

Received 6 September 2017 Accepted 7 September 2017

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

‡ Additional correspondence author, e-mail: mmjotani@rediffmail.com.

Keywords: crystal structure; gold; thiolate; polymorph; conformation; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 1573275

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





New monoclinic form of {O-Ethyl *N*-(4-nitrophenyl)thiocarbamato-*kS*}(tri-4-tolylphosphane-*kP*)gold(I): crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis

Fong Sheen Kuan,^a Mukesh M. Jotani^b‡ and Edward R. T. Tiekink^c*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117543, ^bDepartment of Physics, Bhavan's Sheth R. A. College of Science, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380001, India, and ^cResearch Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia. *Correspondence e-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my

The title phosphanegold(I) thiolate compound, $[Au(C_9H_9N_2O_3S)(C_{21}H_{21}P)]$, is a second monoclinic polymorph (space group $P2_1/c$) that complements a previously reported *Cc* polymorph [Broker & Tiekink (2008). *Acta Cryst.* E64, m1582]. An SP donor set defines an approximately linear geometry about the gold atom in both forms. The key distinguishing feature between the present structure and the previously reported polymorph rests with the relative disposition of the thiolate ligand. In the title compound, the orientation is such to place the oxygen atom in close contact with the gold atom $[Au\cdots O =$ 2.915 (2) Å], in contrast to the aryl ring in the original polymorph. In the crystal, linear supramolecular chains along the *a*-axis direction mediated by C–H··· π and nitro-O··· π interactions are found. These pack with no directional interactions between them. The analysis of the Hirshfeld surfaces for both forms of $[Au(C_9H_9N_3O_3S)(C_{21}H_{21}P)]$ indicates quite distinctive interaction profiles relating to the differences in intermolecular contacts found in their respective crystals.

1. Chemical context

Phosphanegold(I) thiolates of the general formula R_3 PAu[SC(OR')=NR''], for R, R' =alkyl, aryl and R'' =aryl, have proven to exhibit exciting biological activities. For example, compounds of the type $Ph_3PAu[SC(OR)=NPh]$, R = Me, Et and *i*-Pr, induce G₂/M cell cycle arrest in HT-29 cancer cells and exhibit tolerable toxicity based on experiments on zebrafish (Yeo, Ooi et al., 2013; Ooi et al., 2017). Further, in vitro mechanistic investigations point to these compounds inducing both intrinsic and extrinsic pathways of cell death leading to apoptosis. In complementary studies on compounds with R'' = 4-tolyl, quite promising in vitro potency against Gram-positive bacteria has been revealed (Yeo, Sim et al., 2013). However, such biological potential does not extend to activity against certain Acanthamoeba castellanii (Siddiqui et al., 2017). The observed biological activity for this class of compound has necessitated synthesis and re-synthesis during the course of which various polymorphs (e.g. Yeo et al., 2016a) and solvates (e.g. Yeo et al., 2016b) have been revealed. Of particular interest has been the recent appearance of conformational polymorphs for these compounds.

Referring the conformation shown in the Scheme, most structures having the formula R_3 PAu[SC(OR')=NR''] display an intramolecular Au···O interaction. In an exercise in crystal

research communications

engineering, it was argued that by moderating the electronic properties of the phosphane-bound and thiolate-N-bound groups, it was possible to direct a change in conformation so that an intramolecular Au $\cdot \cdot \pi$ (aryl) interaction formed instead of the Au···O contact (Kuan et al., 2008). Such Au $\cdots \pi$ (aryl) interactions are well established in the supramolecular chemistry of molecular gold compounds (Tiekink & Zukerman-Schpector, 2009; Caracelli et al., 2013) and have important implications in mechanisms associated with catalytic gold (Lin & Hammond, 2012). As mentioned above, current interest in the biological activity of this class of compounds has prompted renewed synthesis and scale-up. Recently, a conformational polymorph was discovered during a check for sample purity, via powder X-ray diffraction, for a compound, Ph₃PAu[SC(OEt)=NPh], that was originally reported in a form with an intramolecular Au···O interaction (Hall & Tiekink, 1993). The new polymorph featured an intramolecular Au $\cdots \pi(aryl)$ interaction instead, an observation ascribed to thermodynamic considerations (Yeo, Tan, Otero-de-la-Roza et al., 2016). Herein, as a continuation of structural studies of these compounds, a new polymorph for $(4-tol)_3$ PAu[SC(OEt)=NC₆H₄NO₂-4] is reported which was reported originally in space group Cc with a Au $\cdots \pi(aryl)$ interaction (Broker & Tiekink, 2008), but now with a Au...O interaction. Herein, the crystal and molecular structures of a $P2_1/c$ polymorph of (4-tol)₃PAu[SC(OEt)=NC₆H₄NO₂-4], (I), are described complemented by an analysis of the Hirshfeld surfaces calculated for (I) and for the original Cc form, (II).



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1 and selected interatomic parameters are collected in Table 1. The gold(I) atom is coordinated by thiolate-S and phosphane-P atoms in a near linear geometry. The P1-Au-S angle of 175.80 (3)° deviates from the ideal 180°, an observation which might be ascribed to the formation of an intramolecular Au···O interaction of 2.915 (2) Å, which arises as the thiolate ligand is orientated to place the oxygen atom in close proximity to the gold atom. As is usual for these compounds, the Au-S bond is longer than the Au-P bond. The C1=N1 bond length of

Table 1		
Selected	geometric parameters (Å, °).	

Au-P1	2.2611 (8)	S1-C1	1.756 (3)
Au-51	2.3105 (8)	NI-CI	1.259 (4)
P1-Au-S1	175.80 (3)	C1-S1-Au	100.18 (11)



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

1.259 (4) Å is consistent with significant double character in this bond and, by implication, the presence of a thiolate-*S* atom. These bond-length conclusions are vindicated by a comparison of the bond lengths found in the uncoordinated molecule, *i.e.* EtOC(=S)N(H)C₆H₄NO₂-4 (Benson *et al.*, 2006). Here, the C1=S1 and C1-N1 bond lengths are 1.672 (2) and 1.354 (3) Å, respectively, *i.e.* clearly shorter and longer than the related bond lengths in (I). The equivalent geometric parameters to those listed in Table 1 for the *Cc* polymorph (Broker & Tiekink, 2008) are equal within experimental error with one possible exception, being the P-Au-S angle, which at 174.54 (10)° appears to be narrower by about 1° than the equivalent angle in (I), Table 1.





