Review

toll-like receptors

Recognition of pathogen-associated nucleic acids by endosomal nucleic acid-sensing

Xiaobing He¹, Huaijie Jia¹, Zhizhong Jing^{1*}, and Dingxiang Liu^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Key Laboratory of Veterinary Public Health of Ministry of Agriculture, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, CAAS, Lanzhou 730046, China

²School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 60 Nanyang Drive, Singapore

*Correspondence address. Tel: +86-931-8341979; Fax: +86-931-8340977; E-mail: Zhizhongj@yahoo.com.cn (Z.J.)/Dxliu@ntu.edu.sg (D.L.)

Foreign nucleic acids, the essential signature molecules of invading pathogens that act as danger signals for host cells, are detected by endosomal nucleic acid-sensing tolllike receptors (TLRs) 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13. These TLRs have evolved to recognize 'non-self' nucleic acids within endosomal compartments and rapidly initiate innate immune responses to ensure host protection through induction of type I interferons, inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and co-stimulatory molecules and maturation of immune cells. In this review, we highlight our understanding of the recognition of pathogen-associated nucleic acids and activation of corresponding signaling pathways through endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 for an enormous diversity of pathogens, with particular emphasis on their compartmentalization, intracellular trafficking, proteolytic cleavage, autophagy, and regulatory programs.

innate immunity; endosomal nucleic acid-Keywords sensing toll-like receptor; danger signal; compartmentalization; signaling pathway

Received: August 29, 2012 Accepted: December 26, 2012

Introduction

As a universal and ancient form of host defense against invading pathogens, the innate immune system has developed a wide variety of germ-line encoded host sensors known as pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs). PRRs recognize danger signals that are essential, structure-conserved, and specific signature molecules of a diverse array of pathogens, which are called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), and danger/damage-associated molecular patterns that are molecules released from intracellular stores of damaged or stressed host cells. This recognition triggers the secretion of a large amount of inflammatory cytokines and antiviral cytokines, such as type I interferons (IFNs), for effective host defense by eradicating infectious pathogens and for the development of long-lasting pathogen-specific adaptive immunity through B and T cells [1-3].

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are evolutionarily conserved germ-line encoded PRRs thought to be the primary sensors of infective pathogens. As an important family of type I transmembrane (TM) glycoprotein receptors, all TLRs are composed of an ectodomain (ECD) containing multiple leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) directly involved in the recognition of PAMPs, a TM domain required for the subcellular localization of TLRs, and an intracellular domain with a conserved cytoplasmic signaling region called the Toll/IL-1 receptor (TIR), which is required for transduction of downstream signaling [1-4].

A total of 10 TLRs in humans and 12 TLRs in mice have been identified to date. TLRs 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 10 are primarily expressed on the surface of immune cells and mainly recognize bacterial and fungal cell wall components and viral envelope proteins, as well as protozoal components [1,2,5]. In contrast, the nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, which include TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 (only expressed in mice), are completely localized within the endosomal compartments of immune cells and recognize double stranded RNA (dsRNA), single stranded RNA (ssRNA) and DNA derived from viruses, bacteria, fungi, and parasites, respectively. Upon recognition of respective foreign nucleic acids, signal transduction through these receptors is initiated by the recruitment of myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) or the TIR domain-containing adapter molecule (TRIF) (also known as the TIR domain containing adapter molecule 1, TICAM1) that results in the activation of IFN-regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), IRF7, activator protein 1 (AP-1), and nuclear transcription- κB (NF- κB) and transcription of inflammatory cytokines, type I IFNs, chemokines, and antimicrobial peptides and innate immune response genes (Table 1) [1-5]. However, recognition of



Туре	Subcellular localization	Natural and synthetic ligand	Adaptor	Transcription factor	Effector cytokines	References
TLR3	Endosomes	dsRNAs, siRNAs, poly(I:C)	TRIF	NF-κB, AP-1, IRF3	Inflammatory cytokines, type I interferons	[1,2,5-7]
TLR7	Endosomes	ssRNAs, ORNs, siRNAs, imidazoquinolines	MyD88, PACSIN1	NF-кВ, АР-1, IRF3/7	Inflammatory cytokines, type I interferons	[1,2,5,7-11]
TLR8	Endosomes	ssRNAs, ORNs, siRNAs, poly(A)/T, DNA, imidazoquinolines	MyD88	NF-κB, AP-1, IRF3/7	Inflammatory cytokines, type I interferons	[1,2,5,7,9,12]
TLR9	Endosomes	CpG DNAs, CpG ODNs	MyD88, PACSIN1	NF-κB, AP-1, IRF3/7	Inflammatory cytokines, type I interferons	[1,2,5,7-11]
TLR13	Endosomes	23S rRNA	MyD88	NF-кВ, АР-1, IRF3/7	Inflammatory cytokines, type I interferons	[13-15]

Table 1 Summary of the signaling pathways of TLR3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

'self'-nucleic acids by the nucleic acid-sensing TLRs contributes to the pathology associated with several autoimmune or autoinflammatory diseases under certain pathological conditions. In this review, we discuss the recent progress in studies of pathogen-associated nucleic acid recognition and signaling pathways within endosomal compartments, and the individual functions of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 in innate immunity. In addition, we discuss the compartmentalization, intracellular trafficking, proteolytic cleavage, autophagy, and regulatory programs of these TLRs in innate immunity.

