

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Early Outpatient Treatment of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19): A Comment



To the Editor:

McCullough et al propose a treatment algorithm for early outpatient treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is not supported by evidence. Early intervention is desperately needed, but unfortunately, no effective treatment is available.

Neither zinc (Zn) nor doxycycline have demonstrated inhibition of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in vitro or in animal or human studies. Favipiravir has antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 but does not have "proven" therapeutic efficacy in Ebola, Lassa fever, or rabies as claimed. Favipiravir should only be administered as part of clinical trials assessing efficacy and safety.

The Randomized Evaluation of COVID-19 therapy (RECOVERY) trial proved that large clinical trials can be completed rapidly during a pandemic. Dexamethasone was associated with reduced mortality for patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation or supplemental oxygen but not for patients receiving no respiratory support at randomization.³ Possible efficacy of prednisone in early disease is unproven speculation that cannot be recommended without further study.

McCullough et al recommended "antivirals" hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and azithromycin (AZ) "immediately" for high-risk patients, but HCQ did not show antiviral activity in human airway epithelium, and neither HCQ nor HCQ with AZ showed a significant effect on SARS-CoV-2 viral load in macaques.⁴ In a meta-analysis (total participants n = 32,943), HCQ was not associated with reduced mortality in hospitalized patients, but HCQ with AZ significantly increased mortality.⁵ Observational studies in which HCQ

Funding: None.

Conflicts of Interest: TK reports serving as a chairman at a meeting organized by Gilead and a speaker at a meeting sponsored by Janssen (both unrelated to this work and both with no personal payment); JHM reports that Gilead, Viiv, and Merck have supported his institution for the conduct of clinical trials.

Authorship: Both authors had access to the data and a role in writing this manuscript.

Requests for reprints should be addressed to Tony M. Korman, MBBS, FRACP, FRACPA, Monash Infectious Diseases, 246 Clayton Rd, Clayton, Victoria, 3168, Australia.

E-mail address: tony.korman@monash.edu

with or without AZ was associated with reduced mortality include important confounders (concomitant corticosteroid use in the HCQ group, more than double the nontreated group). Early treatment of outpatients with mild disease with HCQ with or without AZ has not demonstrated clinical or virological benefit, and no significant reduction of risk of hospitalization.

Facing mounting contrary evidence, the proponents of HCQ with AZ resemble the deluded Black Knight from *Monty Python and the Holy Grail* whose limbs are progressively cut off but continues to confidently proclaim triumph in the battle.

Tony M. Korman, MBBS, FRACP, FRCPA^{a,b} James H. McMahon, MBBS, MPH, PhD, FRACP^{c,d,e}

^aAdjunct Clinical Professor, Centre for Inflammatory Diseases, Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia ^bDirector, Monash Infectious Diseases, Director, Microbiology, Monash Pathology, Monash Health, Clayton Australia ^cHead of Clinical Research Unit and Infectious Diseases Physician, Department of Infectious Diseases, The Alfred and Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia ^dLevel 2, Burnet Institute, Melbourne, Australia ^eInfectious Diseases Physician, Monash Infectious Diseases, Monash Health, Clayton, Australia

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.08.044

References

- McCullough P, Kelly R, Ruocco G, et al. Pathophysiological basis and rationale for early outpatient treatment of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infection. Am J Med. Accessed August 30, 2020. doi: https://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.07.003
- Korman TM. Early outpatient treatment of symptomatic, high-risk COVID-19 patients [e-pub ahead of print]. Am J Epidemiol. Accessed August 30, 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwaa154
- The RECOVERY Collaboration Group. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 - preliminary report [e-pub ahead of print].
 N Eng J Med. Accessed August 30, 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa2021436

- Maisonnasse P, Guedj J, Contreras V, et al. Hydroxychloroquine use against SARS-CoV-2 infection in non-human primates. *Nature* 2020: (585):584–7 https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2558-4.
- Fiolet T, Guihur A, Rebeaud M, et al. Effect of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin on the mortality of COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis [e-pub ahead of print]. Clin Microbiol Infect. Accessed August 30, 2020. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cmi.2020.08.022
- Lee T, MacKenzie L, McDonald E, et al. An observational cohort study of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin for COVID-19: (can't get no) satisfaction. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020;98:216–7.
- Fox MP, D'Agostino McGowan L, James BD, et al. Concerns about the special article on hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in high risk outpatients with COVID-19 by Dr. Harvey Risch [e-pub ahead of print].
 Am J Epidemiol. Accessed August 30, 2020. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1093/aje/kwaa189