# **STUDY PROTOCOL**

# **Open Access**



Implementation and evaluation of an individualized physical exercise promotion program in people with manifested risk factors for multimorbidity (MultiPill-Exercise): a study protocol for a pragmatic randomized controlled trial

Simone Schweda<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Gerhard Müller<sup>3</sup>, Barbara Munz<sup>1,2</sup>, Gorden Sudeck<sup>2,4</sup>, Peter Martus<sup>5</sup>, Katja Dierkes<sup>2,4</sup> and Inga Krauss<sup>1,2</sup>

# Abstract

Background: Multimorbidity is a major problem in Europe, increasing the need for prevention and rehabilitation programs. In Germany no quidelines have been developed that focus on patients with multiple chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs). Benefits of physical activity (PA) and exercise in NCDs have been proven, but most interventions focus on single conditions. The evaluation of the effectiveness, efficiency and safety of PA programs in patients suffering from multiple NCDs and the feasibility of the implementation within the health care service remain open research questions.

**Methods:** The multi-site randomized controlled pragmatic trial includes 320 sedentary subjects with at least two of the following NCDs, either manifested or in a pre-stage with evident risk factors: Cardio-vascular disease, Diabetes mellitus type 2, knee/ hip osteoarthritis and obesity. Participants will be recruited from general practitioners and medical specialists and randomized to standard care of a statutory health insurance or MultiPill-Exercise. Standard care includes a choice of one or a maximum of two 8- to 12-week health programs, including nutrition, exercise, relaxation or special disease management programs. MultiPill-Exercise is based on the bio-psycho-social health model, considering a person-oriented perspective in light of given individual characteristics and context factors. The 24-weeks intervention focuses on aerobic and strengthening exercises in line with the WHO PA recommendations. Psychological and pedagogical elements along with behavior change techniques are implemented to ease the initiation and maintenance of exercise participation and lifestyle change, including nutrition. Primary outcome will be short- and long-term PA measured with the European Health Interview Survey-Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ). Secondly, the effectiveness of the program on generic, disease specific, economic, and exercise behavioral parameters, as well as program adherence and safety will be evaluated.

\*Correspondence: simone.schweda@med.uni-tuebingen.de

<sup>1</sup> Department of Sports Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital, Medical Clinic, Hoppe-Seyler Str. 6, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. **Discussion:** Results of this trial evaluate the PA intervention program in people with multiple NCDs in a real-life scenario. It will serve as a proof of concept with the opportunity of translation into routine practice. This approach, as a multi-site RCT with its rigorous methods and standardized operating procedures for the conduction of the intervention, will allow valid conclusions for the implementation of PA interventions in people with multimorbidity.

Trial registration: The trial was registered at www.drks.de (ID: DRKS00025033) on 30th September 2021.

**Keywords:** Multimorbidity, Physical exercise, Diabetes mellitus Type 2, Hypertension, Osteoarthritis, Overweight, Obesity, Health services research, Cost-analysis, Behavior change techniques, Randomized controlled trial

### Background

Multimorbidity, defined as the co-existence of two or more chronic diseases within an individual [1], is one of the major problems for the health care systems of industrial nations. A German study has shown that in the age group of 40-85 years, 46% suffer from two or more simultaneous chronic conditions, and the incidence of multimorbidity increases further as age increases [2]. Thus, multimorbidity is not the exception, but rather the rule in primary care. The most common chronic conditions are cardio vascular diseases (CVD), diabetes mellitus type 2 (DMT2), obesity (OB) as well as musculoskeletal disorders [3, 4]. Suffering from multiple chronic conditions is most often accompanied by medical complications and a reduction in the quality of life. Mental, physical and social restrictions are common challenges that have to be faced [2, 3]. Multimorbidity therefore puts high demands on the health system. In 2016, € 365.5 billion have been spent on health costs in Germany. Thereof, 14% were caused by CVD, 10% accrued by musculoskeletal disorders and a further 4.6% incurred by endocrine, nutrition and metabolic illness. In the course of the age demographic change the expenses are expected to continue to increase [5].

Multimorbidity has not received much attention from policy-makers so far [3], but the rapid increase of costs and number of people with chronic conditions has intensified the effort on the European and National level to conceptualize and evaluate models that challenge the ever-increasing demands of multimorbidity. Up to now, most of the interventions have focused on single diseases: several disease management programs (DMPs) have been generated, but they do not meet the demands of multiple chronic conditions. Research priorities should therefore be placed on the development and evaluation of effective and cost-efficient treatment strategies geared towards counteracting the aforementioned increasing burden of multimorbidity on the individual and the health care system [2, 6].

The above-mentioned diseases have one common risk factor: chronic inflammation [7–9]. At early stages, conservative therapeutic interventions are important to reduce the inflammatory process and thus prevent

progression [9, 10]. To date, the most common conservative treatments for patients suffering from multimorbidity have been pharmacological therapies, although the use of medication is known to have significant sideeffects [2]. A non-pharmacological treatment option, physical activity (PA), is also well known, but so far not prescribed often in everyday practice [11]. In recent years, the effectiveness of PA in the treatment of multiple chronic conditions has been evaluated. Regular PA has been proven to reduce 20-33% of the risk of the occurrence of CVD [12] and builds one of the main pillars of the non-pharmacological therapy of DMT2 [13]. Significant improvements in pain and function - and therefore an improvement in the quality of life – in hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA) by PA have also been evaluated [14, 15]. PA shows treatment effects similar to analgesics and anti-inflammatory drugs, with little side effects [16]. Despite given evidence and consistent recommendations, many people do not achieve the PA recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO). In Germany, for example, less than half of the adult population achieves the minimum recommendation for health-enhancing moderate-to-vigorous PA. When muscle strengthening exercises are included, only 23% fulfill the recommendations [17] and people suffering from multimorbidity are even less physically active than the general adult population [18].

Beside the health-related relevance of PA, nutrition is another important cornerstone for the treatment of NCDs with an inflammatory component. Malnutrition and obesity induce low-grade inflammation on a systemic level and are therefore related to several metabolic diseases [19]. In addition, obesity is a relevant risk factor for the incidence and progression of knee OA, both from a systemic and biomechanical perspective, due to the increased load on the knee joints [20]. A comprehensive lifestyle intervention with the aim to reduce chronic lowgrade inflammation should therefore additionally include a healthy diet [10].

In order to engage inactive people in long-term exercise, systematic physical training is necessary, taking into account individual PA promotion and behavior change strategies [21]. In this regard, personal and structural

context factors need to be considered [21, 22]. In terms of personal factors, motor skills and health-related knowledge aimed at building up competencies for a healtheffective lifestyle regarding PA should be integrated into the intervention [21]. Furthermore, individual exerciserelated motives and goals should be included as personal factors in an exercise promotion program to enable long-term development [23, 24]. While health is often the initial motive for physical activity, it is often not sufficient for long-term exercise participation. This requires a variety of motives and goals, such as experiencing aesthetic movement, enjoying nature, distraction, and social contact [23, 24]. At the structural level, the interfaces between patient and physician and between patient and recreational exercise, as well as social support, access to training facilities and suitable exercise offers close to home are important factors that need to be considered [25]. In order to enable a comprehensive, health-promoting lifestyle, the factors mentioned must also be applied to nutrition.

## Objectives

Evidence is given for the effectiveness of PA in the prevention and treatment of single chronic NCDs, though the literature reveals knowledge gaps regarding the evidence of the effectiveness of PA in people with multimorbidity [26]. The primary aim of this pragmatic trial is to evaluate whether a comprehensive exercise and lifestyle intervention (MultiPill-Exercise) can help people to engage in regular PA at the end of a six-month intervention and after another 12 months in a follow-up. We hypothesize that engagement in regular PA - quantified with the EHIS-PAQ – is superior in the intervention group MultiPill-Exercise in comparison to usual health care offers. Secondary aims are related to the effectiveness of the program on generic and disease specific health outcomes and disease prevention, health economic outcomes, individual behavioral determinants as well as program adherence and safety. The feasibility of the implementation of *MultiPill-Exercise* into a regular health care setting is monitored by a continuous quantitative and qualitative process evaluation.

### Methods

# Study design

The study will be conducted in the context of health service research. The feasibility of the intervention and an exploratory analysis of its effects on health outcomes were tested in a non-controlled pilot study (DRKS00016702). Results have been used to optimize the current study design, for example through putting more emphasis on nutrition, extending supervision time during the study period (24 weeks of supervised machine-based training instead of only 12 weeks) and integrating videos into the exercise sessions at home. The current study is now designed as a multi-site randomized controlled superiority pragmatic trial with two parallel groups. It evaluates the effectiveness, efficiency and safety of the 24-week comprehensive lifestyle intervention MultiPill-Exercise in comparison to the regular health care offer of a statutory health insurance company. Participants will be randomized to intervention and control group with an allocation ratio of 1:1. Measurements will be taken at baseline (t0), three months (t3), six months (t6), 12 months (t12) and 18 months (t18). The study was prospectively registered in the German Clinical Trial Register (DRKS00025033) and is documented according to the completed Standard Protocol Items: The recommendations for interventional trials (SPIRIT) checklist [27] and the Pragmatic-Explanatory Continuum Indicator Summary (PRECIS)-2 checklist [28] are available as supplements (Additional files 1 and 2).

# Study setting

The study will be conducted in the federal state of Baden-Wuerttemberg (BW), Germany. Across the state, four organizational units of a statutory health insurance company, each with up to two associated study sites, were selected. The selected sites are sufficiently distant from each other, covering several areas of the state. Both urban and rural areas are considered in the selection.