Distribution, Subcellular Localization and Regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

Distribution of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

The expression of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 is distinct in different cell types of human and murine origins. TLR3 is observed in the human myeloid DCs (mDCs), macrophages, natural killer cells, and B cells [6,16]. TLR3 mRNA has also been detected in epithelial cells of many different organs including airway, uterine, corneal, vaginal, biliary, and intestinal organs because the mucous membranes of these organs act as efficient innate barriers to infection from the respiratory tract, and gastrointestinal and urogenital systems [6,16]. Surprisingly, higher expression level of TLR3 is also observed in neurons, astrocytes, and microglia, suggesting a specific function in response to encephalitogenic viruses of the central nervous system [6,17,18]. TLRs 7 and 9 are predominantly expressed in plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) in humans and are involved in strong IFN- α induction, but TLR8 tends to be detected in human

monocytes and mDCs, and predisposes the induction of inflammatory cytokines [1,19–23]. In mice, TLR7 is highly expressed in pDCs, while TLR8 is expressed in all four splenic DC subsets including CD4⁺ DCs, CD8⁺ DCs, CD4⁻ CD8⁻ DCs, and pDCs. The precise function of murine TLR8 remains to be elucidated [1,19-23]. Expression of TLR9 is detected in murine B cells, pDCs, monocytes/macrophages, and conventional DCs (cDCs) [1,19–23]. Unlike it in mouse, TLR9 expression in human is restricted to pDCs and B cells [1,19-23], reflecting possible species-specific differences in the expression of TLRs 7, 8, and 9 between mouse and human. Interestingly, a recent report showed that virus-induced signaling adaptor (VISA) regulates B cell expression of TLR7 and CD23 [24], suggesting an unexpected link between TLRs and retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs). In addition, TLR13 is a novel functional TLR that is predominantly expressed in cDCs and macrophages [13,14].

Compartmentalization and regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 are localized in intracellular compartments, such as endosomes, lysosomes, multivesicular bodies, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [1,2,5,25,26]. However, they are only activated within acidified endosomal compartments, because TLRs 3-, 7-, 8-, 9-, and 13-induced responses to foreign nucleic acids are suppressed by some endosomal acidification inhibitors, such as chloroquine, ammonium chloride or bafilomycin A1 [1,2,5,25,26]. Upon stimulation, nucleic acid-sensing TLRs are translocated directly from the ER to endosomes via the conventional secretory pathway through the Golgi [25–27]. After pathogens are internalized, the endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs enable them to recognize nucleic acids delivered to the endosomal compartments [14,25-27]. By contrast, cellular nucleic acids presented outside endosomes are rapidly degraded by nucleases and are not detected by endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs. Therefore, the compartmentalization of these receptors appears to be a key regulatory mechanism to modulate ligand binding and to distinguish 'self' nucleic acids from 'non-self' nucleic acids [1,2,5,25-28]. Accordingly, the compartmentalization of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs is regulated by multiple regulatory mechanisms, including trafficking, proteolysis and autophagy, and involves the TM region of these TLRs. Failure to maintain a physical separation between 'self' nucleic acids and endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs is frequently associated with autoimmune disorders [1,2,5,25-27,29-31].

Importance of the TM region of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13. As described above, the TM domain of TLRs mediates their subcellular localization. Domain analysis using chimeric nucleic acid-sensing TLRs has revealed that the endosomal TLR3 is determined by the linker region between its TM and TIR domains, whereas the endosomal localization of TLRs 7, 8, and 9 is determined by their TM domains [28,31,32]. Interestingly, a tyrosine-based internalization motif in the cytosolic tail of human TLR9 or the TM α -helix of mouse TLR9 is required to target it to the endosomal compartments, but mutation of structural motifs of TLR9 results in its redistribution to the cell surface [33]. Surprisingly, cell-surface expression of a mutant form of TLR9 (TLR9^{TM-MUT}) in TLR9-deficient myeloid cells resulted in more MyD88 recruitment to TLR9 in response to cytidine-phosphate-guanosine DNA (CpG-DNA) or self-DNA than that caused by expression of wild-type TLR9, even after inhibition of proteolytic processing [34].

Trafficking regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13. Trafficking and processing of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs in intracellular compartments are tightly regulated by a series of regulatory molecules to prevent the 'self' nucleic acids recognition. The heat shock protein glycoprotein 96 (gp96, also known as grp94) not only is required for folding and mobilization of cell surface TLR, but also regulates the intracellular trafficking of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs [35,36]. In the absence of gp96, TLR7 and TLR9 remain in the ER and gp96-deficient macrophages fail to respond to agonists of TLR7 and TLR9 [35,36]. Another chaperone proteins known as protein associated with TLR4 (PRAT4A, also known as Cnpy3) is required for trafficking of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs from the ER to endosomes and of cell-surface TLRs from the ER to the plasma membrane. Interestingly, PRAT4A associates with and translocates TLRs 7 and 9 rather than TLR3 from the ER to the endosomes, indicating that trafficking of TLRs 3, 7, and 9 is differentially regulated [37,38]. Therefore, gp96 and PRAT4A are critical for both TLR9 egress from the ER and for the conformational stability and function of these receptors in the endosomal compartments. In addition to gp96 and PRAT4A, regulatory molecules solute carrier family 15 member 4 (Slc15a4), adapter-related protein complex-3 (AP-3), hermansky-Pudlak syndrome proteins of the biogenesis of lysosome-related organelle complex (BLOC)-1 and BLOC-2 groups, phospholipid scramblase 1 (PLSCR1), and hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate (HRS) are essential for TLR7 and/or TLR9-mediated specialized membrane trafficking in pDCs [39–41].

In addition, Unc93b1, an ER resident protein with 12 membrane-spanning domains, acts as a chaperone in association with TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13, and controls the translocation of these TLRs from the ER to endosomal compartments [42-44]. Unc93b1 is also required for cytokine production, up-regulation of co-stimulatory molecules, and efficient cross-presentation of exogenous antigens via MHC class I and class II molecules [42-44]. Although the precise mechanism by which Unc93b1 controls each TLR trafficking is yet to be elucidated, it is known that Unc93b1 is essential for the activation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13, and efficient translocation of each TLR from the ER to endosomes [42-44]. Unc93b1 with an H412R mutation cannot exit the ER and overexpression of Unc93b1 increases trafficking of TLRs 3, 7, 8, and 9 to endosomes [45]. Furthermore, TLRs 7 and 9, but not TLR3, compete with each other for association with Unc93b1. Under normal circumstances, Unc93b1 physically associates with TLR9, and overexpression of TLR9 inhibits TLR7 signal transduction, resulting in a weaker TLR7 response to RNA. However, a D34A mutation in Unc93b1 has been found to up-regulate ligand-induced trafficking of TLR7 and downregulate that of TLR9, leading to systemic lethal inflammation [46-49]. This indicates that physical association between UNC93B and nucleic acid-sensing TLRs is essential and, specifically, Unc93B1 controls homeostatic TLR7 activation by balancing trafficking of TLR9 and TLR7 to protect host from the initiation of autoimmune diseases. Thus, the compartmentalization of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs is regulated by ER-resident molecules, such as gp96, PRAT4A, and Unc93b1.

