

Prenylated Flavonoids in Topical Infections and Wound Healing

Alice Sychrová^{1,*}, Gabriela Škovranová¹, Marie Čulenová¹, and Silvia Bittner Fialová^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Natural Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Masaryk University, Palackého 1946/1, 612 00 Brno, Czech Republic; skovranovag@pharm.muni.cz (G.Š.); culenovam@pharm.muni.cz (M.Č.)
- ² Department of Pharmacognosy and Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University in Bratislava, Odbojárov 10, 832 32 Bratislava, Slovakia
- * Correspondence: sychrovaa@pharm.muni.cz (A.S.); fialova@fpharm.uniba.sk (S.B.F.)

Abstract: The review presents prenylated flavonoids as potential therapeutic agents for the treatment of topical skin infections and wounds, as they can restore the balance in the wound microenvironment. A thorough two-stage search of scientific papers published between 2000 and 2022 was conducted, with independent assessment of results by two reviewers. The main criteria were an MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) of up to $32 \,\mu g/mL$, a microdilution/macrodilution broth method according to CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) or EUCAST (European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing), pathogens responsible for skin infections, and additional antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and low cytotoxic effects. A total of 127 structurally diverse flavonoids showed promising antimicrobial activity against pathogens affecting wound healing, predominantly Staphylococcus aureus strains, but only artocarpin, diplacone, isobavachalcone, licochalcone A, sophoraflavanone G, and xanthohumol showed multiple activity, including antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory along with low cytotoxicity important for wound healing. Although prenylated flavonoids appear to be promising in wound therapy of humans, and also animals, their activity was measured only in vitro and in vivo. Future studies are, therefore, needed to establish rational dosing according to MIC and MBC (minimum bactericidal concentration) values, test potential toxicity to human cells, measure healing kinetics, and consider formulation in smart drug release systems and/or delivery technologies to increase their bioavailability.

Keywords: antibacterial; anti-inflammatory; antioxidant; cytotoxicity; mastitis; MRSA; nanotechnology; prenylated flavonoids; *S. aureus*; skin; wound healing

1. Introduction

The skin is the largest organ of the animal and human body and protects the internal organs from a variety of injuries as well as infectious agents. The microbiota of the skin is composed of bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Together, they form a complex ecosystem that plays a role in the defence against pathogens and in the development of the host's immune system. Once the skin barrier is breached, the originally commensal bacteria become pathogens. They cause persistent inflammation and delay healing, leading to the development of chronic wounds typical of diabetics, immobile patients, and the elderly [1].

In ancient times, herbal substances were used singly or in combination with animal products, such as honey, to treat wounds. Over the centuries, therapeutic approaches were optimized until it was found that the most important thing was to prevent bacterial contamination, to maintain a moist environment in the wound, but at the same time, to absorb the exudate and exchange gases [2]. Therefore, various forms of wound dressings, such as films, hydrocolloids, hydrogels, and micro-/nanofibers, have been developed from natural and synthetic biomaterials that have the desired properties [3]. Nowadays, considerable attention is paid to the development of innovative wound dressings loaded with natural substances with therapeutic properties, such as demulsifying, emollient, re-



Citation: Sychrová, A.; Škovranová, G.; Čulenová, M.; Bittner Fialová, S. Prenylated Flavonoids in Topical Infections and Wound Healing. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 4491. https:// doi.org/10.3390/molecules27144491

Academic Editor: George Grant

Received: 27 June 2022 Accepted: 9 July 2022 Published: 13 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). epithelializing, astringent, antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory activities, to accelerate and improve the wound healing process [2].

Data from recent years indicate that there is increasing interest in terpenes and flavonoids regarding their antimicrobial activity [4]. The aim of this review article is to provide an up-to-date overview of potent antibacterial prenylated flavonoids that contain both flavonoids and terpenes in their structure. In addition, we have highlighted those that have not only potent antibacterial effect but also anti-inflammatory, antioxidant activities along with low cytotoxicity, thus meeting the requirements to become wound healing agents.

2. Methods

The first step was a thorough search for scientific papers containing the terms "wound dressings", "wound healing", and "antibacterial" together with the keywords "flavonoids", "polyphenols", and "prenylated flavonoids". The main criterion for selecting suitable compounds was the value of the MIC, which was used to quantify the effect. Natural products that showed similar activity to the reference substances or an MIC of up to 32 µg/mL were considered promising. Publications using a method other than the microdilution/macrodilution broth method described by CLSI or EUCAST and using nonstandard-ised CFU (colony-forming units) were excluded. The second criterion was activity against pathogens responsible for skin infections and affecting the wound microenvironment. A new search was then conducted for articles containing the terms "anti-inflammatory", "antioxidant", and "cytotoxic" with "the specific name of the compound". The set of scientific papers was independently evaluated by two reviewers, and the results were processed into tables. The scientific databases Science Direct, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were used to collect scientific papers published between 2000 and 2022.

3. Microenvironment of Skin Wounds

In the uninjured skin, the epidermis is the outer impermeable layer that withstands the harsh external environment. Skin repair requires the intricate synchronization of several different cell types in sequential steps [5]. Immediately after injury, an inflammatory phase (1), together with aggregation and coagulation (2), restores homeostasis, stops bleeding, and prevents infection. In the first two days, mainly neutrophils are recruited to phagocytose cell debris and bacteria. They also release the growth factors. The healing process continues with the proliferation and matrix repair phase (3), which is controlled by lymphocytes, fibroblasts, macrophages, and endothelial cells. The final phase, the longest, is epithelialisation and remodelling of scar tissue (4) [5–7]. This healing process can be disrupted by bacteria in many ways. Contamination of the wound alters the lactate deposition, pH, and expression of proinflammatory cytokines, leading to a persistent inflammatory state with excessive levels of ROS (reactive oxygen species), toxins, and proteases [8]. The healing process is delayed as fibroblasts, growth factors, and matrix components (collagen, elastin, and fibrin) are degraded due to this adverse environment. The relationship between microbial colonisation and delayed wound healing is not yet fully understood [9], but bacterial colonisation is considered a major cause of chronic inflammation [10]. Chronic wounds, that is, those that have a biological or physiological reason for impaired healing, account for 60–80% of all infectious diseases in humans [9].

4. Microbiology of Skin Wounds

Microbes play an important role in influencing the wound microenvironment and, thus, wound healing. The typical sign of an infected wound is the massive proliferation of bacteria and the initiation of a host response [11]. The majority of infected wounds are contaminated with bacteria from the surrounding environment, that is, the commensal microbiota present on the skin [10]. Usually, skin infections are caused by *S. aureus*, including MRSA (methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*). Staphylococci constitute a major group of bacteria inhabiting the skin, skin glands, and mucous membranes of humans, other

mammals, and birds [12]. Other pathogens include *Streptococcus pyogenes* [13], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Acinetobacter* spp., and coagulase-negative staphylococci, including Staphylococcus epidermidis and *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* [9]. The first colonisers are obviously staphylococci, as their optimum growth is at a pH of around 7. Later, the wound is colonised by bacteria that can survive in a wider pH range (e.g., *P. aeruginosa and Enterococcus faecalis*). Peptostreptococci occur in more alkaline chronic wounds [14]. *Malassezia* spp. are the most common colonising fungal species identified on healthy skin, in contrast to *Candida* spp., which are most common in patients with immunodeficiency or diabetes or those taking antibiotics [1]. Biofilms are a serious complication of chronic wounds. This aggregated form of variable microbiota causes delayed healing. Compared with acute wounds, it is composed of anaerobic bacteria and fungi [8]. In skin wounds, the greatest biofilm formation potential has been found in *Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, Bacillus, and Moraxella* spp. [9].

5. Bacterial Skin Infections in Livestock

Most bacterial skin infections in animals are caused by the genus *Staphylococcus* [15]. Livestock has been significantly exposed to excessive amounts of antibiotics [16] and has thus become a reservoir for bacterial resistance genes. The current threat is livestock-associated MRSA, which is transmissible to humans [17,18]. Efforts are being made worldwide to limit the use of common antibacterial agents and to offer alternatives, such as phytochemicals, for the treatment of bacterial skin diseases in livestock. As in vitro studies have shown, the plants most commonly used for healing belong to the Fabaceae and Asteraceae families [19]. One of the most common diseases treated with herbs is mastitis in dairy cows.

Bovine Mastitis

This is a very common disease of dairy cattle caused by physical injury or by pathogenic microorganisms. It manifests itself in the form of inflammation and destruction of milkproducing tissues, resulting in reduced milk yield and poor-quality milk. The pathogens responsible for mastitis are primarily S. aureus, streptococci, and Gram-negative bacteria, such as *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [20–22], so the established treatment is still based on antibiotic therapy [23]. S. aureus produces degradative enzymes and toxins that irreversibly damage milking tissues. However, it does not trigger an immune response in the cow as strong as other bacteria or endotoxins; it causes milder infections, leading to chronic mastitis that lasts a few months [21,24]. In addition to evolved resistance, S. aureus forms biofilms that protect the bacterial community from effective treatment when antibiotics cannot reach the MIC [25]. Factors such as economic losses associated with treatment, culling of animals, reduced milk production, the risk of increasing antibiotic resistance, and antimicrobial residues in milk are putting pressure on the dairy industry to focus on alternative therapies to prevent and treat bovine mastitis [21,26]. Unfortunately, the multietiological nature of the disease makes new therapeutic approaches difficult, and for example, the use of vaccines has been declared ineffective [27].

6. Therapeutic Strategies of Skin Infections and Wound Healing

In fact, impaired vascular function, ischaemia, superficial debris, and necrosis are the main factors causing inadequate immune response and, consequently, contaminated chronic wounds. Excessive bacterial proliferation and biofilm formation lead to a chronic and self-perpetuating inflammatory state that alters the wound microenvironment, e.g., moisture, pH, metalloproteinases, and reactive oxygen species. Therapeutic strategies then include managing as many aspects of the microenvironment as possible [8]. Nature is considered a rich source of potential therapeutics. Secondary metabolites may help to overcome pathological wound healing through pharmacological effects directed at multiple targets. Phenolics, alkaloids, essential oils (EOs), diterpenes, triterpenes, carotenoids and saponin steroids, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), glucosinolates, and polysaccharides have been reported to have anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antibacterial, collagen-synthesispromoting, and skin-cell-regeneration-supporting properties [28–30]. These phytochemicals affect one or more phases of the healing process, generally have low toxicity and good bioavailability in the skin, and are therefore widely used in wound care [28]. The advantage of treatment with natural extracts is not only the multitarget effect, but also the synergy, for example, the potentiation of the effect of the individual compounds, which can be of natural origin, but also conventional medicines. Synergistic interaction between natural products has been reported for antibacterial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory activities. In summary, a natural compound should ideally fulfil the four actions considered important for the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections (antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and wound healing) [31]. Widespread practice to combat infections is based on controlling the bacterial load, which is achieved by regular cleansing of the wound and the use of antiseptics, specific antibiofilm agents, and antibiotics, mostly with a local effect. Systemic antibiotics are usually not used as they are hardly available in poorly perfused tissue [32]. Nowadays, intensive research is being conducted to develop wound dressings that prevent microbes from entering wounds and have a bactericidal effect. In recent studies, plant extracts and secondary metabolites have been incorporated into various wound dressings and tested against different Gram-positive/negative bacteria. Promising active natural agents include henna (Lawsonia inermis), St. John's wort (Hypericum perforatum), EOs, curcumin, Aloe vera, and thymol [10]. Some of them have even been tested in clinical trials alone or incorporated into nanoparticles. Examples include honey, various EOs, sunflower seed oil, and tea tree oil [3].

6.1. Wound Dressings Loaded with Natural Compounds

Several natural metabolites are candidates for promoting wound healing. An obstacle in clinical use is usually their problematic peroral or topical bioavailability.

6.1.1. Essential Oils

Volatile essential oils exhibit antioxidant, antiviral, anticancer, insecticidal, antiinflammatory, antiallergic, and antimicrobial properties [33]. These mixtures of mostly lipophilic components are considered safe and very biocompatible. Unfortunately, their therapeutic applications are limited due to low water solubility, bioavailability, and stability [34]. Recent studies guarantee efficient treatment against *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *C. albicans* when essential oils are formulated in polysaccharide-based wound dressing systems [35].

6.1.2. Polyphenols

In general, polyphenols are considered promising agents due to their antibacterial, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activities. The phenolic pigment curcumin exhibits numerous biological activities, such as antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antiinflammatory, antioxidant, and complex wound healing properties [36]. However, the problem lies in its hydrophobicity and poor water solubility, permeability, and overall bioavailability. Therefore, it was incorporated into various formulations and tested in preclinical studies. The results showed that the glycosylation of the hydrophobic molecule, formulation in an oleic acid polymer dressing, and conjugation of curcumin with hyaluronic acid or nanofibre mats mixed with curcumin/gelatin improved solubility and availability or prolonged release. These structural modifications have been confirmed to significantly improve the regeneration process [28]. In addition, curcumin showed proven antibacterial activity against S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, and two fungi when it was incorporated into nanoparticle formulations, and against foodborne bacteria in microcapsules [37]. The catechins of green tea are also the focus of scientific interest. The 15% sinecatechin ointment Veregen[®] has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of external genital and perianal warts [38]. As shown in a study by Chamcheu et al. [39], the effect of catechins can be enhanced when epigallocatechin gallate

(EGCG) is formulated into polymeric chitosan-based nanoparticles. Topically applied nanoEGCG showed a >20-fold dose advantage over free EGCG. Green tea rich in EGCG is the type of tea that is the most extensively used in cosmetic preparations, improving skin and hair conditions [40]. Calcium, barium, and zinc alginate matrices can also form a catechin transport system that guarantees the ability to reach therapeutically relevant concentrations on the skin surface without altering release and antioxidant capacity [41].

Flavonoids

The flavonoid quercetin was selected for its antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activity for the relief of acne. It was converted into quercetin nanofibres, which have a large porous surface area and contain many active compounds that can easily penetrate through the skin. These quercetin patches showed antibacterial activity against *Cutibacterium acnes*, safety for skin fibroblasts, and promising efficacy in clinical trials [42]. Film- and foamlike structures of N-carboxybutylchitosan (CBC) and agarose were prepared and characterised to investigate their potential application as topical membranous wound dressings. The polymeric biomaterials were loaded with quercetin and thymol, which have anti-inflammatory and anaesthetic properties, respectively, either individually or as a mixture of these two substances. Quercetin showed a more sustained release profile, which can be justified by its higher molecular volume and lower water solubility, as well as by the specific favourable interactions between quercetin and CBC [43]. The studies not only address the incorporation of quercetin into semisolid bases, such as amphiphilic creams and acidic carbomer gels, but also investigate the influence of additives (propylene glycol and polyethylene glycol) on its release and skin retention. For quercetin and chrysin, propylene glycol is a suitable absorption accelerator [44]. In a study by Roy et al. [45], the slow release of quercetin from chitosan nanoparticles prolonged the antioxidant activity of quercetin compared with its free form, whose antioxidant activities were depleted much faster. Another type of controlled delivery system, polymeric nanoparticles, increases also the antiradical and chelating properties of quercetin and catechin [46]. Interesting results were provided by a study by Hou et al. [47], in which the epidermal permeability barrier function was improved by the flavonoid apigenin. The unspecified mechanisms by which apigenin benefits the skin are stimulation of epidermal differentiation, lipid synthesis and secretion, and cutaneous antimicrobial peptide production. The flavonoids hesperidin and naringin from citrus fruits were loaded into green synthesised nanoparticles stabilised by plant gums and tested only in vitro. Nevertheless, they showed activity against MRSA and neuropathogenic E. coli K1 and reduced bacterial-mediated host cell cytotoxicity without toxic effect on tested human cells [48]. There are a limited number of studies with prenylated flavonoids. Four flavanones purified from the leaves of Eysenhardtia platycarpa Pennell and Saff. were vehicleised in nanoscale systems, particularly nanoemulsions and polymeric nanoparticles. Further in vitro release, ex vivo permeation, and in vivo anti-inflammatory studies showed a consistent release profile over time, a steady increase in flavanones in the skin permeation test, and a substantial anti-inflammatory effect [49].

6.2. Therapeutic Strategies for Bovine Mastitis

Phytochemicals are known to be the main source of antibiotics [50]. Many recent in vitro/in vivo studies conducted in bovine mastitis with plant-derived compounds highlight the advantages of herbal therapy. These include low toxicity, anti-infective activity against a broad spectrum of bacteria without induction of resistance even after prolonged exposure [51], and simultaneous anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity [21]. In addition, natural anti-infectives can be used in combination with antibiotics, acting as efflux pump inhibitors, preventing biofilm formation or targeting specific bacterial virulence factors [22,52]. Numerous plants from different families have demonstrated efficacy in the healing process [19,21,22] and pure compounds also combated mastitis pathogens in livestock while attenuating inflammation. Promising compounds included the flavonoid baicalein and the monoterpene phenol thymol. A strong inhibitory effect was shown by

mixtures of EOs, which probably act synergistically [21]. Their effect was confirmed in cattle when EO mixtures were applied in the form of sprays and intramammary infusions or during udder massage [19]. The positive results obtained for several plants, such as *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract, *Eucalyptus globulus* leaf extract, and *Juglans regia* plant extract, suggest a sustainable treatment alternative replacing antibiotics [21].

7. Flavonoids as Effective Anti-Infective and Wound Healing Agents

In the previous chapters, various flavonoids were mentioned as promising therapeutic agents suitable for wound healing. Flavonoids are widely used natural phenolic compounds. Their structure consists of a 2-phenyl-benzo- γ -pyran nucleus comprising two benzene rings. Depending on the degree of unsaturation and oxidation, they are classified into different subclasses [53]. In plants, they fulfil important functions, mainly defensive and regulatory. One of these functions is protection against bacterial and fungal pathogens. This ability has been confirmed by numerous in vitro studies. They have shown that flavonoids not only target the bacterial cell directly but also inhibit virulence factors and biofilm formation, reverse antibiotic resistance, or act synergistically with antibiotics [54]. Considering these properties, they have become patterns for semisynthetic or synthetic flavonoids combating microorganisms with MICs below 1 µg/mL. In addition to hydroxyl groups, they have been modified with halogens or other heteroatomic rings, such as pyridine, piperidine, or 1,3-dithiolium cations [55].

7.1. Flavonoids as Candidates for Therapy of Skin Lesions

Flavonoids have been shown to be excellent natural agents useful in the treatment of various skin lesions with minimal side effects [29,56,57]. Topical application is the best option for targeted use due to their lipophilic nature [57]. On the other hand, the polyhydroxyl structure determines their antibacterial, antifibrotic, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties. Twenty-four structurally different flavonoids have shown the ability to accelerate healing, with quercetin, epigallocatechin gallate, and naringenin being the most studied [56]. The results showed that flavonoids decreased the levels of inflammatory mediators, such as prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), leukotriene B4 (LTB-4), interleukin 1β (IL-1β), tumour necrosis factor α (TNF- α), interleukin 6 (IL-6), interferon γ (IFN- γ); increased antiinflammatory mediators, especially interleukin 10 (IL-10); downregulated the expression of nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B); and inhibited the activity of cyclooxygenase (COX). Flavonoids affected cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, and angiogenesis by increasing the expression of matrix metalloproteinases 2, 8, 9, and 13. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), the main molecule regulating vascular growth, was also increased by various flavonoids. The formation of ROS significantly delays wound healing, but should be arrested by flavonoids, which increase the levels of common antioxidant enzymes. The limitation for clinical use is their low bioavailability, which is now solved by the formation of nanostructures that offer better stability, solubility, ability to cross the skin barrier, site-specific delivery, better pharmacokinetic parameters, and, in addition, a reduction in toxicity and side effects. These novel drug delivery systems in the form of nanoparticles, lipid nanocapsules, microparticles, microsponges, and so on, enable the uptake of both hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds and can be formulated into gels, creams, and other dosage forms [56,57]. Lipophilicity is a key factor of plant flavonoids against Gram-positive bacteria. The mechanism of action of flavonoids against Gram-positive bacteria likely involves the damage of phospholipid bilayers, the inhibition of the respiratory chain or adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis, or some others [58].

7.2. Prenylated Flavonoids

They are a subclass of flavonoids modified with at least one lipophilic side chain of varying length. They attract the attention of scientists because of their promising biological activities, such as antibacterial, antifungal, estrogenic, immunosuppressive, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant [59], antiviral, larvicidal, osteogenic, antiallergic, and cy-

totoxic [60]. They are found in roots, barks, seeds, and buds [61] of nontoxic or even medicinal and food plants [59]. Prenylated flavonoids have been found in the families Moraceae, Fabaceae, Cannabaceae, Guttiferae, Rutaceae, Paulowniaceae, Umbelliferae [62], Euphorbiaceae [59], Celastraceae [63], Asteraceae [64], and Thymelaeaceae [65]. Some species, such as Artocarpus heterophyllus, Broussonetia papyrifera, Epimedium brevicornum, *Glycine max, Glycyrrhiza glabra, Humulus lupulus, and Morus alba, and propolis serve as fruits* or vegetables, functional foods, or medicines in the daily diet [66]. Among the prenylated flavonoids, C-prenylated chalcones/dihydrochalcones, flavanones, flavones, flavonols, and isoflavones or, less frequently, O-prenylated forms occur. These structures are substituted with 3,3-dimethylallyl, 1,1-dimethylallyl, geranyl, lavandulyl, and farnesyl side chains, which can be modified by oxidation, reduction, dehydration, and/or cyclisation [61]. Several studies have shown that the prenyl component offers several advantages compared with parent flavonoids. In general, it causes a higher affinity to the cell membrane at the target site. Prenylated flavonoids are known to be potent P-glycoprotein inhibitors, and these abilities condition greater health-promoting properties [67]. In the case of antibacterial and enzyme inhibitory or enhancing functions, prenylation increases lipophilicity, leading to increased affinity for biological membranes and enhanced interaction with target proteins [60,68]. Cytotoxic tests showed that prenylated flavonoids have a higher binding energy in contrast to simple flavonoids [60]. Many prenylated flavonoids fulfil the assumption that they target certain diseases with an effective dose, but without having a toxic effect on their own cells. Therefore, these secondary metabolites are being intensively researched as candidates for novel dietary supplements or drugs [59]. The effect of prenylated flavonoids in the body and their pharmacokinetics after oral administration are well described. Prenylated modifications play a crucial role in absorption, tissue distribution, and metabolism. The lipophilic portion worsens the transport in the intestine into the internal circulation but, on the other hand, improves the incorporation of the prenylated flavonoids into the tissue-forming cells. Compared with the parent flavonoids, the prenylated forms are detected in tissues to a greater extent, and their accumulation lasts longer. This may indicate their difficult elimination from tissue-forming cells via efflux pumps or a low rate of glucuronidated forms. In summary, prenylation enhances various biological effects but also carries the risk of potential side effects, especially with long-term dietary intake [67]. There is less information on the topical use of prenylated flavonoids. Dong et al. [69] tested in vivo the anti-inflammatory activity of sophoraflavanone G, the prenylated flavanone presented in Sophora flavescens, with effects observed after oral and topical administration. Although the potencies of inhibition were far below those of the reference drug prednisolone, sophoraflavanone G showed higher anti-inflammatory activity when applied topically [69].

7.3. Mechanisms of Antibacterial Activity

In general, the structure of 2-phenyl-1,4-benzopyrone is crucial for the antibacterial activity of flavonoids and prenylated flavonoids. The available reports on the mechanisms of antibacterial action have led to different results. It seems possible that flavonoids may not only affect one specific target but also influence several cellular processes. Existing research has suggested that antibacterial activity may be caused by the following mechanisms [70].

7.3.1. Direct Interaction with Bacterial Cell

For apigenin and quercetin, the inhibition of cell wall synthesis has been observed via reversible inhibition of D-alanine–D-alanine (D-Ala–D-Ala) ligase, the essential enzyme important for the ligation of D-Ala–D-Ala in the completion of peptidoglycan precursors [71]. Alteration of cell membrane permeability and damage to membrane functions were found for several flavonoids. Direct damage to the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane using hydrogen peroxide were the first mechanisms of action attributed to various flavan-3-ols [72], flavolans [73–75], and green tea catechins [75,76]. Flavonoids generate hydrogen peroxide by releasing a hydrogen from their pyrogallol or catechol structure to oxygen via

a superoxide anion radical [77]. On the other hand, catechins can cause membrane fusion, leading to leakage of intramembranous material and aggregation. Inhibition of membrane function has also been discovered for galangin [78] and quercetin [79]. Sophoraflavanone G showed that lipophilic flavonoids may be able to reduce the fluidity of the outer and inner layers of cell membranes [80]. Other mechanisms of antibacterial action have been described for retrochalcones isolated from *Glycyrrhiza inflata* as an effect on the biosynthesis of macromolecules. Retrochalcones inhibited the incorporation of thymidine, uracil, and leucine into macromolecules, such as deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), ribonucleic acid (RNA), and proteins. They also inhibited the oxidation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and oxygen consumption in the bacterial membrane. The results suggest an inhibition of respiration between coenzyme Q and cytochrome c in the bacterial electron transport chain [81]. The mechanism of ATP synthase or hydrolysis blockade has been described. This enzyme is responsible for ATP generation through phosphorylation and photophosphorylation; therefore, the antibacterial effect is attributed to the inhibition of energy metabolism. This effect is caused by the interaction between the flavonoid and polyphenol binding pocket residues of the enzyme. The size, shape, geometry, and presence of functional groups of the compounds are crucial for the binding and inhibition of the enzyme [82]. This mechanism of action could also disrupt bacterial motility [79]. For example, seventeen flavonoids have been shown to block ATP synthase and subsequently inhibit energy metabolism in E. coli [82]. Another suspected mechanism has been suggested in nucleic acid synthesis. Flavonoids have been identified as promising topoisomerase I inhibitors due to their redox, structural, and steric properties. They must undergo oxidation to quinones and could then interact with the DNA topoisomerase complex [83]. The inhibition of DNA gyrase has been found for quercetin and apigenin, for example [84], and another flavonoid, rutin, could interact with topoisomerase, in particular, IV [85]. Flavones and flavonols were identified as inhibitors of helicases and thus interfere with the process of separation of two cross-linked nucleic acid strands [86]. Extensively studied catechins from green tea showed activity against *Proteus vulgaris* and *S. aureus*. The mechanism of their action was elucidated using radioactive precursors as flavonoid-DNA intercalation when DNA and protein synthesis RNA inhibition was shown [87]. In another study, EGCG was able to affect an important bacterial enzyme, dihydrofolate reductase. In addition, EGCG enhanced the effect of standard inhibitors of folic acid metabolism, such as sulfamethoxazole and ethambutol [88]. Many flavonoids can also inhibit bacterial metal enzymes due to their chelating ability [89]. Another target of action is to influence fatty acid biosynthesis. It has been published that some flavonoids (e.g., EGCG) are able to inhibit three successive enzymes: β-ketoacyl-ACP reductase (FabG), β-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase (FabZ), and enoyl-ACP reductase (FabI) [90].

