

Heg1 and Ccm1/2 proteins control endocardial mechanosensitivity during zebrafish valvulogenesis

Stefan Donat^{1,2†}, Marta Lourenço^{1†}, Alessio Paolini^{1†}, Cécile Otten¹, Marc Renz¹, Salim Abdelilah-Seyfried^{1,2}*

¹Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Potsdam University, Potsdam, Germany; ²Institute of Molecular Biology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

Abstract Endothelial cells respond to different levels of fluid shear stress through adaptations of their mechanosensitivity. Currently, we lack a good understanding of how this contributes to sculpting of the cardiovascular system. Cerebral cavernous malformation (CCM) is an inherited vascular disease that occurs when a second somatic mutation causes a loss of CCM1/KRIT1, CCM2, or CCM3 proteins. Here, we demonstrate that zebrafish Krit1 regulates the formation of cardiac valves. Expression of heg1, which encodes a binding partner of Krit1, is positively regulated by blood-flow. In turn, Heg1 stabilizes levels of Krit1 protein, and both Heg1 and Krit1 dampen expression levels of klf2a, a major mechanosensitive gene. Conversely, loss of Krit1 results in increased expression of klf2a and notch1b throughout the endocardium and prevents cardiac valve leaflet formation. Hence, the correct balance of blood-flow-dependent induction and Krit1 proteinmediated repression of klf2a and notch1b ultimately shapes cardiac valve leaflet morphology. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.001

salim.seyfried@uni-potsdam.de

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

*For correspondence:

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 19

Received: 23 May 2017 Accepted: 24 January 2018 Published: 01 February 2018

Reviewing editor: Deborah Yelon, University of California, San Diego, United States

© Copyright Donat et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Biophysical forces including shear stress caused by blood-flow trigger activation of mechanotransduction pathways within endothelial cells (ECs), a process which causes cellular changes that contribute to the sculpting of vascular networks and of the heart (Baeyens and Schwartz, 2016; Haack and Abdelilah-Seyfried, 2016). Currently, our knowledge is incomplete regarding the modes by which the sensitivity of different vascular beds is attuned to the constantly changing stimulus of blood-flow. One well-studied example of a blood-flow-sensitive developmental process involves remodeling of endocardial cushions into cardiac valve leaflets in the zebrafish embryo (Beis et al., 2005; Pestel et al., 2016; Scherz et al., 2008; Steed et al., 2016). Prior to the formation of cardiac cushions, the oscillatory pattern of blood-flow induces expression of the mechanotransduction pathway components zinc-finger transcription factors Krüppel like factors 2a (klf2a) (Vermot et al., 2009) and klf2b (Renz et al., 2015). KLFs are important blood-flow-responsive genes within ECs that are strongly activated within regions of high shear stress in tissue culture and various in vivo models (Dekker et al., 2002, 2005; Groenendijk et al., 2004, 2005; Lee et al., 2006; Novodvorsky and Chico, 2014; Parmar et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2016). As zebrafish cardiac atrioventricular (AV) cushions are remodeled into AV valve leaflets, endocardial klf2a is highly expressed on the luminal side of the developing valve leaflet, which is exposed to blood-flow, whereas its expression is low on the abluminal side of the leaflet (Steed et al., 2016). Zebrafish klf2a mutants exhibit defective cardiac valve leaflets (Steed et al., 2016) and a loss of murine Klf2 also results in atrioventricular cushion defects (Chiplunkar et al., 2013). One of the targets of klf2a within cardiac cushions is notch1b (Vermot et al., 2009). The precise spatiotemporal regulation of Klf2 expression within cardiac valve

CC

leaflets indicates that fine-tuning the response to blood-flow has a conserved role in shaping functional leaflets during cardiac morphogenesis.

The control of Klf2 expression has been linked to the cerebral cavernous malformations protein complex (Maddaluno et al., 2013; Renz et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015, 2016). Within this complex, KRIT1 (also known as CCM1) and the transmembrane protein HEG1 (also known as Heart of glass) physically interact with each other. This interaction results in stabilization of both proteins at EC junctions (Gingras et al., 2012; Kleaveland et al., 2009). The loss of HEG1, KRIT1, CCM2, or PDCD10 (also referred to as CCM3) causes severe cardiovascular and cardiac developmental defects (Kleaveland et al., 2009; Mably et al., 2003; Mably et al., 2006; Whitehead et al., 2009; Yoruk et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2010). However, functional studies in zebrafish suggest that Ccm3 functions in a manner that is separate from Krit1 and Ccm2 (Yoruk et al., 2012). The name CCM refers to pathologies with familial inheritance that have been attributed to mutations in human KRIT1, CCM2, or PDCD10. Affected individuals exhibit morphological malformations of low-perfused venous endothelial beds of the neuro-vasculature that can result in dangerous cerebral bleeding [reviewed in Riant et al., 2010]. Similarly, the conditional endothelial-specific loss of Krit1, Ccm2, or Pdcd10 in postnatal mice causes CCM lesions (Boulday et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2011). Strikingly, postnatal loss of Heg1 in mice does not cause CCM lesions and familial forms of the CCM pathology have never been associated with mutations in HEG1 (Zheng et al., 2014). These findings suggest a developmental role for HEG1-CCM signaling which differs from postnatal functions of the other CCM proteins.

Functional studies in zebrafish *ccm* and murine *Ccm* mutants have linked cardiovascular developmental defects to elevated expression levels of *Klf2* (*Renz et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015; 2016*). Two major pathways have been implicated in regulation of *KLF2* by the CCM proteins KRIT1 and CCM2. First, the core adaptor protein KRIT1 and its associated β 1-Integrin binding protein 1 (ICAP1) suppress β 1-Integrin signaling in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (*Faurobert et al., 2013*). A loss either of CCM proteins or of ICAP1 enhances Integrin signaling and this causes strong upregulation of *KLF2* expression in HUVECs, which is suppressed by the depletion of β 1-Integrin (*Renz et al., 2015*). Similarly in zebrafish, *ccm* mutant cardiovascular defects are suppressed by a knockdown of β 1-Integrin (*Renz et al., 2015; Uhlik et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2015*) inhibits the MEKK3-MEK5-ERK5 mechanotransduction pathway, which controls expression levels of murine *Klf2* (*Zhou et al., 2015*). These findings point to the importance of CCM proteins in regulating the physiological responsiveness of ECs to blood-flow. In support of such a physiological role, CCM proteins antagonize activation of β 1-Integrin and thus interfere with the orientation of endothelial cells in response to shear stress (*Macek Jilkova et al., 2014*).

The developmental connection of Ccm proteins with the regulation of *klf2a* expression led us to investigate whether expression of zebrafish *heg1* and *krit1* within the vasculature is controlled in a mechanosensitive manner. We find that expression of *heg1* is positively regulated in response to blood-flow and *klf2a/b*. We also explored the role of Heg1 and Krit1 in Klf2-dependent endothelial mechanotransduction during the formation of cardiac valve leaflets. Here, we show that Krit1 dampens levels of *klf2a* and *notch1b* expression. In tune with this finding, loss of Krit1 results in strong expression of *klf2a* and *notch1b* within the endocardium and prevents formation of an abluminal population of valve leaflet cells from endocardial cushions. Our findings reveal an important developmental role of Ccm proteins in fine-tuning the sensitivity of ECs in response to the strength of the biomechanical stimulus of blood-flow during valvulogenesis.

Results

Expression levels of *heg1* mRNA are positively regulated by blood-flow and Klf2a/b

To test whether the regulation of *heg1* or *krit1* mRNAs responds to changes in blood-flow, we measured their expression levels using RT-qPCR in *troponin T type 2a* (*tnnt2a*) morphants that have a non-contractile heart and thus lack blood-flow (*Sehnert et al., 2002*). Under this condition, mRNA levels of *heg1* but not of *krit1* were significantly lower than in wild-type (WT) embryos at 54 hr post fertilization (hpf) (*Figure 1A*). Similarly, antisense oligonucleotide morpholino (MO)-mediated



Figure 1. Mechanosensitive expression of *heg1* mRNA and stabilization of Krit1 protein levels by *heg1*. (A) RTqPCR quantifications of *heg1* and *krit1* mRNA levels at 54 hpf in morphant embryos lacking blood-flow [*troponin T type 2a* (*tnnt2a*)], or Krüppel like factors 2a and 2b (*klf2a/b*) compared with wild-type expression levels. (B) RTqPCR quantification showing that heat-shock-induced overexpression of *klf2a* causes significant upregulation of *heg1* but not of *krit1* expression at 54 hpf. (C–E) Morpholino-mediated knockdown of Heg1 significantly reduces endocardial EGFP-Krit1 protein levels of 30 hpf *Tg(fli:GAL4FF)*^{ubs3}; *Tg(UAS:EGFP-Krit1)*^{pbb21} embryos. Scale bars are 50 µm. Mean values \pm SEM of three (*tnnt2a* MO, *hs:klf2a*, *heg1* MO) or four (*klf2a/b* MO) individual experiments are shown. Ratio paired (A, B) or unpaired (E) t-test was used to compare each condition with controls (ns: not significant, *p<0.05, ***p<0.001).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.002

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Whole-mount in situ hybridization of heg1 cardiac expression.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.003

Figure supplement 2. Stabilization of Krit1 protein levels by heg1 in the trunk vasculature.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.004

knockdown of Klf2a/b caused significant downregulation of *heg1* mRNA. In comparison, the expression levels of *krit1* mRNA were not significantly altered (*Figure 1A*). To test whether *heg1* is positively regulated by Klf2a, we generated transgenic lines of zebrafish for heat-shock-mediated induction of *klf2a* [*Tg(hsp701:klf2a_IRES_EGFP)*^{pbb22}]. We found that overexpression of *klf2a* upon heat-shock at 48–50 hpf led to a significant upregulation of *heg1* mRNA expression by 54–55 hpf (*Figure 1B*). However, the treatment did not significantly upregulate levels of *krit1* mRNA.

It has previously been shown that *heg1* is expressed within endocardium (*Mably et al., 2003*). To determine the regional expression of *heg1* mRNA within the endocardium, we performed whole-mount *in situ* hybridizations in WT and *tnnt2a* morphants. Whereas *heg1* was initially expressed throughout the entire endocardium at 30 hpf, by 48 hpf, expression became more restricted to cells exposed to a high fluid shear stress including the atrioventricular canal (AVC) endocardium, and this was even more striking at 72 hpf [*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A–C*; (*Münch et al., 2017*)]. In tune with flow-dependent regulation within the endocardium, expression of *heg1* mRNA was reduced in *tnnt2a* morphants at 48 hpf (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1D*).