Proteolytic regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13. Proteolytic cleavage of the ECDs of nucleic acidsensing TLRs is important for the binding of DNA and/or RNA, recruitment of MyD88 or TRIF, and initiation of signal transduction within endosomes (**Fig. 1**). Three research groups have independently shown that TLR9 ECD is



Figure 1 Overview of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways, compartmentalization, trafficking, and regulation mechanism Diagram showing the stimulation of TLR3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 by dsRNA, ssRNA, and CpG DNA, leading to the translocation of TLR3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 from the ER to endosomal compartments and activation of the MyD88- and TRIF-dependent signaling pathways, respectively. Upon stimulation, TLR3 recruits TRIF, which in turn recruits a set of adaptor molecules lead to the formation of a complex and the activation of IRF3, NF-κB, and AP-1. However, TLRs 7, 8, 9, and 13 interact with the TIR domain of MyD88 to recruit a set of adaptor molecules lead to the formation of a complex and the activation of a complex and the activation of IRF7, NF-κB, and AP-1. To achieve a balanced output, TLR3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways are positively and negatively regulated by modulatory molecules, such as A20, deubiquitinating enzyme A, SARM, SHP-2, Nrdp1, and Ubc5.

proteolytically cleaved and removed at its flexible loop between LRR 14 and 15 by multiple acid-dependent proteases within endosomal compartment, generating the resultant half ECD, as well as the TM and the cytosolic TIR domain. The TIR domain forms a functional signaling complex with MyD88 to directly mediate DNA recognition and initiate signal transduction [50-52]. Surprisingly, fulllength TLR9 is found only in the ER, whereas the cleaved, functional TLR9 is restricted to within endosomal compartment [50-52]. Proteases involved in TLR9 cleavage include cathepsins, such as cathepsin B, cathepsin S, cathepsin L, cathepsin H, and cathepsin K, and asparagine endopeptidase (AEP) [53-55]. However, the structural basis for recognition of CpG DNA by functional cleavage of TLR9 remains unclear so far. Interestingly, specific deletion of LRR in TLR9 renders it unresponsive to CpG DNA,

mutations in the positively charged N-terminal region of TLR9 cannot affect its binding toCpG DNA, indicating the importance of multiple LRRs in TLR9 activation [55-57].

Recently, it has been shown that compartmentalized proteolytic cleavage of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs is a multistep process. The majority of the TLR9 ECD is to be removed and can be performed by AEP or multiple cathepsins, and then a trimming event mediated solely by cathepsin is required for optimal receptor signaling of functional TLR9 [55]. In addition, similar processing of TLR3 and TLR7 also occurs in murine RAW264.7 macrophages and/ or human retinal epithelial cell line RPE1, implying that receptor proteolysis is a general regulatory strategy for restricting the capacity of all nucleic acid-sensing TLRs to signals only from the endosomal compartments where they sense nucleic acids [55,58,59]. Autophagy in regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13. Autophagy is an evolutionary and fundamental cellular homeostatic process involved in cell survival during starvation and in maintaining quality control of intracellular organelles [30,31,60-63]. The importance of autophagy in the regulation of innate immune recognition of pathogens in phagosomes or autophagosomes has been revealed by a number of recent studies. Autophagy is important for the regulation of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, especially TLR7 [30,31,60-63]. A subset of nucleic acid-sensing TLR ligands, including synthetic nucleic acid-analogs, bacteria, viruses, fungi, and protozoa, can induce autophagy. Synthetic nucleic acid-analogs and pathogens are able to induce the formation of phagolysosomes or autolysosomes via fusion of double-membrane vesicles (phagosomes or autophagosomes) with late endosomes or lysosomes, which are highly acidifying environments containing abundant degradation enzymes. The ligands are then delivered to endosomal compartments where recognition by nucleic acid-sensing TLRs occurs in pDCs, macrophage cells, and B cells [30,31,60-63]. Although host ssRNAs can also be taken up by macrophages or pDCs during phagocytosis of necrotic cells/infected cells, they rarely reach the endosomal compartments. Several essential components of the autophagic machinery, such as autophagy-related proteins (ATGs) and Beclin, are required for phagolysosome or autolysosome formation, endosomal maturation, and activation of signaling pathways downstream of nucleic acidsensing TLRs after ligand stimulation [30,31,64].

It has been reported that the engagement of TLR7 induces autophagy and promotes the elimination of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) in autolysosomes [65,66]. In addition to this role in bacteria, the role of autophagy in defense against viruses in pDCs has been demonstrated, especially in the innate recognition of viral replication products, such as those of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) [60], Sendai virus (SeV) [60], herpes simplex virus (HSV) [60], influenza A virus (IAV), Dengue virus (DENV) [67], Poliovirus [68], coronaviruses [69], Coxsackie B virus (CBV) [70], foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) [71], and hepatitis C virus (HCV) [72]. Two different ligands of TLR7, ssRNA and imiquimod, are able to induce the formation of autophagosomes via the TLR7-MyD88-dependent signaling pathway in macrophages, characterized by microtubule-associated light chain 3-green fluorescent protein (LC3-GFP) puncta formation for the elimination of BCG, and both Atg5 and Beclin are required for the induction of autophagy [65]. In the case of IAV, the virus internalizes into endosomes to be recognized by TLR7, but VSV and SeV replication intermediates formed during virus infection are required for recognition, and autophagosomes are necessary for host cells to respond to VSV and SeV infection via TLR7 [60]. In addition, pDCs take

advantage of an autophagic process in which 'self' nucleic acids are degraded inside double-membrane vesicles called autophagosomes [60]. In the absence of ATG5 in pDCs, type I IFNs cannot be induced in response to CpG-DNA and HSV-1 infection [60]. It suggests that cytoplasmic virions are engulfed by autophagosomes, which then fuse with lysosomes. Autophagy may therefore control either the endosomal maturation required for CpG-DNA sensing. Alternatively, autophagy may regulate the TLR9-MyD88-IRF7 signaling pathways in pDCs to induce type I IFNs.

