV indicator was employed routinely to follow the course of reactions and to check the purity of products.

## Synthesis of 1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde

Compound 2 was prepared according to the literature procedure ${ }^{16}$.

Synthesis of 3-hydrazonoindolin-2-ones 4a-f. To a stirred solution of isatins 3a-f ( 5 mmol ) in methyl alcohol ( 10 ml ), $99 \%$ hydrazine hydrate ( $1.25 \mathrm{ml}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h , and then the formed precipitate was filtered off, washed with cold methanol, dried and recrystallised from glacial acetic acid to furnish hydrazones $\mathbf{4 a -} \mathbf{f}^{17}$.

Synthesis of N -substituted-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde derivatives $5 a-c$. Compounds 5a-c were prepared according to the literature procedure ${ }^{18}$.

General procedure for the synthesis of target compounds $6 a-r$. A mixture of 3 -hydrazonoindolin-2-ones $4 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 H -indole-3-carbaldehyde 2 or the appropriate N -substituted-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde $\mathbf{5 a - c}$ ( $1 \mathbf{~ m m o l}$ ), was refluxed in absolute ethyl alcohol in the presence of a catalytic amount of glacial acetic acid for 3 h , and then cooled to room temperature. The solid formed was collected by filtration, dried and crystallised from dioxane to produce compounds 6a-r, respectively.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one (6a). Yield $80 \%$, m.p. $262-263^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3409 (NH), 1697 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6} \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H, J=7.6 Hz), 7.27-7.38 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.53-7.55 (m, 1H, Ar-H), $8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-), 8.28-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.74 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 12.14 (s, 1H, NH indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 111.04, 112.66, 113.13, 117.60, 121.70, 122.36, 122.47, 123.89, 124.96, 128.11, 133.16, 136.84, 138.03,
144.70, 149.58, 162.28, 165.82 (C=O); MS m/z [\%]: 288 [ ${ }^{+}$, 31.53] 116 [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.20 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.43$; Found C, 71.04; H, 4.27; N, 19.70.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-chloroindolin-2-one (6b). Yield $75 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3427 (NH), $1703(\mathrm{C}=0)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 6.90 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.26-7.33 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.41 (dd, 1H, Ar-H, J= $8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.55 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.26-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{and} \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), $10.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 12.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 111.17, 112.76, 113.37, 117.99, 121.60, 122.35, 124.15, 124.82, 126.66, 127.31, 132.18, 138.10, 138.17, 144.32, 148.07, 164.08, 164.15 (C=O); Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.44, \mathrm{~N}, 17.36$; Found $\mathrm{C}, 63.43 ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.49; N, 17.61.

## 3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-bromoindolin-2-one

(6c). Yield $75 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3381 (NH), 1695 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 6.87$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.30-7.55 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 8.25-8.28 (m, 2H, -CH=N- and Ar-H), 8.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $9.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right.$ indole), $10.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 12.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 112.82, 112.95, 113.34, 113.71, 119.25, 121.67, 122.34, 124.13, 124.81, 130.47, 135.09, 137.99, 138.15, 143.59, 148.78, 163.88, 165.47 (C=O); MS m/z [\%]: $369\left[(M+2)^{+}\right.$, 1.21], 367 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.66\right], 116$ [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 55.61; H, 3.02; N, 15.26; Found C, 55.89; H, 2.98; N, 15.44.

## 3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-methoxyindolin-2-one

(6d). Yield $83 \%$, m.p. $291-293^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3395 (NH), 1711 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.95 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-), 8.35$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 12.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 55.98\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.76,112.76$, 112.77, 113.23, 117.92, 119.83, 121.86, 122.06, 124.02, 124.91, 137.23, 138.09, 138.50, 150.07, 155.07, 162.78, 166.01 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]: $318\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.32\right.$ ]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 67.92; H , 4.43; N, 17.60; Found C, 68.17; H, 4.52; N, 17.89.

## 3-(((1-Methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one

(6e). Yield $78 \%$, m.p. $255-257^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3386 (NH), 1701 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H, J=8.0 Hz), $7.04(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 7.60-7.62 (m, 1H, Ar-H), $8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-), 8.27-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 8.36 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.92 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : $33.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111.05,111.59$ (2C), 117.63, 121.77, 122.49, 122.68, 123.94, 125.49, 128.16, 133.17, 138.63, 139.85, 144.74, 149.58, 161.76, 165.83 (C=O); Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 71.51$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.67$; N, 18.53; Found C, 71.68; H, 4.52; N, 18.81.

5-Chloro-3-(((1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-
2-one (6f). Yield $80 \%$, m.p. $285-287^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3414 (NH), 1708 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.88$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.31-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{and} \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.63 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.99 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 10.73 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $33.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111.68,111.86,112.51,118.75,121.70,122.65,124.19$,
125.31, 126.08, 127.59, 132.40, 138.79, 140.96, 143.29, 148.78, 163.23, 165.58 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.20 ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.89; N, 16.64; Found C, 64.43; H, 3.93; N, 16.87.

5-Bromo-3-(((Z)-(1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indo-lin-2-one (6g). Yield $77 \%$, m.p. $295-297^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3390 (NH), 1695 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 3.91 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.86 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=7.6 Hz), 7.33-7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.63 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.24-8.29$ (m, 2 H , $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.66 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.97 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 10.87 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $33.84\left(\mathrm{~N}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111.71,111.79,112.92,113.69,119.22,121.75$, 122.64, 124.16, 125.23, 130.43, 135.06, 138.75, 141.07, 143.56, 148.70, 163.38, $165.45(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 56.71 ; H, 3.44, N, 14.70; Found C, 57.02; H, 3.48; N, 14.96.

5-Methoxy-3-(((1-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indo-
lin-2-one (6h). Yield $82 \%$, m.p. $281-283^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3427 (NH), $1705(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.92 (s, 3H, CH3), 6.79 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J= 8.0 Hz), 6.93 (dd, 1H, Ar-H, $J=8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.21(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.96$ (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 10.49 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta p p m: 33.74\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.92\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.64(2 \mathrm{C})$, 111.71, 112.68, 117.87, 119.77, 121.94, 122.43, 124.01, 125.29, 138.46, 138.65, 140.35, 149.99, 155.02, 162.30, 165.97 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]: $332\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}, 67.77\right]$, 289 [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 68.66; H, 4.85, N, 16.86; Found C, 68.91; H, 4.92; N, 17.09.

3-(((1-Propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one (6i). Yield $75 \%$, m.p. $118-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3415 (NH), 1698 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.81 (sextet, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 8.26 (s, 1H, $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), 8.28-8.30 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.36 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $11.53\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $23.28\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.26\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111.05,111.70$, 111.74, 117.64, 121.93, 122.49, 122.62, 123.92, 125.56, 128.15, 133.18, 138.01, 139.08, 144.70, 149.61, 161.80, 165.83 (C=O); Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 72.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.49, \mathrm{~N}, 16.96$; Found C, 72.88; H, 5.56; N, 17.24.

5-Chloro-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one (6j). Yield $80 \%$, m.p. $141-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3406 (NH), $1712(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 0.85, 1.02 (2t, 3H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.80, 3.40 (2sextet, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25,4.31\left(2 \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.83,6.90$ ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.14,7.41$ ( $2 \mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.29-7.38 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.60, 7.69 (2d, 1H, Ar-H, J=8.0 Hz), 8.29, $8.30(2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.97,8.99(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), $10.78,10.87$ ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ ppm: 11.45, $11.51\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\underline{C H}_{3}\right)$, 23.15, $23.24\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 48.28,48.33 \quad\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 111.82$, 111.84, 111.95, 112.49, 117.40, 117.52, 118.74, 121.51, 121.64, 121.89, 122.58, 122.85, 123.91, 124.15, 124.53, 125.15, 125.40, 125.51, 126.10, 126.80, 127.60, 132.38, 137.52, 137.62, 138.15, 140.11, 143.27, 148.84, 163.08, 163.22, 165.60, 184.89; MS m/z [\%]: $366\left[(M+2)^{+}, 24.47\right], 364\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}, 69.06\right], 143$ [100]; Anal. calcd. For
$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 65.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.36$; Found C, 66.09; H, 4.74; N, 15.53.