As Heg1 and Krit1 proteins are known to interact *in vitro* (*Kleaveland et al., 2009*), we next tested whether Heg1 protein affects protein levels of its binding partner Krit1. To this end, we first generated a transgenic line of zebrafish for expression of EGFP-Krit1 [$Tg(UAS:EGFP-krit1)^{pbb21}$]. Next, we analyzed the levels of EGFP-Krit1 protein in *heg1* MO-injected embryos and found that they were significantly lower than in WT control embryos in the heart (*Figure 1C-E*) and the caudal vasculature at 30 hpf (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2*). Taken together, the levels of *heg1* mRNA expression are positively regulated by blood-flow and Klf2a-dependent mechanotransduction. In turn, Heg1 has a stabilizing effect on Krit1 levels.

Overexpression of *heg1* or *krit1* mRNA dampens expression levels of *klf2a* mRNA

A loss of Ccm proteins in zebrafish or mice results in higher levels of *klf2* mRNA expression, suggesting that the physiological role of Ccm proteins is to modulate *klf2* expression levels in response to blood-flow (*Renz et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015, 2016*). Given that levels of *heg1* mRNA expression are affected by blood-flow, we explored whether upregulation of Heg1 or Krit1 would have an impact on endothelial mechanotransduction pathways. First, we injected *heg1* mRNA at the one-cell stage and assessed *klf2a* mRNA levels at 24 and 48 hpf. At both times, high levels of *heg1* mRNA correlated with significantly lower levels of *klf2a* expression (*Figure 2A*). Next, we tested whether overexpression of *krit1* also downregulates levels of *klf2a* mRNA by using a transgenic line with heat-shock-inducible *krit1* [*Tg(hsp70l:krit1_IRES EGFP)*^{md6}]. Treatment with multiple heat-shocks at



Figure 2. Desensitization of endocardial cells to blood-flow by *heg1*, *krit1*, or *ccm2* overexpression. (A) Correlation of high *heg1* and low *klf2a* mRNA expression levels at 24 and 48 hpf as determined by RT-qPCR. (B–D) Projections of confocal z-stack images of 54 hpf hearts expressing the flow-responsive marker $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ in heat-shocked wild-type (WT) (B), heat-shock- (hs) induced *krit1* overexpression (C), and hs-induced *ccm2* overexpression (D). (E) Different ratios of corrected total tissue fluorescence (CTTF) of atrial versus ventricular (+atrioventricular canal region (AVC), arrowhead) expression of the flow-responsive marker $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ between 54 hpf WT and *krit1*-overexpressing hearts (n = 37) and WT and *ccm2*-overexpressing hearts (n = 39). *krit1*- or *ccm2*-overexpression results in more equal chamber expression of flow-responsive marker $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ between 54 hpf WT and *krit1*-overexpression ender the flow-responsive marker $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ between 54 hpf WT and *krit1*-overexpressing hearts (n = 37) and WT and *ccm2*-overexpression (depicted is a WT heart corresponding to the *krit1*-overexpression experiment). (F) Fold change of relative fluorescence (CTTF) in the AVC of $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ in *krit1*- and *ccm2*- overexpressing hearts versus the corresponding controls. Scale bars are 50 µm. Mean values \pm SEM are shown. Unpaired t-test was used to compare each condition with the WT (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.005

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Exclusive endocardial expression of the Notch reporter *Tg*(*TP1*:*VenusPEST*)^{s940} and cardiac cushions forming normally on overexpression of krit1 and ccm2.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.006

14, 24, and 40 hpf resulted in significant downregulation of *klf2a* mRNA levels throughout the entire embryo at 48 hpf as determined by RT-qPCR (*klf2a*: fold change 0.63, p<0.05, n = 4 replicates; *krit1*: fold change 9.77, p<0.001, n = 4 replicates). Cardiac cushions formed normally after this treatment (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*) and blood-flow was not affected as assessed by visual inspection (n > 100 embryos analyzed showed normal blood circulation). Hence, the forced upregulation of *heg1* or *krit1* dampens expression levels of *klf2a* mRNA. This finding suggests that the strength of the biomechanical forces resulting from blood-flow impacts the expression levels of Ccm proteins; this modulates mechanosensitive signaling within endothelial cells upstream of *klf2a*.

Krit1 and Ccm2 impact expression of the mechanosensitive Notch activity reporter *Tg*(*TP1*:*VenusPEST*)

To further assess the physiological effects of altered levels of CCM proteins for endocardial patterning, we analyzed expression levels of the transgenic line Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}, which expresses destabilized Venus protein in regions of strong Notch activity (Ninov et al., 2012). In zebrafish, notch1b is a blood-flow-responsive gene that is highly expressed at cardiac cushions (Vermot et al., 2009). By 54 hpf, the expression of Tq(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940} is restricted to the ventricular chamber and is particularly strong within the AVC region (Figure 2B). To induce upregulation of krit1 or ccm2, Tg(hsp70l:krit1_IRES EGFP)^{md6} or Tg(hsp70l:ccm2_IRES EGFP)^{md12} embryos were treated with multiple heat-shocks at 14, 24, 40, and 48 hpf. On krit1 or ccm2 overexpression, and consistent with a dampening of blood-flow responses, expression from the Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940} reporter was generally weakened and was not restricted to the ventricle and AVC region at 54 hpf (Figure 2B-F; Figure 2-figure supplement 1). Hence, overexpression of krit1 or ccm2 affects the expression levels and pattern of the Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{\$940} reporter, which is responsive to blood-flow within the heart. Notably, the expression of this Notch reporter was exclusively endocardial in all of these experimental conditions (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). This result suggests that endocardial cells become desensitized to blood-flow-induced mechanosensitive signaling when krit1 or ccm2 are overexpressed.

Krit1 is required for generation of abluminal cell fates during valvulogenesis

Given the strong involvement of Ccm proteins in mechanotransduction pathways, we next explored whether they have a developmental role during remodeling of cardiac cushions into valve leaflets, a morphogenetic process that is highly sensitive to the biomechanical stimulus of blood-flow (Beis et al., 2005; Pestel et al., 2016; Scherz et al., 2008; Steed et al., 2016; Vermot et al., 2009). Previously, it was not possible to address such a potential developmental role as zebrafish ccm mutant endocardial cells fail to form cardiac cushions [Figure 3B; (Renz et al., 2015). This is in contrast to WT endocardial cushion cells that acquire cuboidal shapes at 48 hpf and express activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule (Alcam) (Figure 3A; Beis et al., 2005). To elucidate whether CCM proteins play a role in this process, we performed a rescue experiment by injecting mRNA encoding EGFP-Krit1 into krit1^{ty219c} mutants, which rescued the cardiovascular defects associated with the loss of Krit1 at 48 hpf (Figure 3C, Figure 3-figure supplement 1). Zebrafish ccm mutants have increased endocardial cell numbers by 48 hpf (Renz et al., 2015). To determine whether injection of mRNA encoding EGFP-Krit1 into krit1^{ty219c} mutants could rescue endocardial cell numbers, we compared ventricular endocardial cell numbers of WT and krit1^{ty219c} rescued embryos at 48 and 55 hpf (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). Consistent with the strong rescue of the krit1^{ty219c} mutant phenotype at 48 hpf, the number of ventricular endocardial cells was normal at this stage. However, by 55 hpf, ventricular endocardial cell numbers had increased beyond those in WT, which suggests that at this stage the krit1^{ty219c} mutant phenotype becomes expressed again. To assay whether the re-appearance of a late krit1^{ty219c} mutant phenotype correlated with decreasing egfp-krit1 mRNA levels, we monitored krit1 mRNA levels over time using RT-gPCR. Indeed, WT embryos injected with eqfp-krit1 mRNA showed a significant decrease of krit1 mRNA levels between 24 and 96 hpf (Figure 3-figure supplement 3). This result is consistent with recurrence of the krit1^{ty219c} mutant phenotype at 55 hpf.

During the stage at which cardiac cushions form, we selected *egfp-krit1* mRNA injected *krit1*^{ty219c} mutant embryos with normal blood-flow and found that they had developed normal endocardial



Figure 3. Krit1 is required for abluminal cell fates during valvulogenesis. (A-F) Single confocal z-section images of endocardial cells within the atrioventricular canal (AVC) region marked by Tg(kdrl:EGFP)^{s843} expression (cyan) and Alcam staining (magenta). (A) At 48 hpf, wild-type (WT) endocardial cushion cells express Alcam (asterisks). (B) In krit1^{ty219c} mutants, endocardial cells of the AVC region do not express Alcam and cushions do not form (arrow). (C) Injection of egfp-krit1 mRNA rescues cardiac cushion formation in krit1^{ty219c} mutants (n = 15/15). krit1^{ty219c} mutant hearts form cardiac cushions and endocardial cushion cells express Alcam. (D) By 96 hpf, Alcam expression is mainly restricted to luminal endocardial cells of the developing WT cardiac valve leaflet (asterisks). (E) krit1^{ty219c} mutants do not form valve leaflets but AVC endocardial cells express Alcam (asterisks). (F) krit1ty219c mutant that was initially rescued by egfp-krit1 mRNA injection has a dysmorphic cardiac valve leaflet at 96 hpf with an agglomeration of luminal Alcam-positive cells (asterisks). (G-L) Whole-mount in situ hybridization of klf2a cardiac expression. (G) At 54 hpf, klf2a expression is restricted to endocardial cells of the AVC in WT while (H) klf2a is strongly expressed throughout the entire endocardium in krit1^{ty219c} mutant. (I) krit1^{ty219c} mutant rescued by injection of egfp-krit1 mRNA has a restricted and strong klf2a expression at the AVC (n = 6/6). (J) By 96 hpf, klf2a expression is restricted to the AVC in WT while (K) klf2a is strongly expressed throughout the entire endocardium in krit1^{ty219c} mutants. (L) krit1^{ty219c} mutant embryo injected with egfp-krit1 mRNA has high klf2a levels throughout the entire endocardium (n = 8/8). A: atrium, V: ventricle, L: luminal, AL: abluminal. Scale bars are 10 μm. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.007

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Figure 3 continued on next page

