1	Infection- or vaccine mediated immunity reduces SARS-CoV-2						
2	transmission, but increases competitiveness of Omicron in hamsters						
3	Julia R. Port*1, Claude Kwe Yinda*1, Jade C. Riopelle1, Zachary A. Weishampel1, Taylor A.						
4	Saturday <sup>1</sup> , Victoria A. Avanzato <sup>1</sup> , Jonathan E. Schulz <sup>1</sup> , Myndi G. Holbrook <sup>1</sup> , Kent Barbian <sup>2</sup> , Rose						
5	Perry-Gottschalk <sup>3</sup> , Elaine Haddock <sup>1</sup> , Craig Martens <sup>2</sup> , Carl. I. Shaia <sup>4</sup> , Teresa Lambe <sup>5\$</sup> , Sarah C.						
6	Gilbert <sup>5</sup> , Neeltje van Doremalen# <sup>1</sup> , Vincent J. Munster# <sup>§1</sup>						
7 8 9	1. Laboratory of Virology, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT, USA						
10 11 12	<ol> <li>Genomics Research Section, Research Technologies Branch, Division of Intramura Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT, USA</li> </ol>						
13 14 15	3. Rocky Mountain Visual and Medical Arts Unit, Research Technologies Branch, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT, USA						
16 17	4. Rocky Mountain Veterinary Branch, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Hamilton, MT, USA						
18 19	5. The Jenner Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK						
20	* These first authors contributed equally						
21	# These senior authors contributed equally						
22	§ Corresponding author. vincent.munster@nih.gov						
23 24	<sup>\$</sup> New address: Chinese Academy of Medical Science Oxford Institute; Oxford Vaccine Group, Department of Paediatrics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK						
25	Abstract (150 words)						
26	Omicron has demonstrated a competitive advantage over Delta in vaccinated people. To						
27	understand this, we designed a transmission chain experiment using naïve, intranasally (IN) or						
28	intramuscularly (IM) vaccinated, and previously infected (PI) hamsters. Vaccination and previous						
29	infection protected animals from disease and virus replication after Delta and Omicron dual						

- 30 challenge. A gradient in transmission blockage was observed: IM vaccination displayed moderate
- 31 transmission blockage potential over three airborne chains (approx. 70%), whereas, IN vaccination
- 32 and PI blocked airborne transmission in >90%. In naïve hamsters, Delta completely outcompeted

Omicron within and between hosts after dual infection in onward transmission. Although Delta also outcompeted Omicron in the vaccinated and PI transmission chains, an increase in Omicron competitiveness was observed in these groups. This correlated with the increase in the strength of the humoral response against Delta, with the strongest response seen in PI animals. These data highlight the continuous need to assess the emergence and spread of novel variants in populations with pre-existing immunity and address the additional evolutionary pressure this may exert on the virus.

#### 40 Main Text

#### 41 Introduction

42 In late 2019, SARS-CoV-2 spilled over into the human population, leading to the COVID-19 43 pandemic. Ongoing evolution in the human population resulted in the emergence of variants of 44 concern (VOCs). Phenotypic changes that characterize VOCs are an increase in transmissibility, 45 increase in virulence, change in clinical disease presentation, and/or decrease in effectiveness of 46 public health and social measures or available diagnostics, vaccines, and therapeutics [1, 47 2]. Changes in the transmission phenotype can occur by a variety of adaptions including virus 48 shedding dynamics, human behavior, host cell tropism, and entry. Furthermore, a large portion of 49 the human population is no longer naïve to SARS-CoV-2 [3-5]. Immunity induced by previous 50 exposure or vaccination have changed the susceptibility to infection and thus the evolutionary 51 pressures on SARS-CoV-2. The emergence of VOCs is following almost a classic pattern in which 52 the new VOC replaces the old VOC: this was observed for Alpha, Delta, and now Omicron. 53 Whereas the initial replacements of previous VOCs by a new variant were due largely to an 54 increase in the transmission potential of the virus, the transmission advantage of Omicron over 55 Delta in humans is not fully understood [6]. Due to antigenic differences, the humoral response, 56 especially the cross-reactivity of neutralizing antibodies from previous infections or vaccination 57 against Omicron is poor [7-12]. Compared to Delta, Omicron is more likely to cause infections in a 58 vaccinated population [13]. To better understand the directionality of SARS-CoV-2 evolution, it will 59 be crucial to differentiate between the separate evolutionary pressures, including pre-existing 60 immunity.

Previously, we have experimentally shown an increased aerosol transmission phenotype of SARSCoV-2 Alpha over Lineage A [14, 15]. Here, we are using infection- or vaccine-mediated immunity
to model the impact of this evolutionary pressure on the transmission of the Delta and Omicron
VOCs.

#### 65 Results

#### 66 Decreased spike-mediated entry and delayed shedding kinetics of Omicron over Delta

67 Delta and Omicron VOCs have several observed mutations in the spike (S) protein, including the 68 receptor binding domain (RBD), N-terminal domain (NTD), and the S1/S2 cleavage site (Figure 1 69 A). To determine if these changes in S might affect the behavior of these variants in the hamster 70 model, we modeled changes on the structure of the RBD - ACE2 complex and evaluated entry of 71 the variants using our VSV-pseudotype entry assay. We previously observed that of the residues 72 on ACE2 that directly participate in RBD binding [16], two contact residues differ between human 73 and hamster ACE2 [14]. In hamster ACE2, the histidine (H) and methionine (M) at position 34 and 74 82, respectively, are replaced by glutamine (Q) and asparagine (N) (Figure 1 B, red). Two of the 75 mutations in Omicron, K417N and Q493R, are in close proximity to the H34Q substitution observed 76 in hamster ACE2 (Figure 1 B) and could potentially lead to altered interactions with ACE2 at this 77 location.

78 Next, we evaluated cellular entry by the S protein of the Delta and Omicron VOCs compared to the 79 ancestral Lineage A S protein using the VSV-pseudotype entry assay system in baby hamster 80 kidney (BHK) cells expressing either human or hamster ACE2 (Figure 1 C). For human ACE2, the 81 entry of the Omicron S was similar to that of the ancestral Lineage A S but significantly lower than 82 that of the Delta variant (mean difference = 1.397-fold entry over Lineage A, p < 0.0001, N = 8, two-83 way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test). For hamster ACE2, we observed a 84 1.55 mean difference between Delta and Omicron (p <0.0001, N = 8, two-way ANOVA, followed 85 by Šídák's multiple comparisons test).

Based on the *in silico* and *in vitro* data, we evaluated whether the displayed phenotype of Omicron would result in a change in respiratory shedding in the Syrian hamster model in comparison to Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, or Lineage A (**Figure 1 D**). Six hamsters per group were inoculated with 10<sup>3</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> of SARS-CoV-2 variants via the intranasal (IN) route. Oropharyngeal swabs were taken for 7 days. Sub-genomic (sg)RNA shedding peaked on day 1 post-inoculation for Lineage A and Delta in contrast to Alpha, Beta, and Omicron, for which median peak shedding was highest on day 2. Only Gamma showed peak shedding on day 3 post inoculation. Median peak shedding

for all variants ranged between  $10^6$  and  $10^7$  sgRNA copies/mL. When comparing the cumulative shedding (area under the curve (AUC)), animals inoculated with Alpha shed significantly more than those inoculated with Gamma and Omicron variants (**Figure 1 E,** N = 6, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test, p = 0.0010 and 0.0026, respectively).

97

#### 98 Contact and airborne transmission in naïve Syrian hamsters

99 In the human population, Omicron replaced Delta as the most prevalent variant [17]. To understand 100 whether this is due to an increase in transmissibility, we compared transmission of Delta and 101 Omicron in transmission chains in naïve hamsters. We performed contact and airborne 102 transmission chain experiments over two or three generations (1:1 ratio between donors and 103 sentinels) and repeated these chains three times (Figure 2 A). Donors were intranasally inoculated 104 with a 1:1 mixture of Delta and Omicron. One day later, generation 1 sentinels (sentinels 1) were 105 exposed to the donors for 48h, followed by exposure of sentinels 2 to sentinels 1 for 48h, and finally 106 exposure of sentinels 3 to sentinels 2 for 72h. Each exposure was started on 2 DPI/DPE relative to 107 the previous chain. Oropharyngeal swabs were collected from all animals at 2, 3, and 5 DPI/DPE,

108 and lung and nasal turbinate samples were harvested at 5 DPI/DPE.

Animals were considered infected, when 2 out of 5 samples collected had detectable sgRNA, a marker of viral replication. In the naïve direct contact chains, all animals became infected (**Figure 2 B, left panel**). In contrast, 2 out of 3 of the sentinels 1 and sentinels 2 hamsters, and 1 out of 2 sentinels 3 hamsters became infected in the airborne chains. When excluding sgRNA negative samples, no significant difference was observed in the median viral sgRNA titers in lungs, nasal turbinates or swabs on day 2 or 3 between donors and sentinels with both routes of transmission

#### 115 combined (Supplementary Figure 1 and 2, Supplementary Table 2).

We analyzed the relative composition of each of the VOCs in all sgRNA positive samples by NGS. Delta outcompeted Omicron both within and between hosts (**Figure 2 C**). Across all sgRNA positive swabs in donors and sentinels, Delta comprised >98% of viral sequences, though some individual variation was observed in swabs. The percentage of Delta increased with each subsequent transmission chain in swabs (median percentage Delta in donors = 98% (99.9 – 81.8 95% Cl); 121 sentinels 1 = 99 (100 – 84.4 95% CI); sentinels 2 = 99.5% (100 – 83 95% CI); and sentinels 3 =

122 99.8 (99.9 – 99 95% CI). No Omicron was detected in lungs or nasal turbinates (Figure 2 D).