## **Eligibility criteria**

The study population includes males and females of the adult population (>18 years) who (1) have an active membership in the involved health insurance company, (2) show elevated risk factors or manifested diseases for at least two of the four selected diagnoses, (3) show constant medication during the previous 3 months and (4) are currently insufficiently active. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in the table (Table 1) below.

# Recruitment

The recruitment period will be 8 months and will be conducted in two waves due to organizational reasons related to the conduction of the intervention. In total, the *MultiPill-Exercise* intervention (IG) will be offered to 160 participants, while another 160 subjects will receive standard care (CG). Participants will be recruited via general practitioners and specialists (i.e. internal medicine, orthopedists, diabetologist, cardiologists) during regular consultations. The physicians will be informed beforehand about the study and the new health care offer *MultiPill-Exercise* by the staff of the health insurance company (Physician-Partner-System). In addition, exercise and nutrition specialists from

# Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria		References
Administrative	- Insurance holder of the involved insurance company in the past two or more years prior $\ensuremath{t}\xspace^a$	
2–3 of the following diagnosis and/or risk factors:		
Diagnosis	Risk Factor	
Osteoarthritis of hip and/or knee:	<ul> <li>Self-report of physician diagnosed life-time prevalence of knee and/or hip osteoarthritis</li> </ul>	[29]
Diabetes Mellitus Type 2:	- German diabetes risk score (GDRS) $\geq$ 57 points	[30, 31]
Cardiovascular:	- PROCAM-Score: 10-year myocardial infarct risk > 10% in the age- and sex-related reference group	[32]
Overweight/Obesity:	$-BMI \ge 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$	[33]
Ongoing Therapy	- No or constant medication <sup>b</sup> during the previous three months	
Exclusion criteria: (one or more positive answers lead	ad to non-eligibility)	
Overall	<ul> <li>End organ damage<sup>c</sup></li> <li>Physical activity before the intervention meets more than 75% of the national physical activity recommendations for adults</li> <li>Self-reported personal fitness level is under 20 min of brisk walking</li> <li>Injuries/diagnoses that do not allow the use of the strength machines (acute herniated intervertebral disc, acute fractures, recent spinal surgeries, glaucoma with eluvated intervertebral disc.</li> </ul>	
Osteoarthritis patients only:	- Appointment for elective joint replacement	
Cardiovascular patients only:	- Patients diagnosed with heart failure are excluded	
Overweight/Obesity patients only:	- Physical prerequisites for training on the equipment are not given	

<sup>a</sup> in case of recruitment difficulties due to this restriction, it will be reduced to a previous insurance period of 18 months

<sup>b</sup> this refers to the medication in relation to the diagnoses of interest

<sup>c</sup> damage of an organ caused by the primary condition requiring medical or invasive treatment of a physician (i.e. Stent, myocardial infarct, diabetic foot, gastric band, TEP)

PROCAM-Score Prospective cardiovascular Muenster Study, t0: Baseline assessment

the health insurance company will assist with recruitment by recommending these customers to get in contact with their physician in order to get a prescription, if applicable. Additionally, the commonly used media of the statutory health insurance company (advertisements in own or local magazines) will be used as well. Physicians prescribe the health care offer to potentially eligible patients (green prescription: this is a written recommendation of the physician for remedies that do not fall with budget, e.g., a therapy supplement or exercise advice) and also provide their patients with the study flyer, which includes contact details and other study related information, including a study homepage. If interested, participants contact the study examiners at the university hospital. Initial contact via telephone serves to inform potential participants on study contents, aims and the time-line of the study. Furthermore, inclusion and exclusion criteria will be checked. In case of potential eligibility, an appointment at the participant's nearest study site will be made, where further information and written consent for the study will be obtained. For a sub-sample only, laboratory data (blood, urine) will be assessed. If interested and eligible, further consent will be acquired. At the study site, final checks for the inclusion and exclusion criteria will be undertaken by the study team. Participants who do not meet the inclusion criteria after the personal appointment are offered reference to the health insurance company's exercise specialists, who will provide them a different health service offer on a voluntary base. In the case of preliminary study inclusion, study-IDs are assigned. The IDs contain a number for the study center in addition to a sequential number. The subject then undergoes baseline medical examinations and physical performance tests. If no exclusion criteria are found during these examinations, the subject is considered to be fully included into the study and will be randomized into the study interventions as outlined below. Participants randomized into IG will be allocated to the MultiPill-Exercise intervention. They will immediately receive appointments and necessary information about the study from the study team. The participants of the CG will be assigned to the staff of the health insurance company, who will explain the possibilities of standard care at the particular study site to them. The standard care intervention will then be determined, taking into account the customer's preferences. Details are depicted in the study flow-chart (Fig. 1).

Schweda et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1174



# Randomization

The study staff retrieve the study arm of a new subject online from the study software SecuTrial, a web-based database application for administrative, organizational and implementational support in clinical and epidemiological research projects, hosted by the statistical center of the study. For practical recruitment reasons, two identical intervention/control phases will be conducted at each of the study sites. For each phase and site, approximately n=10 subjects will be allocated to IG and CG, respectively. As such, randomization will be generated in two sequences (=phase), each with eight strata (=study site) with random block lengths of four or six and a balanced allocation of n=20. For each study site, a different randomization list will be generated, and a new randomization list will be used for the second study phase. Figure 2 displays the randomization process, explanatory for one organizational unit.

# Blinding

Due to the design of the study, blinding of the subjects is not possible as treatment exposure is evident. Assessors will not be blinded. For the questionnaires, blinding is not applicable, as these outcome measures are selfadministered by the participants. Exercise specialists and providers will not be blinded to the allocation groups, as the realization of the 'new' intervention is evident. But data analysts will be blinded from t0 to t6 but not at later time points. The data analysts receive all data under the study-ID. The re-identification of the study-ID with the name and contact information of the participants is only possible for the study leaders at the university hospital and an independent party involved in the data privacy concept.

# Participant timeline and collection points

First patient inclusion is planned for April 2022. Assessments will be conducted at the participant's nearest study site, with exception of two local sites where the examination will be performed at the university hospital, at baseline (t0), after the intervention (t6) and after another six months follow-up period (t12). Additional to the onsite assessments, online questionnaires will be sent at t3 and t18. Economic evaluation will further compare data at 6 (tm6), 12 (tm12), 18 (tm18) and 24 (tm24) months, retrospectively (m = minus) prior to t0 (Fig. 3). All participants are expected to have completed the intervention period in early 2023. All participants are expected to have completed the study by the end of March 2024.

## Interventions

Both types of interventions (regular health care offers for CG, *MultiPill-Exercise* for IG), are conducted at the health centers of the statutory health insurance company, in which different kinds of health care programs are offered to customers of the insurance company on a regular basis.

# Person-oriented comprehensive exercise program "MultiPill-Exercise"

The concept of *MultiPill-Exercise* is based on the biopsychosocial health model of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [34]. Figure 4 displays the different modules of the intervention.

The biological perspective relates primarily to a lifestyle intervention comprising exercise and nutrition to enhance health benefits that can then mediate participation and social well-being. The individualized exercise intervention is designed according to national and international PA recommendations (150 min of moderate intensity endurance training or 75 min vigorous endurance training or a combination of both and



group (IG) in blue and control group (CG) in green



m = minus; the darker blue box represents intervention phase 1, the lighter blue box intervention phase 2 for the IG



additional muscle strengthening exercises twice a week [35, 36]. Healthy nutrition relates to the quantity and quality of energy consumption, with the aim of regulating weight and counteracting systemic inflammation. The psychosocial aspects of the intervention also consider the personal and structural context factors of the participants. Motives for exercise participation are specifically considered to ease the initiation and maintenance of regular exercise [23]. In addition, further person-related supporting factors as well as barriers are included to individualize the program. It's not only about doing health enhancing activities, but also about gaining domain-specific health competencies to allow self-determined lifestyle change over the longterm. Health competencies are fostered by educational and psychological strategies, such as the connection of "learning", "acting" and "reflecting", or familiarization with behavior change techniques to ease self-regulation. More details on the conceptualization of the intervention are described elsewhere [37].

The intervention is divided into two phases, each lasting 12 weeks, and each with a decreasing amount of supervision and guidance. Throughout the entire study period, a maximum of three on-site appointments/week with a maximum duration of 90 min each will be scheduled. The intervention includes three overarching types of components: (1a/b) Exercise, divided into two phases of 12 weeks; (2) patient education sessions (including dietetics also), focusing on knowledge transfer; and (3) systematic usage of behavioral change techniques. An overview of the detailed intervention design can be found as a supplement (Additional files 3 and 4). (1a) The exercise training of the first 12 weeks will include four progressive phases including different training methods and principles (Additional file 3 shows the associated training specifications). Individual and group trainings, indoor and outdoor, on-site and home-based sessions will take place. The duration of the exercise sessions will vary between 45–60 min.

The initial two weeks will focus on familiarization with exercise and motor learning. The participants will also be introduced to training at the study sites including strength training on the weight-machines and ergometers and tread-mills for endurance training, where applicable. For functional strength training at home, videos including whole body exercises in different starting positions and difficulty variants will be made available to participants by logging into an online platform from the health insurance company. The following four weeks (week 3-6) will emphasis basic endurance training (cardio-vascular training, machine-based and functional strength training). For the endurance training, different training methods will be introduced, such as the continuous method and high intensity interval training. Machine-based strength training in this phase is performed as strength endurance training. The subsequent continuation training (week 7-10) will carry on the goals of the previous weeks. However, by reducing the number of repetitions and increasing the intensity, the machine-based training will be changed to a targeted muscle-building workout. Functional training sessions will be supplemented by exercise group offers close to everyday life ("movement teaser") that focus on different motives for exercise participation (health, aesthetic, competition, contact, enjoyment, distraction, nature etc.). The final maintenance training of the first phase (week 11–12) is designed to promote independent exercise participation and will include only one supervised training session/week.