5-Bromo-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-
2-one (6k). Yield $83 \%$, m.p. $126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3381 $(\mathrm{NH}), 1697(\mathrm{C}=0) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.88$ (t, 3H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.84 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \overline{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.69 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=8.0 Hz), 8.29-8.31 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ - and Ar-H), 8.66 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.97 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 10.87 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \quad$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \quad \delta \quad p p m: 11.05$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.22\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.32\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 111.82, 111.90, 112.98, 113.69, 119.22, 121.89, 122.61, 124.17, 125.32, 130.42, 135.12, 138.15, 140.32, 143.59, 148.77, 163.41, 165.44 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 58.69$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.19$; N , 13.69; Found C, 58.95 ; H, 4.26; N, 14.02.

5-Methoxy-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indo-lin-2-one (6I). Yield $80 \%$, m.p. $122-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3361 ( NH ), 1696 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.86$ (t, 3H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.81 \quad$ (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H}, \quad-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78 \quad\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.24\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.81 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=8.0 Hz), 6.94 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), 8.33 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.97 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), $10.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.51 $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.25\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.27\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $55.96\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.76,111.80(2 \overline{\mathrm{C}}), 112.74,117.90, \overline{119.83}, 122.11$, 122.32, 124.03, 125.43, 138.06, 138.51, 139.53, 150.07, 155.07, 162.32, 166.00; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.98; $\mathrm{H}, 5.59 ; \mathrm{N}$, 15.55; Found C, 76.21; H, 5.66; N, 15.80.

5-Fluoro-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one ( 6 m ). Yield $75 \%$, m.p. $135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3420$ $(\mathrm{NH}), 1702(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.86(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.83 \quad$ (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H}, \quad-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.98-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), $7.31-7.36$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.59 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=8.0 Hz), 8.27-8.30 (m, 2H, -CH=N- and Ar-H), 8.45 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=2.4 Hz), 8.96 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 10.83 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $\mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]: 348 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 25.60\right], 143$ [100]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $11.49\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.26$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.25\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111.13,111 . \overline{4} 1,112.55$, 113.96, 117.90, 119.08, 121.47, 122.35, 124.03, 125.52, 132.78, 136.62, 138.97, 143.07, 149.21, 161.83, 165.90; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 68.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.08$; Found C, 69.18; H, 4.97; N, 16.24.

7-Fluoro-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-
2-one (6n). Yield $79 \%$, m.p. $129-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3418 $(\mathrm{NH}), 1705$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.85(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.81 \quad$ (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H}, \quad-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.09-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.29-7.376 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.67-7.69 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.23-8.30 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ - and $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 11.27 (s, 1 H , NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.52 $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.29\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.23\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 111.13, 111.41, 112.98, 116.87, 119.16, 121.23, 123.85, 125.48, 127.04, 131.23, 135.47, 138.56, 142.61, 147.08, 152.32, 162.72,
166.04; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 68.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.08$; Found C, 69.12; H, 4.87; N, 16.31.

## 3-(((1-Benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one

(6o). Yield $75 \%$, m.p. $261-263^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3422 (NH), 1697 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 5.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, benzylic $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.88$ (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=8.0 Hz), 7.04 (t, 1H, Ar-H, J=7.2 Hz), 7.27-7.38 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.61 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=6.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.30 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=6.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), 8.94 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 10.74 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 50.21 (benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 110.41, 111.07, 112.04, 112.15, 117.53, 117.90, 121.80, 122.50, 122.75, 124.06, 127.48, 127.77, 128.16, 129.19, 133.26, 137.40, 137.88, 139.15, 144.77, 149.75, 161.51, 163.22, $165.77(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 76.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.81$; Found C, 76.29; H, 4.87; N, 14.04.

3-(((1-Benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-chloroindolin-2-one (6p). Yield $80 \%$, m.p. $218-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3395 (NH), 1703 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 5.57 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.90 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.14 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.64-7.66 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.29-8.31 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.42 (s, 1H, $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), 8.46 ( $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.88 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 49.61, 50.31, 111.19, 111.29, 111.85, 112.25, 112.30, 112.53, 117.41, 118.03, 118.70, 119.67, 120.19, 121.92, 122.47, 122.71, 123.71, 124.30, 124.54, 125.52, 125.57, 126.10, 126.13, 126.34, 126.38, 126.81, 127.59, 127.65, 127.71, 127.82, 128.27, 128.50, 129.00, 129.22, 132.48, 136.74, 137.27, 137.62, 138.05, 138.36, 138.42, 140.14, 143.35, 149.06, 163.02, 163.08, 163.19, 165.57; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 69.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.57$; Found C, 70.01; H, 4.21; N, 13.74.

3-(((1-Benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-bromoindolin-
2-one (6q). Yield $78 \%$, m.p. $210-213^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3428 (NH), 1705 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 5.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzylic $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 8.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), 8.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 9.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.88 (s, 1H, NH isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]: $459\left[(M+2)^{+}, 5.93\right], 457\left[M^{+}, 6.83\right], 116[100] ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 50.32, 112.36, 113.03, 113.82, 120.17, 121.99, 122.77, 124.33, 125.00, 125.36, 127.84, 128.30, 129.24, 129.61, 130.52, 135.23, 137.28, 138.01, 140.32, 143.71, 149.03, 162.94, 163.22, 165.46; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.25$; Found C, 63.26; H, 3.78; N, 12.51.

3-(((1-Benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-methoxyindo-lin-2-one (6r). Yield $76 \%$, m.p. $222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3408 (NH), 1705 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 5.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.95(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.24-7.34 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 7.63 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.37-8.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 10.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ isatin, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 50.21 (benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $55.94\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.97,112.12,112.22,112.73,117.81,119.92$, 122.10, 122.46, 124.17, 125.55, 127.81 (2C), 128.24, 129.20 (2C), 137.36, 137.94, 138.54, 139.61, 150.21, 155.04, 162.12, 165.91 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 73.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.72$; Found C, 73.84; H, 4.98; N, 13.96.

Synthesis of $N$-substituted-indoline-2,3-dione 7a-f. Compounds 7a-f were prepared according to the literature procedure ${ }^{19,20}$.

Synthesis of $N$-substituted-3-hydrazonoindolin-2-ones 8a-f. Following the same procedures descried above for the preparation of hydrazones 4a-f.

Compounds $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}^{20}$ and $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{c}^{21}$ are previously reported.

5-Chloro-3-hydrazono-1-methylindolin-2-on (8b). Yield 65\%, m.p. $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3304\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1698$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.12,3.16\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.97,7.01$ (2d, 1 H , $\mathrm{H}-7$ isatin, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.21 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6$ isatin, $J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.31 , $8.06(2 \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ isatin, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.87,10.57\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable, $J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 25.69 ( $\mathrm{N}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.13\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 109.87,110.58,117.13,117.64,122.31,123.56$, 124.61, 126.25, 126.44, 126.63, 126.67, 128.16, 138.94, 140.67, 161.09, $164.68(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 51.57$; $\mathrm{H}, 3.85$; N, 20.05; Found C, 51.69; H, 3.87; N, 19.93.

