



The Emerging Role of Immunotherapy in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma

Oraianthi Fiste *[®], Ioannis Ntanasis-Stathopoulos [®], Maria Gavriatopoulou, Michalis Liontos [®], Konstantinos Koutsoukos, Meletios Athanasios Dimopoulos [®] and Flora Zagouri

Department of Clinical Therapeutics, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Alexandra Hospital 80 Vasilissis Sophias, 11528 Athens, Greece; johnntanasis@med.uoa.gr (I.N.-S.); mariagabria@gmail.com (M.G.); mliontos@gmail.com (M.L.); koutsoukos.k@gmail.com (K.K.); mdimop@med.uoa.gr (M.A.D.); florazagouri@yahoo.co.uk (F.Z.)

* Correspondence: ofiste@med.uoa.gr

Abstract: Biliary tract cancer, and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCC) in particular, represents a rather uncommon, highly aggressive malignancy with unfavorable prognosis. Therapeutic options remain scarce, with platinum-based chemotherapy is being considered as the gold standard for the management of advanced disease. Comprehensive molecular profiling of tumor tissue biopsies, utilizing multi-omics approaches, enabled the identification of iCC's intratumor heterogeneity and paved the way for the introduction of novel targeted therapies under the scope of precision medicine. Yet, the unmet need for optimal care of patients with chemo-refractory disease or without targetable mutations still exists. Immunotherapy has provided a paradigm shift in cancer care over the past decade. Currently, immunotherapeutic strategies for the management of iCC are under intense research. Intrinsic factors of the tumor, including programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression and mismatch repair (MMR) status, are simply the tip of the proverbial iceberg with regard to resistance to immunotherapy. Acknowledging the significance of the tumor microenvironment (TME) in both cancer growth and drug response, we broadly discuss about its diverse immune components. We further review the emerging role of immunotherapy in this rare disease, summarizing the results of completed and ongoing phase I-III clinical trials, expounding current challenges and future directions.

Keywords: intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; biliary tract cancer; immunotherapy; tumor microenvironment

1. Introduction

Primary hepatic malignancies, which represent the fourth cause of cancer-related death worldwide, can be mainly classified as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCC) [1,2]. HCC and iCC are two closely related yet distinct entities that share common molecular and phenotypic characteristics [3]. Interestingly, the combination of HCC and iCC has been also described as a rare type of primary liver cancer, which contains pathologic features of both HCC and iCC cells [4]. Within this context, several clinical trials evaluating novel immunotherapies for patients with primary liver cancer include both HCC and iCC.

The incidence of iCC has been consistently rising in high-income countries, from 0.1 to 0.6 cases per 100,000 over the last three decades [2,5,6], whereas the mortality rates also follow the incidence pattern [7,8]. iCC is defined as a desmoplastic stromarich adenocarcinoma of cholangiocyte origin, arising proximal to the secondary biliary ducts [9,10]. In general, iCC is more prevalent in elderly male patients [11], whilst several risk factors have been identified, including obesity, diabetes, primary sclerosing cholangitis, Caroli's disease, hepatolithiasis, viral hepatitis, liver fluke infections, and cirrhosis [12,13]. Noteworthily, almost 50% of iCC cases have no identifiable risk factor [14].



Citation: Fiste, O.; Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Gavriatopoulou, M.; Liontos, M.; Koutsoukos, K.; Dimopoulos, M.A.; Zagouri, F. The Emerging Role of Immunotherapy in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 422. https://doi.org/10.3390/ vaccines9050422

Academic Editors: Valerie Chew and Diamantis I. Tsilimigras

Received: 30 March 2021 Accepted: 20 April 2021 Published: 22 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Patients with iCC usually present with non-specific symptoms (fatigue, weight loss, abdominal pain, obstructive jaundice) when the disease has been ultimately disseminated [15]. Less than 25% of patients are eligible to undergo surgery, which remains the only curative potential for early-stage disease [16]. Even after microscopically margin-negative (R0) resection, prognosis remains dismal due to the high risk of recurrence; a median overall survival (OS) of 40 months has been reported [17]. There is no clinical evidence at present to support the use of radiotherapy for locoregional cancer control, while the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) guidelines recommend the addition of adjuvant capecitabine [18], based on the reported benefit in both relapse-free survival (RFS) and OS of the randomized phase III BILCAP trial [19].

Current treatment options for advanced-stage iCC are limited and the median OS of these patients varies between 2.5 and 4.5 months [20,21]. Since 2010, the combination of gemcitabine and cisplatin represents the standard of care for patients with locally advanced or metastatic disease, based on the results of the landmark phase III ABC-02 study and the phase II BT22 trial [22,23]. Recently, gemcitabine plus tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil (TS-1) demonstrated non-inferiority to the aforementioned doublet regimen, with acceptable tolerability, in Japanese patients with advanced biliary tract cancer (BTC) [24]. Nonetheless, more than 50% of patients will inevitably develop disease progression [25]; second-line therapy with modified 5-fluorouracil/folinic acid/oxaliplatin (FOLFOX) and active symptom control resulted in a modest OS improvement, but improved six- and 12-month survival rates, compared to active symptom control alone, according to the ABC-06 trial [26].

The parallel development of omics-based studies and novel targeted therapies have unveiled potential molecular-based treatment options for chemo-refractory disease. Indeed, more than 60% of cholangiocarcinoma patients harbour unique gene aberrations including fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) 2 gene translocations, isocitrate dehydrogenase-1 (IDH1) and KRAS proto-oncogene mutations, and receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-2 (ERBB2) amplification [27–29]. Pemigatinib is a selective, oral FGFR1-3 inhibitor and the first United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) approved targeted agent for the second-line treatment of iCC [30–32]. Even though neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase (NTRK) rearrangements are found in less than 5% of BTC, they represent targetable alterations; both larotrectinib and entrectinib are FDA approved tissue agnostic drugs for NTRK fusion-positive tumors [33]. Moreover, dabrafenib plus trametinib showed promising antitumor activity in BRAF V600E-mutated BTC in the phase II, basket trial, ROAR [34], while the combination of bevacizumab and erlotinib may constitute an alternative therapeutic option for patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-mutated advanced BTC [35]. The compelling results of the phase III ClarIDHy trial, regarding the use of ivosidenib in IDH-mutated cholangiocarcinoma, have been recently presented at the 2021 Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium [36].

Despite these emerging advances towards an individualized treatment plan for iCC, there still exists a paucity of efficacious therapeutic options for this highly challenging and biological heterogeneous malignancy. In recent years, immune-oncology has revolutionized the therapeutic arsenal of various solid tumors, yet its efficacy in BTC merits further investigation [37,38]. Herein, we summarize and critically discuss current evidence, challenges, and future perspectives with regards to the emerging role of immunotherapy in iCC.

2. Immunological Characterisation of iCC

Immunotherapy, consisting of (a) immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting programmed death 1 (PD-1), programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4), (b) cancer vaccines, and (c) adoptive cell transfer (ACT), has blossomed over the last decade, leading to a dramatic advancement of cancer therapeutics [39]. Thoroughly, immune-oncology is emerging as the fifth pillar of cancer treatment, alongside surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and targeted therapies; yet its efficacy varies and only a subset of patients (approximately 10–35%) achieves durable responses [40]. Taking into account that immunotherapy aims to enhance natural, anti-tumor immune responses, including both innate cells (neutrophils, macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killers, etc.) and adaptive cells (T- and B- lymphocytes) infiltrations into the tumor microenvironment (TME) [41], it becomes prominent that the improved cellular and functional characterization of the immune landscape within TME holds tremendous clinical potential, in both predicting responsiveness to immunotherapy and in identifying novel treatment strategies.

Hence, the TME is a highly dynamic, tridimensional, sophisticated interplay comprising of cancer, stromal, and endothelial cells, which encompasses as well as an abundance of immune components, proliferative factors, vasculature, nerve fibers, extracellular matrix, and acellular components [42]. According to their cytotoxic T cell infiltration, solid tumors are classified into four distinct phenotypes, namely hot, altered immunosuppressed, altered excluded, and cold, with the first exerting the greatest benefit from ICI-based therapy and the latter representing the most challenging to treat [43,44].

Immunotherapy approaches have emerged as viable therapeutic options for iCC, albeit available data are limited to sub-analyses of either small single-arm studies or basket trials; the conflicting results, so far, highlight the unmet need for discovery and validation of predictive biomarkers [45]. In a transcriptome study which categorized 566 cases of iCC, based on their cellular TME features, 11% displayed hot phenotype, whereas 45% showed an immune desert—cold phenotype [46]. Furthermore, PD-L1-expressing iCC cells, which derived from the preinvasive intraductal papillary neoplasms of the bile duct (IPNB), have been associated with PD-L1+ mononuclear cells, PD-1+ lymphocytes, and cytotoxic CD8+ lymphocytes infiltrations within the tumor, thus with PD-1/PD-L1 axis activation, suggesting ICI efficacy [47,48].

Given that the cross-talk between tumor cells and TME, along with genetic and epigenetic alterations, dictate cholangiocarcinoma's phenotype [49], it is of paramount importance to facilitate an in-depth knowledge of iCC's immune contexture in order to unravel potential pathophysiological mechanisms behind these controversial responses to immunotherapy. iCC's prominent histological hallmark is its rich desmoplastic stroma containing primarily cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and an exceedingly reactive TME of (a) innate immune cells with mainly immunosuppressive and pro-tumorigenic function, like tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs), and dendritic cells (DCs), and (b) adaptive immune cells, including tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and natural killers (NKs) [8,50–52]. This complex, multicellular compartment is orchestrated by various soluble signaling mediators (chemokines, extracellular vesicles) and growth factors like platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [52] and contributes to iCC's pathogenesis [8,50,51] (Figure 1).

2.1. Cellular Components of TME

2.1.1. Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts (CAFs)

CAFs represent one of the most prominent and critical cellular components of iCC's desmoplastic stroma [52], which originate from diverse cell populations. Potential sources of CAFs include hepatic stellate cells and portal fibroblasts, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), cholangiocyte-derived fibroblasts through epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and endothelial cells through endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT) [52–61].

Apart from the direct tumor-promoting activities (cancer cell proliferation, survival, and migration), CAFs also mediate angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and tumor-promoting inflammation via secretion of growth factors like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and soluble chemokines such as CXCL2, CXCL12, CXCL14, interleukin 8 (IL-8), IL-13, stromal derived factor -1 alpha (SDF-1a), and monocyte chemotactic factor 1(MCP-1) [7,56]. Moreover, CAFs elicit structural remodeling of the extracellular matrix (ECM), by the release of protein-lysine 6-oxidase (LOX), matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) like MMP1, MMP2, MMP3, MMP9, and matricellular proteins including periostin, tenascin-C, and osteopontin, thus promoting tumor growth and local invasiveness [7].

The modified, stiffer ECM serves as a barrier itself to immune cells' invasion. It has been demonstrated that the abundant a-smooth muscle actin (a-SMA) + CAFs correlate with decreased cytotoxic T cell infiltration, improved tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) infiltration, and poor clinical outcome [7,53,62,63]. Moreover, in a transcriptomic analysis of 10 iCCs, high VEGF expression was associated with TME polarization, restricted T cell infiltration, and poor responsiveness to immunotherapy [64].



Created with BioRender.com

Figure 1. Immunological features of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCC) tumor microenvironment (TME). (**A**) The cross-talk between anti-tumorigenic immune cells, including tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), dendritic cells (DCs), and natural killer cells (NKs) and tumor cells. (**B**) Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) contribute to tumorigenesis, by secreting a plethora of growth factors, proteases, and cytokines. Responding to the activated chemokine axes, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and regulatory T-cells (Tregs) are recruited into TME, leading to immune surveillance disruption. Moreover, extracellular matrix (ECM) has been correlated with tumor growth, whereas programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression mediates tumor immune escape.

Lastly, CAFs display immunosuppressive properties; CAFs' pro-inflammatory role, when overexpressing fibroblast activation protein (FAP), could be sustained by CCL2-STAT3 signaling, resulting in inhibited myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) infiltration in murine models of cholangiocarcinoma [65], whereas the interaction between CAFs and dendritic cells (DCs) dampens the activation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) [66].

2.1.2. Tumor-Associated Macrophages (TAMs)

TAMs, and especially macrophages with M2 phenotype and high phagocytosis capacity, constitute the key immune cell population infiltrating TME [67,68]. Ontogenetically, hepatic macrophages can be classified as resident Kupffer cells and as recruited bone marrow-derived (or monocyte-derived) hepatic macrophages, yet their exact origin remains to be elucidated [69,70]. In iCC, the increased levels of tumor necrosis factor- alpha (TNF- α) expressed by Kupffer liver cells promotes abnormal cell proliferation and carcinogenesis, through the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathways activation [70,71]. The recruitment of bone marrow-derived monocytes, which differentiate into TAMs, is driven by circulating mediators released by both tumor and stromal cells, including cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-10, IL-13, IL-34), osteoactivin, VEGF-A, and colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1) [68,72,73].

The close cross-talk between TAMs and cholangiocarcinoma cells generates a plethora of tumor-promoting phenomena. First, TAMs can exert angiogenesis via secreting proangiogenic factors (VEGF-A, IL-8, angiopoietin) and pro-inflammatory mediators like cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) [74]. Second, TAMs play a pivotal role in cholangiocarcinoma cell proliferation via the activation of the Wnt/ β -caterin signaling pathway [75,76]. Third, TAMs contribute to T cell immunosuppression, promoting tumor growth and metastasis through the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1 α) [77].

Despite, CD68+ TAMs have been correlated with a reduced risk of iCC recurrence [78], yet high density of TAMs in cholangiocarcinoma tissues associates with poor prognosis [79].

2.1.3. Tumor-Associated Neutrophils (TANs)

Intratumoral neutrophils (TANs) are engaged into the TME through the angiogenic chemokine CXCL5, which is secreted by tumor and stroma cells via the activated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) pathway [80]. The presence of increased circulating neutrophil-to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been associated with poor clinical outcome in iCC patients [81,82], whilst CD66+ or CD15+ TANs in cholangiocarcinoma have also been correlated with worse survival [83–85]. TANs' role in cholangiocarcinoma remains indefinite and merits further investigation, given their recently apparent importance in cancer progression. Indeed, neutrophil-based therapeutics is likely to become a rapidly growing field of research [86].

2.1.4. Natural Killers (NKs)

NKs, a CD3-CD56+ subset of innate lymphoid cells, account for 30–40% of liver lymphocytes [87] and are characterized by their natural cytotoxic capacity to recognize and destroy malignant cells, in an antigen nonspecific way, via cell lysis [88,89]. Besides inhibiting cell proliferation and metastasis, NKs produce cytokines (mainly interferon- γ , INF- γ), resulting in adaptive immunity modulation [90,91] and decreasing off-target effects through specific antitumor cytotoxic activity [92].

In iCC, endogenous CXCL9 expression has been closely correlated with high NKs infiltrations [93], which in turn inhibit tumor growth and reduce chemoresistance [89,94]. Jung et al., demonstrated that the infusions of human NKs in cholangiocarcinoma cell line HuCCT-1-derived xenograft mouse models induced significant tumor growth inhibition, thus highlighting the potential of NKs-based immunotherapy [89].

