Person-centered versus body-centered approaches in osteopathic care for chronic pain conditions

Gerard Alvarez^(D), Rafael Zegarra-Parodi and Jorge E. Esteves

Keywords: osteopathy, fibromyalgia, chronic pain, patient-centered care

We read with great interest the recently published study by Coste et al.1 The authors reported no benefit of osteopathic treatment in a sample of patients with fibromyalgia (FM) and, therefore, concluded that its use was not recommended.1 We argue that their findings were expected given their lack of rationale for evaluating the benefits of a single therapeutic approach in the care of individuals with this chronic pain syndrome.

In this multicenter randomized controlled trial, the osteopathic ('real') intervention consisted of a strict protocol of manual techniques routinely applied for each patient.1 No justification was provided regarding their choice of the specific techniques used in the study. In addition to this concern about the selected techniques, the actual study protocol raises other concerns about the lack of rationale for applying this kind of manual approach for a chronic pain condition like FM and about the authors' reductionist and biomechanical-based understanding of what constitutes osteopathy and osteopathic treatment.

FM is a functional bodily distress syndrome² with variable symptoms, psychophysiological responses to stress, patterns of coping, and responses to treatment.³ In line with previous evidence,⁴ a survey study conducted in France (which included several authors from the Coste et al.¹ study) showed that patients with FM commonly had lifelong histories of chronic pain throughout their body and experienced a wide range of comorbidities.5 Moreover, the severity of FM was more often related to psychosocial factors than to physical symptoms.⁵ Given this complexity and variability, recent clinical guidelines for chronic pain conditions recommend therapeutic approaches based on

a person-centered assessment.^{6–8} For patients with FM, treatment strategies should be framed within a multidisciplinary approach and mainly promote physical activity, such as aerobic fitness or strengthening exercises.^{3,9,10} Therefore, activating and centrally acting therapies that engage the patient appear to be more effective than passive ones that primarily act on the peripheral physiology.¹¹ In this scenario and according to the available evidence, it can be easily anticipated that no single, isolated passive intervention will significantly improve the outcomes of these patients.

Although the legal definition of osteopathy in France stresses the body-centered model of practice, it also acknowledges the need for individualized diagnosis and treatment based on an individualized clinical examination.12 Perhaps, results would be different just by applying a more pragmatic attitude to treatment. For example, relying only on a manual approach, Albers et al.13 showed positive effects from individualized osteopathic interventions when treating patients with FM. These findings were also supported by a recent systematic review.14 In contrast, the manual techniques in the study by Coste et al.1 targeted articular and/or myofascial structures under the assumption that "patients with FM are usually normally mobile or even hypermobile". Despite the variability in defined signs and symptoms, the literature on FM does not mention hypermobility as a clinical feature.^{4,15–18} Therefore, the assumption on which Coste et al.1 based their intervention should be considered, at least, speculative, and likely compromises the validity of their results. Moreover, for patients experiencing hypermobility, the recommended treatment also involves a multidisciplinary approach and physical activity.¹⁹

Ther Adv Musculoskel Dis

2021 Vol 13-1-3 DOI: 10 1177/

1759720X211029417

© The Author(s), 2021. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to: Gerard Alvarez Spain National Centre Foundation Centre for Osteonathic Medicine Collaboration, Barcelona,

Spain Iberoamerican Cochrane Centre - Sant Pau **Biomedical Research** Institute, IIB Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

gerardalv@gmail.com

Rafael Zegarra-Parodi A.T. Still Research

Institute, A.T. Still University, Kirksville, MO, USA

Clinical-Human Research Department, Non-Profit Foundation COME Collaboration, Pescara. Italv

Jorge E. Esteves

Foundation Centre for Osteopathic Medicine Collaboration, Italy National Centre. Pescara, Italy Research Department, University College of Osteopathy, London, UK Malta ICOM Educational, San Giljan, Malta

 \odot

journals.sagepub.com/home/tab

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License [https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/] which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



