OPEN O ACCESS

Crystal structure of bis{ $\mu_2$ -2,2'-[(4,10-dimethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-diyl)bis(methylene)]bis(4-oxo-4*H*-pyran-3-olato)}dicobaltcalcium bis(perchlorate) 1.36-hydrate

Patrizia Rossi,<sup>a</sup> Eleonora Macedi,<sup>a</sup>\* Paola Paoli,<sup>a</sup> Luca Giorgi,<sup>b</sup> Mauro Formica<sup>b</sup> and Vieri Fusi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Firenze, via Santa Marta 3, I-50139 Firenze, Italy, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Pure and Applied Sciences, Lab of Supramolecular Chemistry, University of Urbino, via della Stazione, 4, I-61029 Urbino, Italy. \*Correspondence e-mail: eleonora.macedi@unifi.it

The title compound,  $[CaCo_2(C_{22}H_{30}N_4O_6)_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 1.36H_2O$  or  $\{Ca[Co (H_2L1)_2$  · 2ClO<sub>4</sub>·1.36H<sub>2</sub>O {where L1 is 4,10-bis[(3-hydroxy-4-pyron-2-yl)methyl]-1,7-dimethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane}, is a trinuclear complex whose asymmetric unit comprises a quarter of the  $\{Ca[Co(H_2L1)]_2\}^{2+}$  trinuclear complex, half of a perchlorate ion and 0.34-water molecules. In the neutral  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  moiety, the cobalt ion is hexacoordinated in a trigonal-prismatic fashion by the surrounding N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> donor set. A Ca<sup>2+</sup> cation holds together two neutral [Co(H<sub>2</sub>L1)] moieties and is octacoordinated in a distorted trigonaldodecahedral fashion by the surrounding O atoms belonging to the deprotonated oxide and carbonyl groups of two  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  units. The coordination of the Co<sup>II</sup> cation preorganizes L1 and an electron-rich area forms, which is able to host hard metal ions. The comparison between the present structure and the previously published ones suggests a high versatility of this ligand; indeed, hard metal ions with different nature and dimensions lead to complexes having different stoichiometry (mono- and dinuclear monomers and trinuclear dimers) or even a polymeric structure. The heterotrinuclear  $Co^{II}$ -Ca<sup>II</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> complexes are connected in three dimensions via weak C-H···O hydrogen bonds, which are also responsible for the interactions with the perchlorate anions and the lattice water molecules. The perchlorate anion is disordered about a twofold rotation axis and was refined giving the two positions a fixed occupancy factor of 0.5. The crystal studied was refined as a twocomponent inversion twin [BASF parameter = 0.14 (4)].

#### 1. Chemical context

Polynuclear metal complexes have long been studied due to their versatility. They find applications in many fields, ranging from molecular recognition to transport and catalysis (Gokel & Barbour, 2017; Weber & Gokel, 2012; Ambrosi *et al.*, 2007*a,b*, 2008, 2009*a,b*; Martell & Hancock, 1996; Voegtle, 1996; Zelewsky, 1996; Lehn, 1988), to name just a few. Moreover, they find applications in the field of bioinorganic chemistry (Fanelli *et al.*, 2016; Marchetti *et al.*, 2015; Patra *et al.*, 2014), for instance as anticancer agents (Bruijnincx & Sadler, 2008) and artificial metalloproteases (Suh & Chei, 2008).

On the other hand, hard metal ions also find applications in the biological field. Both rare earth and alkaline earth metal ions are used in the biomedical field, in bioassays and bioimaging applications (Xiao *et al.*, 2016; Yin *et al.*, 2015; DaCosta *et al.*, 2014; Merbach *et al.*, 2013; Di Bernardo *et al.*,





Received 10 November 2017 Accepted 20 November 2017

Edited by C. Massera, Università di Parma, Italy

Keywords: crystal structure; macrocycle; polyamine ligands; heterotrinuclear complexes; alkaline-earth cations; maltol.

#### CCDC reference: 1586509

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

## research communications

2012; Price *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, hard metal ions are quite difficult to bind in water because they need a high coordination number without usually showing specific coordination requirements, issues that could be overcome using preorganized receptors bearing oxygenated donor sites. It follows that systems able to bind hard metal ions, both in aqueous solution and in the solid state, are very attractive. Indeed, they have found applications in fields ranging from new materials to medicinal chemistry (Blindauer *et al.*, 2017; Esteves *et al.*, 2016; Lomidze *et al.*, 2016; Yang *et al.*, 2014; Price *et al.*, 2006; Bernot *et al.*, 2006; Gatteschi *et al.*, 2006; Malandrino & Fragalà, 2006; Terai *et al.*, 2006).

Ligand L1 {4,10-bis[(3-hydroxy-4-pyron-2-yl)methyl]-1,7dimethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane} is a Maltol-based macrocycle (Amatori et al., 2012) capable of forming a mononuclear Co<sup>II</sup> species where both side-arms are forced by the transition metal ion to move and locate on the same part with respect to the macrocyclic plane (Borgogelli et al., 2013). Such a cobalt-driven preorganization allows the formation of an electron-rich area formed by the four converging oxygen atoms of the two maltolate functions of L1, suitable to host hard metal ions such as  $Ln^{III}$  (Ln = Gd, Eu; Benelli *et al.*, 2013; Rossi et al., 2017), Na<sup>I</sup> (Borgogelli et al., 2013) and Ba<sup>II</sup> (Paoli et al., 2017). The resulting heteropolynuclear systems differ in the number of the complexes involved in the coordination, depending on the nature of the hard cation. Indeed, the coordination of the hard ion leads to Co<sup>II</sup>-Ln<sup>III</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> heterotrinuclear dimers, a Na<sup>I</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> heterodinuclear monomer and a Ba<sup>II</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> heterodinuclear metal coordination polymer.



Herein we present a Co<sup>II</sup>–Ca<sup>II</sup>–Co<sup>II</sup> heterotrinuclear dimer of **L1** and a brief comparison with the previous **L1**-containing structures, highlighting the high versatility of this ligand.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The title compound is a trinuclear complex cation of formula  $\{Ca[Co(H_2L1)]_2\}$ ·2ClO<sub>4</sub>·1.36H<sub>2</sub>O and crystallizes in the tetragonal system in space group  $I\overline{4}$ . In the {Ca[Co(H<sub>2</sub>L1)]<sub>2</sub>}<sup>2+</sup> trinuclear complex (Fig. 1), two neutral  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  moieties are held together by the Ca<sup>2+</sup> cation, which is coordinated by oxygen atoms provided by the maltolate groups of the two complexes. The asymmetric unit comprises a quarter of the  ${Ca[Co(H_{-2}L1)]_2}^{2+}$  trinuclear complex, half of a perchlorate ion and 0.34 water molecules. The two halves of each cobalt complex are related by a twofold rotation axis, the cobalt ion lying on the symmetry element. The two cobalt complexes are then related by a fourfold rotoinversion axis, the calcium ion lying on the symmetry element. The disordered perchlorate ion and the water molecule lie on a twofold axis, with the chlorine atom (for  $ClO_4^{-}$ ) and the oxygen atom (for  $H_2O$ ) lying on the symmetry element.