Figure 3 continued

Figure supplement 1. Rescue of *krit1^{ty219c}* mutant cardiovascular phenotypes by injection of mRNA encoding EGPF-Krit1.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.008

Figure supplement 2. Injection of mRNA encoding EGFP-Krit1 into *krit1^{ty219c}* mutants prevents overgrowth of ventricular endocardial cells.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.009

Figure supplement 3. *krit1* expression decreases to a basal level by 96 hpf in rescued embryos. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.010

Figure supplement 4. Cadherin-5 is misexpressed in *krit*1^{ty219c} mutant embryonic hearts.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.011

Figure supplement 5. Krit1 is required for abluminal cell fates during cardiac valve leafet formation. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.012

cushions by 48 hpf (*Figure 3C*). Next, we analyzed whether these rescued krit1^{ty219c} mutant embryos would revert to a mutant cardiovascular phenotype by 96 hpf, a point at which endocardial cushions have been transformed via complex cellular rearrangements into double-layered valve leaflets (Beis et al., 2005; Pestel et al., 2016; Scherz et al., 2008; Steed et al., 2016). In WT, those endocardial cells that face the luminal side of the valve leaflet and are exposed to the strongest shear stress, express high levels of klf2a (Steed et al., 2016) and can be identified by strong Alcam (Figure 3D) and Cdh5 expression (Steed et al., 2016) (Figure 3-figure supplement 4A'-A''). In comparison, endocardial cells on the abluminal side of the valve leaflet have lower levels of klf2a, (Steed et al., 2016) and Alcam (Figure 3D) and Cdh5 are only weakly expressed in abluminal cells (Figure 3—figure supplement 4A'-A''). This is in tune with the more mesenchymal identity ascribed to this endocardial cell population (Pestel et al., 2016; Steed et al., 2016). We found that krit1^{ty219c} mutant embryos with a cardiac rescue that were exposed to normal blood-flow at 48 hpf, developed strongly dysmorphic valve leaflets by 96 hpf (n = $17/23 \text{ krit}^{1\text{y}219\text{c}}$ mutant embryos). At that stage, most mutants had valve leaflet endocardial cells on the abluminal sides that strongly expressed high levels of Alcam similar to luminal klf2a-positive cells in WT (Figure 3F; n = 17/23 krit1^{ty219c} mutant embryos). In addition, Cdh5 colocalized with Alcam on the abluminal side of dysmorphic valve leaflets (Figure 3-figure supplement 4C'-C"). This phenotype corresponded with an agglomeration of endocardial cushion cells with characteristics of luminal cells. We ruled out that the observed phenotype resulted from an egfp-krit1 overexpression effect because krit1 mRNA levels in these rescued embryos had decreased to a basal level of expression by 96 hpf (Figure 3-figure supplement 3). In tune with this observation, untreated krit1^{ty219c} mutants express high levels of Cdh5 throughout the entire endocardium at 96 hpf (Figure 3-figure supplement 4B-B") and Alcam is expressed at high levels within the AVC region (Figure 3E, Figure 3—figure supplement 4B'-B''). Only few krit1^{ty219c} mutant embryos had a normal distribution of Alcam-positive luminal endocardial cells by 96 hpf, a phenotype more similar to WT (n = $6/23 \text{ krit} 1^{ty219c}$ mutant embryos; Figure 3-figure supplement 5). Taken together, the loss of Krit1 mostly resulted in a failure of endocardial cells to acquire mesenchymal-like fates and to generate an abluminal population of valve leaflet cells.

Krit1 dampens *klf2a* expression and Notch activation during cardiac valve morphogenesis

Ingression of abluminal cells from cardiac cushions has been associated with a bias in *klf2a* expression levels: whereas some endocardial cushion cells express high levels of *klf2a* and contribute to the luminal part of the valve leaflet, others express lower levels of *klf2a* which correlates with a mesenchymal-like morphology and their contribution to the abluminal portion of the valve leaflet (**Steed et al., 2016**). Our findings suggest that elevated levels of *klf2a* mRNA are the cause for defects in valve leaflet morphogenesis. To assess *klf2a* expression in rescued *krit1*^{ty219c} mutant hearts, we used whole-mount *in situ* hybridization. By 54 hpf, *klf2a* was strongly expressed within cardiac cushions at the AVC, whereas in other regions of the endocardium expression levels were lower or undetectable (*Figure 3G*). In *krit1*^{ty219c} mutants, *klf2a* was strongly expressed throughout the entire endocardium and was not restricted to the AVC (*Figure 3H*). At 54 hpf, in *krit1*^{ty219c} mutant embryos that were rescued by injection of mRNA encoding EGFP-Krit1, the expression of *klf2a* had a normal pattern with a localized strong expression at the AVC cushions (n = 6/6) (*Figure 31*). However, by 96 hpf, the expression of *klf2a* was not restricted to the AVC and outflow tract regions of the endocardium as in WT (*Figure 3J*) but had reverted to wide and strong expression present throughout the entire endocardium (n = 8/8) (*Figure 3L*).

klf2a is a regulator of *notch1b* expression at cardiac cushions (*Vermot et al., 2009*). In addition, several studies have demonstrated that the reduction of CCM protein levels is associated with decreased Notch activity in human endothelial cells (*Wüstehube et al., 2010*; *You et al., 2013*). Hence, changes in Notch activity may contribute to defective cardiac valve leaflet morphogenesis in *ccm* mutants. To address this question, we first assessed *notch1b* expression by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization. At 48 hpf, *notch1b* was strongly expressed within high shear stress regions including the AVC of the WT heart (*Figure 4A*). In contrast, *notch1b* was expressed throughout the entire endocardium in *krit1^{ty219c}* mutant embryos (*Figure 4B*). Next, we characterized Notch signaling activity using the $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ reporter. In WT, Notch activity was in a mosaic pattern within endocardial cushions and a few cushion cells neighboring the ventricle were consistently downregulating Notch activity (*Figure 4C'*; n > 30 embryos analyzed). Those cells lacking Notch activity were in positions that have been shown to initiate endocardial sprouting behaviors and to contribute to formation of the abluminal portion of the cardiac valve leaflets (*Steed et al., 2016*). In striking contrast, in *ccm2^{m201}* and *krit1^{ty219c}* mutants, Notch reporter expression was expanded throughout large regions of the endocardium (*Figure 4D*, *Figure 4D*, *Figure supplement*



Figure 4. Notch activity within endocardial cushion cells is affected in *ccm* mutants. (**A**, **B**) Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization of *notch1b* cardiac expression at 48 hpf. (**A**) *notch1b* expression is restricted to endocardial cells of the atrioventricular canal (AVC) in wild-type (WT), while *notch1b* is strongly expressed throughout all ventricular cells in *krit1*^{ty/219c} mutants (**B**). (**C**, **D**) Projections of single confocal z-section images of endocardial cells marked by $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ expression (yellow) and Alcam staining (magenta) at 54 hpf. (**C**) In WT, Notch activity is highest in endocardial cells of the AVC and outflow regions. (**C**') Single confocal plane section of the AVC (white box in C) reveals that some endocardial cells close to the ventricle lack Notch activity (asterisks). (**D**) In *ccm2^{m201}* mutants, the domain of high Notch activity is expanded to most ventricular endocardial cells. (**D**') Single confocal plane section of the AVC region (box in D, arrow) shows high Notch expression in all endocardial cells of the AVC region. A: atrium, V: ventricle, Scale bars are 50 µm (**C**, **D**), and 10 µm (**C'**, **D**').

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.013

The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. The region of Notch activity within the endocardium is expanded in *krit1*^{ty219c} mutants. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.014

1B), and was especially active within all endocardial cells at the AVC region which demonstrated that a singling-out process and downregulation of Notch signaling among few cushions cells did not occur (*Figure 4D'*; n > 30 embryos analyzed). In comparison, the expression of *tbx2b*, another AVC marker gene (*Sedletcaia and Evans, 2011*), was not expanded in $ccm2^{m201}$ mutants (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1C–D*). Hence, expansion of *notch1b* expression domain in $ccm2^{m201}$ or *krit1^{ty219c}* mutants is not a result of regional expansion of the AVC. Taken together, our findings suggest a critical role for Krit1 in modulating expression levels of *klf2a* and for Notch signaling among endocardial cushion cells which may control endocardial sprouting during the formation of cardiac valve leaflets.

Discussion

Our study establishes CCM proteins as having an important role in endothelial mechanosensitive signaling. We report that levels of heg1 mRNA expression are positively regulated by blood-flow in a Klf2-dependent manner and that Heg1 stabilizes Krit1 protein levels. We also show that overexpression of Heg1 and Krit1 dampens expression of klf2a mRNA. This establishes a negative feedback loop that desensitizes endothelial cells to blood-flow-induced mechanosensitive signaling by dampening expression levels of klf2a. The fine-tuning of blood-flow-sensitive responses is particularly important during developmental processes such as cardiac valvulogenesis, which involves establishment of regionalized differences in klf2a expression levels (Steed et al., 2016). While the endocardial cells facing the lumen of the heart experience high fluid shear forces, and consequently begin to express high levels of klf2a, those on the other side do not. In tune with this observation, the process of valvulogenesis is critically dependent on the biophysical stimulus by blood-flow (Beis et al., 2005; Heckel et al., 2015; Pestel et al., 2016; Steed et al., 2016) and is affected by the loss of klf2a (Steed et al., 2016). Hence, mechanosensitive signaling pathways actively reshape cardiac valve leaflets in a manner that is highly sensitive to constantly changing blood-flow conditions. The present work suggests that Krit1 plays an important role in modulating expression levels of klf2a during this complex morphogenetic process. Our results suggest that downregulation of endocardial mechanosensitivity by CCM proteins generates a bias in expression levels of klf2a which is critical for correct valvulogenesis.