5-Chloro-3-hydrazono-1-propylindolin-2-one (8d). Yield 72\%, m.p. $133-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3310\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $1703(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 1.51 (sextet, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.60^{-}\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(\bar{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7$ isatin, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, \overline{1} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6$ isatin, $J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.32 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ isatin, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $9.89,10.61$ (2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable, $J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.55, $11.57\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.00,21.09\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 40.65, $40.91\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 110.03,110.74,117.24,117.74$, 122.45, 123.66, 124.53, 126.12, 126.34, 126.52, 126.64, 128.11, 138.25, 140.01, $161.07(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $164.66(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 55.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.68$; Found C, $55.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.14 ; \mathrm{N}$, 17.80 .

General procedure for the synthesis of target compounds 9a-f. Following the same procedures descried above for the preparation of compounds 6a-r.

## 3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-1-methylindolin-2-one

(9a). Yield $70 \%$, m.p. $283-285^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3410(\mathrm{NH}), 1698$ (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) (ppm): 3.19 (s, 3H, N-CH3), 7.08 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.13(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), $7.44(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), $8.28-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.42$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.97 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 12.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $26.41\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), ~ 109.68, ~ 112.66, ~}^{\text {, }}\right.$ 113.15, 116.88, 121.70, 122.42, 123.00, 123.93, 124.95, 127.79, 133.07, 137.06, 138.04, 145.75, 148.89, 162.72, 164.41 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]: 302 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 20.95\right], 273$ [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 71.51 ; H, 4.67 ; N, 18.53; Found C, 71.67 ; H, 4.72; N, 18.79.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-chloro-1-methylindolin-
2-one (9b). Yield $65 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3387 (NH), 1697 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.11$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.26-7.33$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.51 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.27-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-$ and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.53 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 9.01 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 12.25 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $\mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]:438 [(M+2)+, 8.1], $336\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}, 21.32\right], 116[100] ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 26.63, 111.28, 112.85, 113.47, 118.68, 121.85, 122.43, 124.30, 124.91, 125.13, 127.44, 132.24, 138.26 (2C), 144.57, 147.93, 164.13, 164.15; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.20 ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.89; N, 16.64; Found C, 64.43; H, 3.94; N, 16.87.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-1-propylindolin-2-one (9c). Yield 64\%, m.p. $274-275^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3438 (NH), 1704 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.59 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.68(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.12-7.1 \overline{5}(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.23$ (s, 1H, -CH=N-), 8.28-8.30 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.43 (d, 1H, Ar-H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), $12.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta p p m: 11.61\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.86\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.25\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 109.87,112.68$, 113.16, 116.93, 121.70, 122.43, 122.88, 123.94, 124.95, 127.97, 133.09, 137.08, 138.05, 145.13, 148.77, 162.66, 164.41 (C=O); MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [\%]: $330\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}, 12.07\right.$ ], 273 [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 72.71; H, 5.49; N, 16.96; Found C, 72.98; H, 5.53; N, 17.23.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-5-chloro-1-propylindolin-2-one (9d). Yield $75 \%$, m.p. $295-297^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3415$ (NH), 1702 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 0.86 (t, 3H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.57 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3 . \overline{6} 8\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \overline{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.27-8.29$ (m, 2H, $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ - and Ar-H), 8.55 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=2.4 Hz), 9.02 (s, 1H, H-2 indole), 12.26 (s, 1H, NH indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.61, 20.83, 41.47, 111.46, 112.82, 113.43, 118.12, 121.63, 122.40, 124.20, 124.87, 126.61, 126.93, 127.52, 132.25, 138.23, 143.76, 148.31, 164.07, 164.08; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 65.84 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.70; N, 15.36; Found C, 66.01; H, 4.78; N, 15.49.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-1-benzylindolin-2-one (9e). Yield $70 \%$, m.p. $243-245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3419 ( NH ), 1702 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 4.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, benzylic $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.99$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54-7.56 (m, 1H, Ar-H), $8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-), 8.28-8.30$ (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.45 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=7.2 Hz), 9.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 12.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 43.12 (benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 110.27, 112.71, 113.19, 117.10, 121.72, 122.48, 123.19, 123.97, 124.97, 127.69 (2C), 127.92, 127.98, 129.17 (2C), 132.97, 136.73, 137.29, 138.08, 144.69, 148.59, 162.94, 164.58 (C=O); MS m/z [\%]: 378 [ ${ }^{+}$, 5.01] 91 [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 76.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.81$; Found C, 76.44; H, 4.85; N, 15.06.

3-(((1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)-1-benzyl-5-chloroindolin-2-one (9f). Yield $73 \%$, m.p. $264-266^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3380(\mathrm{NH})$, 1698 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 4.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 7.01 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.44$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.28-8.29 (m, 2H, -CH=N- and Ar-H), 8.57 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 9.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole), 12.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 43.24 (benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 111.73, 112.83, 113.42, 118.26, 121.61, 122.42, 124.21, 124.83, 126.93, 127.51 (2C), 127.66, 127.98, 129.19 (2C), 132.14, 136.43, 138.20, 138.34, 143.23, 147.79, 164.31, 164.32 (C=O); MS m/z [\%]: 414 [(M+2) ${ }^{+}$, 1.41], 412 [ $\left.{ }^{+}, ~ 3.85\right], ~ 91 ~[100] ; ~ A n a l . ~ c a l c d . ~ F o r ~$ $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 69.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.57$; Found C, $70.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.18 ; \mathrm{N}$, 13.81

Synthesis of 3-(hydrazonomethyl)-1-propyl-1H-indole 10. To a stirred solution of 1-propyl-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde $\mathbf{5 b}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}$, 10 mmol ) in ethanol ( 15 ml ), $99 \%$ hydrazine hydrate $(2.5 \mathrm{ml}$, 50 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h ,
and then the formed precipitate upon cooling was filtered off, washed with water, dried and recrystallised from methanol to afford hydrazone 10. Yield $68 \%$, m.p. $127-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3294\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{NMR} \quad\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \quad \delta \quad(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.83 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \quad 3 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.75 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.17-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.92 (s, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 8.33 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right.$ indole); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ ppm: $11.56\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.40\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 47.86\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 110.90,111.6 \overline{0}, 121.18,122.75, \overline{1} 23.10,125.70,13 \overline{4} .89$, 137.55, 155.08; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 71.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51 ; \mathrm{N}$, 20.88; Found C, 71.82; H, 7.43; N, 20.72.

### 5.1.10. General procedure for the synthesis of target compounds 11a-c

Following the same procedures descried above for the preparation of compounds 6a-r.

1-Methyl-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one (11a). Yield $70 \%$, m.p. $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1711$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.80 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.19 (s, 3 H , $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.24\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 7.08 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 7.44 (dt, 1H, Ar-H, J=8.0, 1.0 Hz ), 7.54 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, ~ \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.66-7.68 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.27-8.34 (m, 2H, -CH=N- and Ar-H), 8.40 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=7.2 Hz), 8.95 (s, 1H, H-2 indole); MS m/z [\%]: 344 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 29.71\right], 143$ [100]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.52, 23.28, $26.43,48.28,109.69,111.70,111.77,116.90,121.94,122.69,123.01$, 123.95, 125.58, 127.83, 133.09, 138.03, 139.27, 145.79, 148.92, 162.24, 164.43; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 73.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.85 ; \mathrm{N}$, 16.27; Found C, 72.98; H, 5.94; N, 16.23.