In the neutral  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  moiety, the  $Co^{2+}$  ion is hexacoordinated by four nitrogen atoms of the macrocyclic base



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the  $\{Ca[Co(H_2L1)]_2\}^{2+}$  cation, with the atom labelling and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, z; (ii) y, -x, -z; (iii) -y, x, -z.]

and two deprotonated hydroxyl oxygen atoms provided by both the maltolate rings of the ligand; it exhibits a distorted trigonal-prismatic geometry (Muetterties & Guggenberger, 1974), with the N1,N2<sup>i</sup>,O1<sup>i</sup>/N1<sup>i</sup>,N2,O1 atoms [symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, z] defining the two triangular faces, which are parallel within  $15.6 (2)^{\circ}$  (Fig. 2, left). The cobalt ion is displaced 1.064 (1) Å above the mean plane defined by the four nitrogen atoms of the tetraazamacrocycle [maximum deviation of 0.044 (6) Å for N1]; according to the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.38, May 2017; Groom et al., 2016) such distance falls, together with the  $Co-N(CH_3)$ and Co-O bond distances (Table 1), in the expected range for Co-[12]aneN<sub>4</sub> complexes where the cobalt ion is hexacoordinated with an N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> donor set. The Co-N(Maltol) bond distances, by contrast, are beyond this range (Table 1) but are in line with those reported for other Co-L1 complexes [Co-N(Maltol): range 2.26–2.44 Å; Co-N(CH<sub>3</sub>) range: 2.13-2.22 Å; Benelli et al., 2013; Borgogelli et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2017; Paoli et al., 2017].

The conformation of the [12]aneN<sub>4</sub> macrocycle is the usual [3333]C-corners one (Meurant, 1987) with the *trans* nitrogen distances in agreement with those reported in the CSD for this conformation type, but with the N2···N2<sup>i</sup> distance being longer than N1···N1<sup>i</sup> by 0.32 Å [Table 1, symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, z], as found only in 12% of cases (88%:  $\Delta < 0.32$  Å; 12%:  $\Delta > 0.32$  Å). This is probably due to the fact that the Maltol units linked to the nitrogen atoms are involved in chelate six-membered rings, which stiffen the system and force those nitrogen atoms to move farther apart.

The mean planes of the two maltolate rings of the neutral  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  moiety form a dihedral angle of about 55°, while the dihedral angle between the N1,N2,N1<sup>i</sup>,N2<sup>i</sup> [symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, z] and maltolate ring mean planes is about 63°. The distance between the maltolate ring centroids is 7.8463 (3) Å. The dimension of the binding area defined by the four oxygen donor atoms of the ligand is roughly estimated by the distance separating the opposite  $O1\cdots O2^i$ [symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, z] atoms (and the other symmetry-related oxygen atoms), which is 4.315 (6) Å. Notably, such a distance is longer than those retrieved for analogous trinuclear complexes (opposite  $O\cdots O$  distances

# N1 N2<sup>i</sup> O1<sup>i</sup> O2<sup>ii</sup> O1<sup>ii</sup> O1 O2<sup>ii</sup> O1<sup>iii</sup> N2 N1<sup>i</sup> O1 O2<sup>ii</sup> O2<sup>iii</sup> O1<sup>ii</sup> O2<sup>iii</sup>

Figure 2

Coordination polyhedra around the cobalt (left) and calcium (right) ions. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, z; (ii) y, -x, -z; (iii) -y, x, -z.]

Co1-N1	2.192 (7)
Co1-N2	2.375 (7)
Co1-O1	2.060 (4)
Ca1-O1	2.429 (4)
Ca1–O2	2.469 (4)
$N1 \cdots N1^{i}$	3.881 (9)
$N2 \cdots N2^{i}$	4.206 (10)
Co1···Ca1	3.727 (1)
Co1···Co1 <sup>ii</sup>	7.454 (2)
N1-Co1-N1 <sup>1</sup>	124.5 (2)
N1-Co1-N2	78.0 (2)
$N1-Co1-N2^{i}$	77.0 (3)
N2-Co1-N2 <sup>i</sup>	124.6 (3)
O1-Co1-N1	121.2 (2)
O1-Co1-N1 <sup>i</sup>	102.9 (2)
O1-Co1-N2	81.6 (2)
O1-Co1-N2 <sup>i</sup>	152.4 (2)
O1-Co1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	74.3 (2)
O1-Ca1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	61.7 (2)
O1-Ca1-O1 <sup>ii</sup>	137.5 (1)
O1-Ca1-O2	67.1 (1)
O1-Ca1-O2 <sup>i</sup>	123.5 (1)
O1-Ca1-O2 <sup>ii</sup>	73.9 (1)
O1-Ca1-O2 <sup>iii</sup>	96.6 (1)
$O2-Ca1-O2^{i}$	169.1 (2)
Co1-O1-Ca1	112.0 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, z; (ii) y, -x, -z; (iii) -y, x, -z.

range: 3.98–4.22 Å; Benelli *et al.*, 2013; Rossi *et al.*, 2017), while it is shorter than those retrieved for the one-dimensional coordination polymer of **L1** (opposite  $O \cdots O$  distances: 4.5 Å; Paoli *et al.*, 2017) and the mononuclear complex of **L1** (opposite  $O \cdots O$  distances: 4.49 Å; Borgogelli *et al.*, 2013). As for the dinuclear complex of **L1** (Borgogelli *et al.*, 2013), the opposite  $O \cdots O$  distances of the binding area are quite different from each other (4.12 and 4.42 Å), and are, respectively, shorter and longer than the corresponding distance in the title compound.

The coordination polyhedron around the  $Ca^{2+}$  ion can be described as a distorted trigonal dodecahedron (Muetterties & Guggenberger, 1974), with all eight deprotonated hydroxyl and carbonyl oxygen atoms of the two [Co(H<sub>-2</sub>L1)] moieties of the trinuclear complex situated at the corners of the polyhedron (Fig. 2, right). The maltolate unit acts as a bidentate ligand through the hydroxyl oxygen atom, which bridges the Ca<sup>II</sup> and Co<sup>II</sup> cations. All the Ca–O distances are in agreement with data found in the CSD.

