OPEN ACCESS

Mechanical stress potentiates the differentiation of periodontal ligament stem cells into keratocytes

Jialin Chen,[1] Wei Zhang,[1] Ludvig J Backman,[1] Peyman Kelk,[1] Patrik Danielson[1,2]

[1]Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
[2]Unit of Ophthalmology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

Correspondence to
Professor Patrik Danielson, Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Anatomy, Umeå University, SE-901 87, Sweden; patrik.danielson@umu.se

JC and WZ contributed equally.

ABSTRACT

Aims To explore the role of corneal-shaped static mechanical strain on the differentiation of human periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs) into keratocytes and the possible synergistic effects of mechanics and inducing medium.

Methods PDLSCs were exposed to 3% static dome-shaped mechanical strain in a Flexcell Tension System for 3 days and 7 days. Keratocyte phenotype was determined by gene expression of keratocyte markers. Keratocyte differentiation (inducing) medium was introduced in the Flexcell system, either continuously or intermittently combined with mechanical stimulation. The synergistic effects of mechanics and inducing medium on keratocyte differentiation was evaluated by gene and protein expression of keratocyte markers. Finally, a multilamellar cell sheet was assembled by seeding PDLSCs on a collagen membrane and inducing keratocyte differentiation. The transparency of the cell sheet was assessed, and typical markers of native human corneal stroma were evaluated by immunofluorescence staining.

Results Dome-shaped mechanical stimulation promoted PDLSCs to differentiate into keratocytes, as shown by the upregulation of *ALDH3A1*, *CD34*, *LUM*, *COL I* and *COL V*. The expression of integrins were also upregulated after mechanical stimulation, including integrin alpha 1, alpha 2, beta 1 and non-muscle myosin II B. A synergistic effect of mechanics and inducing medium was found on keratocyte differentiation. The cell sheets were assembled under the treatment of mechanics and inducing medium simultaneously. The cell sheets were transparent, multilamellar and expressed typical markers of corneal stroma.

Conclusion Dome-shaped mechanical stimulation promotes differentiation of PDLSCs into keratocytes and has synergistic effects with inducing medium. Multilamellar cell sheets that resemble native human corneal stroma show potential for future clinical applications.

INTRODUCTION

The light refraction function of the cornea is highly dependent on the well-organised collagen structures of the corneal stroma, with collagen type I (COL I) and type V (COL V) as the main collagens in human. Keratocytes are cells residing in the corneal stroma. They express aldehyde dehydrogenase 3A1 (ALDH3A1), CD34 and two major proteoglycans: lumican (LUM) and keratocan (KERA).[1] Primary keratocytes have been isolated and cultured in vitro to study the cell behaviours and function for many years.[2] However, disadvantages of in vitro culturing primary keratocytes, such as decreased expression of keratocyte markers,[1] low proliferation rate[3] and insufficient extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition,[4] hamper their application. Efficiently differentiating stem cells towards keratocyte lineage is a potential approach to continually obtain keratocytes with normal phenotype. Various stem cells derived from different tissues, such as adipose tissue,[5] and corneal stroma itself[6] have been used for keratocyte differentiation. Periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs) is another promising alternative, as they are similar to keratocytes in developmental origin and proteoglycan expression.[7] However, no attempts have been reported yet.

Keratocyte inducing medium (IM) has been developed for some years to differentiate stem cells into keratocytes. Different biological factors have been used in these attempts, including basic fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2, also known as bFGF)[5 6] and transforming growth factor-beta3 (TGF-β3).[6] Wu and collaborators compared the differentiation effect between FGF-2 and TGF-β3, alone and in combination, for up to 9 weeks.[6] The best effect was achieved in the combination group, with higher expression of keratocytes markers and deposition of orthogonally oriented collagens.[6] However, there is still a long way to go to achieve an ideal keratocyte differentiation protocol that is more convenient, effective and less time consuming.

The effect of mechanical stimulation has been reported in stem cell differentiation into, for instance, tenocytes[8] and chondrocytes.[9] The cornea is a pressurised structure, with a dome-shaped strain on the cells inside. Our previous study was the first to show that 3% dome-shaped static mechanical stimulation increases the expression of LUM, KERA, COL I and COL V in primary human keratocytes.[10] However, to our knowledge, there is no report on the effect of mechanical stimulation in stem cell differentiation towards keratocytes, nor on the possible synergistic effects of mechanics and chemical differentiation medium.