Overlay diagram of the molecular structures found in (I) $(P2_1/c, \text{ red image})$ and (II) (Cc, blue) forms of $(4\text{-tol})_3\text{PAu}[\text{SC}(\text{OEt})=\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{NO}_2$ -4]. The molecules have been overlapped so that the P-Au-S fragments are coincident.

A comparison of some physical properties between the molecules in the polymorphs of $(4-tol)_3PAu[SC(OEt)=NC_6H_4NO_2-4]$.							
Molecule	Volume, $V(\text{\AA}^3)$	Area, A (Å ²)	A: V	Globularity, G	Asphericity, Ω	D_x	
$P2_1/c$ form, (I)	714.31	603.78	0.845	0.640	0.117	1.663	
Cc form, (II)	698.76	531.90	0.761	0.716	0.036	1.704	

Table 2

The central S1, O1, N1 and C1 atoms of the thiolate ligand are strictly (r.m.s. deviation of the fitted atoms = 0.0008 Å) planar. The plane through the nitrobenzene ligand is orthogonal to the former plane, forming a dihedral angle of 89.67 (12)°. Finally, the nitro group is essentially co-planar with the ring to which it is connected, forming a dihedral angle of 4.7 (4)°.

The differences in conformation for (I) and (II) are starkly highlighted in the overlay diagram shown in Fig. 2. Some physical properties for the two forms, calculated in Crystal Explorer (Wolff et al., 2012) and PLATON (Spek, 2009), are included in Table 2. These data indicate significant differences between the molecules comprising polymorphs (I) and (II), most notably indicating the molecule in (II) to be more



Figure 3

Molecular packing in (I): (a) a view of the linear supramolecular chain sustained by nitrobenzene-C-H··· π (tolyl) interactions as well as nitro- $O \cdots \pi$ (tolyl) contacts shown as purple and orange dashed lines, respectively (non-participating H atoms have been removed) and (b) a view of the unit-cell contents shown in projection down the *a* axis.

Table 3 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C10-C15 and C17-C22 rings, respectively.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C4-H4\cdots Cg1^{i}$ $N2-O2\cdots Cg2^{ii}$ $N2-O3\cdots Cg2^{ii}$	0.94	2.64	3.528 (4)	157
	1.22 (1)	3.55 (1)	83.1 (2)	4 (1)
	1.21 (1)	3.83 (1)	70 2 (2)	4 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

compact, spherical and to have a greater density, all parameters consistent with this being the thermodynamically more stable form.

3. Supramolecular features

The geometric parameters defining the identified intermolecular interactions are listed in Table 3. The key feature of the molecular packing is the formation of linear supramolecular chains along the *a*-axis direction, Fig. 3*a*. These are sustained by a combination of nitrobenzene-C-H··· π (tolyl) interactions as well as nitro- $O \cdot \cdot \pi$ (tolyl) contacts, Fig. 3b. For the latter, the nitro group lies over the ring, with the two residues being almost parallel, forming a dihedral angle = 7.4 $(2)^{\circ}$. While comparatively rare, the latter interactions have been discussed in the crystallographic literature (Huang et al., 2008).

4. Analysis of the Hirshfeld surfaces

The Hirshfeld surface calculations on polymorphic (I) and (II) were performed in accord with recent related work (Jotani et al., 2017). In short, the two monoclinic polymorphs reveal quite distinctive features in their Hirshfeld surfaces.





Views of the Hirshfeld surface mapped over d_{norm} for (a) (I) in the range -0.003 to +1.441 au and (b) (II) in the range 0.007 to 1.513 au.

research communications

Table 4	
Summary of short inter-atomic contacts (Å) in (I) and (I	I).

Contact	Distance	Symmetry operation
(I)		
O2···C11	3.175 (5)	1 - x, -y, 1 - z
$H4 \cdot \cdot \cdot C12$	2.89	-x, -y, 1-z
$H4 \cdot \cdot \cdot C13$	2.81	-x, -y, 1-z
$H9B \cdot \cdot \cdot H16B$	2.38	-x, 1-y, 1-z
(II)		
S1···H3A	2.97	$x, 1 - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$
S1···H25A	2.88	$x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$
C4···H18A	2.89	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$
C7···H2A	2.82	$x, 1 - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$
O2···H30C	2.63	x, y, 1 + z
H12A···H30 <i>B</i>	2.35	$-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$

Table 5

Percentage contributions of inter-atomic contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces for (I).

		Percentage contribution
Contact	(I)	(II)
$H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$	50.1	55.2
$C \cdot \cdot \cdot H / H \cdot \cdot \cdot C$	19.6	16.2
$O \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$	13.5	14.5
$S \cdots H/H \cdots S$	6.4	7.5
$Au \cdots H/H \cdots Au$	2.9	1.9
$C \cdot \cdot \cdot O / O \cdot \cdot \cdot C$	2.5	1.3
$C \cdots C$	2.1	1.3
$N \cdots H/H \cdots N$	1.8	2.1
$C{\cdots}N/N{\cdots}C$	0.8	0.0
$N \cdots O / O \cdots N$	0.2	0.0
$S{\cdots}N/N{\cdots}S$	0.1	0.0

It is clearly evident from the Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_{norm} for forms (I) and (II), Fig. 4, that the former conformation favours an intramolecular Au···O contact while the latter, an intramolecular Au $\cdot \cdot \pi$ (aryl) interaction. In addition, the tiny red spots appearing near the nitro-O2 and tolyl-C11 atoms in Fig. 4a indicate the significance of short inter-atomic $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$ contacts, Table 4, in the packing of (I). The immediate environments about a reference molecule within the shape-index mapped surface for (I), Fig. 5a, b, and the d_{norm} -mapped surface for (II), Fig. 5c, are consistent with (I) forming $C-H\cdots\pi$ and $N-O\cdots\pi$ interactions together with few short inter-atomic contacts in its packing, whereas the packing of (II) involves only a few short inter-atomic contacts, Table 4. The donor and acceptor of the C-H \cdots \pi(arvl) contact in (I) appear as blue and bright-red regions around the participating atoms and highlighted with red and yellow dotted lines in Fig. 5a. The intermolecular nitro- $O \cdots \pi$ interaction involving both nitrobenzene-O2 and O3 atoms with the same symmetrically located tolyl ring (C17-C22) are viewed as two adjoining blue and bright-orange regions in Fig. 5b. The short inter-atomic $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$, $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ and $O \cdots H/H$ $H \cdots O$ contacts influential in the structure of (II) are highlighted with black, red and yellow dashed lines, respectively, in Fig.5c.