7.3.2. Indirect Antimicrobial Activity

The inhibition of bacterial pathogenicity is considered one of the nonspecific mechanisms of antibacterial action. Flavonoids can inhibit the quorum sensing system, which is important for bacterial communication and regulation of virulence factors, including biofilm formation [91]. In a study by Vikram et al. [92], the citrus flavonoids apigenin, kaempferol, quercetin, and naringenin were highlighted as significant antagonists of cellcell signalling. The apple flavonoid phloretin reduced the expression of genes involved in toxin production and fimbriae formation [93]. Other flavonoids, such as myricetin, quercetin, kaempferol, pinocembrin, catechins, and proanthocyanidins, also neutralise bacterial toxic virulence factors (e.g., hyaluronidase and α -hemolysin [54]. The unexpected discovery was the nonspecific aggregating effect of flavonoids on whole cells of bacteria. It has been postulated that the antibacterial effect of flavonoids does not target specific enzymes and may not affect enzymes at all [70,91]. Nevertheless, this bacterial cell aggregation affects membrane integrity and causes biofilm disruption [94]. In summary, studies have led to the realisation that a compound can have multiple mechanisms (see Figure 1).



Figure 1. Bacterial targets of flavonoids. Cell membrane: efflux pump (1), membrane disruption (2), electron transport chain (3), ATP synthesis (4); bacterial metalloenzymes (5); fatty acid synthesis (FabG, FabZ, FabI) (6); cell wall synthesis (7): peptidoglycan, D-alanine–D-alanine ligase; protein synthesis (8): (cell envelope); nonspecific mechanism: bacterial toxic virulence factors (9), quorum sensing system (10), biofilm formation (disruption) (11), motility (12); folic acid metabolism: dihydrofolate reductase (13); nucleic acid synthesis (14): DNA gyrase, topoisomerases I and IV, helicase, DNA intercalation.

7.4. Structure–Activity Relationship

The presence of hydrophobic and hydrophilic moieties is crucial for the antibacterial activity of flavonoids [95]. The prenyl group on the condensed pyran ring system normally controls this activity. In contrast, the presence of the prenyl group was not required for aryl substitutions [96]. The hydrophobic substituents such as alkylamino and/or alkyl chains and nitrogen- or oxygen-containing heterocyclic moieties usually enhance the antibacterial activity for all flavonoids [97]. On the other hand, modifications of the lipophilic side chain with carbonyl, hydroxyl, and methoxyl moieties and/or cyclisation of the prenyl and/or geranyl substituent reduce the activity [97,98]. The most structurally active compounds include chalcones, flavanones, and flavan-3-ols [99]. Antibacterial properties depend on several structural features typical for each class of flavonoids. In general, the hydroxyl groups are able to trigger and enhance the anti-MRSA activity of flavonoids, while the presence of methoxy units drastically decreases the antibacterial activity. Hydroxyl groups in positions 2' of the chalcones and 5 of the flavanones (or flavones) increase activity against MRSA, while the methoxy groups have the opposite effect. Very promising anti-MRSA activity was measured for 2'(OH)-chalcone, 2',4'(OH)2-chalcone, and 2',4(OH)2-chalcone [100]. Considering their antibiofilm activity against MRSA, hydroxylations in positions 2' or 4' in the A ring and 4 in the B ring also seem to be relevant structural features. Some heterocyclic chalcone analogues have been synthesised with the result that replacing the aromatic ring B with a heterocyclic ring containing nitrogen, oxygen, or sulphur atoms does not significantly increase antibacterial activity against MSSA and MRSA [101]. On the other hand, a lipophilic substitution of ring A increases the activity [97]. Xie et al. [97] summarised that 5,7,4'-hydroxyl substitutions indicate the antibacterial activity of flavones, and their methylation decreases the activity, while flavonols seem to be better antibacterial agents than flavones. Substitutions in the A ring at positions 7 (-O-acyl or -O-alkylamino) and 5-hydroxyl have been reported to be crucial for the antibacterial activity of flavones. Favourable interactions are found in the B ring, where positions 3' or 4' are hydroxylated or O-acylated. Hydroxyl or methoxy substituents at position 6' cause moderate antibiofilm activity [102]. Flavanones containing a saturated C3–C4 bond are considered the more promising compounds than flavones [97]. Results by Oh et al. (2011) showed that lavandulyl or isoprenyl groups at C-8 contribute to the antibacterial activity of prenylflavanone derivatives [103]. The number and position of prenyl/geranyl and hydroxyl groups determine the anti-MRSA activity of flavanones and flavanonols [99], with at least C-5, C-7, and C-4' hydroxylations being basic requirements [98,104]. Structures with a 2',4'- or 2',6'-dihydroxylation of the B ring and substituted with a long-chain aliphatic group such as lavandulyl or geranyl at the 6- or 8-position showed strong activity [97]. In contrast, Tsuchiya et al. [104] investigated that the lavandulyl group was a more efficient moiety for enhancing antibacterial activity than geranyl. Dihydroflavonols generally show better activity than flavonols, and the compounds with double prenyl substituents are more active than the corresponding monosubstituted ones. Among the flavanols, especially catechins and theaflavins show antibacterial properties against common pathogenic bacteria, such as S. aureus, MRSA, E. coli, and H. pylori [97]. In general, oligomeric flavanols show higher activity than monomeric ones, and this rule also applies to flavan trimers compared with dimers [105,106]. The structure–antimicrobial activity relationship of flavonoids is explained in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Flavonoids' structure-activity relationship.

8. Discussion

As shown in Table 1, prenylated flavonoids occur in various families and plant parts. We have mentioned promising antibacterial agents from the families Asteraceae, Celastraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Moraceae, and Paulowniaceae, with Fabaceae and Moraceae being the most abundant. A separate section was devoted to propolis and substances with an unspecified source. Each table contains the plant source, the name of the compound, the type of bacterium against which the substance is active, and the MIC value. For comparison, we list the positive controls used in the tests. These tables provide a quick overview of the antibacterial activity of prenylated flavonoids, present compounds that meet the criterion of an MIC of up to $32 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$, and show microorganisms responsible for impaired wound healing that are antagonised by prenylated flavonoids. The structures of the prenylated flavonoids are plotted in Figures 3–12. The red highlighted parts of molecules indicate the difference between similar structures and might explain the structure–antibacterial activity relationship.

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | PC | (µg/mL) | | Ref. |
|---|--|--|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| | | Asteraceae | | | | | |
| Helichrysum forskahlii (J.F. Gmel.) Hilliard and Burtt (aerial parts) | Glabranin | B. subtilis S. aureus | 3 6 | | | | [64] |
| X | | Celastraceae | | | | | |
| | | | | AB | CI | VA | |
| | | C. neoformans P aeruginosa | 3.0 * 8.6 * | 0.8 | -01 | - | |
| | Tripteryol B | VRE MRSA | 4.3 * 4 5 * | - | 0.2 | 3.3 | |
| <i>Tripterygium wilfordii</i> Hook.f. (stems and roots) | (±)-5,4'-Dihydroxy-2'-methoxy- 6',6"-dimethypyraro-(2",3":7,8)-6- methyflavanone | MRSA S. aureus | 2.1 * 2.6 * | - | 0.2 0.1 | | [63] |
| | ((2S)-5,7,4'-Trihydroxy-2'-methoxy- 8,5'-di(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-6- methylflavanone | C. neoformans MRSA S. aureus | 1.1 * 2.0 * 2.2 * | 0.8 | 0.2 0.10 | - - - | |
| | | Euphorbiacea | e | | | | |
| Macaranga tanarius L. (fruit) | Propolin D | MSSA (n = 2) MRSA S. epidermidis | 10 * 10 * 10 * | | | | [107] |
| | | Fabaceae | | | | | |
| | | | | МО | | | |
| | | S. aureus MRSA | 25 μM 3.1 μM | <0.9 μM 3.8 μM | | | [108] |
| Amorpha fruticosa L. (fruit) | Xanthoangelol | S. aureus MRSA E. faecalis VRE E. faecium VRE. faecium B. subtilis | 6.3 3.1 12.5 6.3 12.5 3.1 3.1 | | | | [109] |
| | | | | CI | | | |
| | 2(S)-5'-(-1''',1'''-Dimethylallyl)-8- (3'',3''-dimethylallyl)-2',4',5,7- tetrahydroxyflavanone | | 1.6 1.6 | _ | | | |
| Dalea scandens (Miller) R. Clausen var. paucifolia (roots) | 2(S)-5'-(-1''',1'''-Dimethylallyl)-8- (3'',3''-dimethylallyl)-2'-methoxy- 4',5,7-trihydroxyflavanone | S. aureus MRSA | 3.1 3.1 | 0.2 0.2 | | | [110] |
| | 5'-(1''',1'''-Dimethylallyl)-8-(3'',3''- dimethylallyl)-2',4',5,7- tetrahydroxyflavone | | 3.1 3.1 | | | | |
| Dalea versicolor Zucc. var. sessilis (A. Gray) Barneby (whole plants) | 2(S)-5'-(1''',1'''-Dimethylallyl)-8- (3'',3''-dimethylallyl)-2',4',5,7- tetrahydroxyflavanone | S. aureus | 7.8 | | | | [111] |
| | | | | OX | | | |
| | Malheuran A | | 4.3 3.7 3.7 | | | | |
| | Malheuran B | | 3.4 3.7 2.7 | - | | | |
| Dalea searlsiae (Gray) Barneby (roots and aerial parts) | Malheuran C | OSSA ORSA B. cereus | 4.6 4.3 3 | 0.4 21.3 106.7 | | | [112] |
| - | Malheuran D | B. cereus | 6.1 6.5 5.0 | 100.7 | | | |
| - | (2S)-5'-(2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-8-(3- methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-5,7,2',4'- tetrahydroxyflavanone | | 3.1 3.4 2.3 | _ | | | |
| | Prostratol F | | 6.8 6.4 8 | | | | |

Table 1. Antibacterial activity of known prenylated flavonoids from natural sources.

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | F | PC (μg/mL) | Ref. |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|-------|
| | | | | AP | | |
| <i>Derris reticulata</i> Craib. (stem) | Lupinifolin | S. aureus | 8 | 0.3 | | [113] |
| | | | | AP | ER | |
| | Kenusanone C | S. evidermidis | 20 20 | 20 | 1.3 | |
| | Isosophoranone | S. aureus | 20 20 | 1.3 | 1.3 | |
| Echinosophora koreensis Nakai (roots) | Sophoraisoflavanone A | E. coli S. epidermidis S. aureus | 20 20 20 | 1.3 20 1.3 | 1.3 1.3 1.3 | [114] |
| | Kenusanone A | E. coli S. epidermidis | 20 20 20 | 1.3 20 | 1.3 1.3 | |
| | Sophoraflavanone D | 5. uureus | 20 20 20 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| | | | | NE | | |
| | Abyssinone V 4'-O-methyl ether | E. coli B. subtilis | 3.9 15.6 | 1.6 0.8 | | |
| <i>Erythrina caffra</i> Thunb. (stem bark) | 6,8-Diprenylgenistein | S. aureus – E. coli - B. subtilis | 7.8 7.8 15.6 | 0.8 | | [115] |
| | Alpinumisoflavone | | 3.9 3.9 7.8 | 1.6 0.8 | | |
| | | | | CI | | |
| | Erybraedin A | B. cereus S. aureus S. epidermidis E. coli | 1 2 2 2 | 0.02 0.1 0.1 0.1 | | |
| | Phaseollidin | B. cereus S. aureus S. epidermidis | 10 10 5 | 0.02 0.1 0.1 | | |
| | Abyssinone V 4'-O-methyl ether | B. cereus | 26 | 0.02 | | |
| Erythrina lysistemon Hutch. (stem bark) | Eryzerin C | B. cereus S. aureus S. epidermidis E. coli P. aeruginosa | 10 5 2 5 5 | 0.02 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 | | [116] |
| | Alpinumisoflavone | B. cereus S. aureus P. aeruginosa | 31 31 20 | 0.02 0.1 0.1 | | |
| | Lysisteisoflavone | B. cereus S. epidermidis E. coli P. aeruginosa | 2 26 6 31 | 0.02 0.1 0.1 0.1 | | |
| Larval stage of <i>Melipotis</i> perpendicularis (Noctuidae) feeding on the leaves of Lonchocarpus minimiflorus Donn. Sm. | Lonchocarpol A | MRSA VRE. faecium B. megaterium | 0.8–1.6 0.8–1.6 1.0–2.0 | | | [117] |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | РС | C (µg/mL) | | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------|
| | | | | VA | | | |
| | Millexatin A | | 2 2 2 | | | | |
| _ | Millexatin F | _ | 2 2 2 | | | | [110] |
| <i>Millettia extensa</i> (Benth.) Baker (stem) – | Auriculatin | S. aureus S. epidermidis B. subtilis | 2 2 2 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 | | | [118] |
| | Scandenone | _ | 2 4 2 | | | | |
| | Auriculasin | | 4 4 8 | | | | |
| _ | Millipurone | S. epidermidis B. cereus S. aureus | 4 32 4 | 0.3 0.1 0.3 | | | |
| Millettia extensa (Benth.) | Millexatin K | | 32 32 | | | | |
| Baker (leaves and roots) | Millexatin L | B. cereus | 32 32 | 0.1 | | | [119] |
| _ | Millexatin D | S. aureus | 8 8 | 0.3 | | | |
| | 5,7,3',4'-Tetrahydroxy-6,8- diprenylisoflavone = 6,8-diprenylorobol | _ | 16 32 | | | | |
| | | | | CI | | | |
| Dooudanthuia kookani Wisht | Pseudarflavone A | E. coli P. aeruginosa S. aureus | 4 16 8 | 0.3 0.1 0.3 | | | [120] |
| and Arn. (whole plant) | 6-Prenylpinocembrin | E. coli E. faecalis S. aureus | 4 16 8 | 0.3 8 0.3 | | | |
| | Boeravinone L | E. coli | 16 | 0.3 | | | |
| Psoralea corylifolia L. (seeds) | Bavachin | S. aureus S. epidermidis | 37 μM 37 μM | | | | [121] |
| | | | | GE | OF | AB | |
| Retama raetam Forssk. Webb | Licoflavone C | E. coli P. aeruginosa C. glabrata | 7.8 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 1 - | - 0.5 | [122] |
| (flowers) | Derrone | C. albicans C. parapsilosis C. krusei | 7.8 15.6 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 | - | - | 0.5 0.5 | |
| | | | | ATB (n | ı = 8) | | |
| | | S. aureus MRSA $(n = 6)$ | 8 8–16 | $0.1 \rightarrow$ | 128 | | [123] |
| Sophora flavescens Aiton (roots) | Kuraridin | | | AP | ER | | |
| (roots) | | E. coli S. epidermidis S. aureus | 20 20 20 | 1.3 20 1.3 | 1.3 1.3 1.3 | | [114] |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | PC | (µg/mL) | | | Ref. |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------|--------------|-----|-----|---------|
| | | E. coli S. epidermidis | 20 20 | 1.3 20 | 1.3 1.3 | | | [114] |
| | Kurarinone | S. aureus | 20 | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | |
| | | | | AP | VA | | | - |
| | | MRSA VRE | 2 2 | 250 250 | 2.5 150 | | | [124] |
| | | | | AP | ER | | | |
| | | E. coli | 20 | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | - [114] |
| Sophora flavescens Aiton | | S. epidermidis | 20 | 20 | 1.3 | | | [114] |
| (roots) | | S. aureus | 20 | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | |
| | Sophoraflavanone G | | | ATB (n | = 8) | | | - |
| | sophorality anone of | S. aureus MRSA ($n = 6$) | 2 2–4 | 0.1→1 | 28 | | | [123] |
| | | | | AP | OX | | | |
| | | MCCA | 4 | r | 0.3 | | | [125] |
| | | MRSA $(n = 11)$ | 4 0.5–8 | 64–1024 | 256- 1024 | | | [125] |
| | | C | 1.0 | | 1024 | | | |
| | 7,9,2',4'-Tetrahydroxy-8- | S. aureus MRSA $(n = 5)$ | 1.0-15.6 | | | | | [126] |
| | isopentenyi-5-metnoxycnaicone | VRE $(n = 5)$ | 7.8–15.6 | | | | | |
| | | Moraceae | | | | | | |
| | | | | VA | | | | [127] |
| Artocarpus elasticus (leaves) | Elastichalcone C | S. aureus | 8 | 1 | | | | |
| | | MIKSA | 4 | | | | | |
| | | | | 0X | | | | - |
| | Artocarpin | | 2 | 0.1 | | | | |
| Artocarpus integer (Thunb.) Merr. (roots) | F | P. acnes | 4 | 0.5 | | | | [128] |
| | | – S. aureus - S. epidermidis | 2 | - 0.5 | | | | - |
| | Cudraflavone C | · | 2 4 | | | | | |
| | | | | CI | | | | |
| Artocarpus sepicanus Diels | Sepicanin A | MRSA | 2.9 μM | 0.8 | | | | [129] |
| (leaves) | м | | | ΔP | FR | ΔB | MI | |
| | | E coli | 20 | 13 | 13 | - | - | - |
| | Panyriflavonal A | S. epidermidis | 10 | 20 | 1.3 | - | - | [114] |
| Broussonetia nanurifera (L.) | r apyrnavonor A | S. aureus | 15 12 5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | - | - | [114] |
| Vent. (root bark) | | S. cerevisue | 20 | | - 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | - |
| | Kazinol B | S. epidermidis S. aureus | 20 20 | 1.3 | 1.3 | - | | |
| | | | | GE | NY | | | |
| | | B. cereus | | | | | | - |
| | | B. stearother- | 0.6 9.8 | 1.2 4 9 | - | | | |
| | Gancaonin Q | mophilus B subtilis | 9.8 | 1.2 | - | | | |
| | | E. faecalis | 0.6 | 2.4 | - | | | |
| | | P. aeruginosa | 19.5 | 4.9 | - | | | _ |
| | Stipulin | B. cereus | 4.9 | 1.2 | - | | | |
| Dorstenia angusticornis | | E. faecalis | 2.4 | 2.4 | - | | | [130] |
| Engl. (twigs) | | E. coli P. aeruoinosa | 1.2 | 1.2 | - | | | |
| (twigs) | | B. cereus | 9.8 1.2 | 4.9 | - | | | |
| | | B. megaterium | 2.4 | 2.4 | - | | | |
| | | B. Stearother- monhilus | 2.4 | 4.9 | - | | | |
| | Angusticornin B | B. subtilis | 1.2 | 1.2 | - | | | |
| | | S. aureus | 2.4 | 4.9 2.4 | - | | | |
| | | E. faecalis | 0.6 | - | 2.4 | | | |
| | | C. albicans C. krusei | 1.2 | - | 2.4 | | | |
| | | C. glabrata | 0.6 | - | 9.8 | | | |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | РС | C (μg/mL) | Ref. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------|------------------------|-------|
| | | E. coli P aeruginosa | 0.6 | 1.2 | - | |
| | | B cereus | <0.3 | 4.9 | - | |
| | | B megaterium | 0.6 | 1.2 | - | |
| | | B. megarettant B stearother- | 1.2 | 2.4 | - | |
| | | monhilus | 2.4 | 4.9 | - | |
| Dorstenia angusticornis | Bartericin A | B subtilis | 1.2 | 1.2 | - | [130] |
| Engl. | | S aureus | 0.6 | 4.9 | - | |
| (twigs) | | F faecalis | 0.6 | 2.4 | - | |
| | | C alhicans | 0.6 | - | 2.4 | |
| | | C krusei | 1.2 | - | 2.4 | |
| | | C. glabrata | 0.6 | - | 9.8 | |
| | | - 8 | | ATB (r | ı = 7) | [121] |
| | Isobawachalcone | MSSA $(n = 2)$ | 2–16 | 0.02_ | 128 | [151] |
| _ | Isobavachaicone | MRSA $(n = 6)$ | 48 | 0.02- | 7120 | |
| _ | | | | GE | NY | |
| | | E. faecalis | 0.3 | 49 | - | |
| | | S. aureus | 03 | 2.4 | _ | |
| | | B. cereus | 0.6 | 12 | _ | |
| | | B. megaterium | 0.6 | 2.4 | _ | |
| | | B. stearother- | 0.0 | 49 | _ | |
| | | mophilus | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.4 | |
| | | B. subtilis | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | |
| | | C. albicans | 0.3 | _ | 2. 4 4 9 | |
| | | C. glabrata | 1.2 | _ | 1.2 | |
| | | T. rubrum | 1.2 | | 1.2 | |
| Dorstenia barteri Bureau | | E. faecalis | 49 | 49 | - | |
| var. multiradiata (stems) | | S. aureus | 4.9 | 24 | _ | [100] |
| | | B. cereus | 4.9 | 1.4 | _ | [132] |
| | | B. megaterium | 1.2 | 2.4 | _ | |
| | 4-Hydroyylonchocarpin | B. stearother- | 1.2 | 4.4 | - | |
| | 4-Hydroxylonchocarpin | mophilus | 1.2 | 4.9 | - | |
| | | B. subtilis | 4.9 | 1.2 | 24 | |
| | | C. albicans | 4.9 | - | 2.4 | |
| | | C. glabrata T. rubrum | 4.9 | - | 1.2 | |
| _ | | E faecalis | | | | |
| | | B cereus | 4.9 | 4.9 | - | |
| | | B megaterium | 9.8 | 1.2 | - | |
| | | B stearother- | 4.9 | 2.4 | - | |
| | Kanzonol C | monhilus | 4.9 | 4.9 | - | |
| | | B subtilis | 9.8 | 1.2 | - | |
| | | C. albicans | 4.9 | - | 2.4 | |
| | | C. glabrata | 4.9 | - | 2.4 | |
| | | | | CH | NY | |
| | Dorsmanin C | P. aeruginosa | 4 | 64 | - | [133] |
| Dorstenia mannii Hook. f (stems) | Dorsmanin E | C. albicans | 8 | - | 16 | 、 / |
| () | Doremanin F | E. coli | 4 | 2 | - | |
| _ | Dorsinarini r | C. albicans | 16 | - | 16 | |
| | Dorsmanin G | E. coli P aeruginosa | 16 8 | 2 64 | - | |
| | | | • | VA | AP | |
| | | | 8 | | | |
| | | | 2 | | | |
| | Gancaonin M | | 2 | | | |
| | | | 4 | | | |
| | | E faecalic | | 2 | 0.5 | |
| Maclura cochinchinensis | | S nureus | 8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | [134] |
| (Lour.) Corner (fruit and | Lupiwighteone | MRSA | 4 | 1 | 0.5 | |
| leaves) | 1 0 | C. albicans | 8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | |
| _ | | | 8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | | 2 | | | |
| | I unallhigan' | | 1 | | | |
| | Lupaibigenin | | 1 | | | |
| | | | 4 | | | |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | РС | C (µg/mL) | | Ref. |
|---|--------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | Scandenone | | 4 2 2 8 | | | | |
| - <i>Maclura cochinchinensis</i> (Lour.) Corner (fruit and leaves) | Auriculatin | E. faecalis S. aureus MRSA C. albicans | 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 0.5 1 0.5 | 0.5 0.3 0.5 0.3 | 0.5 0.3 0.5 0.3 | |
| | Millexatin F | | 4 1 2 4 | | | | [134] |
| | Derrone | S. aureus | 4 4 32 | 0.5 | 0.3 | | |
| | Macluracochinone E | — MRSA C. albicans | 8 32 32 | 0.5 | 0.5 0.3 | | |
| | | | | AP | OF | KE | |
| <i>Maclura pomifera</i> (Rafin.) Schneider (fruit) | Scandenone | E. coli S. aureus B. subtilis E. faecalis C. albicans | 2 0.5 8 0.5 1 | 2 0.1 0.5 0.5 | 0.1 0.5 1 1 | - - - 1 | [135] |
| | | | | KS | AP | ME | |
| _ | | S. aureus MRSA $(n = 3)$ | 2 2–4 | 2 4 | 4 8–16 | 32 128– 256 | [136] |
| | | | | AP | CI | VA | |
| | Kuwanon C | MRSA (n = 3) E. faecalis VRE (n = 3) | 2 4 4-8 | >16 8 8 | 8– 128 <8 NT | <16 512→>1024 | [137] |
| | | | | VA | | | |
| | | MSSA MRSA B. subtilis E. faecalis | 2 2 4 4 | $2 \\ 2 \\ \leq 0.3 \\ > 128$ | | | [138] |
| | | | | AP | CI | VA | |
| Morus alba L. (root bark) | Kuwanon T | $\begin{array}{l} \text{MRSA} \ (n=3) \\ E. \ faecalis \end{array}$ | 4 4 | >16 8 | 8– 128 <8 | <16 | [127] |
| | Morusinol | MRSA | 16 | >16 | 16 | - | _ [157] |
| | | MRSA (<i>n</i> = 2) | 4–8 | >16 | 16– 128 | - | _ |
| | Kuwanon U | | | KS | AP | ME | _ |
| | | S. aureus MRSA ($n = 3$) | 4 4–8 | 2 4 | 4 8–16 | 32 128– 256 | [136] |
| | | | | AP | CI | VA | [137] |
| | | MRSA (<i>n</i> = 3) | 4–8 | >16 | 8– 128 | - | _ [10/] |
| | | | | KS | AP | ME | _ |
| | Kuwanon E M | <i>S. aureus</i> MRSA $(n = 3)$ | 4 4 | 2 4 | 4 8–16 | 32 128– 256 | [136] |
| | | | | ATB (<i>n</i> = 6) | | | _ |
| | | MSSA $MRSA (n = 10)$ | 4 4–16 | 4–12 4–25 | 28 56 | | [139] |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | PC | (µg/mL) | | Ref. |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | AP | CI | VA | |
| | | MRSA $(n = 3)$ | 2–4 | >16 | 8- | - | [137] |
| | | E. faecalis | 8 | 8 | 128 <8 | <16 | [157] |
| | | V KE (n = 3) | 4-0 | 0 | - | 512->1024 | |
| | | | | KS | AP | ME | |
| | | S. aureus | 4 | 2 | 4 | 32 128– | [136] |
| | Morusin | $\operatorname{WIKSA}(n=5)$ | 2-8 | 4 | 0-10 | 256 | |
| | | | | VA | | | _ |
| Morus alba L. (root bark) | | MSSA MRSA | 8 8 | 2 2 | | | [138] |
| | | B. subtilis E. faccalic | 4 | ≤ 0.3 | | | |
| | | L. juecuits | 0 | ATB (n | = 6) | | |
| | | MSSA | 16 | 4-12 | 8 | | [139] |
| | | MRSA ($n = 10$) | 8–32 | 4–25 | 6 | | [107] |
| | | | | KS | AP | ME | |
| | 5'-Geranyl-5, 7, 2', 4' -tetrahydroxyflayone | S. aureus | 2 2–4 | 2 | 4 | 32 | [127] |
| | | - MRSA (n = 3) | 4 | - 4 | 8–16 | 128– 256 | [136] |
| | Kuwanon B | | 4 | | | | |
| Morus mongolica Schneider | | | | AP | ER | | _ |
| | Morusin | S. epidermidis | 20 | 20 | 1.3 | | - [114] |
| (root bark) | Kuwanon C | E. coli S. enidermidis | 10 6.3 | 1.3 20 | 1.3 1.3 | | [] |
| | | S. aureus | 6.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | | |
| | | Paulowniacea | e | | | | |
| | Tomentodiplacone B | | | ATB (n | = 8) | | _ |
| | | S aurous | 8 8–16 | | | | [98] |
| | | MRSA (n = 5) | 2 | - 0.1->32 | | | |
| | | | 2–4 | | | | |
| | Mimulone | | | CI | | | _ |
| | | | 4 | | | | |
| | | B. cereus | 4 | 1 | | | [140] |
| | | B. subtilis | 0 | - 2 1 | | | |
| Paulounia tomentosa | | S. aureus | 4 | 0.5 | | | |
| (Thunb.) Steud | Dinlagona | | $\frac{4}{4}$ | | | | |
| (fruit) | Diplacone | | | ATB (n | = 8) | | |
| | | | 8 | | | | _ |
| | | S. aureus $MPSA(n - 5)$ | 8–16 | - 0.1→32 | | | [98] |
| | | WIKSA $(n - 3)$ | $4 \\ 4-8$ | | | | |
| | | | | CI | | | |
| | 3'-O-methyl-5'-hydroxydiplacone | | 4 | | | | |
| - | | D | 4 | 1 | | | |
| | | B. subtilis | 2 | 2 | | | [140] |
| | 3'-O-methyl-5'-O-methyldiplacone | E. faecalis S. aureus | 4 / | 1 0.5 | | | |
| | | | 4 | | | | |
| | | | 4 4 | | | | |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | РС | (µg/mL) | | | Ref. |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------|---------|----|------|---------------|
| | | | | ATB (n | = 8) | | | |
| | 3'-O-methyl-5'-O-methylaiplacone | | 2 4-8 | | | | | [98] |
| | | S. aureus MRSA ($n = 5$) | 4 | 0.1→32 | | | | |
| Paulozonia tomentosa | | | 4-0 | CI | | | | |
| (Thunb.) Steud (fruit) | 3'-O-methyldiplacol | | 2 | | | | | |
| (iruit) | | B. cereus | 4 | 1 | | | | |
| | | B. subtilis E. faecalis | 2 4 | 2 1 | | | | [140] |
| | 3'-O-methyldiplacone | S. aureus | 8 8 8 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | Tomentodiplacone | B. cereus S. aureus | 16 16 | 1 0.5 | | | | |
| | | Propolis | | | | | | |
| | Propolin C | | 2.5 1.3–5 | | | | | |
| | Propolin D | B. subtilis S. aureus (n = 3) | 5 | | | | | |
| Taiwanese green propolis | | | 10-20 | | | | | [141] |
| | Propolin F | | 10-20 | | | | | |
| | Propolin G | | 10 10–20 | | | | | |
| | Prenylated flav | onoids obtained fr | om indefinite source | | | | | |
| | | FLAVONES | 32 | | | | | |
| A | lbanin A | MECA | 32 | | | | | |
| А | rtocarpin | MRSA | 1 2 | | | | | [142] |
| Brou | ssoflavonol F | | 16 16 | | | | | |
| С | orylifol C | MSSA | 16 | | | | | |
| Gl | yasperin A | C | 16 22 | OX | VA | CH | ST | [143] |
| | | S. aureus | 4 | 0.3-0.5 | 0.5-1 | 4 | 8-16 | |
| K | uwanon A | | 8 | | | | | |
| K | uwanon C | MSSA | 1 | | | | | [142] |
| Lic | oflavone B | WIKSA | 32 32 | | | | | |
| | | | 16 32 | | | | | [138] |
| Lic | oflavone C | MSSA | 32 32 | | | | | [142, 144] |
| Lie | coflavonol | | 8 8 | | | | | |
| Morusin | | — — — MSSA | 8 8 | | | | | [142] |
| 3'-Geranyl -3-prenyl- | 3'-Geranyl -3-prenyl-5,7,2',4'-tetrahydroxyflavone | | 4 4 | | | | | |
| 5,7,2′,4′-Tetra-hy | /droxy-3-geranylflavone | | 8 8 | | | | | |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | РС | C (μg/mL) | | | Ref. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|---------|-----------|----|------|---------------|
| 5,7,4'-Trihydroxy-3,6-dime | thoxy-3',5'-diprenylflavone | MRSA | 32 | | | | | |
| 5′-Geranyl-5,7,2′,4′- | tetrahydroxyflavone | MSSA | 2 2 | | | | | |
| 6-Prenyl | apigenin | MRSA | 32 32 | | | | | [142] |
| 6-Prenylquerceti | in 3-methyl ether | MSSA | 32 | | | | | |
| 8-Prenylk | aempferol | MSSA MRSA | 32 32 | | | | | |
| | | ISOFLAVONE | s | | | | | |
| Euryca | arpin A | | 8 8 | | | | | [142] |
| Ganca | onin M | | 8 8 | | | | | [] |
| Glycyrrhi | isoflavone | MSSA MRSA | 32 32 | | | | | [144] |
| Isoneobava | aisoflavone | | 4 4 | | | | | [142] |
| Isowig | hteone | | 16 16 | | | | | [142] |
| Licoisof | lavone A | | 32 32 | | | | | [142, 144] |
| Licoisof | lavone B | | | OX | VA | СН | ST | [143] |
| | | S. aureus | 4-8 | 0.3–0.5 | 0.5–1 | 4 | 8–16 | |
| Lupalk | pigenin | MSSA MRSA | 1 1 | | | | | |
| Lupiwi | ghteone | MSSA | 32 | | | | | |
| Scandenone, sy | n. Warangalone | | 8 2 | | | | | |
| Sophorais | oflavone A | | 32 32 | | | | | |
| Wigh | teone | MSSA | 8 8 | | | | | [142] |
| 6,8-Dipren | ylgenistein | MRSA | 4 2 | | | | | |
| 6,8-Dipre | nylorobol | | 16 8 | | | | | |
| 8-Prenyl | daidzein | | 32 32 | | | | | |
| 8-Preny | lluteone | | 8 8 | | | | | |
| | | FLAVANONES | 5 | | | | | |
| Bava | ichin | MSSA | 32 | | | | | |
| Bavach | ninin A | | 4 8 | | | | | [142] |
| Euchrestaf | lavanone A | MSSA — MRSA | 2 2 | | | | | - |
| | | | 1 1 | | | | | |
| Gla | brol | | 2 2 | | | | | [1.4.4] |
| | | | | VA | | | | [144] |
| | | MRSA ($n = 20$) | 1–4 | 2–16 | | | | |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | РС | (µg/mL) | | | Ref. |
|-----------------|------------|--|--|----------------|---------|----|------|-------|
| Leachia | anone G | | 32 32 | | | | | |
| Licofla | vanone | MSSA | 32 32 | _ | | | | [140] |
| Sophorafl | avanone C | MRSA | 2 2 | _ | | | | [142] |
| 6-Prenyln | naringenin | | 8 | _ | | | | |
| | | CHALCONES | 0 | | | | | |
| Desmethylx | anthohumol | MSSA MRSA | 16 16 | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | _ | | | | |
| Isobavachalcone | | | 4 | TE | | | | |
| | | MSSA | 1.6 | 0.2 | | | | [145] |
| Vanz | and C | | 4 | >5.9 | | | | |
| Kanzo | | · | 4 | _ | | | | [142] |
| | | | 4 4 | | | | | |
| | | | 2 4 | | | | | [144] |
| Licocha | alcone A | | | VA | | | | |
| Licochair | | $\frac{\text{MRSA}}{(n=20)}$ | 1–8 | 2–16 | | | | [144] |
| | | (// _0) | | OX | VA | CH | ST | [143] |
| | | S. aureus | 4 | 0.3–0.5 | 0.5–1 | 4 | 8–16 | [145] |
| Licocha | alcone B | MRSA | 16 | | | | | [142, |
| | | MSSA MRSA | $\frac{4}{4}$ | | | | | 144] |
| Licocha | alcone C | | | VA | | | | |
| | | MRSA (n = 20) | 1–16 | 2–16 | | | | [144] |
| | | | 16 32 | | | | | [142] |
| Licocha | llcone D | MSSA | 32 16 | | | | | [144] |
| | | MRSA | $\frac{4}{4}$ | | | | | [142] |
| | | | 4 | | | | | [144] |
| | | | 1 | VA | | | | |
| Licocha | alcone E | $\frac{\text{MRSA}}{(n=20)}$ | 0.5–16 | 2–16 | | | | [144] |
| | | | | OX | | | | |
| | | <i>S. aureus</i> MRSA $(n = 6)$ | 2 1–4 | 0.3 0.1–256 | | | | [146] |
| Xantho | angelol | MSSA | 32 | | | | | [142] |
| | | <i>S. aureus</i> (<i>n</i> = 3) | 15.6-62.5 | | | | | [147] |
| | | MSSA MRSA | 4 4 | | | | | [142] |
| Xantho | ohumol | S. epidermidis (n = 2) S. capitis ssp. ureolyticus S. aureus MRSA | 2 2 2 4 | | | | | [148] |

