Research: Educational and Psychological Aspects

Long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of a text-message diabetes self-management support programme, SMS4BG

R. Dobson¹ , R. Whittaker^{1,2}, Y. Jiang¹, C. McNamara², M. Shepherd³, R. Maddison⁶, R. Cutfield², M. Khanolkar⁴ and R. Murphy^{4,5}

¹National Institute for Health Innovation,School of Population Health,University of Auckland, Auckland, ²Waitematā District Health Board, Auckland, ³School of Psychology, Massey University, Auckland, ⁴Auckland District Health Board, Auckland, ⁵School of Medicine, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand and ⁶Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition,Deakin University, Burwood, Vic., Australia

Accepted 11 November 2019

Abstract

Aims To determine the long-term effectiveness of an individually tailored text-message diabetes self-management support programme, SMS4BG, on glycaemic control at 2 years in adults with diabetes with an HbA_{1c} concentration > 64 mmol/mol (8%).

Methods We conducted a 2-year follow-up of a two-arm, parallel, randomized controlled trial across health services in New Zealand. Participants were English-speaking adults with type 1 or 2 diabetes and with an HbA_{1c} >64 mmol/mol (8%). In the main trial participants randomized to the intervention group (N=183) received up to 9 months of an automated tailored text-message programme in addition to usual care. Participants in the control group (N=183) received usual care for 9 months. In this follow-up study, 293 (80%) of 366 randomized participants in the main trial were included. The primary outcome measure was change in glycaemic control (HbA_{1c}) from baseline to 2 years. Mixed-effect models were used to compare the group differences at 3, 6, 9 and 24 months, adjusted for baseline HbA_{1c} and stratification factors (health district category, diabetes type and ethnicity).

Results The decrease in HbA_{1c} at 2 years was significantly greater in the intervention group [mean (sD) -10 (18) mmol/mol or -0.9 (1.6)%] compared with the control group [mean (sD) -1 (20) mmol/mol or -0.1 (1.8)%], with an adjusted mean difference of -9 mmol/mol (95% CI -14, -5) or -0.8% (95% CI -1.2, -0.4; *P*<0.0001).

Conclusions Improvements in glycaemic control resulting from a text-message diabetes self-management support programme were sustained at 2 years after randomization. These findings support the implementation of SMS4BG in current practice.

Diabet. Med. 37, 311-318 (2020)

Introduction

Alleviating the growing prevalence and burden of diabetes is a priority internationally [1]. Addressing the health inequalities seen for people from ethnic minority populations, such as Māori people (New Zealand indigenous population) and Pacific Islanders, is a priority. These populations not only experience a higher prevalence of the condition but also higher rates of associated long-term complications [2–6]. People with HbA_{1c} levels > 64 mmol/mol (8%) are at higher risk of the development of complications of diabetes, which are not only debilitating for the individual but are also costly to healthcare systems [7]. Improvements in blood glucose control can delay or prevent these complications which, in turn, can lead to improvements in quality of life for the patient and a reduction in the costs resulting from the management and treatment of complications [8–12].

Engagement with diabetes self-management is critical for good glycaemic control and there is a need for novel tools to better help people self-manage the condition. Text messages (SMS) have the advantage of universal use and, given the

Correspondence to: Rosie Dobson. E-mail: r.dobson@auckland.ac.nz (Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry Study ID no.: ACTRN12614001232628 Link: https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/ TrialReview.aspx?id=367369; Universal Trial no.: U1111-1162-7072). This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

What's new?

- Innovative interventions are needed to address the growing burden of diabetes and its associated long-term complications.
- Text-messaging interventions are ideal for expanding self-management support for people with diabetes given the ubiquity of mobile phones and their reach among people in their everyday lives where self-management of diabetes is crucial.
- This study provides evidence that improvements in glycaemic control resulting from an automated textmessage diabetes self-management support programme are sustained at 2 years.
- Text messaging can be an effective way of providing individually tailored support to people with diabetes outside the clinic environment.

ubiquity of mobile phones, may be the ideal platform for delivering self-management support within day-to-day life. While the evidence supporting the use of mobile health (mHealth) in diabetes is growing [13–15], there is little reported evidence of the sustainability of these effects over longer follow-up periods.