From the overall two-dimensional fingerprint plots for (I) and (II), Fig. 6a, it is apparent that the different orientations of the thiolate ligands significantly impact upon the observed features in the plots. This is also visible from the fingerprints



Figure 5

Views of the Hirshfeld surfaces about a reference molecule mapped over (*a*) the shape-index property for (I) showing $C-H\cdots\pi$ and its reciprocal, *i.e.* $\pi \cdots H-C$, contacts as red and yellow dotted line, respectively, (*b*) the shape-index property for (I) showing short inter-atomic $C\cdots O/O\cdots C$ and $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$ contacts as black and red dashed lines, respectively, and (*c*) over d_{norm} for (II) showing short inter-atomic $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$, $S\cdots H/H\cdots S$ and $O\cdots H/H\cdots O$ contacts as red, black and yellow dashed lines, respectively.

delineated into $H \cdots H$, $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$ contacts (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) in Fig. 6*b*–*e*, and



Figure 6

(a) The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for (I) and (II), and those delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, (d) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and (e) $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$ contacts.

in the relative percentage contributions from the different contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces, as summarized in Table 5. Although $H \cdots H$ contacts make dominant contributions of 50.1 and 55.2% to the Hirshfeld surfaces of (I) and (II), respectively, the plot area and the distribution of characteristic points within the plots indicate different propensities to form such inter-atomic contacts, Fig. 6b. The pair of small, closely situated peaks at $d_e + d_i < 2.40$ Å, *i.e.* the sum of two times the van der Waals radius of hydrogen, are observed for both the polymorphs and reflect short inter-atomic $H \cdots H$ contacts, Table 4.

The distinctive features of fingerprint plot delineated into $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts, Fig. 6*c*, wherein the half-arrows in (I) contrast the forceps in (II) with their tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.8$ Å and 2.9 Å, respectively, arise as the result of distinctive intermolecular interactions in the two forms: the former has a $C-H \cdot \cdot \pi$ contact while the latter has short inter-atomic $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts, Fig. 5c and Table 4. Thus, the short $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts involving the nitrobenzene-H4 atom interacting with the tolyl-C12 and C13 atoms for (I), Table 4, have analogous contacts in form (II), Fig. 5b and Table 4. Although, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$ contacts make almost similar percentage contributions to the Hirshfeld surfaces for both the forms, Table 4, the distinct features in their delineated fingerprint plots, Fig. 6c and d, reflects the different types of inter-atomic contacts they form. In the respective plots for the form (I), the distribution of characteristic points are far away from the van der Waals separations indicating the absence of such short inter-atomic contacts in the packing. By contrast, the forceps-like tips at $d_{\rm e} + d_{\rm i} \sim 2.7$ Å in the O···H/H···O delineated and the knifeedge tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.9$ Å in the S···H/H···S delineated fingerprint plots for (II) are the result of short inter-atomic $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$ contacts, Table 4. The other inter-atomic contacts summarized in Table 4 have small percentage contributions to the Hirshfeld surfaces of (I) and (II) and are considered to have negligible influence in the crystals.

5. Database survey

A measure of the significance of Au $\cdots \pi(aryl)$ interactions can be seen in the polymorphic structures of ClAuP(Ph₂)CH₂(Ph₂)PAuCl. In the original form, intramolecular Au...Au interactions [3.34 Å] were observed (Schmidbaur et al., 1977) but, in the more recently determined second form, intramolecular Au $\cdots \pi(aryl)$ interactions (3.58 Å) were formed instead (Healy, 2003). The real significance of this is that the energy of stabilization to a structure provided by Au...Au interactions is comparable to that provided by conventional hydrogen bonding (Schmidbaur, 2001). This observation lead to systematic investigations into the cooperation/competition between hydrogen-bonding and Au. . . Au interactions (Schneider et al., 1996; Schmidbaur et al., 2012) with the former often winning out owing to steric pressures associated with bringing gold centres into close proximity (Tiekink, 2014). The structures found for

research communications

Table 6Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Au(C_9H_9N_2O_3S)(C_{21}H_{21}P)]$
M _r	726.55
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	223
a, b, c (Å)	9.8815 (6), 14.0448 (9),
	21.2332 (13)
β (°)	99.924 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	2902.7 (3)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	5.23
Crystal size (mm)	$0.27 \times 0.12 \times 0.11$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker AXS SMART CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker,
I	2000)
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.421. 1
No. of measured, independent and	23909, 8412, 6748
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	····, · , · · · ·
Rint	0.040
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å ⁻¹)	0.703
(content)max (content)	
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.031, 0.078, 0.95
No. of reflections	8412
No. of parameters	347
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å ⁻³)	1.160.78
$-r \max$, $-r \min ()$	

Computer programs: SMART and SAINT (Bruker, 2000), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006), QMol (Gans & Shalloway, 2001) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

ClAuP(Ph₂)CH₂(Ph₂)PAuCl imply that Au··· π (aryl) interactions provide comparable energies of stabilization to their crystal structures. Indeed, computational chemistry on the polymorphic system Ph₃PAu[SC(OEt)=NPh] suggested the form with the intramolecular Au $\cdots \pi$ (arvl) contact was more than 5 kcal mol^{-1} stable than the form with the intramolecular Au···O contact (Yeo et al., 2015). Related studies on a binuclear compound of the general formula $[Et_3PAuS(OMe)=N]_2(1,4-C_6H_4)$ indicated that each Au $\cdots \pi$ (aryl) interaction in the centrosymmetric molecule was more stable by more than 12 kcal mol⁻¹ than each putative Au...O contact (Yeo et al., 2015). This near equivalence in energies of different intermolecular contacts in metalcontaining species is the focus of a recent review (Tiekink, 2017).