123

### 124 Previous exposure or vaccine-induced pre-existing immunity against Lineage A or Delta 125 reduces virus replication, shedding and lung pathology after reinfection

126 Next, we compared the impact of pre-existing immunity on the contact and airborne 127 competitiveness of Delta and Omicron (Figure 3 A). Pre-existing immunity was achieved by IN or 128 intramuscular (IM) vaccination with AZD1222, or previous infection with Delta. 16 hamsters per 129 group were immunized with AZD1222 (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, 2.5 x 10<sup>8</sup> IU/animal) or exposed via 130 direct contact to IN-inoculated animals one day after inoculation (5:1 sentinel : donor ratio, 131 previously infected group (PI)). In all vaccinated and PI animals, seroconversion was confirmed 132 after 21 days (Figure 4 A). 28 days after immunization via vaccination or infection, 6 animals per 133 group were challenged via the IN route using 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 (1:1 mixture, Delta and 134 Omicron variants).

135 First, we assessed the impact of pre-existing immunity on viral replication and pathogenicity in the 136 naïve, IN, IM, or PI donors. In naïve animals, virus replication was observed in nasal turbinates 137 (median = 6.873 sgRNA copies/gr (Log<sub>10</sub>)) and lung tissue (median = 8.303 sgRNA copies/gr 138 (Log<sub>10</sub>)). In contrast, viral RNA load was significantly reduced or absent in IM, IN, and PI groups as 139 compared to naïve donors (Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test, N = 140 6; lung: p = 0.0010, 0.0069, 0.0010, respectively; nasal turbinates: p = 0.1479, 0.0081, 0.0117, 141 respectively). In lung tissue, sgRNA was only detected in 1 out of 6 animals in the IN group (4.93 142 sgRNA copies/gr (Log10)), but not in the other groups. sgRNA was detected in 3 out of 6 nasal 143 turbinate samples in the IM donors (median = 2.581 sgRNA copies/gr (Log<sub>10</sub>)), 1 out of 6 in the IN 144 group (4.423 sgRNA copies/gr (Log<sub>10</sub>)), and 2 out of 6 in the PI group (median = 1.173 sgRNA 145 copies/gr (Log<sub>10</sub>), Figure 3 B/C).

Vaccination and previous infection reduced overall respiratory shedding. We measured sgRNA on
2, 3, and 5 DPI in oral swabs. Cumulative virus burden (area under the curve (AUC)) in oral swabs
was marginally reduced after IM vaccination (median AUC (Log<sub>10</sub>) = 19,489, p = 0.999, N = 6,

Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test), moderately reduced after IN vaccination (median AUC ( $Log_{10}$ ) = 13,470, p = 0.4347), and significantly reduced in the PI group (median AUC ( $Log_{10}$ ) = 454.4, p = 0.0197), compared to naïve animals (median AUC ( $Log_{10}$ ) = 43,618) (**Figure 3 D**).

153 We compared the severity of lung disease as measured by the lung:body weight ratio (Figure 3 154 E). In the donor hamsters, previously established immunity reduced the lung:body weight ratio 155 significantly after challenge (naïve = 1.296, IM = 0.7343, IN = 0.8030, PI = 0.8077, N = 6, Kruskal-156 Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test, run against the naïve group, p = 0.021, p 157 = 0.0165, and p = 0.0383, respectably). Hamsters from the naïve group developed lesions typical 158 of SARS-CoV-2 in this model [18] (Figure 3 H, Supplementary Table 1). SARS-CoV-2 159 nucleoprotein immunoreactivity, a measurement of viral presence, ranged from moderate to 160 numerous in both bronchi and alveoli and was especially apparent at the periphery of foci of 161 pneumonia (Figure 3 F and H). CD3 immunoreactivity, a measurement of T-cell infiltration, was 162 greatly increased in foci of inflammation and pneumonia in the lung (Figure 3 G). IM vaccination 163 decreased the disease severity, as previously described [19, 20], which was accompanied by 164 decreased antigen presence and T-cell infiltration compared to naïve animals. The majority of CD3 165 immunoreactive T-cells were located adjacent to bronchioles and blood vessels. In contrast, 166 pathology in the IN vaccinated and PI hamsters was negligible and limited to scant inflammation 167 and terminal airway reactivity, with no detectable virus presence and consistently lower T-cell 168 numbers than the naïve animals. Surprisingly, no difference in B-cell infiltration was observed, as 169 measured by PAX5 staining between the groups (Supplemental Table 1).

170

#### 171 Pre-existing humoral immunity against lineage A or Delta offers minimal neutralizing cross-

172 reactivity against Omicron

To quantify the immune pressure against Omicron in our groups, IgG anti-spike responses were
analyzed. All animals seroconverted by day 21 post vaccination or infection with Delta (Figure 4
A). Compared to IM vaccination, IN vaccination led to 4-fold higher humoral responses (median
titer IM vaccinated = 25,600; median titer IN vaccinated = 102,400, p = 0.0032, Kruskal-Wallis test,

177 followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test, N = 16). PI hamsters had significantly higher titers 178 (median = 409,600) than both IN vaccinated (p = 0.0103) and IM vaccinated (p < 0.0001) hamsters. 179 To better assess the production of binding antibodies in the IM. IN, and PI groups, we analyzed the 180 positive sera on a MESO QuickPlex panel [18] (Figure 4 B). In the IM and IN groups, the highest 181 median signal was seen with an antibody response to Lineage A (IM group = 10788.75; IN group 182 = 18692.00; PI group = 81855.75), which supports results from previous studies with vaccines 183 against Lineage A [21]. While the response was strongest against Delta in the PI group, the overall 184 response pattern to different variants was similar across all three groups. The median response 185 signal against Omicron was consistently lower than against Delta. Next, a live virus neutralization 186 assay was performed with the Delta and Omicron VOCs. Consistent with the ELISA and Meso 187 QuickPlex results, neutralizing antibody titers were highest in the PI group, which neutralized Delta 188 >10-fold better than Omicron (p < 0.0001, N = 6, two-way ANOVA followed by Šídák's multiple 189 comparisons test) (Figure 4 C). In the IN vaccinated hamsters, 9 out of 16 animals showed no 190 neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron variant. Of IM vaccinated hamsters, 14 out of 16 had 191 no neutralization of the Delta variant and 15 out of 16 had no neutralization of the Omicron variant. 192 These results indicate that prior infection produced the most robust neutralizing antibody response.

193 and that this response is more effective against the Delta variant than the Omicron variant.

194 Next, for each donor hamster, the fold change in post-challenge antibody titer relative to their pre-195 challenge baseline was calculated in samples collected at 5 DPI (Figure 4 D). IM donors 196 experienced a median 10-fold change which was higher as compared to IN donors, which had a 197 median fold-change value of 4.7, and PI donors, which had a titer fold-change of 1.2. These results 198 indicate greater increases in IgG titers in response to challenge in hamsters that had lower antibody 199 titers at baseline. Variant specific fold-change increase confirmed this finding. Interestingly, the 200 challenge with the 1:1 Omicron/Delta inoculum induced the same affinity maturation profile across 201 groups. The largest fold-change increase was observed for the antigenically most distant variants 202 as compared to the initial priming variant, namely Beta, Gamma, and Omicron (Figure 4 E). A live 203 virus neutralization assay was performed against the Omicron and Delta variants. Intriguingly, the 204 relative difference in fold-change neutralization capacity against Omicron compared to Delta

- 205 decreased. Yet, all groups maintained higher levels of neutralizing antibodies against Delta than
- 206 Omicron, with median titers against Delta four-fold higher than Omicron in PI animals (p = 0.0052,
- 207 N = 6, two-way ANOVA followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test) (Figure 4 F).
- 208

#### 209 Pre-existing humoral immunity protects against contact and airborne transmission

210 We hypothesized that under pre-existing immune pressure, the competition between Delta and 211 Omicron would favor Omicron due to the larger antigenic distance relative to the previous lineages 212 of SARS-CoV-2. To test this, groups of animals with vaccine- or infection-induced pre-existing 213 immunity were used in a contact and airborne transmission experiment. Twenty-four hours after 214 SARS-CoV-2 (1:1 mixture, Delta and Omicron variant) challenge, donors were co-housed with one 215 naïve sentinel and one immunized sentinel (sentinels 1, 1:1:1 ratio) for 48 hours. This enabled us 216 to compare airborne and contact transmission between donors and sentinels (N = 3, 1:1 ratio) for 217 IM vaccinated, IN vaccinated, and PI hamsters (Figure 4 A, Supplementary Figure 1 and 2, 218 **Supplementary Table 2**). An animal was considered infected if 2 out of 5 samples (either a swab, 219 nasal turbinates, or lung tissue sample) had detectable sgRNA. In donor animals which were 220 directly inoculated with 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> of virus, IN vaccination and PI reduced virus replication 221 compared to IM vaccination. All IM vaccinated donors became infected. In contrast, 5 out of 6 222 donors in the IN vaccinated group, and 3 out of 6 donors in the PI group became infected.

We then assessed infection in the naïve and immunized sentinels 1 after contact transmission. For IM vaccination, 2 out of 3 naïve sentinels and 2 out of 3 immunized sentinel 1 hamsters became infected. Contact transmission was further reduced in the IN vaccinated and PI groups. For both chains, 2 out of 3 donors were infected, but only 1 out of 3 immunized sentinels 1 and no naïve sentinels 1 were infected.