The SMS4BG (Self-Management Support for Blood Glucose) programme was developed to provide accessible diabetes support to adults with an $HbA_{1c} > 64 \text{ mmol/mol} (8\%)$. The theoretically based text-message programme provides individualized motivation and support as well as information and reminders to engage in diabetes self-management behaviours. Extensive end-user engagement and formative work was undertaken to inform the development of the programme, which has been previously described [16]. SMS4BG was found to be acceptable and perceived to be useful in a small pilot study [16], and a pragmatic randomized controlled trial (RCT) found it to result in modest but significant improvements in glycaemic control at 9 months' follow-up [17]

Given the chronic nature of diabetes and the long-term complications associated with poorer control of the condition, evidence of the sustainability of these effects over a longer term is needed. The aim of the present follow-up study was to investigate the long-term effectiveness of the programme at 2 years post initial randomization.

Methods

The study protocol and results of the main SMS4BG trial have been reported previously [17,18]. In summary, a 9-month, two-arm, parallel, RCT to assess the effectiveness of the SMS4BG intervention was conducted between June 2015 and August 2017 in adults (\geq 16 years) with type 1 or 2 diabetes. Eligible participants had to have had an HbA_{1c} \geq 65 mmol/mol [> 64 mmol/mol (8%)] in the preceding 9 months, were residing in New Zealand, had to be English-speaking, and were required to have access to any type of mobile phone and to be available for the duration of the 9-month study.

Eligible participants were randomized 1:1 to either the intervention or control group, stratified by health district category (high urban district or high rural/remote), type of diabetes (1 or 2), and ethnicity (Maori and Pacific Islander, or non-Māori/non-Pacific Islander). The randomization sequence was computer-generated using variable block sizes of two or four. Participants were randomized at the completion of the baseline interview using the REDCapTM randomization module which guaranteed treatment allocation was concealed until the point of randomization. Participants allocated to the intervention group received the SMS4BG programme in addition to usual care, whereas participants allocated to the control group received usual care only. Follow-up telephone interviews were carried out at 9 months post-randomization. All HbA1c blood tests were undertaken as part of routine patient care with results obtained through the patient medical records.

At the time the main study was completed, funding was not available for any further follow-up. In New Zealand it is not always acceptable to all participants to receive 'usual care' for their participation in a research study; therefore at completion of the main trial, the first 87 participants in the control group (the number was limited due to funding and time constraints) were offered the SMS4BG intervention. Of those offered, 64 (74%) accepted and received the intervention for up to 9 months. Subsequently we were successful in obtaining further funding for longer-term follow-up of the participants. To compare intervention and control group participants at 2 years' follow-up, we therefore had to exclude the control participants who had subsequently received the intervention.

All participants who consented to take part in the main trial were followed up at 2 years after randomization, excluding those from the control group who received the intervention at the end of the trial (n=64), those who had withdrawn their participation (n=3) and those who had died (n=6; Fig. 1). Those control participants who received the intervention at the end of the main trial were followed up separately at 9 and 24 months from the date they commenced the SMS4BG programme (data not reported). The 2-year HbA_{1c} test results were obtained for each eligible participant from medical records following exactly the same procedures used for data collection of the primary outcome in the main trial, with results obtained directly from patient records by clinic/hospital staff.

The study was approved by the New Zealand Health and Disability Ethics Committee (14/STH/162), and was registered on the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12614001232628).

Intervention

The SMS4BG programme has been previously described [16–18]. In summary, it is an automated text-message diabetes

Example core module message: "SMS4BG: Hi [firstname]. Welcome to the SMS4BG programme. We will be sending you text messages over the next few months to support you to manage your diabetes" Example core module (Māori) message: "SMS4BG: Kia ora [firstname]. Planning ahead is key to good diabetes management. Plan your meals, snacks, blood glucose testing & physical activity. Kia kaha" Example young adult module message: "SMS4BG: Don't let your diabetes get in the way of your social life or study/work. Taking the time to manage your diabetes now means more time for fun & friends" Example healthy eating module message: "SMS4BG: [hi]. Make sure you have plenty of healthy food options (e.g. chopped vegetables, unsalted nuts, yoghurt) ready for your next meal or snack" Example exercise module message: "SMS4BG: [hi] [firstname]. Exercising is easier with a friend. Find a friend or family member to walk, swim or attend exercise classes with" Example stress and mood management module message: "SMS4BG: Self-care is key to feeling good & managing stress. Good selfcare involves eating well, regular exercise, good sleep & relaxation time" Example blood glucose monitoring reminder message: "SMS4BG: [hi] [firstname]. It's time to check your blood glucose & let us know the result by replying to this message"

