



Published in final edited form as:

Ann Glob Health. 2016 ; 82(5): 779–787. doi:10.1016/j.aogh.2016.04.001.

Education Mitigates the Relationship of Stress and Mental Disorders Among Rural Indian Women

Nisha Fahey, BA, Apurv Soni, BA, Jeroan Allison, MD, MSc, Jagdish Vankar, MD, Anusha Prabhakaran, MD, Tiffany A. Moore Simas, MD, Nancy Byatt, MD, MBA, Ajay Phatak, MPH, Eileen O’Keefe, MD, MPH, and Somashekhar Nimbalkar, MD

Des Moines University, Des Moines, IA (NF); University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA (AS, JA, TAMS, NB); Pramukhswami Medical College, Gujarat, India (JV, APr, APh, SN); and Boston University, Boston, MA (EOK)

Abstract

BACKGROUND—Common mental disorders (CMD) are a constellation of mental health conditions that include depression, anxiety, and other related nonpsychotic affective disorders. Qualitative explanatory models of mental health among reproductive-aged women in India reveal that distress is strongly associated with CMD. The relationship of perceived stress and CMD might be attenuated or exacerbated based on an individual’s sociodemographic characteristics.

OBJECTIVES—To screen for Common Mental Disorders (CMD) among reproductive-aged women from rural western India and explore how the relationship between perceived stress and CMD screening status varies by sociodemographic characteristics.

METHODS—Cross-sectional survey of 700 women from rural Gujarat, India. CMD screening status was assessed using Self-Reported Questionnaire 20 (SRQ-20). Factors associated with CMD screening status were evaluated using multivariable logistic regression. Effect modification for the relationship of perceived stress and CMD screening status was assessed using interaction terms and interpreted in terms of predicted probabilities.

FINDINGS—The analytic cohort included 663 women, with roughly 1 in 4 screening positive for CMD (157, 23.7%). Poor income, low education, food insecurity, and recurrent thoughts after traumatic events were associated with increased risk of positive CMD screen. Perceived stress was closely associated with CMD screening status. Higher education attenuated the relationship between high levels of stress and CMD screening status (82.3%, 88.8%, 32.9%; *P* value for trend: 0.03). Increasing income and age attenuated the link between moderate stress and CMD.

CONCLUSIONS—Our findings suggest a high burden of possible CMD among reproductive-aged women from rural western India. Higher education might mitigate the association between elevated stress and CMD. Future efforts to improve mental health in rural India should focus on

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Address correspondence to A.S. (Apurv.Soni@umassmed.edu).

The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

All listed authors had access to the data and primary results presented, contributed to the writing of the manuscript, and approved the final version for submission.

preventing CMD by enhancing rural women's self-efficacy and problem-solving capabilities to overcome challenging life events and stressors, thereby reducing the risk of CMD.

Keywords

common mental disorders; epidemiology; perceived stress; rural India; SRQ-20; women's health

INTRODUCTION

Mental illnesses are among the most common and disabling health conditions worldwide. With 7.4% of disability-adjusted life-years attributed to mental illness, they are more disabling than some physical illnesses.^{1,2} Common mental disorders (CMD) are a constellation of mental health conditions that include depression, anxiety, and other related non-psychotic affective disorders.³ The World Health Organization ranks CMD as the leading cause of disease burden in India among women in the 15-to 44-year age group.⁴

Previous studies from India have reported an association of CMD with age, sex, income, marital status, education, poverty, and deprivation.⁵⁻⁷ Additionally, the presence of chronic obstetric and gynecologic comorbidities increase the risk of CMD.⁸ Qualitative studies investigating explanatory models of mental health among women in India reveal that distress is strongly associated with CMD and common stressors include intimate partner violence, marital problems, difficulty making ends meet, and inability to care for children.^{9,10} A quantitative understanding of the relationship between stress and CMD is currently lacking.

Stress perception by an individual is a function of one's reaction to challenging life events (stressors) in the context of prior experiences, belief systems, and coping mechanisms.¹¹⁻¹³ Stressors vary in severity and duration and elicit a response (stress) that can be adaptive (eustress) or maladaptive (distress) depending on individual coping abilities.¹³ The relationship of perceived stress and CMD might be attenuated or exacerbated based on an individual's sociodemographic characteristics.^{13,14} Identification of these attributes and the mechanisms through which they could mitigate the relationship of high perceived stress and CMD holds promise for developing new strategies to promote mental health in rural India.