In accordance with our findings, expression of the KRIT1-CCM2-associated protein CCM2L has also been reported in a blood-flow-dependent manner (Cullere et al., 2015). In a complex with KRIT1 and CCM2, CCM2L directly binds to the MAP kinase MEKK3 and inhibits its activation. This reduces the ability of MEKK3 to phosphorylate MEK5 which is required to activate ERK5 (Cullere et al., 2015). The MEKK3-MEK5-ERK5 pathway is involved in activation of KLF2 expression (Zhou et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2016), the control of endoMT (reviewed in Drew et al., 2012), and murine cardiovascular development (Yang et al., 2000; reviewed in Rose et al., 2010). Activation of klf2a expression and control of endoMT processes could be particularly important during formation of functional cardiac valve leaflets. Within abluminal endocardial cells of the zebrafish cardiac valve leaflet, the loss of Krit1 prevents downregulation of Cdh5 and Alcam proteins, a function that might ultimately be related to elevated levels of Klf2a. As yet, no blood-flow-independent mechanism has been identified that would trigger such an upregulation of Klf2a in the absence of Ccm proteins (see model, Figure 5). As Klf2a regulates the expression of endocardial notch1b within endocardial cushions (Vermot et al., 2009), the formation of abluminal valve leaflets may be regulated by a Notchdependent lateral inhibition process similar to angiogenic sprouting of tip cells. Whereas high klf2a expression corresponds to expression of the endothelial junctional proteins Cdh5 and Alcam within luminal endocardial valve cells, abluminal endocardial cells that are shielded from blood-flow and express lower levels of klf2a and notch1b downregulate the expression of Cdh5 (Steed et al., 2016) and Alcam and acquire a more mesenchymal-like state. Several publications have discussed the possibility that downregulation of Cdh5 and Alcam in abluminal endocardial cells and the behaviour of these endocardial cells during zebrafish valvulogenesis (Beis et al., 2005; Lagendijk et al., 2011; Scherz et al., 2008; Steed et al., 2016) is highly reminiscent of the endoMT process that occurs during cardiac valve formation in the mouse (Chiplunkar et al., 2013). Our findings now implicate CCM proteins in providing a bias in klf2a and notch1b expression levels within cardiac cushions, which may be further elaborated by Notch-dependent lateral inhibition that could allocate cells to an abluminal fate (see model, Figure 5). In tune with such a model, Notch activity was in a mosaic pattern



Figure 5. Model of the molecular pathways involved in endocardial cushion cell sprouting at 54 hpf. In wild-type, endocardial cells exposed to blood-flow have high levels of Klf2a, Notch, Alcam, and maintain cell adhesion. Ccm proteins provide a bias in Klf2a and Notch expression levels. Some cells that express lower levels of *klf2a* and have lower Notch activity establish protrusions and migrate into the cardiac jelly (endocardial sprouting). In *ccm* mutants, endocardial cells overexpress *klf2a* and have higher Notch activity because of a blood-flow-independent mechanism. As a consequence, high levels of Notch activity throughout the entire endocardium result in failure of endocardial sprouting.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.015

within WT endocardial cushions, while in *ccm* mutants, Notch signaling was widely active. Interestingly, pharmacological inhibition of Notch signalling has been shown to cause similar cardiac valve leaflet morphogenesis defects (*Beis et al., 2005*). Hence, it remains an important question for future research to elucidate whether Notch-dependent endocardial sprouting morphogenesis and/or endoMT are involved in the process of valve leaflet formation.

Taken together, our findings uncover crucial roles of Heg1 and Krit1 in controlling the sensitivity of endothelial cells to hemodynamic forces. This may promote developmental adaptations in response to changes in the strength of hemodynamic forces that occur during cardiovascular development. Within the early zebrafish heart tube, shear forces resulting from blood-flow increase rapidly within the first 4–5 days post fertilization (*Hove et al., 2003*). Similar changes in blood-flow dynamics also occur during the remodelling of the vasculature or during pathological changes of

blood-flow patterns. It will be important to assess the physiological roles of CCM proteins during these different adaptations of the vasculature.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
gene (<i>heg1</i>)	heg1	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-040714-1	
gene (krit1)	krit1	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-030131-555	
gene (<i>klf2a</i>)	klf2a	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-011109-1	
gene (klf2b)	klf2b	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-011109-2	
gene (tnnt2a)	tnnt2a	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-000626-1	
gene (<i>ccm2</i>)	ccm2	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-040712-6	
gene (notch1b)	notch1b	ZFIN	ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENE-990415-183	
strain, strain background (krit1ty219c)	krit1ty219c	DOI: 10.1242/dev.02469	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-980203-1289	
strain, strain background (ccm2m201)	ccm2m201	PMID:9007227	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-980203-523	
strain, strain background (Tg(EPV.Tp1-Mmu.Hbb: Venus-Mmu.Odc1)s940)	Tg(EPV.Tp1-Mmu.Hbb: Venus-Mmu.Odc1)s940	DOI: 10.1242/dev.076000	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-120419-6	
strain, strain background (Tg(kdrl:EGFP)s843)	Tg(kdrl:EGFP)s843	DOI: 10.1242/dev.02087	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-050916-14	
strain, strain background (Tg(fli1a:GAL4FF)ubs3)	Tg(fli1a:GAL4FF)ubs3	DOI: 10.1016/j.cub. 2011.10.016	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-120113-6	
strain, strain background (Tg(hsp70l:Krit1_IRES_EGFP)md6)	Tg(hsp70l: Krit1_IRES_EGFP)md6	this paper		
strain, strain background (Tg(hsp70l:Ccm2_IRES_EGFP)md12)	Tg(hsp70l: Ccm2_IRES_EGFP)md12	this paper		
strain, strain background (Tg(hsp70l:Klf2a_IRES_EGFP)pbb22)	Tg(hsp70l: Klf2a_IRES_EGFP)pbb22	this paper		
strain, strain background (Tg(UAS:EGFP-Krit1, cryaa:EGFP)pbb21)	Tg(UAS:EGFP-Krit1, cryaa:EGFP)pbb21	this paper		
genetic reagent (<i>tnnt2a</i> morpholino)	tnnt2a MO	DOI: 10.1038/ng875	ZFIN ID: ZDB-MRPHLNO-060317-4	
genetic reagent (klf2a morpholino)	klf2a MO	DOI: 10.1038/nature08889	ZFIN ID: ZDB-MRPHLNO-100610-9	2 ng/embryo
genetic reagent (klf2b morpholino)	klf2b MO	DOI: 10.1016/j.devcel. 2014.12.016	ZFIN ID: ZDB-MRPHLNO-150427-1	1 ng/embryo
genetic reagent (heg1 morpholino)	heg1 MO	PMID:14680629	ZFIN ID: ZDB-MRPHLNO-080714-5	5 ng/embryo
genetic reagent (klf2a probe)	klf2a	DOI: 10.1016/j.devcel. 2014.12.016	ZFIN ID: ZDB-FIG-150407-1	5 ng/embryo
genetic reagent (notch1b probe)	notch1b	DOI: 10.1126/science. 293.5535.1670	ZFIN ID: ZDB-FIG-151113-25	
genetic reagent (heg1 probe)	heg1	DOI: 10.1242/dev.143362	ZFIN ID: ZDB-PUB-031217-1	
genetic reagent (tbx2 probe)	tbx2	DOI: 10.1002/dvdy.22622	ZFIN ID: ZDB-PUB-110502-3	
Antibody (rabbit anti-VE- Cadherin (Cdh5))	Cdh5	DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio. 2008.01.038	ZFIN ID: ZDB-PUB-080326-18	1:200

Continued on next page



Developmental Biology and Stem Cells

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Antibody (mouse anti-Zn-8/Alcam)	Alcam	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ATB-081002-22	1:25
Antibody (mouse anti-Myh6)	Myh6	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	ZFIN ID: ZDB-ATB-081002-54	1:10
Antibody (Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated goat anti-rabbit)	Alexa Fluor 633- conjugated goat anti-rabbit	Invitrogen A21070		1:200
Antibody (Rhodamine Red- X-conjugated goat anti-mouse)	Rhodamine Red- X- conjugated goat anti-mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories 115-295-003		1:200
Antibody (Dylight 649- conjugated goat anti-mouse)	Dylight 649- conjugated goat anti-mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories 115-495-003		1:200
recombinant DNA reagent (pDestTol2 (#426))	pDestTol2	N. Lawson	Lawson Lab: #426	
recombinant DNA reagent (p5E-hsp70l (#222))	p5E-hsp70l	N. Lawson	Lawson Lab: #222	
recombinant DNA reagent (p5E-CMV/SP6 (#382))	p5E-CMV/SP6	Chien, Univ. Utah	Tol2kit: #382	
recombinant DNA reagent (p5E-UAS (#327))	p5E-UAS	Chien, Univ. Utah	Tol2kit: #327	
recombinant DNA reagent (p3E-IRES_EGFPpA (#389))	p3E-IRES_EGFPpA	N. Lawson	Lawson Lab: #389	
recombinant DNA reagent (p3E-cryaa:EGFPpA)	p3E-cryaa:EGFPpA	other		plasmid was generated in our lab
recombinant DNA reagent (p3E-EGFPpA (#366))	p3E-EGFPpA	Chien, Univ. Utah	Tol2kit: #366	
recombinant DNA reagent (p3E-pA (#383))	р3Е-рА	Chien, Univ. Utah	Tol2kit: #383	
recombinant DNA reagent (pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l: Krit1_IRES_EGFPpA)	pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l: Krit1_IRES_EGFPpA	this paper		
recombinant DNA reagent (pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l: Ccm2_IRES_EGFPpA)	pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l: Ccm2_IRES_EGFPpA	this paper		
recombinant DNA reagent (p3E-pA (pDest_Tol2pA_ Hsp70l:Klf2a_IRES_EGFPpA)	pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l: Klf2a_IRES_EGFPpA	this paper		
recombinant DNA reagent (pDest_Tol2pA_UAS: EGFP-Krit1pA,cryaa:EGFPpA)	pDest_Tol2pA_UAS: EGFP-Krit1pA,cryaa:EGFPpA	this paper		
recombinant DNA reagent (pDest_Tol2pA_CMV/SP6: EGFP-Krit1pA)	pDest_Tol2pA_CMV/SP6: EGFP-Krit1pA	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer heg1 FW)	heg1 FW	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer heg1 RW)	heg1 RW	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer krit1 FW)	krit1 FW	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer krit1 RW)	krit1 RW	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer klf2a FW)	klf2a FW	this paper		
Continued on next page				