FIGURE 1 Example SMS4BG messages.

self-management support programme providing motivation and support for behaviours integral to successful management of diabetes. SMS4BG is personalized and tailored by demographic factors as well as personal goals and preferences. The programme consists of a number of different modules. In addition to a core set of motivational and support messages (available in three cultural versions: Māori, Pacific Islander or non-Māori/non-Pacific Islander), there is the choice to receive messages on other topics including insulin, smoking cessation, exercise, healthy eating, stress/mood management, living with diabetes as a young adult, and foot care. Additionally there is the option to receive reminders to monitor blood glucose, which participants could respond to by texting back their blood glucose level. Graphs of their blood glucose results were then available to view on a website or, if they had no internet access, these were mailed in hard copy form. Examples of messages can be seen in Fig. 1. The timing and frequency of messages, as well as the duration of the programme, was individually tailored, with message delivery managed by a content management system developed for this project. The programme was delivered at no cost to participants on any New Zealand mobile network.

Outcome measure

The primary outcome measure of this follow-up study was change in glycaemic control from baseline to 2 years, measured according to HbA_{1c} values.

Statistical analysis

Sample size calculations were conducted for the main trial (n=366; 183 per arm), which provided 80% power at 5% significance level to detect a clinically meaningful group difference of 5.5 mmol/mol (0.5%) in HbA_{1c} at 9 months, assuming a standard deviation of 18.6 mmol/mol (1.7%). For this follow-up study, statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All statistical tests were two-sided at a 5% significance level. Analyses were performed on the principle of intention-totreat, including all randomized participants who provided at least one valid measure on the primary outcome post randomization. Demographics and baseline characteristics of all participants followed up at 2 years were summarized by treatment group using descriptive statistics. A randomeffects mixed model with an unstructured covariance was used to evaluate the effect of intervention on HbA_{1c} at 3, 6, 9 and 24 months, adjusting for baseline HbA_{1c} and stratification factors and accounting for repeated measures over time. Adjusted mean differences in HbA_{1c} between two groups were estimated at each visit, by including an interaction term between treatment and month. Missing data on the outcome were taken into account in modelling based on the missingat-random assumption. Both 95% CIs and P values were reported. Model-adjusted estimates on the treatment difference between two groups were reported, together with 95% CIs and P values. Because the first 87 participants to

complete the main trial in the control group were offered SMS4BG, a sensitivity analysis was also carried out excluding the first 87 participants of both groups.

Results

Of the 366 randomized participants who consented to take part in the main trial (n=183 per arm), 354 (n=177 per arm) were included in the primary analysis at 9 months and 293 (intervention, n = 177 and control, n = 116) were eligible for follow-up at 2 years. Of those not eligible, six had died, three had withdrawn and 64 control group participants were excluded due to receiving the SMS4BG intervention at the end of the main trial (Fig. 2). The final 2-year follow-up data collection was completed in March 2019 with 2-year followup data available for 206 participants (intervention, n = 127and control, n = 79). The loss to follow-up rate (no 2-year follow-up data available or inability to follow up) was 28% in the intervention group and 32% in the control group. Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics for the study participants included in the 2-year follow-up. No imbalances were present with the exception of location, with a greater proportion of control participants from rural areas.

The baseline characteristics of the 64 control participants excluded from this study (those who chose to receive the intervention) did not differ from the remaining control participants with regard to any of the baseline characteristics except for location, with a higher proportion of control participants excluded living in an urban area (P=0.006).

A statistically significantly greater decrease in HbA_{1c} from baseline to 2-year follow-up was observed in the intervention group compared with the control group: mean (sD) -10 (18) mmol/mol [-0.9 (1.6)%] vs -1 (20) mmol/mol [-0.1 (1.8)%], adjusted mean difference -9 mmol/mol [(95% CI -14, -5); -0.8% (95% CI -1.2, -0.4); *P*<0.0001 (Table 2)].

