

See Article page 33.



## Commentary: “A bald approach for a shaggy situation”

Vicente Orozco-Sevilla, MD,<sup>a,b,c</sup> and  
Tomas A. Salerno, MD<sup>d</sup>

In this issue of *JTCVS Techniques*, Wakabayashi and colleagues<sup>1</sup> describe a bailout technique using a trans-apical access combined with the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) deployment. This was done in a patient with previous aortic arch replacement and frozen elephant trunk creation that failed to provide adequate seal and exclusion of a descending thoracic aortic aneurysm. It was felt that other alternative access, such as transcaval, transcarotid, axillary, transaortic, or femoral, were not feasible due to the previous debranching arch surgery. There was severe tortuosity of the descending aorta and severe irregular mural thrombus, so-called “shaggy aorta,” a condition that carries a high risk of atheroembolization with severe and devastating complications for the patient, including stroke, renal failure, paraplegia, and leg and intestinal ischemia. The lack of definition, classification, or scoring system for the treatment of this problem creates a dilemma in determining the best approach for treatment. This is despite efforts of some groups to create a score system to predict embolic complications.<sup>2</sup> What we know is that, regardless of the area of the aorta that needs to be treated by current endovascular (TEVAR) or open/hybrid techniques, the risk of mortality and complications is significantly higher



Vicente Orozco-Sevilla, MD, and Tomas A. Salerno, MD

### CENTRAL MESSAGE

The authors describe a bailout technique to minimize the risk of embolization in a shaggy aorta.

in this group of patients, ranging from 0% to 28% for embolic complications (including stroke) with 5%-8% 30-day mortality.<sup>2-4</sup>

Several elegant techniques have been described to minimize the risk of stroke when hybrid reconstructions of the arch are chosen, including one from Ryomoto and colleagues,<sup>5</sup> using a mini-cardiopulmonary bypass circuit to maintain retrograde flow after debranching of the head vessels while TEVAR is deployed in the proximal arch. In the technique described by Yamauchi and colleagues,<sup>6</sup> balloon occlusion of the innominate artery and subclavian is performed. Perfusion of the head vessels is achieved via multiple grafts for debranching of the head vessels, using a roller pump and a filter placed between the axillary graft and the femoral artery.

In their case, Wakabayashi and colleagues incorporated the use of low-flow ECMO just long enough to maintain hemodynamic stability and used transapical TEVAR to minimize the risk of spinal cord ischemia and embolization. This is not the first report on use of the transapical platform to deploy TEVAR; several similar cases with good technical success have been reported in the literature.<sup>7</sup> The importance of this case report is that using a combination of different described techniques, the authors were able to minimize the risk of embolization in an already complicated case. It is important to mention that the risk of embolization with the transapical approach is not insignificant, since wires and catheters are manipulated through the aorta. This case, like the others, illustrates that the use of extracorporeal circulation might add significant value either as a way to maintain hemodynamic stability or to provide

From the <sup>a</sup>Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Michael E. DeBakey Department of Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Tex; <sup>b</sup>Section of Adult Cardiac Surgery, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Texas Heart Institute, Houston, Tex; <sup>c</sup>CHI St Luke's Health, Baylor St Luke's Medical Center, Houston, Tex; and <sup>d</sup>Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami and Jackson Memorial Hospital, Miami, Fla.

Disclosures: The authors reported no conflicts of interest.

The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling or reviewing manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

Received for publication April 15, 2021; revisions received April 15, 2021; accepted for publication April 22, 2021; available ahead of print April 27, 2021.

Address for reprints: Tomas A. Salerno, MD, 1611 NW 12th Ave, Miami, FL 33136 (E-mail: [tsalerno@med.miami.edu](mailto:tsalerno@med.miami.edu)).

*JTCVS Techniques* 2021;8:38-9

2666-2507

Copyright © 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtc.2021.04.024>

protection against embolization when a debranching graft exists or a filter is used. Certainly, this case will open the arena for further simplification, innovation, and incorporation of the principles of new technologies, such as dynamic flow reversal or cerebral protection systems,<sup>8</sup> already being used in carotid surgery, total endovascular arch repair, and TAVR.

### References

1. Wakabayashi N, Kikuchi Y, Shibagaki K, Kamiya H. Transapical thoracic endovascular aortic repair with a frozen elephant trunk for thoracic aortic aneurysm with shaggy aorta. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Tech.* 2021; 8:33-6.
2. Maeda K, Ohki T, Kanaoka Y, Shukuzawa K, Baba T, Momose M. A novel shaggy aorta scoring system to predict embolic complications following thoracic endovascular aneurysm repair. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2020;60: 57-66.
3. Hiraoka A, Chikazawa G, Tamura K, Totsugawa T, Sakaguchi T, Yoshitaka H. Clinical outcomes of different approaches to aortic arch disease. *J Vasc Surg.* 2015;61:88-95.
4. Yokawa K, Ikeno Y, Henmi S, Yamanaka K, Okada K, Okita Y. Impact of shaggy aorta on outcomes of open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;160:889-97.e1.
5. Ryomoto M, Tanaka H, Mitsuno M, Yamamura M, Sekiya N, Uemura H, et al. A novel approach to prevent perioperative stroke in patients undergoing debranching thoracic endovascular aortic repair with a mini-cardiopulmonary bypass support. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2019;59:143-9.
6. Yamauchi T, Kubota S, Hasegawa K. Prevention from atheroembolization during thoracic endovascular aortic repair in patients with severe atherosclerosis in the aorta. *Ann Vasc Dis.* 2019;12:95-8.
7. Murakami T, Nishimura S, Hosono M, Nakamura Y, Sohgawa E, Sakai Y, et al. Transapical endovascular repair of thoracic aortic pathology. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2017;43:56-64.
8. Joseph G, Pillai R, Shukla V, Babu KS, Manickam S, Thomson VS, et al. Cerebral protection using percutaneous normothermic bilateral antegrade cerebral perfusion during total arch TEVAR in a patient with shaggy aorta. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2020;27:405-13.