In addition, polyinosine-polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)] can induce autophagy formation, as evidenced by GFP-LC3 puncta formation and increased proteolysis of long-lived proteins in murine RAW264.7 macrophages, and by LC3-II conversion in BMMs [56]. However, further studies are needed to ascertain if TLR3 is involved in induction of autophagy, as poly(I:C) can also activate RIG-I and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA-5). Although significant advances have been made in the molecular machinery underlying autophagy, our understanding of the relationship or interaction between TLRs and autophagy, and whether TLR ligand-induced autophagy is a general phenomenon that promotes trafficking of pathogenic nucleic acids to endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs remained limited. Further studies are needed to elucidate the molecular mechanism by which TLR signaling activates autophagy.

Recognition of Foreign Nucleic Acids by TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

Recognition of dsRNA by TLR3

TLR3 is one of the endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs responsible for the recognition of synthetic RNA analogs poly(I:C) and polyinosine [poly(I)], as well as viral dsRNA (Fig. 1 and Table 2). TLR3 was originally identified as a receptor that recognizes poly(I:C). Subsequently, numerous reports have shown that TLR3 also acts as a sensor in the host response to the genomes of dsRNA viruses such as reovirus [73], or to the replication intermediates formed during infection by ssRNA viruses, such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [74], West Nile virus (WNV) [75], DENV [76], IAV [77], encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) [78], lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) [79], Semliki Forest virus (SFV) [80], Coxsackievirus group B serotype 3 (CVB3) [81], poliovirus [82], Punta Toro virus (PTV) [83], Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [84], HCV [85], and Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpes virus (KSHV) [86]. In addition, TLR3 also recognizes viral dsRNAs found in the products of transcription intermediates of DNA viruses, including vaccinia virus (VACV) [87], HSV [88], and mouse cytomegalovirus (MCMV) [89] (Table 2).

TLR3 is involved in the recognition of small interfering RNA (siRNA) in a sequence-independent manner, resulting

Table 2 List of pathogens recognized by TLR3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

Receptors	Pathogens	References
TLR3	Viruses	
	Reovirus	[73]
	Respiratory syncytial virus	[74]
	West Nile virus	[75]
	Dengue virus	[76]
	Influenza A virus	[77]
	Encephalomyocarditis virus	[78]
	Lymphocytic	[79]
	choriomeningitis virus	
	Semliki forest virus	[80]
	Coxsackievirus group B serotype 3	[81]
	Poliovirus	[82]
	Punta Toro virus	[83]
	Epstein-Barr virus	[84]
	Hepatitis C virus	[85]
	Kaposi's sarcoma-associated	[86]
	herpesvirus	
	Vaccinia virus	[87]
	Herpes simplex virus	[88]
	Mouse cytomegalovirus	[89]
	Protozoa	
	Schistosoma mansoni	[90]
	Fungus	
	Aspergillus fumigatus	[91]
TLR7 and/or	Viruses	
TLR8	Vaccinia virus	[12]
	Modified VV Ankara	[12]
	Human immunodeficiency virus	[92]
	Influenza A virus	[93]
	Sendai virus	[94]
	Vesicular stomatitis virus	[94]
	Coxsacki B virus	[95]
	Human parechovirus 1	[96]
	Foot and mouth disease virus	[97]
	Newcastle disease virus	[98]
	Dengue virus	[99]
	Hepatitis C virus	[100]
	Mouse hepatitis virus	[101]
	Pneumonia virus of mice	[102]
	Mouse mammary tumor virus	[103]
	Murine leukemia virus	[103]
	Bacteria	
	Borrelia burgdorferi	[104]
	Helicobacter pylori	[105]
	Mycobacterium bovis	[104]
	Escherichia coli	[104]
	Group B Streptococcus	[106]

Receptors	Pathogens	References
	Protozoa	
	Trypanosoma cruzi	[107]
	Fungus	
	<i>Candida</i> spp.	[108]
	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	[109]
TLR9	Viruses	
	Human papillomavirus	[2]
	Herpes simplex virus 1/2	[110,111]
	Mouse cytomegalovirus	[112]
	Adenovirus	[113]
	Baculovirus	[114]
	Epstein-Barr virus	[115]
	Human herpesvirus type 6B	[116]
	Varicella-zoster virus	[117]
	Murine gammaherpesvirus 68	[118]
	Ectromelia virus	[119]
	Bacteria	
	Salmonella typhimurium	[120]
	Streptococcus suis	[121]
	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	[122]
	Streptococcus pneumoniae	[123]
	M1T1 group A	[124,125]
	Streptococcus	
	Propionibacterium acnes	[126]
	Protozoa	
	Trypanosoma cruzi	[127]
	Trypanosoma brucei	[128]
	Leishmania major	[129]
	Plasmodium falciparum	[130,131]
	Fungus	
	Aspergillus fumigatus	[132]
	Candida albicans	[109]
	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	[109]
	Malassezia furfur	[109,133]
	Cryptococcus neoformans	[109,133]
TLR13	Viruses	
	Vesicular stomatitis virus	[13]
	Bacteria	
	Streptococcus aureus	[14,134]
	Streptococcus pyogenes	[135]

in the production of IL-12 and IFN- γ [136]. Interestingly, RNA-binding capsid proteins (CPs) from two positivestrand RNA viruses, Ross River virus (RRV) and Brome mosaic virus (BMV), and the hepadnavirus hepatitis B virus (HBV) were demonstrated to be potent enhancers of TLR3 signaling by poly(I:C) or viral dsRNAs [137]. Deletion of an arginine-rich RNA-binding domain from the HBV CP abolished its enhancement effect on TLR3 signaling [137], demonstrating that several viral RNA-binding proteins can enhance the dsRNA-dependent innate immune response initiated by TLR3. In addition, anti-microbial peptide LL37, the only known human member of the cathelicidin family of antimicrobial peptides, enhances poly(I:C) or rhinovirus infection-induced TLR3 signaling, and enables the recognition of viral dsRNAs by TLR3 in a human bronchial epithelial cell line (BEAS2B) and human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) [138].