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound (I) was prepared following established literature procedures (Ho *et al.*, 2006). Yellow crystals were obtained by the slow evaporation of a CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O/hexane (1:1:2) solution of (I). Crystals with the same unit-cell characteristics were also isolated from benzene and ethylacetate solutions of (I). ¹H NMR (δ): thiolate: 7.92 (*d*, Ha, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 6.89 (*d*, Hb, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 4.34 (*q*, OCH₂, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 1.35 (*t*, CH₃, *J* = 7.2 Hz). Phosphane: 7.32–7.22 (*m*, aryl-H), 2.40 (*s*, Me). ¹³C NMR (δ): Thiolate: 165.7 (*s*, C_q), 157.5 (*s*, C₁), 142.6 (*s*, C₄), 124.8 (*s*, C₃), 122.5 (*s*, C₂), 64.5 (*s*, OCH₂), 14.5 (*s*,

CH₃). Phosphane: 142.2 (*s*, C_{δ}), 133.9 (*d*, C_{β}, *J* = 14.2 Hz), 129.8 (*d*, C_{γ}, *J* = 12.0 Hz), 126.4 (*d*, C_{α}, *J* = 58.2 Hz), 21.4 (*s*, Me).

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 6. The carbon-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C–H = 0.94–0.98 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H)$ set to 1.2–1.5 $U_{eq}(C)$. The maximum and minimum residual electron density peaks of 1.16 and 0.78 e Å⁻³, respectively, were located 0.81 and 1.28 Å from the Au atom. Owing to interference from the beam-stop, the (011) reflection was omitted from the final cycles of refinement.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sunway University for the support of biological and crystal engineering studies of coinage metal thiocarbamates.

References

- Benson, R. E., Broker, G. A., Daniels, L. M., Tiekink, E. R. T., Wardell, J. L. & Young, D. J. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* E62, 04106–04108.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Broker, G. A. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m1582.
- Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A.
- Caracelli, I., Zukerman-Schpector, J. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2013). Gold Bull. 46, 81–89.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Gans, J. & Shalloway, D. (2001). J. Mol. Graphics Modell. 19, 557-559.
- Hall, V. J. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1993). Z. Kristallogr. 203, 313-315.
- Healy, P. C. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m1112-m1114.
- Ho, S. Y., Cheng, E. C.-C., Tiekink, E. R. T. & Yam, V. W.-W. (2006). Inorg. Chem. 45, 8165–8174.
- Huang, L., Massa, L. & Karle, J. (2008). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 105, 13720–13723.
- Jotani, M. M., Poplaukhin, P., Arman, H. D. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2017). Z. Kristallogr. 232, 287–298.
- Kuan, F. S., Yei Ho, S., Tadbuppa, P. P. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 548–564.
- Liu, L. P. & Hammond, G. B. (2012). Chem. Soc. Rev. 41, 3129-3139.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3814–3816.
- Ooi, K. K., Yeo, C. I., Mahandaran, T., Ang, K. P., Akim, A. M., Cheah, Y.-K., Seng, H.-L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2017). J. Inorg. Biochem. 166, 173–181.
- Schmidbaur, H. (2001). Nature, 413, 31, 33.
- Schmidbaur, H. & Schier, A. (2012). Chem. Soc. Rev. 41, 370-412.
- Schmidbaur, H., Wohlleben, A., Wagner, F., Orama, O. & Huttner, G. (1977). Chem. Ber. 110, 1748–1754.
- Schneider, W., Bauer, A. & Schmidbaur, H. (1996). Organometallics, 15, 5445–5446.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Siddiqui, R., Abjani, F., Yeo, C. I., Tiekink, E. R. T. & Khan, N. A. (2017). J. Neg. Res. Biomedicine, 16, article no. 6.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tiekink, E. R. T. (2014). Coord. Chem. Rev. 275, 130-153.
- Tiekink, E. R. T. (2017). Coord. Chem. Rev. 345, 209-228.
- Tiekink, E. R. T. & Zukerman-Schpector, J. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 1176–1186.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

- Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., McKinnon, J. J., Turner, M. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2012). *Crystal Explorer*. University of Western Australia.
- Yeo, C. I., Khoo, C.-H., Chu, W.-C., Chen, B.-J., Chu, P.-L., Sim, J.-H., Cheah, Y.-K., Ahmad, J., Halim, S. N. A., Seng, H.-L., Ng, S., Oterode-la-Roza, A. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2015). *RSC Adv.* 5, 41401– 41411.
- Yeo, C. I., Ooi, K. K., Akim, A. Md., Ang, K. P., Fairuz, Z. A., Halim, S. N. B. A., Ng, S. W., Seng, H.-L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2013). J. Inorg. Biochem. 127, 24–38.
- Yeo, C. I., Sim, J.-H., Khoo, C.-H., Goh, Z.-J., Ang, K.-P., Cheah, Y.-K., Fairuz, Z. A., Halim, S. N. B. A., Ng, S. W., Seng, H.-L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2013). *Gold Bull.* 46, 145–152.
- Yeo, C. I., Tan, S. L., Otero-de-la-Roza, A. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016). Z. Kristallogr. 231 653–661.
- Yeo, C. I., Tan, S. L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016a). Acta Cryst. E72, 1446–1452.
- Yeo, C. I., Tan, S. L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016b). Acta Cryst. E72, 1068–1073.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2017). E73, 1465-1471 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017012865]

New monoclinic form of {O-Ethyl N-(4-nitrophenyl)thiocarbamato- κS }(tri-4-tolylphosphane- κP)gold(I): crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis

Fong Sheen Kuan, Mukesh M. Jotani and Edward R. T. Tiekink

Computing details

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012), *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006) and *QMol* (Gans & Shalloway, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

{O-Ethyl N-(4-nitrophenyl)thiocarbamato-κS}(tri-4-tolylphosphane-κP)gold(I)

Crystat aata

[Au(C ₉ H ₉ N ₂ O ₃ S)(C ₂₁ H ₂₁ P)]
$M_r = 726.55$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 9.8815 (6) Å
b = 14.0448 (9) Å
c = 21.2332 (13) Å
$\beta = 99.924 \ (2)^{\circ}$
V = 2902.7 (3) Å ³
Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker AXS SMART CCD	23909 measur
diffractometer	8412 indepen
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	6748 reflectio
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.040$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\rm max}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -10 \rightarrow 13$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$k = -19 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\min} = 0.421, \ T_{\max} = 1$	$l = -29 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$ $wR(F^2) = 0.078$ S = 0.958412 reflections 347 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 1432 $D_x = 1.663 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7098 reflections $\theta = 2.4-28.2^{\circ}$ $\mu = 5.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 223 KBlock, yellow $0.27 \times 0.12 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$

23909 measured reflections 8412 independent reflections 6748 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.040$ $\theta_{max} = 30.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.0^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -19 \rightarrow 19$ $l = -29 \rightarrow 19$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0423P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.16$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.78$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Au	0.12283 (2)	0.07759 (2)	0.34429 (2)	0.03271 (5)	
S1	0.18283 (10)	-0.03609 (6)	0.42279 (4)	0.03903 (18)	
P1	0.06779 (8)	0.19705 (6)	0.27309 (4)	0.03035 (16)	
01	0.1276 (2)	0.12051 (16)	0.47896 (10)	0.0395 (5)	
O2	0.6243 (3)	-0.3434 (2)	0.61518 (16)	0.0661 (8)	
O3	0.4384 (3)	-0.3994 (2)	0.63774 (18)	0.0711 (9)	
N1	0.2402 (3)	0.0118 (2)	0.54778 (13)	0.0419 (7)	
N2	0.5036 (3)	-0.3355 (2)	0.61897 (15)	0.0451 (7)	
C1	0.1877 (3)	0.0344 (2)	0.49148 (15)	0.0343 (6)	
C2	0.3048 (4)	-0.0766 (2)	0.56273 (15)	0.0368 (7)	
C3	0.2308 (4)	-0.1524 (3)	0.58156 (18)	0.0461 (8)	
Н3	0.1361	-0.1459	0.5815	0.055*	
C4	0.2960 (4)	-0.2371 (3)	0.60035 (19)	0.0473 (9)	
H4	0.2462	-0.2887	0.6129	0.057*	
C5	0.4348 (3)	-0.2452 (2)	0.60053 (15)	0.0356 (7)	
C6	0.5105 (3)	-0.1700 (3)	0.58361 (17)	0.0422 (8)	
H6	0.6056	-0.1763	0.5848	0.051*	
C7	0.4448 (4)	-0.0855 (2)	0.56491 (19)	0.0449 (8)	
H7	0.4956	-0.0335	0.5536	0.054*	
C8	0.1369 (4)	0.1857 (3)	0.53290 (17)	0.0505 (10)	
H8A	0.1311	0.1498	0.5719	0.061*	
H8B	0.0599	0.2307	0.5254	0.061*	
C9	0.2709 (5)	0.2398 (3)	0.5413 (2)	0.0596 (11)	
H9A	0.3469	0.1951	0.5477	0.089*	
H9B	0.2776	0.2812	0.5783	0.089*	
H9C	0.2744	0.2777	0.5035	0.089*	
C10	-0.0001 (3)	0.2979 (2)	0.31120 (14)	0.0312 (6)	
C11	0.0534 (4)	0.3172 (3)	0.37427 (16)	0.0419 (8)	
H11	0.1214	0.2772	0.3967	0.050*	
C12	0.0079 (4)	0.3948 (3)	0.40474 (17)	0.0483 (9)	
H12	0.0464	0.4074	0.4476	0.058*	
C13	-0.0930 (4)	0.4540 (3)	0.37347 (17)	0.0416 (8)	
C14	-0.1455 (4)	0.4348 (2)	0.31043 (18)	0.0457 (8)	
H14	-0.2145	0.4742	0.2882	0.055*	
C15	-0.0986 (4)	0.3585 (2)	0.27939 (16)	0.0410 (7)	
H15	-0.1342	0.3476	0.2360	0.049*	
C16	-0.1475 (5)	0.5364 (3)	0.4073 (2)	0.0593 (11)	
H16A	-0.2063	0.5125	0.4359	0.089*	
H16B	-0.2001	0.5785	0.3760	0.089*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