Reduction in transmission was more prominent in the airborne chains. Only 1 out of 3 immunized sentinels 1 was infected in the IM airborne chains, while 2 out of 3 naïve sentinel hamsters became infected. In the IN vaccinated airborne chains, 1 out of 3 immunized sentinels 1 and no naïve sentinel 1 hamsters were infected. In the PI chains, no immunized or naïve sentinel 1 became infected (**Figure 5 A**). Due to the importance of airborne transmission, and the increased reduction 233 in airborne transmission already observed between donors and sentinels, we decided to take two 234 airborne chains out to sentinels 3 (as described above for the naïve hamsters: donors  $\rightarrow$  sentinels 235  $1 \rightarrow$  sentinels  $2 \rightarrow$  sentinels 3). In the IM vaccinated group, 1 out of 2 immunized sentinel 2 animals, 236 but no naïve sentinel 2, no immunized sentinel 3, and no naïve sentinel 3 were infected. In the IN 237 vaccinated group, no sentinel 2 and no sentinel 3 became infected. In the PI group, 1 out of 2 238 immunized sentinel 2 animals, but no naïve sentinel 2, nor any sentinel 3 became infected. We 239 compared the airborne transmission efficiency between naïve, IM vaccinated, IN vaccinated, and 240 PI hamsters. using the data across all transmission events, including immunized and naïve 241 sentinels. For naïve hamsters, the airborne transmission efficiency was 63% (percentage of all 242 transmission events resulting in an infected sentinel/all transmission events). Both vaccination and 243 previous infection reduced this efficacy. While IM vaccination reduced of airborne transmission to 244 29% (p = 1.870, Fisher's exact test, two sided: Odds ratio = 4.167), both IN vaccination (p = 0.0109, 245 Fisher's exact test, two sided: Odds ratio = 21.67) and PI (p = 0.0109, Fisher's exact test, two sided: 246 Odds ratio = 21.67) reduced it to 7% (Figure 5 B).

247 Next, we compared the magnitude of overall shedding (AUC of sgRNA recovered in oral swabs on 248 2, 3, and 5 DPE) between naïve sentinels 1 exposed to naïve donors, and the IM, IN and PI 249 sentinels 1 and their respective naïve controls (Supplemental Figure 2 A). IM vaccination showed 250 the least effect on cumulative shedding compared to naïve sentinels, while IN vaccination and 251 previous infection impacted cumulative shedding more. For IM vaccinated, IN vaccinated, and PI 252 sentinels 1, cumulative shedding was significantly reduced compared to naïve sentinels 1 (p = 253 0.0374 (IM vaccinated), p = 0.0207 (IN vaccinated), and p = 0.0039 (PI), N = 6, two-way ANOVA, 254 followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test). In contrast, while naïve controls shed similar 255 amounts to naïve sentinels 1 in the IM group, we only observed significant reduction in cumulative 256 shedding in naïve controls in the IN vaccinated group (p = 0.001) and the PI group (p = 0.004). 257 When excluding all animals with no detectable sgRNA in any oral swab, the magnitude of 258 cumulative shedding did not differ between sentinels with pre-existing immunity and their respective 259 naïve controls. We observed a similar pattern when comparing lung pathology as measured by 260 lung:body weight ratio (Supplemental Figure 2 B). Comparing sentinels 1, vaccination and previous infection offered significant protection (p = 0.0432 (IM vaccinated), p = 0.033 (IN vaccinated), and p = 0.002 (PI), N = 6, two-way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test). Protection was also increased for naïve controls, but it was only significant in the PI group (p= 0.0238). We did not see a significant difference in the protection from lung pathology between sentinels with pre-existing immunity and their respective naïve controls.

266

#### 267 Existing immunity impacts Omicron intra- and inter-host competitiveness

268 To elucidate the intra- and inter-host competitiveness of Delta and Omicron, we determined the 269 relative variant composition in sgRNA positive swabs and tissue samples by next-generation 270 sequencing. In a few hamsters, Omicron was the dominant variant (Supplementary Table 2). 271 Overall, Delta outcompeted Omicron in the directly infected donors and the sentinels across all 272 groups (Figure 5 C). However, compared to the percentage of Omicron sequences in swab 273 samples from the naïve animals (< 2%). Omicron was more prevalent in swab samples from 274 hamsters with pre-existing immunity: Donors: IM vaccinated = 2.4%, IN vaccinated = 8.7%, and 275 PI = 40.6%; Sentinels 1: IM vaccinated = 13.4 %, IN vaccinated = 8.0%, and PI = 6.9% (Figure 5 276 D). This trend did not appear in tissue samples, and no Omicron was recovered in the nasal 277 turbinates of either IM vaccinated or PI animals, with the exception of one IN donor (18% Omicron), 278 nor in the lungs of IM vaccinated animals. No sgRNA was recovered from lungs of IN vaccinated 279 or PI animals. These data suggest that immune pressure may be different between physiological 280 compartments within the host, or that in the hamster model the initial relative advantage provided 281 by pre-existing immunity is rapidly lost once infection is established.

282

# Intratracheal inoculation with Omicron leads to lung replication and pathology, but not increased transmission

285 Considering the difference in phenotype of Omicron compared to Delta in the Syrian hamster [22], 286 we set out to better understand the mechanisms of transmission and pathogenesis for Omicron in 287 hamsters. Omicron shows limited lower respiratory tract dissemination through the loss of 288 TMPRSSII affinity due to mutations in the cleavage site [9, 23]. This could suggest that the route

289 of administration is key to changing the transmission profile and inducing pathogenesis in the lower 290 respiratory tract. We compared intratracheal (IT) inoculation with Omicron with the IN route. The 291 shedding profile for both inoculation routes was identical (Figure 6 A). We found that after IT 292 inoculation, more virus replication was observed in the lungs (median sgRNA copies/gram (Log10): 293 IN = 0, IT = 9.358, p = 0.0022, Mann-Whitney test, N = 6), but not in the nasal turbinates (Figure 6 294 **B**). This was accompanied by a significant increase in lung pathology (Figure 6 C), measured by 295 increased lung:body weight ratio at 5 DPI in the IT group (lung:body (%) = 0.8662 (IN) / 1.669 (IT). 296 p = 0.0022, Mann-Whitney test, N = 6) and observable lung lesions by gross pathology (Figure 6) 297 **D**). Histopathological lesions and SARS-CoV-2 NP immunoreactivity (p = 0.0022, Mann-Whitney 298 test, N = 6) in the alveoli were consistent with what has previously been described for other SARS-299 CoV-2 variants [18, 19]. (Figure 6 E-F). We then assessed if the change in tropism by inoculation 300 route translated into differential virus transmission dynamics. We exposed sentinels (1:1 ratio 301 donor:sentinel) either by contact or by airborne exposure to IN or IT infected donors (N = 3 for each 302 variation), for 48 h starting on 1 DPI. In the IN group, sgRNA was detectable in two contact sentinels 303 and one air sentinel on both sampling days, and in one IN contact sentinel in only one sample 304 (Table 1). In the IT group, sgRNA was detectable in one contact sentinel on both days and one 305 contact sentinel in only one sample (**Table 1**). No positive samples were found for the air sentinels. 306 All sentinels positive in swabs also seroconverted (**Table 1**). Interestingly, gRNA could only be 307 recovered in air samples on day 1 and 2 of exposure if the donor animal was IN inoculated, but not 308 IT inoculated (Figure 6 G). These data imply that upper respiratory tract replication may be required 309 for transmissibility through air in this model (1 out of 3 sentinels positive for the IN group, as 310 compared to 0 out of 3 for the IT group), but less so for contact (3 out of 3 sentinels positive for the 311 IN group, as compared to 2 out of 3 for the IT group).

312

#### 313 Discussion

The ongoing circulation of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs and vaccinations have created a highly heterogeneous immune landscape in the human population. Household transmission analyses have revealed that vaccinations against SARS-CoV-2 can be effective in reducing transmission not

317 only for SARS-CoV-2 Lineage A, but also VOCs including Delta [24]. Fully vaccinated and booster-318 vaccinated individuals are generally less susceptible to infection compared to unvaccinated 319 individuals [25]. Vaccination may reduce pathogen load directly affecting the disease transmission 320 dynamics. In experimental studies in the Syrian hamster, low heterologous vaccination-induced 321 antibody titers were linked to a reduction in lower respiratory tract pathology and virus replication 322 [26]. However, vaccine induced-SARS-CoV-2 immunity is typically not sterilizing [27], and 323 transmission and virus replication in the upper respiratory tract are still observed after homologous 324 or heterologous challenge. In addition, while the risk of reinfections in humans has been linked to 325 the magnitude of clinical and serological presentation of the first infection, vaccinations have been 326 found to reduce the risk of reinfection [28]. However, none of the currently licensed vaccines are 327 able to completely block transmission. In particular, with the Omicron VOC, vaccine breakthrough 328 and reinfections have frequently been reported. These are likely driven by a combination of waning 329 immunity and antigenic drift [29]. There is a clear need for the development of vaccines with the 330 potential to reduce upper respiratory tract replication and transmission while maintaining their ability 331 to prevent lower respiratory tract disease.

332 AZD1222 is a replication-incompetent simian adenovirus-vectored vaccine encoding the Lineage 333 A Spike (S) protein of Wuhan-1. Compared to IM vaccination, mucosal vaccination with the 334 ChAdOx1 COVID19 vaccine (AZD1222) has been shown to be more efficient in preventing upper 335 respiratory tract viral replication and shedding, while retaining the potential to prevent disease in 336 pre-clinical models, including the Syrian hamster, ferrets, and rhesus macagues [20, 33]. We found 337 here, that while the AZD1222 vaccine was based on the Lineage A S protein, both IM and IN 338 vaccination provided protection from lung pathology after challenge with a Delta/Omicron mixture 339 in the Syrian hamster. Our data supports increased protection of the lower respiratory tract after IN 340 vaccination or previous infection compared to IM vaccination. As we and others previously 341 demonstrated [20, 33], in this study the mucosal vaccination also decreased the viral load in the 342 upper respiratory tract as compared to IM vaccination. However, in the PI animals only, did we 343 observe a significant reduction in cumulative shedding compared to naïve hamsters.