(1b) During the second 12 weeks, the activities of the first phase are to be maintained. Participants will continue machine-based training sessions 1x/ week at the study sites. The endurance sessions can be done independently home-based or in a group. Cooperation with local sport clubs and gyms or else will be encouraged.

Each participant will receive a weekly individualized training plan in both phases of the intervention, which will include training parameters in addition to the scheduled training sessions. For endurance training, heart rates and watts are added individually, calculated from the performance diagnostics. Strength training will be regulated by the Borg scale (0: no effort- 10: maximum possible effort) (details see Additional file 3).

- (2) In order to strengthen the effect and action knowledge of the participants, participant education sessions of 30 min each will be held, delivering theoretical and practical knowledge on the basics of training sciences, nutrition (healthy and anti-inflammatory diet), themes to enhance motivation and volition [63], motives and goals of PA [23, 52] and PA in daily life [64]. While five theory sessions will be scheduled for phase 1, phase 2 will include a nutritional booster session (anti-inflammatory diet). A theory–practice link is always strived for.
- (3) Three individual counselling sessions (30 min each) using further behavioral change techniques will take place in phase one. The individual counselling to enhance regular sport participation will be conducted by a guide; an exercise specialist who will also act as a personal contact person. The guide will be briefed on the diverse behavior change techniques included (MoVo-Lisa concept [65], the exercise counseling approach based on motives and goals in exercise and sport (COMET) [23], including 5A's [66], and techniques from Motivational interviewing [67]). The personal guide will also be responsible for weekly feedback on the participant's training logs. Phase two includes two individual counselling appointments (anti-inflammatory diet and COMET). The counselling sessions are based on the individual training diaries, the participant's feedback on the movement teasers and the individual results of the assessment of the Bernese motive and goal inventory in exercise and sport (BMZI) [52]. The counselling on nutrition and dietetics will build on two seven-day nutrition logs (these are kept after each of the nutrition lectures) and will be evaluated and discussed with a nutritionist from the insurance company.

All individual and group sessions on-site will be conducted by exercise professionals, employees of the statutory health insurance company at each of the study sites. Several exercise specialists and nutritionists from the statutory health insurance company will be trained in advance on the *MultiPill-Exercise* intervention design. Only trained exercise professionals will also act as guides in the intervention. The exercise specialists will receive a comprehensive manual for delivering the exercise intervention to enable standardization across study sites.

### Standard Care (CG)

The standard care of statutory health insurance company offers every insured person the opportunity to participate in health offers, provided and conducted by employed professionals from the health insurance company, free of charge. For a more detailed information of the German health care see Struckmann, Boerma [68].

Participants of the CG are requested to select from the standard care offers of the statutory health insurance company. Potential offers are in the field of nutrition, exercise and special DMPs, general health and 'fit and active'. The exercise offers last 8-12 weeks. Participants of the CG will be provided with options by an exercise specialist of the insurance company and will then be able to enroll in the selected offers. Over the study period, participants can attend two different offers, as foreseen in regular care. The combination and choice of offers can be freely arranged according to the participants' own preferences. An exception applies to participants with a physician's recommendation for prevention programs. With this recommendation, they can also take part in additional offers. All offers will be provided by exercise specialists from the statutory health insurance company and are based on their own manual, which are adhered to in a restrictive manner. Nutrition offers include: a workshop that focuses on education, particular recommended foods and the right combination of foods to induce positive health effects. It also highlights general principles of a balanced diet and practical tips, such that the knowledge can be implemented in the kitchen. The second offer, 'body and soul in balance', fosters active weight loss and mindful eating. The aim here is on sustainable change in diet, with more desire for exercise and fun within a lifestyle that relies on personal responsibility, knowledge and conscious decisions. The disease specific DMPs for CVDs include: 'All the best for your heart'. This offer teaches the basics of avoiding and reducing coronary heart disease. 'Fourfold increase in quality of life' (DMP for DMT2) aims to increase everyday activities, giving information on avoiding obesity, recovery from physical and mental stress and information on special foot care for diabetes. Also, light gymnastics, light walking and a special strength-endurance training can be chosen. A focus of the general health offers is to reduce physical inactivity and to strengthen physical health resources. The offer includes courses to increase fitness, flexibility, endurance and strength. Included courses are: Clever-Walking, Fit-Mix, Functional Training, Easy Running, Outdoor Fitness, Back-Fit, and Back-Power.

# **Process evaluation**

The chosen pragmatic randomized controlled trial design is intended not only to evaluate the effectiveness of an intervention, but also to provide information on how the intervention works and how the intervention and its implementation in its specific context are influenced by various barriers and facilitating factors [69, 70]. Particularly for complex interventions such as MultiPill-Exercise, which are composed of multiple interacting components, determining the causes of change (primary action mechanisms) and identifying conditions for success (contextual factors) are key. Consequently, an accompanying process evaluation will be conducted with the aim of understanding not just if, but how and why an intervention has a particular effect, and which parts of a complex intervention have the greatest impact on outcomes [70, 71]. Thus, the process evaluation will help to understand the relationship between specific intervention elements and intervention outcomes, and will provide important information about key aspects that should be given special attention in the (successful) implementation of *MultiPill-Exercise* into regular health care [72]. For this purpose, implementation aspects from the perspective of therapists, participants, study sites and involved organizations will be identified in addition to the primary outcomes and mechanisms of action. Assessment procedures will be described in more detail in the following sections.

# **Outcome measures**

All participant-reported outcomes will be assessed using SecuTrial online questionnaires (InterActive Systems Berlin) at baseline and all follow-up time points. All physical performance measurements will be assessed on-site at t0, t6, t12 only (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The assessor team will consist of members of the study team, composed of employees of the university and university hospital, as well as a physician of the University hospital at t0. All assessors will be trained in advance and the examinations will follow the same order and predefined standard operating procedures (SOPs). Demographic data, clinical status (details of relevant history of disease, medical history, medications), history of exercise participation and outcome expectations are assessed in addition to primary and secondary outcomes. All on-site data will be directly entered to the electronic case report form (eCRF) using the software SecuTrial. The software automatically performs plausibility queries when the data is saved. The participants will receive E-Mails prior to the data collection, reminding them of the upcoming data collection.

### Primary outcome measure

The retrospective European Health Interview Survey— Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) will be used to assess PA. The 8-item questionnaire covers physical activities during work, transportation, and leisure

Ires
easu
Ĕ
me
Itco
00
2
ble
Ta

Characteristics & confounders	Description and instrument	Data source	Sample	<b>Collection points</b>
Overall				
Participant's characteristics	Date of birth, gender, ethnicity, BMI (height, weight), highest academic quali- fication (no degree, middle school, high school), labor situation (working, retired, unemployed in rehabilitation status), cur- rent profession, weight at birth, week of pregnancy at birth	SAQ	lg, CG	to
Clinical status	Diagnoses of OA, Diabetes mellitus Type 2, Cardio vascular disease, and if applicable, date of initial diagnosis, in case of OA site (s) and joint (s) of OA diagnosis	SAQ, on-site CRF	lg, CG	to
	Other diagnosed comorbidities	SAQ, on-site CRF	IG, CG	tO
	Current medication assessed via medica- tion schedule and/or packaging. Also, pre-determined drugs are recorded via the IDB	SAQ IDB On-site CRF	IG, CG	t0, t6, t12
History of exercise participation	Athleticism during childhood and ado- lescence	SAQ	IG, CG	tO
Outcome expectations	Personal therapy expectations	SAQ	IG, CG	tO
Primary Outcome measure	Clinical Outcomes			
Physical activity status	Leisure-time physical activity in a typical week (EHIS-PAQ, HEPA Index [38])	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Secondary outcome measure	Clinical outcomes			
Physical activity status	Work-related and transport-related physi- cal activity in a typical week (EHIS-PAQ [38])	SAQ	lG; CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
	Actigraph (wGT3X-BT Accelerometer) for 7 days	wGT3X-BT Accelerometer	IG, CG	t0, t6, t12
Health related quality of life	Veterans RAND 12 Item Health Survey (VR12), retrospective 4 weeks [39, 40]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Global health assessment	One Item, General Health, of the VR12 [39, 40] to assess global health [41]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Overall perceived benefit	Overall perceived satisfaction with the benefit of the intervention [42]	SAQ	IG, CG	t3, t6, t12, t18
Osteoarthritis specific outcomes	Hip Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS), Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)	SAQ	IG, CG (only participants with OA)	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
	Pain visual analog pain scale (0–10) [42, 43]	SAQ	IG, CG (only participants with OA)	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Cardio vascular risk status	10-year risk of myocardial infarction, PROCAM-Score short form [32]	SAQ	lg, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18

