

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ihj

Research Letter Propofol to relieve radial artery spasm

CrossMark

Honorary Editor. Dr. Sundeep Misi New Delte

IHJ

ndian Heart Journal

Keywords: Propofol Radial artery Spasm Angiography

A 55-year-old male patient was admitted in our hospital with retrosternal chest discomfort since 2 days. Electrocardiogram was suggestive of ischemic changes in anterior leads. The patient's heart rate was regular with rate of 88/minute and blood pressure was 110/70 mm Hg. Echocardiographically, left ventricular ejection fraction was 60% with no regional wall motion or valvular abnormality. Coronary angiogram was scheduled through right radial arterial approach. After administering 2% lignocaine local infiltration, right radial artery was punctured and guidewire was smoothly inserted in radial artery. 6 fr. arterial sheath was inserted over the wire. Before inserting coronary angiography catheter, radial angiogram was performed to rule out any radial arterial anomaly. Coronary angiography catheter 5 fr was inserted through radial arterial sheath after injecting cocktail drug solution (nitroglycerin 100 µg, diltiazem 5 mg). Coronary angiography was performed and it revealed mid left anterior descending arterial 90% obstructive lesion. Coronary angioplasty was performed using drug-eluting stent. After the stenting procedure, as the catheter was unhooked from coronary ostium and tried to withdraw, very painful resistance to pull back was observed. Fluoroscopy did not reveal any twist or kink in the catheter. Radial artery spasm was suspected and no forceful attempt to withdraw catheter was tried. Fentanyl 50 µg along with midazolam 1 mg was administered intravenously to the patient. However, catheter could not be pulled back. Intravenous Propofol 30 mg was administered by anesthesiologist and after a minute the catheter could be easily taken out of the radial artery without any pain. The patient's hemodynamics was stable without any respiratory depression.

Percutaneous coronary interventions through radial arterial route have decreased rates of complications and patient discomfort, early patient mobilization, and reduced length of hospital stay.¹ Radial artery spasm in transradial procedures has been reported from 4% to 20% in the literature.² Spasm of radial artery is mainly decided by amount of free space between vessel wall and sheath, pain intensity and anxiety during the procedure. Kiemeneij et al. demonstrated that force >1 kg required to remove a sheath is associated with clinically significant spasm.³ Forceful attempt to remove the sheath from spastic arteries can cause radial artery avulsion and rupture. Risk factors for radial artery spasm are female, younger age, lower body mass index, small wrist circumference, diabetes mellitus, tobacco addiction, multiple catheters exchange, >6 French catheters, and anomalies of radial artery.4

Administration of a vasodilator cocktail containing calcium channel blocker and/or a nitrate derivative after insertion of the radial artery sheath and use of hydrophilic catheter is commonly practiced preventive measure.^{5,6} The patient in the present case had radial artery spasm despite absence of risk factors and after giving vasodilator drugs.

Deftereos et al. showed that low doses of an opioid/ benzodiazepine combination administration during transradial interventional procedures are associated with a significant reduction in the rate of spasm and patient discomfort.⁷ Vasodilatory, sedative, and analgesic effect of low doses of an opioid and a benzodiazepine has a sound pharmacobiological basis. Fentanyl can cause concentration-dependent and endothelium-independent vasodilatory effect.⁸ Midazolam attenuates the vasoconstrictive response to adrenergic stimuli9 and hyperpolarizes smooth muscle cells resulting in vasodilation.¹⁰ In the present case, we could not retrieve catheter despite using midazolam and opioids although these drugs were used after radial artery spasm and not before starting the procedure. Low dose of propofol was effective in removing sheath and catheter easily and smoothly. Vasorelaxation effect of propofol is due to primarily inhibition of sympathetic vasoconstrictor nerve activity, attenuation of the effects of vasoconstrictor, and antagonism of calcium channels.¹¹ Propofol may be a good choice under the circumstances of radial artery spasm due to its short half-life and rapid clearance without residual sedation.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have none to declare.

REFERENCES

- Hetherington SL, Adam Z, Morley R, et al. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: changing patterns of vascular access, radial versus femoral artery. *Heart*. 2009;95:1612–1618.
- 2. Ho HH, Jafary FH, Ong PJ. Radial artery spasm during transradial cardiac catheterization and percutaneous coronary intervention: incidence, predisposing factors, prevention, and management. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2012;13:193–195.
- **3.** Kiemeneij F, Vajifdar BU, Eccleshall SC, Laarman G, Slagboom T, van der Wieken R. Measurement of radial artery spasm using an automatic pullback device. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2001;54:437–441.
- 4. Ruiz-Salmerón RJ, Mora R, Vélez-Gimón M, et al. Artery spasm in transradial cardiac catheterization. Assessment of factors related to its occurrence, and of its consequences during follow-up. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2005;58:504–511.
- Rathore S, Stables RH, Pauriah M, et al. Impact of length and hydrophilic coating of the introducer sheath on radial artery spasm during transradial coronary intervention: a randomized study. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2010;3:475–483.
- Varenne O, Jégou A, Cohen R, et al. Prevention of arterial spasm during percutaneous coronary interventions through radial artery: the SPASM study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2006;68:231–235.
- 7. Deftereos S, Giannopoulos G, Raisakis K, et al. Moderate procedural sedation and opioid analgesia during transradial coronary interventions to prevent spasm: a prospective randomized study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;6:267–273.

- Sahin AS, Duman A, Atalik EK, et al. The mechanisms of the direct vascular effects of fentanyl on isolated human saphenous veins in vitro. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2005;19:197–200.
- Borges AA, Gomes OM. Effects of midazolam on the contraction and relaxation of segments of thoracic aorta stripped of endothelium and stimulated by adrenaline – experimental study in rabbits. Mol Cell Biochem. 2003;246:13–17.
- Klockgether-Radke AP, Pawlowski P, Neumann P, Hellige G. Mechanisms involved in the relaxing effect of midazolam on coronary arteries. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2005;22:135–139.
- Robinson BJ, Ebert TJ, O'Brien TJ, Colinco MD, Muzi M. Mechanisms whereby propofol mediates peripheral vasodilation in humans. Sympathoinhibition or direct vascular relaxation? *Anesthesiology*. 1997;86:64–72.

Monish Raut^{a,*} R.R. Mantri^b Manish Sharma^b Arun Maheshwari^a ^aDepartment of Cardiac Anesthesia, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, New Delhi, India ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, New Delhi, India

> ^{*}Corresponding author E-mail address: drmonishraut@gmail.com (M. Raut)

> > Available online 24 March 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2016.03.003 0019-4832/

© 2016 Cardiological Society of India. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)