Both diabetes type (type 1 vs 2) and ethnicity (non-Māori/ non-Pacific Islander vs Māori/Pacific Islander) were statistically significant confounders in the regression model, with participants with type 2 diabetes [adjusted mean differences -4 mmol/mol (95% CI -7, -1) or 0.4% (95% CI -0.6.-0.1); P=0.01] and those in the non-Māori/non-Pacific Islander ethnic group [adjusted mean differences -5 mmol/mol (95% CI -8, -2) or 0.5% (95% CI ---0.7, -0.2); P=0.002] having a greater reduction in HbA_{1c} at 2 years compared to their counterparts. Consistent with the main trial findings, there was no significant interaction between the treatment group and the subgroups (P=0.92 and P=0.63, respectively).

When the first 87 participants of both groups were excluded from the analysis, which left 96 randomized participants per group, the 2-year follow-up data were available for a total of 131 participants (intervention, n = 60 and control, n = 71). The change in HbA_{1c} from baseline to 2-year follow-up remained statistically significantly lower in the intervention group compared with the control group [mean (sD) -12 (18) mmol/mol or -1.1 (1.6) % vs 2 (18)

mmol/mol or 0.2 (1.7)%, adjusted mean group difference – 12 mmol/mol (95% CI –17, –6) or –1.1% (95% CI –1.6, – 0.6); *P*<0.0001].

A decrease in HbA_{1c} from baseline to 2-year follow-up was seen in 76% of participants from the intervention group compared with 46% of participants from the control group (chi-squared test, P<0.0001). At 2 years, the HbA_{1c} levels in 28% of participants in the intervention group and 14% in the control group dropped below 65 mmol/mol (P=0.02), the level considered to indicate 'poor control' in New Zealand.

Discussion

This paper describes the long-term follow-up of our previously reported main RCT, which demonstrated a modest improvement in post-programme (9 months) levels of HbA_{1c} in the SMS4BG intervention group compared with the control group. The long-term follow-up found that the SMS4BG programme led not only to significant improvements in glycaemic control at 2 years but to a larger effect size than was seen at 9 months. This shows that the effects seen post-programme at 9 months were sustained over 2 years, whereas the improvements in HbA_{1c} initially seen in the control group at 9 months had disappeared at 2 years.

The results of the present study, namely, a mean decrease in HbA_{1c} of 10 mmol/mol (0.9%) in those who had received the SMS4BG programme and a statistically significant group difference of 9 mmol/mol (0.8%), are clinically relevant in relation to the reduction of diabetes-related mortality and complications. Reductions in HbA_{1c} are associated with a reduced risk of diabetes complications [19], with a decrease of 11 mmol/mol (1%) in HbA_{1c} reported to be associated with a 21% reduction in deaths related to diabetes and a 37% reduction in microvascular complications (e.g. retinopathy)[19]. These significant long-term results, coupled with a high level of acceptability of SMS4BG reported by the majority of participants (reported in the main trial paper) support the implementation of SMS4BG to supplement clinical practice.

There are few other long-term follow-up studies of RCTs of diabetes self-management support programmes [20] and, in particular, none in SMS interventions [14,21,22], attesting to the significance of these findings. Although there is some evidence supporting clinically significant changes in HbA_{1c} at long-term follow-up of in-person diabetes self-management programmes [23,24], our results showing positive findings from this type of programme using a delivery method with fewer access barriers than in-person programmes is important.

This follow-up study is based on a high-quality RCT with an objectively measured primary outcome that is commonly used in diabetes trials, allowing comparison with other programmes. It also has a pragmatic community-based study design that measures the potential impact of the intervention in the way would be delivered if it were implemented on a



FIGURE 2 Trial registration flow chart.

large scale, that is, with little contact with researchers and alongside usual diabetes care.

We particularly focused on those who had higher HbA_{1c} results, a group with high need for support and assistance, and where a change in long-term control could have the greatest impact. The trial sample included a high proportion of participants on insulin and more than one-third were from rural areas. The sample also had a reasonably high proportion of indigenous Māori and other minority ethnicity groups who are at highest risk of diabetes and adverse outcomes from the complications of diabetes.