2.1.5. Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes (TILs)

TILs comprise of highly heterogeneous immune cells, including CD8+ T cells (cytotoxic T lymphocytes, CTLs), CD4+ T cells (T helper lymphocytes, Th cells), CD20+ B lymphocytes, and CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) [95]. Immunohistochemical staining of cholangiocarcinoma specimens reveals variable localization of distinct subsets of TILs within the tumor; CD8+ TILs prevail in the intratumoral region, whereas CD4+ T cells are prevalent across the peritumoral area [15,96]. CD8+ TILs preferentially accumulate in loose fibronectin and collagen peritumoral stroma and migrate poorly through dense tumor tissue, guided by chemokines, since they are not capable of producing ECM-degrading proteases [97,98]. Thus, the distribution of CD8+ lymphocytes in TME could be dictated by both density and orientation of a stroma's structural components.

TILs confer prognostic value in BTC, as well as in other malignancies [99,100]; high numbers of CD8+ and CD4+ TILs within TME correlate with better OS, while low frequencies of CD8+ lymphocytes are associated with unfavorable prognosis [15,83,85,94,99–103]. As aforementioned, an elevated NRL has been related to poor outcomes in iCC [81,82] and has been correlated with higher percentage of IFN- γ + TILs, CD8+ TILs, and PD-1+CD4+ TILs [104]. Notably, the expression of PD-L1 is more prominent on immune cells rather than on tumor cells [105–108]. In fact, PD-L1 expression on cancer cells associates with lower responses to immunotherapy [109].

Furthermore, various tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) have been identified in cholangiocarcinoma and represent attractive candidates for cancer vaccines [110]. In a recent transcriptomic study of 10 iCC cases, which revealed the variability among T cell populations within TME, CD8+ TILs from highly heterogeneous tumors exerted decreased cytotoxic capacity [64].

With regards to B TILs, their pathogenic relevance in cholangiocarcinoma has not yet been clarified, yet higher CD20+ B lymphocytes populations have been observed in a rare type of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection-related iCC [111]. Moreover, elevated numbers of CD20+ B TILs have been reported in low-grade tumors and are associated with favorable prognosis [15,96].

Forkhead box P3 (FOXP3), also known as scurfin, is a transcription factor overexpressed by Tregs and associates with the up-regulation of CTLA-4 expression, which in case of iCC is predictive of tumor recurrence and chemoresistance [112]. Additionally, in the presence of CCL2 produced by CAFs, TAMs, and tumor cells, cytotoxic TILs acquire a CD4+CD25+ Tregs phenotype, which leads to secretion of the immunosuppressive transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β) and IL-10 [113].

2.1.6. Dendritic Cells (DCs)

Along with macrophages and B cells, DCs are among the most important antigenpresenting cells (APCs), capable of providing the necessary signals for effective T cell activation into peripheral lymphoid organs [114]. Whereas immature CD1a+ DCs are found into the tumor, mature CD83+ DCs reside mainly into the invasive front [103]. In cholangiocarcinoma, the suppression of IL-10 and TGF- β receptors on self-differentiated DCs generated intensified activation of effector T cells against cancer cells [115]. In another study, the decreased number of TNF- α producing DCs in cholangiocarcinoma patients could affect DC-mediated immunity [116].

2.1.7. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells (MDSCs)

MDSCs represent a major obstacle for natural antitumor immunity, as a heterogeneous group of immature myeloid progenitors which suppresses both the innate and adaptive immune responses [117]. Recruited mainly by CAFs to the TME, MDSCs exhibit suppressor activity, especially upon CD8+ T cells, by synthesizing reactive oxygen species (ROS) and by affecting the metabolism of L-arginine [65,118,119]. Extensive research regarding their role in iCC pathogenesis may permit the future development of novel immunotherapeutic agents.

2.2. Non-Cellular Components of TME

2.2.1. Extracellular Matrix (ECM)

Intense structural remodeling of the ECM through the release of MMPs, periostin, tenascin-C, and osteopontin is crucial in cholangiocarcinogenesis, as described above [7]. Indeed, the overexpression of matricellular proteins has been related to tumor growth, lymph node metastatic potential, and poor OS [120,121]. Elevated expression of osteopontin in stromal cells, in particular, has been significantly correlated with tumor size, local and

distant invasion, and advanced stage, through the activation of RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK and Wnt/ β -caterin signaling pathways, in BTC patients [122,123]. Moreover, osteopontin promotes NKs' development and T cells' survival [124,125]. Further studies will focus on the ECM therapeutic potentials in cholangiocarcinoma, including iCC.

2.2.2. Extracellular Vesicles (EVs)

EVs represent small membranous structures secreted from cells, serving as intercellular messengers [126]. They can be classified as (a) exosomes with 30–100 nm diameter, (b) microvesicles with 0.1–1 μ m diameter, and (c) apoptotic bodies with >1 μ m diameter which are more commonly eliminated by phagocytes immediately after their release from apoptotic cells [127]. Their components include proteins, lipids, messenger ribonucleic acids (mRNAs), microRNAs (miRNAs), long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), and circular RNAs (circRNAs), which are protected from enzymatic degradation by their lipid bilayer [128].

Several miRNAs ad lncRNAs have been identified as candidates in cholangiocarcinoma tissues [129,130]. Previous study demonstrated that miRNA-15a downregulation in cholangiocarcinoma-associated CAFs promotes increased secretion of plasminogen activator inhibitor-2 (PAI-2), which in turn leads to the migration of cholangiocarcinoma cells [131]. The therapeutic significance of miRNAs has been indicated in rat models, whereas the administration of miRNA-195- enriched EVs decreased tumor size and led to increased survival rates [132].

2.2.3. Chemokines

Chemokines are a class of cytokines with approximately 8–12 kilodaltons mass and the ability to induce chemotaxis of nearby leukocytes (monocytes, macrophages, T lymphocytes, etc.) [133]. Together with their receptors, they represent emerging key factors of a well-orchestrated network of tumor-promoting events, including cancer cell migration, invasion, and immune evasion [133].

CXCL1–3, CXCL5–6, CXCL8, CXCL12, CCL2, CCL11, and CCL16 are among the various chemokines which contribute to angiogenesis, either in a direct or indirect way [134]. In cholangiocarcinoma, CXCL12 is positively modulated by angiotensin II and negatively by TGF- β , while CXCR4 expression is promoted by TNF-a-secreting TAMs [133]. Interactions between CXCR4 and CXCL12 have been demonstrated to activate the PI3K/AKT and ERK1/2 pathway, and Wnt/ β -caterin signaling pathway [133]. Via the activation of the PI3K/AKT and ERK1/2 pathway, CXCL5 acts as chemoattractant for neutrophils, while FAP-induced CCL2 secretion mediates the migration of both macrophages and MD-SCs [133]. Ongoing trials aim to assess the clinical utility of chemokines in patients with hematological malignancies and breast cancer [135,136].

2.2.4. PD-L1, PD-1, and CTLA-4 Expression

Manipulations of the immune checkpoints PD-1 and CTLA-4 are among the immune escape mechanisms of cancer cells and the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway seems to play a pivotal role in the development of a tumor-tolerant TME in BTC [137,138]. Indeed, high tumor cells expression of PD-L1 and PD-1, accompanied by decreased CD3+ and/or CD8+ infiltrates, associates with worse clinical outcome [99,112,139]. Nakamura et al. demonstrated an upregulation of the expression of immune checkpoint molecules in approximately 45% of BTC, which was indicative of worst prognosis [140]. Similarly, Gani et al., showed that 72% of iCC samples expressed PD-L1, which was associated with a 60%-reduction in OS, compared with negative tumor tissue samples [108]. In another study, PD-1 and PD-L1 were expressed in a total of surgically resected iCC, with PD-1 expressed only on TILs and PD-L1 expressed on 30% of tumor cells, TILS, and macrophages [141].

Moreover, FOXP3 overexpression by cholangiocarcinoma cells correlates with lymph node metastasis and poor survival [83,142]. Alongside, FOXP3 overexpression often occurs in association with CTLA-4 overexpression, which holds unfavorable prognostic value

in cholangiocarcinoma [112]. Downregulation of the major histocompatibility complex-I (MHC-I) might also play a crucial role in tumor escape from immunosurveillance, and has been related to decreased TILs infiltration and poor prognosis in BTC [15].

3. Immunotherapeutic Strategies

Since 2010, immunotherapy has heralded a new era in cancer treatment. On the basis of limited therapeutic options in patients with BTC, including iCC, immunotherapeutic strategies with checkpoint inhibitors, peptide- and dendritic- based vaccines, and adoptive cell therapy, alone or in combination with targeted therapy and/or chemotherapy, have been in progress. Table 1 summarizes results from recently completed immunotherapy trials in BTC patients, including iCC, whereas Tables 2 and 3 present ongoing trials of immunotherapeutic strategies for the treatment of BTC.

Table 1. Reported outcomes of immunotherapy for biliary tract cancer including intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

Clinical Trial Identifier	Phase	Setting	Regimen	Target	No. of Patients	Outcomes
NCT02054806	Ib	Second-, or later-line	Pembrolizumab	PD-1	24	mPFS of 1.8 months; mOS of 5.6 months; ORR of 13%; SD rate of 17%
NCT02628067	Π	Second-, or later-line	Pembrolizumab	PD-1	104	mPFS of 2.0 months; mOS of 7.4 months; ORR of 5.8%
JapicCTI-153098	Π	Second-, or later-line	Nivolumab	PD-1	30	mPFS of 1.4 months; mOS of 5.2 months; RR of 3%
NCT02829918	Π	Second-, or later-line	Nivolumab	PD-1	54	mPFS of 3.7 months; mOS of 14.2 months; ORR of 22%; DCR of 50%
NCT01938612	Π	Second-, or later-line	Durvalumab	PD-L1	42	mPFS of 1.5 months; mOS of 8.1 months; RR rate of 4.8%
NCT02699515	Ι	Second-, or later-line	M7824	PD-L1	30	mOS of 12.7 months; ORR of 20%
NCT03046862	Π	First line	Durvalumab + tremelimumab + GEMCIS	PD-L1, CTLA-4	121	mPFS of 11–13 months; mOS of 15–21 months; ORR of 50–73%
NCT02923934	Π	First-, or later- line	Nivolumab + ipilimumab	PD-1, CTLA-4	39	mPFS of 2.9 months; mOS of 5.7 months; ORR of 23%
NCT01853618	Ι	Second-, or later- line	Tremelimumab + RFA	CTLA-4	32	mPFS of 7.4 months; mOS of 12.3 months; PR of 26.3%

CTLA-4: cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4; DCR: disease control rate; GEMCIS: gemcitabine + cisplatin; mOS: median overall survival; mPFS: median progression-free survival; ORR: objective response rate; PD-1: programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1: programmed death-ligand 1; PR: partial response; RFA: radiofrequency ablation; RR: response rate; SD: stable disease.

Table 2. Ongoing trials of ICC for HCC and biliary tract cancer including intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

Clinical Trial Identifier	Phase	Status Setting		Regimen	Target	No. of Patients	
			ICI Monotherapy				
NCT03110328	II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory BTC	Pembrolizumab	PD-1	33	
NCT02054806	Ib	Active, not recruiting	PD-L1 positive cancers, including BTC	Pembrolizumab	PD-1	477	
NCT02628067	II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory cancers, including BTC	Pembrolizumab	PD-1	1350	
NCT03695952	Prospective observational cohort	Recruiting	HCC or BTC	Pembrolizumab	PD-1	100	
NCT02829918	II	Active, not	Advanced, refractory BTC	Nivolumab	PD-1	52	
NCT02465060	II Droom o ativo	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory, MMR-d cancers	Nivolumab	PD-1	6452	
NCT03695952	observational cohort	Recruiting	HCC or BTC	Nivolumab	PD-1	100	
NCT03867370	Ib/II	Not yet recruiting	Operable HCC or iCC	Toripalimab	PD-1	20	
NCT02091141	II	Active, not recruiting	Advanced, refractory solid tumours, including BTC with high TMB	Atezolizumab	PD-L1	765	
NCT02834013	II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory solid tumours including BTC	Nivolumab + ipilimumab	PD-1, CTLA-1	707	
Dual ICIs							
NCT03101566	II	Active, not recruiting	Unresectable, chemo-naïve BTC	Nivolumab + ipilimumab	PD-1, CTLA-1	64	