344 Mucosal COVID-19 vaccines have been experimentally shown to reduce upper respiratory 345 shedding, but not transmission, when assessed in a single contact transmission chain setting [30-346 321. In addition, hamster studies have shown that previous infection protects against disease, but 347 not upper respiratory tract replication, after homologous and heterologous reinfection [27, 34-36]. 348 Similar dynamics were observed in our experimental setup. Vaccination did not completely block 349 the transmission in the first round but, a disruption of the airborne transmission chain was achieved 350 in the second iteration of the transmission chain. Our data show that vaccination resulted in 351 markedly changed transmission and disease dynamics, and this effect was greater for IN than IM 352 vaccination. Vaccination and PI also reduced the magnitude of shedding and disease severity in 353 the lungs in sentinel animals as compared to sentinels exposed to naïve donors. This suggests that 354 pre-existing immunity of the donor not only significantly reduces the likelihood of the first 355 transmission event but also may impact the onwards transmission on a population level, magnifying 356 the effect. The ability to block transmission appears to be related to the strength of the immune 357 response, in which we observe a change in strength from IM to IN to PI. IN vaccination and PI were 358 associated with higher binding antibody levels in serum and neutralizing titers as compared to IM 359 vaccination, and IN vaccination reduced the potential for airborne transmission more robustly, to 360 the same level as previous infection.

In this study, we did not observe differences in the magnitude of shedding found in naïve control sentinels and sentinels with pre-existing immunity after successful transmission from a vaccinated or PI donor. This might indicate that the transmission blocking efficacy of vaccinations may be a result mostly of reduced shedding of the donor as opposed to protection of the sentinel from infection, rather occurring on both ends of the transmission chain.

In humans, household transmission studies have demonstrated that immunity through vaccination does not provide equal protection across variants. Among vaccinated individuals, the Omicron VOC is generally 2.7-3.7 times more infectious than the Delta VOC [25]. However, this difference was absent among unvaccinated individuals, suggesting that increased transmissibility of the Omicron VOC is likely due to immune evasion [12, 37, 38]. In addition, more cases of household transmission from primary cases were observed with Omicron compared to Delta [39]. Whereas

the antigenic differences between the original ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and most VOCs are relatively
minimal, the exception is Omicron [12]. On average, a drop in neutralizing titers of ~ 40 has been
observed in sera from vaccinated and previously infected individuals [26, 40-42].

375 Omicron displays a reduced pathogenic phenotype in animal models. Virus replication in the lower 376 respiratory tract is reduced both in rodents [43, 44] and non-human primates [45, 46]. Omicron also 377 displays a reduced transmission efficiency compared to Lineage A, Alpha, and Delta in hamsters. 378 Intratracheal inoculation with Omicron displayed a lung pathology and lower respiratory tract 379 replication phenotype similar to that seen after intranasal inoculation with the other SARS-CoV-2 380 VOCs. Interestingly, contact transmission efficiency with Omicron was not reduced after 381 intratracheal inoculation, and airborne transmission efficiency was not increased as compared to 382 IN. These findings, combined with the absence of viral RNA in air samples taken from IT hamsters, 383 suggest that replication in the upper respiratory tract is required for airborne transmission, but not 384 for contact transmission.

385 Although Omicron showed reduced transmission potential, infection- or vaccine mediated immunity 386 increased the relative transmission potential of Omicron compared to Delta. Delta out-competed 387 Omicron in naïve hamster within and between hosts, suggesting overall greater fitness of Delta in 388 that context. However, in PI animals with preexisting immunity, the relative frequency of Omicron 389 increased compared to Delta. We also observed that the gap in neutralizing capacity between 390 Omicron and Delta decreased more in the IN vaccinated and PI groups after challenge/re-infection 391 with the Delta/Omicron mixture as compared to IM vaccinated animals. This could further suggest 392 that in these groups, a replication advantage was present for Omicron initially, which led to 393 increased antibody affinity maturation towards this variant. Our findings align with observations 394 from another study where the authors showed that the presence of neutralizing antibodies against 395 Delta, but not Omicron, could prevent Delta from outcompeting Omicron in hamsters [47]. This 396 suggests, that even in hamsters, where Delta is intrinsically more transmissible, immune pressure 397 can provide a direct advantage for antigenically-different viruses.

Our data demonstrate that pre-existing immunity and route of exposure directly influence disease
 manifestation and onwards transmission efficacy and potential. These data highlight the need to

400 better understand SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics amidst the complexity of pre-existing 401 immunity and the emergence of VOCs.

402

#### 403 Materials and Methods

404 *Ethics Statement* 

405 All animal experiments were conducted in an AAALAC International-accredited facility and were 406 approved by the Rocky Mountain Laboratories Institutional Care and Use Committee following the 407 guidelines put forth in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals 8th edition, the Animal 408 Welfare Act. United States Department of Agriculture and the United States Public Health Service 409 Policy on the Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Protocol number 2021-034-E. Work 410 with infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus strains under BSL3 conditions was approved by the Institutional 411 Biosafety Committee (IBC). For the removal of specimens from high containment areas, virus 412 inactivation of all samples was performed according to IBC-approved standard operating 413 procedures.

414

#### 415 Cells and viruses

The SARS-CoV-2 isolates used in this study are summarized in **Supplemental Table 3**. Virus propagation was performed in VeroE6 cells in DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum, 1 mM L-glutamine, 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 µg/mL streptomycin (DMEM2). VeroE6 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1 mM L- glutamine, 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 µg/ml streptomycin. At regular intervals mycoplasma testing was performed. No mycoplasma or contaminants were detected. All virus stocks were sequenced; and no SNPs compared to the patient sample sequence were detected.

423

424 Pseudotype entry assay

The spike coding sequences for SARS-CoV-2 variant Lineage A, Delta, and Omicron (MN985325,
EPI\_ISL\_2441471, EPI\_ISL\_6699767, respectively) were truncated by deleting 19 aa at the Cterminus. The spike (S) proteins with the 19 aa deletions of coronaviruses were previously reported

428 to show increased efficiency regarding incorporation into virions of VSV [48, 49]. These sequences 429 were codon optimized for human cells, then appended with a 5' kozak expression sequence 430 (GCCACC) and 3' tetra-glycine linker followed by nucleotides encoding a FLAG-tag sequence 431 (DYKDDDDK). These spike sequences were synthesized and cloned into pcDNA3.1<sup>+</sup>(GenScript). 432 Human and hamster ACE2 (Q9BYF1.2 and GQ262794.1, respectively), were synthesized and 433 cloned into pcDNA3.1<sup>+</sup> (GenScript). All DNA constructs were verified by Sanger sequencing 434 (ACGT). BHK cells were seeded in black 96-well plates and transfected the next day with 100 ng 435 plasmid DNA encoding human or hamster ACE2, using polyethylenimine (Polysciences). All 436 downstream experiments were performed 24 h post-transfection. Pseudotype production was 437 carried as previously described [50]. Briefly, plates pre-coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) 438 were seeded with 293T cells and transfected the following day with 1,200 ng of empty plasmid and 439 400 ng of plasmid encoding coronavirus spike or no-spike plasmid control (green fluorescent 440 protein (GFP)). After 24 h, transfected cells were infected with VSVAG seed particles pseudotyped 441 with VSV-G, as previously described [50, 51]. After one hour of incubating with intermittent shaking 442 at 37 °C, cells were washed four times and incubated in 2 mL DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS, 443 penicillin/streptomycin and L-glutamine for 48 h. Supernatants were collected, centrifuged at 500 x 444 g for 5 min, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C. BHK cells previously transfected with ACE2 plasmid 445 of interest were inoculated with equivalent volumes of pseudotype stocks. Plates were then 446 centrifuged at 1200 x g at 4 °C for one hour and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Approximately 18– 447 20 h post-infection, Bright-Glo luciferase reagent (Promega) was added to each well, 1:1, and 448 luciferase was measured. Relative entry was calculated normalizing the relative light unit for spike 449 pseudotypes to the plate relative light unit average for the no-spike control. Each figure shows the 450 data for two technical replicates.

451

452 Structural interaction analysis

The locations of the described spike mutations in the Delta and Omicron VOCs were highlighted on the SARS-CoV-2 spike structure (PDB 6ZGE [52]). To visualize the molecular interactions at the RBD – ACE2 binding interface, the crystal structure of the Alpha variant RBD and human ACE2 456 complex (PDB 7EKF [53]) was utilized. All figures were generated using The PyMOL Molecular
457 Graphics System (https://www.schrodinger.com/pymol).

458

459 VOC virus shedding comparison

460 For the comparison of virus shedding of all VOCs, four-to-six-week-old Syrian golden hamsters (N

461 = 6 per group, Envigo Indianapolis) were inoculated intranasally with 40  $\mu$ L containing 1 × 10<sup>3</sup>

462 TCID<sub>50</sub> virus in sterile DMEM. Oropharyngeal swabs were collected in 1 mL of DMEM2 on day post

463 infection 1-7.

464

#### 465 Variant transmission competitiveness between pre-existing immunity groups

466 Four-to-six-week-old female and male Syrian hamsters (ENVIGO) were used. Hamsters were 467 randomly assigned to one of four groups: Naïve group, intramuscularly (IM) vaccinated group, 468 intranasally (IN) vaccinated group, and PI (PI) group. For the IM vaccinated group, 16 animals 469 received vaccine AZD1222 (2.5 x 10<sup>8</sup> IU/animal) intramuscularly to two sites using a 25-gauge 470 needle with a maximum injection volume of 200 µL. For the IN vaccinated group, 16 animals 471 received vaccine AZD1222 (2.5 x 10<sup>8</sup> IU/animal intranasally with a maximum injection volume of 472 60 μL. For the PI group, 16 naïve animals were exposed to Delta infected animals in direct contact 473 over multiple days. Four hamsters were inoculated via the intranasal route with a total maximum 474 dose of 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC. One infected hamster was co-housed with four naïve 475 animals to allow for contact transmission to occur (ratio 1:4). 21 days post vaccination or challenge 476 blood was collected for serology.