Table 2 (continued)				
Characteristics & confounders	Description and instrument	Data source	Sample	<b>Collection points</b>
Diabetes mellitus risk status	German diabetes risk score [30, 31]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Dietary intake	Food Frequency Questionnaire [44]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
	Physiological Outcomes			
Physical performance measures	Spiroergometry: VO <sub>2</sub> max, respiratory ratio, physical working capacity (Aeroscan GmbH)	Aeroman	IG, CG	t0, t6, t12
	Lower body strength measure: 30 s sit to stand Test (CST) [42, 45]	30 CST	IG; CG	t0, t6, t12
	Electrocardiogram (ECG) (custo med GmbH) to assess heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure at rest and during exercise test	Custo Cardio 300	lG; CG	t0, t6, t12
Body composition	Assessment of body composition (muscle, fat, water distribution) with bioimpedance analysis (SMT medical GmbH & Co)	BIA101 BIVA	IG, CG	t0, t6, t12
Metabolomics	Capillary blood sample from earlobes on Guthrie cards to assess carnitine, short- and long-chain acylcarnitines, methionine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, succinylacetone, citrulline	Blood sample	la, ca	t0, t6, t12
Laboratory data	Fasting blood sample: HbA1c, insulin, fasting glucose, IL-6, CRP, cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglycerides, miRNAs, adiponectin, leptin, resistin, COMP Urine sample: CTXII	Blood and Urine sample	Sub-sample IG, CG	t0, t6, t12
	Exercise psychological outcomes			
Exercise-specific self-efficacy	Multidimensional Self-Efficacy for Exercise Scale: task, coping, and scheduling efficacy [46]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Sport and exercise-related self-con- cordance	Self-concordance of sport- and exercise- related goals: intrinsic, identified, intro- jected, and extrinsic modes of motivation [47]	SAQ	lG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Physical-activity related health com- petence	Physical-activity related health compe- tence questionnaire: control of physical load, affect regulation, and self-control [21, 48]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18

I

Table 2 (continued)				
Characteristics & confounders	Description and instrument	Data source	Sample	Collection points
Sport- and exercise specific motiva- tional competence	Questionnaire on motivational competence in exercise and sport: knowing one's own preferences, knowing what to expect in different exercise and sport activities and choos- ing and arranging an exercise and sport activity [49]	SAQ	lg, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Action and coping planning	Exercise planning scales: action plans, coping plans [50]	SAQ	lg, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Attitudes towards physical activity	Cognitive and affective attitudes towards physical activity [51]	SAQ	lg, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Motives and goals for exercise	Bernese motive and goal inventory [52]	SAQ	פ	Throughout intervention phase 1 (week 7), t6, t12
Fear of movement /(re)injury	Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia [53]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
	Economic Data			
Unspecific and specific (disease- related) health care costs	Outpatient costs, hospital costs, medica- tion, adjuvants, rehabilitation treatment, medically prescribed supplementary treat- ments (e.g. hydrotherapy, physiotherapy, massages)	[DB	lG, CG	tm18, tm12, tm6 t6, t12, t18
Unspecific/specific (disease related) periods of disability	Days of disability (overall and related to referred diseases)	IDB	IG, CG	tm18, tm12, tm6 t6, t12, t18
Intervention related costs	Costs for human and physical resources/ session	ID8	D	t6, t1 8
	Process evaluation			
Characteristics of the usual care arm	Questions on utilization of usual care offers	SAQ	CG	t3, t6, t12
Participants' satisfaction	Modified version of the ZUF-8 Question- naire to assess participants' satisfaction with the intervention [54]	SAQ	IG, CG	t3, t6, t12, t18
Participants' view on the intervention	Qualitative interviews with participants including questions on the appropriate- ness and quality of the intervention delivery	ō	Sub-sample IG	after last intervention delivery
Treatment fidelity	Field notes for intervention progress (Troubleshooting)	Documentation sheets	Research Team	continuously
	Notes for intervention delivery	Notation sheets	Interventionists	continuously

Table 2 (continued)				
Characteristics & confounders	Description and instrument	Data source	Sample	<b>Collection points</b>
Characteristics of interventionists	Questionnaires on occupational self-effi- cacy [55], subjective value attributions [56] and implementation outcomes (accept- ability, appropriateness, and feasibility of the intervention) [57]	SAQ	Interventionists	t0, t6
Interventionists' view on the program	Qualitative interviews with exercise spe- cialists regarding acceptability, practicality, appropriateness and fidelity aspects of the intervention delivery	ō	Interventionists	after last intervention delivery
	Satisfaction with the interventionists' training [58]	SAQ	Interventionists	after interventionists training
Evaluation of implementation regard- ing interfaces of the health service innovation	Practitioners' view on the acceptability, appropriateness, and feasibility of the program and its prescription [57]	SAQ	Health insurance company (APS)	during recruitment
	Mode of access to the program	Telephone screening	IG, CG	during recruitment
Evaluation of implementation regard- ing the interface with community- based exercise offers	Qualitative interviews with participants and employees of the health insurance company	ō	Sub-sample IG and interventionists	after last intervention delivery
Adherence to exercise training	Summarized number of attended training sessions and reported trainings sessions according to training logs of the exercise specialists and participants (IG)	Training logs, on-site reporting sheet (IG); SAQ, IDB (for CG only)	lG, CG	t3, t6
	Safety and Adverse Events			
Adverse events and side-effects	Summarized number and details of adverse events and side-effects according to training log and case report form	Training logs, on-site CRF, SAQ	פ	t3, t6
Covariates				
Depressive and anxiety symptoms	Self-report of depressive symptoms and anxiety symptoms in the last week [59]	SAQ	lg, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Osteoporosis risk status	German version of osteoporosis risk test [60]	SAQ	IG, CG	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
Back pain	Three months retrospective questionnaire on the severity of chronic back pain [61, 62]	SAQ	lg, cg	t0, t3, t6, t12, t18
APS Physician-Partner-Service, CG Control grou	ip (regular care), CRF Case report form (on-site CRF	will be provided by study staff, exercise specialis	ts and physician, if applicable; eCRF Elect	ronic case report form), ECG

APS Physician-Partner-Service, CG Control group (regular care), CPF Case report form (on-site CRF will be provided by study staff, exercise specialists and physician, it applicants, evanues were appressively of the second study of the second study staff, exercise specialists and physician, it applicants, evanues were appressively (DB Insurance data base, /G Intervention Group MultiPill-Exercise, PE Physical exercise, SAQ Self-administered (online) questionnaire, t Collections points, m Minus. 0, 3, 6, 12, 24: month of collection point related to baseline (t0), Q/Qualitative interview

time, including sports activities, aerobic health enhancing activities and muscle-strengthening activities, over a typical week [73]. As an indicator that estimates compliance with the WHO aerobic PA guidelines, information on transportation-related PA (excluding walking) and leisure-time PA can be combined. The health enhancing physical activity (HEPA) Index [73] will be the primary outcome. EHIS-PAQ has acceptable reliability and moderate to strong validity for all domains other than moderate-to vigorous PA [74]. Clinical relevance is ensured by basing the outcome measure on (inter-) national physical activity recommendations.

### Secondary and other outcome measures

*Physical activity status* Further data from the EHIS-PAQ such as overall PA, including transport-related PA and especially the number of muscle-strengthening sessions, will be taken into account in order to analyze the fulfilment of health-oriented recommendations for aerobic PA and muscle strengthening activities (dichotomous outcomes: yes or no).

In addition to the self-report measure of PA, we will collect objective, device-based measurements of the physical activity status. For this, all participants will be asked to wear an accelerometer (wGT3X-BT Accelerometer) on a belt on the waist on the right hip during daytime for seven consecutive days after the on-site examinations [75, 76]. Participants will be included in the final analysis if at least three days of 10 h/day of wearing time is recorded. Instructions on handling of the device will be given by a trained research member. To return the device after the exposition week, a stamped and addressed envelope will be given to the participants.

*Health related quality of life* To measure health related quality of life, the adapted and validated German version of the Veterans RAND 12-Item Health Survey (VR-12) will be used [77]. The four-week retrospective question-naire can be summarized into two parent scales (1) Physical Component Scale and (2) Mental Component Scale each derived from four different health domains ((1): physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health), ((2): (vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, mental health). The question on general health is further used as an one-item instrument for patient global assessment [41].

*Overall perceived benefit* The overall satisfaction with the perceived results of the intervention will be evaluated using a one item question with a 5-point Likert scale according to Rolfson, Wissig [42].

*Disease specific outcomes* To assess the status of knee and hip OA, the German versions of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) [78] and Hip Dysfunction and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS) [79] will be applied to the participants with knee and/or hip OA. Both questionnaires consist of 5 subscales: pain, symptoms, activity of daily living, function in sport and recreation and knee or hip related quality of life. The disease-specific instruments are valid, reliable, easy to complete and simple to score [79, 80]. In addition, the participants will be asked to rate their pain during the last four weeks on a visual analog pain scale.

The Prospective Cardiovascular Münster (PROCAM)-Score is a simple and effective way to assess the risk of acute coronary events among adults [81]. Participants with a 10-year myocardial infarct risk > 10% when compared to the reference group are listed as participants at risk for CVD. For the study, the shorter version of the questionnaire will be used which includes: age, weight, height, systolic blood pressure, gender, smoking status, family disposition, current drug treatment of hypertension, DMT2 status [82].

To assess the risk for developing DMT2 within five years, the German Diabetes Risk Score (GDRS) will be used [30, 31]. This noninvasive measurement showed an excellent discrimination in detecting prevalent undiagnosed DMT2. A score of  $\geq$  57 points indicates an increased risk of developing diabetes in the next 5 years.

*Dietary intake* The food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) details the frequency and portion size of common consumed foods. In total, 29 food groups are assessed. The FFQ used in this study was developed for the German Health Examination Survey for adults 2008–2011 (DEGS) [44]. For the German adult population it shows reasonable validity [44].

*Physiological outcomes* Spiroergometry will be performed to determine the maximum oxygen  $(O_{2max})$  uptake, the respiratory ratio and physical working capacity. Therefore, bicycle ergometry will be conducted (incremental test until exhaustion, duration of each increment: 2 min, start at: 0,5 watts x body weight in kg, increment: 0,3 watts x body weight in kg). The breathing gas analysis is carried out using Aeroman professional (Aeroscan GmbH, Berlin). For this purpose, the participant breathes through a breathing unit for 30 s at the end of each stage. A 12-channel resting ECG (custo cardio 300, custo software, custo med GmbH) after 5 min rest will be performed before the performance test to rule out abnormalities and to assess resting blood pressure.