H16C	-0.0713	0.5713	0.4317	0.089*
C17	0.2135 (3)	0.2461 (2)	0.24238 (14)	0.0326 (6)
C18	0.2127 (4)	0.3395 (3)	0.22013 (16)	0.0411 (7)
H18	0.1349	0.3781	0.2203	0.049*
C19	0.3248 (4)	0.3757 (3)	0.19790 (17)	0.0475 (9)
H19	0.3227	0.4387	0.1827	0.057*
C20	0.4419 (4)	0.3201 (3)	0.19754 (17)	0.0509 (9)
C21	0.4425 (4)	0.2289 (3)	0.21997 (18)	0.0529 (10)
H21	0.5205	0.1907	0.2198	0.063*
C22	0.3299 (3)	0.1914 (3)	0.24308 (16)	0.0428 (8)
H22	0.3332	0.1289	0.2591	0.051*
C23	0.5626 (4)	0.3616 (4)	0.1716 (2)	0.0784 (15)
H23A	0.6203	0.3104	0.1608	0.118*
H23B	0.6156	0.4021	0.2038	0.118*
H23C	0.5292	0.3989	0.1337	0.118*
C24	-0.0624 (3)	0.1663 (2)	0.20533 (14)	0.0314 (6)
C25	-0.0789 (4)	0.2143 (3)	0.14741 (15)	0.0403 (7)
H25	-0.0181	0.2635	0.1413	0.048*
C26	-0.1852 (4)	0.1897 (3)	0.09838 (17)	0.0477 (9)
H26	-0.1966	0.2236	0.0597	0.057*
C27	-0.2745 (4)	0.1164 (3)	0.10531 (17)	0.0447 (8)
C28	-0.2578 (4)	0.0687 (3)	0.1635 (2)	0.0516 (10)
H28	-0.3182	0.0190	0.1693	0.062*
C29	-0.1533 (4)	0.0933 (2)	0.21300 (17)	0.0416 (8)
H29	-0.1438	0.0605	0.2521	0.050*
C30	-0.3886 (6)	0.0899 (3)	0.0519 (2)	0.0748 (15)
H30A	-0.4521	0.1428	0.0430	0.112*
H30B	-0.4370	0.0348	0.0644	0.112*
H30C	-0.3506	0.0749	0.0139	0.112*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Au	0.03883 (7)	0.03027 (7)	0.02839 (7)	0.00283 (5)	0.00402 (5)	0.00126 (4)
S 1	0.0541 (5)	0.0278 (4)	0.0343 (4)	0.0064 (4)	0.0052 (3)	0.0014 (3)
P1	0.0335 (4)	0.0303 (4)	0.0271 (4)	0.0013 (3)	0.0047 (3)	0.0002 (3)
01	0.0516 (14)	0.0341 (12)	0.0317 (11)	0.0153 (11)	0.0043 (10)	0.0018 (9)
O2	0.0422 (15)	0.0540 (17)	0.101 (2)	0.0135 (13)	0.0091 (15)	0.0129 (16)
03	0.0616 (19)	0.0425 (15)	0.111 (3)	0.0113 (14)	0.0197 (18)	0.0289 (17)
N1	0.0577 (18)	0.0352 (14)	0.0324 (14)	0.0134 (13)	0.0067 (12)	0.0042 (11)
N2	0.0456 (17)	0.0361 (15)	0.0510 (18)	0.0042 (13)	0.0011 (13)	0.0038 (13)
C1	0.0383 (16)	0.0299 (15)	0.0361 (16)	0.0056 (13)	0.0104 (13)	0.0024 (12)
C2	0.0501 (19)	0.0321 (15)	0.0269 (15)	0.0086 (14)	0.0028 (13)	0.0012 (12)
C3	0.0336 (17)	0.045 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0051 (15)	0.0101 (15)	0.0110 (17)
C4	0.0371 (18)	0.0401 (18)	0.065 (2)	0.0030 (15)	0.0099 (16)	0.0149 (17)
C5	0.0377 (16)	0.0314 (15)	0.0365 (16)	0.0047 (13)	0.0032 (13)	0.0030 (12)
C6	0.0332 (16)	0.0428 (18)	0.051 (2)	0.0022 (14)	0.0083 (14)	0.0067 (15)
C7	0.051 (2)	0.0354 (18)	0.051 (2)	0.0025 (15)	0.0145 (17)	0.0067 (14)

C8	0.071 (3)	0.045 (2)	0.0354 (18)	0.0288 (19)	0.0093 (17)	-0.0038 (15)
C9	0.082 (3)	0.044 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.013 (2)	-0.005 (2)	-0.0100 (17)
C10	0.0330 (15)	0.0312 (14)	0.0298 (15)	-0.0013 (12)	0.0067 (12)	-0.0023 (11)
C11	0.0438 (19)	0.0458 (19)	0.0340 (17)	0.0032 (16)	0.0011 (14)	-0.0041 (14)
C12	0.058 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.0322 (18)	-0.0004 (18)	0.0020 (16)	-0.0125 (16)
C13	0.051 (2)	0.0346 (16)	0.0429 (19)	-0.0062 (15)	0.0174 (15)	-0.0069 (14)
C14	0.057 (2)	0.0379 (18)	0.042 (2)	0.0098 (16)	0.0104 (17)	0.0057 (14)
C15	0.0490 (19)	0.0401 (17)	0.0323 (16)	0.0078 (15)	0.0026 (14)	0.0017 (14)
C16	0.083 (3)	0.043 (2)	0.056 (2)	0.007 (2)	0.024 (2)	-0.0088 (18)
C17	0.0310 (14)	0.0390 (17)	0.0274 (14)	-0.0018 (13)	0.0036 (11)	-0.0034 (12)
C18	0.0414 (18)	0.0430 (18)	0.0401 (18)	-0.0018 (15)	0.0102 (14)	-0.0003 (14)
C19	0.049 (2)	0.051 (2)	0.043 (2)	-0.0126 (18)	0.0081 (15)	0.0011 (16)
C20	0.0401 (19)	0.077 (3)	0.0352 (18)	-0.0160 (19)	0.0047 (14)	-0.0061 (18)
C21	0.0342 (18)	0.075 (3)	0.049 (2)	0.0056 (18)	0.0062 (15)	-0.003 (2)
C22	0.0390 (18)	0.0475 (19)	0.0409 (18)	0.0039 (15)	0.0042 (14)	-0.0020 (15)
C23	0.042 (2)	0.117 (5)	0.079 (3)	-0.022 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.005 (3)
C24	0.0334 (15)	0.0290 (14)	0.0308 (15)	-0.0006 (12)	0.0030 (12)	-0.0021 (11)
C25	0.0420 (17)	0.048 (2)	0.0300 (16)	-0.0092 (15)	0.0043 (13)	0.0012 (14)
C26	0.053 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.0330 (18)	-0.0001 (18)	-0.0018 (15)	0.0019 (15)
C27	0.049 (2)	0.0404 (18)	0.0399 (19)	-0.0039 (16)	-0.0047 (15)	-0.0041 (15)
C28	0.053 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.054 (2)	-0.0173 (17)	-0.0057 (18)	-0.0004 (16)
C29	0.050 (2)	0.0349 (17)	0.0367 (18)	-0.0044 (15)	-0.0002 (15)	0.0051 (13)
C30	0.076 (3)	0.077 (3)	0.059 (3)	-0.023 (3)	-0.023 (2)	0.003 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Au—P1	2.2611 (8)	C13—C16	1.510 (5)
Au—S1	2.3105 (8)	C14—C15	1.380 (5)
S1—C1	1.756 (3)	C14—H14	0.9400
P1-C10	1.816 (3)	C15—H15	0.9400
P1—C24	1.809 (3)	C16—H16A	0.9700
P1—C17	1.815 (3)	C16—H16B	0.9700
01—C1	1.354 (4)	C16—H16C	0.9700
O1—C8	1.457 (4)	C17—C22	1.382 (5)
O2—N2	1.215 (4)	C17—C18	1.395 (5)
O3—N2	1.211 (4)	C18—C19	1.374 (5)
N1-C1	1.259 (4)	C18—H18	0.9400
N1-C2	1.407 (4)	C19—C20	1.397 (6)
N2—C5	1.460 (4)	C19—H19	0.9400
C2—C7	1.381 (5)	C20—C21	1.366 (6)
C2—C3	1.389 (5)	C20—C23	1.514 (5)
C3—C4	1.379 (5)	C21—C22	1.395 (5)
С3—Н3	0.9400	C21—H21	0.9400
C4—C5	1.375 (5)	C22—H22	0.9400
C4—H4	0.9400	C23—H23A	0.9700
C5—C6	1.377 (5)	C23—H23B	0.9700
С6—С7	1.379 (5)	C23—H23C	0.9700
С6—Н6	0.9400	C24—C29	1.391 (5)