The transmission chains were conducted at least 28 days post vaccination or previous infection. Naïve controls were age matched. Naïve group: Donor hamsters (N = 6) were infected intranasally as described above with 1 x 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 at a 1:1 ratio of Omicron and Delta and individually housed. After 24 hours, three donor animals were placed into a new rodent cage and three donors were placed into the donor cage of an airborne transmission set-up of 16.5 cm distance at an airflow of 30 cage changes/h as described by Port et al. [14]. Sentinels (sentinels 1, N = 3) were placed into either the same cage (contact, N = 3,1:1 ratio) or the sentinel cage of the 484 airborne transmission caging (airborne, N = 3, 1:1 ratio). Hamsters were co-housed for 48 h. Donor 485 animals were re-housed into regular rodent caging and sentinels 1 were placed into either a new 486 rodent cage or the donor cage of a new airborne transmission set-up. New sentinels (sentinels 2. 487 N = 3 for contact and N = 3 for airborne) were placed into the same new rodent cage or the sentinel 488 cage of the airborne transmission caging (1:1) at 16.5 cm distance at an airflow of 30 changes/h. 489 Hamsters were co-housed for 48 h. Sentinels 1 were then re-housed into regular rodent caging and 490 N = 4/6 sentinels 2 were placed into either a new rodent cage or the donor cage of a new airborne 491 transmission set-up. New sentinels (sentinels 2, N = 2 for contact and N = 2 for airborne) were 492 placed into the same new rodent cage or the sentinel cage of the airborne transmission caging 493 (1:1) at 16.5 cm distance at an airflow of 30 changes/h. Hamsters were co-housed for 72 h. Then 494 all were re-housed to regular rodent caging and monitored until 5 DPE.

495 Vaccinated groups: Donor hamsters (N = 6 for IM and IN vaccination, respectively) were infected 496 intranasally as described above with 1 x 10<sup>4</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 at a 1:1 ratio of Omicron and 497 Delta and individually housed. After 24 hours for each group, three donor animals were placed into 498 a new rodent cage and three donors were placed into the donor cage of an airborne transmission 499 set-up. Equally vaccinated sentinels (sentinels 1) and completely naïve animals (naïve Controls) 500 were placed into either the same cage (contact, N = 3,1:2 ratio) or the sentinel cage of the airborne 501 transmission caging (airborne, N = 3, 1:2 ratio). Hamsters were co-housed for 48 h. Donor animals 502 and sentinels were re-housed into regular rodent caging and monitored until 5 DPE.

503 PI group: Donor hamsters (N = 6) were infected intranasally as described above with  $1 \times 10^4$  TCID<sub>50</sub> 504 SARS-CoV-2 at a 1:1 ratio of Omicron and Delta and individually housed. After 24 hours, three 505 donor animals were placed into a new rodent cage and three donors were placed into the donor 506 cage of an airborne transmission set-up. Equally PI sentinels (sentinels 1) and completely naïve 507 animals (naïve controls) were placed into either the same cage (contact. N = 3.1:2 ratio) or the 508 sentinel cage of the airborne transmission caging (airborne, N = 3, 1:2 ratio). Hamsters were co-509 housed for 48 h. Donor animals and sentinels were re-housed into regular rodent caging and 510 monitored until 5 DPE. Oropharyngeal swabs were taken for all animals at 2, 3, and 5 DPI/DPE. 511 All animals were euthanized at 5 DPI/DPE for collection of lung tissue and nasal turbinates and

512 serum. To ensure no cross-contamination, the donor cages and the sentinel cages were never 513 opened at the same time, sentinel hamsters were not exposed to the same handling equipment as 514 donors, and the equipment was disinfected with either 70% ETOH or 5% Microchem after each 515 sentinel. Regular bedding was replaced by alpha-dri bedding to avoid the generation of dust 516 particles.

517

#### 518 Comparison between intranasal and intratracheal inoculation

519 Four-to-six-week-old male Syrian hamsters (ENVIGO) were used. Animals were randomly 520 assigned to two groups, intratracheal and intranasal inoculation, and inoculated with  $1 \times 10^4$  TCID<sub>50</sub> 521 SARS-CoV-2 in a volume of 40  $\mu$ L (IN) or 100 $\mu$ L (IT) (N = 6). Animals were then individually housed, 522 swabbed daily in the oropharyngeal cavity, and lungs and nasal turbinates collected at day 5. On 523 day 1, each animal was either co-housed with a naïve sentinel (contact, N = 3) or placed into the 524 upstream cage of a short-distance aerosol transmission cage (16.5cm) and one sentinel placed 525 adjacent (air, N = 3). Animals were exposed at a 1:1 ratio, for 48 hours. Air was sampled in 24h 526 intervals for the air transmission set-ups as described previously [14]. Sentinels were swabbed on 527 days 3 and 5 post exposure start, and serum collected on day 14.

528

#### 529 Viral RNA detection

530 Swabs from hamsters were collected as described above. Then, 140 µL was utilized for RNA 531 extraction using the QIAamp Viral RNA Kit (Qiagen) using QIAcube HT automated system (Qiagen) 532 according to the manufacturer's instructions with an elution volume of 150 µL. For tissues, RNA 533 was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and 534 eluted in 60 µL. Sub-genomic (sg) and genomic (g) viral RNA was detected by gRT-PCR [54, 55]. 535 RNA was tested with TaqMan<sup>™</sup> Fast Virus One-Step Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) using 536 QuantStudio 3 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). SARS-CoV-2 standards with 537 known copy numbers were used to construct a standard curve and calculate copy numbers/mL or 538 copy numbers/g. The detection limit for the assay was 10 copies/reaction, and samples below this 539 limit were considered negative.

540

#### 541 Virus titration

542 Viable virus in tissue samples was determined as previously described [56]. In brief, lung tissue 543 samples were weighed, then homogenized, in 1 mL of DMEM (2% FBS). Swabs were used 544 undiluted. VeroE6 cells were inoculated with ten-fold serial dilutions of homogenate, incubated 1 545 hours at 37°C, and the first two dilutions washed twice with 2% DMEM. For swab samples, cells 546 were inoculated with ten-fold serial dilutions and no wash was performed. After 6 days, cells were 547 scored for cytopathic effect. TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL was calculated by the method of Spearman-Karber. To 548 determine titers in air samples, a plaque assay was used. VeroE6 cells were inoculated with 200 549 µL/well (48-well plate) of undiluted samples, with no wash performed. Plates were spun for 1 hour 550 at room temperature at 1000 rpm. 800 uL of CMC (500 mL MEM (Cat#10370, Gibco, must contain 551 NEAA), 5 mL PenStrep, 7.5 g carboxymethylcellulose (CMC, Cat# C4888, Sigma, sterilize in 552 autoclave) overlay medium was added to each well and plates incubated for 6-days at 37°C. Plates 553 were fixed with 10% formalin overnight, then rinsed and stained with 1% crystal violet for 10 min. 554 Plagues were counted.

555

556 ELISA

557 Serum samples were analyzed as previously described [57]. In brief, maxisorp plates (Nunc) were 558 coated with 50 ng spike protein (generated in-house) per well. Plates were incubated overnight at 559 4°C. Plates were blocked with casein in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (ThermoFisher) for 1 hour 560 at room temperature. Serum was diluted 2-fold in blocking buffer and samples (duplicate) were 561 incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Secondary goat anti-hamster IgG Fc (horseradish 562 peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated, Abcam) spike-specific antibodies were used for detection and 563 visualized with KPL TMB 2-component peroxidase substrate kit (SeraCare, 5120-0047). The 564 reaction was stopped with KPL stop solution (Seracare) and plates were read at 450 nm. The 565 threshold for positivity was calculated as the average plus 3 x the standard deviation of negative 566 control hamster sera.

#### 568 MESO QuickPlex Assay

569 The V-PLEX SARS-CoV-2 Panel 23 (IgG) kit from Meso Scale Discovery was used to test binding 570 antibodies against the spike protein of the different SARS-CoV-2 VOCs, with serum obtained from 571 hamsters 14 DPI diluted at 10,000X. A standard curve of pooled hamster sera positive for SARS-572 CoV-2 spike protein was serially diluted 4-fold. To prepare a secondary antibody, a goat anti-573 hamster IgG cross-adsorbed secondary antibody (ThermoFisher) was conjugated using the MSD 574 GOLD SULFO-TAG NHS-Ester Conjugation Pack (MSD). The secondary antibody was diluted 575 10,000X. The plates were prepped, and samples were run according to the kit's instruction manual. 576 After the plates were read by the MSD instrument, data was analyzed with the MSD Discovery 577 Workbench Application.

578

#### 579 Virus neutralization

580 Heat-inactivated γ-irradiated sera were two-fold serially diluted in DMEM. 100 TCID<sub>50</sub> of SARS-581 CoV-2 were added. After 1 hour of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, the virus:serum mixture was added to VeroE6 cells. CPE was scored after 5 days at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The virus neutralization 583 titer was expressed as the reciprocal value of the highest dilution of the serum which still inhibited 584 virus replication.