The physiological function of TLR3 in antiviral immunity was studied in TLR3^{-/-} mice, showing that TLR3^{-/-} mice are more susceptible to reovirus, LCMV, MCMV, and VSV infections, compared with wild-type mice [73,79,89]. However, TLR3 may support viral growth or pathogenesis rather than protect mice from infection by viruses. For example, TLR3^{-/-} mice were found to have stronger resistance to WNV infection, as WNV could disrupt the bloodbrain barrier leading to the enhancement of virus entry into the brain via TLR3-mediated induction of peripheral inflammatory cytokines [75]. TLR3 has a synergetic function with RIG-I and MDA5 in the restriction of DENV in cultured human cells [76]. Additionally, studies of wild-type mice with IAV infection have shown that TLR3 is essential for mediating inflammatory response to host morbidity and lethality [77]. In addition, TLR3 also senses dsRNA derived from protozoa and fungi, such as Schistosoma mansoni, and Aspergillus fumigatus [90,91]. Taken together, the various known functions of TLR3 reflect the complexity of its roles in the regulation of host immune response.

Recognition of ssRNA by TLRs 7, 8, and 13

TLRs 7 and 8 are responsible for the recognition of ssRNA from the genomes of ssRNA viruses, and specific bacteria, synthetic ssRNA, imidazoquinolines, guanine analogs and siRNA (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Human TLRs 7 and 8, and mouse TLR7 recognize imidazoquinolines and initiate immune response, whereas mouse TLR8 is thought not to be activated by imidazoquinolines or ssRNA due to a five amino-acid deletion in the ECD [139,140]. Nevertheless, mouse TLR8 could be activated by poly(dT)17 oligodeoxvribonucleotides (ODNs) combined with the 3M-002, leading to the induction of TNF- α [141], suggesting that mouse TLR8 may be functionally active in the detection of DNA viruses. In support of this, a previous study showed that vaccinia virus (VACV) as well asvaccinia viral poly(A)/T-rich DNA could activate pDCs in a TLR8dependent manner [12], suggesting that mouse TLR8 may regulate innate immunity against VACV infection. Interestingly, mouse TLR8 was found to inhibit TLR7sensing of 3M-001 in HEK293 cells, and mouse TLR8^{-/-} DCs showed increased responses to various TLR7 ligands and NF-KB activation, indicating that TLR8 may directly

modulate TLR7 function [142]. In addition, guanine analogs, such as 7-allyl-8-oxoguanosine (loxoribine) and gardiquimod, and pyrimidine analog bropirimine can induce the production of various cytokines via TLR7 in humans and mice [139,140], suggesting the possibility of exploiting imidazoquinolines and nucleoside analogs as adjuvants for therapy, vaccination, and anti-tumor response in humans and animals.

TLRs 7 and 8 also recognize guanosine (G)/uridine (U)-rich synthetic ssRNA derived from ssRNA viruses. Adenosines (A)/U or G/U-rich synthetic ssRNA (RNA40) derived from the U5 region of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and polyU RNA of IAV are sensed by TLR7 in mice and by TLR7 and TLR8 in humans [92,93]. More specifically, GU-rich synthetic ssRNAs may be responsible for TLR7-mediated IFN- α production, and AU-rich synthetic ssRNA for the induction of inflammatory cytokines in a TLR8-dependent manner [92,93,143]. In addition to HIV and IAV, GU-rich ssRNAs derived from a variety of viruses, such as SeV [94], VSV [94], CBV [95], human parechovirus 1 [96], FMDV [97], Newcastle disease virus (NDV) [98], DENV [99], HCV [100], and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) [101], have been identified as natural ligands for TLR7 and/or TLR8 (Table 2). TLR7 could also recognize mouse pneumonia virus, mouse mammary tumor virus, and murine leukemia virus (MuLV) via the TLR7-MyD88-dependent signaling pathway [102,103]. Interestingly, the dsRNA bluetongue virus induces the production of a significant amount of type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines in pDCs via a MyD88-dependent but TLR7/8-independent signaling pathway [144]. pDCs do not express TLR3 and do not use the RIG-1/MDA5 signaling pathway, however, it is still not known how pDCs respond to dsRNA virus infection [144]. These data indicate that dsRNA viruses may induce the secretion of type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines in pDCs via a novel TLR-independent and MyD88-dependent pathway.

Modifications of ssRNAs, such as 5-methylcytosine (m5C), N6-methyladenosine (m6A), 5-methyluridine (m5U), 2'-O-methyl groups, 2'-thiolated uridine (s2U) or pseudouridine, are commonly found in endogenous RNAs of the host [30,145]. These modified ssRNAs are usually not recognized by TLRs 7 and 8. However, as viral RNA also contains these modifications in infected cells and purified 'self' mRNA or DNA can induce TLR7-and TLR9mediated signaling [30,145,146], it suggests that the structure, sequence or modification of RNA/DNA may be a minor factor in discriminating 'self' and 'non-self' by TLRs 7, 8, and 9, and any endogenous RNA/DNA sequence may result in activation of these TLRs, but the compartmentalization of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs and nucleic acid-based regulatory programs are keys for distinguishing 'self' from foreign nucleic acids. Surprisingly, CD14 is dispensable for

viral uptake but is required for triggering MyD88-dependent induction of inflammatory cytokines via TLRs 7, 8, and 9 by stimulatory ssRNA/CpG DNA and various types of VSV [147,148]. This suggests that CD14 not only promotes the selective uptake of nucleic acids, but also acts as a co-receptor for endosomal TLR activation.