ICT in combination with local ablative therapy NCT02821754 II Recruiting Unresectable, refractory HCC or BTC Durvalumab + tremelimumab + cruabilition CPD 1, Cruabilition 90 NCT028598895 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated iCCA, eligible for RI Durvalumab + cruabilition PD 1, CTLA4 90 NCT03492102 II Recruiting Unresectable HCC or BTC Durvalumab + tremelimumab + RT PD 1, CTLA4 70 NCT03473574 II Active, not recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Durvalumab + CTLA4 63 NCT03473574 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CEM CTS vs. CTLA4 CTLA4 102 NCT034705480 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CEM CTS vs. CEM CTS vs. CTLA4 102 NCT034705480 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CEM CTS vs. CEM CTS vs. CEM CTS vs. PD 1 474 NCT034705480 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CEM CTS vs. CEM CTS vs. PD 1 90 NCT03250712 II Recruiting <td< th=""><th>Clinical Trial Identifier</th><th>Phase</th><th>Status</th><th>Setting</th><th>Regimen</th><th>Target</th><th>No. of Patients</th></td<>	Clinical Trial Identifier	Phase	Status	Setting	Regimen	Target	No. of Patients	
NCT02821754 II Recruiting Unresectable, refractory HCC or BTC Durvalumab + TACE, RFA, or CTLA-4 PD-1, CTLA-4 PD-1, PD-1, TACE, RFA, or CTLA-4 PD-1, PD-1, TACE, RFA, or CTLA-4 PD-1, PD	ICI in combination with local ablative therapy							
NCT03998995 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated iCCA, eligible for RT Perbolicitanuab + thrombinary PD-1 184 NCT03402102 II Recruiting Unresectable, RCC or BTC Thrombination with chemotherapy PD-1, thrombinary 70 NCT034037574 II Active, not recruiting Untreated BTC Durvalumab + trenclinumab + CLA 0 NCT03403662 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Durvalumab + trenclinumab + CLA 0 NCT034046862 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Durvalumab + trenclinumab + CLA 0 NCT030704480 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Durvalumab + trenclinumab + CLA 0 NCT03875235 III Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC Durvalumab + gaadectable PD-1 90 NCT03257761 Ib Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CEMCIS PD-1 90 NCT03260712 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CEMCIS PD-1 50 NCT032656 II Recruit	NCT02821754	П	Recruiting	Unresectable, refractory HCC or BTC	Durvalumab + tremelimumab + TACE, RFA, or cryoablation	PD-1, CTLA-4	90	
NCT03482102 II Recruiting Unresectable HCC or BTC Durvalumab + RT PD-1, CTLA 4 70 NCT03473574 II Active not recruiting Untreated BTC Darvalumab + RT PD-1, GEM or GEMCIS vs. CTLA 4 63 NCT0346862 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Dervalumab + GEM or GEMCIS vs. CTLA 4 63 NCT03704480 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CDMCIS vs. CTLA 4 10 NCT03875235 III Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC PD-1, CEMCIS vs. CEMCIS vs. CEMCIS vs. CEMCIS vs. PD-1, CTLA 4 102 NCT03875235 III Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC PD-1, Durvalumab + treenelinumab + PD-1 90 NCT03257761 Ib Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC CANCN + CANCN + CEMCIS vs. CEMCIS vs. PD-1 474 NCT03260712 II Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC CANCN + Vs. CGMCIS + PD-1 PD-1 50 NCT03785873 I //II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Notiverapy Vs. CGMCIS + Vs. CGMCIS + Vs. CGMCIS + CIIII coombination with targe	NCT03898895	II	Recruiting	Unresectable, untreated iCCA, eligible for RT	Pembrolizumab + SBRT vs. GEMCIS chemotherapy	PD-1	184	
ICI in combination with chemotherapy NCT03473574 II Active not recruiting Untreated BTC Durvalumab + termeliminab + GRM or GPMCIS vs. CTLA4, 63 NCT03046862 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC GPM or GPMCIS vs. CTLA4, 63 NCT03046862 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC CTLA4, 102 NCT03704480 II Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC CTLA4, 102 NCT03875235 III Recruiting Unresectable, refractory FTC, or BTC excluding ampullary GGUC S PD-1, 474 NCT03875235 III Recruiting Unresectable, refractory FTC, or BTC excluding ampullary GGUC S PD-1, 90 NCT03260712 II Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC excluding ampullary Pembrolizumab + ground b, termotherapy Pointolizumab + ground b, termotherapy NCT03260712 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC ground b, termotherapy Pointolizumab + ground b, termotherapy NCT03785873 I/II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC ground b, termotherapy Pointolizumab + ground b, termotherapy NCT03785873 I/II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC ground b, termotherapy	NCT03482102	II	Recruiting	Unresectable HCC or BTC	Durvalumab + tremelimumab + RT	PD-1, CTLA-4	70	
NCT03473574 II Active not recruiting Untreated BTC Dure of the menimumabes (EM of CEMCIS vs. CEMCIS vs. CEM			IC	CI in combination with chemotherapy				
NCT03046862 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC Durvalumab + creation PD-1, CTLA-4 31 NCT03704480 II Recruiting Recurrent or advanced, refractory BTC Cmmotherapy Durvalumab + CEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCIS vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCI vs. GEMCI vs.	NCT03473574	Ш	Active, not recruiting	Untreated BTC	Durvalumab + tremelimumab + GEM or GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS chemotherapy	PD-1, CTLA-4	63	
NCT03704480IIRecruitingRecurrent or advanced, refractory BTCDurvalumab + reclitaxel Durvalumab + CTLA-4PD-1, CTLA-4102NCT03875235IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCCiteXet GEMCIS vs. or BTC excluding ampullaryPD-1474NCT03257761IbRecruitingUnresectable, refractory HCC, PDAC, or BTC excluding ampullaryDurvalumab + geneticationPD-190NCT03111732IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTC or BTC excluding ampullaryCAPOX chemotherapyPD-150NCT03260712IIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTC recruitingToripalinab + genicitabilePD-150NCT03101566IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCToripalinab + recruitingPD-164NCT03785873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCNivolumab + GEMCISPD-164NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCNivolumab + recruitingPD-140NCT03293248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory SIC with IDH1 mutationsPD-1, recruiting390NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH1 mutationsPD-1, recruiting325NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, recruitingPD-1, advanced, refractory BTC recruitingNivolumab + PD-1, recruitingPD-1, <td>NCT03046862</td> <td>Π</td> <td>Recruiting</td> <td>Unresectable, untreated BTC</td> <td>Durvalumab + tremelimumab + GEMCIS chemotherapy</td> <td>PD-1, CTLA-4</td> <td>31</td>	NCT03046862	Π	Recruiting	Unresectable, untreated BTC	Durvalumab + tremelimumab + GEMCIS chemotherapy	PD-1, CTLA-4	31	
NCT03875235 III Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC GEMCIS CEMCIS PD-1 474 NCT03257761 Ib Recruiting Unresectable, refractory HCC, PDAC, or BTC excluding ampullary Durvalumab + guadecitabine PD-1 90 NCT03111732 II Active, not recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC CAPOX PD-1 19 NCT03260712 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC GEMCIS PD-1 50 NCT03796429 II Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC GEMCIS PD-1 40 NCT03101566 II Active, not recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC Toripalimab + gemcitabine PD-1 40 NCT03785873 I/II Recruiting Unresectable, refractory BTC Toripalimab + securoral PD-1 40 NCT03478488 III Recruiting Unresectable, untreated BTC vs. GEMOX vs. GEMOX PD-1 300 NCT032693248 I/II Active, not recruiting Advanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FG or FCR genes selected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutations PD-1, Pembrolizumab + PD-1, 200 PD-1, PD-1, PD-1, 200 NCT0389935 II Recruiting Advanced, refractory BTC Nivolumab + PD-1, 200 PD-1	NCT03704480	II	Recruiting	Recurrent or advanced, refractory BTC	Durvalumab + tremelimumab + paclitaxel Durvalumab +	PD-1, CTLA-4	102	
NCT03257761IbRecruitingUnresectable, refractory HCC, PDAC, or BTC excluding ampularyDur alumab + guadectibilitiePD-190NCT03111732IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCDur alumab + guadectibilitiePD-119NCT03260712IIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTC gencitableDir alumab + gencitablePD-140NCT03796429IIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTC recruitingInresectable, untreated BTC gencitableToripalimab + gencitablePD-140NCT03101566IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + sfluorouracil + leucovorinPD-140NCT03785873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + sfluorouracil + leucovorinPD-140NCT0378488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FGF RCFR geneti	NCT03875235	III	Recruiting	Unresectable, untreated BTC	GEMCIS vs. GEMCIS chemotherapy	PD-1	474	
NCT03111732IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCCAPADX ChemotherapyPD-119NCT03260712IIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCCEMCIS gemcitalimab + gemcitalimab + DD-140NCT03796429IIRecruitingAdvanced BTCToripalimab + gemcitalimab + PD-140NCT03101566IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCToripalimab + gemcitalimab + PD-140NCT03795873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + nal-irinotecan + s-fluorouracil + beucovorinPD-140NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCVs. GEMOX vs. GEMOXPD-L1390NCT03478488IIIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FG or FGF genesPembrolizumab + pemigatinibPD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03293248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH1 mutationsPembrolizumab + FF-2102PD-1, DH1200NCT03291458IIRecruiting recruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + FF-1102 + rucapatibPD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCAPARP PARP, 5950NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCAPD-1, olapatib36NCT03872947IRecruiting	NCT03257761	Ib	Recruiting	Unresectable, refractory HCC, PDAC, or BTC excluding ampullary	Durvalumab + guadecitabine	PD-1	90	
NCT03260712IIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCPembrolizumab + GEMCISPD-150NCT03796429IIRecruitingAdvanced BTCGemCISToripalimab + gemcitabinePD-140NCT03101566IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCGEMCISPD-164NCT03785873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + nal-irinotecan + leucovorinPD-140NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCVivolumab + nal-irinotecan + leucovorinPD-140NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCVivolumab + recruitingPD-1300NCT03293248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FGF or FGFR genesPembrolizumab + PD-1, FGFR 1-3PD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03664811I/IIActive, not recruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsPembrolizumab + PD-1, FC1202PD-1, RE320NCT0369935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, cobinetinibPD-1, PARP35NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCAPD-1, vith IDH mutationsDurvalumab + PD-1, olaparibPD-1, PARA, nivolumab , PD-1, PARA, nivolumab, PD-1, PD-1,338NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid	NCT03111732	Π	Active, not recruiting	Unresectable, refractory BTC	CAPOX chemotherapy	PD-1	19	
NCT03796429IIRecruitingAdvanced BTCIonpalinuab + gencitabinePD-140NCT03101566IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCCEMCISPD-164NCT03785873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + nal-trinotecan + leucovorinPD-140NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCSelectoryNivolumab + mal-trinotecan + leucovorinPD-140NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCVs. GEMOX vs. GEMOX vs. GEMOX vs. GEMOX vs. GEMOXPD-1.1390NCT02393248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic with IDH mutationsPembrolizumab + PD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03684811I/IIActive, not recruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsPembrolizumab + PD-1, FT-2102PD-1, PD-1, Selected solid tumours, including BTC, vith IDH mutationsPick 20035NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, olaparibPD-1, PARP35NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including BTC, uch advanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCADurvalumab + REGFRPD-1, a388 docetaxel docetaxel docetaxel docetaxel docetaxel docetaxel docetaxel docetaxelPD-1, a388 docetaxel338NCT03095781IRecruiting<	NCT03260712	II	Recruiting	Unresectable, untreated BTC	Pembrolizumab + GEMCIS	PD-1	50	
NCT03101566IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCNivolumab + GEMCISPD-164NCT03785873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + nal-irinotecan + beucovorinNO35 + GEMOX vs. GEMOXPD-140NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCNivolumab + s-fluorouracil + leucovorinNO35 + GEMOX vs. GEMOXPD-1390NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCvs. GEMOX vs. GEMOXPD-1390NCT02393248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FGF or FGFR genes selected solid tumours, including BTC, recruitingPembrolizumab + pemigatinibPD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03201458IIActive, not recruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDHI mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, tooimetinibPD-1, MEK200NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, tucaparibPD-1, PARR, tivolumab + PD-1, tucaparib338NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsPD-1, tucaparib338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCAPD-1, tubab338NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCAPD-1, tubab36NCT03872947IRecruitin	NCT03796429	II	Recruiting	Advanced BTC	Toripalimab + gemcitabine	PD-1	40	
NCT03785873I/IIRecruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCnal-irinotecan + 5-fluorouracil + leucovorin k locucovin	NCT03101566	II	Active, not recruiting	Unresectable, untreated BTC	Nivolumab + GEMCIS Nivolumab +	PD-1	64	
NCT03478488IIIRecruitingUnresectable, untreated BTCKN035 + GEMOX vs. GEMOX vs. GEMOX chemotherapyPD-L1390ICI in combination with targeted therapyICI in combination with targeted therapyICI in combination with targeted therapyPo-L1390NCT02393248I/IIActive, not recruiting alteration of FGF or FGFR genes selected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH1 mutationsPembrolizumab + PD-1, FGFR 1-3PD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03684811I/IIActive, not recruiting uncervitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + FT-2102PD-1, PD-1, IDH1200NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, obimetinibPD-1, Atex35NCT038991832IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTC with IDH mutationsDurvalumab + PD-1, olaparibPD-1, PARP35NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCADurvalumab + rPST-1120 + rivolumabPD-1, anti- anti- anti- anti- anti- cetuximab338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPmbrolizumab r reformab r permbrolizumab r permbrolizumab r perbolizumab r per	NCT03785873	I/II	Recruiting	Unresectable, refractory BTC	nal-irinotecan + 5-fluorouracil + leucovorin	PD-1	40	
ICI in combination with targeted therapyNCT02393248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FGF or FGFR genesPembrolizumab + pemigatinibPD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03684811I/IIActive, not recruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + FT-2102PD-1, IDH1200NCT03201458IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCNivolumab + rucaparibPD-1, PD-1, Tucaparib82NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTCNivolumab + rucaparibPD-1, rucaparib35NCT038991832IIRecruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsDurvalumab + rucaparibPD-1, rucaparib35NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCADurvalumab + recruitingPD-1, atia- rucaparib338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab + rtk-950 + nivolumab or recruitingPD-1, atia- atia- atia-338NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCANchive, pot rtabTAA, pembrolizumab or pembrolizumab or PD-136	NCT03478488	III	Recruiting	Unresectable, untreated BTC	KN035 + GEMOX vs. GEMOX chemotherapy	PD-L1	390	
NCT02393248I/IIActive, not recruitingAdvanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FGF or FGFR genesPembrolizumab + pemigatinibPD-1, FGFR 1-3325NCT03684811I/IIActive, not recruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + HD-1, CobinetinibPD-1, FGFR 1-3200NCT03201458IIActive, not recruitingMexcuted, refractory BTCNivolumab + recruitingPD-1, Attezolizumab + PD-1, Selected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + MEKPD-1, Selected solid tumours, including BTC, rucaparibMexcup Attezolizumab + PD-1, PARPPD-1, Selected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsNivolumab + PD-1, selected solid tumours, including BTC, olaparibPARP59NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCADurvalumab + olaparibPPARa, anti- anti-338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab + PD-1, olaparibPD-1, PD-1, anti-338NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab or PD-1, Advanced solid cancers, including CCATAA, pembrolizumab or PD-1, Advanced solid cancers, including CCATAA, pembrolizumab or PD-1, Advanced solid cancers, including CCA			ICI	in combination with targeted therapy				
NCT03684811I/IIActive, not recruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH1 mutationsNivolumab + FT-2102PD-1, IDH1200NCT03201458IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCAtezolizumab + cobimetinibPD-1, NEK82NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTCNivolumab + rucaparibPD-1, PARP35NCT03991832IIRecruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsDurvalumab + olaparibPD-1, PARP59NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCACCAPD-1, anti- atti-338 chemotherapy or cetuximab338 chemotherapy or EGFRNCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPD-1, XL 888S0NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCANCATAA, pembrolizumab or pembrolizumab or PD-1,36	NCT02393248	I/II	Active, not recruiting	Advanced, refractory solid tumours, including CCA, harboring genetic alteration of FGF or FGFR genes	Pembrolizumab + pemigatinib	PD-1, FGFR 1-3	325	
NCT03201458IIActive, not recruitingUnresectable, refractory BTCAtezolizumab + cobimetinibPD-L1, MEK82NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTCNivolumab + rucaparibPD-1, PARP35NCT03991832IIRecruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsDurvalumab + olaparibPD-1, olaparib59NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCADurvalumab, recruitingPD-1, olaparib338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab + RP90PD-1, solo338NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCATRK-950 + nivolumab or PD-150NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCAnivolumab or PD-1TAA, PD-136	NCT03684811	I/II	Active, not recruiting	Selected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH1 mutations	Nivolumab + FT-2102	PD-1, IDH1	200	
NCT03639935IIRecruitingAdvanced, refractory BTCNivolumab + rucaparibPD-1, PARP35NCT03991832IIRecruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsDurvalumab + PDARA, PARPPD-1, olaparib59NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCATPST-1120 + docetaxelPPARA, nivolumab, docetaxelPD-1, anti- EGFR338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab + XL 888PD-1, Hsp9050NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCAnivolumab or TRK-950 + nivolumab or PD-1TAA, PD-136	NCT03201458	II	Active, not recruiting	Unresectable, refractory BTC	Atezolizumab + cobimetinib	PD-L1, MEK	82	
NCT03991832IIRecruitingSelected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutationsDurvalumab + olaparibPD-1, PARP59NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCACCAPD-1, docetaxel338NCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPenbrolizumab + ResPD-1, docetaxel338NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCACCAXL 888Hsp9050NCT03872947IRecruitingAdvanced solid cancers, including CCAnivolumab or PD-1, rembrolizumab +TAA, PD-1, S036	NCT03639935	II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory BTC	Nivolumab + rucaparib	PD-1, PARP	35	
NCT03829436IRecruitingAdvanced solid tumours, including CCAPD-1, docetaxelPD-1, anti- cetuximab338 anti- EGFRNCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab + XL 888PD-1, EGFR338 chemotherapy or cetuximab338 chemotherapy or CetuximabNCT03095781IRecruitingAdvanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCAPembrolizumab + XL 888PD-1, FO-1, TRK-950 + nivolumab or TAA, pembrolizumab or PD-150 TAA, PD-1,	NCT03991832	Π	Recruiting	Selected solid tumours, including BTC, with IDH mutations	Durvalumab + olaparib TPST 1120 -	PD-1, PARP	59	
NCT03095781 I Recruiting Advanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCA Pembrolizumab + PD-1, 50 50 NCT03872947 I Recruiting Advanced solid cancers, including CCA XL 888 Hsp90 50 NCT03872947 I Recruiting Advanced solid cancers, including CCA TRK-950 + NCT03872947 I Recruiting Advanced solid cancers, including cCA pembrolizumab or TAA, 36 CCA chemotherapy CA pembrolizumab or PD-1 36	NCT03829436	Ι	Recruiting	Advanced solid tumours, including CCA	nivolumab, docetaxel chemotherapy or	PPARα, PD-1, anti- EGFR	338	
NCT03872947 I Recruiting Advanced solid cancers, including nivolumab or TAA, 36 CCA pembrolizumab or PD-1 36 chemotherapy	NCT03095781	Ι	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory GI cancers, including CCA	Cetuximab Pembrolizumab + XL 888 TRK-950 +	PD-1, Hsp90	50	
chemoticity y	NCT03872947	Ι	Recruiting	Advanced solid cancers, including CCA	nivolumab or pembrolizumab or chemotherapy	TAA, PD-1	36	

Table 2. Cont.