The intervention itself is based on theoretical constructs and techniques that have been shown to be helpful in behaviour change. It builds on our previously successful developments in mHealth for behaviour change, as well as effective diabetes self-management education principles. Importantly, it had high end-user engagement throughout the development process; it was developed with people who have diabetes and clinicians working with these people, as well as a Māori advisory group. Feedback from these people was used in the iterative development, from conceptualization, pre-testing, pilot testing and through to the final programme that was delivered in the trial. SMS4BG messages are automatically individually tailored to the needs and preferences of each individual, providing not only appropriate support but a personalized intervention without

Table 1 Baseline participant characteristics

Characteristic	Intervention group, N=177	Control group, N=116
Men, <i>n</i> (%)	89 (50)	68 (59)
Ethnicity, n (%)		
Māori	35 (20)	29 (25)
Pacific Islander	29 (16)	8 (7)
Asian	8 (5)	7 (6)
New Zealand European	89 (50)	57 (49)
Other	16 (9)	15 (13)
Ethnicity category, n (%)	. ,	. ,
Māori/Pacific Islander	64 (36)	37 (32)
non-Māori/non-Pacific Islander	113 (64)	79 (68)
Diabetes type, n (%)	· · /	. ,
Type 1	63 (36)	39 (34)
Type 2	114 (64)	77 (66)
Location, n (%)	× ,	. ,
High urban	120 (68)	67 (58)
High rural/remote	57 (32)	49 (42)
Smoking status, n (%)	× ,	. ,
Smoker	28 (16)	26 (22)
Non-smoker	149 (84)	90 (78)
Insulin treatment, n (%)	138 (78)	90 (78)
Referral source, n (%)	· · · ·	. ,
Primary care	71 (40)	47 (41)
Secondary care	101 (57)	68 (59)
Self-referred	5 (3)	1 (1)
Age group, n (%)		
16–24 years	25 (14)	8 (7)
25-49 years	65 (37)	42 (36)
50-64 years	68 (38)	51 (44)
≥65 years	19 (11)	15 (13)
Mean (SD) age, years	47 (15)	49 (15)
1	10 (11)	42 (40)

 Table 2 Treatment effect on HbA_{1c} values

additional resources. As diabetes is a condition requiring constant ongoing management, using a technology that reaches people in their everyday lives could have added benefit over traditional in-person programmes delivered away from a person's usual environment.

The main limitation of this follow-up study is the exclusion of those in the control group who subsequently received the programme at the end of the main trial. While this was considered a 'good thing' to offer the control group participants and was appreciated by those participants, it later interfered with our ability to include all randomized participants in the 2-year follow-up analysis. As we described above, at the time we offered this to the control group we had no funding to undertake any longer-term follow-up. In comparing those control group participants who received the intervention with those who did not, the only significant difference was a greater proportion of excluded control participants being from urban areas which could indicate a potential source of bias in these results. Although a significant limitation, when sensitivity analyses were performed, the treatment effect seen was even stronger.

Another limitation of the study was the loss to follow-up rate of 30% (no 2-year follow-up data available or inability to follow up). Because of the pragmatic nature of this study we relied on routinely collected data. Although people with diabetes are recommended to undergo 3-monthly HbA_{1c} testing, it is clear that this was not happening for many participants. Additionally, owing to a lack of results in the time lag for follow-up, it seems likely that some patients may have moved outside the area or even outside New Zealand, meaning that sourcing these participants results was not possible.

The limitations of the main trial and potential limitations of our intervention have been described in detail elsewhere [17].

The SMS4BG intervention provides a solution for extending diabetes self-management support that is both low-cost

	Intervention (<i>n</i> =177)	Control (<i>n</i> =116)	Un-adjusted Mean difference (95% CI) [*]	P value for difference	Adjusted mean difference (95% CI)*	P value for difference
HbA _{1c} , mmol/mol	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)				
Baseline	86 (18)	83 (15)				
9 months	77 (18)	79 (17)				
2 years	76 (19)	83 (18)				
Change from baseline at 9 months	-9 (15)	-4 (17)	-5(-8, -2)	0.004	-4(-7, -1)	0.013
Change from baseline at 2 years	-10(18)	-1 (20)	-10(-15, -5)	< 0.0001	-9(-14, -5)	<.0001
HbA _{1c} , %						
Baseline	10.1 (1.6)	9.8 (1.4)				
9 months	9.2 (1.7)	9.4 (1.6)				
2 years	9.1 (1.7)	9.7 (1.7)				
Change from baseline at 9 months	-0.8(1.4)	-0.4(1.6)	-0.5 (-0.8, -0.2)	0.004	-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)	0.013
Change from baseline at 2 years	-0.9 (1.6)	-0.1 (1.8)	-0.9(-1.4, -0.5)	<.0001	-0.8 (-1.2, -0.4)	<.0001