To our knowledge, no study has reported how sociodemographic characteristics modify the relation between perceived stress and CMD among Indian women. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of CMD and explore how age, marital status, education, and household income influence the association between perceived stress and CMD among women of reproductive age in rural western India, an underserved and understudied population.

METHODS

Setting and Study Design

This prospective cross-sectional cohort study enrolled women currently living in rural settings in the Anand district, Gujarat, India. Seven hundred women between the ages of 18 and 45 years were surveyed in person by trained interviewers using a questionnaire in Gujarati, the local language. Study participants were randomly recruited from 2 different

settings: (1) Shri Krishna Hospital (SKH), a tertiary care center that serves the rural population; and (2) 16 surrounding villages within a 20-kilometer radius from SKH. The study received approval from the Boston University Institutional Review Board and the Human Research Ethics Committee of HM Patel Center for Medical Care and Education.

Data Collection

Participants were approached and screened for eligibility based on their age, ability to comprehend and speak Gujarati, and rural residence within the Anand district. Clinic interviews were conducted in the outpatient waiting area of a variety of clinics at SKH, including pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology, and general medicine. Eighteen participants interviewed while visiting an inpatient clinic at SKH were excluded from this analysis because their responses could reflect acute stress experienced by the hospitalization of a relative or friend.

Researchers developed a recruitment plan for the community placed surveys by assessing village layout, number of *fariyabs* (colonies) within each village, and number of *simvistar* communities (peripheral areas) before recruitment of the participants. Subsequently, the number of participants interviewed from each *fariyah* and *simvistar* was determined so that roughly 20 women were interviewed from each village. The first female from each household that encountered the interviewer was recruited for study participation and screened for eligibility. In both the village and clinic setting, field supervisors implemented a protocol for random recruitment so that every third women in the clinical waiting area and every third house in each street-equivalent were approached. All surveys were conducted anonymously after obtaining written informed consent where provision of name was optional and, if provided, was only recorded on a separate informed consent form that was never linked to the survey questionnaire. On average, survey completion lasted 20–30 minutes, and 5 trained interviewers collected all of the data from clinic and village over the course of 15 days in October 2011.

Data Variables

The survey, first drafted in English, was translated to Gujarati and then translated back to English to check for fidelity in the translated language. Common Mental Disorders screening status was determined using the World Health Organization Self-Reported Questionnaire (SRQ-20), which consists of 20 yes/no questions and is recommended for use specifically in low- and middle-income countries.¹⁵ Based on previous validation of SRQ-20 in western parts of India, participants who responded yes to 8 or more questions were considered as screening positive for CMD.^{16,17} The internal reliability of SRQ-20 within our study participants was robust (Kuder-Richardson 20 score: 0.91).¹⁸ Perceived daily stress was assessed using a single-item question, “How much stress do you experience in your daily life?” Perceived daily stress was considered to be high if the participant responded “a lot,” moderate if the response was “somewhat,” and minimal if “nominal,” or “not at all.” Other covariates included in the analysis were age, education, marital status, self-reported household monthly income, food insecurity, experience of traumatic events and subsequent recurrent thoughts, and disease burden. As described in detail elsewhere,¹⁹ household income was standardized into income/person/day values to account for variation in the