Clinical Trial Identifier	Phase	Status	Setting	Regimen	Target	No. of Patients
ICI in combination with TME targeted therapy						
NCT02703714	II	Active, not recruiting	Advanced BTC	Sargramostim + pembrolizumab	PD-1	42
NCT03833661	II	Active, not recruiting	Advanced, refractory BTC	M7824	PD-L1/ TGFβRII	141
NCT03250273	II	Active, not recruiting	Advanced, untreated CCA or PDAC	Entinostat + nivolumab	PD-1	54
NCT02443324	Ι	Active, not recruiting	Advanced, refractory cancers, including BTC	Ramucirumab + pembrolizumab	VEGFR-2, PD-1	155
NCT03895970	II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory, primary liver cancer or BTC	Lenvatinib + pembrolizumab	VEGFR-2, PD-1	50
NCT03797326	II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory solid tumours, including BTC	Lenvatinib + pembrolizumab	VEGFR-2, PD-1	180
NCT03475953	I/II	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory GI, not MMR-deficient	Avelumab + Regorafenib	PD-L1, RTKs, VEGFR 2-3	212

Table 2. Cont.

BTC: biliary tract cancer; CAPOX: capecitabine + oxaliplatin; CCA: cholangiocarcinoma; CTLA-4: cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4; EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor; FGF: fibroblast growth factor; FGFR: fibroblast growth factor receptor; FOLFOX: folinic acid (leucovorin) + 5-Fluorouracil + oxaliplatin; GEM: gemcitabine; GEMCIS: gemcitabine + cisplatin; GI: gastrointestinal; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; iCC: intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; ICI: immune checkpoint inhibitor; IDH1: isocitrate dehydrogenase 1; MMR-d: mismatch repair protein deficiency; MUC-1: mucin 1; NK: natural killers; PARP: poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PD-1: programmed cell death protein 1; PDAC: pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; PD-L1: programmed death-ligand 1; RFA: radiofrequency ablation; RT: radiation therapy; RTKs: receptor tyrosine kinases; SBRT: stereotactic body radiation therapy; TAA: tumor associated antigen; TACE: transarterial chemoembolization; TGFβ: transforming growth factor beta; TMB: tumor mutational burden; TME: tumor microenvironment; VEGFR: vascular endothelial growth factor.

Table 3. Ongoing trials of TME targeted therapy and ACT for HCC and biliary tract cancer including intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

Clinical Trial Identifier	Phase	Status	Setting	Regimen	Target	No. of Patients	
TME Targeted Therapy							
NCT03314935	I/II	Active, not recruiting	Advanced solid tumours including BTC	INCB001158 + FOLFOX, GEMCIS or paclitaxel chemotherapy	Arginase, TME	249	
NCT03329950	Ι	Recruiting	Advanced, refractory cancers, including CCA	CDX-1140 +/ - CDX-301	CD40, dendritic cell	180	
NCT03071757	Ι	Active, not recruiting	Advanced solid cancers, including CCA	ABBV-368) +/- ABBV-181	OX40, PD-1	170	
			ACT				
NCT03820310	П	Recruiting	iCC after radical resection with CR	Autologous central memory T cell therapy + radiotherapy or chemotherapy		20	
NCT03801083	Π	Recruiting	Unresectable, refractory BTC	Autologous TIL		59	
NCT03633773	I/II	Recruiting	MUC-1 positive iCCA	Autologous MUC-1 CAR T-cell therapy + fludara- bine/cyclophosphamide		9	
NCT02482454	II/III	Active, not recruiting	Unresected CCA, without extrahepatic metastasis	Autologous cytokine-induced NK cells + RFA vs. RFA		50	

ACT: adoptive cell transfer; BTC: biliary tract cancer; CAR-T cell: chimeric antigen receptor T cell; CCA: cholangiocarcinoma; FOLFOX: folinic acid (leucovorin) + 5-Fluorouracil + oxaliplatin; GEM: gemcitabine; GEMCIS: gemcitabine + cisplatin; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; iCC: intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; MUC-1: mucin 1; NK: natural killers; RFA: radiofrequency ablation; RT: radiation therapy; RTKs: receptor tyrosine kinases; SBRT: stereotactic body radiation therapy; TME: tumor microenvironment.

3.1. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors

CTLA-4 and PD-1 are both immune checkpoints expressed by activated T cells, which could dampen the immune response by downregulating the function of activated T cells, in order to maintain immunologic balance. Tumor cells enhance their survival potential tolerance by stimulating this negative signaling. ICIs aim to block this inhibitory signaling, thus restore immune system's function to destroy cancer cells [143]. Ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (MAb), was the first ICI to gain FDA approval, for the treatment of melanoma, in 2011 [144]. Since then, several ICIs have been approved for cancer therapy, including the anti-PD-1 MAbs nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and cemiplimab, and the anti-PD-L1 MAbs atezolizumab, avelumab, and durvalumab [145], whereas the discovery of CTLA-4 and PD-1 blockade by James P. Allison and Tasuku Honjo, respectively, led them to the Nobel-Prize in 2018 [146].

In the case of approved ICIs for BTC, the phase II basket trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy, which demonstrated significant OS benefit and improved objective response rate (ORR) in mismatch repair (MMR)-deficient patients and led to the FDA approval of pembrolizumab for patients with inoperable or metastatic solid tumors with MMR deficiency or high microsatellite instability (MSI), included four patients with ampullary cancer or cholangiocarcinoma [147,148]. Notably, Lynch syndrome, known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), is characterized by MMR deficiency and represents, despite uncommon (almost 2% of cases), a risk factor for iCC [149].

As aforementioned, PD-1 and PD-L1 are expressed in iCC tissue samples, underlying the therapeutic potential of targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway [107,150,151]. Furthermore, there is growing evidence that ICIs demonstrate promising clinical efficacy in virus-associated cancers, like Hodgkin lymphoma, merkel cell carcinoma, head and neck-, and hepatocellular- cancer, probably due to the increased neo-antigen presentation [152]. Noteworthy, various oncogenic viruses have been related to iCC's development [12,13]. Currently, several phase I-III clinical trials are underway, using ICIs alone or in combination with other chemotherapeutic modalities or targeted therapies.

The phase Ib KEYNOTE-028 and phase II KEYNOTE-158 trials, whereas pembrolizumab resulted in durable, high response rates of 40% in previously treated, advanced non-colorectal, MSI-high/MMR-deficient cancer patients, included 22 (9.4%) cholangiocarcinoma cases and retrospectively evaluated PD-L1 status [153]. Indeed, PD-L1 expression, which was defined as a combined positive score of at least 1% of tumor and inflammatory (lymphocytes, macrophages) cells, was noted in all cholangiocarcinoma tissue samples [154]. In the majority of cases, treatment-related adverse events were mild to moderate regarding severity and occurred in almost 65% of patients, while 6% of patients experienced grade 3 immune-mediated adverse events or infusion reactions [153].

Pembrolizumab demonstrated promising antitumor activity when combined with lenvatinib, an oral multiple kinase inhibitor against the VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 kinases, in the ongoing phase II LEAP-005 study, which evaluated both the efficacy and safety of this regimen, among others, in 31 previously treated, advanced BTC patients [155].

A multicenter phase II trial demonstrated durable partial response in MMR-proficient, refractory BTC patients treated with nivolumab as second-line therapy. 32 (59%) patients had iCC, while PD-L1 expression predicted response to immunotherapy and correlated with prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) [156]. In the case of a 40-year-old female patient with recurrent iCC, the combination of nivolumab and lenvatinib showed promising efficacy, with the complete response in liver metastases and the stabilization of lung lesions within nine months [157]. Nivolumab has also been examined in the first-line setting, whereas its combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin resulted in a RR of 37% and a median OS of 15.4 months [158]. Durable responses have also been reported with the combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab in patients with advanced iCC, irrespective of biomarkers status, according to a subgroup analysis of the phase II CA209-538 trial [159].

The combination of chemotherapy and durvalumab, alone or in addition to tremelimumab (anti-CTLA-4 antibody), apart from being tolerable, resulted in high response- and disease control- rates in the majority of chemotherapy-naïve advanced BTC patients, in a phase II study [160]. Currently, the combination of gemcitabine and cisplatin with durvalumab is being under further investigation in the phase III TOPAZ-1 trial (NCT03875235).

M7824, also known as bintrafusp alfa, is a first-in-class bifunctional fusion protein immunotherapy, which combines a TGF- β 'trap' with an anti-PD-L1 immunoglobulin G (IgG) monoclonal antibody, thus simultaneously targeting two immune-suppressive pathways in the TME [161]. Data from an ongoing expansion cohort from a phase I trial

(NCT02699515), with 30 patients with BTC who progressed after platinum-based first-line therapy, highlight its therapeutic potential [162], which is at present under evaluation either as monotherapy (NCT03833661) or in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy (NCT04066491).

Poly adenosine diphosphate-ribose polymerase inhibitors (PARPi) represent a novel class of targeted cancer therapy, by exploiting synthetic lethality in tumours which harbour germline and somatic alterations in DNA damage repair (DDR) genes; whereas their combination with immune-oncology appears to be a promising treatment approach for solid tumors [163]. The biological rationale behind their synergistic effects has been thoroughly determined; DDR gene aberrations have been associated with genomic instability, immunomodulation of TME, and increased tumor mutational burden (TMB) [164–169]. DDR mutations have been observed in 28.9–63.5% of BTC, with BRCA mutations occur-ring in less than 7% of these patients [170–172]. Currently, two early-phase trials (NCT03639935, NCT03991832) are underway to evaluate the efficacy from the combination of PARPi and ICI treatment and uncover the patient population which will potentially derive the greatest benefit from this combo.

3.2. Cancer Vaccines

TAAs, normally expressed in tumors, represent theoretically ideal targets for cancer vaccines, since they allow specific T cell responses. However, loss or downregulation of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules (MHC-I or HLA-I), by which tumor antigens are presented to CTLs, are among the T cell immunosurveillance mechanisms [173]. In iCC, derangements in HLA-I have been associated with more advanced stages, despite the underlying mechanism has not yet been elucidated [141]. Various TAAs, like Wilms' tumor gene 1 (WT1) and mucin 1 (MUC1), have been studied as potential targets in BTC patients [174–178]. Both of them have been reported in approximately 80–85% of iCC cases and are of negative prognostic value [177,178].

In a Japanese open-label, dose-escalation, phase I trial, which enrolled 4 patients with iCC, the combination of WT1 peptide vaccine and gencitabine resulted in a median OS of 9.5 months, with good tolerability [179]. The researchers now investigate in a randomized phase II study the combination of WT1 vaccine with gencitabine and cisplatin as first-line treatment in patients with unresectable or recurrent BTC [180].

Yamamoto et al., had accrued patients with mixed gastrointestinal tract cancers (pancreatic and BTC) in a phase I trial of vaccination with MUC1 peptides and incomplete Freund's adjuvant (Montanide ISA51), which failed to provide survival benefit [181]. Similarly, Lepisto et al. conducted a phase I/II trial of vaccination with DCs loaded with MUC1, as adjuvant therapy in 10 patients with early-stage pancreatic cancer and two with stage II iCC. One of the two iCC patients remained free of recurrence [182]. These small-scale trials proved the satisfactory profile of the vaccines, even if the survival data were rather inconclusive.

Promising results have been obtained by a case report of a female patient with advanced iCC, repeatedly operated for disease recurrences, whereas her immunization with a personalized multi-peptide vaccine, targeting tumor mutations presented by HLA-I, conferred durable response [183]. Another phase II trial of personalized peptide vaccine evaluated the feasibility of HLA-matched vaccine peptides in six iCC patients and proved that low levels of IL-6 were significantly associated with improved OS (hazard ratio = 1.123; 95% CI 1.008–1.252; p = 0.035) [184]. Based on this result, the same group had started an early phase trial to examine whether blockade of IL-6-mediated inflammation with tocilizumab could enhance the immune responses after personalized peptide vaccination in advanced BTC patients [185,186].

In a single-arm phase II trial, combination of gemcitabine plus elpamotide (an HLA-A* 24:02-restricted epitope peptide of VEGFR-2, which induces CTL responses) in 54 patients with unresectable or recurrent BTC demonstrated moderate antitumor effect, response

rate of 18.5%, and median OS of 10.1 months in comparison with the 7.6 months of the historical control [187].

Tumor lysate-based DCs cancer vaccines remain under clinical investigation, yet early efficacy has been demonstrated in in-vitro studies [188]. In an early phase trial, the autologous tumor lysate-pulsed DCs injection and the following transfer of CD3-activated T cells in postoperative patients with iCC led to improved PFS and OS, compared to the control group who received surgery alone (18.3 versus 7.7 months; p = 0.005 and 31.9 versus 17.4 months; p = 0.022, respectively) [189]. We still await the results of two recently completed phase I clinical trials for DC-based immunotherapy in BTC (NCT00027534, NCT00004604).

3.3. Adoptive Cell Therapy (ACT)

Adoptive cell therapy with (a) autologous TILs infusion or (b) genetically modified T cells to express either T cell receptor (TCR) or chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) represent another therapeutic strategy to manipulate the immune system to recognize and destroy tumor cells, with undeniable results seen in melanoma patients [190]. In the case of BTC, ACT has been limited to small case-series, case reports, and single-arm early phase studies.

Back in 2006, a patient with operable, node-positive iCC received adjuvant CD3activated T cells and tumor lysate- or peptide-pulsed DCs, and survived 3.5 years [191]. ACT of TILs containing CD4+ erbb2 interacting protein (ERBB2IP) mutation-reactive T cells generated promising results in a patient with metastatic cholangiocarcinoma; the patient achieved a 30% decrease in tumor lesions, with prolonged disease stabilization of 13 months, while the rechallenge with a second T cell infusion resulted in further response [192]. Recently, a phase I trial demonstrated encouraging results of clinical activity of CAR-T cell immunotherapy targeting human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in 11 patients with advanced BTC and pancreatic cancers [193].