Also, during the entire test, an ECG will be recorded and supervised by a physician at t0. Reasons for immediately stopping the ergometry include chest pain, systolic blood pressure > 200 mmHg, ECG abnormalities, intolerable dyspnea and cramps. In addition, capillary blood will be dropped onto a Guthrie-card during performance diagnostics (before and after exercise) for all participants. These serve to record various metabolic markers.

Lower body strength and leg strength endurance will be measured with the 30-Second-Chair-Stand Test. For the test, the participant sits in the middle of a chair, hands clasped in front of the body, feet flat on the floor and back straight. The test person will be asked to stand up, in a completely upright body position, and to sit down on the chair again as many times as possible within 30 s. Depending on gender and age, the minimum number of repetitions will be graded [45].

Body composition will be assessed with bioimpedance analysis (BIA 101 BIVA, SMT medical GmBH & Co). In a lying position, two skin electrodes will be attached to the hands and feet on one side of the body and connected to the BIA101 BIVA via a thin measuring cable. The measured values appear on the device display and will be transferred wirelessly via Bluetooth to the Bodygram PLUS software.

For a predefined sub-sample only (n = 20 IG, n = 20 CG), laboratory parameters (urine, blood) will be assessed. Subgroup laboratory data analysis include standard laboratory data, adipokines and osteoarthritis markers, metabolomics and qPCR analysis of miRNAs. Trained staff will collect venous blood in the morning after 12 h fasting. Selected miRNAs as well as various markers for osteoarthritic events (COMP, CTXII) and for metabolic function (leptin, adiponectin, resistin) will be recorded in blood or urine samples. Finally, laboratory parameters that are usually positively influenced by physical training (fasting blood glucose, Hba1c, insulin, IL-6, CRP, cholesterol, LDL and HDL) will be analyzed. Approx. 40 ml of blood will be taken at each of the measurements.

*Exercise psychological outcomes* Exercise-specific selfefficacy will be measured with a questionnaire based on the validated Multidimensional Self-Efficacy for Exercise Scale (MSES) [46], which has already been applied in other exercise studies [83]. The MSES comprises nine Likert-like items assessing the three behavioral subdomains task, coping, and scheduling efficacy on 100% confidence scales.

To capture the concept of sport and exercise-related self-concordance, a German-language instrument for

measuring the self-concordance of sport- and exerciserelated goals (SSK-Scale) will be applied [47]. The validated 12-item version of the scale captures four 3-item subscales measuring the intrinsic, identified, introjected, and extrinsic modes of motivation on a 6-point Likert scale [47].

According to the model of physical activity-related health competence (PAHCO), we will assess the subscales control competence for physical load, competence for PA-specific affect regulation, and PA-specific selfcontrol. We will apply the validated 13-item version of the PAHCO questionnaire, which uses a 5-point Likert scale [21].

Sport and exercise specific motivational competence will be operationalized using a one-dimensional scale developed and validated by Schorno, Sudeck [49]. It measures the self-determined ability to choose a suitable exercise and sport activity. The 4-item questionnaire covers the following three facets of motivational competence on a 5-point Likert scale: knowing one's own preferences, knowing what to expect in different exercise and sport activities, and choosing and arranging an exercise and sport activity [49].

Action and coping planning will be assessed using the validated exercise planning scales developed by Sniehotta, Schwarzer [50]. It includes four items on each of the two subdomains, action plans and coping plans, on a 4-point Likert scale.

To assess attitudes towards physical activity in its cognitive and affective components, a psychometrically tested German version of the validated questionnaire developed by Crites, Fabrigar [84] will be applied. In this short questionnaire, four items each assess the two components using semantic differentials with both positive (e.g., *healthy*) as well as negative (e.g. *useless*) adjectives included on a 7-point bipolar scale [84].

Motives and goals for exercise participation will be evaluated throughout the first intervention phase (week 7) at t6, t12 and t18 for the intervention group only, using the Bernese motive and goal inventory (BMZI) [85]. The updated version of the questionnaire consists of 23 items covering seven motives and goals (Body/Appearance, Contact, Competition/Performance, Aesthetics, Distraction/Catharsis, Fitness and Health) [86].

To assess fear of movement/(re)injury, the German Version of the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK-GV) will be applied [53]. The 11-item version of the TSK-GV with a 4-point Likert scale has been shown to be a reliable and valid measure. Results of the scale may be predictors for the persistence of pain-related disability [53].

*Economic data (insurance data base; firm software)* Economic data comprise unspecific and specific

disease related health care costs. Intervention related costs for the exercise program will be taken into consideration as well.

Unspecific health care costs (overall costs): Outpatient acute care, hospital costs, rehabilitative treatment, medically prescribed supplementary treatments (*e.g.* hydrotherapy, physiotherapy, massages), adjuvants, costs related to periods of disability, medication and intervention related costs.

Specific medical costs refer to the costs of the diagnoses CVD, DMT2, hip and/or knee OA, OW/OB and the intervention costs.

*Process evaluation* Over the whole study period, the intervention will be evaluated from the perspective of interventionists, participants, study sites and involved organizations in the form of questionnaires, qualitative interviews (QI), documentation and notation sheets and telephone screenings.

To compare the intervention program with the characteristics of the standard care arm, the control group will be asked questions on the utilization of standard care offers.

Participants' satisfaction with *MultiPill-Exercise* will be evaluated using a modified version of the ZUF-8 within the intervention group [54, 87]. In order to adapt the 8-item instrument (4-point Likert scale) to the outpatient setting, minor modifications were made. In addition, qualitative interviews will be conducted with participants of the intervention group after program completion, including questions on the appropriateness and quality of the intervention delivery, with the aim of gaining greater insight into participants' views on the intervention.

To assess treatment fidelity, standardized notation sheets will be used for the various intervention modules (exercise, participant education session, individual counselling sessions). Interventionists will be instructed to record any deviations concerning the intended intervention delivery. Further, the study team will document any questions of the interventionists regarding the program delivery. This kind of 'troubleshooting' during the intervention phases will not only ensure high treatment fidelity but also provides information on possible barriers and facilitators of the intervention delivery.

To capture characteristics of the interventionists, the following questionnaires will be used in versions adapted to *MultiPill-Exercise* before (t0) and after the intervention (t6): First, a German version of the Occupational Self-Efficacy Scale (OCCSEFF) [88] modified according to Rigotti, Schyns [55]; second, a scale transferred from the school context assessing subjective task values [56]; and third, we use items of the three implementation outcome measures according to Weiner, Lewis [89]: Acceptability of Intervention Measure (AIM), Intervention Appropriateness Measure (IAM), and Feasibility of Intervention Measure (FIM).

In-depth analyses on interventionists' view on the program will be done based on qualitative interviews with at least seven of the interventionists after the last intervention delivery regarding acceptability, practicality, appropriateness and fidelity aspects of the latter. In addition, their satisfaction with the interventionists' training regarding the overall structure, contents and methods will be assessed in accordance to a formative evaluation procedure regarding exercise therapist training developed by Göhner, Schagg [58].

The evaluation of implementation regarding interfaces of the health service innovation will be conducted by employees of the health insurance company (APS) with short questions based on the AIM, IAM and FIM [89] regarding the practitioners' view on the acceptability, appropriateness and feasibility of the program and its prescription. In addition, participants in the control and intervention groups will be asked about their mode of access into the study program during telephone screenings that will take place during recruitment. The evaluation of implementation regarding the interface with community-based exercise offers will be carried out after the last intervention delivery using qualitative interviews with a sub-sample of the participants of the intervention group (14 in total) and at least seven employees of the health insurance company.

*Adherence* The number of attended training sessions will be documented by the exercise instructors for all onsite trainings. Furthermore, the returned training logs (number and type of exercises, subjective exhaustion) will be evaluated for training adherence.

*Safety: Adverse events and side-effects* The rating of the safety of the intervention is documented by listing exercise related adverse events or side-effects by different parties: The exercise specialists of the study sites will document all potential adverse events of the exercise of which they have become beware of. Participants are urged to report adverse events or side-effects to their guide or to report them in their training-log. Exercise-related adverse events are also retrospectively assessed via the online questionnaire at t3 and t6. All participants

can further contact the study physician of the university hospital at any time as outlined in the participant information sheet. The standard adverse events and severe adverse event form of clinical trials will be implemented in the SecuTrial database.

*Covariates* The following additional diagnoses, which are not considered as inclusion criteria but are of interest, are also evaluated:

To assess psychological stress of the participants in the context of a physical illness, the German Version of the 14-item Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-D) will be used [59]. The questionnaire shows good valid-ity and reliability [90].

The German version of the osteoporosis risk test (ORT) will be applied to screen for the current state of osteoporosis risk [60].

To assess the severity of back pain retrospectively over three months, a reliable and valid German version of the chronic pain grade (CPG) will be used [61, 62].

### Statistical analysis

The primary endpoint of the statistical analysis is the HEPA Index [73]. It will be analyzed at t6 immediately after the termination of Phase 2 of the intervention using a baseline adjusted analysis of covariance with primary factor "intervention" and including study site and recruiting phase as covariates. In case of a non-significant result, the confirmatory part of the analysis stops and all remaining analyses of the primary endpoint are non-confirmatory. In case of a significant result, the same type of model will be run for t12 as well as t18 and interpreted as confirmatory. This hierarchical approach avoids corrections for multiple testing. This seems justified, as we may expect that the effect of the intervention decreases during the follow up time.

Continuous secondary endpoints will be analyzed using the same statistical methods (analysis of ANCOVA, if baseline values are available, analysis of variance otherwise), but results will not be interpreted as confirmatory even if *p*-values and 95% confidence intervals of the main effects of the intervention are given. Binary outcomes will be analyzed using similar logistic regression models. This also holds for adherence to the intervention.