supporting information

С7—Н7	0 9400	C24_C25	1 387 (4)
C_{8}	1 510 (6)	$C_{24} = C_{25}$	1.307(4) 1 389(5)
	0.0800	C25 H25	0.0400
	0.9800	C25—1125	1 270 (5)
	0.9800	C_{20}	1.3/9(3)
C9—H9A	0.9700	C20—H20	0.9400
C9—H9B	0.9700	C27—C28	1.391 (5)
C9—H9C	0.9700	C27—C30	1.502 (5)
C10—C11	1.379 (4)	C28—C29	1.384 (5)
C10—C15	1.378 (4)	C28—H28	0.9400
C11—C12	1.382 (5)	С29—Н29	0.9400
C11—H11	0.9400	C30—H30A	0.9700
C12—C13	1.378 (6)	C30—H30B	0.9700
C12—H12	0.9400	С30—Н30С	0.9700
C13—C14	1.377 (5)		
P1—Au—S1	175.80 (3)	C15—C14—H14	119.5
C1 = S1 = Au	100.18(11)	C13—C14—H14	119.5
C10 - P1 - C24	105.90(14)	C_{14} C_{15} C_{10}	120.8(3)
C10 - P1 - C17	103.93(14)	C14 - C15 - H15	110.6
$C_{10} = 11 = C_{17}$	105.93(14) 107.71(14)	$C_{14} = C_{15} = H_{15}$	110.6
$C_{10} = P_{11} = C_{17}$	107.71(14) 110.22(10)	$C_{10} = C_{10} = H_{10}$	100.5
C_{10} -1 $-A_{11}$ $-A_{12}$	110.22(10) 114.24(10)	C_{13} C_{16} U_{16}	109.5
C_{24} P_{1} A_{12}	114.24(10) 114.04(10)		109.5
CI / - PI - Au	114.04 (10)	HI0A - CI0 - HI0B	109.5
C1_01_C8	116.2 (2)	C13—C16—H16C	109.5
C1—N1—C2	122.2 (3)	H16A—C16—H16C	109.5
O3—N2—O2	122.5 (3)	H16B—C16—H16C	109.5
O3—N2—C5	118.8 (3)	C22—C17—C18	118.9 (3)
O2—N2—C5	118.6 (3)	C22—C17—P1	119.7 (3)
N1-C1-O1	120.4 (3)	C18—C17—P1	121.3 (2)
N1—C1—S1	126.5 (3)	C17—C18—C19	120.4 (3)
O1—C1—S1	113.1 (2)	C17—C18—H18	119.8
C7—C2—N1	120.0 (3)	C19—C18—H18	119.8
C7—C2—C3	119.7 (3)	C18—C19—C20	120.9 (4)
N1—C2—C3	120.0 (3)	C18—C19—H19	119.6
C4—C3—C2	120.1 (3)	C20—C19—H19	119.6
C4—C3—H3	120.0	$C_{21} - C_{20} - C_{19}$	1184(3)
C2—C3—H3	120.0	$C_{21} = C_{20} = C_{23}$	122.1(4)
C_{3} C_{4} C_{5}	119.2 (3)	C19 - C20 - C23	1195(4)
$C_3 - C_4 - H_4$	120.4	C_{20} C_{21} C_{22}	117.3(4) 121 4 (4)
$C_5 C_4 H_4$	120.4	$C_{20} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	121.7 (7)
C_{5}	120.4	$C_{20} = C_{21} = H_{21}$	119.5
$C_0 - C_3 - C_4$	121.3(3)	$C_{22} = C_{21} = H_{21}$	119.5
C6 - C5 - N2	119.1 (3)	C17 - C22 - C21	119.9 (4)
C4 - C5 - IN2	119.4 (3)	$U_1 / - U_2 / H_2 / H_$	120.0
	119.0 (3)	C21—C22—H22	120.0
С5—С6—Н6	120.5	C20—C23—H23A	109.5
С7—С6—Н6	120.5	C20—C23—H23B	109.5
C6—C7—C2	120.4 (3)	H23A—C23—H23B	109.5
С6—С7—Н7	119.8	С20—С23—Н23С	109.5