The current study aimed to evaluate the differentiation effect of mechanical strain on stem cells towards keratocytes and to explore the possible synergistic effects of mechanical strain (physical factor) and induction medium (biochemical factor). Finally, cell culturing with the optimal differentiation protocol was performed to form a cell sheet to study the potential significance in clinical applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cell culture of human PDLSCs

The study protocol was in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Check for updates

Figure 1 Dome-shaped mechanical stimulation promotes keratocyte differentiation. (A) A dome-shaped loading post was used to apply 3% equibiaxial static strain on PDLSCs in the Flexcell Tension System for 3 days and 7 days. (B) Gene expression was evaluated by quantitative PCR, including the genes for keratocyte makers (*ALDH3A1*, *CD34* and *LUM*), the main collagens of the corneal stroma (*COL I* and *COL V*), myofibroblast maker (*ACTA2*), tenocyte markers (*SCX* and *TNMD*) and integrins (*ITGA1*, *ITGA2*, *ITGB1* and *MYH10*). Levels at day 0 (d0, unstimulated PDLSCs) were set as 1. The expression was compared between d0 and day 3 after stimulation of static mechanics (SM d3), d0 and day 7 after stimulation of static mechanics (SM d7), d7 and primary in vitro cultured keratocytes at passage 2. *Significant difference at P<0.05. **Significant difference at P<0.001. N.S., no significant difference (P≥0.05). PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells.

Human PDLSCs from two patients were used in this study, which were isolated from surgically removed impacted third molars. The detailed isolation protocol and identification of PDLSCs could be found in online supplementary materials and methods. Human PDLSCs were cultured in growing medium, Minimum Essential Medium-α (Life Technologies, Grand Island, New York, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Life Technologies)) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Life Technologies). Cells between passages three and six were used for the experiments. The PDLSCs used in the present project have recently been characterised in another study of ours.[11]

Mechanical stimulation alone

PDLSCs were seeded on Collagen I bonded Bioflex 6-well plate (Flexcell International Corporation, Burlington, North Carolina, USA). The plate was placed on a dome-shaped loading post of 25 mm diameter. The cells received 3% equibiaxial static mechanics (SM) in the Flexcell Tension System by stretching the collagen membranes of the plate around the dome-shaped

loading post.[10] Four millilitre media was used for each well of Bioflex 6-well plate and was changed on the second or third day. Samples were collected at day 0 (d0; unstimulated PDLSCs), day 3 (d3) and day 7 (d7) for quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis. To evaluate the keratocyte differentiation efficacy on PDLSCs, cultured primary human limbal keratocytes (at passage 2)[12] were used as positive controls.

Combination of mechanical stimulation and IM

The IM for keratocyte differentiation was prepared as described previously,[6] concisely advanced Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies), 1 mM L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA), 10 ng/mL of the basic FGF-2 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) and 0.1 ng/mL of the TGF-β3 (Sigma-Aldrich).

PDLSCs (2×10^5/well) were seeded on Bioflex 6-well plate and cultured in regular growing medium for 1 day before treatments. Samples were collected after 6 days of treatment for qPCR and Western blot. Samples at d0 (unstimulated PDLSCs) were

Figure 2 Mechanical stimulation and inducing medium (IM) synergistically promote keratocyte differentiation. PDLSCs were seeded on Bioflex 6-well plates, with treatment of IM, static mechanics (SM) or a combination of both (IM+SM) for 6 days. (A) Gene expression was evaluated by quantitative PCR. Levels at day 0 (unstimulated PDLSCs) were set as 1. The expression was compared between IM and SM, IM and IM+SM, SM and IM+SM, IM+SM and primary in vitro cultured keratocytes at passage 2. *Significant difference at $P<0.05$. **Significant difference at $P<0.001$. N.S., no significant difference ($P\geq0.05$). (B) Protein expression was evaluated by Western blot. Densitometry was performed, and the ratio of protein/β-Actin was calculated. Levels at day 0 (d0, unstimulated PDLSCs) were set as 1. PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells.

collected as basal levels. Cultured primary human limbal kerato-cytes (at passage 2) were used as positive controls.