Developmental Biology and Stem Cells

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer klf2a RW)	klf2a RW	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer eif1b FW)	eif1b FW	this paper		
sequence-based reagent (qPCR-primer eif1b RW)	eif1b RW	this paper		
commercial assay or kit (SP6 polymerase (mMessage Machine kit, Ambion))	SP6 polymerase	Ambion	Ambion:AM1340	
commercial assay or kit (RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific))	RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit	ThermoFisher Scientific	ThermoFisher Scientific:K1631	
commercial assay or kit (KAPA Sybr Fast qPCR kit (Peqlab))	KAPA Sybr Fast qPCR kit	Peglab	Peglab:4385612	
chemical compound, drug (1- phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU))	PTU	Sigma Aldrich	Sigma Aldrich:P7629	0.003%
chemical compound, drug (Rhodamine-Phalloidin)	Rhodamine-Phalloidin	Invitrogen	Invitrogen:R415	1:250
chemical compound, drug (Tricaine (3-amino benzoic acidethylester))	Tricaine	Sigma-Aldrich	Sigma Aldrich:A-5040	0.16 mg/ml
software, algorithm (GraphPad Prism6)	GraphPad Prism6	GraphPad		
software, algorithm (Imaris (Bitplane, Version 8.1))	Imaris	Bitplane		
software, algorithm (Fiji software)	Fiji	DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.2019		
software, algorithm (Adobe Bridge and Photoshop (Adobe Systems))	Adobe Bridge and Photoshop	Adobe		
software, algorithm (Zen 8.1 Software (Zeiss))	Zen	Zeiss		
software, algorithm (Excel 2010 (Microsoft Office))	Excel	Microsoft		
software, algorithm (PikoReal software 2.2 (ThermoFisher Scientific))	PikoReal software	ThermoFisher Scientific		

Zebrafish genetics and maintenance

Handling of zebrafish was done in compliance with German and Brandenburg state law, carefully monitored by the local authority for animal protection (LUVG, Brandenburg, Germany; Animal protocol #2347-18-2015). The following strains of zebrafish were maintained under standard conditions as previously described (**Westerfield et al., 1997**): krit1^{ty219c} (**Mably et al., 2006**), ccm2^{m201} (**Driever et al., 1996**), Tg(EPV.Tp1-Mmu.Hbb:Venus-Mmu.Odc1)^{s940} [here referred to as Tg(TP1: VenusPEST)^{s940}] (**Ninov et al., 2012**), Tg(kdrl:EGFP)^{s843} (**Jin et al., 2005**), Tg(fli1a:GAL4FF)^{ubs3} (Herwig et al., 2011). Some embryos were treated with 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) (Sigma Aldrich) prior to the appearance of pigmentation.

Morpholino injections

The following morpholinos were used: *tnnt2a* (5'-CATGTTTGCTCTGATCTGACACGCA-3') (2 ng/ embryo) (*Sehnert et al., 2002*), *klf2a* (5'-CTCGCCTATGAAAGAAGAGAGAGAGTT-3') (1 ng/embryo) (*Nicoli et al., 2010*), *klf2b* (5'-AAAGGCAAGGTAAAGCCATGTCCAC-3') (5 ng/embryo) (*Renz et al., 2015*), *heg1* (5'-GTAATCGTACTTGCAGCAGGTGACA-3') (5 ng/embryo) (*Mably et al., 2003*).

Molecular cloning

The open reading frames of zebrafish *krit1* (NM_001317001), *klf2a* (NM_131856), and *ccm2* (NM_001002315) were amplified by PCR and cloned into the Gateway pDONR221 vector (referred to as pME-*krit1*, pME-*klf2a*, and pME-*ccm2*, respectively). To generate the *krit1* fusion plasmids, *EGFP* was fused in the N-terminal site of *krit1* (pME-*EGFP-krit1*).

These middle entry constructs were used in combination with the following Gateway plasmids to generate final constructs by standard Gateway cloning recombination reactions: pDestTol2 (#426, obtained from N. Lawson), p5E-*hsp70l* (#222, obtained from N. Lawson), p5E-*CMV/SP6* (#382, obtained from Chien, Univ. Utah), p5E-*UAS* (#327, obtained from Chien, Univ. Utah), p3E-*IRE-S_EGFPpA* (#389, obtained from N. Lawson), p3E-*cryaa:EGFPpA*, p3E-*EGFPpA* (#366, obtained from Chien, Univ. Utah), p3E-*pA* (#383, obtained from Chien, Univ. Utah).

Final plasmids:

pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l:Krit1_IRES_EGFPpA, pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l:Ccm2_IRES_EGFPpA, pDest_Tol2pA_Hsp70l:Klf2a_IRES_EGFPpA, pDest_Tol2pA_UAS:EGFP-Krit1pA,cryaa:EGFPpA, pDest_Tol2pA_CMV/SP6:EGFP-Krit1pA.

Generation of transgenic lines of zebrafish

Transformation plasmids (25 pg/embryo) were co-injected together with mRNA encoding Tol2 transposase (50 pg/embryo) into one-cell-stage zebrafish embryos. Several independent transgenic lines were established for each construct. In functional tests and localization studies, these independent lines resulted in comparable phenotypes. One transgene for each construct was selected for further analyses:

Tg(hsp70l:Krit1_IRES_EGFP)^{md6} Tg(hsp70l:Ccm2_IRES_EGFP)^{md12} Tg(hsp70l:Klf2a_IRES_EGFP)^{pbb22} Tg(UAS:EGFP-Krit1,cryaa:EGFP)^{pbb21} [here referred to as Tg(UAS:EGFP-Krit1)^{pbb21}]

Heat-shock experiments

To assess levels of *klf2a* mRNA following *krit1* overexpression, $Tg(hsp70l:Krit1_IRES_EGFP)^{md6}$ was crossed to wild-type and the resulting embryos were heat-shocked at 14 hpf (30 min at 37°C), at 24 hpf (40 min at 38°C), and at 40 hpf (45 min at 38°C). Alternatively, for the experiment shown in **Figure 2C,D**, embryos obtained from $Tg(hsp70l:Krit1_IRES_EGFP)^{md6}$ or $Tg(hsp70l:Ccm2_IRE-S_EGFP)^{md12}$ crossed to $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ were additionally heat-shocked at 48 hpf (45 min at 38°C). For the experiment shown in **Figure 1B**, $Tg(hsp70l:Klf2a_IRES_EGFP)^{pbb22}$ were crossed to wild-type and the resulting embryos were heat-shocked at 48 hpf (45 min at 38°C).

mRNA injection experiments

Capped mRNA encoding EGFP-Krit1 or Heg1 was synthesized using SP6 polymerase (mMessage Machine kit, Ambion). For rescue experiments, 150 pg of *egfp-krit1* mRNA was injected into one-cell-stage zebrafish embryos. Embryos were selected for EGFP fluorescence at 6 hpf and the geno-type was assessed by sequencing. For *heg1* overexpression, 100 pg of *heg1* mRNA was injected into one-cell-stage zebrafish embryos.

Statistical analysis of the efficiency of mRNA rescue experiments

Statistical analysis of the efficiency of *egfp-krit1* mRNA rescue experiments (as shown in *Figure 3—figure supplement 1*) was done using GraphPad Prism6 (Student's t-test, p=0.008 and p=0.005, respectively).

	Unpaired t-test	Mean diff.	Summary	Individual P value
48 hpf egfp-krit1 mRNA	WT vs. krit1	100.0	****	<0.0001
	WT vs. WT + <i>egfp-krit1</i> mRNA	0.0	ns	>0.9999
	WT vs. krit1 + egfp-krit1 mRNA	28	***	0.0004

Statistical analysis of the rescue efficiency of *egfp-krit1* mRNA injection into *krit1^{ty219c}* mutant embryos was based on the presence of blood-flow at 48 hpf for all embryos, and at 96 hpf for those embryos that had blood-flow at 48hpf. The percentages of embryos with blood-flow was recorded for three individual experiments and compared with GraphPad Prism6, using a 2way ANOVA with Multiple comparisons without correction.

48 hpf	Number of WT with blood-flow/total	Number of <i>krit1^{ty219c}</i> with blood-flow/total	Number of WT + <i>egfp-krit1</i> mRNA with blood- flow/total	Number of krit1 ^{ty219c} + egfp- krit1 mRNA with blood-flow/total
1	5/5	0/3	32/32	15/15
2	78/78	0/17	40/40	42/42
3	69/69	0/26	21/21	11/11

96 hpf	Number of WT with blood-flow at 48 hpf and 96 hpf/total	Number of <i>krit1^{ty219c} with blood-flow at 48 hpf and 96 hpf/total</i>	Number of WT + <i>egfp- krit1</i> mRNA with blood-flow at 48 hpf and 96 hpf/total	Number of krit1 ^{ty219c} + egfp- krit1 mRNA with blood-flow at 48 hpf and 96 hpf/total
1	67/67	0/28	59/59	5/22
2	70/70	0/11	20/21	1/11
3	57/57	0/13	30/30	7/18

Within each row, compare columns (simple effects within rows)

	Uncorrected Fisher's LSD	Mean diff.	Summary	Individual P value	
48 hpf	WT vs. krit1	100.0	****	<0.0001	
	WT vs. WT + egfp-krit1 mRNA	0.0	ns	>0.9999	
-	WT vs. krit1 + egfp-krit1 mRNA	0.0	ns	>0.9999	
96 hpf	WT vs. krit1	100.0	****	<0.0001	
	WT vs. WT + egfp-krit1 mRNA	1.590	ns	0.7212	
	WT vs. krit1 + egfp-krit1 mRNA	76.44	****	<0.0001	

Quantifications of ventricular endocardial cell numbers

Endocardial cell numbers of the ventricles at 48 hpf and 55 hpf of WT and $krit1^{ty219c}$ mutants were quantified as previously shown (*Renz et al., 2015*). Nuclei were visualized by $Tg(kdrl:GFP)^{s843}$ expression and were counted within the ventricle (for endocardium). Cell numbers are shown as means with SEM. Prism 6 (GraphPad) was used to perform an unpaired t-test (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2*). Means are significantly different when p<0.05.

48 hpf		n	Average cell number	SEM	p-value
	WT	6	68	±2.362	0.5723
	krit1 + egfp-krit1 mRNA	6	65	±3.572	

55 hpf		n	Average cell number	SEM	p-value
	WT	3	78	±2.028	0.0093
	krit1 + egfp-krit1 mRNA	3	112	±7.024	-

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization

Zebrafish whole-mount immunohistochemistry was performed on 30 hpf, 48 hpf, 54 hpf, and 96 hpf embryos as previously described (*Renz et al., 2015*). The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-VE-Cadherin (Cdh5) (1:200, a kind donation from Markus Affolter, Basel) (*Blum et al., 2008*), mouse anti-Zn-8/Alcam (1:25, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), and mouse anti-Myh6 (1:10, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, S46). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:200, Invitrogen A21070), Rhodamine Red-X-conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories 115-295-003), and Dylight 649-conjugated goat antimouse (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories 115-495-003). Rhodamine-Phalloidin (1:250, Invitrogen R415) was incubated together with secondary antibodies. For Cdh5 antibody staining, embryos were fixed with 2% PFA overnight and permeabilized with PBST with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 1 hr and subsequently incubated with primary antibody diluted in PBST with 0.2% Triton X-100, 1% BSA, and 5% NGS. All specimens were mounted in SlowFade Gold (Invitrogen S36936). Images were recorded on LSM 710, or LSM 780 confocal microscopes (Zeiss) and processed with Imaris (Bitplane, Version 8.1) or Fiji software (*Schindelin et al., 2012*).