household size. Subsequently, income was converted to US dollars using currency exchange rate from 2011.¹⁹ Food insecurity was defined as at least 1 or more incidence of the participant skipping meals in the previous week due to shortage of money. Experience of traumatic events was assessed by asking the participants, “Have you ever witnessed or had any experience, including accidents, where your life or someone else’s was in danger, or where someone was seriously hurt or killed?” Participants who responded positively were subsequently asked, “Since this experience, have you ever been troubled by repeated thoughts or feelings about the experience(s)?” to assess recurrent thoughts after traumatic event. Disease burden was based on self-report of current and past diagnoses or conditions. Only diseases or conditions that were reported by at least 10% of participants were included in the analyses.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive data analyses provided an assessment of covariate distributions with CMD screening status. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables and association with CMD screening status was assessed using χ^2 test or Fischer’s exact test where appropriate. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of positive CMD screening. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were calculated. Predictor variables in the first model included age, income, education, marital status, food insecurity, recurrent thoughts after traumatic events, and comorbid conditions based on the current knowledge of risk factors for CMD in rural India.^{7,20} Because perceived stress may be considered a part of the causal pathway through which food insecurity and recurrent thoughts after traumatic events are associated with CMD, it was not included in the first multivariable model that sought to identify risk factors for CMD screening status in this population.^{9,10} A separate model explored the interactions of sociodemographic characteristics, such as age, income, education, and marital status, with perceived stress in predicting positive outcome for CMD screen. Because effect estimates of interaction terms are difficult to interpret without additional computation, we reported the adjusted predicted probability for positive CMD screening across different levels of age, income, education, and marital status. The point estimates of probabilities were calculated using inverse logit calculations and the variance was estimated using delta method, which employs a Taylor linearization approach.²¹ Trends for probability of positive CMD screen across different levels of socio-demographic characteristics within each level of perceived stress were assessed using linear polynomial tests for trend. Data entry was performed through forms created using Epi-Info software (CDC, Atlanta, GA) and all of the statistical analyses were carried out using STATA SE 13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).^{22,23}

RESULTS

Of the 700 women interviewed for the study, 663 participants contributed data to the analytic cohort; 19 surveys were excluded because of incompleteness and 18 because the respondent was the relative or companion of a hospitalized patient. The majority of respondents had at least a secondary education, and >80% were married. Two-thirds of the respondents reported household income below the World Bank’s poverty line of \$1.25 per person per day. Almost half of the participants reported experiencing “somewhat” or “a lot” of stress. Using the

SRQ-20 to assess for presence of CMD, 157 (23.7%) women answered yes to at least 8 of the 20 questions and thus were considered as screening positive for CMD. As presented in Table 1, among women who endorsed high stress, 73.9% screened positive for CMD, whereas only 5.0% of women experiencing low levels of stress screened positive ($P < 0.01$). There was no association between the location of interview and the amount of stress experienced by the participants ($P = 0.21$). Increased age, being married, low education, low income, food insecurity, having recurrent thoughts after traumatic events, and suffering chronic comorbid conditions were all factors that were associated with higher levels of perceived stress.

Logistic regression results with positive CMD screening as the dependent variable are presented in Table 2. The multivariable model demonstrated a very good ability to accurately predict CMD screening outcome (c-statistic: 0.85). After adjusting for all other covariates, females who had less than a seventh grade education demonstrated a 3.7-fold increase (95% CI: 1.6–8.8) and those who had grade 7–12 education experienced a 2.8-fold increase (95% CI: 1.4–5.8) in the odds of screening positive for CMD compared with those who attended some college or more. Women who reported their daily family income as less than \$0.25 per person had 2.4 times greater odds of screening positive for CMD than those living on family income of \$0.25–\$1.25 per person per day (95% CI: 1.1–5.3). Women experiencing food insecurity had significantly elevated odds of screening positive for CMD (aOR 4.8; 95% CI: 1.8–12.8) compared with those without food insecurity. Among the participants who experienced a traumatic event, there were increased odds of screening positive only among those who had recurrent thoughts (aOR: 2.1; 95% CI 1.2–3.7), whereas those who experienced traumatic events but did not have recurrent thoughts did not have an increased odds for screening positive for CMD compared with those who never experienced traumatic events.

Table 3 shows the results of the interactions between sociodemographic characteristics and daily perceived stress in predicting the probability of screening positive for CMD after adjusting for confounders and comorbid conditions. Among women who experienced high levels of stress, higher levels of education decreased the probability of screening positive for CMD (82.3%, 32.9%; $P = 0.03$). Age, income, and marital status did not modify the relationship between high levels of stress and CMD screening status. Among women experiencing moderate level of stress, increasing education decreased the probability of positive CMD screen, but the trend was not significant (26.5%, 16.6%; $P = 0.39$). A significant decreasing trend in the probability of positive CMD screen among participants was observed with increasing levels of income (59.2%, 20.5%; $P = 0.05$) and age (34.2%, 15.6%; $P = 0.05$). Women who reported experiencing low levels of stress were less likely to screen positive for CMD and no significant trends were observed across any sociodemographic characteristics. However, increasing levels of education decreased the probability of CMD screen even among this subpopulation (7.2%, 2.3%, 0.6%; $P = 0.10$).