IM group: cells were cultured in the IM for 6 days.

SM group: cells were cultured in advanced DMEM plus 10% FBS, receiving 3% static strain for 6 days.

IM+SM group: cells were cultured in the IM, receiving 3% static strain for 6 days.

Refined time windows for the synergistic effect of mechanical strain and IM

PDLSCs (2×10^{5}/well) were seeded on Bioflex 6-well plate and cultured in regular growing medium for 1 day before treatments. The medium was changed in all wells at the same time. Samples were collected after 6 days of treatment for qPCR and Western blot.

Figure 3 Mechanical stimulation and inducing medium (IM) exert superior effect on keratocyte differentiation when they are working together at the same time. PDLSCs were seeded on Bioflex 6-well plates, with treatment of IM and static mechanics (SM) together for 6 days (IM+SM), or IM for the first 3 days and SM for the following 3 days (IM-SM), or SM for the first 3 days and IM for the following 3 days (SM-IM). (A) Gene expression was evaluated by quantitative PCR. Levels of IM+SM were set as 1. *Significant difference at P<0.05. **Significant difference at P<0.001. N.S., no significant difference (P≥0.05). (B) Protein expression was evaluated by Western blot. Densitometry was performed, and the ratio of protein/β-Actin was calculated. Levels of IM+SM were set as 1. PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells.

IM+SM group: cells were cultured in the IM, receiving 3% static strain continuously for 6 days.

IM-SM group: cells were cultured in the IM without strain for the first 3 days. After that, the cells were cultured in advanced DMEM plus 10% FBS, receiving 3% static strain for the following 3 days.

SM-IM group: cells were cultured in advanced DMEM plus 10% FBS, receiving 3% static strain for the first 3 days. After

that, the cells were cultured in the IM without strain for the following 3 days.

Cell sheet formation

PDLSCs (4×10^5/well) were seeded on collagen membranes in Bioflex 6-well plates and cultured in growing medium. After 1 day, cells were cultured in the IM, receiving 3% static strain

Figure 4 Mechanical stimulation and inducing medium generate a transparent and multilamellar cell sheet. PDLSCs were seeded on collagen I bonded Bioflex 6-well plates and exposed to static mechanical strain and inducing medium for 12 days to form a cell sheet. The collagen membrane of the Bioflex 6-well plate itself (A) and the collagen membrane with the formed cell sheet (B) were both transparent. (C) The light transmission of the cell sheet was measured in the wavelength from 400 nm to 850 nm. (D) The formed cell sheet was found to be a multilamellar structure, as revealed by DAPI staining; white arrows indicating nuclei that are focused on one layer, and red arrows indicating nuclei that were not focused and thus on another layer. PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells.

continuously. Medium was changed every second day. After 12 days, the formation of a cell sheet was observed, and this cell sheet was collected for transparency measurement and immunofluorescence.

RNA extraction and qPCR

The same protocol was used for RNA extraction and cDNA reverse transcription as previously described.[13] For qPCR performance, TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California, USA) and SYBR Green reagents (Applied Biosystems) was used in this study. All probes and primers used here are summarised in online supplementary tables 1 and 2. Representative results of cells from two individuals are displayed as target gene expression normalised to housekeeping gene.

Western blot analysis

Cells from three replicate wells were pooled together as one sample. The detailed protocol for Western blot analysis is presented in online supplementary materials and methods. All antibodies used for Western blot are summarised in online supplementary table 3.

Transparency test

Formed cell sheets with collagen membrane from the Bioflex 6-well plates were cut, cropped and placed in each well of a 12-well plate with PBS (n=8). Unused collagen membrane immersed in PBS was set as control group (n=8). Absorbance was determined from 400 nm to 850 nm in wavelength, with 5 nm intervals. The transparency was calculated using the formula: absorbance=−log (%transmittance/100).

Immunofluorescence

Cell sheets were collected and fixed in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde. After permeabilisation with 1% Triton X-100, the fixed cell sheets were blocked with 1:20 diluted normal serum. Samples were incubated with primary antibodies (see online supplementary table 3) overnight at 4°C. Fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibodies (see online supplementary table 3) were incubated with samples after washing and finally addition of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to reveal the nuclei of the cells.