TLR7 and TLR8 also recognize dissociated ssRNA derived from certain siRNA in endosomes [149], and detect *Borrelia burgdorferi*, *Mycobacterium bovis*, *Helicobacter pylori* and RNAs from *Escherichia coli* and group B *Streptococcus* (GBS) in the endosomes by phagolysosomes or autolysosomes, but cannot detect other bacteria such as *Listeria monocytogenes* and Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) (Table 2) [104–106]. Although *Trypanosoma cruzi* is likely to be sensed by TLR7, the recognition of parasite RNA mediated by TLRs 7 and 8 is much less documented [107]. Recent studies also showed that IFN- α/β is induced by *Candida* spp. and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RNA/DNA by a mechanism that involves endosomal recognition of fungal RNA and DNA by TLR7 and TLR9, respectively, and absolutely requires MyD88 [108,109].

A novel endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs called TLR13 appears to be able to recognize VSV and induce the production of type I IFNs through the activation of NF-κB and IRF7 in a MyD88- and transforming growth factoractivated protein kinase 1 (TAK1)-dependent manner. However, it is currently unknown if ssRNA derived from VSV can be acted as a ligand for TLR13 [13]. Recent studies have identified a conserved 23S rRNA sequence 'CGGAAAGACC' derived from Streptococcus aureus as a natural ligand for TLR13 [14,134]. Interestingly, the rRNA sequence recognized by TLR13 is bound by certain antibiotics, and bacterial strains that have evolved to resist these antibiotics cannot be detected by TLR13 [14,134]. We speculate that widespread ancient antibiotic resistance has subverted TLR13-driven antibacterial immune resistance, and may explain why TLR13 expression has been lost in certain mammalian species (including humans). The function of TLR13 might be replaced by an RNA-sensing PRR in humans that can still recognize erythromycin resistanceforming RNA modifications. In addition, TLR13 has also been shown to activate NF-kB in response to bacterial RNA or Streptococcus pyogenes in an RNA-specific manner [135].

Recognition of CpG DNA by TLR9

TLR9 induces the production of both inflammatory cytokines and type I IFNs by recognizing unmethylated 2'-deoxyribo CpG motif-containing DNA from bacteria, viruses, protozoa, fungi and synthetic ODNs (**Fig. 1** and **Table 2**). In the host, recognition of methylated CpG motifs by TLR9 is severely suppressed and immune response is normally not triggered.

Although TLR9 recognizes bacterial unmethylated CpG DNA [150], the functions of TLR9 in fighting bacterial infections have not been elucidated in detail. Collaboration of several TLRs may be the key factor in host resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis because TLR2^{-/-}-TLR4^{-/-} TLR9^{-/-} mice showed markedly enhanced susceptibility to Salmonella typhimurium, Streptococcus suis and M. tuberculosis infections [120-122]. TLR9 activation by pneumococcal DNA was observed only in cells with live bacterial infection [123]. A recent study reported that TLR9 was important in host defense against M1T1 GAS infections by increasing macrophage hypoxia-inducible factor- 1α levels, and oxidative burst and nitric oxide production in response to GAS, contributing to GAS clearance in vivo in both localized cutaneous and systemic infection models [124]. On the other hand, the virulence factor DNase Sda1 of M1T1 GAS has the ability to degrade bacterial DNA and suppress TLR9-dependent INF- α and TNF- α induction [125]. Thus, this is a novel mechanism of bacterial innate immune evasion based on autodegradation of CpG-rich DNA by a bacterial DNase. TLR9 was also shown to be critical for the induction of type I IFNs signaling and the phosphorylation of signal transducers and activators of transcription 1 in DCs in response to S. aureus, illustrating an additional mechanism through which S. aureus induces the innate immune signaling during infection [126]. One possibility is that bacterial DNA may be delivered to endosomal compartments where acidic conditions (pH = 5.5 to 6.2) lead to the degradation of dsDNA containing multiple CpG motif sequences which are recognized by TLR9. This suggests again that the compartmentalization is a very important element in TLR9 (as well as TLRs 3, 7, 8, and 13) activation.

CpG motif-containing DNAs from human papillomavirus [2], HSV-1/2 [110,111], MCMV [112], adenovirus (ADV) [113], baculovirus [114], EBV [115], human herpesvirus type 6B (HHV-6B) [116], varicella-zoster virus (VZV) [117], murine gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV-68) [118], and ectromelia virus (ECTV) are also sensed by TLR9 and induce the secretion of robust type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines in pDCs [119] (Table 2). TLR9 was shown to be involved in VZV-induced IFN- α production, which could be inhibited by inhibitory CpG ODN in pDCs [117]. TLR9-mediated IFN- α response to HSV-1/2 was cell-type specific and limited to pDCs, because TLR9 could recognize live, or heat- or UV-inactivated HSV-1/2 and induced secretion of high levels of IFN- α in pDCs, whereas macrophages produced IFN-α upon HSV infection via a TLR9-independent mechanism [110,111].

TLR9 also senses CpG DNA derived from protozoa and fungi (**Table 2**), including genomic DNA derived from *T. cruzi*, *Trypanosoma brucei*, and *Leishmania major* [127–129]. Unmethylated CpG motifs in *A. fumigatus*

DNA stimulate mouse bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs) and human pDCs to secrete inflammatory cytokines in a TLR9-dependent manner [132], contributing to the establishment of anti-fungi immune response states. In addition to A. fumigatus, Candida albicans, S. cerevisiae, Malassezia furfur, and Cryptococcus neoformans DNAs are capable of triggering TLR9 recruitment, demonstrating that TLR9 is compartmentalized selectively to fungal phagosomes and negatively modulates macrophage antifungal effector functions [108,109,133]. Interestingly, hemozoin, a crystalline metabolite of hemoglobin produced by Plasmodium falciparum, acts as an inducer of TLR9 and potently activates macrophages and DCs to produce inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [130]. Notably, TLR9 recognizes P. falciparum DNA contained in purified hemozoin, which appears to be a carrier that transports P. falciparum DNA to endosomes [131], consistent with the conclusion that ligand delivery is an essential condition for intracellular TLR9 recognition. However, the role of hemozoin in TLR9 activation needs to be more fully elucidated in future studies.