| Plant Source | Compound | Bacteria | IC ₅₀ (μg/mL) * or MIC (μg/mL) | PC (µg/mL) | Ref. |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|------------|-------|
| 4-Hydro | oxyderricin | MSSA MRSA | 2 2 | | [142] |
| | | ISOFLAVEN | 1 | | |
| Gl | abrene | MSSA MRSA | 16 16 | | [144] |

Abbreviations: IC₅₀ (half-maximal inhibitory concentration), MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration), NT (not tested), PC (positive control). Microorganisms tested for the antimicrobial activity: *B. cereus (Bacillus cereus), B. megaterium (Bacillus megaterium), B. stearothermophilus (Bacillus stearothermophilus), B. subtilis (Bacillus subtilis), C. albicans (Candida albicans), C. glabrata (Candida glabrata), C. krusei (Candida krusei), C. neoformans (Cryptococcus neoformans), E. coli (Escherichia coli), E. faecalis (Enterococcus faecalis), MRSA (methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus*), MSSA (methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*), ORSA (oxacillin-resistant *S. aureus*), P. aeruginosa (Pseudomonas aeruginosa), S. aureus (Staphylococcus aureus), S. capitis spp. ureolyticus (Staphylococcus capitis spp. ureolyticus), S. cerevisiae (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), S. epidermidis (Staphylococcus epidermidis), T. rubrum (Trichophyton rubrum), VRE (vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*), VRE. faecium (vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*). Standard antibiotics and antifungals: AB (amphotericin B), AM (moxicillin), AP (ampicillin), CE (cefazolin), CH (chloramphenicol), CI (ciprofloxacin), CL (clarithromycin), CO (colistin), ER (erythromycin), GE (gentamicin), NE (ketoconazole), KS (kanamycin sulphate), ME (methicillin), MI (miconazole), MO (moxifloxacin), NE (neomycin), NY (nystatin), OF (ofloxacin), OX (oxacillin), PG (penicillin G), RI (rifampicin), ST (streptomycin), TE (tetracycline), VA (vancomycin).

| HOLLOW | HO, CO | эн |
|--|---|--|
| I I о́н о́ | Г Г он о Senicenin A | |
| | September | H0 o 0H |
| HOT OF I | ř | |
| Diplacone | | Sophoraflavanone D |
| | | |
| 3'-O-methyldiplacone | 3'-O-methyl-5'-hydroxydiplacone | 3'-O-methyl-5'-O-methyldiplacone |
| HO + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + | ' Y | |
| Tomentodiplacone B | | Tomentodiplacone |
| HO CH OH Leachianone G | HOLL HOLD OF | |
| HOLLO F | HOLE OH Malheuran A | Hot for an and the second seco |
| | | и |
| Malheuran B | Malheuran C | |
| HOTTON | HO LO | |
| Bavachin | Glabrol | Bavachinin A |
| | | |
| 6-Prenylnaringenin | Lonchocarpol A | |

Figure 3. Cont.



Figure 3. Structures of discussed flavanones.





3'-O-methyldiplacol

Figure 4. Structures of discussed flavanonols.

Kenusanone C



Sophoraisoflavanone A



Kenusanone A

Figure 5. Structures of discussed isoflavanones.



Kazinol B

Figure 6. Structures of discussed flavan, isoflaven.



Isosophoranone



Lysisteisoflavone



Glabrene



8-Prenylkaempferol



Glyasperin A



Macluracochinone E

Figure 7. Structures of discussed flavonols.



Broussoflavonol F



Licoflavonol



Papyriflavonol A

он όн ő

Dorsmanin C



Figure 8. Structures of discussed flavones.



Alpinumisoflavone

Scandenone = Warangalone



Sophoraisoflavone A



6,8-Diprenylgenistein



Lupiwighteone

Wighteone



Isowighteone



Derrone

Figure 9. Cont.





Auriculasin

Auriculatin

HC



Licoisoflavone B



8-Prenylluteone



Gancaonin M

Licoisoflavone A



Glycyrrhisoflavone



Millexatin F







Ö όн

Lupalbigenin

5,7,3',4'-Tetrahydroxy-6,8-diprenylisoflavone = 6,8-

diprenylorobol



Figure 10. Cont.

Licochalcone D

Figure 10. Structures of discussed chalcones.







Licochalcone E







Eryzerin C





Millexatin L



Millexatin K



Figure 12. Structures of discussed coumaronochromones.

8.1. Prenylated Flavonoids with Potent Antibacterial Activity

From the present study, it is possible to highlight compounds that surpass others by their activity. These flavonoids are structurally different, and therefore, it is not the aim of this review to compare these flavonoids with each other. It is clear that S. aureus strains, including MSSA and MRSA, are the most sensitive to the action of prenylated flavonoids. According to Farhadi et al. [99], the most active compounds are chalcones, flavanones, and flavan-3-ols. This study confirmed that many chalcones showed promising anti-staphylococcal activity [99]. Isobavachalcone reduced the growth of MRSA strains with MICs in the range of 4–8 µg/mL, compared with control antibiotics that achieved MICs above 128 μ g/mL [131]. Its activity was later confirmed by de Assis et al. [145]. Song et al. [142] tested a large number of compounds, and among them the, chalcones isobavachalcone, 4-hydroxyderricin, kanzonol C, xanthohumol, licochalcone A, licochalcone C, and licochalcone E antagonised sensitive and resistant strains with MICs between 2 and 4 μ g/mL. Similar results were obtained in a study by Wu et al. [144], where licochalcone A, licochalcone C, and licochalcone E showed activity with MICs of $0.5-16 \mu g/mL$. Licochalcones have also been successfully tested in other studies [144]. An MIC of $4 \mu g/mL$ was achieved for licochalcone A in Liu et al. [143]. The activity of licochalcone E was

robust (MICs = 1–4 μ g/mL) compared with the MICs of oxacillin (0.1–256 μ g/mL) in Zhou et al. [146]. Several flavanones achieved very low MICs. These, isolated from Dalea scandens [110] and malheurans A–C, had the highest MIC of 4.6 µg/mL [112]. Kurarinone effectively inhibited MRSA and furthermore VRE with an MIC of 2 μ g/mL, in contrast to ampicillin, which combated them with an MIC of 250 μ g/mL [124]. The structurally similar sophoraflavanone G was successful in eradicating S. aureus strains with MICs in the range of 0.5–8 μ g/mL compared with standard antibiotics whose MICs were several times higher $(0.1-1024 \ \mu g/mL)$ [123,125]. Song et al. [142] determined promising activity with MICs of 1–2 µg/mL against MSSA and MRSA for euchrestaflavanone A, sophoraflavanone C, and glabrol, whose activity was also demonstrated by Wu et al. [144] against more than 20 MRSA strains with MICs of 1–4 μ g/mL. Highly active geranylated flavanones include sepicanin A [129], mimulone, and variously substituted diplacones isolated from the fruit of *P. tomentosa* [98,140]. Another rich source of geranylated compounds is the root bark of *M. alba*. The MICs detected for kuwanons E and U ranged from 2 to 4 μ g/mL. This plant also contains the flavones kuwanons B, C, and T and morusin, which have activity with MICs ranging from 1 to 4 μ g/mL, depending on the strain used. Importantly, the results obtained are coherent and have been demonstrated by different authors [136–139]. Flavone artocarpin is characterised by antistaphylococcal activity in the range of $1-2 \mu g/mL$, but also other flavones, such as 5'-geranyl-5,7,2',4'-tetrahydroxyflavone, 3'-geranyl-3prenyl-5,7,2',4'-tetrahydroxyflavone, isoneobavaisoflavone, and 6,8-diprenylgenistein, are among the significantly active compounds with MICs of 2 to 4 μ g/mL [142]. Alpinumisoflavone tested in the study by Chukwujekwu et al. [115] had an MIC of 3.9 µg/mL, while Sadgrove et al. [116] found it not as active with an MIC of 31 μ g/mL. This discrepancy may be caused by the use of different S. aureus strains.

The selected compounds showed better antibacterial activity against a broad spectrum of microorganisms than the others. The pterocarpan erybraedin A was evaluated against skin pathogens, such as *B. cereus*, *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, and *E. coli*, and showed MICs in the range of $1-2 \,\mu g/mL$. The flavan eryzerin C achieved slightly lower activity with MICs of 2 to 10 μ g/mL against the same microorganisms [116]. The chalcone xanthohumol showed activity against S. epidermidis, S. capitis ssp. ureolyticus, S. aureus, and MRSA with MICs of $2-4 \mu g/mL$ [148]. Angusticornin B and bartericin A, two diprenylated chalcones, were able to eliminate a broad spectrum of skin pathogens, including four Bacillus strains, S. aureus, and E. faecalis; two Gram-negative bacteria, E. coli and P. aeruginosa; and three Candida strains with very low MICs ($<0.3-9.8 \mu g/mL$) [130]. A similar spectrum of microorganisms was used in a study by Mbaveng et al. [132], where isobavachalcone strongly inhibited the growth of pathogens with MICs of 0.3–1.2 μ g/mL and 4-hydroxylonchocarpin with MICs of 1.2–4.9 μ g/mL despite cyclisation of the prenyl chain. Very low MICs (0.8–2 μ g/mL) were obtained when testing the diprenylated flavanone lonchocarpol A against MRSA, VRE. Faecium, and B. megaterium [117]. The geranylated flavanones isolated from P. tomentosa not only showed activity against *S. aureus*, but also combated *Bacillus* strains and *E. faecalis* [140]. Propolin C, actually diplacone, demonstrated activity in a study by Chen et al. [141]. Kuwanons and morusin inhibited the growth of *E. faecalis*, VRE, and *B. subtilis* [137,138]. In a study by Polbuppha et al. [134], the isoflavanone lupalbigenin showed promising activity with MICs of 1–4 µg/mL against *E. faecalis*, *S. aureus*, MRSA, and *C. albicans*. The results obtained for *S. aureus* strains are similar to the MICs reported in a study by Song et al. [142]. Two flavones, artocarpin and cudraflavone C, showed activity against C. acnes, S. aureus, and *S. epidermidis* in the range of $2-4 \mu g/mL$ [128]. The rare compound containing three isoprenyl units on a modified A ring, millexatin A, together with the other isoflavones, millexatin F, auriculatin, and scandenone, showed remarkable activity against S. aureus, S. epidermidis, and B. subtilis. These compounds were active in the range of $2-4 \mu g/mL$ [118]. The results are consistent with a study by Polbuppha et al. [134], in which millexatin F, auriculatin, and scandenone controlled E. faecalis, S. aureus, MRSA, and C. albicans with MICs in the range of $2-4 \mu g/mL$ for bacteria and $2-8 \mu g/mL$ for yeast. Other results were presented in a study by Özçelik et al. [135], where scandenone was more effective

against *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* (MICs = $0.5 \mu g/mL$). Table 2 lists the compounds that have several beneficial activities in wound healing and show anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities in addition to antibacterial activity. Some of these compounds have reduced the pathogenicity of microorganisms through various mechanisms. For completeness and future research, data on cytotoxic activity have also been included. It is not the purpose of this review to detail the mechanisms of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities of prenylated flavonoids, as this information can be found in many studies (see Table 2). Let us take a closer look at the compounds with the most promising wound healing properties.

Table 2. Multiple beneficial activities of natural compounds involved in wound healing.

| Compound | Cytotoxic Activity | Anti-Inflammatory Activity | Antioxidant Activity | \downarrow Bacterial Pathogenicity |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Alpinumisoflavone | Weak cytotoxicity in PC-3 cells [149] | 5, 10 μ g/mL $\rightarrow \downarrow$ TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-17, ICAM-1, NO in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells [150]. | DPPH scavenging activity, IC ₅₀ = 54.0 μ g/mL [151]. | |
| | | 1, 5, 10 mg/kg i.p 1 h before \rightarrow protective effect against pulmonary inflammation in LPS-stimulated acute lung injury in mice [150]. | 5, 10 $\mu g/mL \rightarrow \uparrow$ the levels of CAT, HO-1, GPx, SOD in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells [150]. | |
| | | 25 and 50 μM → inhibition of TNF-α-induced ↑ in MMP-1, ↓: procollagen I α1, NOS, COX-2, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, NF-κ B, MAPKs [152]. | \downarrow ROS and NO in TNF- $\alpha\text{-treated}$ HDFs [152]. | |
| | IC_{50} = 45.3 μ M in RAW 264.7 cells [153]. | Inhibition of LPS-induced NO production | | |
| | IC ₅₀ = 7.9 μ M in PC-3 cells. IC ₅₀ = 8.3 μ M in NCI-H460 cells [156]. | in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 18.7 μ M [153]. | TEAC _{ABTS} = 0.9 mM [154]. | |
| Artocarpin | $\begin{array}{l} ED_{50} = 3.3 \ \mu g/mL \ in MCF-7 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 3.8 \ \mu g/mL \ in MDA-MB-231 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 3.3 \ \mu g/mL \ in A549 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 3.4 \ \mu g/mL \ in A549 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 3.4 \ \mu g/mL \ in CF-8 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 5.4 \ \mu g/mL \ in CF-8 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 5.7 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 5.7 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.1 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.1 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.1 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.1 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.1 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.1 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.5 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.5 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.5 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 4.5 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-2 \ cells.\\ ED_{50} = 5.5 \ \mu g/mL \ in SF-MEL-$ | Topical dose 0.05–0.1% \downarrow TNF- α levels, COX-2 and cPLA2 protein expressions in the skin homogenate. Photoprotective effect on ultraviolet B (UVB)-induced skin damage in hairless mice [158]. | 0.05% artocarpin treatment prevents UVB-induced oxidative stress by affecting antioxidant activity [158]. | Synergy with norfloxacin against MRSA, <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , and <i>E. coli</i> . Synergy with tetracycline against MRSA and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . Synergy with ampicillin against MRSA [155]. |
| | $\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 5.1 \ \mu mol/L \ in PC-3 \ cells. \\ IC_{50} = 10.2 \ \mu mol/L \ in NCI-H460 \ cells. \\ IC_{50} = 8.1 \ \mu mol/L \ in A-549 \ cells \ [159]. \end{array}$ | | | |
| | $IC_{50} = 5.1 \ \mu g/mL \text{ in KB cells.}$ $IC_{50} = 3.3 \ \mu g/mL \text{ in BC cells.}$ $IC_{50} = 5.6 \ \mu g/mL \text{ in Vero cells [160].}$ | | | |
| Bavachin | CC ₅₀ = 20.2 µM in Hep3B cells [161]. | Inhibitory effect on IL-6-induced STAT3 promoter activity in Hep3B cells, $IC_{50} = 4.9 \ \mu M$ [161]. | | |
| | | Suppression of LPS-induced NO and PGE ₂ production, and ↓ iNOS and mPGES-1 expression. ↓ of LPS-induced IL-6 and IL-12p40 production and ↓ the activation of MAPKs and NF-κB. Suppression of NLRP3 inflammasome-derived IL-1 β secretion, ↓ caspase-1 activation, repression of mature IL-1β expression, and inhibition of inflammasome complex formation [162]. | | |
| | | Downregulation of IL-4 in the spleen of T cells from 4get IL-4 GPP mice. ↓ the IL-4 levels by downregulating the level of <i>Gata</i> -3 expression and STAT6 phosphorylation. 50 mg/kg dissolved in the solution by daily lavage administration [163]. | | |
| | | 10 μ M \downarrow the expression of TNF- α and MCP-1 and \uparrow the expression of ZFP36 [165]. | | |
| | IC $_{50}$ = 14.3 μM in WB-F344 cells [164]. | Inhibition of I κ B- α degradation, \downarrow of COX-2 expression [167]. | DPPH scavenging by SC ₅₀ = 3.2 μ g/mL) [166]. | |
| Diplacone = propolin C = nymphaeol A | | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 1.8 μ M COX-2 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 4.2 μ M 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.1 μ M [168]. | | |
| | Antiproliferative (IC50 = 9.3 $\mu M)$ and cytotoxic (IC50 = 18.0 $\mu M)$ effect in THP-1 cells [169]. | 25 mg/kg prior and after induction of colitis ameliorates its symptoms and delays the onset. ↓ of the levels of COX-2 and ↑ the ratio of pro-MMP2/MMP2 activity, ↓ of SOD2 and CAT [170]. | $\begin{array}{l} \text{TEAC}_{ABTS} 3.2, \text{TEAC}_{DPPH} = 1.1, \text{TEAC}_{FRAP} = 0.5,\\ \text{TEAC}_{Inhibition} \text{ of. peroxynitrite induced tyrosine nitration}\\ = 0.8,\\ \text{Superoxide scavenging activity-enzymatic} = 45.2\%,\\ \text{nonenzymatic} = 25.9\% \text{ at } 50 \ \mu\text{M} \ [171]. \end{array}$ | Dose-dependent inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> biofilm formation [107]. |
| | EC ₅₀ =< 10 μ M in MCF-7 cells. EC ₅₀ = 3.2 μ M in CEM cells. EC ₅₀ =< 10 μ M in RPMI8226 cells. EC ₅₀ = 2.4 μ M in U266 cells. EC ₅₀ =< 10 μ M in HeLa cells. EC ₅₀ = 5.9 μ M in BJ cells. EC ₅₀ =< 10 μ M in THP-1 cells [172]. | Inhibition of LPS-induced NO production in RAW 264.7 cells, IC $_{50}$ = 5.0 μ M [173]. | DPPH quenching activity TEAC 5.2 at 10 μM [164]. | |
| | | Inhibition of albumin denaturation. IC-0 | | |
| | At tested concentrations did not inhibit cell proliferation; it induced cell proliferation to some extent in RAW 264.7 cells [174]. | Inhibition of nitrite production stimulated by LPS in RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 3.2 \ \mu$ M. COX-2 inhibitor = 11.7 μ M [174]. | DPPH radical scavenging activity, $\label{eq:constraint} IC_{50} = 6.5~\mu g/mL~[175].$ | |