Statistical analysis

All gene expression data are shown as mean±SD, and comparisons were carried out by performing one-way analysis of variance, with Bonferroni post hoc test for additional pair-wise comparisons between groups of interest. All experiments were performed in at least triplicate and were successfully repeated in PDLSCs derived from different patients. For all comparisons, P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Corneal-shaped mechanical stimulation promotes keratocyte differentiation

To evaluate the effect of mechanical stimulation on the keratocyte differentiation, PDLSCs were seeded on a Bioflex 6-well plate, which was placed on a dome-shaped loading post in the Flexcell Tension System (figure 1A). After 7 days stimulation of static mechanics (SM d7), the expression of genes for keratocyte makers were significantly upregulated (figure 1B; *ALDH3A1*, 37.91-fold, P<0.001; *CD34*, 2.69-fold, P<0.001; *LUM*, 3.30-fold, P<0.05), as compared with the unstimulated PDLSCs, d0 (d0 levels set as 1). When compared with primary in vitro cultured keratocytes, the expression level of *ALDH3A1* in SM d7 group reached to 49% of that in keratocytes (P<0.001), no significant difference in *CD34* (P≥0.05), and about threefold higher expression in *LUM* in SM d7 group (P<0.001). The expression of *COL I* and *COL V* (main types of collagen in corneal stroma) and myofibroblast maker *ACTA2* were also significantly increased at day 7 (SM d7) as compared with d0 (figure 1B; *COL I*, 2.64-fold, P<0.001; *COL V*, 1.22-fold, P<0.05; *ACTA2*, 1.58-fold, P<0.001), and both were significantly higher than that of primary in vitro cultured keratocytes. In contrast, the expression of genes for the tenocyte markers scleraxis (*SCX*) and tenomodulin (*TNMD*) were significantly decreased both after 3 (SM d3; P<0.001) and 7 (SM d7; P<0.001) days of mechanical stimulation, as compared with d0 (figure 1B), which excludes a possible tenogenic differentiation by mechanical strain.

To elucidate the possible mechanism, the expression levels of integrin genes were evaluated (figure 1B). The expression of integrin alpha 2 (*ITGA2*) had a 2.46-fold increase at day 3 (SM d3), as compared with d0 (P<0.001). At SM d7, all the four genes (*ITGA1*, *ITGA2*, *ITGB1* and *MYH10*) were significantly upregulated as compared with d0, indicating that integrins may

Cell-sheet NTC

Figure 5 Typical markers of corneal stroma are expressed in the cell sheets constructed by PDLSCs exposed to mechanical stimulation and inducing medium. PDLSCs were seeded on Bioflex 6-well plates, with treatment of static mechanical strain and inducing medium for 12 days to form a cell sheet. The expression of typical corneal stroma markers was evaluated by immunofluorescence staining. The right column is the merged picture of left column (corneal stroma markers staining) and middle column (DAPI staining). 'NTC' indicates negative control without primary antibody. PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells.

mediate mechano-transduction pathways during mechanically induced keratocyte differentiation.

Mechanical stimulation and IM synergistically promote keratocyte differentiation

To detect the possible synergistic effect between mechanical stimulation and IM on the keratocyte differentiation, gene expression was compared between groups subjected to IM alone, SM alone or a combination of IM and SM (IM+SM) (figure 2A) after treatment for 6 days. It was found that IM+SM group significantly increased the expression of keratocyte makers *ALDH3A1* and *LUM* ($P<0.05$), as compared with both the IM and the SM group alone. Meanwhile, IM+SM group kept the same expression levels of *COL I* as the other groups ($P\geq0.05$).

The expression of *CD34* and *COL V* in the IM+SM group was lower than that in the IM group ($P<0.001$) but higher than that in the SM group ($P<0.05$). There was no significant difference on *ACTA2* gene expression between the IM+SM and IM group ($P\geq0.05$); however, there was significantly higher expression in the IM+SM group as compared with the SM group ($P<0.001$). When compared with primary in vitro cultured keratocytes at passage 2, the gene expression levels of keratocyte makers (*ALDH3A1*, *CD34* and *LUM*) in IM+SM group were significantly higher ($P<0.05$), and the expression levels of the main types of collagen in corneal stroma (*COL I* and *COL V*) were comparable ($P\geq0.05$). However, the expression level of myofibroblast marker *ACTA2* was also significantly higher in the IM+SM group, as compared with keratocytes ($P<0.001$).