585

#### 586 Next-generation sequencing of virus

587 Total RNA was extracted from oral swabs, lungs, and nasal turbinates using the Qia Amp Viral kit 588 (Qiagen, Germantown, MD), eluted in EB, and viral Ct values were calculated using real-timePCR. 589 Subsequently, 11 µL of extracted RNA were used as template in the ARTIC nCoV-2019 sequencing 590 protocol V.1 (Protocols.io - https://www.protocols.io/view/ncov-2019-sequencing-protocol-591 bbmuik6w) to generate first-strand cDNA. Five microliters were used as template for Q5 HotStart 592 Polymerase PCR (Thermo Fisher Sci, Waltham, MA) together with 10 uM stock of a single primer 593 pair from the ARTIC nCoV-2019 v3 Panel (Integrated DNA Technologies, Belgium); specifically, 594 76L alt3 and 76R alt0. Following 35 cycles and 55°C annealing temperature, products were 595 AmPure XP cleaned and quantitated with Qubit (Thermo Fisher Sci) fluorometric quantitation as 596 per instructions. Following visual assessment of 1 µL on a Tape Station D1000 (Agilent 597 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), a total of 400 ng of product was taken directly into TruSeg DNA 598 PCR-Free Library Preparation Guide, Revision D. (Illumina, San Diego, CA) beginning with the 599 Repair Ends step (q.s. to 60 µL with RSB). Subsequent clean-up consisted of a single 1:1 AmPure 600 XP/reaction ratio, and all steps followed the manufacturer's instructions including the Illumina 601 TruSeq CD (96) indexes. Final libraries were visualized on a BioAnalyzer HS chip (Agilent 602 Technologies) and guantified using KAPA Library Quant Kit - Illumina Universal gPCR Mix (Kapa 603 Biosystems, Wilmington, MA) on a CFX96 Real-Time System (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Libraries 604 were diluted to 2 nM stock, pooled together in equimolar concentrations, and sequenced on the 605 Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina) as paired-end 2 X 250 base pair reads. Because of the limited 606 diversity of a single-amplicon library, 20% PhiX was added to the final sequencing pool to aid in 607 final sequence quality. Raw fastg reads were trimmed of Illumina adapter sequences using 608 cutadapt version 1.1227, and then trimmed and filtered for guality using the FASTX-Toolkit (Hannon 609 Lab, CSHL). To process the ARTIC data, a custom pipeline was developed [58]. Fastg read pairs 610 were first compared to a database of ARTIC primer pairs to identify read pairs that had correct, 611 matching primers on each end. Once identified, the ARTIC primer sequence was trimmed off. Read 612 pairs that did not have the correct ARTIC primer pairs were discarded. Remaining read pairs were 613 collapsed into one sequence using AdapterRemoval [59] requiring a minimum 25 base overlap and 614 300 base minimum length, generating ARTIC amplicon sequences. Identical amplicon sequences 615 were removed, and the unique amplicon sequences were then mapped to the SARS-CoV-2 616 genome (MN985325.1) using Bowtie2 [60]. Aligned SAM files were converted to BAM format, then 617 sorted and indexed using SAMtools [61]. Variant calling was performed using Genome Analysis 618 Toolkit (GATK, version 4.1.2) HaplotypeCaller with ploidy set to 2 [62]. Single nucleotide 619 polymorphic variants were filtered for QUAL > 200 and quality by depth (QD) > 20 and indels were 620 filtered for QUAL > 500 and QD > 20 using the filter tool in bcftools, v1.9 [61].

621

622 Histopathology

623 Necropsies and tissue sampling were performed according to IBC-approved protocols. Tissues 624 were fixed for a minimum of 7 days in 10% neutral buffered formalin with 2 changes. Tissues were 625 placed in cassettes and processed with a Sakura VIP-6 Tissue Tek, on a 12-hour automated 626 schedule, using a graded series of ethanol, xylene, and PureAffin. Prior to staining, embedded 627 tissues were sectioned at 5 µm and dried overnight at 42°C. Using GenScript U864YFA140-628 4/CB2093 NP-1 (1:1000) specific anti-CoV immunoreactivity was detected using the Vector 629 Laboratories ImPress VR anti-rabbit IgG polymer (# MP-6401) as secondary antibody. The tissues 630 were then processed using the Discovery Ultra automated processor (Ventana Medical Systems) 631 with a ChromoMap DAB kit Roche Tissue Diagnostics (#760-159). Anti-CD3 immunoreactivity was 632 detected utilizing a primary antibody from Roche Tissue Diagnostics predilute (#790-4341), 633 secondary antibody from Vector Laboratories ImPress VR anti-rabbit IgG polymer (# MP-6401) and 634 visualized using the ChromoMap DAB kit from Roche Tissue Diagnostics (#760-159). Anti-PAX5 635 immunoreactivity was detected utilizing a primary antibody from Novus Biologicals at 1:500 636 (#NBP2-38790), secondary antibody from Vector Laboratories ImPress VR anti-rabbit IgG polymer 637 (# MP-6401) and visualized using the ChromoMap DAB kit from Roche Tissue Diagnostics (#760-638 159).

639

640 Morphometric analysis.

641 CD3 and PAX5 IHC stained sections were scanned with an Aperio ScanScope XT (Aperio 642 Technologies, Inc., Vista, CA) and analyzed using the ImageScope Positive Pixel Count algorithm 643 (version 9.1). The default parameters of the Positive Pixel Count (hue of 0.1 and width of 0.5) 644 detected antigen adequately.

645

#### 646 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bob Fischer and Shane Gallogly for help with experiments. We thank Tina
Thomas, Rebecca Rosenke, and Dan Long for assistance with histology; RMVB animal care staff
for taking care of the animals. The following reagent was obtained through: CDC: SARS-CoV2/human/USA/WA-CDC-WA1/2020, Lineage A. BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH: SARS-CoV-2 variant

651	Alpha	(B.1.1.7)	(hCoV320	19/England/204820464/2020,	EPI_ISL_683466)	and	variant	Delta
-----	-------	-----------	----------	----------------------------	-----------------	-----	---------	-------

- 652 (B.1.617.2/) (hCoV-19/USA/KY-CDC-2-4242084/2021, EPI ISL 1823618). Variant Beta (B.1.351)
- 653 isolate name: hCoV-19/USA/MD-HP01542/2021, EPI ISL 890360, and variant Gamma (P.1)
- 654 isolate name: hCoV-19/USA/MD-HP03867/2021, EPI\_ISL\_1468644, were contributed by Johns
- 655 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health: Andrew Pekosz. Variant Omicron (B.1.1.529. BA.1)
- 656 isolate name: hCoV-19/USA/GA-EHC-2811C/2021, EPI\_ISL\_7171744, was contributed by Mehul
- 657 Suthar. We thank Andrew Pekosz and Mehul Suthar for gracefully sharing viruses.
- 658
- 659

#### 660 Funding

- 661 This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Allergy
- and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1ZIAAI001179-01). This work
- 663 was part of NIAID's SARS-CoV-2 Assessment of Viral Evolution (SAVE) Program.
- 664
- 665 References

666

## 667 1. WHO. *Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants*. 2022 [cited 2022 25 May 2022]; Available from: 668 https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/.

- 6692.CDC. SARS-CoV-2 Variant Classifications and Definitions. 2021 [cited 2021 18 January6702022].
- 6713.Bergeri, I., et al., Global epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a systematic review and672meta-analysis of standardized population-based seroprevalence studies, Jan 2020-Oct6732021. medRxiv, 2021: p. 2021.12.14.21267791.
- 6744.Azami, M., et al., SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence around the world: an updated systematic675review and meta-analysis. Eur J Med Res, 2022. 27(1): p. 81.
- 676 5. Rostami, A., et al., Update on SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence: regional and worldwide. Clin
  677 Microbiol Infect, 2021. 27(12): p. 1762-1771.
- 678 6. Fan, Y., et al., SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: recent progress and future perspectives.
  679 Signal Transduct Target Ther, 2022. 7(1): p. 141.
- 6807.Altarawneh, H.N., et al., Protection against the Omicron Variant from Previous SARS-681CoV-2 Infection. New England Journal of Medicine, 2022. **386**(13): p. 1288-1290.
- 6828.Cameroni, E., et al., Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron683antigenic shift. Nature, 2022. 602(7898): p. 664-670.
- 684 9. Gupta, R., SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike mediated immune escape and tropism shift. Res
  685 Sq, 2022.

686	10.	Kuhlmann, C., et al., Breakthrough infections with SARS-CoV-2 omicron despite mRNA
687		<i>vaccine booster dose</i> . Lancet, 2022. <b>399</b> (10325): p. 625-626.
688	11.	van der Straten, K., et al., Mapping the antigenic diversification of SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv,
689		2022: p. 2022.01.03.21268582.
690	12.	Mykytyn, A.Z., et al., Antigenic cartography of SARS-CoV-2 reveals that Omicron BA.1
691		and BA.2 are antigenically distinct. Science Immunology. <b>0</b> (0): p. eabq4450.
692	13.	Chaguza, C., et al., Rapid emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant is associated with
693		an infection advantage over Delta in vaccinated persons. Med, 2022. <b>3</b> (5): p. 325-
694		334.e4.
695	14.	Port, J.R., et al., Increased small particle aerosol transmission of B.1.1.7 compared with
696		SARS-CoV-2 lineage A in vivo. Nature Microbiology, 2022. 7(2): p. 213-223.
697	15.	Port, J.R., et al., SARS-CoV-2 disease severity and transmission efficiency is increased for
698		airborne compared to fomite exposure in Syrian hamsters. Nat Commun, 2021. 12(1): p.
699		4985.
700	16.	Lan, J., et al., Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the
701		<i>ACE2 receptor.</i> Nature, 2020. <b>581</b> (7807): p. 215-220.
702	17.	WHO, COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update, 25 February 2021 2021. p.
703		https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-
704		reports/20210225_weekly_epi_update_voc-special-edition.pdf.
705	18.	van Doremalen, N., et al., Efficacy of ChAdOx1 vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 Variants of
706		Concern Beta, Delta and Omicron in the Syrian hamster model. Res Sq, 2022.
707	19.	Fischer, R.J., et al., ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) protects Syrian hamsters against SARS-
708		<i>CoV-2 B.1.351 and B.1.1.7.</i> Nat Commun, 2021. <b>12</b> (1): p. 5868.
709	20.	van Doremalen, N., et al., Intranasal ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/AZD1222 vaccination reduces
710		viral shedding after SARS-CoV-2 D614G challenge in preclinical models. Sci Transl Med,
711		2021. <b>13</b> (607).
712	21.	Ip, C.L.C., et al., MinION Analysis and Reference Consortium: Phase 1 data release and
/13		analysis. F1000Research, 2015. <b>4</b> : p. 1075-1075.
/14	22.	Shuai, H., et al., Attenuated replication and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529
/13	22	Umicron. Nature, 2022. 603(7902): p. 693-699.
/10	23.	fusegoniaity Nature 2022 <b>602</b> (2002): p. 206-214
719	24	Jusoyenicity. Nature, 2022. <b>603</b> (7902). p. 706-714.
710	24.	household contacts in Israel Science <b>0</b> (0): n. cohl4202
720	25	Induseriold Contacts in Israel. Science. 0(0), p. eabi4232.
720	25.	modRviv 2021 n 2021 12 27 21268278
721	26	liu V et al. Distinct neutralizing kinetics and magnitudes elicited by different SARS-
723	20.	CoV-2 variant snikes hioRxiv 2021
723	27	Vinda CK et al Prior gerosol infection with lineage A SARS-CoV-2 variant protects
725	27.	hamsters from disease, but not reinfection with B 1 351 SARS-CoV-2 variant Froera
726		Microhes Infect 2021 <b>10</b> (1): n 1284-1292
727	28	Casado II et al Risk of SARS-CoV-2 Reinfections in a Prospective Incention Cohort
728	20.	Study: Impact of COVID-19 Vaccination   Clin Med 2022 <b>11</b> (12)
729	29	Pulliam, I.R.C., et al., Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence
730	23.	of Omicron in South Africa, Science, 2022, <b>376</b> (6593): n. eahn4947
731	30.	Amanatidou, E., et al., Breakthrough infections after COVID-19 vaccination: Insights
732		perspectives and challenges. Metabol Open, 2022. 14: p. 100180.