The Co<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> cations are located 3.727 (1) Å apart from each other and, because of the symmetry of the system, the line connecting the three cations (Co<sup>II</sup>–Ca<sup>II</sup>–Co<sup>II</sup>) is normal to the mean plane described by the four nitrogen atoms of the macrocycle (Fig. 1). The values for the Co···Ca distance and the Co–O1–Ca angle are in agreement with data ranges found in the CSD, even if they fall in non-populated regions (only ten hits – corresponding to twenty distances or angle values – are retrieved when the Co–O–Ca fragment is searched). The Co···Co<sup>ii</sup> distance and the Co–Ca– Co<sup>ii</sup> angle value [symmetry code: (ii) y, -x, -z] can only be compared with the single hit containing a cobalt- $\mu_2$ -oxygen-

## research communications



Figure 3 Fragment searched in the CSD. [AE = alkaline-earth metal ion.]

calcium- $\mu_2$ -oxygen-cobalt motif (Fig. 3) deposited in the CSD (refcode: DAPNOA; Li *et al.*, 2017), which shows a shorter Co···Co distance (6.25 Å) and a smaller Co···Ca···Co angle value (132°) with respect to the title compound. When all alkaline-earth ions instead of calcium are considered in the fragment searched in the CSD (Fig. 3), both the Co···Co distance and Co···Ca···Co angle values fall within the expected range.

As a result of the symmetry of the system, the two  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  complexes in the  $\{Ca[Co(H_2L1)]_2\}^{2+}$  cation are rotated by 90°, as indicated by the angle between the two mean planes defined by the Co1,O1,O1<sup>i</sup>,Ca1 and Co<sup>ii</sup>,O1<sup>iii</sup>,Ca1 atoms [symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, z; (ii) y,

-x, -z; (iii) -y, x, -z; Fig. 1]. Such an angle value falls in the most populated region for the cobalt- $\mu_2$ -oxygen-AE- $\mu_2$ -oxygen-cobalt fragment (AE = alkaline-earth ion).

Finally, the shortest  $Co \cdots Co/Co \cdots Ca/Ca \cdots Ca$  distances between metal cations belonging to different {Ca[Co(H<sub>-2</sub>-L1)]<sub>2</sub>}<sup>2+</sup> units are 8.9799 (4)/9.7227 (5)/8.9799 (4) Å.

In the present structure and in all the Co-containing structures of L1 published up to now, the cobalt complexes are well superimposable with each other, but for that belonging to the Na<sup>I</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> heterodinuclear complex (r.m.s. deviation values of 0.788 Å and within 0.301 Å for the superimposition of the title compound with the Na<sup>I</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> complex and with all other structures, respectively), where the two maltolate rings show a different arrangement, both rings being tilted toward the same direction (instead of opposite directions) with respect to the cobalt- $\mu_2$ -oxygen-hard metal mean plane ( $M = Na^I, Ca^{II}, Ba^{II},$ Gd<sup>III</sup>, Eu<sup>III</sup>; in the case of the mononuclear Co<sup>II</sup> species, with respect to the cobalt- $\mu_2$ -oxygen mean plane; Fig. 4). Moreover, when considering the heterotrinuclear complexes only, the superimposition of the Co<sup>II</sup>–Ca<sup>II</sup>–Co<sup>II</sup> dimer with the whole structures of the Co<sup>II</sup>– $Ln^{III}$ –Co<sup>II</sup> dimers ( $Ln^{III}$  = Gd<sup>III</sup>, Eu<sup>III</sup>) shows high r.m.s. deviation values (1.7 Å), in agreement with a different mutual disposition of the two subunits in the dimers.



Figure 4

Comparison between the overall shapes of the present structure and the other Co-containing structures of L1. Top line, from left to right:  $Co^{II}-Ca^{II}-Co^{II}$ ,  $Co^{II}-Eu^{III}-Co^{II}$  (Rossi *et al.*, 2017),  $Co^{II}-Gd^{III}-Co^{II}$  (refcode: FEZBUJ) complexes; bottom line, from left to right:  $Co^{II}$  species (refcode: WELGEB),  $Ba^{II}-Co^{II}$  coordination polymer (refcode: ZELBAW),  $Na^{I}-Co^{II}$  complex (refcode: WELGOL).

The electron-rich area, which forms following the cobaltdriven preorganization of L1, is able to host hard metal ions with different dimensions and coordination requirements, leading to complexes having different stoichiometry (monoand dinuclear monomers and trinuclear dimers) or even a polymeric structure (Fig. 4). In the case of the Na<sup>I</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> structure, a monomer forms, probably because of the lower ionic charge and coordination number (CN) of the Na<sup>I</sup> cation (CN: 5, Na<sup>+</sup> ionic radius: 1.00 Å; Shannon, 1976) with respect to the other cations. Indeed, the low ionic charge and coordination number allow the stabilization of the ion with only one  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  moiety. In the case of the Ba<sup>II</sup>-Co<sup>II</sup> structure, the Ba<sup>II</sup> cation shows the highest coordination number (CN: 9, Ba<sup>2+</sup> ionic radius: 1.47 Å; Shannon, 1976) in the series of structures, and the cationic fragment shows the largest binding area, which is necessary to accommodate such large ionic dimensions. In the case of the heterotrinuclear structures, all of the Gd<sup>III</sup>, Eu<sup>III</sup> and Ca<sup>II</sup> cations have the same coordination number (CN: 8) and similar ionic radii (1.053, 1.066 and 1.12 Å for Gd<sup>III</sup>, Eu<sup>III</sup> and Ca<sup>II</sup>, respectively; Shannon, 1976): two  $[Co(H_2L1)]$  units are needed to stabilize the high ionic charge and fully satisfy the coordination requirements of the cations.



#### Figure 5

Crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the *a* axis. Staggered layers of complexes (in magenta and blue) perpendicular to the *c* axis are present, which are interconnected thanks to hydrogen bonds in the *c*-axis direction. The perchlorate anions are located between the layers. Interactions with water molecules are also shown. Hydrogen bonds involving  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  anions are depicted as light-blue dotted lines. Hydrogen bonds involving water molecules are depicted as green (along the *a* axis) and red (along the *b* axis) dotted lines. The  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  anions and water molecules are depicted in ball-and-stick mode.