Protein expression of ALDH3A1, LUM, COL V and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) was evaluated by Western blot (figure 2B). It was noticed that IM+SM group had the strongest expression of ALDH3A1 and COL V and weakest expression of α-SMA, as compared with the IM group, SM group and d0 group (unstimulated PDLSCs). The expression of LUM in the IM+SM group was slightly weaker than that in the SM group but stronger compared with that in IM group and d0 group. Nevertheless, it seems that the phenotype of the cells in the IM+SM group still had not reached the level of that for the in vitro cultured keratocytes, as the latter had even stronger expression of ALDH3A1 and COL V and weaker expression of α-SMA.

To refine the time window and optimal protocol for the synergistic effect of mechanical strain and IM, cells were treated with IM and SM together for 6 days (IM+SM), or IM for the first 3 days and SM for the following 3 days (IM-SM), or SM for the first 3 days and IM for the following 3 days (SM-IM). The results of qPCR showed that IM+SM group had the highest gene expression of keratocyte makers, collagens and myofibroblast maker, as compared with both the IM-SM and the SM-IM groups (figure 3A). The expression of ALDH3A1, LUM and COL V was evaluated on protein level, which confirmed the strongest expression in the IM+SM group (figure 3B). Interestingly, the weakest expression of the myofibroblast marker α-SMA was found in the IM+SM group as compared with the other two groups, which differed from the gene expression result.

Mechanical stimulation and IM generate corneal stroma-like cell sheets

To explore the possible clinical application of PDLSCs for keratocyte differentiation, PDLSCs were treated for 12 days with a combination of mechanical stimulation and IM (IM+SM) to form a cell sheet on the collagen membrane. This cell sheet was transparent, as was the collagen membrane itself (figure 4A,B). The average light transmission of cell sheets (n=8) were over 90% between the wavelengths of 400 nm and 850 nm, which is higher than that of the native human cornea, that is, >87%[14] (figure 4C). Furthermore, the cell sheet was found to be multilamellar in its structure, as shown with DAPI staining for the nuclei of the cells (figure 4D). The protein expression of typical markers of corneal stroma was evaluated by immunofluorescence (figure 5). Expression of ALDH3A1, CD34 and LUM was observed. High expression of KERA, the important proteoglycan of normal corneal stroma, was found in the cell sheet. Furthermore, the main collagen types in normal corneal stroma (COL I and COL V) were highly expressed.

DISCUSSION

This study explored the role of mechanical stimulation on capability of PDLSCs to differentiate towards keratocyte lineage and the synergistic effects of mechanical strain and keratocyte IM. Different inducing media have been adopted by researchers to differentiate stem cells towards keratocytes. However, some of the differentiation media require a long-term induction, such as 9 weeks,[6] and some need to differentiate stem cells in a pellet model,[15] which hampers the future translation to clinical use. Besides differentiation medium, coculturing stem cells with keratocytes is also a way to promote keratocyte differentiation[5]; this method essentially being a chemical induction as well. The effect of physical signals, such as mechanical stimulation, has not been reported on keratocyte differentiation yet. Our current study is the first, to our knowledge, to find that static

mechanical strain promotes the differentiation of PDLSCs into keratocytes.

The combination of physical and chemical factors to induce cell differentiation is known in stem cells research.[8] Tendon is quite similar to corneal stroma in structure, component and gene expression profile.[16] Petrigliano and collaborators evaluated the differentiation effect of bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) towards teno-lineage, by using bFGF and uniaxial strain in a three-dimensional environment.[17] The highest upregulation of tendon-related genes were found in the cells which were subjected to both mechanical stimulation and bFGF treatment for 21 days.[17] Our current study combined static mechanical strain and chemical factors to induce the differentiation of PDLSCs into keratocytes. A synergistic effect on the expression of keratocyte makers was found when cells were cultured in IM and received 3% dome-shaped static mechanical stimulation simultaneously. This is reasonable, as cells in vivo receive different kinds of stimulation simultaneously in their microenvironment, which warrants a systematic regulation during in vitro induced cell differentiation.