In each analysis, interactions between study site and intervention will be examined and included in the model if necessary. Success of randomization will be assessed using baseline comparisons between both study arms. Exploratory analysis will inspect the prognostic validity of the PROCAM-Score and specific diagnoses. Specific disease related outcomes will be analyzed in the respective subgroups only (section " secondary and other outcome measures", paragraph (3)).

Population treatment will be the intention of the primary analysis population. This population includes all participants who contribute at least baseline values of the primary outcome. Multiple imputations will be applied to subjects who drop out or do not contribute measurements of the primary outcome for other reasons.

An additional analysis is planned for the sub-sample as described in paragraph (5) of this section: laboratory values (blood, urine) will be analyzed using log2 transformation for qPCR data (fold changes). Data will be checked for normal distribution and subsequently analyzed for differences between the two groups using one-way ANOVA or Kruskal–Wallis test, respectively.

### Sample size

The primary endpoint is the HEPA index from the EHIS-PAQ. For this index we have raw data from the "Gesundheit in Deutschland" GEDA 2014/2015 study [91]. The standard deviation for weekly minutes of activity is 289 for male subjects and 233 for female subjects. A relevant improvement of activity is set to 15 min of activity per day. This corresponds to 105 min per week and an effect size of 0.36 conservatively using the standard deviation for male subjects. The sample size estimation is done for a t-test for independent samples. We need 123 evaluable subjects per group to achieve a power of 80% with a type 1 error of 0.05 (two-sided). With 320 allocated subjects and assuming 20% drop outs in both groups, we expect 256 subjects with primary endpoint. Taking into account a loss of seven degrees of freedom due to adjustment of study site and two degrees of freedom due to adjustment of baseline and gender, we achieve the number of 123 evaluable participants per group. However, we assume that due to the baseline adjustment the actual power in the ANCOVA model will be larger than calculated.

#### Economic evaluation

The costs (including the costs for the intervention) will be related to the differences between the groups in quality adjusted life years (QALYs) (Eq. 1) and health related effects (e.g. HOOS Index, PROCAM-Score: Eq. 2).

Equation 1: Cost-Utility Analysis (CUA) = Incremental Cost Utility Ratio (ICUR)

$$ICUR = \frac{\Delta Cost}{\Delta QALYs}$$
(1)

Equation 2: Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (CEA) = Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER)

$$ICER = \frac{\Delta Cost}{\Delta E ffect}$$
(2)

Uncertainty sampling in the ICER will be handled using nonparametric bootstrapping and graphically presented on a cost-effectiveness plane. We will examine cost-effectiveness and cost-utility from a societal and health care perspective.

# Data monitoring

We plan for internal monitoring from a physician not involved in data assessment, data management and data analysis, independent from the sponsor and without competing interests. The monitoring will be conducted referring to the standard operating procedure for data monitoring provided by the Centre for Clinical Trials of the Medical Faculty and the University Hospital. The physician will be certified as a Good Clinical Practice (GCP)-physician. The monitor will undertake patient validation and source data verification including a complete check of the documentation of inclusion and exclusion criteria and informed consent and the appropriateness of study inclusion according to the presence and absence of inclusion and exclusion criteria. Further source data that have be transferred into the eCRF will be checked at random (approx. 10%).

# Discussion

Within this protocol, a comprehensive PA promotion program for people with multiple NCDs is introduced. MultiPill-Exercise has been designed to address insufficient active people suffering of multiple NCDs, including a holistic approach. Several research questions will be evaluated: (1) The effectiveness of a comprehensive lifestyle and exercise intervention specifically designed for patients with multimorbidity on physical activity status (primary outcome of the trial); (2) the effectiveness of the intervention on generic and disease specific health outcomes, (3) the effectiveness of the intervention regarding the prevention and progression of specific diseases (4); the economic efficiency of the lifestyle intervention in the treatment of several NCDs; (5) the feasibility of implementation of the intervention into patient care in a regular health care setting including safety aspects of the intervention. (1) to (4) will be evaluated in the short and long term in comparison to typical health care offers.

### Limitations

Several limitations have to be addressed. All participants are allowed to take part in other health care offers. This includes IG and CG. Also, the number of health offers for CG is not completely limited. This cannot be prohibited, as all insurance holders with a physician's recommendation have the right to participate in several health care offers. The exclusive participation in *Multi-Pill-Exercise* only cannot be ensured and, as stated, the number of offers for the CG cannot be completely predicted. To account for this, all follow-up questionnaires ask after any additional participation in any health care offer.

The weighting of nutrition and exercise in the intervention is not equal in this study, as the primary focus of the intervention is on exercise. Nevertheless, both components are an elementary part of comprehensive intervention.

Although follow-up data will be gathered in the context of this trial for 18-months, statements on the effect of the intervention in the long run, such as rates of post-study surgery and disease specific health care costs, may be limited. If the 18-month evaluations are positive in terms of study outcomes, a protocol amendment for a 5-year follow up may be considered.

# Conclusion

Results of this pragmatic trial will assess the effectiveness, efficiency and safety of a comprehensive physical exercise intervention program in people with multiple chronic diseases in a real-life scenario. This pragmatic trial will therefore be used as a proof of concept with the opportunity of a direct translation of the program into clinical routine in the case of its positive evaluation. Both, the translational approach on the one hand and the multi-site RCT with its rigor methods and standardized operating procedures for the conduction of the intervention on the other hand, will allow valid conclusions for the implementation of physical exercise interventions in people with multimorbidity.

#### Abbreviations

AIM: Acceptability of Intervention Measure; APS: Physician-Partner-Service (Arzt-Partner-Service); BMI: Body Mass Index; BMZI: Bernese Motive and Goal Inventory; BW: Baden-Wuerttemberg; CEA: Cost-Effectiveness Analysis; CG: Control Group; COMET: Counseling approach based on motives and goals in exercise and sport; CPG: Chronic pain grad; CUA: Cost-Utility Analysis; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; DEGS: German Health Examination Survey; DMP: Disease management program; DMT2: Diabetes mellitus type 2; EHIS-PAQ: European Health Interview Survey - Physical Activity Questionnaire; eCRF. Electronic case report form; FIM: Feasibility of Intervention Measure; FFQ: Food frequency questionnaire; GCP: Good Clinical Practice; GDRS: German Diabetes Risk Score; GEDA study: German Health study; HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale- German; HEPA Index: Health enhancing physical activity index; HOOS: Hip Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; IAM: Intervention Appropriateness Measure: ICER: Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio: ICE: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; ICUR: Incremental Cost Utility Ratio; IG: Intervention Group; KOOS: Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; MSES: Multidimensional Self-Efficacy for Exercise Scale; NCD: Non-communicable disease; OCCSEFF: Occupational Self-Efficacy Scale; OA: Osteoarthrosis; OB: Obesity; ORT: Osteoporosis Risk Test; OW: Overweight; PA: Physical Activity; PAHCO: Physical activity-related health competence; PE: Physical Exercise; PRECIS: Pragmatic-Explanatory Continuum Indicator Summary; PROCAM-Score: Prospective Cardiovascular Muenster Study-Score;

QALY: Quality adjusted life years; RCT: Randomized controlled trial; SKK-Scale: Self-concordance of sport- and exercise-related goals scale; SPIRIT: Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for interventional trials; TSK-GV: Tampa Scale for Kinesoiophobia – German version; VR12: Veterans RAND 12 Item Health Survey.

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12889-022-13400-9.

Additional file 1.	
Additional file 2.	
Additional file 3.	
Additional file 4.	

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Joana Schmidt, Eva Ortlieb and Stefanie Däbel for the support with study planning. Thanks to Prof. Dr. Andreas Niess und Prof. Dr. Christof Burgstahler for his medical advice throughout the study conception. We would also like to honor the advice and help of PD Dr. Julia Schmid on the integration of the BMZI and COMET concept. We would like to thank Dr. Pia Janssen for her continuous support in the planning and implementation of the intervention.

#### Authors' contributions

GM, BM, PM, GS, KD, IK, SiS have contributed to the design and development of the trial. All authors have been included in the preparation of the manuscript. SiS developed the manuscript. SiS and IK drafted the manuscript. PM designed the statistical procedures, GM the economic analysis, GS and KD the process evaluation and the health psychological outcomes. BM was in charge for the design of the sub-population. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This trial is funded by the health insurance company AOK Baden-Wuerttemberg. The non-profit health insurance company is involved in the trial set-up, data acquisition, conduction of the intervention and data analysis, especially of the economic data. The funding body is involved in the preparation of the manuscript, especially the economic data. We further acknowledge support by Open Access Publishing Fund of the University of Tuebingen.

#### Availability of data and materials

Data and material used and/or analyzed during the current study will be made available from the corresponding author on reasonable request after the conclusion of the study.

The study results will be published both as publications and within the framework of national and international congresses. The study was also registered in the German Clinical Trial register, which is open to the public. If the study is successful, the *MultiPill-Exercise* program will be established in the health care system of the statutory health insurance company in the long term.

### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study has been approved by the Ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Tuebingen (628/2021BO2). The trial was registered at www. drks.de (ID: DRKS00025033) on the 30 September 2021. Participants will be informed about potential harms and benefits of the study and the voluntariness of study participation. Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants prior to the study inclusion. In case of modifications of the protocol an amendment will be sent to the local Ethics committee prior to the implementation.