733	31.	Kar, S., et al., Oral and intranasal vaccines against SARS-CoV-2: Current progress,
734		prospects, advantages, and challenges. Immun Inflamm Dis, 2022. 10(4): p. e604.
735	32.	Mouro, V. and A. Fischer, Dealing with a mucosal viral pandemic: lessons from COVID-19
736		vaccines. Mucosal Immunol, 2022.
737	33.	Marsh, G.A., et al., ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine candidate significantly reduces
738		SARS-CoV-2 shedding in ferrets. npj Vaccines, 2021. 6(1): p. 67.
739	34.	Hansen, F., et al., SARS-CoV-2 reinfection prevents acute respiratory disease in Syrian
740		hamsters but not replication in the upper respiratory tract. Cell Reports, 2022. 38(11): p.
741		110515.
742	35.	Stauft, C.B., et al., Long-term immunity in convalescent Syrian hamsters provides
743		protection against new-variant SARS-CoV-2 infection of the lower but not upper
744		<i>respiratory tract.</i> J Med Virol, 2022. <b>94</b> (6): p. 2833-2836.
745	36.	Mohandas, S., et al., Protective Immunity of the Primary SARS-CoV-2 Infection Reduces
746		Disease Severity Post Re-Infection with Delta Variants in Syrian Hamsters. Viruses, 2022.
747		<b>14</b> (3).
748	37.	Puhach, O., et al., Infectious viral load in unvaccinated and vaccinated individuals
749		infected with ancestral, Delta or Omicron SARS-CoV-2. Nature Medicine, 2022.
750	38.	Chaguza, C., et al., Rapid emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant is associated with
751		an infection advantage over Delta in vaccinated persons. Med (N Y), 2022. 3(5): p. 325-
752		334.e4.
753	39.	Public Health England, V.T.G., SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under
754		investigation in England. technical briefing 31. 2021.
755	40.	Planas, D., et al., Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization.
756		Nature, 2022. <b>602</b> (7898): p. 671-675.
757	41.	Planas, D., et al., Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to antibody
758		<i>neutralization.</i> Nature, 2021. <b>596</b> (7871): p. 276-280.
759	42.	Dejnirattisai, W., et al., SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from
760		neutralizing antibody responses. Cell, 2022. <b>185</b> (3): p. 467-484.e15.
761	43.	Abdelnabi, R., et al., The omicron (B.1.1.529) SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern does not
762		readily infect Syrian hamsters. Antiviral Research, 2022. 198: p. 105253.
763	44.	Diamond, M., et al., The SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus causes attenuated
764		infection and disease in mice and hamsters. Res Sq, 2021.
765	45.	Chandrashekar, A., et al., Vaccine protection against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in
766		<i>macaques.</i> Cell, 2022. <b>185</b> (9): p. 1549-1555.e11.
767	46.	Deng, W., et al., Sequential immunizations confer cross-protection against variants of
768		SARS-CoV-2, including Omicron in Rhesus macaques. Signal Transduction and Targeted
769		Therapy, 2022. <b>7</b> (1): p. 124.
770	47.	Yuan, S., et al., Pathogenicity, transmissibility, and fitness of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron in
771		Syrian hamsters. Science. <b>0</b> (0): p. eabn8939.
772	48.	Fukushi, S., et al., Vesicular stomatitis virus pseudotyped with severe acute respiratory
773		syndrome coronavirus spike protein. Journal of General Virology, 2005. 86(8): p. 2269-
774		2274.
775	49.	Kawase, M., et al., Protease-Mediated Entry via the Endosome of Human Coronavirus
776		229E. Journal of Virology, 2009. 83(2): p. 712-721.
777	50.	Letko, M., A. Marzi, and V. Munster, Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor
778		usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. Nature Microbiology.
779		2020. <b>5</b> (4): p. 562-569.

780	51.	Takada, A., et al., A system for functional analysis of Ebola virus glycoprotein.
781		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1997. <b>94</b> (26): p. 14764-14769.
782	52.	Wrobel, A.G., et al., SARS-CoV-2 and bat RaTG13 spike glycoprotein structures inform on
783		virus evolution and furin-cleavage effects. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 2020.
784		<b>27</b> (8): p. 763-767.
785	53.	Han, P., et al., Molecular insights into receptor binding of recent emerging SARS-CoV-2
786		variants. Nature Communications, 2021. 12(1): p. 6103.
787	54.	Corman, V.M., et al., Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-
788		<i>PCR.</i> Euro Surveill, 2020. <b>25</b> (3).
789	55.	Corman, V.M., et al., Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-
790		PCR. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European
791		communicable disease bulletin, 2020. <b>25</b> (3): p. 2000045.
792	56.	van Doremalen, N., et al., Efficacy of antibody-based therapies against Middle East
793		respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in common marmosets. Antiviral Res,
794		2017. <b>143</b> : p. 30-37.
795	57.	Yinda, C.K., et al., K18-hACE2 mice develop respiratory disease resembling severe COVID-
796		<i>19.</i> PLoS Pathog, 2021. <b>17</b> (1): p. e1009195.
797	58.	Avanzato, V.A., et al., Case Study: Prolonged Infectious SARS-CoV-2 Shedding from an
798		Asymptomatic Immunocompromised Individual with Cancer. Cell, 2020. 183(7): p. 1901-
799		1912.e9.
800	59.	Schubert, M., S. Lindgreen, and L. Orlando, AdapterRemoval v2: rapid adapter trimming,
801		identification, and read merging. BMC Res Notes, 2016. 9: p. 88.
802	60.	Langmead, B. and S.L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat
803		Methods, 2012. <b>9</b> (4): p. 357-9.
804	61.	Li, H., et al., The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics, 2009.
805		<b>25</b> (16): p. 2078-9.
806	62.	McKenna, A., et al., The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing
807		next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res, 2010. <b>20</b> (9): p. 1297-303.
808		

#### 809 Figures and Tables



Figure 1. Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 variants Omicron and Delta infection in the Syrian
 hamster. A. Mutations observed in the SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron VOCs are highlighted on

813 the structure of SARS-CoV-2 spike (compared to Lineage A, PDB 6ZGE, [52]). The spike trimer is 814 depicted by surface representation with each protomer colored a different shade of gray. The 815 residues at the positions of the spike protein mutations observed in the Delta and Omicron SARS-816 CoV-2 VOCs are colored teal green (Delta) and blue (Omicron). The receptor binding domain 817 (RBD), N-terminal domain (NTD), and cleavage site are annotated. N-linked glycans are shown as 818 light, orange-colored sticks. B. The structure of the Alpha VOC RBD and human ACE2 complex 819 (PDB 7EKF, [53]) is depicted with cartoon representation. ACE2 is colored dark gray and the RBD 820 is colored light gray. N-linked glycans are shown as light, orange-colored sticks. A box reveals a 821 close-up view of the RBD-ACE2 binding interface. Side chains of the residues participating in the 822 interaction, as identified and described by Lan, et al [16] are shown as sticks. The residues within 823 the RBD that are mutated in the Delta and Omicron VOCs are colored teal green (Delta) and blue 824 (Omicron). Residue T478 is mutated in both Delta and Omicron VOCs but is colored teal green in 825 the figure. Though they do not participate directly in the ACE2 interface, the sidechains of residues 826 L452 and T478 are also shown. The residues that differ between human and hamster ACE2 within 827 the interface are colored red. C. BHK cells expressing either human ACE2 or hamster ACE2 were 828 infected with pseudotyped VSV reporter particles with the spike proteins of Delta or Omicron. 829 Relative entry to a lineage A control is depicted. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max 830 values, and individual values, N = 8, ordinary two-way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple 831 comparisons test. D. Viral load as measured by sqRNA in oropharyngeal swabs collected at 1-7 832 days post intranasal 1,000 TCID<sub>50</sub> inoculation with Lineage A, Alpha, Beta, Delta, Gamma or 833 Omicron. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and individual values, N = 6 (3 834 males and 3 females). E. Cumulative sgRNA shedding for each variant. Area under the curve for 835 data shown in D. Kruskal-Wallis test. P-values stated were significant (<0.05).