DISCUSSION

In this study of reproductive-aged women from rural western India, 23.7% of our respondents screened positive for CMD. By comparison, studies from other urban and rural

parts of India have found a prevalence ranging from 10.7–18.0 among women of a similar age range.^{7,17,24} Nearly 90% of the participants in our study who screened positive for CMD reported experiencing moderate to high levels of stress. This strong association between perceived stress and mental disorders is consistent with relevant literature.^{13,14,25} However, we found that this relationship varies by education, age, and income of the individual. This finding has important implications for understanding mental health among women in India and can guide interventions to prevent CMD.

Education

For all levels of self-reported stress, increasing levels of education decreased the probability of screening positive for CMD, although statistical significance was observed only among women experiencing the highest levels of stress. Education enhances self-esteem and autonomy of women, which might be the underlying mechanism for the observed protective effects of increasing levels of education for the risk of CMD in the context of elevated stress levels.²⁶ Our findings suggest that education is an effective marker for coping skills that equip women to better manage stress and problem solve.

Age

We found that increased age is associated with a reduction in probability for a positive CMD screening among women experiencing moderate levels of stress. This finding is noteworthy because other studies from India and elsewhere have reported that older age is associated with increased risk for CMD.^{7,20,24} We are of the belief that the protective trend observed with increasing age for positive CMD screen is based on the change in women's position in the patriarchal social construct of rural Gujarat. A rural woman progresses within the hierarchy of her family and society over her lifetime. Thus, older women might experience greater autonomy and play a larger role in household decision making, which enables them to navigate stressful situations more effectively.

Income

Increasing income levels significantly reduce the probability of positive CMD screening only among women who experience moderate levels of stress. However, we believe that this observation mostly is due to an elevated risk for CMD among the poorest respondents. To illustrate this point, consider that the probability of a positive CMD screening among women experiencing moderate levels of stress across almost all of the sociodemographic characteristics ranged from 15.6%–34.8% (Table 3). However, women who live on less than 25 cents per day per person had a predicted probability of 59.2% for positive CMD screening. Thus, in extreme poverty, lack of resources exacerbates the relationship between stress and CMD, and this population should be considered a priority for safety net programs and mental health interventions.

Our findings of education, age, and income modifying the relationship between stress and CMD screening status may be best understood in the context of a single unifying model: the transactional model of stress. According to this model, the stressors-distress pathway is dependent on an individual's cognitive appraisal and coping of stress.²⁷ The stressors, chronic or single event, are evaluated by an individual (perceived stress) and the

psychological response elicited is in the context of the individual's resources. Within this framework, our findings suggest that increasing education, age, and income may provide resources to women of reproductive age to cope with stress. However, education appears to be a more robust protective factor in coping with stress than age and income. Women with increased age and income are able to manage moderate levels of stress, but high levels of stress might overwhelm these coping skills.

The transactional model of stress is often used in the occupational research literature on work-related stress.^{27,28} To our knowledge, it has not been used to explain the mental health paradigm among rural Indian women, although several parallels can be drawn between the environment experienced by them and a traditional worker that makes them both vulnerable to maladaptive stress responses. It is common for rural Indian women to relocate and live with their in-laws after marriage and work primarily as homemakers.²⁹ Therefore, women assume new responsibilities within an environment that has pre-existing dynamics. They strive to establish their role in their new family. This integration is often ongoing and requires self-efficacy as well as adaptability. A breakdown of resources and coping mechanisms or an excess of stressors can make them vulnerable. Previous studies of factors that deter the stress-distress pathway have identified self-esteem as an effective resource that can prevent occurrence of mental disease caused by stressors.¹⁴ Thus, enhancing problem-solving abilities by promoting autonomy and self-esteem is particularly important for women in these settings to be able to negotiate their needs within the complex family and social structure in India.