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Note that both models of the disordered perchlorate anion form the same interactions; only one value for each interaction involving oxygen atoms of the  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  anion is therefore reported.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C1^{iv}-H1A^{iv}\cdots O3C$	0.99	2.64	3.56 (2)	156
$C2^{iii} - H2A^{iii} \cdots O4C$	0.99	2.68	3.55 (2)	147
$C4^{iv} - H4C^{iv} \cdots O1C$	0.98	2.50	3.47 (2)	167
$C5^{v}-H5A^{v}\cdots O2C$	0.99	2.59	3.48 (2)	148
$C5^{iii}$ -H5 $B^{iii}$ ···O4 $C$	0.99	2.35	3.29 (2)	157
$C6-H6A\cdots O1W$	0.99	2.35	3.23 (9)	149
$C6^{vi}$ -H6 $B^{vi}$ ···O1 $C$	0.99	2.44	3.37 (2)	158
$C6^{vii} - H6B^{vii} \cdots O1C$	0.99	2.55	3.51 (2)	164

Symmetry codes: (iii) -y, x, -z; (iv)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (v)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vi)  $-x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vi)  $-x - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vi)  $-x - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$  (vi)  $-x - \frac{1}{2};$ 

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the heterotrinuclear  $Co^{II}$ – $Ca^{II}$ – $Co^{II}$  complexes are connected in the three dimensions *via* weak C–H···O hydrogen bonds (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999).

The perchlorate anion interacts with five complexes: four out of five (magenta in Figs. 5 and 6) are connected to form a layer perpendicular to the *c* axis, the fifth complex also belongs to a layer (blue in Figs. 5 and 6) perpendicular to the *c* axis, adjacent layers being staggered relative to one other (Fig. 6). All interactions are weak  $C-H\cdots O-Cl$  hydrogen bonds (Table 2) involving the methylene hydrogen atoms of the





Crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the *c* axis. Staggered layers of complexes (in magenta and blue) perpendicular to the *c* axis are visible. The  $CIO_4^-$  anions and water molecules are depicted in ball-and-stick mode.

### research communications

Table 3Experimental details.

. .

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[CaCo_2(C_{22}H_{30}N_4O_6)_2](ClO_4)_2$
	1.36H <sub>2</sub> O
$M_{\rm r}$	1271.92
Crystal system, space group	Tetragonal, 14
Temperature (K)	100
a, c(Å)	8.9799 (4), 32.555 (3)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	2625.2 (3)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.92
Crystal size (mm)	$0.46 \times 0.38 \times 0.18$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Sapphire3
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis RED</i> ; Oxford Diffraction, 2008)
Tmin. Tmar	0.557, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	3291, 2340, 1386
Rint	0.034
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.681
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.043, 0.081, 0.86
No. of reflections	2340
No. of parameters	200
No. of restraints	30
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.35, -0.25
Absolute structure	Refined as an inversion twin
Absolute structure parameter	0.14 (4)
1	. /

Computer programs: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2008), CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2008), SIR2014 (Burla et al., 2015) and SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015).

macrocycle. The perchlorate anions are located between the layers (Fig. 5).

Water molecules also interact with the complexes *via* weak  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds (Table 2) along the *a* and *b* axes (Fig. 5). These interactions also involve the methylene hydrogen atoms of the macrocycle.

#### 4. Synthesis and crystallization

Compound **L1** was obtained following the previously reported synthetic procedure (Amatori *et al.*, 2012).

To obtain the title compound,  $\{Ca[Co(H_{-2}L1)]_2\}$ -2ClO<sub>4</sub>·1.36H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1 mmol of CoCl<sub>2</sub>· 6H<sub>2</sub>O in water (10 ml) were added to an aqueous solution (20 ml) containing 0.1 mmol of L1·3HClO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O. The solution was adjusted to pH 7 with 0.1 *M* N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>OH and then 0.05 mmol of CaCl<sub>2</sub> were added. The solution was saturated with NaClO<sub>4</sub>. The title compound quickly precipitated as a microcrystalline pink solid. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a more diluted aqueous solution.

#### 5. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3.

All hydrogen atoms of the macrocycle were positioned geometrically and refined as riding with C-H = 0.95-0.99 Å with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C-methyl)$  and  $= 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for other H atoms.

The perchlorate anion is disordered about a twofold rotation axis and was refined giving the two positions a fixed occupancy factor of 0.5. The chlorine atom is located on a twofold rotation axis.

The oxygen atom of the water molecule lies on a twofold rotation axis, the refined occupancy factor is 0.34 (2); the hydrogen atoms were not found in the difference-Fourier map and they were not introduced in the refinement.

All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically: as for the disordered perchlorate anion, the SIMU instruction was used to restrain the anisotropic displacement parameters of the disordered atoms, while the ISOR instruction was used to restrain the anisotropic displacement parameters of the isolated water oxygen atom.

The structure was refined as a two-component inversion twin [BASF parameter = 0.14 (4)].

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the CRIST (Centro di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Firenze) where the data collection was performed.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: MIUR PRIN 2015.

#### References

- Aime, S., Geninatti Crich, S., Gianolio, E., Giovenzana, G. B., Tei, L. & Terreno, E. (2006). Coord. Chem. Rev. 250, 1562–1579.
- Amatori, S., Ambrosi, G., Fanelli, M., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Macedi, E., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P., Pontellini, R. & Rossi, P. (2012). J. Org. Chem. 77, 2207–2218.
- Ambrosi, G., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Guerri, A., Macedi, E., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P., Pontellini, R. & Rossi, P. (2009a). *Inorg. Chem.* 48, 5901–5912.
- Ambrosi, G., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Guerri, A., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P., Pontellini, R. & Rossi, P. (2007a). *Inorg. Chem.* 46, 309–320.
- Ambrosi, G., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Guerri, A., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P., Pontellini, R. & Rossi, P. (2007b). *Inorg. Chem.* 46, 4737–4748.
- Ambrosi, G., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Macedi, E., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P. & Rossi, P. (2009b). *Inorg. Chem.* 48, 10424–10434.
- Ambrosi, G., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L. & Micheloni, M. (2008). Coord. Chem. Rev. 252, 1121–1152.
- Benelli, C., Borgogelli, E., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Macedi, E., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P. & Rossi, P. (2013). *Dalton Trans.* 42, 5848–5859.
- Bernot, K., Bogani, L., Caneschi, A., Gatteschi, D. & Sessoli, R. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 7947–7956.
- Blindauer, C. A., Sigel, A., Operschall, B. P., Holý, A. & Sigel, H. (2017). *Inorg. Chim. Acta. doi*, **10**, 1016j. ica. 2017.06.011.
- Borgogelli, E., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Macedi, E., Micheloni, M., Paoli, P. & Rossi, P. (2013). *Dalton Trans.* 42, 2902–2912.
- Bruijnincx, P. C. A. & Sadler, P. J. (2008). Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 12, 197–206.

- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., Cuocci, C., Giacovazzo, C., Mallamo, M., Mazzone, A. & Polidori, G. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 306–309.
- DaCosta, M. V., Doughan, S., Han, Y. & Krull, U. J. (2014). Anal. Chim. Acta, 832, 1–33.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). *Chemistry and Biology*, IUCr Monographs on Crystallography, No. 9. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.
- Di Bernardo, P., Melchior, A., Tolazzi, M. & Zanonato, P. L. (2012). Coord. Chem. Rev. 256, 328–351.
- Esteves, C. I. C., Batista, R. M. F., Raposo, M. M. M. & Costa, S. P. G. (2016). *Dyes Pigments*, **135**, 134–142.
- Fanelli, M., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Micheloni, M. & Paoli, P. (2016). Coord. Chem. Rev. 310, 41–79.
- Gatteschi, D., Sessoli, R. & Villain, J. (2006). *Molecular Nanomagnets*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gokel, G. W. & Barbour, L. (2017). In *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry II*, edited by J. L. Atwood. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Lehn, J. M. (1988). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27, 89-112.
- Li, L.-H., Dong, W.-K., Zhang, Y., Akogun, S. F. & Xu, L. (2017). *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* doi:10.1002/aoc.3818.
- Lomidze, L., Kelley, S., Gogichaishvili, S., Metreveli, N., Musier-Forsyth, K. & Kankia, B. (2016). *Biopolymers*, **105**, 811–818.
- Malandrino, G. & Fragalà, I. L. (2006). Coord. Chem. Rev. 250, 1605– 1620.
- Marchetti, F., Palmucci, J., Pettinari, C., Pettinari, R., Condello, F., Ferraro, S., Marangoni, M., Crispini, A., Scuri, S., Grappasonni, I., Cocchioni, M., Nabissi, M., Chierotti, M. R. & Gobetto, R. (2015). *Chem. Eur. J.* 21, 36–850.
- Martell, A. E. & Hancock, R. D. (1996). In *Metal Complexes in Aqueous Solution, Modern Inorganic Chemistry*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Merbach, A., Helm, L. & Tóth, É. (2013). Editors. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2nd ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Meurant, G. (1987). Stereochemical and Stereophysical Behaviour of Macrocycles, edited by I. Bernal. Amsterdam, New York: Elsevier.

- Muetterties, E. L. & Guggenberger, L. J. (1974). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 1748–1756.
- Oxford Diffraction (2008). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd. Yarnton, England.
- Paoli, P., Macedi, E., Rossi, P., Giorgi, L., Formica, M. & Fusi, V. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 1806–1811.
- Pasatoiu, T. D., Madalan, A. M., Kumke, M. U., Tiseanu, C. & Andruh, M. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* 49, 2310–2315.
- Pasatoiu, T. D., Sutter, J. P., Madalan, A. M., Fellah, F. Z. C., Duhayon, C. & Andruh, M. (2011). *Inorg. Chem.* 50, 5890– 5898.
- Patra, A., Saha, S. K., Sen, T. K., Carrella, L., Musie, G. T., Khuda-Bukhsh, A. R. & Bera, M. (2014). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 5217– 5232.
- Price, E. W., Cawthray, J. F., Bailey, G. A., Ferreira, C. L., Boros, E., Adam, M. J. & Orvig, C. (2012). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 8670–8683.
- Rossi, P., Ciattini, S., Formica, M., Fusi, V., Giorgi, L., Macedi, E., Micheloni, M. & Paoli, P. (2017). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, doi: 10.1016/ j.ica.2017.06.033.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Suh, J. & Chei, W. S. (2008). Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 12, 207-213.
- Terai, T., Kikuchi, K., Iwasawa, S., Kawabe, T., Hirata, Y., Urano, Y. & Nagano, T. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 6938–6946.
- Voegtle, F. (1996). Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry, Vol. 2, Molecular Recognition: Receptors for Molecular Guests. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Weber, W. P. & Gokel, G. W. (2012). Phase Transfer Catalysis in Organic Synthesis, Vol. 4, Reactivity and Structure: Concepts in Organic Chemistry. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Xiao, Y.-D., Paudel, R., Liu, J., Ma, C., Zhang, Z. S. & Zhou, S.-K. (2016). Int. J. Mol. Med. 38, 1319–1326.
- Yang, C., Han, J., Luo, Y., Hu, S. & Wang, X. (2014). Prog. Chem. 26, 1537–1550.
- Yin, J., Hu, Y. & Yoon, J. (2015). Chem. Soc. Rev. 44, 4619-4644.
- Zelewsky, A. (1996). In *Stereochemistry of Coordination Compounds*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2017). E73, 1959-1965 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989017016693]

Crystal structure of bis{ $\mu_2$ -2,2'-[(4,10-dimethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-diyl)bis(methylene)]bis(4-oxo-4*H*-pyran-3-olato)}dicobaltcalcium bis(perchlorate) 1.36-hydrate

### Patrizia Rossi, Eleonora Macedi, Paola Paoli, Luca Giorgi, Mauro Formica and Vieri Fusi

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2008); cell refinement: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2008); data reduction: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR2014* (Burla *et al.*, 2015); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015).

 $Bis\{\mu_2-2,2'-[(4,10-dimethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,7-diyl) bis(methylene)] bis(4-oxo-4H-pyran-3-olato)\} dicobaltcalcium bis(perchlorate) 1.36-hydrate$ 

#### Crystal data

$[CaCo_2(C_{22}H_{30}N_4O_6)_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 1.36H_2O_6$
$M_r = 1271.92$
Tetragonal, $I\overline{4}$
a = 8.9799 (4)  Å
c = 32.555 (3) Å
V = 2625.2 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z = 2
F(000) = 1318

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Sapphire3 diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed X-ray tube, Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 16.4547 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2008)

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$  $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 0.862340 reflections 200 parameters  $D_{\rm x} = 1.609 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1087 reflections  $\theta = 3.7-28.8^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.92 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KPrism, pink  $0.46 \times 0.38 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$  $T_{\rm min} = 0.557, T_{\rm max} = 1.000$ 

3291 measured reflections 2340 independent reflections 1386 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.034$  $\theta_{max} = 29.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.8^{\circ}$  $h = -12 \rightarrow 10$  $k = -12 \rightarrow 9$  $l = -43 \rightarrow 30$ 

30 restraints Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0355P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$   $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 ~{\rm e} ~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 ~{\rm e} ~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