| Compose-1 | Critatovia Lativit. | Anti-Inflammatory Astistic | Antiovidant Astivity | Ractorial Dathermailte |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|
| Compound | Cytotoxic Activity | 10 uM inhibited LPS-induced NO | Antioxicant Activity | ↓ bacterial r'athogenicity |
| Glabrene | | production in RAW 264.7 cells by 57.5%, $IC_{50} = 9.5 \mu M$. 10 μM inhibited LPS-induced NF- κB activation by 41.7% [176]. | 10 µM treated HepG2 cells transfected with the ARE luciferase reporter gene (HepG2C8 cells) to evaluate Nrf2 activation. 2.7-fold of control for Nrf2 activation activity [176]. | |
| | IC $_{50}$ = 2.90 μ M (CCRF-CEM cells) to >123. 46 μ M (AML12 cells) [177]. | 20 μ g/mL and 50 μ g/mL \rightarrow suppression of iNOS expression induced by TLR agonists in murine macrophages [178]. | Peroxyl radical scavenging activity with an | |
| | Cell viability = 98.2% at 50 $\mu M,64.8\%$ at 100 μM in RAW 264.7 cells [178]. | Inhibition of NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells, | ORAC value of 24.8 μM [179]. | |
| | $IC_{50} = 16.4 \ \mu M \text{ in RAW } 264.7 \text{ cells } [153].$ | $IC_{50} = 6.4 \ \mu M [153].$ | | - |
| | $\begin{split} & \text{IC}_{50} = <20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in NB4, U937, K562s, K562r cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = >20 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in HL60, THP-1, U937, MOLM-13 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 75.5 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in HC1116 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 44.1 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in SW480 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 128.3 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in Tca8113 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 123.2 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in Hep32 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 123.2 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in Hep32 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 26.2 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in NCF-7, ZR-75-1, MDA-MB-231 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 250 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in LNCAP cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 15.1 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in PC-3 cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in LNCAP cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in LNCAP cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} = 550 \ \mu\text{M} \text{ in L}_{14} \ \text{cells.} \\ & \text{IC}_{50} \ $ | Inhibition of NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 17 \ \mu M \ [181].$ | Inhibition of NADPH-, ascorbate-, t-BuOOH-, and CCl₄-induced lipid peroxidation in microsomes, IC₅₀ = 57.3, 20.8, 61.7, 17.6 μM, respectively [182]. | Antibiofilm activity with |
| Isobavachalcone | | 3.12 μg/mL inhibited NO production by 79.57% in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells. 15-LOX inhibitor, IC ₅₀ = 25.9 μg/mL [183]. | | MBIC = 0.8 μg/mL aganst MSSA and MRSA → 75% inhibition of biofilm formation [145]. |
| | | Attenuated Sephadex-induced lung injury in rats, inhibition of NF- κ B-mediated upregulation of A20 and activation of NRF2/HO-1 signalling pathway [184]. | | |
| | $IC_{50} = 31.6 \ \mu M \text{ in L-02}$ | | | |
| | $IC_{50} = >100 \ \mu\text{M}$ in cerebellar granule cells [186]. | | | |
| | \downarrow of the cell viability of HaCaT cells at 25 $\mu g/mL$ after 24 h [145]. | Inhibitory effect on IL-6-induced STAT3 promoter activity in Hep3B cells, $IC_{50} = 2.5 \ \mu M [161].$ | | |
| Isosophoranone | CC_{50} in the range of 25–62 μ M in human tumour cells (HSC-2, HSG) and human normal cells (HGF, HPC, HPLF) [187]. | Inhibition of NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells, (IC ₅₀ = 17 μ M) [187]. | | |
| Kazinol B | (25)-Kazinol B $(C_{50} = >100 \ \mu\text{M}$ in Bcap37, MCF-7, U251, A549 cells. $(C_{50} = 58.4 \ \mu\text{M}$ in HepC2 cells. $(C_{80} - 38.9 \ \mu\text{M}$ in Hep38 cells. (2R)-Kazinol B $(C_{50} = >100 \ \mu\text{M}$ in Bcap37, MCF-7, U251, A549 cells. $(C_{50} = 642 \ \mu\text{M}$ in Hep62 cells. $(C_{50} = 642 \ \mu\text{M}$ in Hep63 cells [188]. | Inhibition of NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells $(IC_{50} = 21.6 \ \mu$ M) via inhibition of iNOS activity [189]. | Protection of mitochondria from injury through direct Fyn inhibition [190]. | |
| Kuraridin | Noncytotoxic when compared with the drug-free control in the range of 0.3–64 $\mu g/mL$ in PBMC cells [123]. | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.6–1 μ M. 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 5.4–6.9 μ M [191]. | | Additive effect with ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, |
| | $IC_{50} = 37.8 \ \mu g/mL$ in HepG2 cells [114]. | | | oxacillin [123]. |
| | Inhibition of fatty acid β -oxidation through the reduction of L-carnitine and the inhibition of the PPAR-cs pathway \rightarrow lipid accumulation and liver injury (hepatotoxicity) [192]. | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.6–1 μ M. 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 22 μ M [191]. | | |
| | Little toxic effects in BEAS-2B. In vivo apparent signs of toxicity [194]. | Inhibition of the expression of interleukin IL-1 β , iNOS in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells [193]. | | |
| Kurarinone | $IC_{50} = 2-62 \ \mu$ M in cervical, lung (non-small and small), hepatic, esophageal, breast, gastric, cervical, and prostate cancer cells $20-500 \ mg/Kg in vition in lungs(non-small and small) cancer. Higher selectivitytoward cancer cells in comparison with respectivenormal cells [195].$ | Psoriasis-like skin disease induced by IL-23 and contact dermatitis induced by TNCB. Repression of disease development by inhibiting the expression of proinflammatory mediators and through the suppression of pathogenic CD4+T-cell differentiation and the overall immune response [196]. | Activation of Nrf2 and ↑ expression of antioxidant enzymes, including HO-1 [193]. | |
| | | Inhibition of LPS-induced macrophage activation and expression of proinflammatory genes, while ↑ anti-inflammatory gene expression including IL-10 in an AhR-dependent manner. An immunomodulatory activity in the treatment of IBS [197]. | | |
| Kuwanon A | | Inhibition of NO production stimulated by LPS and IFN- γ in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 10.5 μ M [198]. | | |
| | | COX-2 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 14 μ M [199]. | | |
| Kuwanon C | IC_{50} = 14.2 μM in B16 melanoma cells [200]. | Inhibition of NO production stimulated by LPS and IFN- γ in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 12.6 μ M [198]. | | |
| | $IC_{50} = 1.7 \ \mu M$ in THP-1 cells [201]. | 5-LOX inhibitor $IC_{50} = 12 \ \mu M.$ 12-LOX inhibitor $IC_{50} = 19 \ \mu M$ [191]. | | |
| | IC ₅₀ = 3.9 μ M in MCF-7 cells IC ₅₀ = 9.54 μ M in HepG2 cells [202]. | Anti-inflammatory effects of kuwanon C are regulated by HO-1 expression [203]. | | |
| Kuwanon E | Noncytotoxic EC ₅₀ > 10 μM in MCF-7, CEM, RPMI8226, U266, HeLa, BJ, THP-1 cells [172]. | Inhibition of NO production stimulated by LPS and IFN- γ in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 14.9 μ M [198]. | | |
| | | Inhibition of IL-6 production, $IC_{50} = 47.5 \mu$ M without a cytotoxic effect in A549 cells [204]. | Synerg etimici | Synergy with amikacin and etimicin [139]. |
| | | COX-2 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 34 µM [199]. | - | |

| Compound | Cytotoxic Activity | Anti-Inflammatory Activity | Antioxidant Activity | \downarrow Bacterial Pathogenicity |
|----------------|--|---|---|---|
| Kuwanon G | Toxic effect in RAW 264.7 cells \geq 50 μ M. The viability of cells was not affected at concentrations of 2, 5, 10, and 20 μ M [205]. | Inhibition of NO production at 100 µM (79.9%) [204]. | | |
| | | \downarrow of the release of RANTES/CCL5, TARC/CCL17, and MDC//CCL2 via downregulation of STAT1 and NF- κ B p65 signalling in TNF- α - and IFN- γ - stimulated HaCaT keratinocytes. Inhibition of histamine production and 5-LOX activation in PMA- and A23187-stimulated MC/9 mast cells [206]. | | |
| | | 20 μ M \downarrow the ox-LDL induced inflammatory response by suppressing the NF- κ B activation in RAW 264.7 cells [205]. | - | |
| | Cytotoxic activity for: HepC2 cells (10 μ M) = 14%. SW480 cells (10 μ M) = 7%. MCF7 cells (10 μ M) = 10% [207]. | Inhibition of NF- κB transcription, IC $_{50}$ = 13.9 μM [207]. | _ | |
| | IC_{50} for 24 and 48 h = 6.0 and 13.7 $\mu g/mL$, respectively, for the HepG2 cells [208]. | Inhibitor for <i>P. acnes</i> -induced NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Block of <i>C.</i> <i>acnes</i> -induced production of caspase-1 (p10) and IL-1 β in macrophages and S295. Suppression of <i>C. acnes</i> -induced ASC speck formation and mitochondrial reactive oxygen species [210]. | Inhibition of peroxyl radical-induced DCFH oxidation without a PBS wash (EC ₅₀ = 58.8 μ g/mL) and with a PBS wash (EC ₅₀ = 46.3 μ g/mL) [208]. | |
| | $\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 4.8 \ \mu M \ in \ A549 \ cells. \\ IC_{50} = 4.6 \ \mu M \ in \ SK-OV-3 \ cells. \\ IC_{50} = 2.7 \ \mu M \ in \ SK-MEL-2 \ cells. \\ IC_{50} = 3.4 \ \mu M \ in \ HCT-15 \ cells \ [211]. \end{array}$ | Suppression of ORA11, Kv1.3, and KCa3.1 channels, $IC_{50} = 3, 0.8$, and 11.2μ M, respectively. Suppressive effects on the IL-2 secretion and proliferation in CD3 and CD28 antibody-induced T-cells [212]. | | |
| Licochalcone A | IC ₅₀ = 36.6 μ g/mL in HepG2 cells. IC ₅₀ = 26.9 μ g/mL in Vero cells [144]. | Inhibition of LPS-induced phosphorylation at serine 276 and transcriptional activation of NF-κB. Inhibition of LPS-induced activation of PKA [213]. | Subinhibitory concentration secretion of SEA and SEB b MSSA and MRSA [209]. ↑ protein expression of SOD1, CAT, and GPx1 in a concentration-dependent manner (2-8 µg/mL for 24 h) [208]. | Subinhibitory concentrations ↓ the secretion of SEA and SEB by both |
| | | Attenuation of LPS-induced kidney histopathologic changes, serum BUN, and creatinine levels. Suppression of LPS-induced TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 β production in both serum and kidney tissues. Inhibition of LPS-induced NF- κ B activation [214]. | | MSSA and MRSA [209]. |
| | | Inhibition of PGE2 and NO production and iNOS and COX-2 expression, induced by IL-1 β . Inhibition of MMP-1, MMP-3, and MMP-13 production in IL-1 β -stimulated chondrocytes. Inhibition of phosphorylation of NT- κ B p65 and I κ B α . Upregulation of the expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 [215]. | | |
| | | Inhibition of sUV-induced COX-2 expression and PCE ₂ generation through the inhibition of AP-1 transcriptional activity. Suppression of sUV-induced phosphorylation of Akt/mTOR and ERK1/2/p90 ribosomal protein 56 kinase in HaCaT cells. Suppression of the activity of PI3K, (MEK)1, and B-Raf, but not Raf-1 in cell-free assays [216]. | | |
| | | Inhibition of LPS-induced phosphorylation at serine 276 and transcriptional activation of NF-kappaB. Inhibition of LPS-induced activation of PKA. Reduction of the LPS-induced production of NO, TNF α , and MCP-1 [217]. | | |
| Licochalcone B | | A specific inhibitor of the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome in macrophages, no effect on the activation of AIM2 or NLRC4 inflammasome. It binds to NEK7 and inhibits the interaction between NLRP3 and NEK7 → suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Protective effects in mouse models of NLRP3 inflammasome-mediated diseases → LP5-induced septic shock, MSU-induced peritonitis, and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [219]. | Suppression of the oxidative stress and inflammation, manifesting as the enhancement of SOD, GSH, and IL-4, but the decline of MDA, iNOS, and TNF- α [218]. | |
| Licochalcone C | | VNF-KB translocation and several downstream molecules, -iNOS, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1. Upregulation of the PI3K/Akt/eNOS signalling pathway [220]. | 50 μM attenuates inflammatory response by influencing extracellular O ₂ ⁻ production and by modulating the antioxidant network activity of SOD, CAT, and GPx activity [221]. | |
| | | Attenuation of the LPS-IFN- γ -induced inflammatory response by \downarrow the expression and activity of iNOS via NF- κ B [221]. | | |

| Compound | Cytotoxic Activity | Anti-Inflammatory Activity | Antioxidant Activity | ↓ Bacterial Pathogenicity |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | Dose-dependent inhibition of IL-12p40 production from LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. \downarrow binding to the NF- κ B site in RAW 264.7. Inhibition of the \uparrow IL-12p40 expression and ear thickness induced by oxazolone in chronic allergic contact dermatitis model [222]. | | |
| Licochalcone E | $\begin{array}{l} IC_{50}=5.9 \ \mu M \ in \ A549 \ cells. \\ IC_{50}=5.2 \ \mu M \ in \ SK-OV-3 \ cells. \\ IC_{50}=2.9 \ \mu M \ in \ SK-MEL-2 \ cells. \\ IC_{50}=3.4 \ \mu M \ in \ HCT-15 \ cells \ [211]. \end{array}$ | Topical application of 0.5–2 mg inhibited TPA-induced ear oedema formation; phosphorylation of SAPK/JNK, C-Jun, and extracellular signal regulated kinase $\frac{1}{2}$, and expression of iNOS and COX-2 in mouse skin. 25–7.5 µmol/L $\rightarrow \downarrow$ in LPS-induced release of NO and PGE ₂ ; \downarrow mRNA expression and secretion of IL-6, μ , 1 NF- α ; μ promoter activity of iNOS and COX-2 and expression of their corresponding mRNAs and proteins; \downarrow activation of AKT, MAPK, SAPK/JNK, and c-Jun; μ phosphorylation of I×B kinase- α β and I×B α , degradation of N×B; and transcriptional activity of NF- κ B; and transcriptional activity of AP-1 in | | Subinhibitory concentrations \rightarrow a dose-dependent decrease in α -toxin expression in <i>S. aureus</i> [146]. |
| | | Inhibition of NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 37.7 \ \mu M$ [224]. | | |
| Licoflavanone | | \downarrow of NF-kB translocation into the nucleus→ \downarrow proinflammatory cytokines and COX-2/iNOS expression levels. \downarrow of p38, JNK, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation and activation. Disruption of the NF-kB/MAPKs signal transduction pathway → \downarrow in mRNA levels of TNF α , IL 1 β , and IL 6 [224]. | - IC ₅₀ = 59.6 μM in ABTS assay [224]. | |
| | $IC_{50} = 9 \ \mu g/mL$ in Hep-2 cells [122]. | Inhibition of the LPS-induced gene | | |
| | IC ₅₀ = 121.4 μM in RAW 264.7 cells [225]. | expression for TNF- α , iNOS, COX-2, and release of TNF- α , NO, and PGE ₂ , | \downarrow of increase in the cellular ROS levels at 3 μ M in RAW | |
| Licoflavone C – | IC ₅₀ = 41.6 μM in RAW 264.7 cells [153]. | through the inhibition of NF- κB | 264.7 cells [225]. | |
| 8-prenylapigenin | | accumulation in RAW 264.7 cells [225]. | | |
| | IC ₅₀ = 42 μ mol/L in H4IIE cells. IC ₅₀ = 37 μ mol/L in C6 glioma cells [226]. | Inhibition of NO production in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 20.4 \mu M [153].$ | | |
| | | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 16.9 μ M. COX-2 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 9.5 μ M [227]. | | |
| Lonchocarpol A | | \downarrow of NO production, IC ₅₀ = 2.5 μ M and \downarrow NOX activity, IC ₅₀ = 24.4 μ M in murine microelial cells [228]. | | |
| Lupalbigenin | $IC_{50} = 11.630-37.712 \ \mu$ M in MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, SW-620, and the mouse fibroblast cell line L-929, [229]. | 1.25 and 2.5 mM effectively inhibited the LPS-induced TNF- α , COX-2, iNOS, and NF- κ B [230]. | | |
| | Cytotoxicity < 50% of DMSO in WB-F344 [164]. | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 3.6 μ M. COX-2 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 6.0 μ M [168]. | $TEAC_{ABTS} = 1.7 [171].$ | |
| Mimulone | IC ₅₀ = 6.6 μ M in THP-1 cells [232]. | 25 mg/kg prior and after induction of colitis ameliorated its symptoms and delayed the onset. ↓ of the levels of COX-2 and ↑ the ratio of pro-MMP2/MMP2 activity, ↓ of SOD2, and CAT [170]. | DPPH quenching activity TEAC 0.4 at 10 μM [164]. | Synergy with oxacillin, additive effect with tetracycline and ciprofloxacin [231]. |
| Morusin | | Inhibition of NO production stimulated by LPS and IFN- γ in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 10.6 μ M [198]. | | |
| | $\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 0.6 \ \mu M \ in \ HeLa. \\ IC_{50} = 7.9 \ \mu M \ in \ MCF-7. \\ IC_{50} = 9.2 \ \mu M \ in \ Hep3B \ [233]. \end{array}$ | Inhibition of RANTES/CCL5 and TARC/CCL17 secretion via the suppression of STAT1 and NF- κ B p65 phosphorylation in TNF- α - and IFN- γ -stimulated HaCaT keratinocytes, and the release of histamine and LTC ₄ by suppressing 5-LOX activation in PMA- and A23187-stimulated MC/9 mast cells [206]. | | |
| Morusinol | $IC_{50} = 4.3 \ \mu M$ in THP-1 cells [201]. | The attenuation of LPS-induced secretion of TNF- $\alpha \rightarrow$ an effect nearly twice that of prednisone [201]. | | Synergy with amikacin, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, streptomycin [139]. |
| | | 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 7 μM [191]. | | |
| Papyriflavonol A | IC_{50} = 20.9 $\mu g/mL$ in HepG2 cells [114]. | Inhibition of sPLA2s-IIA ($C_{50} = 3.9 \mu M$) and -V ($IC_{50} = 4.5 \mu M$). Inhibition of LTC_4 ($IC_{50} = 0.6 \mu M$) production in mouse bone marrow mast cells. 12.5-50 mg/kg i.p. reduced IgE-dependent PCA [234]. | · | |
| Propolin D = nymphaeol B | 12 µM inhibited cell proliferation in RAW 264.7 cells [174]. | Inhibition of albumin denaturation, $IC_{50} = 0.5 \ \mu$ M. Inhibition of nitrite production stimulated by LPS in RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 5.4 \ \mu$ M. COX-2 inhibitor = 17.9 μ M [174]. | DPPH radical scavenging activity, IC ₅₀ = 7.1 μ M. The inhibition of linoleic acid oxidation by β -carotene bleaching systems, IC ₅₀ = 5.8 μ M [175]. | |
| | At concentrations up to 200 µg/mL, nontoxic in C. elegans model, slightly reduced nematode survival at 500 µg/mL [107]. | | | Dose-dependent inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> and <i>C. albicans</i> biofilm formation [107]. |
| Propolin F = isonymphaeol B | $12~\mu M$ inhibited cell proliferation in RAW 264.7 cells [174]. | Inhibition of albumin denaturation, $IC_{50} = 0.4 \mu M$. Inhibition of nitrite production stimulated by LPS in RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 6.2 \mu M$. | DPPH radical scavenging activity, IC ₅₀ = 8.5 μ M. The inhibition of linoleic acid oxidation by β -carotene bleaching systems, IC ₅₀ = 5.9 μ M [175]. | Dose-dependent inhibition of <i>S. aureus</i> biofilm formation. Inhibition of <i>C. albicans</i> biofilm formation [107]. |

| Compound | Cytotoxic Activity | Anti-Inflammatory Activity | Antioxidant Activity | \downarrow Bacterial Pathogenicity |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Propolin G = nymphaeol C | At tested concentrations did not inhibit cell proliferation; it induced cell proliferation to some extent in RAW 264.7 cells [174]. | Inhibition of albumin denaturation, IC ₅₀ = 0.37 μ M. Inhibition of nitrite production stimulated by LPS in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 2.4 μ M. COX-2 inhibitor = 15.5 μ M [174]. | DPPH radical scavenging activity IC ₅₀ = 9.8 μ M. The inhibition of linoleic acid oxidation by β -carotene bleaching systems, IC ₅₀ = 10.3 μ M [175]. | Inhibition of <i>C. albicans</i> biofilm formation [107]. |
| Scandenone = warangalone | At 10 µM (86.5 % cell viability) in RAW 264.7 cells [119]. | Inhibition of LPS-stimulated NO production in RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 8.5 \ \mu M \ [119].$ | _ | |
| | 20 μ M inhibited proliferation in MDA-MB-231 cells and 15 μ M in MCF-7 cells. Promotion of proliferation in MCF-10A [235]. | Anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activity in carrageenan-induced hind paw oedema model and TPA-induced mouse ear oedema model at 100 mg/kg dose [236]. | | |
| | Toxic from 4 to 64 µg/mL in human PBMC with >50% cellular activity inhibition. | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.1–0.6 μ M. 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.1–0.3 μ M. 12-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 20 μ M [191]. | | Additive effect with ciprofloxacin, ervthromycin, gentamicin, fusidic |
| | $IC_{50} = 3.2 \ \mu g/mL \ [123].$ | Interruption of the NF- κ B and MAPK signalling pathways [237]. | - - | acid, oxacillin [123]. |
| Sophoraflavanone G | Inhibition of cell proliferation in: A549, NCI-H460, SK-OV-3, SK-MEL-2, XF498, HCT-15, HL60, SPC-A1- cells with IC ₅₀ = 2-36 μg/mL [238]. | Inhibition of PGE ₂ production in LPS-induced RAW cells by COX-2 downregulation at 1–50 μ M. 10–250 μ g/ear in mouse croton oil-induced ear oedema and 2–250 mg/kg in rat carrageman paw oedema \rightarrow effect far less than prednisolone, but higher when applied topically [69]. | Synergy with ampicillin and oxacillin [125]. | |
| | IC ₅₀ = 12.5 μ M in HL-60 cells [239]. | Inhibition of NO, PGE ₂ , IL-1 β, IL-6, TNF α production in Ag I/II-N-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells via the downregulation of 1NOS and COX-2 expression. Inhibition of the | - | |
| | IC ₅₀ = 12.5 μ M in HL-60 cells. IC ₅₀ = 13.3 μ M in HepG2 cells [241]. | | | |
| | $\begin{array}{l} CC_{50} = < 19 \ \mu M \ \text{in HSC-2 cells.} \\ CC_{50} = 19 \ \mu M \ \text{in HSC cells.} \\ CC_{50} = 19 \ \mu M \ \text{in HSC cells.} \\ \end{array}$ | phosphorylation of 1KB- &, hucear translocation of p65, and subsequent activation of NF- KB. Inhibition of MAPK-mediated pathways [240]. | | |
| Tomentodiplacone B | IC ₅₀ = >20 μ M in THP-1 cells, viability at 30 μ M [232]. | Reduction of TNF- α secretion as much as or more than the prednisone. IC ₅₀ >20 μ M [232]. | TEAC _{ABTS} = 1.0, TEAC _{Inhibition} of. peroxynitrite-induced tyrosine nitration = 0.8 [171]. | |
| Xanthoangelol | $ \begin{split} & IC_{50} = 23.6 \ \mu M \ \text{in THP-1.} \\ & IC_{50} = 21.7 \ \mu M \ \text{in MRC-5.} \\ & IC_{50} = 21.5 \ \mu M \ \text{in HEK293.} \\ & IC_{50} = 13.7 \ \mu M \ \text{in HEFG2.} \\ & IC_{50} = 58.8 \ \mu M \ \text{in CLS-54.} \\ & IC_{50} = 1.0 \ \mu M \ \text{in MRSA} \ [109]. \end{split} $ | Inhibition of LPS-stimulated NO production, $IC_{50} = 5 \ \mu$ M. Suppression of AP-1. Reduction of the phosphorylation (at serine 536) level of the p65 subunit of NET we flucture | | |
| | $IC_{50} = 25 \ \mu M$ in MRC-5. $IC_{50} = 25 \ \mu M$ in THP-1 [108]. | INF-KD [243]. | | |
| | $IC_{50} = 5.2 \ \mu g/mL \text{ in NHLF cells [148]}.$ | NF-κB activity was reduced in vitro as well as in hepatic tissue after ischemia/reperfusion [244]. | $TEAC_{ABTS} = 0.3 \ \mu mol/l \ TEAC_{FRAP} = 0.3 \ \mu mol/l \ [245].$ | Synergy with oxacillin against S. aureus [147]. |
| | $\begin{array}{l} {\rm IC}_{50} = 11.0 \ \mu M \ \text{in MCF-7.} \\ {\rm IC}_{50} = 10.7 \ \mu M \ \text{in PC-3.} \\ {\rm IC}_{50} = 91.3 \ \mu M \ \text{in HT-29} \ [246]. \end{array}$ | 10 μ g/mL inhibits 91.7% of the NO production by suppressing iNOS induced by a combination of LPS and IFN- γ [247]. | DPPH radical scavenging activity, IC ₅₀ = 2.0 μ M [246]. | At MIC reduced biofilm viability by 86.5% [147]. |
| | $\begin{array}{l} IC_{50} = 40.8 \ \mu M \ \text{in HCT116.} \\ IC_{50} = 50.2 \ \mu M \ \text{in HT-29.} \\ IC_{50} = 52.4 \ \mu M \ \text{in HepG2.} \\ IC_{50} = 37.2 \ \mu M \ \text{in Huh7} \left[248 \right]. \end{array}$ | ↓ the expression of the LPS receptor components - TLR4 and MD2 → suppression of NF-r B activation in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells. Inhibition of the binding activity of STAT-1alpha and IRF-1 In IFN-\gamma-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells [249]. | $\label{eq:teacher} \begin{split} \text{TEAC}_{\text{ABTS}} &= 0.2, \text{ IC}_{50} = 0.7 \text{ mg/mL}, \text{TEAC}_{\text{DPPH}} = 0.04, \\ \text{IC}_{50} &= > 1.2 \text{ mg/mL} \text{ [}250\text{]}. \end{split}$ | |
| Xanthohumol | IC ₅₀ = 3.6 μ M in HCT-15 [251]. | \downarrow the release of MCP-1 and TNF- α in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 and U937 human monocytes [252]. | ↓ reactive oxygen species in vitro. Levels of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants were restored after pretreatment in postischemic hepatic tissue, and lipid peroxidation was attenuated [244]. | 15–30 μ g/mL $\rightarrow \downarrow$ release of planktonic bacteria from the 24 h old biofilm by more than 90%. BBC = 60–125 μ g/mL [148]. |
| | 0.5–10 μM inhibited melanogenesis induced by isobutyl-methylxanthine in B16 melanoma cells [253]. | Inhibition of IL-12 production in stimulated macrophages through the downregulation of NF-kB. In an oxazolone-induced chronic dermatitis model in mouse ear \rightarrow attenuated dermatitis [254]. | | |
| | | Stout beer supplemented with 10 mg/L of xanthohumol for 4 weeks decreased serum VECF levels (18.4%), N-acetylglucosaminidase activity (27.8%), IL1 β concentration (9.1%), and NO released (77.1%), accompanied by a reduced redox state as observed by an increased CSH/CSSG ratio (to 198.8%) [255]. | | |
| 3'-O-methyldiplacol | IC ₅₀ = 7.2 μ M in THP-1 cells [232]. | Inhibition of LPS-induced NO production in RAW 264.7 cells, $IC_{50} = 5.9 \ \mu M [173]$. | $\begin{array}{l} {\rm TEAC}_{\rm ABTS} = 1.6, {\rm TEAC}_{\rm DPPH} = 0.1, {\rm TEAC}_{\rm FRAP} = 0.1, \\ {\rm TEAC}_{\rm Inhibition \ of. \ peroxynitrite-induced \ tyrosine \ nitration \\ = 0.7 \ [171]. \end{array}$ | Synergy with oxacillin. Additive effect with ciprofloxacin, tetracycline against MRSA [231]. |
| | $IC_{50} = 30.2 \ \mu M \text{ in WB 344 [164]}.$ | ↓ the secretion of TNF- α ≥ than the prednisone [164]. | DPPH quenching activity TEAC 0.8 at 10 µM [164]. | |
| | $IC_{50} = <10 \ \mu M \text{ in THP-1 cells [232]}.$ | | | |
| 3'-O-methyldiplacone | $\begin{array}{l} & {\rm EC}_{50} = <10 \ \mu {\rm M} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm MCF-7} \ {\rm cells}, \\ & {\rm EC}_{50} = <10 \ \mu {\rm M} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm CEM} \ {\rm cells}, \\ & {\rm EC}_{50} = 7.3 \ \mu {\rm M} \\ & {\rm in} \ {\rm RPMB226} \ {\rm cells}, \\ & {\rm EC}_{50} = 7.4 \ \mu {\rm M} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm HeLa} \ {\rm cells}, \\ & {\rm EC}_{50} = 4.7 \ \mu {\rm M} \ {\rm in} \\ & {\rm B} \ {\rm cells}, \\ & {\rm EC}_{50} < 10 \ \mu {\rm M} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm THP-1} \ {\rm cells} \ [172]. \end{array}$ | | $\label{eq:teacher} \begin{split} & \text{TEAC}_{ABTS} = 1.4, \text{TEAC}_{DPPH} = 0.1, \text{TEAC}_{Inhibition} \text{ of. peroxynitrite induced tyrosine nitration} \\ & = 0.8 \ \text{[}171\text{]}. \end{split}$ | |