Data privacy is warranted by including different parties to guarantee pseudonymization of patient data derived from different data sources. Each party has a predefined right of access to personal and study data of the participants. All involved parties will sign a contract according to Art 26 DSGVO referring to the joint controllership for data privacy before the inclusion of the first participant.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The non-profit health insurance company and funder of the trial is involved in the trial set-up, data acquisition, conduction of the intervention and data analysis. The Department of Sports Medicine of the Medical Clinic of the University Hospital offers a 2-days education program for exercise instructors for the *MultiPill-Exercise* intervention. The funder will assess economic outcomes such as direct and indirect health care costs and further evaluates the concept in cooperation with the University Hospital.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sports Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital, Medical Clinic, Hoppe-Seyler Str. 6, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Interfaculty Research Institute for Sports and Physical Activity, Tuebingen, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Allgemeine Ortskrankenkasse AOK Baden-Wuerttemberg, Presselstrasse 19, 70191 Stuttgart, Germany. <sup>4</sup> Department of Sports Science Tuebingen, Faculty of Economic and Social Science, Eberhard Karls University Tuebingen, Wilhelmstrasse 124, 72074 Tuebingen, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Department for Clinical Epidemiology and Applied Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital, Medical Clinic, Silcherstrasse 5, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany.

Received: 10 December 2021 Accepted: 11 May 2022 Published online: 13 June 2022

#### References

- Moffat K, Mercer SW. Challenges of managing people with multimorbidity in today's healthcare systems. BMC Fam Pract. 2015;16(1):129.
- Puth MT, Weckbecker K, Schmid M, Münster E. Prevalence of multimorbidity in Germany: impact of age and educational level in a cross-sectional study on 19,294 adults. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):826.
- Struckmann V, Melchiorre MG, Hujala A, Rijken M, Quentin W, van Ginneken E. Caring for people with multiple chronic conditions in Europe. Eurohealth Inc Euro Observer. 2014;20(3):35–40.
- Violan C, Foguet-Boreu Q, Flores-Mateo G, Salisbury C, Blom J, Freitag M, et al. Prevalence, determinants and patterns of multimorbidity in primary care: a systematic review of observational studies. PLoS One. 2014;9(7):e102149.
- Gesundheitsausgaben pro Tag überschreiten Milliardengrenze. Wiesbaden: Statistisches Bundesamt; 2018. Recieved from: https://www.desta tis.de/DE/PresseService/Presse/Pressemitteilungen/2018/02/PD18\_050\_ 23611.html;jsessionid=88500BA48AA02130F9545CC63FC8F033.Inter netLive1.
- McPhail SM. Multimorbidity in chronic disease: impact on health care resources and costs. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2016;9:143–56.
- Skou ST, Pedersen BK, Abbott JH, Patterson B, Barton C. Physical activity and exercise therapy benefits more than just symptoms and impairments in people with hip and knee osteoarthritis. J Orthop Sport Phys Ther. 2018;48(6):439–47.
- Widmann M, Krauß I, Janßen P, Nieß AM, Munz B. Biomarkers to monitor efficacy of exercise programs in multimorbid osteoarthritis patients: is inflammation the clue? Deutsche Zeitschrift f
  ür Sportmedizin. 2019;70:235–41.
- Khalafi M, Symonds ME. The impact of high-intensity interval training on inflammatory markers in metabolic disorders: a meta-analysis. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2020;30:2020–36.
- Esposito K, Pontillo A, Di Palo C, Giugliano G, Masella M, Marfella R, et al. Effect of weight loss and lifestyle changes on vascular inflammatory markers in obese women: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2003;289(14):1799–804.
- Löllgen H. Importance and evidence of regular physical activity for prevention and treatment of diseases. Dtsch Med Wochenschr. 2013;138(44):2253–9.

- Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, Jesus JMd, Miller NH, Hubbard VS, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC Guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk. Circulation. 2014;129(25\_suppl\_2):S76–99.
- Nieß AM, Thiel A. Körperliche Aktivität und Sport bei Typ-2-Diabetes. Diabetologie. 2017;12:112–26.
- Krauß I, Steinhilber B, Haupt G, Miller R, Martus P, Janßen P. Exercise therapy for hip and knee osteoarthritis. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2014;111(35–36):592–9.
- Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, Van der Esch M, Simic M, Bennell KL. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee: a Cochrane systematic review. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(24):1554–7.
- Fiuza-Luces C, Garatachea N, Berger NA, Lucia A. Exercise is the real polypill. Physiology (Bethesda). 2013;28(5):330–58.
- Finger JD, Mensink G, Lange C, Manz K. Health-enhancing physical activity during leisure time among adults in Germany. Journal of Health Monitoring. 2017;2(2):37–44.
- Sudeck G, Geidl W, Abu-Omar K, Finger J, Krauß I, Pfeifer K. Do adults with non-communicable diseases meet the German physical activity recommendations – A cross-sectional analysis of the GEDA-2014/2015 survey. Ger J Exerc Sport Res. 2021;51:183–93.
- 19. Esser N, Legrand-Poels S, Piette J, Scheen AJ, Paquot N. Inflammation as a link between obesity, metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2014;105(2):141–50.
- 20. Martel-Pelletier J, Barr AJ, Cicuttini FM, Conaghan PG, Cooper C, Goldring MB, et al. Osteoarthritis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016;2:16072.
- Carl J, Sudeck G, Pfeifer K. Competencies for a healthy physically active lifestyle—reflections on the model of physical activity-related health competence. J Phys Act Health. 2020;17(7):688.
- Sudeck G, Pfeifer K. Physical activity-related health competence as an integrative objective in exercise therapy and health sports – conception and validation of a short questionnaire. Sportwissenschaft. 2016;46(2):74–87.
- Schmid J, Schorno N, Gut V, Sudeck G, Conzelmann A. "What type of activity suits me?" Development and implementation of the Exercise and Sport Counselling Approach COMET. Zeitschr Sportpsychol. 2020;27:127–38.
- Krauss I, Katzmarek U, Rieger MA, Sudeck G. Motives for physical exercise participation as a basis for the development of patient-oriented exercise interventions in osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional study. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2017;53(4):590–602.
- Dobson F, Bennell KL, French SD, Nicolson PJ, Klaasman RN, Holden MA, et al. Barriers and facilitators to exercise participation in people with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis: synthesis of the literature using behavior change theory. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2016;95(5):372–89.
- Rijken M, Hujala A, van Ginneken E, Melchiorre MG, Groenewegen P, Schellevis F. Managing multimorbidity: Profiles of integrated care approaches targeting people with multiple chronic conditions in Europe. Health Policy. 2018;122(1):44–52.
- Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krleža-Jerić K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158(3):200–7.
- Loudon K, Treweek S, Sullivan F, Donnan P, Thorpe KE, Zwarenstein M. The PRECIS-2 tool: designing trials that are fit for purpose. BMJ. 2015;350:h2147.
- Fuchs J, Rabenberg M, Scheidt-Nave C. Prevalence of selected musculoskeletal conditions in Germany. Epidemiologie und Gesundheitsberichterstattung: Robert Koch-Institut; 2013.
- 30. Paprott R, Mühlenbruch K, Mensink GBM, Thiele S, Schulze MB, Scheidt-Nave C, et al. Validation of the German Diabetes Risk Score among the general adult population: findings from the German Health Interview and Examination Surveys. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. 2016;4(1):e000280.
- Schulze MB, Hoffmann K, Boeing H, Linseisen J, Rohrmann S, Möhlig M, et al. An accurate risk score based on anthropometric, dietary, and lifestyle factors to predict the development of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(3):510.
- 32. Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulte H. The Münster Heart Study (PROCAM). Results of follow-up at 8 years. Eur Heart J. 1998;19 Suppl A:A2-11.
- Team WGI. The SuRF Report 2. Surveillance of chronic disease risk factors: country-level data and comparable estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.