Absolute structure: Refined as an inversion twin Absolute structure parameter: 0.14 (4)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refined as a 2-component inversion twin.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Co1	0.0000	0.0000	-0.11449 (3)	0.0355 (3)	
Cal	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0350 (5)	
01	-0.1168 (4)	0.0747 (5)	-0.06406 (11)	0.0431 (10)	
N1	0.1622 (8)	0.1428 (7)	-0.1458 (2)	0.0439 (16)	
C1	0.0890 (10)	0.2753 (10)	-0.1643 (3)	0.062 (3)	
H1A	0.1533	0.3164	-0.1862	0.075*	
H1B	0.0761	0.3530	-0.1430	0.075*	
O2	-0.2696 (5)	0.0475 (5)	0.00717 (15)	0.0510 (13)	
N2	-0.1567 (7)	0.1741 (8)	-0.14838 (19)	0.0464 (16)	
C2	-0.0591 (10)	0.2353 (12)	-0.1819 (2)	0.055 (2)	
H2A	-0.0466	0.1599	-0.2038	0.066*	
H2B	-0.1062	0.3247	-0.1941	0.066*	
O3	-0.4188 (5)	0.3327 (5)	-0.07952 (15)	0.0562 (12)	
C3	-0.2837 (8)	0.0927 (9)	-0.1656 (3)	0.048 (2)	
H3A	-0.3229	0.1474	-0.1897	0.058*	
H3B	-0.3639	0.0865	-0.1448	0.058*	
C4	0.2708 (9)	0.1931 (10)	-0.1142 (3)	0.066 (2)	
H4A	0.2181	0.2471	-0.0924	0.099*	
H4B	0.3213	0.1063	-0.1024	0.099*	
H4C	0.3446	0.2589	-0.1269	0.099*	
C5	0.2389 (11)	0.0621 (10)	-0.1785 (3)	0.055 (3)	
H5A	0.3289	0.1183	-0.1866	0.066*	
H5B	0.1727	0.0555	-0.2027	0.066*	
C6	-0.2118 (8)	0.3006 (8)	-0.1240 (2)	0.047 (2)	
H6A	-0.1266	0.3648	-0.1163	0.056*	
H6B	-0.2804	0.3607	-0.1411	0.056*	
C7	-0.2900(7)	0.2535 (7)	-0.0865 (2)	0.0452 (16)	
C8	-0.2406 (6)	0.1491 (6)	-0.0589 (2)	0.0378 (14)	
C9	-0.3217 (7)	0.1295 (7)	-0.0201 (2)	0.0445 (17)	
C10	-0.4591 (7)	0.2091 (7)	-0.0171 (2)	0.0491 (18)	
H10	-0.5227	0.1926	0.0057	0.059*	
C11	-0.4987 (8)	0.3054 (9)	-0.0458 (2)	0.061 (2)	
H11	-0.5894	0.3582	-0.0422	0.073*	
Cl1	0.0000	0.0000	0.29295 (6)	0.0614 (6)	
O1C	0.018 (3)	0.0426 (14)	0.3345 (2)	0.069 (3)	0.5

## supporting information

O2C	-0.0574 (17)	-0.1450 (14)	0.2799 (4)	0.090 (3)	0.5
O3C	0.1595 (14)	-0.0078 (17)	0.2807 (4)	0.081 (3)	0.5
O4C	-0.079 (2)	0.1014 (16)	0.2716 (4)	0.087 (3)	0.5
O1W	0.0000	0.5000	-0.0653 (3)	0.077 (5)	0.68 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Col	0.0367 (9)	0.0418 (9)	0.0282 (5)	-0.0009 (10)	0.000	0.000
Cal	0.0388 (7)	0.0388 (7)	0.0274 (10)	0.000	0.000	0.000
01	0.040 (2)	0.059 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.002 (2)
N1	0.050 (4)	0.043 (4)	0.039 (4)	0.005 (3)	-0.002 (4)	0.003 (4)
C1	0.066 (6)	0.061 (6)	0.059 (6)	-0.003 (5)	0.023 (6)	0.007 (6)
O2	0.050(2)	0.062 (3)	0.041 (4)	0.003 (2)	-0.003 (3)	0.001 (3)
N2	0.053 (4)	0.048 (4)	0.039 (4)	0.009 (3)	0.002 (4)	0.000 (4)
C2	0.060 (6)	0.070 (7)	0.036 (5)	0.001 (6)	0.005 (5)	0.013 (5)
03	0.049 (3)	0.065 (3)	0.054 (3)	0.018 (3)	-0.008 (3)	-0.008 (3)
C3	0.033 (5)	0.062 (6)	0.050 (6)	0.000 (4)	-0.017 (4)	-0.012 (5)
C4	0.057 (5)	0.084 (6)	0.057 (5)	-0.029 (4)	0.000 (5)	-0.001 (6)
C5	0.050 (5)	0.073 (7)	0.041 (5)	-0.013 (6)	0.012 (5)	0.006 (5)
C6	0.053 (4)	0.044 (4)	0.043 (5)	0.005 (4)	-0.006 (4)	0.004 (4)
C7	0.039 (3)	0.051 (4)	0.046 (4)	0.011 (3)	-0.009 (4)	-0.007 (4)
C8	0.034 (3)	0.043 (3)	0.037 (3)	0.001 (3)	-0.006 (4)	-0.008 (4)
C9	0.045 (4)	0.046 (4)	0.043 (4)	-0.006 (4)	0.000 (4)	-0.017 (4)
C10	0.033 (3)	0.057 (4)	0.058 (4)	0.003 (3)	0.005 (3)	-0.010 (4)
C11	0.045 (4)	0.073 (5)	0.064 (5)	0.022 (4)	0.001 (4)	-0.020 (5)
Cl1	0.072 (2)	0.072 (2)	0.0405 (11)	0.007 (3)	0.000	0.000
O1C	0.075 (7)	0.093 (7)	0.040 (3)	0.021 (6)	-0.013 (6)	-0.016 (5)
O2C	0.087 (6)	0.085 (6)	0.098 (6)	-0.009 (6)	-0.001 (6)	-0.005 (6)
O3C	0.070 (6)	0.095 (6)	0.078 (6)	-0.003 (6)	0.004 (5)	-0.006 (6)
O4C	0.100 (7)	0.092 (7)	0.071 (6)	0.017 (6)	-0.027 (6)	0.018 (5)
O1W	0.106 (8)	0.062 (7)	0.064 (7)	-0.012 (5)	0.000	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Col—Ol	2.060 (4)	C3—C5 <sup>i</sup>	1.506 (9)
Co1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.061 (4)	С3—НЗА	0.9900
Co1—N1	2.192 (6)	C3—H3B	0.9900
Co1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.192 (6)	C4—H4A	0.9800
Co1—N2	2.375 (6)	C4—H4B	0.9800
Co1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.375 (6)	C4—H4C	0.9800
Ca1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.429 (4)	C5—C3 <sup>i</sup>	1.506 (9)
Ca1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.429 (4)	C5—H5A	0.9900
Ca1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.429 (4)	C5—H5B	0.9900
Cal—O1	2.429 (4)	C6—C7	1.471 (9)
Ca1—O2 <sup>iii</sup>	2.469 (4)	C6—H6A	0.9900
Ca1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.469 (4)	C6—H6B	0.9900
Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.469 (4)	С7—С8	1.372 (8)