| Compound | Cytotoxic Activity | Anti-Inflammatory Activity | Antioxidant Activity | \downarrow Bacterial Pathogenicity |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 3'-O-methyl-5'- hydroxydiplacone | Antiproliferative (IC ₅₀ = 12.6 μ M) and cytotoxic (LC ₅₀ >30 μ M) effect [169]. | Inhibition of LPS-induced NO production in RAW 264.7 cells, IC ₅₀ = 1.5 μ M [173]. | $\begin{array}{l} {\rm TEAC}_{\rm ABTS}=1.7, {\rm TEAC}_{\rm DPPH}=1.0, {\rm TEAC}_{\rm FRAP}=0.7, \\ {\rm TEAC}_{\rm Inhibition of. peroxynitrite induced tyrosine nitration \\ = 0.8, {\rm Superoxide scavenging activity-Enzymatic = 71.2\%, } \\ {\rm Non-Enzymatic = 29.5\% \ at 50 \ \mu M \ [171].} \end{array}$ | |
| | | COX-1 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 3.3 μ M COX-2 inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 10.6 μ M 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.1 μ M [168]. | | |
| 3'-O-methyl-5'-O- methyldiplacone | IC ₅₀ = 7.9 μ M in THP-1 cells [232]. | 5-LOX inhibitor IC ₅₀ = 0.4 μ M [168]. | TEAC _{ABTS} 1.6, TEAC DPPH = 0.3, TEAC FRAP = 1.2, TEAC | |
| | | | Inhibition of. peroxynitrite-induced tyrosine nitration ⁼ 0.8 [171]. | |
| 4-hydroxylonchocarpin | IC ₅₀ > 100 μ M in RAW 264.7 cells [256]. | Inhibition (66.5%) of NO release from LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. 10 µM inhibited iNOS activity. In the carrageenan-induced paw cedema model, 10 mg/kg showed comparable activity to indomethacin, and 50 mg/kg showed higher activity than indomethacin [256]. | | |

Abbreviations: reduction/decrease (\$\$), increase (\$\$) 5-LOX (5-lipoxygenase), A20 (ubiquitin-editing molecule), A23187 (calcium ionophore), AHR (aryl hydrocarbon receptor), AIM2 (interferon-inducible protein), Akt (protein kinase B), AP-1 (activator protein 1), ARE (antioxidant response element), ASC (caspase recruitment domain), BBC (biofilm bactericidal concentration), BUN (blood urea nitrogen), CAT (catalase), CC₅₀ (50% cytotoxic concentration), CCl₄ (tetrachlormethan), CCL5 (chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5), CCL17 (CC chemokine ligand 17), CCL22 (CC chemokine ligand 22), CD3 (cluster of differentiation 3), CD4+T-cell (T helper cells), c-Jun (Jun proto-oncogene), COX-1 (cyclooxygenase-1), COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2), cPLA2 (cytosolic phospholipase A2), DCFH (dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein), DPPH (2,2-difenyl-1-pikrylhydrazyl), E. coli (Escherichia coli), EC₅₀ (half-maximal effective concentration), ED₅₀ (median effective dose), eNOS (endothelial nitric oxide synthase), ERK1/2 (extracellular signal-regulated kinases), ERK1/2/p90 (extracellular signal-regulated kinases), Fyn (protooncogene tyrosine-protein kinase), Gata-3 (gene-GATA binding protein 3), GPx (glutathione peroxidase), GPx1 (glutathione peroxidase 1), HO-1 (heme oxygenase-1), IBS (irritable bowel syndrome), ICAM-1 (intercellular adhesion molecule-1), IFN-γ (interferon gamma), IL-10 (interleukin 10), IL-12 (interleukin 12), IL-12p40 (interleukin 12 subunit p40), IL-17 (interleukin 17), IL-1β (interleukin 1β), IL-2 (interleukin 2), IL-23 (interleukin 23), IL-4 (interleukin 4), IL-6 (interleukin 6), iNOS (inducible NO synthase), IRF-1 (interferon regulatory factor 1), IkB (inhibitor of κB), ΙκBα (nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cell inhibitor alpha), JNK (Jun N-terminal kinase), KCa3 (1 calcium-activated potassium channel), Kv1.3 (voltage-gated potassium channel), LC₅₀ (lethal concentration 50), LPS (lipopolysaccharide), LTC4 (leukotriene C4), MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), MBIC (minimum inhibitory biofilm concentration), MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1), MD-2 (myeloid differentiation factor 2), MDA (malondialdehyde), MDC (macrophage-derived chemokine), MEK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), MMP-1 (matrix metalloproteinase-1), MMP-13 (matrix metalloproteinase-13), MMP-2 (matrix metalloproteinase-2), MMP-3 (matrix metalloproteinase-3), mPGES-1 (microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1), mRNA (messenger RNA), MRSA (methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus), MSSA (methicillinsensitive Staphylococcus aureus), MSU (monosodium urate crystals), mTOR (Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin), NADPH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate), NEK7 (NIMA-related kinase 7), NF-kB (nuclear factorκB), NF-κB p65 (subunit of NF-kappa-B transcription complex), NLRC4 (NLR family CARD domain containing 4), NLRP3 (NLR family pyrin domain containing 3), NO (nitric oxide), NOS (NO synthase), NOX (NADPH oxidase activity), Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2), ORAC (oxygen radical absorbance capacity), ORAI1 (calcium release-activated calcium channel protein 1), ox-LDL (oxidized low-density lipoprotein), P. acnes (Propionibacterium acnes), P. aeruginosa (Pseudomonas aeruginosa), PBS (phosphate-buffered saline), PCA (passive cutaneous anaphylaxis), PGE2 (prostaglandin E2), PI3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinase), PKA (protein kinase A), PMA (phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate), PPAR-α (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha), pro-MMP-2 (promatrix metalloproteinase-2), RANTES (regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted), ROS (reactive oxygen species), SAPK/JNK (stress-activated protein kinase/c-Jun-N-terminal kinase), SC₅₀ (scavenging DPPH free radicals by 50%), SC₅₀ (scavenging DPPH free radicals by 50%), SEA (Staphylococcus aureus enterotoxin), SEB (Staphylococcus aureus enterotoxin B), SOD (superoxide dismutase), SOD1 (superoxide dismutase 1), SOD2 (superoxide dismutase 2), STAT1 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 1), STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3), STAT6 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 6), sUV (solar ultraviolet), TARC (thymus- and activation-regulated chemokine), t-BuOOH (tert-butyl hydroperoxide), TEAC (trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity), TEAC_{ABTS} (trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)), TLR (toll-like receptor), TLR4 (toll-like receptor 4), TNCB (2,4,6-trinitrochlorobenzene), TNF- α (tumour necrosis factor α), TPA (12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol acetate), VCAM-1 (vascular cell adhesion molecule 1), VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor). Cell lines: 1A9 (endometrioid ovary carcinoma), A549 (adenocarcinomic human alveolar basal epithelial cells), AML12 (murine hepatocyte), Bcap37 (breast cancer), BEAS-2B (bronchial epithelial cells), BJ (fibroblasts), C6 (glioma), CAKI-1 (renal cancer), CCRF-CEM (acute lymphoblastic leukaemia), CEM (acute lymphoblastic leukaemia), CLS-54 (lung adenocarcinoma), H4IIE (hepatoma), HaCaT (aneuploid immortal keratinocyte), HCT116 (colorectal carcinoma), HCT-15 (colorectal carcinoma), HCT-8 (ileocecal carcinoma), HDFs (human dermal fibroblasts), HEK293 (embryonic kidney cells), HeLa (cervical cancer), Hep3B (hepatocellular carcinoma), HepG2 (hepatocellular carcinoma), HGF (primary gingival fibroblast), HL60 (acute promyelocytic leukaemia), HPC (hematopoietic progenitor cell), HPLF (periodontal ligament fibroblasts), HSC-2 (oral squamous cell carcinoma), HSG (submandibular gland), HT-29 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), Huh7 (hepatocellular carcinoma), HUVEC (human umbilical vein endothelial cells), K562r (chronic myeloid leukaemia at blast crisis), K562s (chronic myeloid leukaemia at blast crisis), KB-VIN (epidermoid carcinoma of the nasopharynx and its subclone), L-02 (papillomavirus-related andocervical adenocarcinoma), L-929 (fibroblasts), LNCaP (prostate adenocarcinoma), MC/9 (foetal liver mast cell), MCF-10A (breast epithelial cells), MCF-7 (breast cancer), MDA-MB-231 (breast adenocarcinoma), MDA-MB-468 (breast cancer), MOLM-13 (acute myeloid leukaemia), MRC-5 (fibroblasts), NB4 (acute promyelocytic leukaemia), NCI-H460

(non-small-cell lung cancer), NHLF (lung fibroblasts), PBMC (primary peripheral blood mononuclear cells), PC3 (Caucasian prostate adenocarcinoma), RAW 264.7 (macrophages), RPMI8226 (multiple myeloma), SK-MEL-2 (melanoma), SK-OV-3 (cystadenocarcinoma), SPC-A-1 (lung adenocarcinoma), SW480 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), SW-620 (adenocarcinoma), SZ95 (sebocytes), Tca8113 (squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue), THP-1 (acute monocytic leukaemia), U251 (malignant glioblastoma), U266 (multiple myeloma), U937 (histiocytic lymphoma), Vero (kidney epithelial cells), WB-F344 (epithelial cells), XF498 (glioblastoma), ZR-75-1 (breast cancer).

8.2. Multiple Active Prenylated Flavonoids as Wound Healing Agents

Artocarpin is a flavone from Artocarpus species (Moraceae) substituted at position 3 by prenyl and at position 6 by a (1E)-3-methylbut-1-enyl moiety [257]. Studies have shown its remarkable antibacterial activity [128,142] and its ability to act synergistically with norfloxacin, tetracycline, and ampicillin in the control of MRSA, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli [155]. Lee et al. [158] suggested its topical dose of 0.05% as a photoprotective agent in medicine and/or cosmetics due to its ability to prevent skin damage caused by UVB irradiation. Artocarpin was found to reduce scaling, epidermal thickening, and sunburn cell formation by lowering the levels of ROS and lipid peroxidation and by downregulating proinflammatory cytokines and proteins. It also inhibits tyrosinase and melanogenesis as two targets in the skin whitening process [257]. It has been evaluated in wound healing studies conducted in vitro and in vivo. Artocarpin accelerates the inflammatory phase and increases myofibroblast differentiation, fibroblast and keratinocyte proliferation and migration, collagen synthesis and maturation, re-epithelialisation, and angiogenesis [159]. However, as it shows several beneficial effects, it remains to be clarified whether artocarpin has the potential to be a therapeutic agent for the treatment of skin wounds. Its cytotoxic effect, demonstrated by different authors [156,157,160,258] in different cell lines, needs to be considered. The most important information is the cytotoxic effect on keratinocytes. Artocarpin has exerted cytotoxicity on HaCaT keratinocytes at 10 µM in in vitro studies and at 0.1% in in vivo studies, but a dose of 0.05% artocarpin has been shown to be a safe dose for topical formulation [158]. In a study by Yeh et al. [159], $1-2 \mu$ M artocarpin used in in vitro studies and 0.08% artocarpin used in in vivo studies showed no cytotoxic effects on human keratinocytes.

Diplacone, structurally 6-geranyl-3',4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavanone, is also known as propolin C or nymphaeol A. Its structure has been identified as an eriodictyol with a C-10 side chain (geranyl) substituted in the C-6 position. Its promising antibacterial activity has been confirmed by several authors [98,140,141]. Moreover, diplacone inhibits S. aureus biofilm formation in a dose-dependent manner [107]. Diplacone exhibits antiinflammatory activity both in vitro and in vivo through different mechanisms of action. It downregulates the expression of TNF- α and MCP-1 and upregulates the expression of zinc finger protein 36, promoting the degradation of cytokines. Its effects are even better than those of indomethacin, which has been used as a positive control [165]. It inhibits the production of NO in LPS-stimulated macrophages [173], and two independent studies showed that diplacone affects the expression of COX-2 [167] and its activity. Moreover, it was found to be a potent 5-LOX inhibitor [168]. In vivo, diplacone alleviates the symptoms of colitis and delays its onset [170]. Due to its ortho-dihydroxy functionality in the B ring, it possesses very good radical scavenging activity (e.g., as a DPPH scavenger). The geranyl side chain has no significant effect on the antioxidant activity [164,166,171]. It also shows a protective effect on H₂O₂-induced injury of HUVECs [259]. Due to its multiple activities, diplacone seems to be a suitable candidate for wound healing; however, its potential cytotoxic effect on normal cell lines remains to be verified, as it shows activity on cancer cells at low concentrations [169,172].

Isobavachalcone is a 3'-prenylchalcone purified from the families Fabaceae, Guttiferae (syn. Clusiaceae), Moraceae, Schisandraceae, and Umbelliferae (syn. Apiaceae) [260]. It shows activity against Gram-positive bacteria, mainly MSSA and MRSA [131,142,145]. Furthermore, it is able to inhibit more than 75% of MSSA and MRSA biofilm formation as effectively as vancomycin [145]. Isobavachalcone suppresses the production of nitric oxide, one of the major mediators of inflammation [153,181,183], negatively regulates

inflammation-related enzymes such as iNOS [178] and 15-LOX [183], and attenuates the inflammatory response in Sephadex-induced lung injury in vivo [184]. It exhibits direct radical scavenging activity in rat liver microsomes and mitochondria [182], peroxyl radical scavenging capacities [179], and upregulation of antioxidant enzymes [184]. Isobavachalcone is selectively cytotoxic to cancer cells [180] and shows less cytotoxicity to normal cell lines, such as hepatocytes [177], foetal hepatocytes, umbilical vein endothelial cells [185], and cerebellar granule cells [186]. Finally, it is an easily synthesised substance [145].

Licochalcone A is 5-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)chalcone, apparently isolated from the roots of licorice. It very effectively controls susceptible and resistant staphylococcal strains [142–144] and also suppresses the secretion of their enterotoxins A and B [209]. Licorice root has traditionally been used to treat inflammatory diseases. Modern studies have confirmed this effect and identified possible mechanisms of action, with licochalcone A targeting multiple levels of the inflammatory response. It inhibits the activation of transcription factors, such as NF- κ B [207,213,214,217] and AP-1 [216]; suppresses TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1β cytokines [214] and the production of PGE2 and NO, and reduces the expression of iNOS and COX-2 [215]. It proves to be an effective inhibitor of NLRP3 inflammasome activity triggered by C. acnes, preventing the development of inflammation and exacerbation of acne lesions [210]. A moisturising cream with licochalcone A, 1,2-decanediol, L-carnitine, and salicylic acid, which was tested in a clinical trial, reduces acne lesions and prevents the development of new lesions during the maintenance phase [261]. Moreover, several studies have revealed licochalcone A as a promising anti-irritant in the management of sensitive skin [262-265]. Experimental data show that licochalcone A suppresses cell oxidation, and $2-8 \mu g/mL$ induces the expression of SOD, CAT, and GPx1 proteins [208]. It shows low cytotoxicity without haemolytic activity based on safety assessment [144]. However, this finding is not consistent with a study by Chen et al. [208], who found slightly different IC₅₀ values for the same HepG2 cell line, and as usual, lower cytotoxic concentrations were observed in cancer cell lines [211]. Licochalcone A is at the forefront of scientific interest as a lead agent against various diseases, but unfavourable biopharmaceutical properties limit its therapeutic use. Fortunately, its poor solubility and potential haemolytic and cytotoxic effects were overcome in a study by Silva et al. [266], in which licochalcone A was incorporated into solid lipid nanoparticles. The penetration of licochalcone A into the epidermis can be increased with micellar vehicles coloaded with glycyrrhizic acid [267].

Sophoraflavanone G is a flavonoid substituted with a C-8 lavandulyl group. It eradicates resistant *S. aureus* strains with MICs disproportionately lower than the MICs for conventional antibiotics [123,125]. It enhances the effect of antibiotics when it shows additivity with ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, gentamicin, fusidic acid, and oxacillin [123] and synergy with ampicillin and oxacillin [125]. Sophoraflavanone G has been found to be a potent inhibitor of eicosanoid-forming enzymes [69,191,240]. It disrupts signalling pathways associated with inflammation, including NF- κ B and MAPK [237]. In vivo, it shows higher activity when applied topically than when taken orally [69]. Unfortunately, sophoraflavanone G is considered a potent antitumour agent that inhibits cell proliferation in vitro [238,239,241] and in vivo [238].

Xanthohumol is the most abundant prenylated chalcone in hops (*Humulus lupulus* L.) and shows remarkable antistaphylococcal activity [142,147,148], which is directed against both planktonic and biofilm forms of bacteria. Sub-MIC concentrations prevent staphylococcal adhesion to abiotic surfaces, resulting in the inhibition of biofilm formation. A concentration-dependent reduction in viability up to complete eradication of the biofilm has been also observed [147]. The antibiofilm properties have been confirmed by Bogdanova et al. [148], where xanthohumol reduces the number of bacterial cells released from the biofilm, penetrates the biofilm, antagonises bacteria, and, at higher concentrations, reduces the number of surviving bacterial cells to zero. In addition, xanthohumol enhances the effect of oxacillin [147]. The multiple targets and mechanisms explain the broad anti-inflammatory effect of xanthohumol. It inhibits the production of NO by suppressing inducible NO synthase [247], decreases NF- κ B activation in vitro [244,249] and

in vivo [244], and inhibits the production of two proinflammatory cytokines, MCP-1 and TNF- α [252]. Finally, xanthohumol has been found to be effective in attenuating skin inflammation by inhibiting IL-12 production [254]. Xanthohumol ingestion reduced inflammation, oxidative stress, and angiogenesis and improved the wound healing process without toxicity in the tested Wistar rats [255]. In addition, it showed the ability to regulate the activities of elastases/MMPs and stimulate the biosynthesis of fibrillar collagens, elastin, and fibrillins, preventing skin ageing [268]. The ability to scavenge reactive oxygen species and influence the endogenous antioxidant system has been demonstrated in several studies in vitro [244–246,250] and in vivo [244,255]. Xanthohumol is considered an effective chemopreventive and therapeutic agent in cancer treatment due to its ability to inhibit carcinogenesis and metastasis. Despite these properties, it shows very little or no toxicity in normal cells, including human lung fibroblasts, primary human hepatocytes, oligodendroglia-derived cells, and human skin fibroblasts. Similar results have been obtained in in vivo assays [269]. The process of its chemical synthesis is demanding, and the overall yield is relatively low. Therefore, female inflorescences are still the main source of xanthohumol [270].

9. Conclusions

Microbially infiltrated and damaged wounds of both humans and animals could be treated with prenylated flavonoids, such as artocarpin, diplacone, isobavachalcone, licochalcone A, sophoraflavanone G, and xanthohumol, which have shown promising multiple activities required in the process of wound healing. The evidence and preliminary results suggest that further studies are warranted. In these future studies, several factors need to be considered, including rational dosing according to MIC and MBC values, potential toxicity to human cells, healing kinetics, type of wound, chronicity, timing of application of the therapeutic agent, patient condition, origin, age, combinatory effects, contact time, bacterial strain present, and so on. An obstacle in clinical use could be difficulties in administration. Such preparations need to be formulated with smart drug release systems and/or delivery technologies to be acceptable for the treatment of patients. As regards manufacturing, their hydrophobicity allows them to be efficiently combined with polymeric matrices, which are often used as wound dressings. Nanotechnology can enhance the release of these antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and regenerative substances, thus accelerating the body's own healing process. In addition, prenylated flavonoids can overcome the disadvantages of current antibiotics and antiseptics (especially cytotoxicity, antibiotic resistance, and allergies) or enhance the effect of conventional drugs. From an economic point of view, thought must be given to the way in which these substances are obtained, whether by isolation from plant material or by synthetic preparation. Very often, it is difficult to obtain the pure compound in sufficient quantity, and its use is economically disadvantageous. Then enriched standardised extracts could replace the pure compounds. For example, Glabridin-40, a glabridin-enriched extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra (root), is widely used in cosmetic formulations as an anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and skin whitening agent.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.S. and S.B.F.; methodology, A.S.; formal analysis, A.S., G.Š. and M.Č.; investigation, A.S., G.Š. and M.Č.; resources, A.S.; data curation, A.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.S.; writing—review and editing, G.Š., M.Č. and S.B.F.; visualization, M.Č. and S.B.F.; supervision, S.B.F.; project administration, A.S. and S.B.F.; funding acquisition, A.S. and S.B.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This open-access review paper was supported by the Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research, and Sport of Slovakia (grant no. VEGA-1/0284/20) and by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under contract number APVV-19-0056.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analysed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: Thanks go to my two little sons, who sometimes slept and allowed me to write this review (A.S.).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

Abbreviations

| ATP | adenosine triphosphate |
|-------------|--|
| CBC | N-carboxybutylchitosan |
| CFU | colony-forming units |
| CLSI | Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute |
| COX | cyclooxygenase |
| D-Ala-D-Ala | D-alanine–D-alanine |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| EGCG | epigallocatechin gallate |
| EOs | essential oils |
| EUCAST | European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing |
| H_2O_2 | hydrogen peroxide |
| IFN-γ | interferon γ |
| IL-1β | interleukin 1β |
| IL-6 | interleukin 6 |
| IL-10 | interleukin 10 |
| LTB-4 | leukotriene B4 |
| MBC | minimum bactericidal concentration |
| MIC | minimum inhibitory concentration |
| MRSA | methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus |
| NADH | nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide |
| NF-ĸB | nuclear factor kappa B |
| PGE2 | prostaglandin E2 |
| PUFA | polyunsaturated fatty acids |
| RNA | ribonucleic acid |
| ROS | reactive oxygen species |
| TNF-α | tumour necrosis factor α |
| UVB | ultraviolet B |
| VEGF | vascular endothelial growth factor |
| VRE | vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus |

References

- 1. Grice, E.A.; Segre, J.A. The Skin Microbiome. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2011, 9, 244–253. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pilehvar-Soltanahmadi, Y.; Dadashpour, M.; Mohajeri, A.; Fattahi, A.; Sheervalilou, R.; Zarghami, N. An Overview on Application of Natural Substances Incorporated with Electrospun Nanofibrous Scaffolds to Development of Innovative Wound Dressings. *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 2017, 18, 414–427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Andreu, V.; Mendoza, G.; Arruebo, M.; Irusta, S. Smart Dressings Based on Nanostructured Fibers Containing Natural Origin Antimicrobial, Anti-Inflammatory, and Regenerative Compounds. *Materials* **2015**, *8*, 5154–5193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Álvarez-Martínez, F.J.; Barrajón-Catalán, E.; Herranz-López, M.; Micol, V. Antibacterial Plant Compounds, Extracts and Essential Oils: An Updated Review on Their Effects and Putative Mechanisms of Action. *Phytomedicine* 2021, 90, 153626. [CrossRef]
- 5. Rodrigues, M.; Kosaric, N.; Bonham, C.A.; Gurtner, G.C. Wound Healing: A Cellular Perspective. *Physiol. Rev.* 2019, 99, 665–706. [CrossRef]
- Schultz, G.; Sibbald, G.; Falanga, V.; Ayello, E.; Dowsett, C.; Harding, K.; Romanelli, M.; Stacey, M.; Teot, L.; Vanscheidt, W. Wound Bed Preparation: A Systematic Approach to Chronic Wounds. *Wound Repair Regen* 2003, *11* (Suppl. S1), S1–S28. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, V.; Abbas, A.; Fausto, N.; Aster, J.C. Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease, 8th ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2010.
- Scalise, A.; Bianchi, A.; Tartaglione, C.; Bolletta, E.; Pierangeli, M.; Torresetti, M.; Marazzi, M.; Di Benedetto, G. Microenvironment and Microbiology of Skin Wounds: The Role of Bacterial Biofilms and Related Factors. *Semin. Vasc. Surg.* 2015, 28, 151–159. [CrossRef]

- 9. Percival, S.L.; Emanuel, C.; Cutting, K.F.; Williams, D.W. Microbiology of the Skin and the Role of Biofilms in Infection. *Int. Wound J.* **2012**, *9*, 14–32. [CrossRef]
- 10. Simões, D.; Miguel, S.P.; Ribeiro, M.P.; Coutinho, P.; Mendonça, A.G.; Correia, I.J. Recent Advances on Antimicrobial Wound Dressing: A Review. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* **2018**, 127, 130–141. [CrossRef]
- 11. Ayton, M. Wound Care: Wounds That Won't Heal. Nurs. Times 1985, 81, 16–19.
- 12. Lowy, F. The Chromosome, as Well as the Extrachromosomal El- Ements. 6 These Genes Are Transferred between Staphy-Lococcal Strains, Species, or Other Gram-Positive Bacte-Rial Species through the Extrachromosomal Elements. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1998**, *339*, 520–532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Cardona, A.F.; Wilson, S.E. Skin and Soft-Tissue Infections: A Critical Review and the Role of Telavancin in Their Treatment. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *61* (Suppl. S2), S69–S78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Daeschlein, G. Antimicrobial and Antiseptic Strategies in Wound Management. Int. Wound J. 2013, 10 (Suppl. S1), 9–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Foster, A.P. Staphylococcal Skin Disease in Livestock. Vet. Dermatol. 2012, 23, 342–352. [CrossRef]
- 16. Sales of Veterinary Antimicrobial Agents in 31 European Countries in 2020. Available online: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ documents/report/sales-veterinary-antimicrobial-agents-31-european-countries-2018-trends-2010-2018-tenth-esvac-report_ en.pdf (accessed on 3 March 2021).
- 17. Voss, A.; Loeffen, F.; Bakker, J.; Klaassen, C.; Wulf, M. Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Pig Farming. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *11*, 1965–1966. [CrossRef]
- 18. Van Boeckel, T.P.; Brower, C.; Gilbert, M.; Grenfell, B.T.; Levin, S.A.; Robinson, T.P.; Teillant, A.; Laxminarayan, R. Global Trends in Antimicrobial Use in Food Animals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 5649–5654. [CrossRef]
- 19. Mala, L.; Lalouckova, K.; Skrivanova, E. Bacterial Skin Infections in Livestock and Plant-Based Alternatives to Their Antibiotic Treatment. *Animals* **2021**, *11*, 2473. [CrossRef]
- Contreras, G.A.; Rodríguez, J.M. Mastitis: Comparative Etiology and Epidemiology. J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia 2011, 16, 339–356. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, W.N.; Han, S.G. Bovine Mastitis: Risk Factors, Therapeutic Strategies, and Alternative Treatments—A Review. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 2020, 33, 1699–1713. [CrossRef]
- Mushtaq, S.; Shah, A.M.A.; Shah, A.M.A.; Lone, S.A.; Hussain, A.; Hassan, Q.P.; Ali, M.N. Bovine Mastitis: An Appraisal of Its Alternative Herbal Cure. *Microb. Pathog.* 2018, 114, 357–361. [CrossRef]
- Hossain, M.K.; Paul, S.; Hossain, M.M.; Islam, M.R.; Alam, M.G.S. Bovine Mastitis and Its Therapeutic Strategy Doing Antibiotic Sensitivity Test. Austin J. Vet. Sci. Anim. Husb. 2017, 4, 1030. [CrossRef]
- Gilbert, F.B.; Cunha, P.; Jensen, K.; Glass, E.J.; Foucras, G.; Robert-Granié, C.; Rupp, R.; Rainard, P. Differential Response of Bovine Mammary Epithelial Cells to *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Escherichia coli* Agonists of the Innate Immune System. *Vet. Res.* 2013, 44, 40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Amini, B.; Baghchesaraie, H.; Faghihi, M.H.O. Effect of Different Sub MIC Concentrations of Penicillin, Vancomycin and Ceftazidime on Morphology and Some Biochemical Properties of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* Isolates. *Iran.* J. Microbiol. 2009, 1, 43–47.
- Lopes, T.S.; Fontoura, P.S.; Oliveira, A.; Rizzo, F.A.; Silveira, S.; Streck, A.F. Use of Plant Extracts and Essential Oils in the Control of Bovine Mastitis. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 2020, 131, 186–193. [CrossRef]
- Ruegg, P.L. A 100-Year Review: Mastitis Detection, Management, and Prevention. J. Dairy Sci. 2017, 100, 10381–10397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ibrahim, N.; Wong, S.K.; Mohamed, I.N.; Mohamed, N.; Chin, K.Y.; Ima-Nirwana, S.; Shuid, A.N. Wound Healing Properties of Selected Natural Products. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2018, 15, 2360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tsala, D.E.; Amadou, D.; Habtemariam, S. Natural Wound Healing and Bioactive Natural Products. *Phytopharmacology* 2013, 4, 532–560.
- Bittner Fialová, S.; Rendeková, K.; Mučaji, P.; Nagy, M.; Slobodníková, L. Antibacterial Activity of Medicinal Plants and Their Constituents in the Context of Skin and Wound Infections, Considering European Legislation and Folk Medicine—A Review. *Int.* J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 10746. [CrossRef]
- 31. Amparo, T.R.; Seibert, J.B.; de Abreu Vieira, P.M.; Teixeira, L.F.M.; dos Santos, O.D.H.; de Souza, G.H.B. Herbal Medicines to the Treatment of Skin and Soft Tissue Infections: Advantages of the Multi-Targets Action. *Phyther. Res.* 2020, *34*, 94–103. [CrossRef]
- 32. Thorne, C.H.; Chung, K.C.; Gosain, A.K.; Gurtner, G.C.; Mehrara, B.J.; Rubin, J.P.; Spear, S.L. *Grabb and Smith's Plastic Surgery*, 7th ed.; Wolters Kluwer Health Adis (ESP): London, UK, 2013.
- Seow, Y.X.; Yeo, C.R.; Chung, H.L.; Yuk, H.G. Plant Essential Oils as Active Antimicrobial Agents. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2014, 54, 625–644. [CrossRef]
- 34. Barradas, T.N.; de Holanda e Silva, K.G. Nanoemulsions of Essential Oils to Improve Solubility, Stability and Permeability: A Review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *19*, 1153–1171. [CrossRef]
- De Luca, I.; Pedram, P.; Moeini, A.; Cerruti, P.; Peluso, G.; Di Salle, A.; Germann, N. Nanotechnology Development for Formulating Essential Oils in Wound Dressing Materials to Promote the Wound-Healing Process: A Review. *Appl. Sci.* 2021, 11, 1713. [CrossRef]

- 36. Maheshwari, R.K.; Singh, A.K.; Gaddipati, J.; Srimal, R.C. Multiple Biological Activities of Curcumin: A Short Review. *Life Sci.* **2006**, *78*, 2081–2087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Zorofchian Moghadamtousi, S.; Abdul Kadir, H.; Hassandarvish, P.; Tajik, H.; Abubakar, S.; Zandi, K. A Review on Antibacterial, Antiviral, and Antifungal Activity of Curcumin. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2014**, 2014, 186864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- FDA (Food and Drug Administration). Nda 21-902 Veregen. Available online: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_ docs/label/2007/021902s002lbl.pdf (accessed on 14 June 2022).
- Chamcheu, J.C.; Siddiqui, I.A.; Adhami, V.M.; Esnault, S.; Bharali, D.J.; Babatunde, A.S.; Adame, S.; Massey, R.J.; Wood, G.S.; Longley, B.J.; et al. Chitosan-Based Nanoformulated (-)-Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate (EGCG) Modulates Human Keratinocyte-Induced Responses and Alleviates Imiquimod-Induced Murine Psoriasiform Dermatitis. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2018, 13, 4189–4206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Koch, W.; Zagórska, J.; Marzec, Z.; Kukula-Koch, W. Applications of Tea (*Camellia sinensis*) and Its Active Constituents in Cosmetics. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 4277. [CrossRef]
- Silva, J.; Vanat, P.; Marques-da-Silva, D.; Rodrigues, J.R.; Lagoa, R. Metal Alginates for Polyphenol Delivery Systems: Studies on Crosslinking Ions and Easy-to-Use Patches for Release of Protective Flavonoids in Skin. *Bioact. Mater.* 2020, *5*, 447–457. [CrossRef]
- Amer, S.S.; Mamdouh, W.; Nasr, M.; ElShaer, A.; Polycarpou, E.; Abdel-Aziz, R.T.A.; Sammour, O.A. Quercetin Loaded Cosm-Nutraceutical Electrospun Composite Nanofibers for Acne Alleviation: Preparation, Characterization and Experimental Clinical Appraisal. Int. J. Pharm. 2022, 612, 121309. [CrossRef]
- 43. Dias, A.M.A.; Braga, M.E.M.; Seabra, I.J.; Ferreira, P.; Gil, M.H.; De Sousa, H.C. Development of Natural-Based Wound Dressings Impregnated with Bioactive Compounds and Using Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2011**, 408, 9–19. [CrossRef]
- 44. Dyja, R.; Jankowski, A. The Effect of Additives on Release and in Vitro Skin Retention of Flavonoids from Emulsion and Gel Semisolid Formulations. *Int. J. Cosmet. Sci.* 2017, 39, 442–449. [CrossRef]
- Roy, P.; Parveen, S.; Ghosh, P.; Ghatak, K.; Dasgupta, S. Flavonoid Loaded Nanoparticles as an Effective Measure to Combat Oxidative Stress in Ribonuclease A. *Biochimie* 2019, *162*, 185–197. [CrossRef]
- Pool, H.; Quintanar, D.; Figueroa, J.D.D.; Marinho Mano, C.; Bechara, J.E.H.; Godínez, L.A.; Mendoza, S. Antioxidant Effects of Quercetin and Catechin Encapsulated into PLGA Nanoparticles. J. Nanomater. 2012, 2012, 145380. [CrossRef]
- Hou, M.; Sun, R.; Hupe, M.; Kim, P.L.; Park, K.; Crumrine, D.; Lin, T.K.; Santiago, J.L.; Mauro, T.M.; Elias, P.M.; et al. Topical Apigenin Improves Epidermal Permeability Barrier Homoeostasis in Normal Murine Skin by Divergent Mechanisms. *Exp. Dermatol.* 2013, 22, 210–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Anwar, A.; Masri, A.; Rao, K.; Rajendran, K.; Khan, N.A.; Shah, M.R.; Siddiqui, R. Antimicrobial Activities of Green Synthesized Gums-Stabilized Nanoparticles Loaded with Flavonoids. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 3122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Domínguez-Villegas, V.; Clares-Naveros, B.; García-López, M.L.; Calpena-Campmany, A.C.; Bustos-Zagal, P.; Garduño-Ramírez, M.L. Development and Characterization of Two Nano-Structured Systems for Topical Application of Flavanones Isolated from Eysenhardtia Platycarpa. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2014, 116, 183–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Brown, D.G.; Lister, T.; May-Dracka, T.L. New Natural Products as New Leads for Antibacterial Drug Discovery. *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *24*, 413–418. [CrossRef]
- Gomes, F.; Henriques, M. Control of Bovine Mastitis: Old and Recent Therapeutic Approaches. *Curr. Microbiol.* 2016, 72, 377–382. [CrossRef]
- 52. Lewis, K.; Ausubel, F. Prospects for Plant-Derived Antibacterials. Nat. Biotechnol. 2006, 24, 1504–1507. [CrossRef]
- 53. Falcone Ferreyra, M.L.; Rius, S.P.; Casati, P. Flavonoids: Biosynthesis, Biological Functions, and Biotechnological Applications. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2012, *3*, 222. [CrossRef]
- 54. Górniak, I.; Bartoszewski, R.; Króliczewski, J. Comprehensive Review of Antimicrobial Activities of Plant Flavonoids. *Phytochem. Rev.* **2019**, *18*, 241–272. [CrossRef]
- Sarbu, L.G.; Bahrin, L.G.; Babii, C.; Stefan, M.; Birsa, M.L. Synthetic Flavonoids with Antimicrobial Activity: A Review. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2019, 127, 1282–1290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carvalho, M.T.B.; Araújo-Filho, H.G.; Barreto, A.S.; Quintans-Júnior, L.J.; Quintans, J.S.S.; Barreto, R.S.S. Wound Healing Properties of Flavonoids: A Systematic Review Highlighting the Mechanisms of Action. *Phytomedicine* 2021, 90, 153636. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Nagula, R.L.; Wairkar, S. Recent Advances in Topical Delivery of Flavonoids: A Review. J. Control. Release 2019, 296, 190–201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Yuan, G.; Guan, Y.; Yi, H.; Lai, S.; Sun, Y.; Cao, S. Antibacterial Activity and Mechanism of Plant Flavonoids to Gram-Positive Bacteria Predicted from Their Lipophilicities. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 10471. [CrossRef]
- 59. Yang, X.; Jiang, Y.; Yang, J.; He, J.; Sun, J.; Chen, F.; Zhang, M.; Yang, B. Prenylated Flavonoids, Promising Nutraceuticals with Impressive Biological Activities. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *44*, 93–104. [CrossRef]
- 60. Chen, X.; Mukwaya, E.; Wong, M.S.; Zhang, Y. A Systematic Review on Biological Activities of Prenylated Flavonoids. *Pharm. Biol.* **2014**, *52*, 655–660. [CrossRef]
- 61. Barron, D.; Ibrahim, R.K. Isoprenylated Flavonoids—A Survey. Phytochemistry 1996, 43, 921–982. [CrossRef]
- 62. Šmejkal, K. Cytotoxic potential of C-prenylated flavonoids. Phytochem. Rev. 2014, 13, 245–275. [CrossRef]
- 63. Chen, Y.; Zhao, J.; Qiu, Y.; Yuan, H.; Khan, S.I.; Hussain, N.; Iqbal Choudhary, M.; Zeng, F.; Guo, D.A.; Khan, I.A.; et al. Prenylated Flavonoids from the Stems and Roots of *Tripterygium wilfordii*. *Fitoterapia* **2017**, *119*, 64–68. [CrossRef]

- Al-Rehaily, A.J.; Albishi, O.A.; El-Olemy, M.M.; Mossa, J.S. Flavonoids and Terpenoids from *Helichrysum forskahlii*. *Phytochemistry* 2008, 69, 1910–1914. [CrossRef]
- 65. Sun, Q.; Wang, D.; Li, F.F.; Yao, G.D.; Li, X.; Li, L.Z.; Huang, X.X.; Song, S.J. Cytotoxic Prenylated Flavones from the Stem and Root Bark of *Daphne giraldii*. *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *26*, 3968–3972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chang, S.K.; Jiang, Y.; Yang, B. An Update of Prenylated Phenolics: Food Sources, Chemistry and Health Benefits. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2021, 108, 197–213. [CrossRef]
- 67. Mukai, R. Prenylation Enhances the Biological Activity of Dietary Flavonoids by Altering Their Bioavailability. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2018**, *82*, 207–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hatano, T.; Shintani, Y.; Aga, Y.; Shiota, S.; Tsuchiya, T.; Yoshida, T. Phenolic Constituents of Licorice. VIII. Structures of Glicophenone and Glicoisoflavanone, and Effects of Licorice Phenolics on Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2000, *48*, 1286–1292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dong, W.K.; Yeon, S.C.; Son, K.H.; Hyeun, W.C.; Ju, S.K.; Sam, S.K.; Hyun, P.K. Effects of Sophoraflavanone G, a Prenylated Flavonoid from *Sophora flavescens*, on Cyclooxygenase-2 and in Vivo Inflammatory Response. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 2002, 25, 329–335.
 [CrossRef]
- 70. Cushnie, T.P.T.; Lamb, A.J. Antimicrobial Activity of Flavonoids. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2005, 26, 343–356. [CrossRef]
- 71. Wu, D.; Kong, Y.; Han, C.; Chen, J.; Hu, L.; Jiang, H.; Shen, X. D-Alanine:D-Alanine Ligase as a New Target for the Flavonoids Quercetin and Apigenin. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2008**, *32*, 421–426. [CrossRef]
- 72. Sirk, T.W.; Brown, E.F.; Sum, A.K.; Friedman, M. Molecular Dynamics Study on the Biophysical Interactions of Seven Green Tea Catechins with Lipid Bilayers of Cell Membranes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 7750–7758. [CrossRef]
- 73. Kusuda, M.; Inada, K.; Ogawa, T.O.; Yoshida, T.; Shiota, S.; Tsuchiya, T.; Hatano, T. Polyphenolic Constituent Structures of *Zanthoxylum piperitum* Fruit and the Antibacterial Effects of Its Polymeric Procyanidin on Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2006**, *70*, 1423–1431. [CrossRef]
- Arakawa, H.; Kanemitsu, M.; Tajima, N.; Maeda, M. Chemiluminescence Assay for Catechin Based on Generation of Hydrogen Peroxide in Basic Solution. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2002, 472, 75–82. [CrossRef]
- Arakawa, H.; Maeda, M.; Okubo, S.; Shimamura, T. Role of Hydrogen Peroxide in Bactericidal Action of Catechin. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2004, 27, 277–281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Ikigai, H.; Nakae, T.; Hara, Y.; Shimamura, T. Bactericidal Catechins Damage the Lipid Bilayer. *BBA-Biomembr.* **1993**, *1147*, 132–136. [CrossRef]
- Miura, Y.H.; Tomita, I.; Watanabe, T.; Hirayama, T.; Fukui, S. Active Oxygens Generation by Flavonoids. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 1998, 21, 93–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cushnie, T.P.T.; Lamb, A.J. Detection of Galangin-Induced Cytoplasmic Membrane Damage in *Staphylococcus aureus* by Measuring Potassium Loss. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2005, 101, 243–248. [CrossRef]
- 79. Mirzoeva, O.K.; Grishanin, R.N.; Calder, P.C. Antimicrobial Action of Propolis and Some of Its Components: The Effects on Growth, Membrane Potential and Motility of Bacteria. *Microbiol. Res.* **1997**, *152*, 239–246. [CrossRef]
- Tsuchiya, H.; Iinuma, M. Reduction of Membrane Fluidity by Antibacterial Sophoraflavanone G Isolated from Sophora exigua. Phytomedicine 2000, 7, 161–165. [CrossRef]
- 81. Haraguchi, H.; Tanimoto, K.; Tamura, Y.; Mizutani, K.; Kinoshita, T. Mode of Antibacterial Action of Retrochalcones from *Glycyrrhiza inflata*. *Phytochemistry* **1998**, *48*, 125–129. [CrossRef]
- Chinnam, N.; Dadi, P.K.; Sabri, S.A.; Ahmad, M.; Kabir, M.A.; Ahmad, Z. Dietary Bioflavonoids Inhibit *Escherichia coli* ATP Synthase in a Differential Manner. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2010, 46, 478–486. [CrossRef]
- 83. Bensasson, R.V.; Zoete, V.; Jossang, A.; Bodo, B.; Arimondo, P.B.; Land, E.J. Potency of Inhibition of Human DNA Topoisomerase i by Flavones Assessed through Physicochemical Parameters. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2011**, *51*, 1406–1410. [CrossRef]
- Ohemeng, K.A.; Schwender, C.F.; Fu, K.P.; Barrett, J.F. DNA Gyrase Inhibitory and Antibacterial Activity of Some Flavones(1). Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett. 1993, 3, 225–230. [CrossRef]
- 85. Bernard, F.X.; Sablé, S.; Cameron, B.; Provost, J.; Desnottes, J.F.; Crouzet, J.; Blanche, F. Glycosylated Flavones as Selective Inhibitors of Topoisomerase IV. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1997**, *41*, 992–998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, H.; Ziegelin, G.; Schröder, W.; Frank, J.; Ayora, S.; Alonso, J.C.; Lanka, E.; Saenger, W. Flavones Inhibit the Hexameric Replicative Helicase RepA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2001, 29, 5058–5066. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mori, A.; Nishino, C.; Enoki, N.; Tawata, S. Antibacterial Activity and Mode of Action of Plant Flavonoids against *Proteus vulgaris* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Phytochemistry* 1987, 26, 2231–2234. [CrossRef]
- Navarro-Martínez, M.D.; Navarro-Perán, E.; Cabezas-Herrera, J.; Ruiz-Gómez, J.; García-Cánovas, F.; Rodríguez-López, J.N. Antifolate Activity of Epigallocatechin Gallate against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2005, 49, 2914–2920. [CrossRef]
- 89. Havsteen, B.H. The Biochemistry and Medical Significance of the Flavonoids. Pharmacol. Ther. 2002, 96, 67–202. [CrossRef]
- 90. Tasdemir, D.; Lack, G.; Brun, R.; Rüedi, P.; Scapozza, L.; Perozzo, R. Inhibition of *Plasmodium falciparum* Fatty Acid Biosynthesis: Evaluation of FabG, FabZ, and FabI as Drug Targets for Flavonoids. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 3345–3353. [CrossRef]
- 91. Cushnie, T.P.T.; Lamb, A.J. Recent Advances in Understanding the Antibacterial Properties of Flavonoids. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2011**, *38*, 99–107. [CrossRef]