## 1-Propyl-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-

2-one (11b). Yield $58 \%$, m.p. $96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1706 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 1.61 (sextet, 2 H , $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.83 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H}, \quad-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.20^{-}(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15-7.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-)$, 8.29-8.31 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 8.39 (d, 1H, Ar-H, J=7.6 Hz), 8.94 (s, 1H, H2 indole); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.63, 11.65, 19.02, 20.68, 41.47, 41.66, 116.94, 117.97, 118.01, 119.38, 119.76, 123.22, 126.24, 127.31, 133.87, 137.40, 137.92, 143.26, 144.35, 146.45, 152.76, 153.09, 162.52; MS m/z [\%]: 372 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 24.94\right], 315$ [81.21], 143 [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 74.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.49 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.04$; Found C , 74.38; H, 6.56; N, 15.29.

1-Benzyl-3-(((1-propyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methylene)hydrazono)indolin-2-one (11c). Yield $65 \%$, m.p. $143-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \nu \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1710$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.82 (sextet, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, \overline{2 H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, benzylic $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{and} \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 8.44 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ indole); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 11.54, 26.72, 43.17, 48.32, 112.65, 114.00, 114.97, 117.33, 118.01, 118.43, 119.41, 119.72, 123.16, 124.65, 127.31, 129.19, 135.95, 136.65, 137.39, 138.52, 143.26, 144.70, 147.38, 152.74, 153.07, 162.43, 164.61; MS m/z [\%]: 420 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8.91\right]$,

91 [100]; Anal. calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 77.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.32$; Found C, 77.40; H, 5.79; N, 13.48.

## Biological evaluation

## Assessment of in vitro cytotoxic activity

HepG-2, HCT-116 and MCF-7 cancer cell lines were obtained from VACSERA Tissue Culture Unit. The cells were propagated in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ L-glutamine, HEPES buffer and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ gentamycin. All cells were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and were subcultured two times a week. Cytotoxicity was determined following a reported procedure ${ }^{22}$. The relation between surviving cells and drug concentration is plotted to get the survival curve of each tumour cell line after treatment with the specified compound. The $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) was estimated from graphic plots of the dose response curve for each conc. using Graphpad Prism software (San Diego, CA). The data presented are the mean of at least three separate experiments.

## In vitro anti-proliferative activity by NCI-USA

The anticancer assays were performed in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation Branch, NCl, Bethesda, $\mathrm{MD}^{23-25}$. A 48 h drug exposure protocol was used and sulphorhodamine B (SRB) protein assay ${ }^{26}$ was applied to estimate the cell viability and growth, as reported earlier ${ }^{27,28}$.

## DNA-flow cytometry analysis

To determine the effect of compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ on the cell cycle distribution MCF-7 cell line; cell cycle analysis was performed using the CycleTEST ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PLUS DNA Reagent Kit (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA). Control cells with known DNA content (PBMCs) were used as a reference point for determining the DI (DNA Index) for the test samples. The cells were stained with propodium iodide stain following the procedure provided by the kit and then run on the DNA cytometer. Cell-cycle distribution was calculated using CELLQUEST software (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA).

## Annexin V-FITC apoptosis assay

Apoptotic cells were further analysed by Annexin V-FITC/DAPI assay (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI). Briefly, MCF-7 cells were cultured to a monolayer then treated with compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ at the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ concentration $(1.04 \mu \mathrm{M})$ as described earlier. Cells were then harvested through trypsinisation, and rinsed twice in PBS followed by binding buffer. Moreover, cells were re-suspended in 100 IL of binding buffer with the addition of 1 IL of FITC-Annexin V (Becton Dickinson BD PharmingenTM, Heidelberg, Germany) followed by an incubation period of 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were then rinsed in binding buffer and re-suspended in 150 IL of binding buffer with the addition of 1 IL of DAPI ( $1 \mathrm{lg} / \mathrm{IL}$ in PBS) (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany). Cells were then analysed using the flow cytometer BD FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and the results were interpreted with FlowJo7.6.4 software (Tree Star, FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR)

## RNA extraction, real-time PCR analysis and quantification of gene expression

The gene expression of caspase3, caspase8, caspase 9, Bax, Bcl-2 and cytochrome-C was assessed by total mRNA extraction from
cells using RNeasy Mini Kit ${ }^{\circledR}$, Up to $1 \times 107$ cells, depending on the cell line, are disrupted in Buffer RLT and homogenised \& disrupted, Ethanol is then added to the lysate, creating conditions that promote selective binding of RNA to the RNeasy membrane. The sample is then applied to the RNeasy Mini spin column. Total RNA binds to the membrane, contaminants are efficiently was headway, and high-quality RNA is eluted in RNase-free water. Primer sequences for each gene were as follows: caspase-3 forward primer $5^{\prime}$-TGCATACTCCACAGCACCTGGTTA- $3^{\prime}$ and reverse primer $5^{\prime}$-CATGGCACAAAGCGACTGGATGAA-3'; Caspase-8 forward primer $5^{\prime}$-TTTCACTGTGTTAGCCAGGGTGGTA-3' and reverse primer 5'-CCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAG-3'; Caspase-9 forward primer $5^{\prime}$-TCAGTGACGTCTGTGTTCAGGAGA-3' and reverse primer $5^{\prime}$-TTGTT GATGATGAGGCAG TAGCCG-3'; Bcl-2 forward primer $5^{\prime}$-ATGACC AGACACTGACCATCCACT-3' and reverse primer $5^{\prime}$-ATGTAGTGGTTC TCCTGGTGGCAA-3; Bax forward primer $5^{\prime}$-TCTACTTTGCCAGCA AACTGGTGC- $3^{\prime}$ and reverse primer $5^{\prime}$-TGTCCAGCCCATGATG GTTCTGAT-3'; Cytochrome-C forward primer 5'-AGCTGGAGACGTTG AGAAGG-3' and reverse primer $5^{\prime}$-ATCTTCGTGCCAGGGATGTA-3'; GAPDH was used as reference housekeeping gene with forward primer $5^{\prime}$-TTCCAGGACCAAGATCCCTCCAAA- $3^{\prime}$ and reverse primer $5^{\prime}$-ATGGTGGTGAAGACACCAGTGAAC-3'.

## DPPH-free radical scavenging activities

The samples were tested for the antioxidant activity by measuring their radical scavenging ability that was assessed by the stable 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical (DPPH; purchased from Sigma; St. Louis, MO) scavenging method as modified by ElSheikh et al. ${ }^{29}$. In details, $150 \mu$ (DMSO solution; $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ final concentration) of each sample (or ascorbic acid as a reference antioxidant) was added to $850 \mu \mathrm{l}$ pure methanol and 10 two-fold dilutions were made from this stock solution to give good doseresponse curve then 2 ml of freshly prepared 0.13 mM DPPH solution in methanol was added to each tube. For control sample, $850 \mu \mathrm{l}$ methanol and $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) were added to 2 ml DPPH solution The sample solutions were vigorously shaken on a vortex for 1 min . and then the absorbance was measured at 516 nm in a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Spectronic Spectrometer, Milton Roy Ltd, Ivyland, PA) after 30 min . The radical scavenging ability (RSA) was calculated according to the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{RSA} \%=[(\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{As}) / \mathrm{Ac}] * 100
$$

where $A c$ is the absorbance of control DPPH sample without antioxidant; As is the absorbance of tested sample after 30 min . The concentration required for $50 \%$ radical scavenging ability ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) was calculated from the graphic plots.