## supporting information

Ca1—O2	2.469 (4)	C8—C9	1.467 (8)
Ca1—C9 <sup>ii</sup>	3.182 (7)	C9—C10	1.430 (9)
Ca1—C9 <sup>iii</sup>	3.182 (7)	C10—C11	1.320 (9)
Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	3.182 (7)	C10—H10	0.9500
Cal—C9	3.182 (7)	C11—H11	0.9500
O1—C8	1.309 (6)	Cl1—O4C	1.345 (12)
N1—C5	1.459 (9)	Cl1—O4C <sup>i</sup>	1.345 (12)
N1—C1	1.486 (10)	Cl1—O1C <sup>i</sup>	1.413 (7)
N1—C4	1.489 (9)	Cl1—O1C	1.413 (7)
C1—C2	1.492 (10)	$C11 - O2C^{i}$	1.463 (14)
C1—H1A	0.9900	C11-O2C	1 463 (13)
C1—H1B	0.9900	C11-O3C	1 488 (13)
$\Omega^2 - \Omega^9$	1.245(7)	$C_{11} = O_3 C_1$	1.100(13) 1.488(13)
$N_2 - C_3$	1.245 (7)	$01C - 01C^{i}$	0.83(2)
N2 C6	1.400(9) 1 472(0)	$O_{1}^{2}C = O_{1}^{2}C^{i}$	1.312(17)
N2 C2	1.472(9) 1 502(9)	$O_2C = O_4C$	1.512(17)
$N_2 = C_2$	0.0000	02C = 03C	1.031(10) 1.148(17)
C2 U2P	0.9900	03C - 04C	1.140(17)
C2—H2B	0.9900	$03C = 02C^{2}$	1.031(10)
03-07	1.334 (8)	040 - 030	1.148(17)
03-07	1.3/6(/)	$04C - 02C^{4}$	1.312 (17)
01-Co1-01 <sup>i</sup>	74.3 (2)	C3—N2—C6	109.3 (6)
O1—Co1—N1	121.2 (2)	C3—N2—C2	111.0 (6)
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Col—Nl	102.9 (2)	C6—N2—C2	107.8 (7)
O1—Co1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	102.9 (2)	C3—N2—Co1	108.1 (5)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	121.2 (2)	C6—N2—Co1	117.2 (4)
N1—Co1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	124.5 (3)	C2—N2—Co1	103.4 (5)
O1—Co1—N2	81.6 (2)	C1—C2—N2	109.3 (7)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N2	152.37 (19)	C1—C2—H2A	109.8
N1—Co1—N2	78.0 (3)	N2—C2—H2A	109.8
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—N2	77.0 (3)	C1—C2—H2B	109.8
$O1-Co1-N2^{i}$	152.37 (19)	N2—C2—H2B	109.8
$O1^{i}$ —Co1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	81.6 (2)	H2A—C2—H2B	108.3
$N1 - Co1 - N2^{i}$	77.0(3)	$C_{11} - O_{3} - C_{7}$	119.5 (6)
$N1^{i}$ —Co1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	78.0(3)	$N2-C3-C5^{i}$	111.0(7)
$N2-Co1-N2^{i}$	1246(3)	N2-C3-H3A	109.4
$01^{ii}$ Ca1 $01^{ii}$	127.0(3) 137.50(11)	$C_{5^{i}}$ $C_{3}$ $H_{3A}$	109.4
$01^{ii}$ $01^{ii}$ $01^{iii}$	61 67 (17)	N2-C3-H3B	109.4
$O1^{i}$ $Ca1$ $O1^{ii}$	13750(11)	$C_5^{i}$ $C_3$ $H_{3B}$	109.1
$01^{ii}$ $ 01^{ii}$ $ 01^{ii}$	137.50(11) 137.50(11)	$H_{3}A = C_{3} = H_{3}B$	109.1
$O_{1}^{i} - C_{2}^{i} - O_{1}^{i}$	61 67 (17)	N1 - C4 - H4A	109.5
$01^{iii}$ $-C_{21}$ $-01$	13750(11)	N1_C4_H4B	109.5
$01^{ii}$ Cal $01^{ii}$	123 52 (14)	H4A - C4 - H4B	109.5
$01^{i}$ -Ca1 - 02	73 89 (15)	N1—C4—H4C	109.5
$01^{11}$ $02^{11}$ $02^{11}$	67.05 (13)	$H_{4} = C_{4} = H_{4}C$	109.5
01 - 02	96 61 (14)	H4B - C4 - H4C	109.5
$01^{ii}$ $02^{ii}$	67.05 (14)	$N1 - C5 - C3^{i}$	112 A (7)
$01^{i}$ Ca1 $02^{ii}$	96 61 (14)	N1 - C5 - H54	100 1
01 - 0a1 - 02	JU.UI (17)	111 - UJ 11JA	107.1