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization experiments were performed as previously described (*Jowett and Lettice, 1994*). For all experiments embryos were fixed overnight with 4% PFA. For *Figures 3G–L*, 54 hpf and 96 hpf embryos were stained with a *klf2a* probe previously published (*Renz et al., 2015*). For *Figures 4A–B*, 48 hpf embryos were stained with a *notch1b* probe previously published (*Walsh and Stainier, 2001*) (the plasmid was a kind gift from Didier Stainier). For *Figure 1—figure supplements 1*, 30 hpf and 48 hpf embryos were stained with a *heg1* probe previously published (*Münch et al., 2017*). For *Figure 4—figure supplements 1C–D*, 48 hpf embryos were stained with a *tbx2b* probe (*Sedletcaia and Evans, 2011*). Images were recorded with 10x or 20x objectives on an Axioskop (Zeiss) with an EOS 5 D Mark III (Canon) camera, and processed using Adobe Bridge and Photoshop (Adobe Systems).

Live imaging

Embryos were dechorionated manually and embedded in 1% low melting agarose (Lonza 50081) containing 0.16 mg/ml Tricaine (3-amino benzoic acidethylester, Sigma-Aldrich A-5040). Images were recorded with a LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss) at 10x.

Ratiometric corrected total tissue fluorescence (CTTF) image analysis

The ratiometric measurements of Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)⁵⁹⁴⁰ fluorescence in atrium versus ventricle and AVC of the embryonic heart (Figure 2E) was done as previously described (McCloy et al., 2014). As overexpression of krit1 also resulted in an upregulation of EGFP, the overlap of EGFP with Tg (TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940} fluorescence was safely separated into two different channels by recording the images using the online fingerprinting function of the Zen 8.1 Software (Zeiss). Images were acquired maintaining fixed recording settings. From a 3D confocal image, the z-portion corresponding to the entire heart was selected. Regions of single z-planes corresponding to atrium or to ventricle plus the AVC were selected and fluorescence was measured until entire hearts were covered. The corrected total tissue fluorescence [CTTF = integrated density - (area of selected tissue x mean fluorescence of background readings)] was calculated using Excel 2010 (Microsoft Office). Next, all CTTF values collected for atrium as well as for ventricle plus AVC of each heart were averaged. The mean of atrium CTTF values was divided by the mean of ventricle plus AVC CTTF values. A mean value of 1 corresponds to an equal expression of Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{\$940} in both heart chambers. All measurements and tissue selection were performed using Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012). Statistical analysis was done with Excel 2010 (Microsoft Office) using an unpaired t-test (n: number of hearts analyzed, hs: heat-shock, *p<0.05, **p<0.01).

	n	Ratio TP1:VenusPEST Atrium/Ventricle (CTTF)	SEM	р
WT	19	0.213	±0.024	0.0005
hs:Krit1	18	0.418	±0.048	

	n	Ratio TP1:VenusPEST Atrium/Ventricle (CTTF)	SEM	р
WT	19	0.290	±0.040	0.0261
hs:Ccm2	20	0.428	±0.044	

To quantify the fluorescent levels of $Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)^{s940}$ in the AVC of WT (heat-shock control) (*Figure 2F*), *hs*:Krit1 and *hs*:Ccm2, a section of 20 μ m corresponding to the entire AVC was selected from a maximum projection of confocal z-stacks of the AVC. The CTTF value of each AVC was measured and all the values were divided by the average CTTF of the controls for normalization.

	n	CTTF mean	SEM	р
WT	19	1.000	±0.121	0.0426
hs:Krit1	19	0.671	±0.099	
	n	CTTF mean	SEM	р
WT	19	1.000	±0.059	0.0481
hs:Ccm2	20	0.798	±0.078	

To quantify the fluorescent levels of EGFP-Krit1 in WT and *heg1* morphants, maximum projections of confocal z-stacks of manually extracted 30 hpf hearts (*Figure 1C–E*) or of the caudal plexus region (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2*) were used. The background intensity was measured in five different areas of each image. To measure the caudal plexus region, an area between two intersegmental vessels from the most dorsal to the most ventral side of the caudal plexus was selected. This was repeated in three different areas for each embryo. All measurements and tissue selection were performed using Fiji software (*Schindelin et al., 2012*). The CTTF was calculated using Excel 2010 (Microsoft Office). All the values were divided by the average intensity of the controls.

	n	CTTF mean hearts	SEM	Р
EGFP-Krit1 levels WT	5	1.000	±0.074	≤0.0005
EGFP-Krit1 levels heg1 MO	10	0.600	±0.049	
	n	CTTF mean caudal plexus region	SEM	р
EGFP-Krit1 levels WT	5	1.000	±0.081	<0.0001
EGFP-Krit1 levels heg1 MO	5	0.305	±0.059	-

Quantifications of mRNA expression by RT-qPCR

For RT-qPCR experiments, 25 zebrafish embryos were pooled for each condition (three biological replicates). For heat-shock conditions, controls of each biological replicate were composed of heat-shocked siblings from the same clutch lacking EGFP expression. Total RNA was extracted with Trizol (Sigma) and Phase Lock Gel Heavy tubes (1.5 mL, Prime 5) and the corresponding cDNA was synthesized from total RNA with the RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). RT-qPCR experiments were performed as described (*Renz et al., 2015*) using 18 ng cDNA per technical replicate and the KAPA Sybr Fast qPCR kit (Peqlab) on a PikoReal 96 Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific). Cycle threshold (Ct) values were determined by PikoReal software 2.2 (ThermoFisher Scientific). *eif1b* was used as a housekeeping gene.

The following primers were used for qRT-PCR:

Target	Sequence 5'-3'
heg1	Fw _ GCTCTTATTGTCACCTGCTGC Rv _ CGGATAGATGCAGGAATGCC
krit1	Fw _ GTCTGAGCACTAGTGAGGGTG Rv _ GACCTGTCCTGTGAAAAACGC
klf2a	Fw _ CTGGGAGAACAGGTGGAAGGA Rv _ CCAGTATAAACTCCAGATCCAGG
eif1b	$Fw _ CAGAACCTCCAGTCCTTTGATC$ $Rv _ GCAGGCAAATTTCTTTTTGAAGGC$

Results were analyzed using the comparative threshold cycle method $(2-\Delta\Delta Ct)$ to compare gene expression levels between samples as previously described (*Livak and Schmittgen, 2001*). As an internal reference, we used zebrafish *eif1b* (*Renz et al., 2015*). Control sample values were normalized to 1. In the table below, the mean of the fold changes and the corresponding SEM of each biological replicate after normalization is shown. As each single biological replicate represents an independent experiment from an independent clutch of embryos, ratio paired t-tests were done with Prism 6 (GraphPad) (Stg: developmental stage of zebrafish embryos at RNA extraction, n: number of independent biological replicates analyzed, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, *hs*: heat-shock, mean >1 corresponds with target upregulation; mean <1 represents target downregulation).

		heg1			krit1			klf2a		
Treatment Stg (hpf)	n	Mean	SEM	р	Mean	SEM	р	Mean	SEM	р
54	3	0.85	0.008	0.013	1.06	0.009	0.103	-	-	-
54	4	0.77	0.034	0.045	1.17	0.029	0.103	-	-	-
54	3	2.56	0.089	0.044	1.38	0.061	0.151	-	-	-
48	4	-	-	-	9.77	0.063	0.001	0.63	0.059	0.044
24	3	4.01	0.059	0.0095	-	-	-	0.31	0.107	0.04
48	3	2.47	0.036	0.0082	-	-	-	0.68	0.023	0.019
24	3	-	-	-	7.06	0.125	0.021	-	-	-
48	3	-	-	-	3.24	0.053	0.011	-	-	-
96	3	-	-	-	1.20	0.161	0.675	-	-	-
	Stg (hpf) 54 54 54 24 48 24 48 24 96	Stg (hpf) n 54 3 54 4 54 3 48 4 24 3 24 3 48 3 24 3 48 3 96 3	heg1 Stg (hpf) n Mean 54 3 0.85 54 4 0.77 54 3 2.56 48 4 - 24 3 4.01 48 3 2.47 24 3 - 48 3 - 94 3 -	heg1 Stg (hpf) n Mean SEM 54 3 0.85 0.008 54 4 0.77 0.034 54 2 0.085 0.089 54 4 0.77 0.034 54 3 2.56 0.089 48 4 - - 24 3 4.01 0.059 48 3 2.47 0.034 24 3 - - 48 3 2.47 0.035 48 3 - - 96 3 - -	heg1 Stg (hpf) n Mean SEM p 54 3 0.85 0.008 0.013 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 54 3 2.56 0.089 0.044 48 4 - - - 24 3 4.01 0.059 0.0085 48 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 24 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 24 3 2.47 0.303 0.0082 24 3 - - - 48 3 2.47 0.33 0.0082 24 3 - - - 48 3 - - - 96 3 - - -	heg1 krit1 Stg (hpf) n heg1 mean SEM p Mean 54 3 0.85 0.008 0.013 1.06 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.38 54 4 2.56 0.089 0.044 1.38 48 4 - - 9.77 1.34 24 3 4.01 0.059 0.0095 - 48 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 - 24 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 - 24 3 - - 7.06 3.24 48 3 - - - 3.24 96 3 - - - 1.20	heg1 krit1 Stg (hpf) n Mean SEM p Mean SEM 54 3 0.85 0.008 0.013 1.06 0.009 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 54 3 2.56 0.089 0.044 1.38 0.061 48 4 - - 9.77 0.063 24 3 4.01 0.059 0.0095 - - 48 3 2.47 0.036 0.0085 - - - 24 3 - - - - - - 24 3 - - - - - - 24 3 - - - - - - 48 3 - - - - - - 48 3 - - - <	heg1 krit1 Stg (hpf) n Mean SEM p Mean SEM p 54 3 0.85 0.008 0.013 1.06 0.009 0.103 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 54 4 0.77 0.089 0.044 1.38 0.061 0.151 54 3 2.56 0.089 0.044 1.38 0.061 0.151 48 4 - - 9.77 0.063 0.001 24 3 4.01 0.059 0.0085 - - - 48 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 - - - 24 3 - - - - - - 48 3 - - - - - - 48 3 - - - 3.24 <td< td=""><td>heg1 krit1 kf2a Stg (hpf) n Hean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM mean 54 3 0.85 0.008 0.013 1.06 0.009 0.103 - 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 - 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 - 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 - 54 3 2.56 0.089 0.045 1.38 0.061 0.151 - 48 4 - - - 9.77 0.063 0.001 0.631 24 3 4.01 0.059 0.0082 - - 0.24 0.363 24 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 - - 0.021 0.021 -</td><td>heg1 krit1 klf2a Stg (hpf) n Mean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM SEM p Mean SEM SEM p Mean SEM SEM SEM SEM p Mean SEM SEM<!--</td--></td></td<>	heg1 krit1 kf2a Stg (hpf) n Hean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM mean 54 3 0.85 0.008 0.013 1.06 0.009 0.103 - 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 - 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 - 54 4 0.77 0.034 0.045 1.17 0.029 0.103 - 54 3 2.56 0.089 0.045 1.38 0.061 0.151 - 48 4 - - - 9.77 0.063 0.001 0.631 24 3 4.01 0.059 0.0082 - - 0.24 0.363 24 3 2.47 0.036 0.0082 - - 0.021 0.021 -	heg1 krit1 klf2a Stg (hpf) n Mean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM p Mean SEM SEM p Mean SEM SEM p Mean SEM SEM SEM SEM p Mean SEM SEM </td