С2—С7—Н7	119.8	H23A—C23—H23C	109.5
O1—C8—C9	110.2 (3)	H23B—C23—H23C	109.5
O1—C8—H8A	109.6	C29—C24—C25	118.8 (3)
С9—С8—Н8А	109.6	C29—C24—P1	117.9 (2)
O1—C8—H8B	109.6	C25—C24—P1	123.2 (2)
С9—С8—Н8В	109.6	C26—C25—C24	120.1 (3)
H8A—C8—H8B	108.1	C26—C25—H25	119.9
С8—С9—Н9А	109.5	C24—C25—H25	119.9
С8—С9—Н9В	109.5	C27—C26—C25	121.4 (3)
Н9А—С9—Н9В	109.5	C27—C26—H26	119.3
C8-C9-H9C	109.5	C25—C26—H26	119.3
H9A—C9—H9C	109.5	$C_{26} - C_{27} - C_{28}$	118 3 (3)
H9B_C9_H9C	109.5	$C_{26} = C_{27} = C_{30}$	1210(4)
C11 - C10 - C15	118 3 (3)	$C_{20} = C_{27} = C_{30}$	121.0(4) 120.6(4)
$C_{11} = C_{10} = C_{13}$	118.5(3)	$C_{20} = C_{21} = C_{30}$	120.0(4)
$C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	110.3(2) 123.1(2)	$C_{29} = C_{28} = C_{27}$	120.9 (3)
$C_{13} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	123.1(2) 120.5(2)	$C_{23} = C_{23} = H_{23}$	119.0
C12 - C11 - C10	120.3 (3)	$C_2/-C_{20}$	119.0
	119.7	$C_{28} = C_{29} = C_{24}$	120.5 (3)
	119.7	C28—C29—H29	119.8
C13—C12—C11	121.2 (3)	C24—C29—H29	119.8
С13—С12—Н12	119.4	C2/—C30—H30A	109.5
С11—С12—Н12	119.4	С27—С30—Н30В	109.5
C12—C13—C14	118.0 (3)	H30A—C30—H30B	109.5
C12—C13—C16	121.4 (3)	С27—С30—Н30С	109.5
C14—C13—C16	120.5 (4)	H30A—C30—H30C	109.5
C15—C14—C13	121.0 (3)	H30B—C30—H30C	109.5
C2—N1—C1—O1	-179.4(3)	C13—C14—C15—C10	1.7 (6)
$C_{2}N_{1}C_{1}S_{1}$	04(5)	$C_{11} - C_{10} - C_{15} - C_{14}$	-2.0(5)
$C_{8} = 01 = C_{1} = N_{1}$	43(5)	P1-C10-C15-C14	-1793(3)
$C_{8} = 01 = C_{1} = S_{1}$	-1755(3)	C_{10} P_{1} C_{17} C_{22}	143.8(3)
$\Delta y = S1 = C1 = N1$	-165.6(3)	C_{24} P1 C_{17} C_{22}	-1041(3)
Au = S1 = C1 = O1	105.0(3)	A_{11} = P1 = C17 = C22	$23 \times (3)$
C1 N1 $C2$ $C7$	92.7(4)	C_{10} P1 C_{17} C_{18}	-337(3)
C1 N1 C2 C3	-03.8(4)	$C_{10} = 11 = C_{17} = C_{18}$	78 3 (3)
$C_1 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	-2.1(6)	$\Delta n = \frac{D1}{C17} - \frac{C18}{C18}$	-153.8(2)
$C_{-}C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{4}$	-175.6(3)	Au = 1 = C17 = C18	155.8(2)
N1 = C2 = C3 = C4	1/5.0(5)	$C_{22} = C_{17} = C_{18} = C_{19}$	1.3(3)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = C_5$	0.4(0)	$\Gamma_{1} = C_{1} = C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	1/9.1(3)
$C_3 = C_4 = C_5 = N_2$	1.3(0)	C17 - C18 - C19 - C20	-0.3(3)
$C_3 - C_4 - C_5 - N_2$	-1/8.6(3)	C18 - C19 - C20 - C21	-0.2(6)
03 - N2 - C5 - C6	1/5.3 (4)	C18 - C19 - C20 - C23	1/8.9 (4)
02—N2—C5—C6	-4.3 (5)	C19—C20—C21—C22	-0.2 (6)
03—N2—C5—C4	-4.8 (5)	C23—C20—C21—C22	-179.3 (4)
02—N2—C5—C4	175.6 (4)	C18—C17—C22—C21	-1.9 (5)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.3 (5)	P1—C17—C22—C21	-179.5 (3)
N2C5C6C7	178.6 (3)	C20—C21—C22—C17	1.3 (6)
C5—C6—C7—C2	-0.5 (6)	C10—P1—C24—C29	-96.7 (3)
N1-C2-C7-C6	175.7 (3)	C17—P1—C24—C29	152.6 (3)

2.2 (6)	Au—P1—C24—C29	24.8 (3)
85.1 (4)	C10—P1—C24—C25	80.7 (3)
159.0 (3)	C17—P1—C24—C25	-30.0 (3)
-87.6 (3)	Au—P1—C24—C25	-157.8 (3)
35.0 (3)	C29—C24—C25—C26	0.4 (5)
-23.7 (3)	P1—C24—C25—C26	-177.0 (3)
89.6 (3)	C24—C25—C26—C27	-1.4 (6)
-147.8 (3)	C25—C26—C27—C28	1.5 (6)
0.7 (5)	C25—C26—C27—C30	-179.6 (4)
178.1 (3)	C26—C27—C28—C29	-0.7 (6)
0.9 (6)	C30—C27—C28—C29	-179.5 (4)
-1.2 (6)	C27—C28—C29—C24	-0.3 (6)
177.3 (4)	C25—C24—C29—C28	0.5 (5)
-0.1 (6)	P1-C24-C29-C28	177.9 (3)
-178.6 (4)		
	2.2 (6) 85.1 (4) 159.0 (3) -87.6 (3) 35.0 (3) -23.7 (3) 89.6 (3) -147.8 (3) 0.7 (5) 178.1 (3) 0.9 (6) -1.2 (6) 177.3 (4) -0.1 (6) -178.6 (4)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C10–C15 and C17–C22 rings, respectively.

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D····A	D—H…A
C4—H4···Cg1 ⁱ	0.94	2.64	3.528 (4)	157
N2—O2···Cg2 ⁱⁱ	1.22 (1)	3.55 (1)	83.1 (2)	4(1)
N2—O3····Cg2 ⁱⁱ	1.21 (1)	3.83 (1)	70.2 (2)	4 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z+1; (ii) -x+1, -y, -z+1.