$O1^{m}$ —Ca1— $O2^{n}$	123.52 (14)	C3 <sup>1</sup> —C5—H5A	109.1
Ol—Cal—O2 <sup>n</sup>	73.89 (15)	N1—C5—H5B	109.1
$O2^{m}$ —Ca1—O2 <sup>n</sup>	169.1 (2)	C3 <sup>1</sup> —C5—H5B	109.1
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	73.89 (15)	H5A—C5—H5B	107.8
$O1^{i}$ —Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	67.05 (14)	C7—C6—N2	112.8 (6)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	96.61 (14)	С7—С6—Н6А	109.0
O1—Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	123.52 (14)	N2—C6—H6A	109.0
O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	90.51 (2)	С7—С6—Н6В	109.0
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	90.51 (2)	N2—C6—H6B	109.0
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—O2	96.61 (14)	H6A—C6—H6B	107.8
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Cal—O2	123.52 (14)	C8—C7—O3	121.1 (6)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—O2	73.89 (15)	C8—C7—C6	125.9 (6)
O1—Ca1—O2	67.06 (14)	O3—C7—C6	112.9 (6)
O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—O2	90.51 (2)	O1—C8—C7	122.6 (6)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—O2	90.51 (2)	O1—C8—C9	118.1 (6)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ca1—O2	169.1 (2)	C7—C8—C9	119.0 (6)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>ii</sup>	47.97 (15)	O1—C8—Ca1	44.4 (3)
$O1^{i}$ —Ca1—C $9^{ii}$	105.63 (15)	C7—C8—Ca1	154.3 (4)
$O1^{iii}$ —Ca1—C9 <sup>ii</sup>	108.44 (15)	C9—C8—Ca1	76.6 (4)
$01-Ca1-C9^{ii}$	94 80 (16)	02-C9-C10	1249(7)
$02^{iii}$ Cal C $9^{ii}$	166 55 (15)	02 - C9 - C8	119.9 (6)
$02^{ii}$ Cal C9 <sup>ii</sup>	20.98 (16)	C10-C9-C8	115.2 (6)
$\Omega^{2i}$ Cal $\Omega^{2i}$	77 22 (14)	$0^{2}-0^{9}-0^{1}$	45 3 (3)
$\Omega^2$ $\Omega^2$ $\Omega^2$	100.50(14)	$C_{10}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{21}$	1623(4)
$O_2 - Ca_1 - C_2$	100.30(14) 108.44(15)	$C_{10} = C_{20} = C_{21}$	767(3)
$O1 - Ca1 - C_7$	100.44(13) 04.80(16)	$C_{0}$	120.8(7)
$O1 - Ca1 - C_{3}$	34.00(10)	$C_{11} = C_{10} = C_{20}$	120.8 (7)
$O1  Ca1  C0^{iii}$	4/.9/(13)	$C_{11} = C_{10} = H_{10}$	119.0
$O_1 = Ca_1 = Co_1^{11}$	105.05(15)	$C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	119.0
$02^{m}$ Cal C $9^{m}$	20.98(16)	C10-C11-O3	123.9 (7)
$02^{n}$ Cal Co	100.55 (15)		118.1
$02^{$	100.50 (14)		118.1
02—Cal—C9 <sup>m</sup>	77.22 (14)	$04C$ — $C11$ — $04C^{1}$	117.9 (12)
C9 <sup>n</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>n</sup>	156.3 (2)	O4C—CII—OIC <sup>1</sup>	128.0 (12)
$O1^n$ —Ca1—C9 <sup>1</sup>	94.80 (16)	$O4C^{1}$ — $C11$ — $O1C^{1}$	111.7 (9)
$O1^{i}$ —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	47.97 (15)	O4C—Cl1—O1C	111.7 (9)
$O1^{iii}$ —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	105.63 (15)	O4C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O1C	128.0 (12)
O1—Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	108.44 (15)	$O1C^{i}$ — $C11$ — $O1C$	34.2 (8)
O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	77.22 (14)	$O4C$ — $C11$ — $O2C^{i}$	55.5 (8)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	100.50 (14)	$O4C^{i}$ — $C11$ — $O2C^{i}$	105.5 (7)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	20.98 (16)	$O1C^{i}$ — $C11$ — $O2C^{i}$	123.9 (7)
O2—Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	166.55 (15)	O1C—Cl1—O2C <sup>i</sup>	89.7 (7)
C9 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	92.42 (5)	O4C—Cl1—O2C	105.5 (7)
C9 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—C9 <sup>i</sup>	92.42 (5)	O4C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O2C	55.5 (8)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—C9	105.63 (15)	O1C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O2C	89.7 (7)
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Cal—C9	108.44 (15)	01C—Cl1—O2C	123.9 (7)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—C9	94.80 (16)	O2C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O2C	146.3 (10)
O1—Ca1—C9	47.97 (15)	O4C—Cl1—O3C	113.5 (8)
O2 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—C9	100.50 (14)	O4C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O3C	47.5 (8)

O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—C9	77.22 (14)	O1C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O3C	110.7 (11)
O2 <sup>i</sup> —Ca1—C9	166.55 (15)	O1C—Cl1—O3C	99.1 (10)
O2—Ca1—C9	20.99 (16)	O2C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O3C	68.0 (7)
C9 <sup>ii</sup> —Ca1—C9	92.42 (5)	O2C—Cl1—O3C	102.7 (7)
C9 <sup>iii</sup> —Ca1—C9	92.42 (5)	O4C—Cl1—O3C <sup>i</sup>	47.5 (8)
C9 <sup>i</sup> —Ca1—C9	156.3 (2)	O4C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O3C <sup>i</sup>	113.5 (8)
C8—O1—Co1	134.6 (4)	O1C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O3C <sup>i</sup>	99.1 (10)
C8—O1—Ca1	113.4 (4)	O1C—Cl1—O3C <sup>i</sup>	110.7 (11)
Co1—O1—Ca1	111.99 (16)	O2C <sup>i</sup> —Cl1—O3C <sup>i</sup>	102.7 (7)
C5—N1—C1	108.2 (7)	O2C-Cl1-O3C <sup>i</sup>	68.0 (7)
C5—N1—C4	110.2 (7)	O3C—Cl1—O3C <sup>i</sup>	148.9 (11)
C1—N1—C4	109.1 (7)	O1C <sup>i</sup> —O1C—Cl1	72.9 (4)
C5—N1—Co1	111.2 (5)	O4C <sup>i</sup> —O2C—Cl1	57.7 (8)
C1—N1—Co1	111.3 (5)	$O4C^{i}$ — $O2C$ — $O3C^{i}$	105.8 (11)
C4—N1—Co1	106.9 (5)	Cl1—O2C—O3C <sup>i</sup>	56.7 (7)
N1—C1—C2	110.9 (7)	O4C <sup>i</sup> —O3C—Cl1	59.7 (9)
N1—C1—H1A	109.5	$O4C^{i}$ — $O3C$ — $O2C^{i}$	104.7 (12)
C2—C1—H1A	109.5	Cl1—O3C—O2C <sup>i</sup>	55.3 (7)
N1—C1—H1B	109.5	$O3C^{i}$ — $O4C$ — $O2C^{i}$	139.0 (15)
C2—C1—H1B	109.5	O3C <sup>i</sup> —O4C—Cl1	72.8 (10)
H1A—C1—H1B	108.0	O2C <sup>i</sup> —O4C—Cl1	66.8 (10)
C9—O2—Ca1	113.8 (4)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, z; (ii) y, -x, -z; (iii) -y, x, -z.

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
$\overline{\text{C1}^{\text{iv}}-\text{H1}A^{\text{iv}}-\text{O3}C}$	0.99	2.64	3.56 (2)	156
$C2^{iii}$ — $H2A^{iii}$ ····O4 $C$	0.99	2.68	3.55 (2)	147
$C4^{iv}$ — $H4C^{iv}$ … $O1C$	0.98	2.50	3.47 (2)	167
C5 <sup>v</sup> —H5 <i>A</i> <sup>v</sup> ····O2 <i>C</i>	0.99	2.59	3.48 (2)	148
$C5^{iii}$ —H5 $B^{iii}$ ····O4 $C$	0.99	2.35	3.29 (2)	157
C6—H6 <i>A</i> ···O1 <i>W</i>	0.99	2.35	3.23 (9)	149
$C6^{vi}$ —H6 $B^{vi}$ ····O1 $C$	0.99	2.44	3.37 (2)	158
C6 <sup>vii</sup> —H6 <i>B</i> <sup>vii</sup> ····O1 <i>C</i>	0.99	2.55	3.51 (2)	164

Symmetry codes: (iii) -*y*, *x*, -*z*; (iv) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (v) *x*-1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*+1/2; (vi) -*x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (vii) *x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*+1/2.