4. Conclusions

The role of immunotherapy in iCC is currently investigational and the results of ongoing studies are eagerly anticipated. Based on promising results from several solid tumors clinical trials, it will hopefully increment patient outcomes in cases of advanced disease. This rapidly transformational landscape of immune-oncology in cholangiocarcinoma poses several challenges. In order to consider this advancing therapeutic strategy in the exquisitely heterogeneous iCC, a deeper, rudimentary, understanding of the cellular diversity of its constantly evolving TME is fairly crucial. The milieu of tumor and immune cells, along with vasculature, extracellular matrix, and signaling molecules regulate immune responses and influence immunotherapy's efficacy. The modulation of the crosstalk between iCC and TME, by targeting the activation of these cells, in combination with ICIs represents an attractive therapeutic prospective [194,195].

The next frontier of this emerging field is the development of combinational therapeutic approaches. Such approaches, constituting of ICIs along with chemotherapy and/or targeted therapy, have garnered clinical research interest, as they represent appealing strategies for enhanced efficacy, by overcoming both primary and acquired resistance. The rational design of preclinical research to benchmark the drug combinations, side-by-side, against monotherapies and/or other combinations will confer important insights into the degree of synergy [196]. Last but not least, the lack of validated predictive biomarkers, in order to determine the patients' sub-cohorts which will derive benefit from immunotherapy, represents a crucial challenge and should be underscored. To date, no single biomarker, including TME, MMR/MSI status, TMB, and PD-L1, has proved efficient to predict response to immune-targeted therapies and guide treatment decisions in iCC clinical settings [45].

Though representing a relatively young field, immunotherapy in iCC is burgeoning, with several gaps that need to be filled. It is of the utmost importance to fully decipher the underpinnings of the optimal antitumor immune response, at both cellular and molecular levels, in the effort to optimize its efficacy against this challenging malignancy.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.L. and F.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, O.F. and I.N.-S.; writing—review and editing, M.G., M.L., K.K., M.A.D. and F.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This review article received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Komuta, M.; Yeh, M.M. A Review on the Update of Combined Hepatocellular Cholangiocarcinoma. *Semin. Liver Dis.* **2020**, *40*, 124–130. [CrossRef]
- 2. Patel, T. Increasing incidence and mortality of primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the United States. *Hepatology* **2001**, *33*, 1353–1357. [CrossRef]
- Stavraka, C.; Rush, H.; Ross, P. Combined hepatocellular cholangiocarcinoma (cHCC-CC): An update of genetics, molecular biology, and therapeutic interventions. *J. Hepatocell. Carcinoma* 2018, *6*, 11–21. [CrossRef]
- 4. Leoni, S.; Sansone, V.; Lorenzo, S.; Ielasi, L.; Tovoli, F.; Renzulli, M.; Golfieri, R.; Spinelli, D.; Piscaglia, F. Treatment of Combined Hepatocellular and Cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 794. [CrossRef]
- 5. Shaib, Y.H.; A Davila, J.; McGlynn, K.; El-Serag, H.B. Rising incidence of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the United States: A true increase? *J. Hepatol.* 2004, 40, 472–477. [CrossRef]
- 6. West, J.; Wood, H.; A Logan, R.F.; Quinn, M.; Aithal, G.P. Trends in the incidence of primary liver and biliary tract cancers in England and Wales 1971–2001. *Br. J. Cancer* 2006, *94*, 1751–1758. [CrossRef]
- Sirica, A.E. The role of cancer-associated myofibroblasts in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2011, 9, 44–54. [CrossRef]
- 8. Sirica, A.E.; Gores, G.J.; Groopman, J.D.; Selaru, F.M.; Strazzabosco, M.; Wang, X.W.; Zhu, A.X. Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Continuing Challenges and Translational Advances. *Hepatology* **2019**, *69*, 1803–1815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Brivio, S.; Cadamuro, M.; Strazzabosco, M.; Fabris, L. Tumor reactive stroma in cholangiocarcinoma: The fuel behind cancer aggressiveness. *World J. Hepatol.* 2017, *9*, 455–468. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gentilini, A.; Pastore, M.; Marra, F.; Raggi, C. The Role of Stroma in Cholangiocarcinoma: The Intriguing Interplay between Fibroblastic Component, Immune Cell Subsets and Tumor Epithelium. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 2885. [CrossRef]
- 11. Minicozzi, P.; Cassetti, T.; Vener, C.; Sant, M. Analysis of incidence, mortality and survival for pancreatic and biliary tract cancers across Europe, with assessment of influence of revised European age standardisation on estimates. *Cancer Epidemiol.* **2018**, *55*, 52–60. [CrossRef]
- 12. Massarweh, N.N.; El-Serag, H.B. Epidemiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Control* **2017**, 24, 1073274817729245. [CrossRef]
- Clements, O.; Eliahoo, J.; Kim, J.U.; Taylor-Robinson, S.D.; Khan, S.A. Risk factors for intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Hepatol.* 2020, 72, 95–103. [CrossRef]
- 14. Personeni, N.; Lleo, A.; Pressiani, T.; Colapietro, F.; Openshaw, M.R.; Stavraka, C.; Pouptsis, A.; Pinato, D.J.; Rimassa, L. Biliary Tract Cancers: Molecular Heterogeneity and New Treatment Options. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 3370. [CrossRef]
- Goeppert, B.; Frauenschuh, L.; Zucknick, M.; Stenzinger, A.; Andrulis, M.; Klauschen, F.; Joehrens, K.; Warth, A.; Renner, M.; Mehrabi, A.; et al. Prognostic impact of tumour-infiltrating immune cells on biliary tract cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2013, 109, 2665–2674. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wu, L.; Tsilimigras, D.I.; Paredes, A.Z.; Mehta, R.; Hyer, J.M.; Merath, K.; Sahara, K.; Bagante, F.; Beal, E.W.; Shen, F.; et al. Trends in the Incidence, Treatment and Outcomes of Patients with Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma in the USA: Facility Type is Associated with Margin Status, Use of Lymphadenectomy and Overall Survival. *World J. Surg.* **2019**, *43*, 1777–1787. [CrossRef]
- 17. Mazzaferro, V.; Gorgen, A.; Roayaie, S.; dit Busset, M.D.; Sapisochin, G. Liver resection and transplantation for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J. Hepatol.* **2020**, *72*, 364–377. [CrossRef]
- Shroff, R.T.; Kennedy, E.B.; Bachini, M.; Bekaii-Saab, T.; Crane, C.; Edeline, J.; El-Khoueiry, A.; Feng, M.; Katz, M.H.; Primrose, J.; et al. Adjuvant Therapy for Resected Biliary Tract Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 37, 1015–1027. [CrossRef]
- 19. Primrose, J.N.; Fox, R.P.; Palmer, D.H.; Malik, H.Z.; Prasad, R.; Mirza, D.; Anthony, A.; Corrie, P.; Falk, S.; Finch-Jones, M.; et al. Capecitabine compared with observation in resected biliary tract cancer (BILCAP): A randomised, controlled, multicentre, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2019**, *20*, 663–673. [CrossRef]
- 20. Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Tsilimigras, D.I.; Gavriatopoulou, M.; Schizas, D.; Pawlik, T.M. Cholangiocarcinoma: Investigations into pathway-targeted therapies. *Expert Rev. Anticancer. Ther.* **2020**, *20*, 765–773. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, A.; Dwary, A.D.; Mohanti, B.K.; Deo, S.V.; Pal, S.; Sreenivas, V.; Raina, V.; Shukla, N.K.; Thulkar, S.; Garg, P.; et al. Best supportive care compared with chemotherapy for unresectable gall bladder cancer: A randomized controlled study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2010, *28*, 4581–4586. [CrossRef]

- Valle, J.; Wasan, H.; Palmer, D.H.; Cunningham, D.; Anthoney, A.; Maraveyas, A.; Madhusudan, S.; Iveson, T.; Hughes, S.; Pereira, S.P.; et al. Cisplatin plus Gemcitabine versus Gemcitabine for Biliary Tract Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2010, 362, 1273–1281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Okusaka, T.; Nakachi, K.; Fukutomi, A.; Mizuno, N.; Ohkawa, S.; Funakoshi, A.; Nagino, M.; Kondo, S.; Nagaoka, S.; Funai, J.; et al. Gemcitabine alone or in combination with cisplatin in patients with biliary tract cancer: A comparative multicentre study in Japan. *Br. J. Cancer* 2010, *103*, 469–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morizane, C.; Okusaka, T.; Mizusawa, J.; Katayama, H.; Ueno, M.; Ikeda, M.; Ozaka, M.; Okano, N.; Sugimori, K.; Fukutomi, A.; et al. Combination gemcitabine plus S-1 versus gemcitabine plus cisplatin for advanced/recurrent biliary tract cancer: The FUGA-BT (JCOG1113) randomized phase III clinical trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2019, *30*, 1950–1958. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Malenica, I.; Donadon, M.; Lleo, A. Molecular and Immunological Characterization of Biliary Tract Cancers: A Paradigm Shift towards a Personalized Medicine. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 2190. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lamarca, A.; Palmer, D.H.; Wasan, H.S.; Ross, P.J.; Ma, Y.T.; Arora, A.; Falk, S.; Gillmore, R.; Wadsley, J.; Patel, K.; et al. ABC-06. A randomised phase III, multi-centre, open-label study of active symptom control (ASC) alone or ASC with oxaliplatin/5-FU chemotherapy (ASC + mFOLFOX) for patients (pts) with locally advanced / metastatic biliary tract cancers (ABC) previously-treated with cisplatin/gemcitabine (CisGem) chemotherapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019, *37* (Suppl. 15), 4003.
- Jusakul, A.; Cutcutache, I.; Yong, C.H.; Lim, J.Q.; Ni Huang, M.; Padmanabhan, N.; Nellore, V.; Kongpetch, S.; Ng, A.W.T.; Ng, L.M.; et al. Whole-Genome and Epigenomic Landscapes of Etiologically Distinct Subtypes of Cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov.* 2017, 7, 1116–1135. [CrossRef]
- Farshidfar, F.; Zheng, S.; Gingras, M.-C.; Newton, Y.; Shih, J.; Robertson, A.G.; Hinoue, T.; Hoadley, K.A.; Gibb, E.A.; Roszik, J.; et al. Integrative Genomic Analysis of Cholangiocarcinoma Identifies Distinct IDH-Mutant Molecular Profiles. *Cell Rep.* 2017, 18, 2780–2794. [CrossRef]
- 29. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Electronic address: wheeler@bcm.edu; Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive and Integrative Genomic Characterization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cell* **2017**, *169*, 1327–1341. [CrossRef]
- 30. Available online: www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-pemigatinibcholangiocarcinoma-fgfr2-rearrangement-or-fusion (accessed on 17 April 2020).
- 31. Available online: www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/pemazyre (accessed on 28 January 2021).
- Abou-Alfa, G.K.; Sahai, V.; Hollebecque, A.; Vaccaro, F.; Melisi, D.; Al-Rajabi, R.; Paulson, A.S.; Borad, M.J.; Gallinson, D.; Murphu, A.G.; et al. Pemigatinib for previously treated, locally advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma: A multicentre, open-label, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020, 21, 671–684. [CrossRef]
- Drilon, A.; Laetsch, T.W.; Kummar, S.; DuBois, S.G.; Lassen, U.N.; Demetri, G.D.; Nathenson, M.; Doebele, R.C.; Farago, A.F.; Pappo, A.S.; et al. Efficacy of Larotrectinib in TRK fusion-positive cancers in adults and children. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2018, 378, 731–739. [CrossRef]
- 34. Subbiah, V.; Lassen, U.; Élez, E.; Italiano, A.; Curigliano, G.; Javle, M.; de Braud, F.; Prager, G.W.; Greil, R.; Stein, A.; et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with BRAFV600E-mutated biliary tract cancer (ROAR): A phase 2, open-label, single-arm, multicentre basket trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020, 21, 1234–1243. [CrossRef]
- 35. Lubner, S.J.; Mahoney, M.R.; Kolesar, J.L.; LoConte, N.K.; Kim, G.P.; Pitot, H.C.; Philip, P.A.; Picus, J.; Yong, W.-P.; Horvath, L.; et al. Report of a Multicenter Phase II Trial Testing a Combination of Biweekly Bevacizumab and Daily Erlotinib in Patients with Unresectable Biliary Cancer: A Phase II Consortium Study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2010**, *28*, 3491–3497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Zhu, A.X.; Macarulla, T.; Javle, M.M.; Kelley, R.K.; Lubner, S.J.; Adeva, J.; Cleary, J.M.; Catenacci, D.V.; Borad, M.J.; Bridgewater, J.A.; et al. Final results from ClarIDHy, a global, phase III, randomized, double-blind study of ivosidenib (IVO) versus placebo (PBO) in patients (pts) with previously treated cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) and an isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) mutation. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2021, 39, 266. [CrossRef]
- Vogel, A.; Bathon, M.; Saborowski, A. Immunotherapies in clinical development for biliary tract cancer. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* 2020, 31, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rizzo, A.; Ricci, A.D.; Brandi, G. Recent advances of immunotherapy for biliary tract cancer. *Expert Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2021, *8*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 39. Robert, C. A decade of immune-checkpoint inhibitors in cancer therapy. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 3801. [CrossRef]
- 40. Hellmann, M.D.; Friedman, C.F.; Wolchok, J.D. Combinatorial Cancer Immunotherapies. *Adv. Immunol.* 2016, 130, 251–277. [CrossRef]
- 41. Koury, J.; Lucero, M.; Cato, C.; Chang, L.; Geiger, J.; Henry, D.; Hernandez, J.; Hung, F.; Kaur, P.; Teskey, G.; et al. Immunotherapies: Exploiting the Immune System for Cancer Treatment. *J. Immunol. Res.* **2018**, 2018, 1–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Jin, M.-Z.; Jin, W.-L. The updated landscape of tumor microenvironment and drug repurposing. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2020**, *5*, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Byrne, K.T.; Yan, F.; Yamazoe, T.; Chen, Z.; Baslan, T.; Richman, L.P.; Lin, J.H.; Sun, Y.H.; Rech, A.J.; et al. Tumor Cell-Intrinsic Factors Underlie Heterogeneity of Immune Cell Infiltration and Response to Immunotherapy. *Immunity* 2018, 49, 178–193.e7. [CrossRef]
- 44. Galon, J.; Bruni, D. Approaches to treat immune hot, altered and cold tumours with combination immunotherapies. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2019**, *18*, 197–218. [CrossRef]