Figure 2. Transmission competitiveness of Delta and Omicron in a naïve hamster population. Chain transmission in naïve Syrian hamsters assessing the competitiveness of Delta and Omicron over three transmission events. **A.** Donor animals (N = 6) were inoculated with a total of  $10^4$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of Delta and Omicron (1:1 ratio) via the IN route, and three groups of sentinels (sentinels 1 (N = 6), 2 (N = 6) and 3 (N = 4)) were subsequently exposed. Half were exposed by direct contact (housed in the same cage), and half at 16.5 cm distance (airborne exposure). Animals were exposed at a 1:1 ratio; exposure occurred 24h post inoculation (Donors  $\rightarrow$  sentinels

845 1) and 48h post exposure for subsequent groups (sentinels  $\rightarrow$  sentinels). **B.** Summary of infection 846 status for the donors and sentinels. Oropharyngeal swabs were taken on 2, 3, and 5 DPI/DPE, and 847 lungs and nasal turbinates were collected at day 5 DPI/DPE. Individuals were considered infected, 848 if 2 out of 5 samples were positive for sgRNA (oral swab, lung or nasal turbinate). Bar charts depict 849 summary of individuals, divided into contact and airborne chains. C. The receptor binding domain 850 of the SARS-CoV-2 spike was sequenced for all sgRNA positive swabs collected at 2, 3, and 5 851 DPI/DPE. Heatmap representing all sqRNA positive swab samples from each individual for each 852 chain and showing the percentage of Delta detected. Colors refer to legend on right (D = donor, S 853 = sentinel), grey = no sgRNA present in the sample or sequencing unsuccessful. D. Overall 854 percentage of Delta and Omicron in all sgRNA positive samples in each group, separated by 855 sample type. Bar charts depicting median and 95% CI. Number of sgRNA positive samples over 856 all samples analyzed is indicated on top. Yellow = Delta, purple = Omicron.



#### Figure 3. Reduction of disease severity and shedding through pre-existing immunity. A.

859 Schematic. Hamsters were either vaccinated IN or IM against lineage A or experienced a previous 860 infection with Delta through contact exposure to IN inoculated hamsters. Immune status was 861 confirmed after 21 days. Transmission competitiveness in these populations was investigated at 862 least 28 days post vaccination or infection. Donor animals (N = 6 for each group) were inoculated 863 with a total of  $10^4$  TCID<sub>50</sub> of Delta and Omicron via the IN route (1:1 ratio), and sentinels 1 (N = 6) 864 were exposed 24h later. For each transmission event, a naïve control animal was also exposed. 865 Half were exposed by direct contact (housed in the same cage), and half at 16.5 cm distance 866 (airborne exposure). Animals were exposed at a 1:1:1 ratio, and exposure occurred on day 1 and 867 lasted for 48 hours. B.C. Tissue samples were collected at 5 DPI/DPE for donors. Donor sgRNA in 868 lungs and nasal turbinates. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and individual 869 values, N = 6, ordinary two-way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test. D. 870 Cumulative shedding. Area under the curve (AUC) of sqRNA measured in oral swabs taken on 2,3, 871 and 5 DPI. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and individual values, N = 6, 872 ordinary two-way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test. E. Lung weights 873 (lung:body weight ratio). F. SARS-CoV-2 reactivity measured by immunohistochemistry targeting 874 SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein (NP) in upper and lower respiratory tract. Whisker-plots depicting 875 median, min and max values, and individual values, N = 6, ordinary two-way ANOVA, followed by 876 Šídák's multiple comparisons test. G. T-cell infiltration into the lung, measure by CD3 antigen 877 presence and positive pixel quantification. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, 878 and individual values, N = 6, Kruskal-Wallis test. black = naïve, dark blue = IM vaccinated, light 879 blue = IN vaccinated, yellow = PI. P-values stated were significant (<0.05). H. Lung pathology. top 880 = HE stains, middle = IHC for nucleoprotein, bottom = IHC for CD3. Squares indicate area of 881 magnification.



883 Figure 4. Variant specific infection- or vaccine mediated humoral immunity. Serology in IN or 884 IM vaccinated and PI hamsters pre- and post-challenge with Delta/Omicron. Serum was collected 885 21 days post vaccination or infection with Delta, and on 5 DPI/DPE. A. Anti-spike IgG response, 886 measured by ELISA. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and individuals. 887 Kruskal-Wallis test, N = 16. B. Cross-reactivity of the IgG response, measured by Meso QuickPlex. 888 Violin plots depicting median, quantiles, and individual values. Two-way ANOVA, followed by 889 Šídák's multiple comparisons test. N = 16. C. Individual neutralizing antibody titers against Delta 890 and Omicron. Points connected by lines indicate the same animal. Two-way ANOVA, followed by 891 Šídák's multiple comparisons test. N = 16. D. Change in overall anti-spike IgG response after 892 challenge (donors and sentinels). Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and 893 individual values. Change in titer is represented as Log<sub>2</sub> (fold change over pre-challenge value). 894 Dotted line indicates no change in titer. Kruskal-Wallis test, N = 6. E. Change in cross-reactivity 895 after challenge/re-infection in donors. Violin plots depicting median, guantiles, and individual 896 values. Change in titer is represented as Log<sub>2</sub> (fold change over pre-challenge value). Dotted line

- 897 indicates no change in titer. Two-way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple comparisons test. N =
- 898 16. **F.** Individual neutralizing antibody titers of donors against Delta and Omicron after challenge.
- 899 Points connected by lines indicate the same animal. Two-way ANOVA, followed by Šídák's multiple
- 900 comparisons test. N = 6. black = naïve, dark blue = IM vaccinated, light blue = IN vaccinated, yellow
- 901 = previously infected. P-values stated were significant (<0.05).



904 Figure 5. Transmission competitiveness of Delta and Omicron in animal groups with pre-905 existing immunity. Transmission efficiency and viral competitiveness in IN or IM vaccinated and 906 PI hamsters. A. Summary of infection status for donors and sentinels. Oropharyngeal swabs were 907 taken on 2, 3, and 5 DPI/DPE, and lungs and nasal turbinates collected at 5 DPI/DPE. Individuals 908 were considered infected, if 2/5 samples were positive for sgRNA. Bar charts depict summary of 909 individuals, divided by contact and airborne chains. B. Pie charts summarizing transmission 910 efficiency between naïve, IM vaccinated, IN vaccinated, and PI hamsters across all airborne 911 transmission events. Number of events is indicated within each pie chart. Colors refer to legends 912 on right. C. The receptor binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike was sequenced for all sgRNA 913 positive swab samples taken on 2, 3, and 5 DPI/DPE. Heatmap displaying all sgRNA positive 914 samples from each individual for each chain and showing percentage of Delta detected. Colors 915 refer to legend on right (D = donor, S = sentinel, NC = naïve control), grey = no sgRNA present in 916 the sample or sequencing unsuccessful. **D.** Overall percentage of Delta and Omicron in all sgRNA 917 positive samples in each group, separated by sample type. Bar charts depicting mean and 95% CI. 918 Number of sgRNA positive samples over all samples analyzed is indicated on top. Yellow = Delta, 919 purple = Omicron.



921 Figure 6. Recovery of lower respiratory tract replication and pathogenicity using 922 intratracheal inoculation with Omicron. Syrian hamsters were inoculated with Omicron through 923 the intranasal (IN) or intratracheal (IT) route (group size N = 6). Shedding and virus titers in tissues 924 at 5 DPE were compared. A. Viral load as measured by sgRNA in oropharyngeal swabs collected 925 at 1-5 days post inoculation. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and individual 926 values, N = 6. B. sgRNA in lungs and nasal turbinates. Whisker-plots depicting median, min and 927 max values, and individual values. Kruskal-Wallis test, N = 6. C. Lung weights (lung : body ratio). 928 Whisker-plots depicting median, min and max values, and individual values, Kruskal-Wallis test, N 929 = 6. D. Gross pathology of lungs on IN (top) and IT (bottom) inoculated animals at 5 DPE (left),

930 histopathology (HE, middle), and immunohistochemistry against SARS-COV-2 NP (IHC, 200x,

- right) E. Percentage of lungs affected. F. Quantitative analysis of the NP reactivity. Whisker-plots
- 932 depicting median, min and max values, and individual values. Kruskal-Wallis test, N = 6. G. For
- 933 each airborne transmission, cage air was sampled in 24h intervals. Measurement of each individual
- 934 cage is shown for gRNA. black = IN, white = IT. P-values stated were significant (<0.05).
- 935

#### Table 1: sgRNA shedding on 3 and 5 DPE and 14-day seroconversion of sentinel animals

exposed to IN or IT inoculated donors. Shedding data is for N = 3 for contact and airborne
transmission at 16.5 cm. Seroconversion of sentinels was measured by anti-spike SARS CoV-2
IgG ELISA and values are the average of two replicates, diluted 1:100. Cut-off = OD of 0.07 for
positivity.

941

		Sentinel Shedding sgRNA copies/mL (Log₁₀)		Sentinel seroconversion (ELISA)
	Sentinel	Day 3	Day 5	Day 14
IN Donor Contact	1	0.00	6.71	positive
IN Donor Contact	2	5.14	5.88	positive
IN Donor Contact	3	5.06	5.72	positive
IT Donor Contact	7	6.26	5.16	positive
IT Donor Contact	8	0.00	0.00	negative
IT Donor Contact	9	6.74	0.00	positive
IN Donor Air	4	0.00	0.00	negative
IN Donor Air	5	0.00	0.00	negative
IN Donor Air	6	5.57	6.08	positive
IT Donor Air	10	0.00	0.00	negative
IT Donor Air	11	0.00	0.00	negative
IT Donor Air	12	0.00	0.00	negative