- WHO. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). Geneva: World Health Organisation (WHO); 2001 [updated 02.03.2018; cited 2020 30.07.20]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ classifications/icf/en/.
- Rütten A, Pfeifer K. National Recommendations for Physical Activity and Physical Activity Promotion. Rütten A, Pfeifer K, Abu-Omar K, Geidl W, Messing S, Burlacu I, et al., editors. Erlangen: FAU University Press; 2016.
- WHO. Physical activity: World Heatlh Organisation; 2020 [19.08.2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physi cal-activity.
- Schweda S, Sudeck G, Schmid J, Janßen P, Krauß I. MultiPill Exercise: Conception of an individualized exercise program for people with multiple chronic diseases at the interfaces of health care as well as recreational and health sports. B&G. 2021;37(1):30–6.
- European Health Interview Survey (EHIS) Questionnaire. [http://ec. europa.eu/health/ph\_information/implement/wp/systems/docs/ev\_ 20070315\_ehis\_en.pdf].
- Kazis LE, Miller DR, Skinner KM, Lee A, Ren XS, Clark JA, et al. Patientreported measures of health: The Veterans Health Study. J Ambul Care Manage. 2004;27(1):70–83.
- Kazis LE, Miller DR, Skinner KM, Lee A, Ren XS, Clark JA, et al. Applications of methodologies of the Veterans Health Study in the VA healthcare system: conclusions and summary. J Ambul Care Manage. 2006;29(2):182–8.
- Nikiphorou E, Radner H, Chatzidionysiou K, Desthieux C, Zabalan C, van Eijk-Hustings Y, et al. Patient global assessment in measuring disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis: a review of the literature. Arthritis Res Ther. 2016;18(1):1–11.
- 42. Rolfson O, Wissig S, van Maasakkers L, Stowell C, Ackerman I, Ayers D, et al. Defining an international standard set of outcome measures for patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis: consensus of the international consortium for health outcomes measurement hip and knee osteoarthritis working group. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2016;68(11):1631–9.
- Messier SP, Callahan LF, Golightly YM, Keefe FJ. OARSI Clinical Trials Recommendations: design and conduct of clinical trials of lifestyle diet and exercise interventions for osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015;23(5):787–97.
- 44. Haftenberger M, Heuer T, Heidemann C, Kube F, Krems C, Mensink GBM. Relative validation of a food frequency questionnaire for national health and nutrition monitoring. Nutr J. 2010;9(1):36.
- Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Beam WC. A 30-s chair-stand test as a measure of lower body strength in community-residing older adults. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1999;70(2):113–9.
- Rodgers WM, Wilson PM, Hall CR, Fraser SN, Murray TC. Evidence for a multidimensional self-efficacy for exercise scale. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2008;79(2):222–34.
- Seelig H, Fuchs R. Messung der sport- und bewegungsbezogenen Selbstkonkordanz [Measuring sport- and movement-related self concordance]. Z Sportpsychol. 2006;13(4):121–39.
- Carl J, Sudeck G, Geidl W, Schultz K, Pfeifer K. Competencies for a healthy physically active lifestyle—validation of an integrative model. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2021;92(3):514–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2020.17528 85.
- Schorno N, Sudeck G, Gut V, Conzelmann A, Schmid J. Choosing an activity that suits: development and validation of a questionnaire on motivational competence in exercise and sport German. J Exerc Sport Res. 2021;51(1):71–8.
- Sniehotta FF, Schwarzer R, Scholz U, Schüz B. Action planning and coping planning for long-term lifestyle change: theory and assessment. Euro J Soc Psych. 2005;35(4):565–76.
- 51. Brand R. Die affektive Einstellungskomponente und ihr Beitrag zur Erklärung von Sportpartizipation. Z Sportpsychol. 2006;13(4):147–55.
- Schmid J, Gut V, Conzelmann A, Sudeck G. Bernese motive and goal inventory in exercise and sport: validation of an updated version of the questionnaire. PLoS One. 2018;13(2):e0193214.
- Rusu AC, Kreddig N, Hallner D, Hülsebusch J, Hasenbring MI. Fear of movement/(Re)injury in low back pain: confirmatory validation of a German version of the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2014;15:280.
- Juergen S, Nübling R. ZUF-8. Fragebogen zur Messung der Patientenzufriedenheit. 2002. p. 392–6.

- Rigotti T, Schyns B, Mohr G. A short version of the occupational selfefficacy scale: structural and construct validity across five countries. J Career Assess. 2008;16(2):238–55.
- Steinmayr R, Spinath B. Konstruktion und erste Validierung einer Skala zur Erfassung subjektiver schulischer Werte (SESSW). Diagnostica. 2010;56(4):195–211.
- Kien C, Griebler U, Schultes M-T, Thaler KJ, Stamm T. Psychometric testing of the German versions of three implementation outcome measures. Glob Implement Res Appl. 2021;1(3):183–94.
- Göhner W, Schagg D, Küffner R, Reusch A. Psychologische Strategien zur Bewegungsförderung: Entwicklung von Fortbildungen für die Bewegungstherapie (BeFo). B&G Bewegungstherapie und Gesundheitssport. 2018;34(04):168–77.
- Herrmann-Lingen C, Buss U, Snaith RP. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale - Deutsche Version (HADS-D). Bern: Hans Huber; 2011.
- Bartl R. Management der Osteoporose Definition, Symptome, Diagnostik, Vorsorge Therapie. Bewegungstherapie und Gesundheitssport. 2014;30:206–14.
- Klasen BW, Hallner D, Schaub C, Willburger R, Hasenbring M. Validation and reliability of the German version of the Chronic Pain Grade questionnaire in primary care back pain patients. Psychosoc Med. 2004;1:Doc07-Doc.
- Von Korff M, Ormel J, Keefe FJ, Dworkin SF. Grading the severity of chronic pain. Pain. 1992;50(2):133–49.
- Fuchs R, Goehner W, Seelig H. Long-term effects of a psychological group intervention on physical exercise and health: the MoVo concept. J Phys Act Health. 2011;8(6):794–803.
- Sudeck G, Höner O, Edel K. Integration theoriegeleiteter edukativer Maßnahmen in die kardiologische Sport und Bewegungstherapie. Bewegungstherapie und Gesundheitssport. 2007;22:94–8.
- Fuchs R. Das MoVo-Modell als theoretische Grundlage f
  ür Programme der Gesundheitsverhaltens
  änderung. In: Fuchs R, G
  ühner W, Seelig H, editors. Aufbau eines k
  örperlich aktiven Lebensstils. G
  öttingen: Hogrefe; 2007. p. 317–25.
- Carroll JK, Fiscella K, Epstein RM, Sanders MR, Williams GC. A 5A's communication intervention to promote physical activity in underserved populations. BMC Health Serv Res. 2012;12:374.
- 67. Miller WR, Rose GS. Toward a theory of motivational interviewing. Am Psychol. 2009;64(6):527–37.
- Struckmann V, Boerma W, van Ginneken E. The Gesundes Kinzingtal programme, Germany. Innovating care for people with multiple chronic conditions in Europe (ICARE4EU); 2015.
- Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, Bond L, Bonell C, Hardeman W, et al. Process evaluation of complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ. 2015;350:h1258.
- 70. Paulsen MM, Varsi C, Andersen LF. Process evaluation of the implementation of a decision support system to prevent and treat disease-related malnutrition in a hospital setting. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21(1):281.
- De Silva MJ, Breuer E, Lee L, Asher L, Chowdhary N, Lund C, et al. Theory of Change: a theory-driven approach to enhance the Medical Research Council's framework for complex interventions. Trials. 2014;15(1):267.
- Rantsi M, Hyttinen V, Jyrkkä J, Vartiainen A-K, Kankaanpää E. Process evaluation of implementation strategies to reduce potentially inappropriate medication prescribing in older population: a scoping review. Res Soc Adm Pharm. 2022;18(3):2367–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2021. 04.012.
- Finger JD, Tafforeau J, Gisle L, Oja L, Ziese T, Thelen J, et al. Development of the European Health Interview Survey - Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) to monitor physical activity in the European Union. Arch Public Health. 2015;73:59.
- Baumeister SE, Ricci C, Kohler S, Fischer B, Töpfer C, Finger JD, et al. Physical activity surveillance in the European Union: reliability and validity of the European Health Interview Survey-Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ). Epidemiologie und Gesundheitsberichterstattung: Robert Koch-Institut; 2016.
- 75. Ainsworth B, Cahalin L, Buman M, Ross R. The current state of physical activity assessment tools. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2015;57(4):387–95.
- Dowd KP, Szeklicki R, Minetto MA, Murphy MH, Polito A, Ghigo E, et al. A systematic literature review of reviews on techniques for physical activity measurement in adults: a DEDIPAC study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018;15(1):15.

- 77. Kazis LE, Selim A, Rogers W, Ren XS, Lee A, Miller DR. Dissemination of methods and results from the Veterans Health Study: Final comments and implications for future monitoring strategies within and outside the veterans healthcare system. J Ambul Care Manage. 2006;29(4):310–9.
- Roos EM, Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2003;1:64.
- Arbab D, van Ochten JHM, Schnurr C, Bouillon B, Konig D. Assessment of reliability, validity, responsiveness and minimally important change of the German Hip dysfunction and osteoarthritis outcome score (HOOS) in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip. Rheumatol Int. 2017;37(12):2005–11.
- Roos EM, Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) – validation and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2003;1(1):17.
- Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulte H. Simple scoring scheme for calculating the risk of acute coronary events based on the 10-year follow-up of the Prospective Cardiovascular Münster (PROCAM) Study. Circulation. 2002;105:310–5.
- Assmann G, Schulte H, Cullen P, Seedorf U. Assessing risk of myocardial infarction and stroke: new data from the Prospective Cardiovascular Münster (PROCAM) study. Eur J Clin Invest. 2007;37(12):925–32.
- Durst J, Roesel I, Sudeck G, Sassenberg K, Krauss I. Effectiveness of human versus computer-based instructions for exercise on physical activity-related health competence in patients with hip osteoarthritis: randomized noninferiority crossover trial. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(9):e18233.
- Crites SL, Fabrigar LR, Petty RE. Measuring the affective and cognitive properties of attitudes: conceptual and methodological issues. Pers Soc Psychol Bull. 1994;20(6):619–34.
- Lehnert K, Sudeck G, Conzelmann A. BMZI Berner Motiv- und Zielinventar im Freizeit- und Gesundheitssport. Diagnostica. 2011;57(3):146–59.
- Conzelmann A, Lehnert K, Schmid J, Sudeck G. Das Berner Motiv- und Zielinventari m Freizeit- und Gesundheitssport. In: Sportwissenschaft If, editor. "Welcher Sport für wen?". Bern 2012.
- Attkisson CC, Zwick R. The client satisfaction questionnaire. Psychometric properties and correlations with service utilization and psychotherapy outcome. Eval Program Plann. 1982;5(3):233–7.
- von Collani G, Schyns B. Generalisierte Selbstwirksamkeitserwartung. Zusammenstellung sozialwissenschaftlicher Items und Skalen (ZIS); 1999.
- Weiner BJ, Lewis CC, Stanick C, Powell BJ, Dorsey CN, Clary AS, et al. Psychometric assessment of three newly developed implementation outcome measures. Implement Sci. 2017;12(1):108.
- Petermann F. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Deutsche Version (HADS-D). Zeitschrift f
  ür Psychiatire, Psychologie und Psychotherapie. 2011;59(3):251–3.
- Koch-Institut R. Gesundheit in Deutschland aktuell 2014/2015-EHIS (GEDA 2014/2015-EHIS). In: Gesundheitsmonitorig AfEu, editor. 1 ed2018.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