- 45. Rizzo, A.; Ricci, A.D.; Brandi, G. PD-L1, TMB, MSI, and Other Predictors of Response toImmune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Biliary Tract Cancer. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Job, S.; Rapoud, D.; Dos Santos, A.; Gonzalez, P.; Desterke, C.; Pascal, G.; Elarouci, N.; Ayadi, M.; Adam, R.; Azoulay, D.; et al. Identification of Four Immune Subtypes Characterized by Distinct Composition and Functions of Tumor Microenvironment in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 2020, 72, 965–981. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Sato, Y.; Kinoshita, M.; Takemura, S.; Tanaka, S.; Hamano, G.; Nakamori, S.; Fujikawa, M.; Sugawara, Y.; Yamamoto, T.; Arimoto, A.; et al. The PD-1/PD-L1 axis may be aberrantly activated in occupational cholangiocarcinoma. *Pathol. Int.* **2017**, *67*, 163–170. [CrossRef]
- Sato, Y.; Tanaka, S.; Kinoshita, M.; Takemura, S.; Shinkawa, H.; Kokudo, T.; Hasegawa, K.; Tanaka, H.; Yoshimoto, H.; Mori, A.; et al. Immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in occupational cholangiocarcinoma: Supportive evidence for the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *J. Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci.* 2020, 27, 860–869. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Banales, J.M.; Marin, J.J.G.; Lamarca, A.; Rodrigues, P.M.; Khan, S.A.; Roberts, L.R.; Cardinale, V.; Carpino, G.; Andersen, J.B.; Braconi, C.; et al. Cholangiocarcinoma 2020: The next horizon in mechanisms and management. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2020, 17, 577–588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fabris, L.; Perugorria, M.J.; Mertens, J.; Björkström, N.K.; Cramer, T.; Lleo, A.; Solinas, A.; Sänger, H.; Lukacs-Kornek, V.; Moncsek, A.; et al. The tumour microenvironment and immune milieu of cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Int.* 2019, 39 (Suppl. 1), 63–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Cadamuro, M.; Brivio, S.; Spirli, C.; Joplin, R.E.; Strazzabosco, M.; Fabris, L. Autocrine and Paracrine Mechanisms Promoting Chemoresistance in Cholangiocarcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, *18*, 149. [CrossRef]
- 52. Sirica, A.E.; Campbell, D.J.; Dumur, C.I. Cancer-associated fibroblasts in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.* 2011, 27, 276–284. [CrossRef]
- 53. Chuaysri, C.; Thuwajit, P.; Paupairoj, A.; Chau-In, S.; Suthiphongchai, T.; Thuwajit, C. Alpha-smooth muscle actin-positive fibroblasts promote biliary cell proliferation and correlate with poor survival in cholangiocarcinoma. *Oncol. Rep.* **2009**, *21*, 957–969. [PubMed]
- 54. Quail, D.F.; Joyce, J.A. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and metastasis. *Nat. Med.* **2013**, *19*, 1423–1437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Cadamuro, M.; Stecca, T.; Brivio, S.; Mariotti, V.; Fiorotto, R.; Spirli, C.; Strazzabosco, M.; Fabris, L. The deleterious interplay between tumor epithelia and stroma in cholangiocarcinoma. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Mol. Basis Dis.* **2018**, *1864*, 1435–1443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rimassa, L.; Personeni, N.; Aghemo, A.; Lleo, A. The immune milieu of cholangiocarcinoma: From molecular pathogenesis to precision medicine. J. Autoimmun. 2019, 100, 17–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Vaquero, J.; Aoudjehane, L.; Fouassier, L. Cancer-associated fibroblasts in cholangiocarcinoma. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.* **2020**, *36*, 63–69. [CrossRef]
- Vaquero, J.; Guedj, N.; Clapéron, A.; Ho-Bouldoires, T.H.N.; Paradis, V.; Fouassier, L. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cholangiocarcinoma: From clinical evidence to regulatory networks. *J. Hepatol.* 2017, 66, 424–441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Okabe, H.; Beppu, T.; Hayashi, H.; Horino, K.; Masuda, T.; Komori, H.; Ishikawa, S.; Watanabe, M.; Takamori, H.; Iyama, K.; et al. Hepatic stellate cells may relate to progression of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2009, *16*, 2555–2564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Scholten, D.; Österreicher, C.H.; Scholten, A.; Iwaisako, K.; Gu, G.; Brenner, D.A.; Kisseleva, T. Genetic Labeling Does Not Detect Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition of Cholangiocytes in Liver Fibrosis in Mice. *Gastroenterology* **2010**, *139*, 987–998. [CrossRef]
- 61. Chu, A.S.; Diaz, R.; Hui, J.J.; Yanger, K.; Zong, Y.; Alpini, G.; Stanger, B.Z.; Wells, R.G. Lineage tracing demonstrates no evidence of cholangiocyte epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in murine models of hepatic fibrosis. *Hepatology* **2011**, *53*, 1685–1695. [CrossRef]
- 62. Høgdall, D.; Lewinska, M.; Andersen, J.B. Desmoplastic Tumor Microenvironment and Immunotherapy in Cholangiocarcinoma. *Trends Cancer* **2018**, *4*, 239–255. [CrossRef]
- 63. Acerbi, I.; Cassereau, L.; Dean, I.; Shi, Q.; Au, A.; Park, C.; Chen, Y.Y.; Liphardt, J.; Hwang, E.S.; Weaver, V.M. Human breast cancer invasion and aggression correlates with ECM stiffening and immune cell infiltration. *Integr. Biol.* 2015, *7*, 1120–1134. [CrossRef]
- 64. Ma, L.; Hernandez, M.O.; Zhao, Y.; Mehta, M.; Tran, B.; Kelly, M.; Rae, Z.; Hernandez, J.M.; Davis, J.L.; Martin, S.P.; et al. Tumor Cell Biodiversity Drives Microenvironmental Reprogramming in Liver Cancer. *Cancer Cell* **2019**, *36*, 418–430. [CrossRef]
- 65. Yang, X.; Lin, Y.; Shi, Y.; Li, B.; Liu, W.; Dang, Y.; Chu, Y.; Fan, J.; He, R. FAP promotes immunosuppression by cancer-associated fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment via STAT3-CCL2 signaling. *Cancer Res.* **2016**, *76*, 4124–4135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheng, J.-T.; Deng, Y.-N.; Yi, H.-M.; Wang, G.-Y.; Fu, B.-S.; Chen, W.-J.; Liu, W.; Tai, Y.; Peng, Y.-W.; Zhang, Q. Hepatic carcinomaassociated fibroblasts induce IDO-producing regulatory dendritic cells through IL-6-mediated STAT3 activation. *Oncogenesis* 2016, 5, e198. [CrossRef]
- Thanee, M.; Loilome, W.; Techasen, A.; Namwat, N.; Boonmars, T.; Pairojkul, C.; Yonhvanit, P. Quantitative changes in tumorassociated M2 macrophages characterize cholangiocarcinoma and their association with metastasis. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2015, *16*, 3043–3050. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Hasita, H.; Komohara, Y.; Okabe, H.; Masuda, T.; Ohnishi, K.; Lei, X.F.; Beppu, T.; Baba, H.; Takeya, M. Significance of alternatively activated macrophages in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Sci.* **2010**, *101*, 1913–1919. [CrossRef]

- Sato, K.; Hall, C.; Glaser, S.; Francis, H.; Meng, F.; Alpini, G. Pathogenesis of Kupffer Cells in Cholestatic Liver Injury. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2016, 186, 2238–2247. [CrossRef]
- Yuan, D.; Huang, S.; Berger, E.; Liu, L.; Gross, N.; Heinzmann, F.; Ringelhan, M.; Connor, T.O.; Stadler, M.; Meister, M.; et al. Kupffer Cell-Derived Tnf Triggers Cholangiocellular Tumorigenesis through JNK due to Chronic Mitochondrial Dysfunction and ROS. *Cancer Cell* 2017, *31*, 771–789.e6. [CrossRef]
- Sato, K.; Meng, F.; Giang, T.; Glaser, S.; Alpini, G. Mechanisms of cholangiocyte responses to injury. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)* Mol. Basis Dis. 2018, 1864, 1262–1269. [CrossRef]
- 72. Mantovani, A.; Germano, G.; Marchesi, F.; Locatelli, M.; Biswas, S.K. Cancer-promoting tumor-associated macrophages: New vistas and open questions. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 2011, *41*, 2522–2525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Raggi, C.; Correnti, M.; Sica, A.; Andersen, J.B.; Cardinale, V.; Alvaro, D.; Chiorino, G.; Forti, E.; Glaser, S.; Alpini, G.; et al. Cholangiocarcinoma stem-like subset shapes tumor-initiating niche by educating associated macrophages. *J. Hepatol.* 2017, 66, 102–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Henze, A.-T.; Mazzone, M. The impact of hypoxia on tumor-associated macrophages. J. Clin. Investig. 2016, 126, 3672–3679. [CrossRef]
- Boulter, L.; Guest, R.V.; Kendall, T.J.; Wilson, D.H.; Wojtacha, D.; Robson, A.J.; Ridgway, R.A.; Samuel, K.; Van Rooijen, N.; Barry, S.T.; et al. WNT signaling drives cholangiocarcinoma growth and can be pharmacologically inhibited. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2015, 125, 1269–1285. [CrossRef]
- 76. Loilome, W.; Bungkanjana, P.; Techasen, A.; Namwat, N.; Yongvanit, P.; Puapairoj, A.; Khuntikeo, N.; Riggins, G.J. Activated macrophages promote Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in cholangiocarcinoma cells. *Tumour Biol.* **2014**, *35*, 5357–5367. [CrossRef]
- 77. Thongchot, S.; Yongvanit, P.; Loilome, W.; Seubwai, W.; Phunicom, K.; Tassaneeyakul, W.; Pairojkul, C.; Promkotra, W.; Techasen, A.; Namwat, N. High Expression of HIF-1α, BNIP3 and PI3KC3: Hypoxia-Induced Autophagy Predicts Cholangiocarcinoma Survival and Metastasis. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2014, *15*, 5873–5878. [CrossRef]
- Atanasov, G.; Dietel, C.; Feldbrügge, L.; Benzing, C.; Krenzien, F.; Brandl, A.; Mann, E.; Englisch, J.P.; Schierle, K.; Robson, S.C.; et al. Tumor necrosis and infiltrating macrophages predict survival after curative resection for cholangiocarcinoma. *OncoImmunology* 2017, *6*, e1331806. [CrossRef]
- 79. Wongkham, S.; Subimerb, C.; Pinlaor, S.; Khuntikeo, N.; Leelayuwat, C.; Morris, A.; McGrath, M.S. Tissue invasive macrophage density is correlated with prognosis in cholangiocarcinoma. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2010**, *3*, 597–605. [CrossRef]
- 80. Zhou, S.L.; Dai, Z.; Zhou, Z.J.; Chen, Q.; Wang, Z.; Xiao, Y.S.; Hu, Z.Q.; Huang, X.Y.; Yang, G.H.; Shi, Y.H.; et al. CXCL5 contributes to tumor metastasis and recurrence of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma by recruiting infiltrative intratumoral neutrophils. *Carcinogenesis* **2014**, *35*, 597–605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Buettner, S.; Spolverato, G.; Kimbrough, C.W.; Alexandrescu, S.; Marques, H.P.; Lamelas, J.; Aldrighetti, L.; Gamblin, T.C.; Maithel, S.K.; Pulitano, C.; et al. The impact of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio among patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Surgery* 2018, *164*, 411–418. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kitano, Y.; Yamashita, Y.-I.; Yamamura, K.; Arima, K.; Kaida, T.; Miyata, T.; Nakagawa, S.; Mima, K.; Imai, K.; Hashimoto, D.; et al. Effects of Preoperative Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratios on Survival in Patients with Extrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2017, 37, 3229–3237. [CrossRef]
- Kitano, Y.; Okabe, H.; Yamashita, Y.-I.; Nakagawa, S.; Saito, Y.; Umezaki, N.; Tsukamoto, M.; Yamao, T.; Yamamura, K.; Arima, K.; et al. Tumour-infiltrating inflammatory and immune cells in patients with extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Br. J. Cancer* 2018, 118, 171–180. [CrossRef]
- Gu, F.-M.; Gao, Q.; Shi, G.-M.; Zhang, X.; Wang, J.; Jiang, J.-H.; Wang, X.-Y.; Shi, Y.-H.; Ding, Z.-B.; Fangming, G.; et al. Intratumoral IL-17+ Cells and Neutrophils show Strong Prognostic Significance in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2012, 19, 2506–2514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Mao, Z.Y.; Zhu, G.Q.; Xiong, M.; Ren, L.; Bai, L. Prognostic value of neutrophil distribution in cholangiocarcinoma. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2015, 21, 4961–4968. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Shaul, M.E.; Fridlender, Z.G. Tumour-associated neutrophils in patients with cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2019**, *16*, 601–620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Björkström, N.K.; Ljunggren, H.-G.; Michaëlsson, J. Emerging insights into natural killer cells in human peripheral tissues. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2016**, *16*, 310–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Morvan, M.G.; Lanier, L.L. NK cells and cancer: You can teach innate cells new tricks. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2016, 16, 7–19. [CrossRef]
- 89. Jung, I.H.; Kim, D.H.; Yoo, D.K.; Baek, S.Y.; Jeong, S.H.; Jung, D.E.; Park, S.W.; Chung, Y.Y. In vivo study of natural killer (NK) cell cytotoxicity against cholangiocarcinoma in a nude mouse model. *Vivo* **2018**, *32*, 771–781. [CrossRef]
- Luetke-Eversloh, M.; Cicek, B.B.; Siracusa, F.; Thom, J.T.; Hamann, A.; Frischbutter, S.; Baumgrass, R.; Chang, H.D.; Thiel, A.; Dong, J.; et al. NK cells gain higher IFN-gamma competence during terminal differentiation. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 2014, 44, 2074–2084. [CrossRef]
- 91. Vivier, E.; Raulet, D.H.; Moretta, A.; Caligiuri, M.A.; Zitvogel, L.; Lanier, L.L.; Yokoyama, W.M.; Ugolini, S. Innate or Adaptive Immunity? The Example of Natural Killer Cells. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 44–49. [CrossRef]
- 92. Guillerey, C.; Huntington, N.D.; Smyth, C.G.M.J. Targeting natural killer cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Immunol.* 2016, 17, 1025–1036. [CrossRef]