In addition to our main finding that the relationship of stress and CMD screening status is modified by different sociodemographic characteristics, we also found that food insecurity and experience of recurrent thoughts after traumatic events were associated with positive CMD screening. These findings are largely consistent with previous studies done in India and other low- and middle-income countries.^{6,20,30–33} One out of 3 women reported experiencing or witnessing a life event where someone's life was in danger. Among these women, increased association for positive CMD screening was found only if they reported experiencing recurrent thoughts because of the traumatic event. Five percent of our participants were food insecure and experienced nearly a 5-fold increase in the odds of screening positive for CMD. Three months after the survey was conducted, the government of India announced a plan to provide subsidized food for two-thirds of India's population based on income level. However, the bill was not promulgated until December 2014. Furthermore, logistical, financial, and political barriers continue to pose a challenge for the law to reach its intended beneficiaries.³⁴ Several studies have found an association between post-traumatic stress disorder and food insecurity.^{35,36} Therefore, future efforts to improve mental health should address post-traumatic stress disorder and food insecurity because they present modifiable risk factors that adversely affect mental health.

Although promising, the findings from our study should be interpreted in the context of some important limitations. The outcome of CMD is measured using a validated questionnaire instead of a gold standard (ie, structured clinical interview) and therefore our findings describe associations with screening status of CMD rather than definitive diagnoses. Our primary exposure of perceived stress was measured using a single item, which, despite

high face validity, is vulnerable to a loss of reliability. However, unlike other multi-item perceived stress instruments that are prone to ceiling effect in measuring stress,³⁷ our study reported that half of the women experienced nominal or no stress, and thus a single-item question may be an appropriate instrument in this population. Although the stratified randomization strategy for recruiting participants reduces the likelihood of selection bias, it cannot be ruled out completely. As with any cross-sectional study design, this study reveals the observed associations and it is not possible to interpret causal relationships. Further research is necessary across different settings in India to investigate how the relationship between perceived stress and CMD differs in societies that are predominantly matriarchal (south India) or have low literacy levels (east India).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our findings identify the high burden of possible CMD among rural Indian women and suggest that higher education, income, and age might mitigate the association between stress and CMD screening status. However, the link between high stress and CMD screening was mitigated only by high levels of education; additionally, education is more modifiable than age or income. Therefore, future efforts to improve mental health in rural India should focus on preventing CMD by enhancing women's self-efficacy and problem-solving capabilities to overcome challenging life events and stressors, thereby reducing the risk of CMD.

Acknowledgments

Data collection for the study was supported by Boston University Dudley Allen Sargent travel grant. Contribution by co-authors was partially supported by TL1-TR001454 (to A.S.) and KL2TR000160 (to N.B.) from National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, P60-MD006912-05 (to J.A.) from National Institute on Minority Health and Disparities, and Joy McCann Endowment (to T.A.M.S.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

References

1. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2013; 382:1575–86. [PubMed: 23993280]
2. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2013; 380:2197–223.
3. Goldberg, DP., Huxley, P. Common mental disorders: a bio-social model. London: Routledge; 1992.
4. Mathers, C., Fat, D., Boerma, J. The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2008.
5. Patel V, Pereira J, Mann AH. Somatic and psychological models of common mental disorder in primary care in India. *Psychol Med*. 1998; 28:135–43. [PubMed: 9483689]
6. Patel V, Kirkwood B, Pednekar S. Risk factors for common mental disorders in women: population-based longitudinal study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2006; 189:547–55. [PubMed: 17139040]
7. Shidhaye R, Patel V. Association of socio-economic, gender and health factors with common mental disorders in women: a population-based study of 5703 married rural women in India. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2010; 39:1510–21. [PubMed: 21037247]
8. Patel V, Kirkwood BR, Pednekar S, et al. Gender disadvantage and reproductive health risk factors for common mental disorders in women: a community survey in India. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2006; 63:404–13. [PubMed: 16585469]