To prove that differences in average values of *krit1* mRNA levels between 24 hpf and 48 hpf, 48 hpf and 96 hpf, 24 hpf and 96 hpf were significant, a ratio paired t-test was performed, as in each single biological replicate, embryos of 24, 48, and 96 hpf were from the same clutches. The mean of the ratio indicated in the table below is always between 1 and 0, and this accounts for target downregulation.

Compared stages (hpf)	Mean of the ratio	SEM	р
24–48	0.4594	±0.082	0.027
48–96	0.3691	±0.110	0.029
24–96	0.1696	±0107	0.009

Acknowledgements

.

We would like to thank M Affolter and H Belting (Basel), and D Stainier (Bad Nauheim) for reagents or fish lines. Thanks to A Michels for contributing some of the RT-qPCR data. Thanks also to O Baumann, A Hubig, M Kneiseler, A Kühnel, and B Wuntke for technical support. For critical reading and discussions of the project and the manuscript we are indebted to C Albiges-Rizo, E Faurobert, R

Hodge, and team members. The group has been generously supported by the excellence cluster REBIRTH, SFB958, and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) projects SE2016/7-2 and SE2016/10-1 to SA-S.

Additional information

Funding		
Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Deutsche Forschungsge- meinschaft	Excellence Cluster REBIRTH	Stefan Donat
Deutsche Forschungsge- meinschaft	SFB 958	Cécile Otten
Deutsche Forschungsge- meinschaft	Project number SE2016/7-2	Alessio Paolini Cécile Otten Marc Renz
Deutsche Forschungsge- meinschaft	Project number SE2016/10-1	Alessio Paolini Cécile Otten Marc Renz

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Stefan Donat, Marta Lourenço, Alessio Paolini, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Software, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Cécile Otten, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Software, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Marc Renz, Resources, Methodology; Salim Abdelilah-Seyfried, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Stefan Donat b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3901-3733 Alessio Paolini b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7002-7303 Cécile Otten b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8230-7350 Salim Abdelilah-Seyfried b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3183-3841

Ethics

Animal experimentation: Handling of zebrafish was done in compliance with German and Brandenburg State law, carefully monitored by the local authority for animal protection (LUGV, Brandenburg, Germany; Animal protocol#2347-18-2015).

Decision letter and Author response Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.018 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.019

Additional files

Supplementary files

Transparent reporting form

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28939.016

References

- Baeyens N, Schwartz MA. 2016. Biomechanics of vascular mechanosensation and remodeling. *Molecular Biology* of the Cell **27**:7–11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-11-1522, PMID: 26715421
- Beis D, Bartman T, Jin SW, Scott IC, D'Amico LA, Ober EA, Verkade H, Frantsve J, Field HA, Wehman A, Baier H, Tallafuss A, Bally-Cuif L, Chen JN, Stainier DY, Jungblut B. 2005. Genetic and cellular analyses of zebrafish atrioventricular cushion and valve development. *Development* **132**:4193–4204. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.01970, PMID: 16107477
- Blum Y, Belting HG, Ellertsdottir E, Herwig L, Lüders F, Affolter M. 2008. Complex cell rearrangements during intersegmental vessel sprouting and vessel fusion in the zebrafish embryo. *Developmental Biology* **316**:312– 322. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.01.038, PMID: 18342303
- Boulday G, Rudini N, Maddaluno L, Blécon A, Arnould M, Gaudric A, Chapon F, Adams RH, Dejana E, Tournier-Lasserve E. 2011. Developmental timing of CCM2 loss influences cerebral cavernous malformations in mice. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 208:1835–1847. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20110571, PMID: 21 859843
- Chan AC, Drakos SG, Ruiz OE, Smith AC, Gibson CC, Ling J, Passi SF, Stratman AN, Sacharidou A, Revelo MP, Grossmann AH, Diakos NA, Davis GE, Metzstein MM, Whitehead KJ, Li DY. 2011. Mutations in 2 distinct genetic pathways result in cerebral cavernous malformations in mice. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **121**: 1871–1881. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI44393, PMID: 21490399
- Chiplunkar AR, Curtis BC, Eades GL, Kane MS, Fox SJ, Haar JL, Lloyd JA. 2013. The Krüppel-like factor 2 and Krüppel-like factor 4 genes interact to maintain endothelial integrity in mouse embryonic vasculogenesis. BMC Developmental Biology 13:40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-13-40, PMID: 24261709
- Cullere X, Plovie E, Bennett PM, MacRae CA, Mayadas TN. 2015. The cerebral cavernous malformation proteins CCM2L and CCM2 prevent the activation of the MAP kinase MEKK3. *PNAS* **112**:14284–14289. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510495112, PMID: 26540726
- Dekker RJ, van Soest S, Fontijn RD, Salamanca S, de Groot PG, VanBavel E, Pannekoek H, Horrevoets AJ. 2002. Prolonged fluid shear stress induces a distinct set of endothelial cell genes, most specifically lung Krüppel-like factor (KLF2). *Blood* **100**:1689–1698. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-01-0046, PMID: 12176889
- Dekker RJ, van Thienen JV, Rohlena J, de Jager SC, Elderkamp YW, Seppen J, de Vries CJ, Biessen EA, van Berkel TJ, Pannekoek H, Horrevoets AJ. 2005. Endothelial KLF2 links local arterial shear stress levels to the expression of vascular tone-regulating genes. *The American Journal of Pathology* 167:609–618. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63002-7, PMID: 16049344
- Drew BA, Burow ME, Beckman BS. 2012. MEK5/ERK5 pathway: the first fifteen years. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Reviews on Cancer* **1825**:37–48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2011.10.002, PMID: 220202 94
- Driever W, Solnica-Krezel L, Schier AF, Neuhauss SC, Malicki J, Stemple DL, Stainier DY, Zwartkruis F, Abdelilah S, Rangini Z, Belak J, Boggs C. 1996. A genetic screen for mutations affecting embryogenesis in zebrafish. Development 123:37–46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773(00)00406-8, PMID: 9007227
- Faurobert E, Rome C, Lisowska J, Manet-Dupé S, Boulday G, Malbouyres M, Balland M, Bouin AP, Kéramidas M, Bouvard D, Coll JL, Ruggiero F, Tournier-Lasserve E, Albiges-Rizo C. 2013. CCM1-ICAP-1 complex controls β1 integrin-dependent endothelial contractility and fibronectin remodeling. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **202**:545– 561. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201303044, PMID: 23918940
- Gingras AR, Liu JJ, Ginsberg MH. 2012. Structural basis of the junctional anchorage of the cerebral cavernous malformations complex. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **199**:39–48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201205109, PMID: 23007647
- Groenendijk BC, Hierck BP, Gittenberger-De Groot AC, Poelmann RE. 2004. Development-related changes in the expression of shear stress responsive genes KLF-2, ET-1, and NOS-3 in the developing cardiovascular system of chicken embryos. *Developmental Dynamics* **230**:57–68. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20029, PMID: 15108309
- Groenendijk BC, Hierck BP, Vrolijk J, Baiker M, Pourquie MJ, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Poelmann RE. 2005. Changes in shear stress-related gene expression after experimentally altered venous return in the chicken embryo. *Circulation Research* 96:1291–1298. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000171901.40952.0d, PMID: 15920020
- Haack T, Abdelilah-Seyfried S. 2016. The force within: endocardial development, mechanotransduction and signalling during cardiac morphogenesis. *Development* 143:373–386. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 131425, PMID: 26839341
- Heckel E, Boselli F, Roth S, Krudewig A, Belting HG, Charvin G, Vermot J. 2015. Oscillatory Flow Modulates Mechanosensitive klf2a Expression through trpv4 and trpp2 during Heart Valve Development. *Current Biology* 25:1354–1361. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.038, PMID: 25959969
- Hove JR, Köster RW, Forouhar AS, Acevedo-Bolton G, Fraser SE, Gharib M. 2003. Intracardiac fluid forces are an essential epigenetic factor for embryonic cardiogenesis. *Nature* **421**:172–177. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature01282, PMID: 12520305
- Jin SW, Beis D, Mitchell T, Chen JN, Stainier DY. 2005. Cellular and molecular analyses of vascular tube and lumen formation in zebrafish. *Development* **132**:5199–5209. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02087, PMID: 16251212