## Oxidative stress parameters

Total protein assay in MCF-7 cells. The total proteins were assayed in treated and non-treated MCF-7 cells according to Lowry et al. ${ }^{30}$. Briefly, a solution containing $0.01 \%$ cupric sulphate, $0.01 \%$ NaK Tartarate, $2 \%$ sodium carbonate and 0.1 N sodium hydroxide was added to each sample and to each tube containing BSA and water (for the standard curve of the assay). Samples were vortexed and then allowed to incubate for 10 min at RT. Then, Folin-Ciocalten phenol reagent was added to each reaction tube to a final concentration of 0.1 N . Samples were vortexed and then allowed to incubate for 30 min at RT. The absorbance of each sample tube was then read at 500 nm . A standard curve was constructed and each sample protein concentration was then calculated by interpolating within the range of values provided by the standard curve.

All Lowry protein assay reagents were obtained from SigmaAldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity. SOD activity was done according to Kakkar et al. ${ }^{31}$. SOD assay is based on the spectrophotometric assessment of the inhibition of nitro blue tetrazoliumNADH and phenazine methosulphate (PMS)-mediated formazan formation. Absorbance was measured at 560 nm . About 50\% inhibition of formazan formation under the assay condition in 1 min is taken as one unit of enzyme activity/minute.

Catalase (CAT)activity. CAT was assayed spectrophotometrically using the method of Aebi et al. ${ }^{32}$. Assay is based on the principle of measurement of decomposition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by CAT measured at 240 nm .

Glutathione peroxidase (GSHPx) activity. The method of Paglia and Valentine ${ }^{33}$ was used to measure GPx activity. Cell pellets were homogenised in stock buffer containing 55 mM potassium phosphate, 1 mM sodium azide and 1 mM EDTA. GPx activity was measured by adding 0.25 mM H 2 O 2 in the presence of 1 mM GSH, $1 \mathrm{EU} / \mathrm{ml}$ glutathione reductase (GR) and 0.2 mM NADPH and measuring the change in absorbance at 340 nm for 5 min . GSH is oxidised by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to GSSG which is recycled by GR in presence of NADPH. Data were normalised per mg protein as determined by the Lowry protein assay.

Malondialdehyde (MDA) assay. MDA is the last marker of the lipid peroxidation pathway. This assay is according to the repercussion of MDA with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) that forms the MDATBA adduct that can be quantified calorimetrically ${ }^{34}$. Briefly, cells were collected by centrifugation and sonicated in ice-cold potassium chloride ( $1.15 \%$ ) and centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm . The resulting supernatant ( 1 ml ) was added to 2 ml of thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reagent ( $15 \%$ TCA, $0.7 \%$ TBA and 0.25 N HCl ) and heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min in a boiling bath. The sample was then placed in cold and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min . Absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 535 nm .

Carbonyl protein content assay. Protein carbonyl (PC) is the marker of protein oxidation. The carbonyl was identified by measuring the PC residues using dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH). Absorbance of the samples was measured at $370 \mathrm{~nm}^{35}$.

## Results and discussion

## Chemistry

The proposed synthetic routes to prepare the target compounds are shown in Schemes 1-3. The synthesis of the $N$-substituted-indole-3-carbaldehyde derivatives $\mathbf{5 a - c}$ was accomplished through formylation of 1 H -indole 1 with phosphorus oxychloride and DMF to give 1 H -indole-3-carbaldehyde 2, then alkylation of the latter by methyl/propyl/benzyl bromide in DMF in the presence of potassium carbonate. While, refluxing isatins 3a-d with hydrazine hydrate in methanol afforded the 3-hydrazonoindolin-2-ones 4a-d. The first series of the target compounds 6a-r was obtained in good yields ( $75-83 \%$ ) through condensation of hydrazones 4a-d with 1 H -indole-3-carbaldehyde 2 or N -substituted-indole-3-carbaldehydes $\mathbf{5 a - c}$ in absolute ethyl alcohol in the presence of a catalytic amount of glacial acetic acid (Scheme 1).

We next synthesised another series of hybrids (9a-f) to assess the impact of $N$-alkylation of the isatin moiety. Reaction of isatins

3a,b with methyl/propyl/benzyl bromide were carried out in a refluxing dry acetonitrile in the presence of potassium carbonate to furnish $N$-alkylated isatins 7a-f, respectively, which subsequently condensed with hydrazine hydrate in methanol to afford the hydrazones 8a-f. The target compounds 9a-f were obtained ( $64-75 \%$ yields) by reacting the hydrazone intermediates 8a-f with H -indole-3-carbaldehyde $\mathbf{2}$ in a refluxing ethanol in the presence of a catalytic amount of glacial acetic acid (Scheme 2). Trials to increase the yield, by using only glacial acetic acid as a solvent, failed as it is reported that it will give another product ${ }^{36}$.

As a part of the SAR study, an additional series of derivatives (11a-c) was designed. To prepare such set of analogues (11a-c), the intermediate 10 was condensed with the appropriate $N$-substituted isatin $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}$ in ethanol to furnish the target compounds 11a-c, respectively, with $58-70 \%$ yields (Scheme 3).

The structures of the all synthesised compounds were confirmed under the basis of spectral and elemental analyses which were in full agreement with the proposed structures.

## Biological evaluation

## In vitro anti-proliferative activity assay

Anti-proliferative activity towards MCF-7, HepG-2 and HCT-116 cell lines. Anti-proliferative activity of the synthesised compounds was proved by performing cytotoxic activity assay against three different cell lines (MCF-7, HepG-2 and HCT-116) as reported ${ }^{13}$. The results showed various strength of activity as shown in Table 1. Scrutinising the results reveals that compounds $\mathbf{6}$ of the first series, which is characterised by free NH of the isatin group and diverse $N$-substituents of indole moiety, illustrated their activity with respect to the substituent on NH of indole moiety. For example, compounds $\mathbf{6 a}-\mathbf{h}$, with -NH and $-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ on indole group, displayed no or weak cytotoxic activity against all three cell lines as they all possess $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values exceeding $24.55 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Grafting an $N$-propyl group on -NH of indole moiety yielded compounds with variant activity. For instance, compounds $\mathbf{6 j}$ and $\mathbf{6 k}$ with $5-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $5-\mathrm{Br}$ substituent on the isatin group exhibited moderate cytotoxic activity against the three cell lines ranging from $12.74 \mu \mathrm{M}$ up to $25.87 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Exceptionally, compound $\mathbf{6 i}$ with $N$-propyl indole group and unsubstituted isatin moiety and compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ with N -propyl indole group and substituted 7-F isatin moiety, displayed significant cytotoxic activity against the three cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $3.67 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $8.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, against HCT-116 cell line and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $13 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.97 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, against HepG-2 cell line. Compounds $\mathbf{6 i}$ and $\mathbf{6 n}$ with the $N$-propyl indole group and unsubstituted indolin-2-one moiety or unsubstituted indolin-2-one moiety with the 7-F group, displayed strong cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.58 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ and $\left.1.04 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ as shown in Table 1. Fortunately, introduction of benzyl group on indole moiety was fruitful in compound 6q (HCT-116; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.99 \mu \mathrm{M}$, HepG-2; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). On the other hand, all other derivatives with different substitutions on indolin-2-one moiety possessed weak or no activity.

Unfortunately, changing the $N$-substitution from indole moiety to isatin moiety did not display any cytotoxic activity as in compounds 9a-f revealed by their high $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values as shown in Table 1. This gives insight that $N$-propyl substitution of the indole moiety is advantageous to anti-proliferative activity over substitution of the indolin-2-one group. Accordingly, a third series was designed (11a-c), sparing the $N$-propyl indole scaffold and substituting the indolin-2-one group with different substituents. Unfortunately, none of derivatives 11a-c proved to be a potent


Scheme 1. Synthesis of target compounds 6a-r; Reagents and conditions: (i) dry $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{POCl}_{3} / \mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 2 \mathrm{~h}$, (ii) alkyl (or benzyl) bromide/THF/NaH, r.t. 12 h , (iii) $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ / $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ reflux 1 h , (iv) EtOH/AcOH (catalytic)/reflux 3 h and (v) Hydrazones $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ (catalytic)/reflux 3 h .