Signaling Networks of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13

TRIF signaling pathways of TLR3

TLR3 participates in the TRIF-dependent pathway (**Fig. 1**). In this case, TRIF directly interacts with the TIR domain of TLR3 to recruit a set of adaptor molecules, including TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), TNF receptor-associated death domain, Pellino1, and receptor interacting protein 1 (RIP1) for the activation of TAK1 [1,2,7,8,151], which in turn leads to the activation of IRF3, mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), and NF- κ B. On the other hand, interactions of TLR3-TRIF with TRAF3, non-classical NAK-associated protein 1 (NAP1), TBK1, and IKKi (also called IKKε) lead to the formation of a complex and the activation of IRF3, which then translocates to the nucleus to induce the expression of IFN-β and IFN-inducible genes [1–3,7,8,151].

MyD88-signaling pathways of TLRs 7, 8, 9, and 13

TLRs 7, 8, 9, and 13 induce the production of inflammatory cytokines and type I IFNs through a MyD88-dependent signaling pathway (**Fig. 1** and **Table 1**). MyD88 is a specific adaptor molecule containing a TIR domain in its C-terminal region and a dead domain in its N terminal region. Upon stimulation, TLRs 7, 8, and 9 interact with the TIR domain of MyD88 to recruit signaling molecules IL-1 receptor-associated kinases 4/1/2 (IRAK4/1/2) and interact with TRAF3/6, Ubc13, and Uev1A, forming a signaling complex [1-3,7-9,151]. This complex recruits TAK1 and TAK1-binding proteins 1/2/3 (TAB1/2/3), and is activated by the

K63-linked polyubiquitin chain of TRAF6, phosphorylated IKKβ, and MAP kinase kinase 6 (MKK6). This phenomenon results in the activation of a classical IKK complex, consisting of IKK1/α, IKK2/β, and IKK3/γ (also called NF- κ B essential modulator, NEMO), which leads to I κ B degradation and thereby contributes to the activation of NF- κ B and MAPK signaling pathways to induce secretion of inflammatory cytokines [1–3,7–9,151–153].

In pDCs, the TLR7 and TLR9 signaling pathways are unique in that they both require MyD88 for the induction of type I IFNs. TLR7 and TLR9 recruit MyD88 and IRAK4, which then interact with TRAF6, TRAF3, IRAK1, IKK α , osteopontin (OPN), and IRF7 to form a super-molecular complex [1,2,7,8,151]. Ultimately, IRF7 is phosphorylated by IRAK1 and/or IKKa and translocated to the nucleus to induce the transcription of type I IFNs [1,2,7,8,151]. In addition, a phosphoinositol 3-OH kinase (PI3K) mammalian target of the rapamycin (mTOR)-p70S6K pathway is beneficial for the induction of type I IFNs in MyD88-dependent activation of IRF7 in pDCs [154]. IRF8 has an essential function in the induction of type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines through TLR9 in pDCs and cDCs [155]. However, in cDCs, secretion of IFN-B and inflammatory cytokines in a TLR7and/or TLR9-MyD88-dependent manner is mediated by IRF1 and IRF5 [10,11]. Interestingly, protein kinase C and casein kinase substrate in neurons (PACSIN) 1 is specifically expressed in pDCs and represents a pDC-specific adaptor molecule that plays an important role in TLR7and TLR9-mediated type I IFN responses in vitro and in vivo [8].

TLR13, another intracellular nucleic acid-sensing TLR, not only activates a MyD88- and TAK1-dependent TLR signaling pathway to activate NF- κ B but also induces type I IFNs through IRF7, a characteristic similar to that of TLRs 7, 8, and 9 [13–15]. However, the mechanism by which TLR13 mediates recognition of foreign nucleic acids, its associated signaling pathway and its regulation are poorly understood.

Regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways

The TLR-signaling pathways are regulated by positive and negative modulatory mechanisms to achieve a balanced output (**Fig. 1** and **Table 3**). In general, phosphorylation/dephosphorylation, ubiquitination/deubiquitination, sumoy-lation/desumoylation, acetylation/deacetylation, and competitive effects of negative regulators are the principal regulatory mechanisms that directly or indirectly regulate the activation of signaling pathways associated with TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13.