When different inducing factors are used together, a stepwise differentiation protocol is usually needed to achieve and optimise the desired effect.[8 18] Chen and collaborators overexpressed the tenocyte transcription factor SCX in human embryonic stem cell (ESC)-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to drive the differentiation to tendon stem/progenitor cells.[18] Subsequently, mechanical stress was introduced to achieve tenocyte commitment.[18] In our current attempt, we also explored the possible effect of stepwise differentiation by setting time windows. However, no improvement was found in the stepwise differentiation group, which indicates that both mechanical stimulation and IM were needed together for the most efficient keratocyte differentiation in the current context. Promotion could possibly be made in future studies by refining the way of mechanical stress, finding more effective chemical factors and/or elaborating the way of combination.

Cell sheet strategy has been used in clinical applications, for example, oesophagus[19] and cartilage.[20] Regarding the cornea, cell sheet of autologous mucosal epithelial cells has been used for the repair of corneal epithelium in patients.[21] Proulx and coworkers produced a complete human cornea in vitro by seeding endothelial and epithelial cells on each side of the keratocyte cell sheet, making it promising for corneal transplantation.[22] Our present keratocyte-like cell sheet from differentiated PDLSCs was transparent, multilamellar and expressing markers of native human corneal stroma, making this model a highly interesting candidate for future clinical applications, after further in vitro and in vivo evaluation of cell-based therapies for cornea replacement/regeneration. One limitation of our current study is the lack of functional evaluation in vivo of the keratocyte-like cell sheet, such as cell survival, maintaining of phenotype, integration with the host corneal stroma tissue and possible immune response. These crucial issues need to be addressed in our future work.

Recently, Basu et al[23] developed a therapy for corneal scarring by using autologous human limbal biopsy-derived stromal cells, which could be obtained with clinically established procedures and expanded under xeno-free autologous condition. Their work show great potential for autologous stem cell-based treatment of corneal stromal disease. However, the complicated components of culture medium and possible technical difficulty of stem cell isolation due to their sensitive location could hamper the future application of these cells to some extent. Highly proliferative PDLSCs could be cultured in vitro in an easy way (with basic medium supplemented with serum). They are accessible because dental tissues could be easily obtained from extracted teeth. In addition, they have the

same immunomodulatory properties as BMSCs,[24 25] and the immune-privileged characteristics of the human eye itself paves the way for allogenic PDLSCs transplantation. When compared with other alternative stem cells from non-corneal tissues, PDLSCs are similar to keratocytes in developmental origin and proteoglycan expression.[7] Besides, tissues of ligaments/tendons have high similarity with corneal stroma, with aligned dense COL I, similar gene expression profile, especially for ECM collagens (collagen types I, III, V and VI) and proteoglycan (lumican, decorin and biglycan),[16] submission to mechanical stress physiologically and similar pathological reactions. In conclusion, the characteristics of PDLSCs make them beneficial for medical corneal stroma applications.

In summary, the current study found a promoting effect of static mechanical stimulation on the differentiation of PDLSCs to keratocytes and that the effect was synergistic with the effect of IM. Cell sheets were constructed by the combined treatment of mechanics and chemicals, and these cell sheets resembled native human corneal stroma with expression of typical markers, which makes this technique a promising option in future clinical applications.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Detail has been removed from this case description/these case descriptions to ensure anonymity. The editors and reviewers have seen the detailed information available and are satisfied that the information backs up the case the authors are making.

Ethics approval The study protocol was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Umeå (2013-276-31M).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

1 Kumar P, Pandit A, Zeugolis DI. Progress in corneal stromal repair: from tissue grafts and biomaterials to modular supramolecular tissue-like assemblies. *Adv Mater* 2016;28:5381–99.

2 Sloniecka M, Backman LJ, Danielson P. Antiapoptotic effect of acetylcholine in fas-induced apoptosis in human keratocytes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2016;57:5892–902.

3 Musselmann K, Alexandrou B, Kane B, et al. Maintenance of the keratocyte phenotype during cell proliferation stimulated by insulin. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:32634–9.