9. Pereira B, Andrew G, Pednekar S, Pai R, Pelto P, Patel V. The explanatory models of depression in low income countries: listening to women in India. *J Affect Disord.* 2007; 102:209–18. [PubMed: 17074394]
10. Travasso SM, Rajaraman D, Heymann SJ. A qualitative study of factors affecting mental health amongst low-income working mothers in Bangalore, India. *BMC Womens Health.* 2014; 14:22. [PubMed: 24502531]
11. Seyle, H. *The Stress of Life.* New York: McGraw-Hill; 1956.
12. Lee J-S, Joo E-J, Choi K-S. Perceived stress and self-esteem mediate the effects of work-related stress on depression. *Stress Health.* 2013; 29:75–81. [PubMed: 22610597]
13. Wheaton, B., Montazer, S. Stressors, stress, and distress. In: Scheid, T., Brown, T., editors. *A Handbook for the Study of Mental Health: Social Contexts, Theories, and Systems.* New York: Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 171-99.
14. Ensel WM, Lin N. The life stress paradigm and psychological distress. *J Health Soc Behav.* 1991; 32:321–41. [PubMed: 1765624]
15. World Health Organization. *A User's Guide to the Self-Reporting Questionnaire.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1994.
16. Harpham T, et al. Measuring mental health in a cost-effective manner. *Health Policy Plan.* 2003; 18:344–9. [PubMed: 12917276]
17. Jaswal, S. *Gynaecological and mental health of low-income urban women in India.* London, UK: London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine; 1995. Available at: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/eth/309411> [Accessed August 12, 2014]
18. Kuder GF, Richardson MW. The theory of the estimation of test reliability. *Psychometrika.* 1937; 2:151–60.
19. Soni A, Fahey N, Phatak AG, et al. Differential in healthcare seeking behavior of mothers for themselves versus their children in rural India: results of a cross sectional survey. *Int Public Health J.* 2014; 6:57–66.
20. Lund C, Breen A, Flisher AJ, et al. Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: a systematic review. *Soc Sci Med.* 2010; 71:517–28. [PubMed: 20621748]
21. Oehlert GW. A note on the delta method. *Am Stat.* 1992; 46:27–9.
22. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 13.* College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2013.
23. Dean, A., et al. *Epi Info: a Database and Statistics Program for Public Health Professionals.* Atlanta, GA: CDC; 2011.
24. Ganguli HC. Epidemiological findings on prevalence of mental disorders in India. *Indian J Psychiatry.* 2000; 42:14–20. [PubMed: 21407903]
25. Bergdahl J, Bergdahl M. Perceived stress in adults: prevalence and association of depression, anxiety and medication in a Swedish population. *Stress Health.* 2002; 18:23–41.
26. Schieman S, Taylor J. Statuses, roles, and the sense of mattering. *Sociol Perspect.* 2001; 44:469–84.
27. Lazarus, RS., Cohen, JB. Environmental stress. In: Altman, I., Wohlwill, JF., editors. *Human Behavior and Environment: Advances in Theory and Research.* New York: Springer; 1977. p. 89-127.
28. Dewe P. The transactional model of stress: some implications for stress management programs. *Asia Pacific J Hum Resour.* 1997; 35:41–51.
29. Nathawat SS, Mathur A. Marital adjustment and subjective well-being in Indian-educated housewives and working women. *J Psychol.* 1993; 127:353–8. [PubMed: 8377148]
30. Prost A, et al. Predictors of maternal psychological distress in rural India: a cross-sectional community-based study. *J Affect Disord.* 2012; 138:277–86. [PubMed: 22342117]
31. Patel V, Araya R, de Lima M, Ludermir A, Todd C. Women, poverty and common mental disorders in four restructuring societies. *Soc Sci Med.* 1999; 49:1461–71. [PubMed: 10515629]
32. Richardson LK, Amstadter AB, Kilpatrick DG, et al. Estimating mental distress in Vietnam: the use of the SRQ-20. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* 2010; 56:133–42. [PubMed: 20207676]
33. Patel, V., Lund, C., Hatherill, S., et al. Mental disorders: equity and social determinants. In: Blas, E., Kurup, AS., editors. *Equity, Social Determinants, and Public Health Programmes.* Geneva,

Switzer-land: World Health Organization; 2010. p. 115-35. Available at: http://www.who.int/entity/social_determinants/tools/EquitySDandPH_eng.pdf#page=125 [Accessed April 26, 2011]

34. Narayanan S. Food security in India: the imperative and its challenges. *Asia Pacific Policy Stud.* 2015; 2:197–209.
35. Sabin M, Lopes Cardozo B, Nackerud L, Kaiser R, Varese L. Factors associated with poor mental health among Guatemalan refugees living in Mexico 20 years after civil conflict. *JAMA.* 2003; 290:635–42. [PubMed: 12902367]
36. Havenaar JM, Geerlings MI, Vivian L, Collinson M, Robertson B. Common mental health problems in historically disadvantaged urban and rural communities in South Africa: prevalence and risk factors. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2008; 43:209–15. [PubMed: 18058040]
37. Wheaton, B. Aneshensel, CS., Phelan, JC., editors. *Handbook of the Sociology of Mental Health.* New York: Springer; 1999. Social Stress; p. 277-300.