Scheme 2. Synthesis of target compounds 9a-f; Reagents and conditions: (i) methyl, propyl or benzyl bromide, dry acetonitrile, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, reflux 3 h , (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ / $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ reflux 1 h and (iii) EtOH/AcOH (catalytic)/reflux 3 h .
cytotoxic compound as they possess $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values on the three cell lines exceeding $27.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

NCI, USA anti-proliferative assay of $6 \mathrm{i}, 6 \mathrm{j}, 6 \mathrm{n}$ and 6 q towards 60 cell lines. The structures of the active synthesised compounds were submitted to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Developmental Therapeutic Program (www.dtp.nci.nih.gov). Four compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ were selected to be screened for their anticancer activity in vitro. The compounds were screened at one dose primary anticancer assay towards a panel of 60 cancer cell lines (concentration $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ). The tumour cell lines were taken from nine different organs (blood, colon, lung, brain, skin, ovary, kidney, prostate and breast). The data reported as mean-graph of
the percent growth of the treated cells, and presented as percentage growth inhibition (GI\%) caused by the test compounds (Table 2).

Close examination of the obtained results, $\mathrm{GI} \%$ values in Table 2, suggested that compounds $\mathbf{6 j}$ and $\mathbf{6 n}$ ( $N$-propyl-indole derivatives combined with substituted indolin-2-one) are the most active analogues in this assay, showing broad spectrum activity toward numerous cell lines that belong to different tumour subpanels. Both compounds $\mathbf{6 j}$ and $\mathbf{6 n}$ displayed mean inhibition $=19 \%$, and possessed anti-proliferative activity against 44 and 39 cell lines, respectively, representing all subpanels (GI; 11-76\%). Compound 6n showed potent growth inhibitory effect over leukaemia CCRFCEM, HL-60(TB), K-562, MOLT-4, SR and breast cancer MCF7, T-47D


Scheme 3. Synthesis of target compounds 11a-c; Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ reflux 2 h and (ii) $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ (catalytic)/reflux 3 h .

Table 1. Antitumor activity of compounds $\mathbf{6 a - u}, \mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{- f}$, and 11a-c against HCT-116, HepG2, and MCF-7 cancer cell lines.



9a-f


11a-c

| Compound | $1 \mathrm{C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HCT-116 | HepG-2 | MCF-7 |
| 6a | >100 | >100 | >100 |
| 6b | $>100$ | $94.62 \pm 6.93$ | $>100$ |
| 6c | >100 | $58.34 \pm 2.38$ | $>100$ |
| 6d | $95.46 \pm 4.08$ | $52.95 \pm 1.87$ | $>100$ |
| 6 e | $37.46 \pm 1.24$ | $24.55 \pm 0.47$ | $55.34 \pm 2.72$ |
| 6 f | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 6 g | $>100$ | >100 | $>100$ |
| 6h | >100 | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| $6 i$ | $3.67 \pm 1.79$ | $13.00 \pm 0.67$ | $3.58 \pm 0.28$ |
| 6j | $14.00 \pm 1.02$ | $18.41 \pm 1.03$ | $25.87 \pm 1.88$ |
| 6k | $25.42 \pm 1.22$ | $12.74 \pm 0.83$ | $14.38 \pm 0.98$ |
| 61 | $99.14 \pm 4.52$ | $83.78 \pm 3.41$ | $>100$ |
| 6 m | $95.59 \pm 5.88$ | $83.74 \pm 2.29$ | $>100$ |
| 6n | $8.57 \pm 0.52$ | $3.97 \pm 0.19$ | $1.04 \pm 0.08$ |
| 60 | $80.50 \pm 2.48$ | $57.00 \pm 1.44$ | $94.00 \pm 1.44$ |
| 6 p | $27.80 \pm 0.96$ | $60.60 \pm 2.92$ | $27.20 \pm 1.58$ |
| 6q | $5.99 \pm 0.42$ | $3.81 \pm 0.13$ | $7.67 \pm 0.62$ |
| 6 r | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 9a | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 9b | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 9c | $>100$ | >100 | $>100$ |
| 9d | $55.30 \pm 1.78$ | $27.70 \pm 1.04$ | $98.40 \pm 5.74$ |
| 9 e | $>100$ | $91.60 \pm 5.44$ | $>100$ |
| 9 f | $98.20 \pm 4.44$ | >100 | $>100$ |
| 11a | $70.13 \pm 3.20$ | $55.25 \pm 3.18$ | $39.42 \pm 1.06$ |
| 11b | $>100$ | >100 | $>100$ |
| 11c | >100 | > 100 | $>100$ |
| Dox. | $3.70 \pm 0.26$ | $3.56 \pm 0.17$ | $2.57 \pm 0.18$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{C}_{50}$ values are the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}$ of three separate experiments.
with inhibition percent of $66 \%, 56 \%, 65 \%, 52 \%, 76 \%, 46 \%$ and $50 \%$, respectively. Whereas, compound $\mathbf{6 j}$ showed potent growth inhibitory effect over non-small cell lung cancer EKVX, ovarian cancer IGROV1, renal cancer UO-31 and prostate cancer PC-3 with inhibition percent of $63 \%, 50 \%, 47 \%$ and $46 \%$, respectively. All the leukaemia (except RPMI-8226) and breast cancer (except BT-549) cell lines were sensitive to all the tested compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ with $\mathrm{GI} \%$ range of $16-76 \%$ and $11-50 \%$, respectively.

The susceptible cell lines to the tested compounds $\mathbf{6 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ with $\mathrm{GI} \% \geq 25$ are presented in Figure 4 . Only leukaemia K-562, MOLT-4 and renal UO-31 cell lines were susceptible to all
the tested compounds, with $\mathrm{Gl} \% \geq 25$. While compound $\mathbf{6 q}$ showed potent growth inhibition against ovarian cancer OVCAR-5 and renal cancer A498 ( $\mathrm{Gl} \%=72$ and 85 , respectively), it exerted lethal activity over breast cancer MDA-MB-468 with GI $\%=143$.

Cell-cycle analysis and apoptotic study. Compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ displayed a significant cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 cancer cell lines. Consequently, more biological studies were performed to explore its mechanistic study inside this cancer cell line. Cell-cycle analysis and apoptotic cell markers would be taken into consideration during this investigative study.