- 92. Vikram, A.; Jayaprakasha, G.K.; Jesudhasan, P.R.; Pillai, S.D.; Patil, B.S. Suppression of Bacterial Cell-Cell Signalling, Biofilm Formation and Type III Secretion System by Citrus Flavonoids. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2010**, *109*, 515–527. [CrossRef]
- 93. Lee, J.H.; Regmi, S.C.; Kim, J.A.; Cho, M.H.; Yun, H.; Lee, C.S.; Lee, J. Apple Flavonoid Phloretin Inhibits *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Biofilm Formation and Ameliorates Colon Inflammation in Rats. *Infect. Immun.* **2011**, 79, 4819–4827. [CrossRef]
- Budzyńska, A.; Rózalski, M.; Karolczak, W.; Wieckowska-Szakiel, M.; Sadowska, B.; Rózalska, B. Synthetic 3-Arylidenefl Avanones as Inhibitors of the Initial Stages of biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. Z. Naturforschung. C J. Biosci. 2011, 66, 104–114. [CrossRef]
- 95. Echeverría, J.; Opazo, J.; Mendoza, L.; Urzúa, A.; Wilkens, M. Structure-Activity and Lipophilicity Relationships of Selected Antibacterial Natural Flavones and Flavanones of Chilean Flora. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 608. [CrossRef]
- Madan, S.; Singh, G.N.; Kohli, K.; Ali, M.; Kumar, Y.; Singh, R.M.; Prakash, O. Isoflavonoids from *Flemingia strobilifera* (L.) R. Br. Roots. Acta Pol. Pharm.-Drug Res. 2009, 66, 297–303.
- 97. Xie, Y.; Yang, W.; Tang, F.; Chen, X.; Ren, L. Antibacterial Activities of Flavonoids: Structure-Activity Relationship and Mechanism. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2014, 22, 132–149. [CrossRef]
- Navrátilová, A.; Schneiderová, K.; Veselá, D.; Hanáková, Z.; Fontana, A.; Dall'acqua, S.; Cvačka, J.; Innocenti, G.; Novotná, J.; Urbanová, M.; et al. Minor C-Geranylated Flavanones from *Paulownia tomentosa* Fruits with MRSA Antibacterial Activity. *Phytochemistry* 2013, 89, 104–113. [CrossRef]
- 99. Farhadi, F.; Khameneh, B.; Iranshahi, M.; Iranshahy, M. Antibacterial Activity of Flavonoids and Their Structure–Activity Relationship: An Update Review. *Phyther. Res.* **2019**, *33*, 13–40. [CrossRef]
- Alcaráz, L.E.; Blanco, S.E.; Puig, O.N.; Tomás, F.; Ferretti, F.H. Antibacterial Activity of Flavonoids against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Strains. J. Theor. Biol. 2000, 205, 231–240. [CrossRef]
- 101. Tran, T.D.; Nguyen, T.T.N.; Do, T.H.; Huynh, T.N.P.; Tran, C.D.; Thai, K.M. Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of Some Heterocyclic Chalcone Analogues Alone and in Combination with Antibiotics. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 6684–6696. [CrossRef]
- 102. Manner, S.; Skogman, M.; Goeres, D.; Vuorela, P.; Fallarero, A. Systematic Exploration of Natural and Synthetic Flavonoids for the Inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilms. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2013**, *14*, 19434–19451. [CrossRef]
- Oh, I.; Yang, W.Y.; Chung, S.C.; Kim, T.Y.; Oh, K.B.; Shin, J. In Vitro Sortase A Inhibitory and Antimicrobial Activity of Flavonoids Isolated from the Roots of *Sophora flavescens. Arch. Pharm. Res.* 2011, 34, 217–222. [CrossRef]
- 104. Tsuchiya, H.; Sato, M.; Miyazaki, T.; Fujiwara, S.; Tanigaki, S.; Ohyama, M.; Tanaka, T.; Iinuma, M. Comparative Study on the Antibacterial Activity of Phytochemical Flavanones against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1996, 50, 27–34. [CrossRef]
- Idowu, T.O.; Ogundaini, A.O.; Salau, A.O.; Obuotor, E.M.; Bezabih, M.; Abegaz, B.M. Doubly Linked, A-Type Proanthocyanidin Trimer and Other Constituents of *Ixora coccinea* Leaves and Their Antioxidant and Antibacterial Properties. *Phytochemistry* 2010, 71, 2092–2098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Mayer, R.; Stecher, G.; Wuerzner, R.; Silva, R.C.; Sultana, T.; Trojer, L.; Feuerstein, I.; Krieg, C.; Abel, G.; Popp, M.; et al. Proanthocyanidins: Target Compounds as Antibacterial Agents. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 6959–6966. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, J.H.; Kim, Y.G.; Khadke, S.K.; Yamano, A.; Woo, J.T.; Lee, J. Antimicrobial and Antibiofilm Activities of Prenylated Flavanones from *Macaranga tanarius*. *Phytomedicine* 2019, 63, 153033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Muharini, R.; Diaz, A.; Ebrahim, W.; Mándi, A.; Kurtán, T.; Rehberg, N.; Kalscheuer, R.; Hartmann, R.; Orfali, R.S.; Lin, W.; et al. Antibacterial and Cytotoxic Phenolic Metabolites from the Fruits of *Amorpha fruiticosa*. J. Nat. Prod. 2017, 80, 169–180. [CrossRef]
- 109. Meier, D.; Hernández, M.V.; van Geelen, L.; Muharini, R.; Proksch, P.; Bandow, J.E.; Kalscheuer, R. The Plant-Derived Chalcone Xanthoangelol Targets the Membrane of Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Bioorganic Med. Chem.* **2019**, *27*, 115151. [CrossRef]
- 110. Nanayakkara, N.P.D.; Burandt, C.L.; Jacob, M.R. Flavonoids with Activity against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from *Dalea scandens* var. *Paucifolia. Planta Med.* **2002**, *68*, 519–522. [CrossRef]
- 111. Belofsky, G.; Percivill, D.; Lewis, K.; Tegos, G.P.; Ekart, J. Phenolic Metabolites of Dalea Versicolor That Enhance Antibiotic Activity against Model Pathogenic Bacteria. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2004**, *67*, 481–484. [CrossRef]
- 112. Belofsky, G.; Aronica, M.; Foss, E.; Diamond, J.; Santana, F.; Darley, J.; Dowd, P.F.; Coleman, C.M.; Ferreira, D. Antimicrobial and Antiinsectan Phenolic Metabolites of *Dalea searlsiae*. J. Nat. Prod. **2014**, 77, 1140–1149. [CrossRef]
- 113. Yusook, K.; Weeranantanapan, O.; Hua, Y.; Kumkrai, P.; Chudapongse, N. Lupinifolin from Derris Reticulata Possesses Bactericidal Activity on *Staphylococcus aureus* by Disrupting Bacterial Cell Membrane. *J. Nat. Med.* **2017**, *71*, 357–366. [CrossRef]
- 114. Sohn, H.Y.; Son, K.H.; Kwon, C.S.; Kwon, G.S.; Kang, S.S. Antimicrobial and Cytotoxic Activity of 18 Prenylated Flavonoids Isolated from Medicinal Plants: *Morus alba* L., *Morus mongolica* Schneider, *Broussnetia papyrifera* (L.) Vent, *Sophora flavescens* Ait and *Echinosophora koreensis* Nakai. *Phytomedicine* 2004, 11, 666–672. [CrossRef]
- 115. Chukwujekwu, J.C.; Van Heerden, F.R.; Van Staden, J. Antibacterial Activity of Flavonoids from the Stem Bark of *Erythrina caffra* Thunb. *Phyther. Res.* **2011**, 25, 46–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Sadgrove, N.J.; Oliveira, T.B.; Khumalo, G.P.; van Vuuren, S.F.; van Wyk, B.E. Antimicrobial Isoflavones and Derivatives from *Erythrina* (Fabaceae): Structure Activity Perspective (SAR & QSAR) on Experimental and Mined Values against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antibiotics* 2020, 9, 223. [CrossRef]
- 117. Salvatore, M.J.; King, A.B.; Graham, A.C.; Onishi, H.R.; Bartizal, K.F.; Abruzzo, G.K.; Gill, C.J.; Ramjit, H.G.; Pitzenberger, S.M.; Witherup, K.M. Antibacterial Activity of Lonchocarpol A. J. Nat. Prod. **1998**, 61, 640–642. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Raksat, A.; Maneerat, W.; Andersen, R.J.; Pyne, S.G.; Laphookhieo, S. Antibacterial Prenylated Isoflavonoids from the Stems of Millettia extensa. J. Nat. Prod. 2018, 81, 1835–1840. [CrossRef]
- Raksat, A.; Maneerat, W.; Rujanapun, N.; Andersen, R.J.; Pyne, S.G.; Laphookhieo, S. Antibacterial and Inhibitory Activities against Nitric Oxide Production of Coumaronochromones and Prenylated Isoflavones from *Millettia extensa*. J. Nat. Prod. 2019, 82, 2343–2348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dzoyem, J.P.; Tchamgoue, J.; Tchouankeu, J.C.; Kouam, S.F.; Choudhary, M.I.; Bakowsky, U. Antibacterial Activity and Cytotoxicity of Flavonoids Compounds Isolated from *Pseudarthria hookeri* Wight & Arn. (Fabaceae). S. Afr. J. Bot. 2018, 114, 100–103. [CrossRef]
- 121. Yin, S.; Fan, C.Q.; Wang, Y.; Dong, L.; Yue, J.M. Antibacterial Prenylflavone Derivatives from *Psoralea corylifolia*, and Their Structure-Activity Relationship Study. *Bioorganic Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 4387–4392. [CrossRef]
- 122. Edziri, H.; Mastouri, M.; Mahjoub, M.A.; Mighri, Z.; Mahjoub, A.; Verschaeve, L. Antibacterial, Antifungal and Cytotoxic Activities of Two Flavonoids from Retama Raetam Flowers. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 7284–7293. [CrossRef]
- 123. Chan, B.C.L.; Yu, H.; Wong, C.W.; Lui, S.L.; Jolivalt, C.; Ganem-Elbaz, C.; Paris, J.M.; Morleo, B.; Litaudon, M.; Lau, C.B.S.; et al. Quick Identification of Kuraridin, a Noncytotoxic Anti-MRSA (Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*) Agent from *Sophora flavescens* Using High-Speed Counter-Current Chromatography. J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 2012, 880, 157–162. [CrossRef]
- 124. Chen, L.; Cheng, X.; Shi, W.; Lu, Q.; Liang, V.; Heber, D.; Ma, L. Letters to the Editor Inhibition of Growth of *Streptococcus mutans*, Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **2005**, *43*, 3574–3575. [CrossRef]
- 125. Cha, J.-D.; Moon, S.-E.; Kim, J.-Y.; Jung, E.-K.; Lee, Y.-S. Antibacterial Activity of Sophoraflavanone G Isolated from the Roots of *Sophora flavescens* against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Phytother. Res.* **2009**, *23*, 1326–1331. [CrossRef]
- Lee, G.S.; Kim, E.S.; Cho, S.I.; Kim, J.H.; Choi, G.; Ju, Y.S.; Park, S.H.; Jeong, S.-I.; Kim, H.J. Antibacterial and Synergistic Activity of Prenylated Chalcone Isolated from the Roots of *Sophora flavescens. J. Appl. Biol. Chem.* 2010, 53, 290–296. [CrossRef]
- 127. Daus, M.; Chaithada, P.; Phongpaichit, S.; Watanapokasin, R.; Carroll, A.R.; Mahabusarakam, W. New Prenylated Dihydrochalcones from the Leaves of *Artocarpus elasticus*. *Phytochem. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 226–230. [CrossRef]
- 128. Dej-Adisai, S.; Meechai, I.; Puripattanavong, J.; Kummee, S. Antityrosinase and Antimicrobial Activities from Thai Medicinal Plants. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 2014, *37*, 473–483. [CrossRef]
- Radwan, M.M.; Rodriguez-Guzman, R.; Manly, S.P.; Jacob, M.; Ross, S.A. Sepicanin A-A New Geranyl Flavanone from Artocarpus Sepicanus with Activity against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Phytochem. Lett.* 2009, 2, 141–143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kuete, V.; Simo, I.K.; Ngameni, B.; Bigoga, J.D.; Watchueng, J.; Kapguep, R.N.; Etoa, F.X.; Tchaleu, B.N.; Beng, V.P. Antimicrobial Activity of the Methanolic Extract, Fractions and Four Flavonoids from the Twigs of *Dorstenia angusticornis* Engl. (Moraceae). *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2007, 112, 271–277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 131. Dzoyem, J.P.; Hamamoto, H.; Ngameni, B.; Ngadjui, B.T.; Sekimizu, K. Antimicrobial Action Mechanism of Flavonoids from *Dorstenia* Species. *Drug Discov. Ther.* 2013, 7, 66–72. [CrossRef]
- Mbaveng, A.T.; Ngameni, B.; Kuete, V.; Simo, I.K.; Ambassa, P.; Roy, R.; Bezabih, M.; Etoa, F.X.; Ngadjui, B.T.; Abegaz, B.M.; et al. Antimicrobial Activity of the Crude Extracts and Five Flavonoids from the Twigs of *Dorstenia barteri* (Moraceae). *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2008, 116, 483–489. [CrossRef]
- 133. Mbaveng, A.T.; Kuete, V.; Ngameni, B.; Beng, V.P.; Ngadjui, B.T.; Meyer, J.J.M.; Lall, N. Antimicrobial Activities of the Methanol Extract and Compounds from the Twigs of *Dorstenia mannii* (Moraceae). *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* **2012**, 12, 2–7. [CrossRef]
- Polbuppha, I.; Suthiphasilp, V.; Maneerat, T.; Charoensup, R.; Limtharakul, T.; Cheenpracha, S.; Pyne, S.G.; Laphookhieo, S. Macluracochinones A-E, Antimicrobial Flavonoids from *Maclura cochinchinensis* (Lour.) Corner. *Phytochemistry* 2021, 187, 112773. [CrossRef]
- 135. Özçelik, B.; Orhan, I.; Toker, G. Antiviral and Antimicrobial Assessment of Some Selected Flavonoids. Z. Fur Naturforsch.—Sect. C J. Biosci. 2006, 61, 632–638. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Zhu, M.; Wang, Z.J.; He, Y.J.; Qin, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Qi, Z.H.; Zhou, Z.S.; Zhu, Y.Y.; Jin, D.N.; Chen, S.S.; et al. Bioguided Isolation, Identification and Bioactivity Evaluation of Anti-MRSA Constituents from *Morus alba* Linn. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2021, 281, 114542. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Čulenová, M.; Sychrová, A.; Hassan, S.T.S.; Berchová-Bímová, K.; Svobodová, P.; Helclová, A.; Michnová, H.; Hošek, J.; Vasilev, H.; Suchý, P.; et al. Multiple In Vitro Biological Effects of Phenolic Compounds from *Morus alba* Root Bark. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2020, 248, 112296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, S.C.; Han, F.; Song, M.R.; Chen, S.; Li, Q.; Zhang, Q.; Zhu, K.; Shen, J.Z. Natural Flavones from *Morus alba* against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* via Targeting the Proton Motive Force and Membrane Permeability. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2019, 67, 10222–10234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zuo, G.Y.; Yang, C.X.; Han, J.; Li, Y.Q.; Wang, G.C. Synergism of Prenylflavonoids from *Morus alba* Root Bark against Clinical MRSA Isolates. *Phytomedicine* 2018, 39, 93–99. [CrossRef]
- 140. Šmejkal, K.; Chudík, S.; Klouc, P. Antibacterial C-Geranylflavonoids from *Paulownia tomentosa* Fruits. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 706–709. [CrossRef]
- 141. Chen, Y.W.; Ye, S.R.; Ting, C.; Yu, Y.H. Antibacterial Activity of Propolins from Taiwanese Green Propolis. J. Food Drug Anal. 2018, 26, 761–768. [CrossRef]

- 142. Song, M.; Liu, Y.; Li, T.; Liu, X.; Hao, Z.; Ding, S.; Panichayupakaranant, P.; Zhu, K.; Shen, J. Plant Natural Flavonoids against Multidrug Resistant Pathogens. Adv. Sci. 2021, 8, 2100749. [CrossRef]
- 143. Liu, Y.; Hong, Z.; Qian, J.; Wang, Y.; Wang, S. Protective Effect of Jie-Geng-Tang against *Staphylococcus aureus* Induced Acute Lung Injury in Mice and Discovery of Its Effective Constituents. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2019**, 243, 112076. [CrossRef]
- 144. Wu, S.C.; Yang, Z.Q.; Liu, F.; Peng, W.J.; Qu, S.Q.; Li, Q.; Song, X.B.; Zhu, K.; Shen, J.Z. Antibacterial Effect and Mode of Action of Flavonoids from Licorice against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 2489. [CrossRef]
- 145. De Assis, L.R.; Theodoro, R.D.S.; Costa, M.B.S.; Nascentes, J.A.S.; da Rocha, M.D.; de Souza Bessa, M.A.; de Paula Menezes, R.; Dilarri, G.; Hypolito, G.B.; Dos Santos, V.R.; et al. Antibacterial Activity of Isobavachalcone (IBC) Is Associated with Membrane Disruption. *Membranes* 2022, 12, 269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Zhou, T.; Deng, X.; Qiu, J. Antimicrobial Activity of Licochalcone E against *Staphylococcus aureus* and Its Impact on the Production of Staphylococcal Alpha-Toxin. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *22*, 800–805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rozalski, M.; Micota, B.; Sadowska, B.; Stochmal, A.; Jedrejek, D.; Wieckowska-Szakiel, M.; Rozalska, B. Antiadherent and Antibiofilm Activity of *Humulus lupulus* L. Derived Products: New Pharmacological Properties. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2013, 2013, 101089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bogdanova, K.; Röderova, M.; Kolar, M.; Langova, K.; Dusek, M.; Jost, P.; Kubelkova, K.; Bostik, P.; Olsovska, J. Antibiofilm Activity of Bioactive Hop Compounds Humulone, Lupulone and Xanthohumol toward Susceptible and Resistant Staphylococci. *Res. Microbiol.* 2018, 169, 127–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Iranshahi, M.; Vu, H.; Pham, N.; Zencak, D.; Forster, P.; Quinn, R.J. Cytotoxic Evaluation of Alkaloids and Isoflavonoids from the Australian Tree *Erythrina vespertilio*. *Planta Med*. **2012**, *78*, 730–736. [CrossRef]
- Li, P.Y.; Liang, Y.C.; Sheu, M.J.; Huang, S.S.; Chao, C.Y.; Kuo, Y.H.; Huang, G.J. Alpinumisoflavone Attenuates Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Acute Lung Injury by Regulating the Effects of Anti-Oxidation and Anti-Inflammation Both: In Vitro and in Vivo. *RSC Adv.* 2018, *8*, 31515–31528. [CrossRef]
- 151. Fu, G.; Li, W.; Huang, X.; Zhang, R.; Tian, K.; Hou, S.; Li, Y. Antioxidant and Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activities of Isoflavonoids from the Rhizomes of *Ficus tikoua* Bur. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2018**, *32*, 399–405. [CrossRef]
- 152. Lee, S.; Hoang, G.D.; Kim, D.; Song, H.S.; Choi, S.; Lee, D.; Kang, K.S. Efficacy of Alpinumisoflavone Isolated from *Maclura tricuspidata* Fruit in Tumor Necrosis Factor-α-Induced Damage of Human Dermal Fibroblasts. *Antioxidants* 2021, 10, 514. [CrossRef]
- 153. Han, A.R.; Kang, Y.J.; Windono, T.; Lee, S.K.; Seo, E.K. Prenylated Flavonoids from the Heartwood of *Artocarpus communis* with Inhibitory Activity on Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Nitric Oxide Production. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 719–721. [CrossRef]
- 154. Rajendran, M.; Manisankar, P.; Gandhidasan, R.; Murugesan, R. Free Radicals Scavenging Efficiency of a Few Naturally Occurring Flavonoids: A Comparative Study. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 7389–7394. [CrossRef]
- 155. Septama, A.W.; Panichayupakaranant, P. Synergistic Effect of Artocarpin on Antibacterial Activity of Some Antibiotics against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Escherichia coli. Pharm. Biol. 2016, 54, 686–691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Di, X.; Wang, S.; Wang, B.; Liu, Y.; Yuan, H.; Lou, H.; Wang, X. New Phenolic Compounds from the Twigs of *Artocarpus heterophyllus. Drug Discov. Ther.* **2013**, *7*, 24–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Wang, Y.H.; Hou, A.J.; Chen, L.; Chen, D.F.; Sun, H.D.; Zhao, Q.S.; Bastow, K.F.; Nakanish, Y.; Wang, X.H.; Lee, K.H. New Isoprenylated Flavones, Artochamins A–E, and Cytotoxic Principles from *Artocarpus chama*. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 757–761. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 158. Lee, C.W.; Ko, H.H.; Lin, C.C.; Chai, C.Y.; Chen, W.T.; Yen, F.L. Artocarpin Attenuates Ultraviolet B-Induced Skin Damage in Hairless Mice by Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Effect. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2013**, *60*, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- 159. Yeh, C.J.; Chen, C.C.; Leu, Y.L.; Lin, M.W.; Chiu, M.M.; Wang, S.H. The Effects of Artocarpin on Wound Healing: In Vitro and in Vivo Studies. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 15599. [CrossRef]
- 160. Boonphong, S.; Baramee, A.; Kittakoop, P. Antitubercular and Antiplasmodial Prenylated Flavones from the Roots of *Artocarpus altilis. Chiang Mai J. Sci.* **2007**, *34*, 339–344.
- Lee, S.; Yun, B.; Kim, M.; Park, C.; Lee, W.; Oh, H.M.; Rho, M.C. Phenolic Compounds Isolated from *Psoralea corylifolia* Inhibit IL-6-Induced STAT3 Activation. *Planta Med.* 2012, 78, 903–906. [CrossRef]
- Hung, Y.L.; Wang, S.C.; Suzuki, K.; Fang, S.H.; Chen, C.S.; Cheng, W.C.; Su, C.C.; Yeh, H.C.; Tu, H.P.; Liu, P.L.; et al. Bavachin Attenuates LPS-Induced Inflammatory Response and Inhibits the Activation of NLRP3 Inflammasome in Macrophages. *Phytomedicine* 2019, 59, 152785. [CrossRef]
- Liang, Z.; Luo, Z.; Chen, J.; Li, B.; Li, L.; Shen, C. Bavachin Inhibits IL-4 Expression by Downregulating STAT6 Phosphorylation and GATA-3 Expression and Ameliorates Asthma Inflammation in an Animal Model. *Immunobiology* 2022, 227, 152182. [CrossRef]
- 164. Šmejkal, K.; Grycová, L.; Marek, R.; Lemiere, F.; Jankovská, D.; Forejtníková, H.; Vančo, J.; Suchý, V. C-Geranyl Compounds from Paulownia tomentosa Fruits. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1244–1248. [CrossRef]
- Hošek, J.; Závalová, V.; Šmejkal, K.; Bartoš, M. Effect of Diplacone on Lps-Induced Inflammatory Gene Expression in Macrophages. Folia Biol. 2010, 56, 124–130.
- 166. Asai, T.; Hara, N.; Kobayashi, S.; Kohshima, S.; Fujimoto, Y. Geranylated Flavanones from the Secretion on the Surface of the Immature Fruits of *Paulownia tomentosa*. *Phytochemistry* 2008, 69, 1234–1241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Hošek, J.; Toniolo, A.; Neuwirth, O.; Bolego, C. Prenylated and Geranylated Flavonoids Increase Production of Reactive Oxygen Species in Mouse Macrophages but Inhibit the Inflammatory Response. J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 1586–1591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 168. Hanáková, Z.; Hošek, J.; Kutil, Z.; Temml, V.; Landa, P.; Vaněk, T.; Schuster, D.; Dall'Acqua, S.; Cvačka, J.; Polanský, O.; et al. Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Natural Geranylated Flavonoids: Cyclooxygenase and Lipoxygenase Inhibitory Properties and Proteomic Analysis. J. Nat. Prod. 2017, 80, 999–1006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Molčanová, L.; Kauerová, T.; Dall'Acqua, S.; Maršík, P.; Kollár, P.; Šmejkal, K. Antiproliferative and Cytotoxic Activities of C-Geranylated Flavonoids from *Paulownia tomentosa* Steud. Fruit. *Bioorganic Chem.* 2021, 111, 104797. [CrossRef]
- 170. Vochyánová, Z.; Bartošová, L.; Bujdáková, V.; Fictum, P.; Husník, R.; Suchý, P.; Šmejkal, K.; Hošek, J. Diplacone and Mimulone Ameliorate Dextran Sulfate Sodium-Induced Colitis in Rats. *Fitoterapia* **2015**, 101, 201–207. [CrossRef]
- 171. Zima, A.; Hošek, J.; Treml, J.; Muselík, J.; Suchý, P.; Pražanová, G.; Lopes, A.; Žemlička, M. Antiradical and Cytoprotective Activities of Several C-Geranyl-Substituted Flavanones from *Paulownia tomentosa* Fruit. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 6035–6049. [CrossRef]
- 172. Šmejkal, K.; Svačinová, J.; Šlapetová, T.; Schneiderová, K.; Dall'Acqua, S.; Innocenti, G.; Závalová, V.; Kollár, P.; Chudík, S.; Marek, R.; et al. Cytotoxic Activities of Several Geranyl-Substituted Flavanones. J. Nat. Prod. **2010**, 73, 568–572. [CrossRef]
- 173. Jin, Q.; Lee, C.; Lee, J.W.; Lee, D.; Kim, Y.; Hong, J.T.; Kim, J.S.; Kim, J.H.; Lee, M.K.; Hwang, B.Y. Geranylated Flavanones from *Paulownia coreana* and Their Inhibitory Effects on Nitric Oxide Production. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2015, 63, 384–387. [CrossRef]
- 174. Shahinozzaman, M.; Taira, N.; Ishii, T.; Halim, M.A.; Hossain, M.A.; Tawata, S. Anti-Inflammatory, Anti-Diabetic, and Anti-Alzheimer's Effects of Prenylated Flavonoids from Okinawa Propolis: An Investigation by Experimental and Computational Studies. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2479. [CrossRef]
- 175. Kumazawa, S.; Ueda, R.; Hamasaka, T.; Fukumoto, S.; Fujimoto, T.; Nakayama, T. Antioxidant Prenylated Flavonoids from Propolis Collected in Okinawa, Japan. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 7722–7725. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Li, K.; Ji, S.; Song, W.; Kuang, Y.; Lin, Y.; Tang, S.; Cui, Z.; Qiao, X.; Yu, S.; Ye, M. Glycybridins A-K, Bioactive Phenolic Compounds from *Glycyrrhiza glabra*. J. Nat. Prod. 2017, 80, 334–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Kuete, V.; Mbaveng, A.T.; Zeino, M.; Fozing, C.D.; Ngameni, B.; Kapche, G.D.W.F.; Ngadjui, B.T.; Efferth, T. Cytotoxicity of Three Naturally Occurring Flavonoid Derived Compounds (Artocarpesin, Cycloartocarpesin and Isobavachalcone) towards Multi-Factorial Drug-Resistant Cancer Cells. *Phytomedicine* 2015, *22*, 1096–1102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 178. Shin, H.J.; Shon, D.H.; Youn, H.S. Isobavachalcone Suppresses Expression of Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase Induced by Toll-like Receptor Agonists. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2013, *15*, 38–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Morgan, A.M.A.; Lee, H.W.; Lee, S.H.; Lim, C.H.; Jang, H.D.; Kim, Y.H. Anti-Osteoporotic and Antioxidant Activities of Chemical Constituents of the Aerial Parts of *Ducrosia ismaelis*. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *24*, 3434–3439. [CrossRef]
- Wang, M.; Lin, L.; Lu, J.J.; Chen, X. Pharmacological Review of Isobavachalcone, a Naturally Occurring Chalcone. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2021, 165, 105483. [CrossRef]
- Matsuda, H.; Kiyohara, S.; Sugimoto, S.; Ando, S.; Nakamura, S.; Yoshikawa, M. Bioactive Constituents from Chinese Natural Medicines. XXXIII. Inhibitors from the Seeds of *Psoralea corylifolia* on Production of Nitric Oxide in Lipopolysaccharide-Activated Macrophages. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2009, 32, 147–149. [CrossRef]
- Haraguchi, H.; Inoue, J.; Tamura, Y.; Mizutani, K. Antioxidative Components of *Psoralea corylifolia* (Leguminosae). *Phyther. Res.* 2002, 16, 539–544. [CrossRef]
- 183. Dzoyem, J.P.; Nkuete, A.H.L.; Ngameni, B.; Eloff, J.N. Anti-Inflammatory and Anticholinesterase Activity of Six Flavonoids Isolated from *Polygonum* and *Dorstenia* Species. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2017**, *40*, 1129–1134. [CrossRef]
- Gao, D.; Liu, F.; Li, Z.; Guan, Y. Isobavachalcone Attenuates Sephadex-Induced Lung Injury via Activation of A20 and NRF2/HO-1 in Rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2019, 848, 49–54. [CrossRef]
- 185. Jing, H.; Zhou, X.; Dong, X.; Cao, J.; Zhu, H.; Lou, J.; Hu, Y.; He, Q.; Yang, B. Abrogation of Akt Signaling by Isobavachalcone Contributes to Its Anti-Proliferative Effects towards Human Cancer Cells. *Cancer Lett.* 2010, 294, 167–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Nishimura, R.; Tabata, K.; Arakawa, M.; Ito, Y.; Kimura, Y.; Akihisa, T.; Nagai, H.; Sakuma, A.; Kohno, H.; Suzuki, T. Isobavachalcone, a Chalcone Constituent of *Angelica keiskei*, Induces Apoptosis in Neuroblastoma. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2007, 30, 1878–1883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 187. Shirataki, Y.; Wakae, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Hashimoto, K.; Satoh, K.; Ishihara, M.; Kikuchi, H.; Nishikawa, H.; Minagawa, K.; Motohashi, N.; et al. Cytotoxicty and Radical Modulating Activity of Isoflavones and Isoflavanones from *Sophora* Species. *Anticancer Res.* 2004, 24, 1481–1488. [PubMed]
- 188. Sun, Q.; Yao, G.D.; Song, X.Y.; Qi, X.L.; Xi, Y.F.; Li, L.Z.; Huang, X.X.; Song, S.J. Autophagy Antagonizes Apoptosis Induced by Flavan Enantiomers from *Daphne giraldii* in Hepatic Carcinoma Cells in Vitro. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 133, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 189. Ryu, J.H.; Ahn, H.; Lee, H.J. Inhibition of Nitric Oxide Production on LPS-Activated Macrophages by Kazinol B from *Broussonetia* kazinoki. Fitoterapia **2003**, 74, 350–354. [CrossRef]
- 190. Kim, A.Y.; Lee, C.G.; Lee, D.Y.; Li, H.; Jeon, R.; Ryu, J.H.; Kim, S.G. Enhanced Antioxidant Effect of Prenylated Polyphenols as Fyn Inhibitor. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2012, 53, 1198–1208. [CrossRef]
- Chi, Y.S.; Jong, H.G.; Son, K.H.; Chang, H.W.; Kang, S.S.; Kim, H.P. Effects of Naturally Occurring Prenylated Flavonoids on Enzymes Metabolizing Arachidonic Acid: Cyclooxygenases and Lipoxygenases. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2001, 62, 1185–1191. [CrossRef]