- Jowett T, Lettice L. 1994. Whole-mount in situ hybridizations on zebrafish embryos using a mixture of digoxigenin- and fluorescein-labelled probes. *Trends in Genetics* **10**:73–74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9525(94)90220-8, PMID: 8178366
- Kleaveland B, Zheng X, Liu JJ, Blum Y, Tung JJ, Zou Z, Sweeney SM, Chen M, Guo L, Lu MM, Zhou D, Kitajewski J, Affolter M, Ginsberg MH, Kahn ML. 2009. Regulation of cardiovascular development and integrity by the heart of glass-cerebral cavernous malformation protein pathway. *Nature Medicine* **15**:169–176. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.1918, PMID: 19151727
- Lagendijk AK, Goumans MJ, Burkhard SB, Bakkers J. 2011. MicroRNA-23 restricts cardiac valve formation by inhibiting Has2 and extracellular hyaluronic acid production. *Circulation Research* **109**:649–657. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.247635, PMID: 21778427
- Lee JS, Yu Q, Shin JT, Sebzda E, Bertozzi C, Chen M, Mericko P, Stadtfeld M, Zhou D, Cheng L, Graf T, MacRae CA, Lepore JJ, Lo CW, Kahn ML. 2006. Klf2 is an essential regulator of vascular hemodynamic forces in vivo. *Developmental Cell* 11:845–857. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.09.006, PMID: 17141159
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25**:402–408. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262, PMID: 11846609
- Mably JD, Mohideen MA, Burns CG, Chen JN, Fishman MC. 2003. heart of glass regulates the concentric growth of the heart in zebrafish. Current Biology 13:2138–2147. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.11.055, PMID: 14680629
- Mably JD, Chuang LP, Serluca FC, Mohideen MA, Chen JN, Fishman MC. 2006. santa and valentine pattern concentric growth of cardiac myocardium in the zebrafish. *Development* 133:3139–3146. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.02469, PMID: 16873582
- Macek Jilkova Z, Lisowska J, Manet S, Verdier C, Deplano V, Geindreau C, Faurobert E, Albigès-Rizo C, Duperray A. 2014. CCM proteins control endothelial β1 integrin dependent response to shear stress. *Biology* Open 3:1228–1235. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.201410132, PMID: 25432514
- Maddaluno L, Rudini N, Cuttano R, Bravi L, Giampietro C, Corada M, Ferrarini L, Orsenigo F, Papa E, Boulday G, Tournier-Lasserve E, Chapon F, Richichi C, Retta SF, Lampugnani MG, Dejana E. 2013. EndMT contributes to the onset and progression of cerebral cavernous malformations. *Nature* 498:492–496. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature12207, PMID: 23748444
- McCloy RA, Rogers S, Caldon CE, Lorca T, Castro A, Burgess A. 2014. Partial inhibition of Cdk1 in G₂ phase overrides the SAC and decouples mitotic events. *Cell Cycle* **13**:1400–1412. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4161/cc. 28401, PMID: 24626186
- Münch J, Grivas D, González-Rajal Á, Torregrosa-Carrión R, de la Pompa JL, 2017. Notch signalling restricts inflammation and serpine1 expression in the dynamic endocardium of the regenerating zebrafish heart. Development 144:1425–1440. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.143362, PMID: 28242613
- Nicoli S, Standley C, Walker P, Hurlstone A, Fogarty KE, Lawson ND. 2010. MicroRNA-mediated integration of haemodynamics and Vegf signalling during angiogenesis. *Nature* 464:1196–1200. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature08889, PMID: 20364122
- Ninov N, Borius M, Stainier DY. 2012. Different levels of Notch signaling regulate quiescence, renewal and differentiation in pancreatic endocrine progenitors. *Development* **139**:1557–1567. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.076000, PMID: 22492351
- Novodvorsky P, Chico TJ. 2014. The role of the transcription factor KLF2 in vascular development and disease. Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science **124**:155–188. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386930-2.00007-0, PMID: 24751430
- Parmar KM, Nambudiri V, Dai G, Larman HB, Gimbrone MA, García-Cardeña G. 2005. Statins exert endothelial atheroprotective effects via the KLF2 transcription factor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280:26714–26719. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C500144200, PMID: 15878865
- Pestel J, Ramadass R, Gauvrit S, Helker C, Herzog W, Stainier DY. 2016. Real-time 3D visualization of cellular rearrangements during cardiac valve formation. *Development* 143:2217–2227. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.133272, PMID: 27302398
- Renz M, Otten C, Faurobert E, Rudolph F, Zhu Y, Boulday G, Duchene J, Mickoleit M, Dietrich AC, Ramspacher C, Steed E, Manet-Dupé S, Benz A, Hassel D, Vermot J, Huisken J, Tournier-Lasserve E, Felbor U, Sure U, Albiges-Rizo C, et al. 2015. Regulation of β1 integrin-Klf2-mediated angiogenesis by CCM proteins. Developmental Cell 32:181–190. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.12.016, PMID: 25625207
- Riant F, Bergametti F, Ayrignac X, Boulday G, Tournier-Lasserve E. 2010. Recent insights into cerebral cavernous malformations: the molecular genetics of CCM. *FEBS Journal* **277**:1070–1075. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1742-4658.2009.07535.x, PMID: 20096038
- **Rose BA**, Force T, Wang Y. 2010. Mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling in the heart: angels versus demons in a heart-breaking tale. *Physiological Reviews* **90**:1507–1546. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00054. 2009, PMID: 20959622
- Scherz PJ, Huisken J, Sahai-Hernandez P, Stainier DY. 2008. High-speed imaging of developing heart valves reveals interplay of morphogenesis and function. *Development* **135**:1179–1187. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.010694, PMID: 18272595
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9:676–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019, PMID: 22743772

- Sedletcaia A, Evans T. 2011. Heart chamber size in zebrafish is regulated redundantly by duplicated tbx2 genes. Developmental Dynamics **240**:1548–1557. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.22622, PMID: 21448936
- Sehnert AJ, Huq A, Weinstein BM, Walker C, Fishman M, Stainier DY. 2002. Cardiac troponin T is essential in sarcomere assembly and cardiac contractility. *Nature Genetics* **31**:106–110. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng875, PMID: 11967535
- Steed E, Faggianelli N, Roth S, Ramspacher C, Concordet JP, Vermot J. 2016. klf2a couples mechanotransduction and zebrafish valve morphogenesis through fibronectin synthesis. Nature Communications 7:11646. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11646, PMID: 27221222
- Uhlik MT, Abell AN, Johnson NL, Sun W, Cuevas BD, Lobel-Rice KE, Horne EA, Dell'Acqua ML, Johnson GL.
 2003. Rac-MEKK3-MKK3 scaffolding for p38 MAPK activation during hyperosmotic shock. *Nature Cell Biology* 5:1104–1110. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1071, PMID: 14634666
- Vermot J, Forouhar AS, Liebling M, Wu D, Plummer D, Gharib M, Fraser SE. 2009. Reversing blood flows act through klf2a to ensure normal valvulogenesis in the developing heart. *PLoS Biology* 7:e1000246. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000246, PMID: 19924233
- Walsh EC, Stainier DY. 2001. UDP-glucose dehydrogenase required for cardiac valve formation in zebrafish. *Science* 293:1670–1673. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.293.5535.1670, PMID: 11533493
- Westerfield M, Doerry E, Kirkpatrick AE, Driever W, Douglas SA. 1997. An on-line database for zebrafish development and genetics research. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 8:477–488. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1006/scdb.1997.0173, PMID: 9441953
- Whitehead KJ, Chan AC, Navankasattusas S, Koh W, London NR, Ling J, Mayo AH, Drakos SG, Jones CA, Zhu W, Marchuk DA, Davis GE, Li DY. 2009. The cerebral cavernous malformation signaling pathway promotes vascular integrity via Rho GTPases. *Nature Medicine* **15**:177–184. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.1911, PMID: 19151728
- Wüstehube J, Bartol A, Liebler SS, Brütsch R, Zhu Y, Felbor U, Sure U, Augustin HG, Fischer A. 2010. Cerebral cavernous malformation protein CCM1 inhibits sprouting angiogenesis by activating DELTA-NOTCH signaling. PNAS 107:12640–12645. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000132107, PMID: 20616044
- Yang J, Boerm M, McCarty M, Bucana C, Fidler IJ, Zhuang Y, Su B. 2000. Mekk3 is essential for early embryonic cardiovascular development. *Nature Genetics* 24:309–313. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/73550, PMID: 107001 90
- Yoruk B, Gillers BS, Chi NC, Scott IC. 2012. Ccm3 functions in a manner distinct from Ccm1 and Ccm2 in a zebrafish model of CCM vascular disease. *Developmental Biology* **362**:121–131. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ydbio.2011.12.006, PMID: 22182521
- You C, Sandalcioglu IE, Dammann P, Felbor U, Sure U, Zhu Y. 2013. Loss of CCM3 impairs DLL4-Notch signalling: implication in endothelial angiogenesis and in inherited cerebral cavernous malformations. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* **17**:407–418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12022, PMID: 23388056
- Zheng X, Xu C, Di Lorenzo A, Kleaveland B, Zou Z, Seiler C, Chen M, Cheng L, Xiao J, He J, Pack MA, Sessa WC, Kahn ML. 2010. CCM3 signaling through sterile 20-like kinases plays an essential role during zebrafish cardiovascular development and cerebral cavernous malformations. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **120**:2795– 2804. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI39679, PMID: 20592472
- Zheng X, Riant F, Bergametti F, Myers CD, Tang AT, Kleaveland B, Pan W, Yang J, Tournier-Lasserve E, Kahn ML. 2014. Cerebral cavernous malformations arise independent of the heart of glass receptor. *Stroke* 45:1505– 1509. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.004809, PMID: 24643410
- Zhou Z, Rawnsley DR, Goddard LM, Pan W, Cao XJ, Jakus Z, Zheng H, Yang J, Arthur JS, Whitehead KJ, Li D, Zhou B, Garcia BA, Zheng X, Kahn ML. 2015. The cerebral cavernous malformation pathway controls cardiac development via regulation of endocardial MEKK3 signaling and KLF expression. *Developmental Cell* 32:168– 180. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.12.009, PMID: 25625206
- Zhou Z, Tang AT, Wong WY, Bamezai S, Goddard LM, Shenkar R, Zhou S, Yang J, Wright AC, Foley M, Arthur JS, Whitehead KJ, Awad IA, Li DY, Zheng X, Kahn ML. 2016. Cerebral cavernous malformations arise from endothelial gain of MEKK3-KLF2/4 signalling. *Nature* 532:122–126. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17178, PMID: 27027284