- Fukuda, Y.; Asaoka, T.; Eguchi, H.; Yokota, Y.; Kubo, M.; Kinoshita, M.; Urakawa, S.; Iwagami, Y.; Tomimaru, Y.; Akita, H.; et al. Endogenous CXCL9 affects prognosis by regulating tumor-infiltrating natural killer cells in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2020, 111, 323–333. [CrossRef]
- 94. Morisaki, T.; Umebayashi, M.; Kiyota, A.; Koya, N.; Tanaka, H.; Onishi, H.; Katano, M. Combining cetuximab with killer lymphocytes synergis-tically inhibits human cholangiocarcinoma cells in vitro. *Anticancer Res.* **2012**, *32*, 2249–2256. [PubMed]
- 95. Fridman, W.H.; Pages, F.; Sautes-Fridman, C.; Galon, J. The immune contexture in human tumours: Impact on clinical outcome. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2012**, *12*, 298–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Kasper, H.-U.; Drebber, U.; Stippel, D.L.; Dienes, H.P.; Gillessen, A. Liver tumor infiltrating lymphocytes: Comparison of hepatocellular and cholangiolar carcinoma. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2009**, *15*, 5053–5057. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Salmon, H.; Franciszkiewicz, K.; Damotte, D.; Dieu-Nosjean, M.C.; Validire, P.; Trautmann, A.; Mami-Chouaib, F.; Donnadieu, E. Matrix architecture defines the preferential localization and migration of T cells into the stroma of human lung tumors. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2012, 122, 899–910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Krummel, M.F.; Bartumeus, F.; Gérard, A. T cell migration, search strategies and mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2016, 16, 193–201. [CrossRef]
- Lim, Y.J.; Koh, J.; Kim, K.; Chie, E.K.; Kim, B.; Lee, K.B.; Jang, J.Y.; Kim, S.W.; Oh, D.Y.; Bang, Y.J.; et al. High ratio of programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1)+/CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes identifies a poor prognostic subset of extrahepatic bile duct cancer undergoing surgery plus adjuvant chemoradiotherapy. *Radiother. Oncol.* 2015, 117, 165–170. [CrossRef]
- Miura, T.; Yoshizawa, T.; Hirai, H.; Seino, H.; Morohashi, S.; Wu, Y.; Wakiya, T.; Kimura, N.; Kudo, D.; Ishido, K.; et al. Prognostic Impact of CD163+ Macrophages in Tumor Stroma and CD8+ T-Cells in Cancer Cell Nests in Invasive Extrahepatic Bile Duct Cancer. Anticancer Res. 2017, 37, 183–190. [CrossRef]
- Jonuleit, H.; Schmitt, E.; Schuler, G.; Knop, J.; Enk, A.H. Induction of Interleukin 10–Producing, Nonproliferating Cd4+ T Cells with Regulatory Properties by Repetitive Stimulation with Allogeneic Immature Human Dendritic Cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 2000, 192, 1213–1222. [CrossRef]
- 102. Oshikiri, T.; Miyamoto, M.; Shichinohe, T.; Suzuoki, M.; Hiraoka, K.; Nakakubo, Y.; Shinohara, T.; Itoh, T.; Kondo, S.; Katoh, H. Prognostic value of intratumoral CD8+ T lymphocyte in extrahepatic bile duct carcinoma as essential immune response. *J. Surg. Oncol.* 2003, *84*, 224–228. [CrossRef]
- Takagi, S.; Miyagawa, S.-I.; Ichikawa, E.; Soeda, J.; Miwa, S.; Miyagawa, Y.; Iijima, S.; Noike, T.; Kobayashi, A.; Kawasaki, S. Dendritic cells, T-cell infiltration, and grp94 expression in cholangiocellular carcinoma. *Hum. Pathol.* 2004, 35, 881–886. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Lin, G.; Liu, Y.; Li, S.; Mao, Y.; Wang, J.; Shuang, Z.; Chen, J.; Li, S. Elevated neutrophil-to lymphocyte ratio is an independent poor prognostic factor in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 50963–50971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Montal, R.; Sia, D.; Montironi, C.; Leow, W.Q.; Esteban-Fabró, R.; Pinyol, R.; Torres-Martin, M.; Bassaganyas, L.; Moeini, A.; Peix, J.; et al. Molecular classification and therapeutic targets in extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J. Hepatol.* 2020. [CrossRef]
- 106. Zhu, Y.; Wang, X.Y.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, D.; Dong, J.; Zhang, Z.; Yi, C.H.; Jia, H.L.; Yang, X. Programmed death ligand 1 expression in human intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and its association with prognosis and CD8(+) T-cell immune responses. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 2018, *10*, 4113–4123. [CrossRef]
- 107. Fontugne, J.; Augustin, J.; Pujals, A.; Compagnon, P.; Rousseau, B.; Luciani, A.; Tournigand, C.; Cherqui, D.; Azoulay, D.; Pawlotsky, J.-M.; et al. PD-L1 expression in perihilar and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 24644–24651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Gani, F.; Nagarajan, N.; Kim, Y.; Zhu, Q.; Luan, L.; Bhaijjee, F.; Anders, R.A.; Pawlik, T.M. Program Death 1 Immune Checkpoint and Tumor Microenvironment: Implications for Patients with Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2016, 23, 2610–2617. [CrossRef]
- 109. Jing, C.Y.; Fu, Y.P.; Yi, Y.; Zhang, M.X.; Zheng, S.S.; Huang, J.L.; Gan, W.; Xu, X.; Lin, J.J.; Zhang, J.; et al. HHLA2 in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: An immune checkpoint with prognostic significance and wider expression compared with PD-L1. J. Immunother. Cancer 2019, 7, 77. [CrossRef]
- 110. Kida, A.; Mizukoshi, E.; Tamai, T.; Terashima, T.; Kitahara, M.; Arai, K.; Yamashita, T.; Fushimi, K.; Honda, M.; Kaneko, S. Immune responses against tumour-associated antigen-derived cytotoxic T lymphocyte epitopes in cholangiocarcinoma patients. *Liver Int.* 2018, *38*, 2040–2050. [CrossRef]
- 111. Jeng, Y.M.; Chen, C.L.; Hsu, H.C. Lymphoepithelioma-like cholangiocarcinoma: An Epstein-Barr virus-associated tumor. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **2001**, *25*, 516–520. [CrossRef]
- 112. Ghidini, M.; Cascione, L.; Carotenuto, P.; Lampis, A.; Trevisani, F.; Previdi, M.C.; Hahne, J.C.; Said-Huntingford, I.; Raj, M.; Zerbi, A.; et al. Characterisation of the immune-related transcriptome in resected biliary tract cancers. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2017**, *86*, 158–165. [CrossRef]
- 113. Whiteside, T.L. What are regulatory T cells (Treg) regulating in cancer and why? Semin. Canc. Biol. 2012, 22, 327–334. [CrossRef]
- 114. von Andrian, U.H.; Mempel, T.R. Homing and cellular traffic in lymph nodes. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2003, 3, 867–878. [CrossRef]
- 115. Thepmalee, C.; Panya, A.; Sujjitjoon, J.; Sawasdee, N.; Poungvarin, N.; Junking, M.; Yenchitsomanus, P.T. Suppression of TGF-beta and IL-10 receptors on self-differentiated dendritic cells by short-hairpin RNAs enhanced activation of effector T-cells against cholangiocarcinoma cells. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother.* 2020, 16, 1–10. [CrossRef]

- 116. Martin-Sierra, C.; Martins, R.; Laranjeira, P.; Abrantes, A.M.; Oliveira, R.C.; Tralhão, J.G.; Botelho, M.F.; Furtado, E.; Domingues, R.; Paiva, A. Functional Impairment of Circulating FcepsilonRI(+) Monocytes and Myeloid Dendritic Cells in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Cholangiocarcinoma Patients. *Cytom. B Clin. Cytom.* 2019, *96*, 490–495. [CrossRef]
- 117. Veglia, F.; Perego, M.; Gabrilovich, D. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells coming of age. Nat. Immunol. 2018, 19, 108–119. [CrossRef]
- 118. Lin, Y.; Li, B.; Yang, X.; Cai, Q.; Liu, W.; Tian, M.; Luo, H.; Yin, W.; Song, Y.; Shi, Y.; et al. Fibroblastic FAP promotes intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma growth via MDSCs recruitment. *Neoplasia* 2019, *21*, 1133–1142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Dunne, R.F.; Figueroa, N.; Belt, B.; Findeis-Hosey, J.; Lunt, M.; McMahon, J.Y.; Baran, A.; Shubin, A.; Noel, M.S.; Tejani, M.A.; et al. The role of myeloid derived suppressor cells in cholangiocarcinoma: A potential target for therapy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2016, 34, 273. [CrossRef]
- 120. Tsilimigras, D.I.; Brodt, P.; Clavien, P.A.; Muschel, R.J.; D'Angelica, M.I.; Endo, I.; Parks, R.W.; Doyle, M.; de Santibañes, E.; Pawlik, T.M. Liver metastases. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2021**, *7*, 27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Sirica, A.E.; Almenara, J.A.; Li, C. Periostin in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: Pathobiological insights and clinical implications. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* **2014**, *97*, 515–524. [CrossRef]
- 122. Zheng, Y.; Zhou, C.; Yu, X.X.; Wu, C.; Jia, H.L.; Gao, X.M.; Yang, J.M.; Wang, C.Q.; Luo, Q.; Zhu, Y.; et al. Osteopontin promotes metastasis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma through recruiting MAPK1 and mediating Ser675 phosphorylation of beta-Catenin. *Cell Death Dis.* **2018**, *9*, 179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Laohaviroj, M.; Chamgramol, Y.; Pairojkul, C.; Mulvenna, J.; Sripa, B. Clinicopathological Significance of Osteopontin in Cholangiocarcinoma Cases. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **2016**, *17*, 201–205. [CrossRef]
- 124. Chung, J.W.; Kim, M.S.; Piao, Z.-H.; Jeong, M.; Yoon, S.R.; Shin, N.; Kim, S.Y.; Hwang, E.S.; Yang, Y.; Lee, Y.H.; et al. Osteopontin Promotes the Development of Natural Killer Cells from Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Stem Cells* **2008**, *26*, 2114–2123. [CrossRef]
- 125. Stromnes, I.M.; Goverman, J.M. Osteopontin-induced survival of T cells. Nat. Immunol. 2007, 8, 19–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Sato, K.; Meng, F.; Glaser, S.; Alpini, G. Exosomes in liver pathology. J. Hepatol. 2016, 65, 213–221. [CrossRef]
- 127. Tao, S.C.; Guo, S.C. Extracellular vesicles in bone: "dogrobbers" in the "eternal battle field". *Cell Commun. Signal.* 2019, 17, 6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Braicu, C.; Tomuleasa, C.; Monroig, P.D.C.; Cucuianu, A.; Berindanneagoe, I.; Calin, G.A. Exosomes as divine messengers: Are they the Hermes of modern molecular oncology? *Cell Death Differ.* **2015**, *22*, 34–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Song, W.; Miao, D.-L.; Chen, L. Comprehensive analysis of long noncoding RNA-associated competing endogenous RNA network in cholangiocarcinoma. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2018**, *506*, 1004–1012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 130. Li, Z.; Shen, J.; Chan, M.T.; Wu, W.K.K. The role of microRNAs in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 2017, 21, 177–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Utaijaratrasmi, P.; Vaeteewoottacharn, K.; Tsunematsu, T.; Jamjantra, P.; Wongkham, S.; Pairojkul, C.; Khuntikeo, N.; Ishimaru, N.; Sirivatanauksorn, Y.; Pongpaibul, A.; et al. The microRNA-15a-PAI-2 axis in cholangiocarcinoma-associated fibroblasts promotes migration of cancer cells. *Mol. Cancer* 2018, 17, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, L.; Piontek, K.; Ishida, M.; Fausther, M.; Dranoff, J.A.; Fu, R.; Mezey, E.; Gould, S.J.; Fordjour, F.K.; Meltzer, S.J.; et al. Extracellular vesicles carry microRNA-195 to intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and improve survival in a rat model. *Hepatology* 2017, 65, 501–514. [CrossRef]
- 133. Caligiuri, A.; Pastore, M.; Lori, G.; Raggi, C.; Di Maira, G.; Marra, F.; Gentilini, A. Role of Chemokines in the Biology of Cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancers* 2020, *12*, 2215. [CrossRef]
- 134. Kiefer, F.; Siekmann, A.F. The role of chemokines and their receptors in angiogenesis. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2011, 68, 2811–2830. [CrossRef]
- 135. Steinberg, M.; Silva, M. Plerixafor: A chemokine receptor-4 antagonist for mobilization of hematopoietic stem cells for transplantation after high-dose chemotherapy for non-hodgkin's lymphoma or multiple myeloma. *Clin. Ther.* **2010**, *32*, 821–843. [CrossRef]
- 136. Schott, A.F.; Goldstein, L.J.; Cristofanilli, M.; Ruffini, P.A.; McCanna, S.; Reuben, J.M.; Perez, R.P.; Kato, G.; Wicha, M. Phase Ib Pilot Study to Evaluate Reparixin in Combination with Weekly Paclitaxel in Patients with HER-2–Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, 23, 5358–5365. [CrossRef]
- 137. Melero, I.; Berman, D.M.; Aznar, M.A.; Korman, A.J.; Gracia, J.L.P.; Haanen, J.B.A.G. Evolving synergistic combinations of targeted immunotherapies to combat cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2015, *15*, 457–472. [CrossRef]
- Moris, D.; Rahnemai-Azar, A.A.; Zhang, X.; Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I.; Tsilimigras, D.I.; Chakedis, J.; Argyrou, C.; Fung, J.J.; Pawlik, T.M. Program death-1 immune checkpoint and tumor microenvironment in malignant liver tumors. *Surg. Oncol.* 2017, 26, 423–430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goeppert, B.; Frauenschuh, L.; Zucknick, M.; Roessler, S.; Mehrabi, A.; Hafezi, M.; Stenzinger, A.; Warth, A.; Pathil, A.; Renner, M.; et al. Major histocompatibility complex class I expression impacts on patient survival and type and density of immune cells in biliary tract cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2015, *113*, 1343–1349. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 140. Nakamura, H.; Arai, Y.; Totoki, Y.; Shirota, T.; ElZawahry, A.; Kato, M.; Hama, N.; Hosoda, F.; Urushidate, T.; Ohashi, S.; et al. Genomic spectra of biliary tract cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **2015**, *47*, 1003–1010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 141. Sabbatino, F.; Villani, V.; Yearley, J.H.; Deshpande, V.; Cai, L.; Konstantinidis, I.T.; Moon, C.; Nota, S.P.F.T.; Wang, Y.; Al-Sukaini, A.; et al. PD-L1 and HLA Class I Antigen Expression and Clinical Course of the Disease in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2016, 22, 470–478. [CrossRef]