Table 2. Percentage growth inhibition ( $\mathrm{Gl} \%$ ) of in vitro subpanel tumour cell lines at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration for compounds $6 \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$, and $\mathbf{6 q}$.

| Subpanel/cell line | Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathbf{i} \\ \text { (NSC 795310) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 j} \\ \text { (NSC 795312) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6n } \\ \text { (NSC 795322) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 q \\ \text { (NSC 795327) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Leukemia |  |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 24 | 31 | 66 | 19 |
| HL-60(TB) | 39 | 20 | 56 | 16 |
| K-562 | 29 | 37 | 65 | 33 |
| MOLT-4 | 26 | 39 | 52 | 33 |
| RPMI-8226 | 25 | 21 | 38 | - |
| SR | 35 | 33 | 76 | 20 |
| Non-small cell lung cancer |  |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC | - | 23 | 12 | - |
| EKVX | - | 63 | 33 | 44 |
| HOP-62 | - | 12 | - | - |
| HOP-92 | 24 | 32 | 28 | - |
| NCI-H226 | 12 | 26 | - | 20 |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 23$ | - | 15 | - | - |
| NCI-H322M | - | - | 15 | 16 |
| NCI-H460 | - | 26 | - | 48 |
| NCI-H522 | 17 | 14 | 28 | 16 |
| Colon cancer |  |  |  |  |
| COLO 205 | - | 12 | - | - |
| HCC-2998 | - | - | - | - |
| HCT-116 | 12 | 21 | 20 | - |
| HCT-15 | - | 25 | 23 | - |
| HT29 | - | 14 | 23 | - |
| KM12 | - | 24 | 14 | - |
| SW-620 | 11 | - | 37 | - |
| CNS cancer |  |  |  |  |
| SF-268 | - | 18 | 13 | - |
| SF-295 | - | - | - | - |
| SF-539 | 15 | - | - | - |
| SNB-19 | - | - | - | - |
| SNB-75 | 35 | 19 | 17 | 15 |
| U251 | 12 | 22 | 16 | - |
| Melanoma |  |  |  |  |
| LOX IMVI | 17 | 22 | 16 | - |
| MALME-3M | - | - | - | - |
| M14 | 12 | 20 | 13 | - |
| MDA-MB-435 | - | - | 11 | - |
| SK-MEL-2 | - | - | - | - |
| SK-MEL-28 | - | - | - | - |
| SK-MEL-5 | 11 | 20 | 12 | - |
| UACC-257 | 18 | 12 | 17 | - |
| UACC-62 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 21 |
| Ovarian cancer |  |  |  |  |
| IGROV1 | 31 | 50 | 39 | 19 |
| OVCAR-3 | 21 | - | 35 | - |
| OVCAR-4 | 33 | 12 | 21 | 16 |
| OVCAR-5 | - | - | - | 72 |
| OVCAR-8 | 10 | 21 | 17 | - |
| NCI/ADR-RES | - | 16 | - | - |
| SK-OV-3 | - | 15 | - | 25 |
| Renal cancer |  |  |  |  |
| 786-0 | - | - | - | - |
| A498 | - | 16 | - | 85 |
| ACHN | - | 29 | 26 | - |
| RXF 393 | - | 38 | 18 | 42 |
| SN12C | 11 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| TK-10 | - | - | - | 18 |
| U0-31 | 30 | 47 | 35 | 24 |
| Prostate |  |  |  |  |
| PC-3 | 13 | 46 | 12 | 25 |
| DU-145 | - | 14 | - | - |
| Breast cancer |  |  |  |  |
| MCF7 | 27 | 32 | 46 | 17 |
| MDA-MB-231 | 11 | 29 | 18 | 17 |
| HS 578T | 11 | 29 | 13 | 19 |
| BT-549 | - | - | - | - |
| T-47D | 29 | 31 | 50 | 10 |
| MDA-MB-468 | 25 | 21 | 23 | 143 |
| Mean growth, \% | 89 | 81 | 81 | 85 |
| Sensitive cell lines no. | 31 | 44 | 39 | 26 |

[^1]Cell-cycle analysis. Anti-proliferative agents abort cell growth by arresting its proliferation at certain well-known checkpoints ${ }^{37}$. Upon treatment of cancer cells with anticancer agents, distinguish cells can be detected in various phases of cell cycle. Herein, MCF-7 cells were treated with compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ at its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(1.04 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Analysing the obtained data, reveals that compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ produced a marked decrease of the cell population at G1 and S phases as compared to the control ( $55.3 \%$ and $27.56 \%$, versus $64.82 \%$ and $26.85 \%$, respectively). Whereas it caused significant decrease of cell population in the G2/M phase when compared with untreated control cells ( $17.13 \%$ versus $8.33 \%$ ). These results prove that compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ exerts its cytotoxic effect in MCF-7 cells through arresting its proliferation in G2/M phase (Figure 5).

Annexin-V FTIC apoptotic study. Annexin V-based flow cytometry analysis indicates either the cell death is achieved through programmed apoptosis or non-specific necrosis ${ }^{38}$. Therefore, Annexin V-FTIC/DAPI dual staining assay (Figure 6) was carried out for compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ aiming to evaluate its apoptotic effect. In the current study, MCF-7 cells were treated with $\mathbf{6 n}$.

Scrutinising the results of Annexin-V FTIC assay revealed that 6n exhibited a marked increase in the percent of Annexin V-FITC positive apoptotic cells including both the early and late apoptotic phases, from $1.14 \%$ to $21.74 \%$ which implies 19 -folds increase as compared with the control ( $p<.001$ ). Moreover, only $2.45 \%$ of the cells showed non-specific necrosis. These findings are in accordance with the previous apoptosis studies observations that propound undoubtedly that compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ exhibits pro-apoptotic potential which contributes to its anti-proliferative activity.

Activation of proteolytic caspase cascade. Activation of the cysteine aspartic proteases, known as caspases, comprises a pivotal role in the self-automated cell death; apoptosis ${ }^{39}$. Consequently, the effect of compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ on caspase 3 , the executioner caspase, was evaluated aiming to investigate its pro-apoptotic effect. Moreover, its effect on caspase 8 and caspase 9 activations was determined in order to specify either apoptosis was induced through the intrinsic or extrinsic pathway. Results revealed that compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ amazingly induced the level of active caspase 3 by 76 -folds in comparison with control cells. Exploring its effects on both caspase 8 and caspase 9 revealed that it potentiated the level of the former by only 1.98 -folds, whereas it produced marked increments of the latter by 928 -folds. These results strongly suggest that compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ induced apoptosis in MCF-7 cell line through the intrinsic rather than the extrinsic pathway (Table 3).

Effects on mitochondrial apoptosis pathway (Bcl-2 family) proteins. Members of the $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ are chief regulators of the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway ${ }^{40}$. Among these, Bax and Bcl-2 precisely modulate this programmed process. Both proteins have opposing effects on apoptosis induction, where Bax possesses pro-apoptotic activity while Bcl-2 has anti-apoptotic effect ${ }^{41,42}$. Thus, the ratio between them is the determining factor for cell fate regulation ${ }^{42}$. Accordingly, in the current study, MCF-7 cells were treated with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ and its effect on the levels of both Bax and Bcl-2 were recorded in Table 3. Furthermore, the $\mathrm{Bax} / \mathrm{Bcl} 2$ ratio was determined to give more profound insight of the proapoptotic activity of the molecule.

As indicated by the results in Table 3, compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ amazingly boosted the Bax level 379.8 -folds as compared with the control. Whereas it only downregulated the Bcl 2 level by 1.07 -folds. A rather decisive value is the $\mathrm{Bax} / \mathrm{Bcl} 2$ ratio which gives insight to the overall pro-apoptotic effect of our compound. The calculated


Figure 4. The most sensitive cell lines towards the target compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ according to the $\mathrm{Gl} \%$.


Figure 5. Effect of compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ on the phases of cell cycle of MCF-7 cells.
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Figure 6. Effect of compound $\mathbf{6} \boldsymbol{n}$ on the percentage of Annexin V-FITC positive staining in MCF-7 cells versus control (1\% DMSO).