*Random-effects mixed model without and with adjustment for baseline HbA_{1c}, diabetes type, ethnicity and region. Both treatment group and visit were included in the model with their interaction term. A random subject effect was added to account for repeated measures on same participant.

DIABETICMedicine

and easily scalable. With this study establishing the longerterm benefit, the case for offering people with diabetes the option of such simple ongoing support is more compelling. Text messaging is simple, cheap and very acceptable to our population in need. Text messaging is also very accessible; anyone with a mobile phone is able to receive text messages regardless of phone, plan or credit, making it ideal for reaching into population groups for whom there are no other reliable communication methods.

Since the time of the study the authors have been working on options for implementation. Programmes such as SMS4BG are imminently scalable and are most cost-effectively implemented at a national or large scale. In order to be sustainable, methods for referral and registration need to be simple and end-user friendly. Depending on the health system context, this could be through general promotion and self-registration by people who want the programme, or it could be through direct referral from healthcare practitioners if the programme can be integrated into electronic health information systems. The next steps in research should be to investigate whether large-scale implementation of such programmes can have an impact on reducing health inequalities for priority populations.

In conclusion, this study shows that improvements in glycaemic control resulting from an automated text-message diabetes self-management support programme are sustained at 2 years. These results provide support for implementation of the programme to supplement current practice.

Funding sources

The SMS4BG 2-year follow-up study was funded by the New Zealand Society for the Study of Diabetes. Waitemata District Health Board funded the development of SMS4BG. The Health Research Council of New Zealand, in partnership with Waitematā District Health Board and Auckland District Health Board (through the Research Partnerships for New Zealand Health Delivery initiative), and the New Zealand Ministry of Health funded the RCT. The funders were not involved in any way in the preparation of the manuscript or analysis of the study results.

Competing interests

None declared.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ella Dixon for her assistance with the 2-year follow-up data collection. The authors would also like to thank the SMS4BG study participants, the staff from primary care and diabetes clinics who referred patients to the study, Coral Skipper, Louise Elia, Erana Poulsen and Hamish Johnstone (Māori Advisory Group members), Aumea Herman (Pacific Advisor), Joanna Naylor, Michelle Garrett (Content Development Advisors), Richard Edlin (Health Economist), Mahalah Ensor (Assistance with recruitment), Hannah Bartley, Rachel Sullivan, Anne Duncan and Gillian Lockhart (Research Assistants), Michelle Jenkins and John Faatui (Data Management Support), Karen Carter and Angela Wadham (Project Management Support), and the National Institute for Health Innovation's IT team.