So is there a place for osteopathic manual intervention in the treatment of patients with FM? Yes, we believe there is, but not as an isolated and exclusively body-centered intervention. There is compelling evidence about the biological mechanisms and effect of manual therapy.^{20,21} However, the impact of manual therapies is mainly short term and more effective in acute presentations than in chronic pain conditions, limiting their value in those cases.²² Nonetheless, the very nature of manual therapies (touch-based),²³ the therapeutic relationship (patient-practitioner) that commonly defines these interventions, and the associated contextual factors^{24,25} provide a good environment to treat functional somatic disorders associated with FM. As such, a good understanding of the processes involved in the development and maintenance of chronic widespread pain is paramount to provide more benefit than harm.²⁶

As a manual intervention, osteopathic treatment uses a hands-on approach to interact with patient physiology; however, beyond the desired analgesic modulation, affective responses and somatoperceptual reorganization are also key elements of human touch.23 Despite its central role in osteopathy, hands-on care is not the only therapeutic intervention that osteopaths can use to improve their patients' health. For chronic pain conditions like FM, other strategies such as pain education, cognitive reassurance, exercise, and health advice in conjunction with psychologically informed interventions should be taken into account. A recent systematic review provided encouraging evidence of the effects of osteopathic treatment on psychosocial factors in people with persistent pain.²⁷ Moreover, preliminary evidence from the OsteoMAP study²⁸ showed that a psychologically informed osteopathic intervention worked for patients with chronic pain.

Ultimately, we would argue that the osteopathic care of individuals with persistent physical symptoms, such as those with FM, should only be considered from a multimodal person-centered perspective. Further, a person-centered and biopsychosocially informed approach to osteopathic care can positively modulate nociplastic changes in central pain pathways and address psychosocial factors typically present in patients with persistent physical symptoms. After repeated calls to move away from biomechanical-postural models of osteopathic care,^{29–34} it is also time for a change in how osteopathic research is designed

and conducted to avoid wasting time and resources in the pursuit of predictable results.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Gerard Alvarez D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2680-6331

References

- Coste J, Medkour T, Maigne J-Y, et al. Osteopathic medicine for fibromyalgia: a sham-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* 2021; 13: 1759720X211009017.
- Burton C, Fink P, Henningsen P, *et al.* Functional somatic disorders: discussion paper for a new common classification for research and clinical use. *BMC Med* 2020; 18: 34.
- Thieme K, Mathys M and Turk DC. Evidencedbased guidelines on the treatment of fibromyalgia patients: are they consistent and if not, why not? Have effective psychological treatments been overlooked? *J Pain* 2017; 18: 747–756.
- Clauw DJ. Fibromyalgia: a clinical review. *JAMA* 2014; 311: 1547–1555.
- Laroche F, Guérin J, Azoulay D, *et al.* La fibromyalgie en France: vécu quotidien, fardeau professionnel et prise en charge. Enquête nationale auprès de 4516 patients. *Rev Rhum* 2019; 86: 90–95.
- NICE. Chronic pain (primary and secondary) in over 16s: assessment of all chronic pain and management of chronic primary pain. NICE guideline [NG193], https://www.nice.org.uk/ guidance/ng193 (2021, accessed 24 May 2021).
- The Lancet. Rethinking chronic pain. Lancet 2021; 397(10289): 2023. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01194-6.
- Cohen SP, Vase L and Hooten WM. Chronic pain: an update on burden, best practices, and new advances. *Lancet* 2021; 397(10289): 2082–2097. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21) 00393-7.