Table 1 Sociodemographic and Health Characteristics by Level of Perceived Stress for 663 Reproductive-aged Women from Rural Gujarat, India Interviewed in October 2011

	Total N (Col %)	Levels of perceived stress			P
		Low	Moderate	High	
Common mental disorders	661	337 (51.0)	236 (35.7)	88 (13.3)	
Positive screen (SRQ-20 ≥ 8)	157 (23.8)	17 (5.0)	75 (31.8)	65 (73.9)	<0.01
Negative screen (SRQ-20 < 7)	504 (76.3)	320 (95.0)	161 (68.2)	23 (26.1)	
Location of interview	661				
Clinic	311 (47.1)	165 (49.0)	110 (46.6)	36 (40.9)	0.21
Village (Fariyah)	223 (33.7)	113 (33.5)	83 (35.2)	27 (30.7)	
Village (Simvistar)	127 (19.2)	59 (17.5)	43 (18.2)	25 (28.4)	
Age (years)	659				
18–25	227 (34.5)	123 (36.6)	89 (37.9)	15 (17.1)	<0.01
26–35	253 (38.2)	126 (37.5)	88 (37.5)	38 (43.2)	
36–45	181 (27.3)	87 (25.9)	58 (24.7)	35 (39.8)	
Marital status	660				
Single	97 (14.7)	59 (17.5)	33 (14.0)	5 (5.8)	0.01*
Married	544 (82.4)	269 (79.8)	199 (84.3)	76 (87.4)	
Divorced or widowed	19 (2.9)	9 (2.7)	4 (1.7)	6 (6.9)	
Education	659				
Less than grade 7	162 (24.6)	71 (21.1)	56 (23.9)	35 (39.8)	<0.01
Grades 7–12	357 (54.2)	184 (54.6)	128 (54.7)	45 (51.1)	
More than high school	140 (21.2)	82 (24.3)	50 (21.4)	8 (9.1)	
Income	639				
<\$0.25/person/day	49 (7.6)	19 (5.8)	19 (8.4)	11 (13.1)	0.04
\$0.25–1.25/person/day	372 (58.2)	186 (56.4)	133 (59.1)	53 (63.1)	
>\$1.25/person/day	218 (34.1)	125 (37.9)	73 (32.4)	20 (23.8)	
Food insecurity	659				

	Total N (Col %)	Levels of perceived stress			P
		Low	Moderate	High	
	337 (51.0)	236 (35.7)	88 (13.3)		
No meals skipped	629 (95.2)	332 (98.8)	222 (94.1)	73 (83.9)	<0.01*
1+ meals skipped	32 (4.8)	4 (1.2)	14 (5.9)	14 (16.1)	
Traumatic experience (TE)					
	660				
No TE	435 (65.9)	247 (73.3)	144 (61.3)	44 (50.0)	<0.01
No recurrent thoughts after TE	117 (17.7)	62 (18.4)	39 (16.6)	16 (18.2)	
Recurrent thoughts after TE	108 (16.4)	28 (8.3)	52 (22.1)	28 (31.8)	
Chronic back problems					
	661				
No	424 (64.2)	257 (76.3)	134 (56.8)	33 (37.5)	<0.01
Yes	237 (35.8)	80 (23.7)	102 (43.2)	55 (62.5)	
Arthritis					
	661				
No	565 (85.5)	305 (90.5)	201 (85.2)	59 (67.1)	<0.01
Yes	96 (14.5)	32 (9.5)	35 (14.8)	29 (32.9)	
Anemia					
	659				
No	481 (73.0)	282 (83.7)	158 (67.5)	41 (46.6)	<0.01
Yes	178 (26.9)	55 (16.3)	76 (32.5)	47 (53.4)	
Hypertension					
	660				
No	534 (80.8)	299 (88.7)	171 (72.5)	64 (72.7)	<0.01
Yes	127 (19.2)	38 (11.3)	65 (27.5)	24 (27.3)	
Chronic allergies					
	661				
No	534 (80.8)	299 (88.7)	171 (72.5)	64 (72.7)	<0.01
Yes	127 (19.2)	38 (11.3)	65 (27.5)	24 (27.3)	
Abdominal pain					
	661				
No	568 (85.9)	301 (89.3)	199 (84.3)	68 (77.3)	0.01
Yes	93 (14.1)	36 (10.7)	37 (15.7)	20 (22.7)	

SRQ, Self-Reported Questionnaire.