References

- 1 Bommer C, Sagalova V, Heesemann E, Manne-Goehler J, Atun R, Bärnighausen T *et al.* Global economic burden of diabetes in adults: projections from 2015 to 2030. *Diabetes Care* 2018; **41**: 963–970.
- 2 Joshy G, Dunn P, Fisher M, Lawrenson R. Ethnic differences in the natural progression of nephropathy among diabetes patients in New Zealand: hospital admission rate for renal complications, and incidence of end-stage renal disease and renal death. *Diabetologia* 2009; **52**: 1474–1478.
- 3 Isaacs R, Scott D. Diabetic patient discharges from Middlemore Hospital in 1983. N Z Med J 1987; 100: 629–631.
- 4 Joshy G, Simmons D. Epidemiology of diabetes in New Zealand: revisit to a changing landscape. N Z Med J 2006; **119**: U1999.
- 5 Negandhi PH, Ghouri N, Colhoun HM, Fischbacher CM, Lindsay RS, McKnight JA *et al*. Ethnic Differences in Glycaemic Control in People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Living in Scotland. *PLoS* One 2013; 8: e83292.
- 6 Abouzeid M, Philpot B, Janus ED, Coates MJ, Dunbar JA. Type 2 diabetes prevalence varies by socio-economic status within and between migrant groups: analysis and implications for Australia. *BMC Public Health* 2013; 13: 252.
- 7 Elley CR, Kenealy T, Robinson E, Bramley D, Selak V, Drury PL et al. Cardiovascular risk management of different ethnic groups with type 2 diabetes in primary care in New Zealand. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2008; **79**: 468–473.
- 8 Williams R, Van Gaal L, Lucioni C. Assessing the impact of complications on the costs of Type II diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2002; 45: S13–S7.
- 9 Eastman RC, Javitt JC, Herman WH, Dasbach EJ, Copley-Merriman C, Maier W *et al.* Model of complications of NIDDM: II. Analysis of the health benefits and cost-effectiveness of treating NIDDM with the goal of normoglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20: 735–744.
- 10 Eastman RC, Javitt JC, Herman WH, Dasbach EJ, Zbrozek AS, Dong F et al. Model of complications of NIDDM: I. Model construction and assumptions. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20: 725–734.
- 11 Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Lifetime benefits and costs of intensive therapy as practiced in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *JAMA* 1996; 276: 1409–1415.
- 12 Wagner EH, Sandhu N, Newton KM, McCulloch DK, Ramsey SD, Grothaus LC. Effect of improved glycemic control on health care costs and utilization. JAMA 2001; 285: 182–189.
- 13 Liang X, Wang Q, Yang X, Cao J, Chen J, Mo X et al. Effect of mobile phone intervention for diabetes on glycaemic control: a meta-analysis. *Diabet Med* 2011; 28: 455–463.
- 14 Saffari M, Ghanizadeh G, Koenig HG. Health education via mobile text messaging for glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2014; 8: 275–285.
- 15 Arambepola C, Ricci-Cabello I, Manikavasagam P, Roberts N, French DP, Farmer A. The impact of automated brief messages

promoting lifestyle changes delivered via mobile devices to people with type 2 diabetes: a systematic literature review and metaanalysis of controlled trials. *J Med Internet Res* 2016; 18: e86.

- 16 Dobson R, Carter K, Cutfield R, Hulme A, Hulme R, McNamara C et al. Diabetes Text-Message Self-Management Support Program (SMS4BG): A Pilot Study. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* 2015; 3: e32.
- 17 Dobson R, Whittaker R, Jiang Y, Maddison R, Shepherd M, McNamara C *et al.* Effectiveness of text message based, diabetes self management support programme (SMS4BG): two arm, parallel randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2018; **361**: k1959.
- 18 Dobson R, Whittaker R, Jiang Y, Shepherd M, Maddison R, Carter K et al. Text message-based diabetes self-management support (SMS4BG): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials 2016; 17: 179.
- 19 Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HAW, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA *et al.* Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): Prospective observational study. *BMJ* 2000; **321**: 405–412.
- 20 Minet L, Møller S, Vach W, Wagner L, Henriksen JE. Mediating the effect of self-care management intervention in type 2 diabetes: a

meta-analysis of 47 randomised controlled trials. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010; 80: 29–41.

- 21 Dobson R, Whittaker R, Pfaeffli Dale L, Maddison R. The effectiveness of text message-based self-management interventions for poorly-controlled diabetes: a systematic review. *Digit Health* 2017; **3**: 2055207617740315.
- 22 Hamine S, Gerth-Guyette E, Faulx D, Green BB, Ginsburg AS. Impact of mHealth chronic disease management on treatment adherence and patient outcomes: a systematic review. J Med Internet Res 2015; 17(2): e52.
- 23 Speight J, Amiel SA, Bradley C, Heller S, Oliver L, Roberts S *et al.* Long-term biomedical and psychosocial outcomes following DAFNE (Dose Adjustment For Normal Eating) structured education to promote intensive insulin therapy in adults with suboptimally controlled Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010; **89**: 22–29.
- 24 Ko SH, Song KH, Kim SR, Lee JM, Kim JS, Shin JH *et al.* Longterm effects of a structured intensive diabetes education programme (SIDEP) in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus—a 4year follow-up study. *Diabet Med* 2007; 24: 55–62.