- 192. Jiang, P.; Zhang, X.; Huang, Y.; Cheng, N.; Ma, Y. Hepatotoxicity Induced by Sophora flavescens and Hepatic Accumulation of Kurarinone, a Major Hepatotoxic Constituent of Sophora flavescens in Rats. Molecules 2017, 22, 1809. [CrossRef]
- 193. Nishikawa, S.; Inoue, Y.; Hori, Y.; Miyajima, C.; Morishita, D.; Ohoka, N.; Hida, S.; Makino, T.; Hayashi, H. Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Kurarinone Involves Induction of Ho-1 via the Keap1/Nrf2 Pathway. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 842. [CrossRef]
- 194. Yang, J.; Chen, H.; Wang, Q.; Deng, S.; Huang, M.; Ma, X.; Song, P.; Du, J.; Huang, Y.; Wen, Y.; et al. Inhibitory Effect of Kurarinone on Growth of Human Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: An Experimental Study Both in Vitro and in Vivo Studies. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2018, 9, 252. [CrossRef]
- 195. Kumar, S.; Prajapati, K.S.; Shuaib, M.; Kushwaha, P.P.; Tuli, H.S.; Singh, A.K. Five-Decade Update on Chemopreventive and Other Pharmacological Potential of Kurarinone: A Natural Flavanone. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *12*, 737137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Kim, B.H.; Na, K.M.; Oh, I.; Song, I.H.; Lee, Y.S.; Shin, J.; Kim, T.Y. Kurarinone Regulates Immune Responses through Regulation of the JAK/STAT and TCR-Mediated Signaling Pathways. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2013**, *85*, 1134–1144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, X.; Dong, Q.; Zhong, Q.; Xiu, W.; Chen, Q.; Wang, J.; Zhou, Z. The Flavonoid Kurarinone Regulates Macrophage Functions via Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor and Alleviates Intestinal Inflammation in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *J. Inflamm. Res.* 2021, 14, 4347–4359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 198. Yang, Z.G.; Matsuzaki, K.; Takamatsu, S.; Kitanaka, S. Inhibitory Effects of Constituents from *Morus alba* Var. Multicaulis on Differentiation of 3T3-L1 Cells and Nitric Oxide Production in RAW264.7 Cells. *Molecules* 2011, 16, 6010–6022. [CrossRef]
- 199. Baek, S.H.; Hwang, S.; Park, T.; Kwon, Y.J.; Cho, M.; Park, D. Evaluation of Selective Cox-2 Inhibition and in Silico Study of Kuwanon Derivatives Isolated from *Morus alba. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 3659. [CrossRef]
- Arung, E.T.; Yoshikawa, K.; Shimizu, K.; Kondo, R. Isoprenoid-Substituted Flavonoids from Wood of Artocarpus heterophyllus on B16 Melanoma Cells: Cytotoxicity and Structural Criteria. Fitoterapia 2010, 81, 120–123. [CrossRef]
- Zelová, H.; Hanáková, Z.; Čermáková, Z.; Šmejkal, K.; Dall Acqua, S.; Babula, P.; Cvačka, J.; Hošek, J. Evaluation of Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Prenylated Substances Isolated from *Morus alba* and *Morus nigra*. J. Nat. Prod. 2014, 77, 1297–1303. [CrossRef]
- 202. Abdel Bar, F.M.; Abbas, G.M.; Gohar, A.A.; Lahloub, M.F.I. Antiproliferative Activity of Stilbene Derivatives and Other Constituents from the Stem Bark of *Morus nigra* L. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2020**, *34*, 3506–3513. [CrossRef]
- 203. Ko, W.; Yoon, C.S.; Kim, K.W.; Lee, H.; Kim, N.; Woo, E.R.; Kim, Y.C.; Kang, D.G.; Lee, H.S.; Oh, H.; et al. Neuroprotective and Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Kuwanon c from *Cudrania tricuspidata* Are Mediated by Heme Oxygenase-1 in Ht22 Hippocampal Cells, Raw264.7 Macrophage, and Bv2 Microglia. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 4839. [CrossRef]
- Lim, H.J.; Jin, H.G.; Woo, E.R.; Lee, S.K.; Kim, H.P. The Root Barks of Morus alba and the Flavonoid Constituents Inhibit Airway Inflammation. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2013, 149, 169–175. [CrossRef]
- 205. Liu, X.X.; Zhang, X.W.; Wang, K.; Wang, X.Y.; Ma, W.L.; Cao, W.; Mo, D.; Sun, Y.; Li, X.Q. Kuwanon G Attenuates Atherosclerosis by Upregulation of LXRα-ABCA1/ABCG1 and Inhibition of NFκB Activity in Macrophages. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2018, 341, 56–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jin, S.E.; Ha, H.; Shin, H.K.; Seo, C.S. Anti-Allergic and Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Kuwanon G and Morusin on MC/9 Mast Cells and HaCaT Keratinocytes. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 207. Lin, Y.; Kuang, Y.; Li, K.; Wang, S.; Song, W.; Qiao, X.; Sabir, G.; Ye, M. Screening for Bioactive Natural Products from a 67-Compound Library of *Glycyrrhiza inflata*. *Bioorganic Med. Chem.* **2017**, 25, 3706–3713. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, X.; Liu, Z.; Meng, R.; Shi, C.; Guo, N. Antioxidative and Anticancer Properties of Licochalcone A from Licorice. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2017, 198, 331–337. [CrossRef]
- 209. Qiu, J.; Feng, H.; Xiang, H.; Wang, D.; Xia, L.; Jiang, Y.; Song, K.; Lu, J.; Yu, L.; Deng, X. Influence of Subinhibitory Concentrations of Licochalcone A on the Secretion of Enterotoxins A and B by *Staphylococcus aureus*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2010**, 307, 135–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 210. Yang, G.; Lee, H.E.; Yeon, S.H.; Kang, H.C.; Cho, Y.Y.; Lee, H.S.; Zouboulis, C.C.; Han, S.H.; Lee, J.H.; Lee, J.Y. Licochalcone A Attenuates Acne Symptoms Mediated by Suppression of NLRP3 Inflammasome. *Phyther. Res.* 2018, 32, 2551–2559. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 211. Yoon, G.; Bok, Y.K.; Seung, H.C. Topoisomerase I Inhibition and Cytotoxicity of Licochalcones A and E from *Glycyrrhiza inflata*. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2007**, *30*, 313–316. [CrossRef]
- Phan, H.T.L.; Kim, H.J.; Jo, S.; Kim, W.K.; Namkung, W.; Nam, J.H. Anti-Inflammatory Effect of Licochalcone a via Regulation of ORAI1 and K+ Channels in T-Lymphocytes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 10847. [CrossRef]
- Furusawa, J.I.; Funakoshi-Tago, M.; Tago, K.; Mashino, T.; Inoue, H.; Sonoda, Y.; Kasahara, T. Licochalcone A Significantly Suppresses LPS Signaling Pathway through the Inhibition of NF-KB P65 Phosphorylation at Serine 276. *Cell. Signal.* 2009, 21, 778–785. [CrossRef]
- Hu, J.; Liu, J. Licochalcone A Attenuates Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Acute Kidney Injury by Inhibiting NF-KB Activation. Inflammation 2016, 39, 569–574. [CrossRef]
- Jia, T.; Qiao, J.; Guan, D.; Chen, T. Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Licochalcone A on IL-1β-Stimulated Human Osteoarthritis Chondrocytes. *Inflammation* 2017, 40, 1894–1902. [CrossRef]
- 216. Song, N.R.; Kim, J.E.; Park, J.S.; Kim, J.R.; Kang, H.; Lee, E.; Kang, Y.G.; Son, J.E.; Seo, S.G.; Heo, Y.S.; et al. Licochalcone A, a Polyphenol Present in Licorice, Suppresses UV-Induced COX-2 Expression by Targeting PI3K, MEK1, and B-Raf. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 4453–4470. [CrossRef]

- 217. Furusawa, J.I.; Funakoshi-Tago, M.; Mashino, T.; Tago, K.; Inoue, H.; Sonoda, Y.; Kasahara, T. *Glycyrrhiza inflata*-Derived Chalcones, Licochalcone A, Licochalcone B and Licochalcone D, Inhibit Phosphorylation of NF-KB P65 in LPS Signaling Pathway. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2009, *9*, 499–507. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, B.; Wang, H.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, L. Licochalcone B Attenuates Neuronal Injury through Anti-Oxidant Effect and Enhancement of Nrf2 Pathway in MCAO Rat Model of Stroke. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2021, 100, 108073. [CrossRef]
- Li, Q.; Feng, H.; Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Mou, W.; Xu, G.; Zhang, P.; Li, R.; Shi, W.; Wang, Z.; et al. Licochalcone B Specifically Inhibits the NLRP3 Inflammasome by Disrupting NEK7-NLRP3 Interaction. *EMBO Rep.* 2022, 23, e53499. [CrossRef]
- 220. Franceschelli, S.; Pesce, M.; Ferrone, A.; Gatta, D.M.P.; Patruno, A.; De Lutiis, M.A.; Quiles, J.L.; Grilli, A.; Felaco, M.; Speranza, L. Biological Effect of Licochalcone C on the Regulation of PI3K/Akt/ENOS and NF-KB/INOS/NO Signaling Pathways in H9c2 Cells in Response to LPS Stimulation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, *18*, 690. [CrossRef]
- 221. Franceschelli, S.; Pesce, M.; Vinciguerra, I.; Ferrone, A.; Riccioni, G.; Patruno, A.; Grilli, A.; Felaco, M.; Speranza, L. Licocalchone-C Extracted from *Glycyrrhiza glabra* Inhibits Lipopolysaccharide-Interferon-γ Inflammation by Improving Antioxidant Conditions and Regulating Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase Expression. *Molecules* 2011, 16, 5720–5734. [CrossRef]
- 222. Cho, Y.C.; Lee, S.H.; Yoon, G.; Kim, H.S.; Na, J.Y.; Choi, H.J.; Cho, C.W.; Cheon, S.H.; Kang, B.Y. Licochalcone E Reduces Chronic Allergic Contact Dermatitis and Inhibits IL-12p40 Production through down-Regulation of NF-KB. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2010, 10, 1119–1126. [CrossRef]
- Lee, H.N.; Cho, H.J.; Lim, D.Y.; Kang, Y.H.; Lee, K.W.; Park, J.H.Y. Mechanisms by Which Licochalcone e Exhibits Potent Anti-Inflammatory Properties: Studies with Phorbol Ester-Treated Mouse Skin and Lipopolysaccharide-Stimulated Murine Macrophages. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2013, 14, 10926–10943. [CrossRef]
- 224. Frattaruolo, L.; Carullo, G.; Brindisi, M.; Mazzotta, S.; Bellissimo, L.; Rago, V.; Curcio, R.; Dolce, V.; Aiello, F.; Cappello, A.R. Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Flavanones from *Glycyrrhiza glabra* L. (Licorice) Leaf Phytocomplexes: Identification of Licoflavanone as a Modulator of NF-KB/MAPK Pathway. *Antioxidants* 2019, *8*, 186. [CrossRef]
- 225. Paoletti, T.; Fallarini, S.; Gugliesi, F.; Minassi, A.; Appendino, G.; Lombardi, G. Anti-Inflammatory and Vascularprotective Properties of 8-Prenylapigenin. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *620*, 120–130. [CrossRef]
- Wätjen, W.; Weber, N.; Lou, Y.J.; Wang, Z.Q.; Chovolou, Y.; Kampkötter, A.; Kahl, R.; Proksch, P. Prenylation Enhances Cytotoxicity of Apigenin and Liquiritigenin in Rat H4IIE Hepatoma and C6 Glioma Cells. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2007, 45, 119–124. [CrossRef]
- 227. Jang, D.S.; Cuendet, M.; Hawthorne, M.E.; Kardono, L.B.S.; Kawanishi, K.; Fonga, H.H.S.; Mehta, R.G.; Pezzuto, J.M.; Kinghorn, A.D. Prenylated Flavonoids of the Leaves of *Macaranga conifera* with Inhibitory Activity against Cyclooxygenase-2. *Phytochemistry* 2002, *61*, 867–872. [CrossRef]
- 228. Chan, Y.Y.; Li, C.H.; Shen, Y.C.; Wu, T.S. Anti-Inflammatory Principles from the Stem and Root Barks of *Citrus medica*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2010**, *58*, 61–65. [CrossRef]
- Tedasen, A.; Sukrong, S.; Sritularak, B.; Srisawat, T.; Graidist, P. 5,7,4'-Trihydroxy-6,8-Diprenylisoflavone and Lupalbigenin, Active Components of Derris Scandens, Induce Cell Death on Breast Cancer Cell Lines. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2016, *81*, 235–241. [CrossRef]
- Sriklung, K.; Apiratikul, N.; Samosorn, S.; Narkwichean, A.; Watanapokasin, R. Lupalbigenin Inhibiting NF-KB Translocation Associated with Anti-Inflammatory Responses in Lipopolysaccharide Stimulated RAW 264.7 Macrophages. J. Med. Assoc. Thail. 2022, 105, S32–S38. [CrossRef]
- 231. Navrátilová, A.; Nešuta, O.; Vančatová, I.; Čížek, A.; Varela-M, R.E.; López-Abán, J.; Villa-Pulgarin, J.A.; Mollinedo, F.; Muro, A.; Žemličková, H.; et al. C-Geranylated Flavonoids from *Paulownia tomentosa* Fruits with Antimicrobial Potential and Synergistic Activity with Antibiotics. *Pharm. Biol.* 2016, 54, 1398–1407. [CrossRef]
- Hanáková, Z.; Hošek, J.; Babula, P.; Dall'Acqua, S.; Václavík, J.; Šmejkal, K. C-Geranylated Flavanones from *Paulownia tomentosa* Fruits as Potential Anti-Inflammatory Compounds Acting via Inhibition of TNF-α Production. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2015, 78, 850–863. [CrossRef]
- Dat, N.T.; Binh, P.T.X.; Quynh, L.T.P.; Van Minh, C.; Huong, H.T.; Lee, J.J. Cytotoxic Prenylated Flavonoids from *Morus alba*. *Fitoterapia* 2010, *81*, 1224–1227. [CrossRef]
- 234. Kwak, W.J.; Moon, T.C.; Lin, C.X.; Rhyn, H.G.; Jung, H.; Lee, E.; Kwon, D.Y.; Son, K.H.; Kim, H.P.; Kang, S.S.; et al. Papyriflavonol A from Broussonetia Papyrifera Inhibits the Passive Cutaneous Anaphylaxis Reaction and Has a Secretory Phospholipase A 2-Inhibitory Activity. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2003, 26, 299–302. [CrossRef]
- Mao, L.; Liu, H.; Zhang, R.; Deng, Y.; Hao, Y.; Liao, W.; Yuan, M.; Sun, S. Pink1/Parkin-Mediated Mitophagy Inhibits Warangalone-Induced Mitochondrial Apoptosis in Breast Cancer Cells. *Aging* 2021, *13*, 12955–12972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kupeli, E.; Orhan, I.; Toker, G.; Yesilada, E. Anti-Inflammatory and Antinociceptive Potential of *Maclura pomifera* (Rafin.) Schneider Fruit Extracts and Its Major Isoflavonoids, Scandenone and Auriculasin. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2006, 107, 169–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wun, Z.Y.; Lin, C.F.; Huang, W.C.; Huang, Y.L.; Xu, P.Y.; Chang, W.T.; Wu, S.J.; Liou, C.J. Anti-Inflammatory Effect of Sophoraflavanone G Isolated from *Sophora flavescens* in Lipopolysaccharide-Stimulated Mouse Macrophages. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2013, 62, 253–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 238. Sun, M.; Cao, H.; Sun, L.; Dong, S.; Bian, Y.; Han, J.; Zhang, L.; Ren, S.; Hu, Y.; Liu, C.; et al. Antitumor Activities of Kushen: Literature Review. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* **2012**, 2012, 373219. [CrossRef]

- Kang, T.H.; Jeong, S.J.; Ko, W.G.; Kim, N.Y.; Lee, B.H.; Inagaki, M.; Miyamoto, T.; Higuchi, R.; Kim, Y.C. Cytotoxic Lavandulyl Flavanones from Sophora flavescens. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 680–681. [CrossRef]
- Cha, S.M.; Cha, J.D.; Jang, E.J.; Kim, G.U.; Lee, K.Y. Sophoraflavanone G Prevents *Streptococcus mutans* Surface Antigen I/II-Induced Production of NO and PGE2 by Inhibiting MAPK-Mediated Pathways in RAW 264.7 Macrophages. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 2016, 68, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- Ko, W.G.; Kang, T.H.; Kim, N.Y.; Lee, S.J.; Kim, Y.C.; Ko, G.I.; Ryu, S.Y.; Lee, B.H. Lavandulylflavonoids: A New Class of in Vitro Apoptogenic Agents from Sophora flavescens. Toxicol. Vitr. 2000, 14, 429–433. [CrossRef]
- 242. Shirataki, Y.; Motohashi, N.; Tani, S.; Sakagami, H.; Satoh, K.; Nakashima, H.; Mahapatra, S.K.; Ganguly, K.; Dastidar, S.G.; Chakrabarty, A.N. In Vitro Biological Activity of Prenylflavanones. *Anticancer Res.* **2001**, *21*, 275–280.
- 243. Yasuda, M.; Kawabata, K.; Miyashita, M.; Okumura, M.; Yamamoto, N.; Takahashi, M.; Ashida, H.; Ohigashi, H. Inhibitory Effects of 4-Hydroxyderricin and Xanthoangelol on Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Inflammatory Responses in RAW264 Macrophages. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2014, 62, 462–467. [CrossRef]
- Hartkorn, A.; Hoffmann, F.; Ajamieh, H.; Vogel, S.; Heilmann, J.; Gerbes, A.L.; Vollmar, A.M.; Zahler, S. Antioxidant Effects of Xanthohumol and Functional Impact on Hepatic Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 1741–1747. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.L.; Zhang, Y.D.; Wang, T.; Guo, H.Y.; Liu, Q.M.; Su, H.X. Evaluation on Antioxidant Effect of Xanthohumol by Different Antioxidant Capacity Analytical Methods. J. Chem. 2014, 2014, 249485. [CrossRef]
- 246. Tronina, T.; Bartmańska, A.; Milczarek, M.; Wietrzyk, J.; Popłoński, J.; Rój, E.; Huszcza, E. Antioxidant and Antiproliferative Activity of Glycosides Obtained by Biotransformation of Xanthohumol. *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* 2013, 23, 1957–1960. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 247. Zhao, F.; Nozawa, H.; Daikonnya, A.; Kondo, K.; Kitanaka, S. Inhibitors of Nitric Oxide Production from Hops (*Humulus lupulus* L.). *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **2003**, *26*, 61–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Logan, I.E.; Miranda, C.L.; Lowry, M.B.; Maier, C.S.; Stevens, J.F.; Gombart, A.F. Antiproliferative and Cytotoxic Activity of Xanthohumol and Its Non-Estrogenic Derivatives in Colon and Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Lines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 1203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cho, Y.C.; Kim, H.J.; Kim, Y.J.; Lee, K.Y.; Choi, H.J.; Lee, I.S.; Kang, B.Y. Differential Anti-Inflammatory Pathway by Xanthohumol in IFN-γ and LPS-Activated Macrophages. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2008, *8*, 567–573. [CrossRef]
- 250. Kontek, B.; Jedrejek, D.; Oleszek, W.; Olas, B. Antiradical and Antioxidant Activity in Vitro of Hops-Derived Extracts Rich in Bitter Acids and Xanthohumol. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2021, 161, 113208. [CrossRef]
- Pan, L.; Becker, H.; Gerhäuser, C. Xanthohumol Induces Apoptosis in Cultured 40-16 Human Colon Cancer Cells by Activation of the Death Receptor- and Mitochondrial Pathway. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2005, 49, 837–843. [CrossRef]
- 252. Lupinacci, E.; Meijerink, J.; Vincken, J.P.; Gabriele, B.; Gruppen, H.; Witkamp, R.F. Xanthohumol from Hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.) Is an Efficient Inhibitor of Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 and Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Release in LPS-Stimulated RAW 264.7 Mouse Macrophages and U937 Human Monocytes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 7274–7281. [CrossRef]
- 253. Koo, J.H.; Hyoung, T.K.; Yoon, H.Y.; Kwon, K.B.; Choi, I.W.; Sung, H.J.; Kim, H.U.; Park, B.H.; Park, J.W. Effect of Xanthohumol on Melanogenesis in B16 Melanoma Cells. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **2008**, *40*, 313–319. [CrossRef]
- Cho, Y.C.; You, S.K.; Kim, H.J.; Cho, C.W.; Lee, I.S.; Kang, B.Y. Xanthohumol Inhibits IL-12 Production and Reduces Chronic Allergic Contact Dermatitis. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2010, 10, 556–561. [CrossRef]
- 255. Negrão, R.; Costa, R.; Duarte, D.; Gomes, T.T.; Coelho, P.; Guimarães, J.T.; Guardão, L.; Azevedo, I.; Soares, R. Xanthohumol-Supplemented Beer Modulates Angiogenesis and Inflammation in a Skin Wound Healing Model. Involvement of Local Adipocytes. J. Cell. Biochem. 2012, 113, 100–109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 256. Ye, H.; Xie, C.; Wu, W.; Xiang, M.; Liu, Z.; Li, Y.; Tang, M.; Li, S.; Yang, J.; Tang, H.; et al. *Millettia pachycarpa* Exhibits Anti-Inflammatory Activity through the Suppression of LPS-Induced NO/INOS Expression. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 2014, 42, 949–965. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 257. Chan, E.W.C.; Wong, S.K.; Tangah, J.; Chan, H.T. Chemistry and Pharmacology of Artocarpin: An Isoprenyl Flavone from *Artocarpus Species. Syst. Rev. Pharm.* 2018, 9, 58–63. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.L.; Di, X.X.; Shen, T.; Wang, S.Q.; Wang, X.N. New Phenolic Compounds from the Leaves of Artocarpus heterophyllus. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2017, 28, 37–40. [CrossRef]
- 259. Wang, Y.A.; Guo, X.; Jia, X.H.; Xue, J.; Du, H.F.; Du, C.L.; Tang, W.Z.; Wang, X.J.; Zhao, Y.X. Undescribed C-Geranylflavonoids Isolated from the Fruit Peel of *Paulownia catalpifolia* T. Gong Ex D.Y. Hong with Their Protection on Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells Injury Induced by Hydrogen Peroxide. *Phytochemistry* 2019, 158, 126–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 260. Kuete, V.; Sandjo, L.P. Isobavachalcone: An Overview. Chin. J. Integr. Med. 2012, 18, 543–547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 261. Kulthanan, K.; Trakanwittayarak, S.; Tuchinda, P.; Chularojanamontri, L.; Limphoka, P.; Varothai, S. A Double-Blinded, Randomized, Vehicle-Controlled Study of the Efficacy of Moisturizer Containing Licochalcone A, Decanediol, L-Carnitine, and Salicylic Acid for Prevention of Acne Relapse in Asian Population. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2020, 2020, 2857812. [CrossRef]
- Kolbe, L.; Immeyer, J.; Batzer, J.; Wensorra, U.; Dieck, K.T.; Mundt, C.; Wolber, R.; Stäb, F.; Schönrock, U.; Ceilley, R.I.; et al. Anti-Inflammatory Efficacy of Licochalcone A: Correlation of Clinical Potency and in Vitro Effects. *Arch. Dermatol. Res.* 2006, 298, 23–30. [CrossRef]

- 263. Jovanovic, Z.; Angabini, N.; Ehlen, S.; Mokos, Z.B.; Subotic, M.; Neufang, G. Efficacy and Tolerability of a Cosmetic Skin Care Product with Trans-4-t-Butylcyclohexanol and Licochalcone A in Subjects with Sensitive Skin Prone to Redness and Rosacea. J. Drugs Dermatol. 2017, 16, 605–610.
- 264. Schoelermann, A.M.; Weber, T.M.; Arrowitz, C.; Rizer, R.L.; Qian, K.; Babcock, M. Skin Compatibility and Efficacy of a Cosmetic Skin Care Regimen with Licochalcone A and 4-t-Butylcyclohexanol in Patients with Rosacea Subtype I. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. 2016, 30, 21–27. [CrossRef]
- 265. Sulzberger, M.; Worthmann, A.C.; Holtzmann, U.; Buck, B.; Jung, K.A.; Schoelermann, A.M.; Rippke, F.; Stäb, F.; Wenck, H.; Neufang, G.; et al. Effective Treatment for Sensitive Skin: 4-t-Butylcyclohexanol and Licochalcone A. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 2016, 30, 9–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 266. Silva, L.M.; Marconato, D.G.; Nascimento Da Silva, M.P.; Barbosa Raposo, N.R.; De Faria Silva Facchini, G.; MacEdo, G.C.; Teixeira, F.D.S.; Barbosa Da Silveira Salvadori, M.C.; De Faria Pinto, P.; De Moraes, J.; et al. Licochalcone A-Loaded Solid Lipid Nanoparticles Improve Antischistosomal Activity in Vitro and in Vivo. *Nanomedicine* 2021, 16, 1641–1655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 267. Wang, Z.; Xue, Y.; Chen, T.; Du, Q.; Zhu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wu, Y.; Zeng, Q.; Shen, C.; Jiang, C.; et al. Glycyrrhiza Acid Micelles Loaded with Licochalcone A for Topical Delivery: Co-Penetration and Anti-Melanogenic Effect. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2021, 167, 106029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 268. Philips, N.; Samuel, M.; Arena, R.; Chen, Y.J.; Conte, J.; Natarajan, P.; Haas, G.; Gonzales, S. Direct Inhibition of Elastase and Matrixmetalloproteinases and Stimulation of Biosynthesis of Fibrillar Collagens, Elastin, and Fibrillins by Xanthohumol. J. Cosmet. Sci. 2010, 61, 485. [CrossRef]
- 269. Jiang, C.H.; Sun, T.L.; Xiang, D.X.; Wei, S.S.; Li, W.Q. Anticancer Activity and Mechanism of Xanthohumol: A Prenylated Flavonoid from Hops (*Humulus lupulus L.*). *Front. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *9*, 530. [CrossRef]
- 270. Liu, M.; Hansen, P.E.; Wang, G.; Qiu, L.; Dong, J.; Yin, H.; Qian, Z.; Yang, M.; Miao, J. Pharmacological Profile of Xanthohumol, a Prenylated Flavonoid from Hops (*Humulus lupulus*). *Molecules* **2015**, *20*, 754–779. [CrossRef]