4 Etheredge L, Kane BP, Valkov N, et al. Enhanced cell accumulation and collagen processing by keratocytes cultured under agarose and in media containing IGF-I, TGF-β or PDGF. *Matrix Biol* 2010;29:519–24.

5 Zhang S, Espandar L, Imhof KM, et al. Differentiation of human adipose-derived stem cells along the keratocyte lineage in vitro. *J Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2013;4.

6 Wu J, Du Y, Mann MM, et al. Bioengineering organized, multilamellar human corneal stromal tissue by growth factor supplementation on highly aligned synthetic substrates. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2013;19:2063–75.

7 Yam GH, Peh GS, Singhal S, et al. Dental stem cells: a future asset of ocular cell therapy. *Expert Rev Mol Med* 2015;17:e20.

8 Chen JL, Zhang W, Liu ZY, et al. Physical regulation of stem cells differentiation into teno-lineage: current strategies and future direction. *Cell Tissue Res* 2015;360:195–207.

9 Ogawa R, Orgill DP, Murphy GF, et al. Hydrostatic pressure-driven three-dimensional cartilage induction using human adipose-derived stem cells and collagen gels. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2015;21:257–66.

10 Zhang W, Chen J, Backman LJ, et al. Surface topography and mechanical strain promote keratocyte phenotype and extracellular matrix formation in a biomimetic 3D corneal model. *Adv Healthc Mater* 2017;6:1601238.

11 Chen J, Zhang W, Kelk P, et al. Substance P and patterned silk biomaterial stimulate periodontal ligament stem cells to form corneal stroma in a bioengineered three-dimensional model. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2017;8:260.

12 Słoniecka M, Le Roux S, Boman P, et al. Expression profiles of neuropeptides, neurotransmitters, and their receptors in human keratocytes in vitro and in situ. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0134157.

13 Chen J, Chen P, Backman LJ, et al. Ciliary neurotrophic factor promotes the migration of corneal epithelial stem/progenitor cells by up-regulation of MMPs through the phosphorylation of akt. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:25870.

14 Fagerholm P, Lagali NS, Ong JA, et al. Stable corneal regeneration four years after implantation of a cell-free recombinant human collagen scaffold. *Biomaterials* 2014;35:2420–7.

15 Syed-Picard FN, Du Y, Lathrop KL, et al. Dental pulp stem cells: a new cellular resource for corneal stromal regeneration. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2015;4:276–85.

16 Wu F, Lee S, Schumacher M, et al. Differential gene expression patterns of the developing and adult mouse cornea compared to the lens and tendon. *Exp Eye Res* 2008;87:214–25.

17 Petrigliano FA, English CS, Barba D, et al. The effects of local bFGF release and uniaxial strain on cellular adaptation and gene expression in a 3D environment: implications for ligament tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng* 2007;13:2721–31.

18 Chen X, Yin Z, Chen JL, et al. Force and scleraxis synergistically promote the commitment of human ES cells derived MSCs to tenocytes. *Sci Rep* 2012;2:977.

19 Ohki T, Yamato M, Ota M, et al. Prevention of esophageal stricture after endoscopic submucosal dissection using tissue-engineered cell sheets. *Gastroenterology* 2012;143:582–8.

20 Sato M, Yamato M, Hamahashi K, et al. Articular cartilage regeneration using cell sheet technology. *Anat Rec* 2014;297:36–43.

21 Nishida K, Yamato M, Hayashida Y, et al. Corneal reconstruction with tissue-engineered cell sheets composed of autologous oral mucosal epithelium. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1187–96.

22 Proulx S, d'Arc Uwamaliya J, Carrier P, et al. Reconstruction of a human cornea by the self-assembly approach of tissue engineering using the three native cell types. *Mol Vis* 2010;16:2192–201.

23 Basu S, Hertsenberg AJ, Funderburgh ML, et al. Human limbal biopsy-derived stromal stem cells prevent corneal scarring. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6:266ra172.

24 Wada N, Menicanin D, Shi S, et al. Immunomodulatory properties of human periodontal ligament stem cells. *J Cell Physiol* 2009;219:667–76.

25 Kim HS, Kim KH, Kim SH, et al. Immunomodulatory effect of canine periodontal ligament stem cells on allogenic and xenogenic peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *J Periodontal Implant Sci* 2010;40:265–70.