- 142. Ma, C.; Peng, C.; Lu, X.; Ding, X.; Zhang, S.; Zou, X.; Zhang, X. Downregulation of FOXP3 inhibits invasion and immune escape in cholangiocarcinoma Biochem. *Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2015**, *458*, 234–239. [CrossRef]
- 143. Pardoll, D.M. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2012, 12, 252–264. [CrossRef]
- 144. Cameron, F.; Whiteside, G.; Perry, C. Ipilimumab: First global approval. *Drugs* **2011**, *71*, 1093–1104. [CrossRef]
- 145. Shih, K.; Arkenau, H.-T.; Infante, J.R. Clinical Impact of Checkpoint Inhibitors as Novel Cancer Therapies. *Drugs* 2014, 74, 1993–2013. [CrossRef]
- 146. The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine. 2018. Available online: https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/2018/press-release/ (accessed on 1 October 2018).
- 147. Le, D.T.; Durham, J.N.; Smith, K.N.; Wang, H.; Bartlett, B.R.; Aulakh, L.K.; Lu, S.; Kemberling, H.; Wilt, C.; Luber, B.S.; et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. *Science* 2017, 357, 409–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Le, D.T.; Uram, J.N.; Wang, H.; Bartlett, B.R.; Kemberling, H.; Eyring, A.D.; Skora, A.D.; Luber, B.S.; Azad, N.S.; Laheru, D.; et al. PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *372*, 2509–2520. [CrossRef]
- 149. Shigeyasu, K.; Tanakaya, K.; Nagasaka, T.; Aoki, H.; Fujiwara, T.; Sugano, K.; Ishikawa, H.; Yoshida, T.; Moriya, Y.; Furukawa, Y.; et al. Early detection of metachronous bile duct cancer in Lynch syndrome: Report of a case. *Surg. Today* 2014, 44, 1975–1981. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 150. Kim, R.; Coppola, D.; Wang, E.; Chang, Y.D.; Kim, Y.; Anaya, D.; Kim, D.W. Prognostic value of CD8CD45RO tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in patients with extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Oncotarget* **2018**, *9*, 23366–23372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 151. Li, M.; Liu, F.; Zhang, F.; Zhou, W.; Jiang, X.; Yang, Y.; Qu, K.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Q.; Wang, T.; et al. Genomic ERBB2/ERBB3 mutations promote PD-L1-mediated immune escape in gallbladder cancer: A whole-exome sequencing analysis. *Gut* **2019**, *68*, 1024–1033. [CrossRef]
- 152. Santana-Davila, R.; Bhatia, S.; Chow, L.Q.M. Harnessing the Immune System as a Therapeutic Tool in Virus-Associated Cancers. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017, *3*, 106–112. [CrossRef]
- 153. Ueno, M.; Chung, H.; Nagrial, A.; Marabelle, A.; Kelley, R.; Xu, L.; Mahoney, J.; Pruitt, S.; Oh, D.-Y. Pembrolizumab for advanced biliary adenocarcinoma: Results from the multicohort, phase II KEYNOTE-158 study. *Ann. Oncol.* **2018**, 29, viii210. [CrossRef]
- 154. Bang, Y.-J.; Ueno, M.; Malka, D.; Chung, H.C.; Nagrial, A.; Kelley, R.K.; Piha-Paul, S.A.; Ros, W.; Italiano, A.; Nakagawa, K.; et al. Pembrolizumab (pembro) for advanced biliary adenocarcinoma: Results from the KEYNOTE-028 (KN028) and KEYNOTE-158 (KN158) basket studies. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 37, 4079. [CrossRef]
- 155. Lwin, Z.; Gomez-Roca, C.; Saada-Bouzid, E.; Yanez, E.; Longo Muñoz, F.; Im, S.; Castanon, E.; Senellart, H.; Graham, D.; Voss, M.; et al. LEAP-005: Phase II study of Lenvatinib (len) plus pembrolizumab (pembro) in patients (pts) with previously treated advanced solid tumors. *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, *31*, S1142–S1215. [CrossRef]
- 156. Kim, R.D.; Chung, V.; Alese, O.B.; El-Rayes, B.F.; Li, D.; Al-Toubah, T.E.; Schell, M.J.; Zhou, J.-M.; Mahipal, A.; Kim, B.H.; et al. A Phase 2 Multi-institutional Study of Nivolumab for Patients with Advanced Refractory Biliary Tract Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* 2020, 6, 888. [CrossRef]
- 157. Chen, W.X.; Li, G.X.; Hu, Z.N.; Zhu, P.; Zhang, B.X.; Ding, Z.Y. Significant response to anti-PD-1 based immunotherapy plus lenvatinib for recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with bone metastasis: A case report and literature review. *Medicine* **2019**, *98*, e17832. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 158. Ueno, M.; Ikeda, M.; Morizane, C.; Kobayashi, S.; Ohno, I.; Kondo, S.; Okano, N.; Kimura, K.; Asada, S.; Namba, Y.; et al. Nivolumab alone or in combination with cisplatin plus gemcitabine in Japanese patients with unresectable or recurrent biliary tract cancer: A non-randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 1 study. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2019**, *4*, 611–621. [CrossRef]
- 159. Klein, O.; Kee, D.; Nagrial, A.; Markman, B.; Underhill, C.; Michael, M.; Jackett, L.; Lum, C.; Behren, A.; Palmer, J.; et al. Evaluation of Combination Nivolumab and Ipilimumab Im-munotherapy in Patients with Advanced Biliary Tract Cancers: Subgroup Analysis of a Phase 2 Nonrandomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol.* **2020**, *6*, 1405–1409. [CrossRef]
- 160. Oh, D.Y.; Lee, K.H.; Lee, D.W.; Yong Kim, T.; Bang, J.H.; Nam, A.R.; Lee, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Rebelatto, M.; Li, W.; et al. Phase II study assessing tolerability, efficacy, and biomarkers for durvalumab, tremelimumab and gemcitabine/cisplatin in chemo-naïve advanced biliary tract cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 38 (Suppl. 15), 4520. [CrossRef]
- 161. Lind, H.; Gameiro, S.R.; Jochems, C.; Donahue, R.N.; Strauss, J.; Gulley, J.L.M.D.; Palena, C.; Schlom, J. Dual targeting of TGF-β and PD-L1 via a bifunctional anti-PD-L1/TGF-βRII agent: Status of preclinical and clinical advances. *J. Immunother. Cancer* **2020**, *8*, e000433. [CrossRef]
- 162. Yoo, C.; Oh, D.; Choi, H.J.; Kudo, M.; Ueno, M.; Kondo, S.; Chen, L.T.; Osada, M.; Helwig, C.; Dussault, I.; et al. M7824 (MSB0011359C), a bifunctional fusion protein targeting PD-L1 AND TGF-ß, in Asian patients with pretreated biliry tract cancer: Preliminary results from a phase 1 trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2018, 29 (Suppl. 8), viii258–viii259. [CrossRef]
- 163. Li, A.; Yi, M.; Qin, S.; Chu, Q.; Luo, S.; Wu, K. Prospects for combining immune checkpoint blockade with PARP inhibition. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2019**, *12*, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Teo, M.Y.; Seier, K.; Ostrovnaya, I.; Regazzi, A.M.; Kania, B.E.; Moran, M.M.; Cipolla, C.K.; Bluth, M.J.; Chaim, J.; Al-Ahmadie, H.; et al. Alterations in DNA damage response and repair genes as potential marker of clinical benefit from PD-1/PD-L1 blockade in advanced urothelial cancers. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 1685–1694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Chabanon, R.M.; Muirhead, G.; Krastev, D.B.; Adam, J.; Morel, D.; Garrido, M.; Lamb, A.; Hénon, C.; Dorvault, N.; Rouanne, M.; et al. PARP inhibition enhances tumor cell–intrinsic immunity in ERCC1-deficient non–small cell lung cancer. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2019, 129, 1211–1228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Mouw, K.W.; Goldberg, M.S.; Konstantinopoulos, P.A.; D'Andrea, A.D. DNA damage and repair biomarkers of immunotherapy response. *Cancer Discov.* 2017, 7, 675–693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 167. Strickland, K.C.; Howitt, B.E.; Shukla, S.A.; Rodig, S.; Ritterhouse, L.L.; Liu, J.F.; Garber, J.E.; Chowdhury, D.; Wu, C.J.; D'Andrea, A.D.; et al. Association and prognostic significance of BRCA1/2-mutation status with neoantigen load, number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and expression of PD-1/PD-L1 in high grade serous ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 13587–13598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 168. Chae, Y.K.; Davis, A.A.; Raparia, K.; Agte, S.; Pan, A.; Mohindra, N.; Villaflor, V.; Giles, F. Association of tumor mutational burden with DNA repair mutations and response to anti–PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in non–small-cell lung cancer. *Clin. Lung Cancer* 2019, 20, 88–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 169. Rizvi, N.A.; Hellmann, M.D.; Snyder, A.; Kvistborg, P.; Makarov, V.; Havel, J.J.; Lee, W.; Yuan, J.; Wong, P.; Ho, T.S.; et al. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science* **2015**, *348*, 124–128. [CrossRef]
- 170. Spizzo, G.; Puccini, A.; Xiu, J.; Goldberg, R.M.; Grothey, A.; Shields, A.F.; Arora, S.P.; Khushmann, M.; Salem, M.E.; Battaglin, F.; et al. Molecular profile of BRCA-mutated biliary tract cancers. *ESMO Open* **2020**, *5*, e000682. [CrossRef]
- 171. Saeed, A.; Park, R.; Al-Jumayli, M.; Al-Rajabi, R.; Sun, W. Biologics, Immunotherapy, and Future Directions in the Treatment of Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma. *Clin. Color. Cancer* 2019, *18*, 81–90. [CrossRef]
- 172. Rizzo, A.; Frega, G.; Ricci, A.D.; Palloni, A.; Abbati, F.; De Lorenzo, S.; Deserti, M.; Tavolari, S.; Brandi, G. Anti-EGFR Monoclonal Antibodies in Advanced Biliary Tract Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *In Vivo* **2020**, *34*, 479–488. [CrossRef]
- 173. Garrido, F.; Perea, F.; Bernal, M.; Sánchez-Palencia, A.; Aptsiauri, N.; Ruiz-Cabello, F. The Escape of Cancer from T Cell-Mediated Immune Surveillance: HLA Class I Loss and Tumor Tissue Architecture. *Vaccines* **2017**, *5*, 7. [CrossRef]
- 174. Mall, A.S.; Tyler, M.G.; Ho, S.B.; Krige, J.E.; Kahn, D.; Spearman, W.; Myer, L.; Govender, D.; Spearman, C.W. The expression of MUC mucin in cholangiocarcinoma. *Pathol. Res. Pr.* **2010**, *206*, 805–809. [CrossRef]
- 175. Nakatsuka, S.-I.; Oji, Y.; Horiuchi, T.; Kanda, T.; Kitagawa, M.; Takeuchi, T.; Kawano, K.; Kuwae, Y.; Yamauchi, A.; Okumura, M.; et al. Immunohistochemical detection of WT1 protein in a variety of cancer cells. *Mod. Pathol.* 2006, 19, 804–814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Matsumura, N.; Yamamoto, M.; Aruga, A.; Takasaki, K.; Nakano, M. Correlation between expression of MUC1 core protein and outcome after surgery in mass-forming intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer* **2002**, *94*, 1770–1776. [CrossRef]
- 177. Higashi, M.; Yonezawa, S.; Ho, J.J.; Tanaka, S.; Irimura, T.; Kim, Y.S.; Sato, E. Expression of MUC1 and MUC2 mucin antigens in intrahepatic bile duct tumors: Its relationship with a new morphological classification of cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 1999, 30, 1347–1355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 178. I Marks, E.; Yee, N.S. Immunotherapeutic approaches in biliary tract carcinoma: Current status and emerging strategies. *World J. Gastrointest. Oncol.* **2015**, *7*, 338–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Kaida, M.; Morita-Hoshi, Y.; Soeda, A.; Wakeda, T.; Yamaki, Y.; Kojima, Y.; Ueno, H.; Kondo, S.; Morizane, C.; Ikeda, M.; et al. Phase 1 Trial of Wilms Tumor 1 (WT1) Peptide Vaccine and Gemcitabine Combination Therapy in Patients with Advanced Pancreatic or Biliary Tract Cancer. J. Immunother. 2011, 34, 92–99. [CrossRef]
- 180. Okusaka, T.; Ueno, M.; Sato, T.; Heike, Y. Possibility of immunotherapy for biliary tract cancer: How do we prove efficacy? Introduction to a current ongoing phase I and randomized phase II study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of adding Wilms tumor 1 peptide vaccine to gencitabine and cisplatin for the treatment of advanced biliary tract cancer (WT-BT trial). *J. Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci.* 2012, 19, 314–318.
- 181. Yamamoto, K.; Ueno, T.; Kawaoka, T.; Hazama, S.; Fukui, M.; Suehiro, Y.; Hamanaka, Y.; Ikematsu, Y.; Imai, K.; Oka, M.; et al. MUC1 peptide vaccination in patients with advanced pancreas or biliary tract cancer. *Anticancer Res.* **2005**, *25*, 3575–3579.
- 182. Lepisto, A.J.; Moser, A.J.; Zeh, H.; Lee, K.; Bartlett, D.; McKolanis, J.R.; Geller, B.A.; Schmotzer, A.; Potter, D.P.; Whiteside, T.; et al. A phase I/II study of a MUC1 peptide pulsed autologous dendritic cell vaccine as adjuvant therapy in patients with resected pancreatic and biliary tumors. *Cancer Ther.* 2008, *6*, 955–964.
- 183. Löffler, M.W.; Chandran, P.A.; Laske, K.; Schroeder, C.; Bonzheim, I.; Walzer, M.; Hilke, F.J.; Trautwein, N.; Kowalewski, D.J.; Schuster, H.; et al. Personalized peptide vaccine-induced immune response associated with long-term survival of a metastatic cholangiocarcinoma patient. J. Hepatol. 2016, 65, 849–855. [CrossRef]
- 184. Yoshitomi, M.; Yutani, S.; Matsueda, S.; Ioji, T.; Komatsu, N.; Shichijo, S.; Yamada, A.; Itoh, K.; Sasada, T.; Kinoshita, H. Personalized peptide vaccination for advanced biliary tract cancer: IL-6, nutritional status and pre-existing antigen-specific immunity as possible biomarkers for patient prognosis. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2012, *3*, 463–469. [CrossRef]
- 185. Sansone, P.; Bromberg, J. Targeting the Interleukin-6/Jak/Stat Pathway in Human Malignancies. J. Clin. Oncol. 2012, 30, 1005–1014. [CrossRef]
- 186. Nishimoto, N.; Kishimoto, T. Interleukin 6: From bench to bedside. *Nat. Clin. Pr. Rheumatol.* 2006, 2, 619–626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 187. Matsuyama, M.; Ishii, H.; Furuse, J.; Ohkawa, S.; Maguchi, H.; Mizuno, N.; Yamaguchi, T.; Ioka, T.; Ajiki, T.; Ikeda, M.; et al. Phase II trial of combination therapy of gemcitabine plus anti-angiogenic vaccination of elpamotide in patients with advanced or recurrent biliary tract cancer. *Investig. New Drugs* 2015, *33*, 490–495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rojas-Sepúlveda, D.; Tittarelli, A.; Gleisner, M.A.; Ávalos, I.; Pereda, C.; Gallegos, I.; González, F.E.; López, M.N.; Butte, J.M.; Roa, J.C.; et al. Tumor lysate-based vaccines: On the road to immunotherapy for gallbladder cancer. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 2018, 67, 1897–1910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Shimizu, K.; Kotera, Y.; Aruga, A.; Takeshita, N.; Takasaki, K.; Yamamoto, M. Clinical utilization of postoperative dendritic cell vaccine plus activated T-cell transfer in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J. Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci.* 2012, 19, 171–178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dudley, M.E.; Gross, C.A.; Langhan, M.M.; Garcia, M.R.; Sherry, R.M.; Yang, J.C.; Phan, G.Q.; Kammula, U.S.; Hughes, M.S.; Citrin, D.E.; et al. CD8+ enriched "young" tumor infiltrating lymphocytes can mediate regression of metastatic melanoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2010, *16*, 6122–6131. [CrossRef]
- 191. Higuchi, R.; Yamamoto, M.; Hatori, T.; Shimizu, K.; Imai, K.; Takasaki, K. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with lymph node metastasis successfully treated by immunotherapy with CD3-activated T cells and dendritic cells after surgery: Report of a case. *Surg. Today* **2006**, *36*, 559–562. [CrossRef]
- 192. Tran, E.; Turcotte, S.; Gros, A.; Robbins, P.F.; Lu, Y.-C.; Dudley, M.E.; Wunderlich, J.R.; Somerville, R.P.; Hogan, K.; Hinrichs, C.S.; et al. Cancer Immunotherapy Based on Mutation-Specific CD4+ T Cells in a Patient with Epithelial Cancer. *Science* 2014, 344, 641–645. [CrossRef]
- 193. Feng, K.; Liu, Y.; Guo, Y.; Qiu, J.; Wu, Z.; Dai, H.; Yang, Q.; Wang, Y.; Han, W. Phase I study of chimeric antigen receptor modified T cells in treating HER2-positive advanced biliary tract cancers and pancreatic cancers. *Protein Cell* **2018**, *9*, 838–847. [CrossRef]
- 194. Mantovani, A.; Marchesi, F.; Malesci, A.; Laghi, L.; Allavena, P. Tumour-associated macrophages as treatment targets in oncology. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *14*, 399–416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Mertens, J.C.; Fingas, C.D.; Christensen, J.D.; Smoot, R.L.; Bronk, S.F.; Werneburg, N.W.; Gustafson, M.P.; Dietz, A.B.; Roberts, L.R.; Sirica, A.E.; et al. Therapeutic effects of deleting cancer-associated fibroblasts in cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 2013, 73, 897–907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Meric-Bernstam, F.; Larkin, J.; Tabernero, J.; Bonini, C. Enhancing anti-tumour efficacy with immunotherapy combinations. *Lancet* **2021**, 397, 1010–1022. [CrossRef]