Table 3. Effect of compound $6 \boldsymbol{n}$ on the active caspases-3, -8 and -9 levels, and the expression levels of Bcl-2, Bax and cytochrome C, respectively, in MCF-7 cancer cells treated with the compound at its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ concentration.

| Compound | Casp-3IU/ml | Casp-8IU/ml | Casp-9IU/ml | Bax IU/ml | Bcl-2IU/ml | Bax/Bcl-2 ratio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 1733 | 3368 | 246 | 985 | 178,233 | 0.0055 | Cyt-C IU/ml |
| $6 \mathbf{n}$ | $131,927^{* * *}$ | $6690^{* *}$ | $228,320^{* * *}$ | $374,152^{* * *}$ | $165,783^{* *}$ | 6.256 | $383,873^{* * *}$ |

Data are mean $\pm$ SD of three separate experiments.
*Significantly different from control ( $1 \%$ DMSO) at $p<.05$.
$* *$ Significantly different from control at $p<.01$.
$* * *$ Significantly different from control at $p<.001$.
value for the $\mathrm{Bax} / \mathrm{Bcl} 2$ ratio in comparison with that of the reference compound is increased by 407 -folds. Conclusively, the ability of compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ to upregulate Bax level, downregulate Bcl 2 level while significantly boosting the $\mathrm{Bax} / \mathrm{Bcl} 2$ ratio proves undoubtedly compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ pro-apoptotic activity.

Cytochrome C activation. Mitochondrial cytochrome C is released into the cytosol as a result of $\mathrm{Bax} / \mathrm{Bcl} 2$ increments. Accordingly, it potentiates a cascade of caspases that finally triggers the executioner caspase: caspase $3^{43,44}$. From the previous findings, compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ proved to induce apoptosis in MCF-7 cell line through activation of the intrinsic rather than the extrinsic pathway as witnessed by the significant augmentation of caspase 9 level compared to caspase 8 level increments. Thus, we measured the level of cytochrome $C$ to further emphasise the adoption of the intrinsic pathway. MCF-7 cells were treated with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ and the level of cytochrome $C$ was determined as compared with the control. Results revealed that cytochrome $C$ level increased by 581-folds (Table 3).

## Apoptotic effect through generation of ROS

DPPH free radical scavenging activities. In the current study, the antioxidant potential of the synthesised compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6} \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ was tested using one of the most common antioxidant assays; the DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl free radical) radical scavenging assay, and were compared with standard antioxidant (ascorbic acid). DPPH is a stable free radical that has the potential to accept an electron producing a stable molecule. Compounds that have the ability to act as electron donors result in reduction of this DPPH radical revealing their antioxidant potential. The principle of the assay depends on measuring the absorbance of the odd electrons of DPPH at 516 nm . In the presence of free radical scavengers, the absorbance decreases proportional to the decrease of the DPPH radical concentration. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values that produce $50 \%$ inhibition of the DPPH radical are given in Table 4.

The results reveal that all the tested compounds, $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$, exhibited much higher $I C_{50}$ values compared with that of ascorbic acid $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=115.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 43.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}, 31.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}\right.$ and $48.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, versus $9.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively). This confirms that they

Table 4. Evaluation of antioxidant activity for compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, 6 \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{6 n}$, and $\mathbf{6 q}$ using DPPH radical scavenging activity.

| Compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{6 i}$ | $115.8 \pm 6.4$ |
| $6 \mathbf{j}$ | $43.9 \pm 0.8$ |
| $\mathbf{6 n}$ | $31.6 \pm 0.8$ |
| $6 \mathbf{q}$ | $48.1 \pm 1.7$ |
| Ascorbic acid | $9.7 \pm 0.5$ |

${ }^{2} I C_{50}$ values are the mean $\pm$ SE of three separate experiments.
have low antioxidant potential which favours the induction of oxidative stress that triggers apoptosis in neoplastic cells.

Oxidative stress parameters. In the current study, we evaluated the activities of some free radical enzymes including SOD, CAT, GSHPx, lipid peroxide (LP) and PC in MCF-7 cells treated with our synthesised compounds (Table 5). Moreover, the level of total protein was evaluated as an indication of the cytotoxic effect of the tested compounds.

The results reveal that treatment of the MCF-7 cells with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ elevated the level of SOD with concomitant depression of CAT and GSHPx as compared to the control cells. Additionally, the levels of ROS exemplified in LP were significantly elevated in comparison with the control cells. Moreover, the levels of PC were also elevated consequently (Table 5).

These results can thoroughly prove that the cytotoxic effect of the tested compounds $\mathbf{6 i}, \mathbf{6 j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$ is partially exerted by disrupting the balance between free radical or ROS production and the antioxidant system. This is achieved through elevation of ROS (LP) levels evidenced by the significant increase in the level of SOD which triggers the production of ROS that in turn mediates apoptosis. ROS catabolising enzymes as CAT and GSH-Px levels were shown to be depleted in MCF-7 cells treated with the synthesised compounds as compared with the control which guarantees the consequent accumulation of ROS that eventually affects tumour cell killing due to protein oxidation which converts the protein to PC derivatives. In accordance, the elevated PC levels imply the protein oxidative damage as it is a reliable marker for protein damage. These results are consistent with the postulation that these compounds endeavour their cytotoxic activity through ROS generation. In accordance with these findings, the level of protein in the treated cells was lower than that of the control due to the oxidative damage of the protein by ROS over generation.

## Conclusion

Hybridisation technique between indole and 3-hydrazinoindolin-2one was successful to produce apoptosis inducing agents. According to this technique, 27 compounds were designed and synthesised to be investigated for their cytotoxic activity against three cancer cell lines. Compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ showed the best activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against MCF-7 cancer cell line. This compound displayed a broad-spectrum activity against different cancer cell lines in 60 -cell line panel test by NCI-USA. Deep apoptotic study on this compound revealed that this compound would disrupt G2/M phase in cell cycle and increase \% of early and late apoptosis by 19 -folds more than control in Annexin V-FTIC. Compound 6n exhibited an ability to increase the expression of some enzymes that affect the apoptosis as caspase 3, caspase 9 , cytochrome c, Bax and decrease the expression of Bcl-2. Compound $\mathbf{6 n}$ showed the ability to induce apoptosis by generation of ROS

Table 5. Oxidative stress markers and antioxidants in control and MCF-7 treated cells with compounds $\mathbf{6 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{6 j} \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{6 n}$ and $\mathbf{6 q}$.

|  | Compound |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROS | $\mathbf{6 i}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{6 n}$ | $\mathbf{6 q}$ |
| Total protein ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 10^{6}$ cells) | $59.1 \pm 7.5$ | $89.2 \pm 10.4$ | $54.6 \pm 8.2$ | $70.8 \pm 6.4$ | $131.9 \pm 11.7$ |
| Superoxide dismatase (SOD) ( $\mathrm{nMol} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein) | $137.8 \pm 12.4$ | $104.6 \pm 7.8$ | $145.2 \pm 9.6$ | $114.5 \pm 10.1$ | $64.6 \pm 2.8$ |
| Catalase (CAT) (U/mg protein) | $8.7 \pm 2.9$ | $22.6 \pm 1.2$ | $10.9 \pm 4.1$ | $18.4 \pm 1.8$ | $24.8 \pm 3.4$ |
| Glutathione peroxidase (GSHPx) (mU/mg protein) | $4.9 \pm 1.3$ | $6.7 \pm 1.5$ | $4.6 \pm 0.8$ | $5.3 \pm 1.1$ | $8.9 \pm 1.8$ |
| Malondialdehyde (MDA) ( $\mathrm{nMol} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein) | $1.75 \pm 0.31$ | $0.56 \pm 0.18$ | $1.68 \pm 0.24$ | $1.27 \pm 0.15$ | $0.42 \pm 0.06$ |
| Protein carbonyl (PC) (mMol/mg protein) | $16.8 \pm 2.4$ | $8.4 \pm 0.4$ | $28.1 \pm 3.7$ | $12.3 \pm 1.5$ | $6.4 \pm 0.2$ |

Data are mean $\pm$ SD of three separate experiments.
and this shown in a further biological study on oxidative stress markers as superoxide dismutase (SOD), CAT and GSHPx.
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