Diseases or health conditions reported by at least 10% of participants are listed.

* Fischer's exact test.

Table 2

Multivariable Logistic Regression Models that Predict Positive Screening (SRQ-20 score ≥ 8) for Common Mental Disorders

	Unadjusted	Adjusted* (n = 632)
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Age: 18–25	1.0	
26–35	1.31 (0.85–2.03)	1.19 (0.66–2.15)
36–45	1.5 (0.95–2.38)	1.07 (0.55–2.09)
Education: > high school (ref)		
None-grade 6	3.91 (2.11–7.22)	3.71 (1.57–8.78)
Grades 7–12	2.51 (1.41–4.45)	2.79 (1.35–5.77)
Income: \$1.25–\$2.0/day (ref)		
<\$0.25/day	2.61 (1.35–5.02)	2.40 (1.09–5.27)
\$0.25–1.25/day	1.10 (0.74–1.66)	1.24 (0.72–2.13)
Marital status: single (ref)		
Married	2.19 (1.18–4.04)	0.61 (0.27–1.39)
Divorced or widowed	2.31 (0.71–7.48)	0.99 (0.23–4.29)
No traumatic events (TE) (ref)		
No recurrent thoughts after TE	1.13 (0.68–1.89)	0.96 (0.52–1.77)
Recurrent thoughts after TE	4.08 (2.69–6.39)	2.09 (1.17–3.74)
No meals skipped (ref)		
1+ meals skipped	8.05 (3.72–17.41)	4.76 (1.81–12.51)
c-Statistic	N/A	0.845

SRQ, Self-Reported Questionnaire.

* Also adjusted for chronic comorbidities: back problems, arthritis, anemia, hypertension, chronic allergies, and chronic abdominal pain.

Table 3

Predicted Probabilities (%) of a Positive Common Mental Disorders (CMD) Screening for a Given Level of Perceived Stress (Columns) by Differing Sociodemographic Characteristics* (Rows)

% Predicted probability of positive CMD screen	Levels of perceived stress		
	Low	Moderate	High
Education in grade level ($P_{\text{trend}}^{\ddagger}$)	0.1	0.39	0.03
Less than grade 7	7.2% (0.0–14.8)	26.5% (10.2–42.9)	82.3% (66.0–98.6)
Grades 7–12	2.3% (0.3–04.4)	30.9% (21.1–40.7)	88.8% (79.3–98.2)
More than grade 12	0.6% (0.0–01.7)	16.6% (04.2–29.1)	32.9% (00.0–71.5)
Age group in years ($P_{\text{trend}}^{\ddagger}$)	0.41	0.05	0.33
18–25	2.1% (0.0–04.7)	34.2% (20.1–47.5)	82.5% (61.3–100)
26–35	1.2% (0.0–02.8)	26.7% (15.6–38.3)	91.6% (82.3–100)
36–45	4.6% (0.0–09.1)	15.6% (04.8–26.5)	64.6% (41.8–87.5)
Income ($P_{\text{trend}}^{\ddagger}$)	0.1	0.05	0.86
<\$0.25/person/day	2.8% (0.0–08.7)	59.2% (29.3–89.2)	80.2% (50.6–100)
\$0.25–1.25/person/day	0.9% (0.0–02.0)	26.0% (17.1–34.8)	84.1% (73.1–95.1)
>\$1.25/person/day	6.4% (1.4–11.4)	20.5% (9.1–31.8)	80.3% (58.5–100)
Marital status ($P_{\text{trend}}^{\ddagger}$)	0.51	0.47	0.47
Single	4.6% (0.0–12.5)	34.8% (10.8–58.7)	90.5% (69.1–100)
Married	1.8% (0.3–3.3)	25.0% (17.4–32.7)	82.0% (72.1–91.9)

SRQ, Self-Reported Questionnaire.

* Adjusted for food insecurity, recurrent thoughts after traumatic events, chronic comorbidities: back problems, arthritis, anemia, hypertension, chronic allergies, and chronic abdominal pain.

\ddagger Linear observation weighted contrast for trend. P values <0.05 signify a trend in the proportion across sociodemographic characteristics for a given level of perceived stress.