- 9. Pătru S, Pădureanu R, Dumitrescu F, *et al.* Influence of multidisciplinary therapeutic approach on fibromyalgia patients. *Exp Ther Med* 2021; 21: 528.
- Sosa-Reina MD, Nunez-Nagy S, Gallego-Izquierdo T, *et al.* Effectiveness of therapeutic exercise in fibromyalgia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Biomed Res Int* 2017; 2017: 2356346.
- Henningsen P, Zipfel S, Sattel H, et al. Management of functional somatic syndromes and bodily distress. *Psychother Psychosom* 2018; 87: 12–31.
- Légifrance Website. Arrêté du 12 décembre 2014 relatif à la formation en ostépathie, https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/loda/id/ JORFTEXT000029894219/ (accessed 24 May 2021).
- 13. Albers J, Jäkel A, Wellmann K, *et al.* Effectiveness of 2 osteopathic treatment approaches on pain, pressure-pain threshold, and disease severity in patients with fibromyalgia: a randomized controlled trial. *Complement Med Res* 2018; 25: 122–128.
- Schulze NB, de M Salemi M de Alencar GG, et al. Efficacy of manual therapy on pain, impact of disease, and quality of life in the treatment of fibromyalgia: a systematic review. *Pain Physician* 2020; 23: 461–476.
- 15. Bair MJ and Krebs EE. Fibromyalgia. *Ann Intern Med* 2020; 172: ITC33–ITC48.
- Heymann RE, Paiva ES, Martinez JE, et al. New guidelines for the diagnosis of fibromyalgia. *Rev Bras Reumatol Engl Ed* 2017; 57(Suppl. 2): 467–476.
- Walker J. Fibromyalgia: clinical features, diagnosis and management. *Nurs Stand* 2016; 31: 51–63.
- Mease P. Fibromyalgia syndrome: review of clinical presentation, pathogenesis, outcome measures, and treatment. *J Rheumatol Suppl* 2005; 75: 6–21.
- Castori M, Morlino S, Celletti C, et al. Management of pain and fatigue in the joint hypermobility syndrome (a.k.a. Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, hypermobility type): principles and proposal for a multidisciplinary approach. Am J Med Genet A 2012; 158A: 2055–2070.
- Bialosky JE, Bishop MD, Price DD, et al. The mechanisms of manual therapy in the treatment of musculoskeletal pain: a comprehensive model. *Man Ther* 2009; 14: 531–538.

- Bialosky JE, Beneciuk JM, Bishop MD, et al. Unraveling the mechanisms of manual therapy: modeling an approach. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2018; 48: 8–18.
- Bokarius AV and Bokarius V. Evidence-based review of manual therapy efficacy in treatment of chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Pain Pract* 2010; 10: 451–458.
- 23. Geri T, Viceconti A, Minacci M, et al. Manual therapy: exploiting the role of human touch. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* 2019; 44: 102044.
- 24. Rossettini G, Carlino E and Testa M. Clinical relevance of contextual factors as triggers of placebo and nocebo effects in musculoskeletal pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2018; 19: 27.
- 25. Testa M and Rossettini G. Enhance placebo, avoid nocebo: how contextual factors affect physiotherapy outcomes. *Man Ther* 2016; 24: 65–74.
- 26. Nijs J and Van Houdenhove B. From acute musculoskeletal pain to chronic widespread pain and fibromyalgia: application of pain neurophysiology in manual therapy practice. *Man Ther* 2009; 14: 3–12.
- Saracutu M, Rance J, Davies H, et al. The effects of osteopathic treatment on psychosocial factors in people with persistent pain: a systematic review. Int J Osteopath Med 2018; 27: 23–33.
- Abbey H, Nanke L and Brownhill K. Developing a psychologically-informed pain management course for use in osteopathic practice: the OsteoMAP cohort study. *Int J Osteopath Med.* Epub ahead of print 17 September 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijosm.2020.09.002.
- Smith D. Reflecting on new models for osteopathy: it's time for change. Int J Osteopath Med 2018; 31: 15–20.
- Lederman E. A process approach in osteopathy: beyond the structural model. Int J Osteopath Med 2017; 23: 22–35.
- Penney JN. The biopsychosocial model of pain and contemporary osteopathic practice. Int J Osteopath Med 2010; 13: 42–47.
- Penney JN. The biopsychosocial model: redefining osteopathic philosophy? Int J Osteopath Med 2013; 16: 33–37.
- 33. Esteves JE, Zegarra-Parodi R, van Dun P, et al. Models and theoretical frameworks for osteopathic care: a critical view and call for updates and research. Int J Osteopath Med 2020; 35: 1–4.
- Fryer G. Integrating osteopathic approaches based on biopsychosocial therapeutic mechanisms. Part 2: clinical approach. Int J Osteopath Med 2017; 26: 36–43.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tab

SAGE journals