		8 1	1 , , , , ,	8 81 2	
Regulators		Targets	Regulatory mechanisms	Effectors	References
Positive regulation	TRAF6	TRAF6, cIAP1/2, IRAKs	Ubiquitination of targets	Activation of TAK1, TBK1 and IKKi	[156]
C	Ubc5	IRF3	Ubiguitination of IRF3	Activation of IRF3	[157]
	MARCH5	TANK	Polyubiguitination of TANK	Activation of IRF7	[158]
	Viperin	IRAK1, TRAF6	Polyubiquitination of IRAK1	Activation of IRF7	[159]
	SUMO	TANK	SUMOvlation of TANK	Controls IKK <i>ɛ</i> -TBK1	[160]
			,	interactions	
	Nrdp1	TBK1	Ubiquitination of TBK1	Activation of TBK1 and IRF3	[161]
	miR-181b-1	CYLD	Inhibits CYLD production	Activation of NF-kB	[162-164]
Negative	Triad3A	TLR9	Ubiquitination and proteolytic	Inhibition TLR9 activation	[156,165]
regulation			degradation of TLR9		
	ST2L	MyD88	Sequestration of MyD88	Inhibition TLR9 activation	[7,156]
	SIGIRR	TRAF6, IRAK	Inhibition of TRAF6 and IRAK	Inhibition TLR9 activation	[7,156]
	PI3	p38, JNK, NF- <i>к</i> В	Inhibition NF- κ B and AP-1	Inhibition of cytokine	[7,156]
			activation	production	
	TRIM 30α	TAB2, TAB3	Lysosome-related degradation of	Inhibition NF- κ B activation	[166]
			TAB2 and TAB3		
	Nrdp1	MyD88	Proteosomal degradation of MyD88	Inhibition IRAK1, TAK1,	[161]
				IKK β , MAPKs, and NF- κ B	
				activation	
	A20	RIP1, TRAFs,	Ubiquitination or deubiquitination of	Inhibition NF- κ B and IRF3	[167-169]
		TRIF, cIAP1/2,	targets, and disruption of protein-	activation	
		and IKK complex	protein interaction		
	DUBA	TBK1	Ubiquitination of TBK1	Inhibition of TRAF3 and IRFs	[170]
	CYLD	TBK1	Ubiquitination of TBK1	Inhibition of TRAF3 and IRFs	[171]
	OTUB1,	TRAF3/6	Ubiquitination of TRAF3/6	Inhibition of NF-κB and IRF3	[172]
	OTUB2			activation	
	TANK	TRAF6	Ubiquitination of TRAF6	Inhibition of NF- κ B and AP-1 activation	[173]
	Atg16L1	TRIF	Surpression of TRIF-dependent	Suppression of caspase-1	[174]
	IngioDi	inti	nathway	activation	
	SHP-2	TBK1	Phosphorylation of TBK1	Inhibition of cytokine	[175]
				production	[]
	SHP-1	IRAK1, IRAK2	IRAK1 and IRAK2	Inhibition of cytokine	[176]
		,		production	
	CD2AP	Cbl	Ubiquitination of Cbl	Inhibition of TLR9-mediated	[177]
			1	type 1 IFN production	
	sTLR9	TLR9	Sequestration of TLR9	Inhibition of TLR9-mediated	[178]
				signaling activation	
	IRAKM	IkBa, IRAK-1	Phosphorylation of IkBa, Inhibition	Inhibition of cytokine	[179]
			of IRAK-1	production	
	MyD88s	MyD88	Sequestration of MyD88	Inhibition of	[180]
				MyD88-mediated signaling	
				activation	
	SARM	TRIF	Sequestration of TRIF	Inhibition of TRIF-mediated	[181]
				signaling activation	
	IRF4	IRF5	Sequestration of IRF5	Inhibition of TLR signaling	[182]
				activation	

Table 3 List of regulatory	molecules required for	or TLR3. 7. 8. 9. and	13 signaling nathways
Table 5 Else of regulatory	molecules required is	// 1 LINS, /, 0, 7, and	15 signaning pathways

Continued

Regulators		Targets	Regulatory mechanisms	Effectors	References
	miR-146	TRAF6, IRAK1/2	down-regulates IRAK1/2 and TRAF6	Inhibition of the MyD88/ NF-kB signaling activation	[183]
	miR-155	IKKi, IKKβ, TAB2, and MyD88	Inhibition of IKKi and IKK β	Inhibition of NF- <i>k</i> B activation	[184]
	miR-9	p105, p50	Inhibition of p50/p52 mature	Modulation of the NF-kB pathway	[162–164]
	miR-199a, miR-214	ΙΚΚβ	down-regulates IKK β	Inhibition of NF-kB activity	[162–164]
	miR-223, miR-15, miR-16	IKK α , NIK, TRAF2, and p52	Inhibition of targets	Inhibition of noncanonical NF-kB pathway	[162–164]

Table 3. Continued

DUBA, deubiquitinating enzyme A; CYLD, cyclindomatosis.

Positive regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways. TRAF3 and TRAF6, which act as E3 ubiquitin ligases in the formation of K63-linked ubiquitin chain by catalyzing itself sequentially, are important for the activation of downstream signaling molecules, such as TAK1, TBK1 and IKKi [156]. An E2 ubiquitin ligase, Ubc5, is required for IRF3 activation by catalyzing K63-type ubiquitin chain formation [157]. Degradation of TRAF3 is responsible for the activation of MAPKs and NF-kB, and the production of inflammatory cytokines through proteasomedependent mechanisms [156]. Mitochondrial protein MARCH5, a novel E3 ubiquitin ligase, catalyzes K63-linked poly-ubiquitination of TANK and positively modulates TLR7 signaling by attenuating TANK and inhibiting TRAF6 [158]. Viperin, an IFN-inducible antiviral protein, interacts with IRAK1 and TRAF6 to recruit them to lipid bodies and facilitates K63-linked ubiquitination of IRAK1 to induce nuclear translocation of transcription factor IRF7, thereby promoting TLR7- and TLR9-mediated type I IFN production in pDCs [159]. Furthermore, modification of TANK by the small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) is triggered by the kinase activity of IKK ε and TBK1 after stimulation of TLR7 ligands, which in turn weakens the interaction with IKK ε and thus relieves the negative effect of TANK on signal propagation [160].

Negative regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways. Although activation of TLR signal transduction is required for hosts to eliminate invading pathogens, excessive activation of TLR-signaling pathways may disrupt immune homeostasis, resulting in autoimmune, chronic inflammatory and infectious diseases. Therefore, TLR-associated signaling pathways and immune functions must be under strict negative regulation to maintain immune balance. Several negative regulators involved in suppressing

TLR-signaling pathways at multiple levels, including splice variants of adaptors or their related proteins, ubiquitin ligases, deubiquitinases, transcriptional regulators, tyrosine phosphatases, kinases, signaling proteins, microRNAs, and even viral proteins, have been described [156,161–185].

Several RING finger proteins have been identified to be negative regulators of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways. The first one is Triad3A, a RING finger protein functioning as an E3 ubiquitin ligase, can enhance ubiquitination and proteolytic degradation of TLR9 [156,165]. The second RING protein, tripartite motif protein 30α (TRIM30 α), functions as a negative regulator of TLR-mediated NF-KB activation by targeting TAB2 and TAB3 degradation in a ubiquitin-proteasome-independent pathway [166]. The third RING protein, neuregulin receptor degradation protein-1 (Nrdp1), functions as a RING protein-containing E3 ligase and plays a different function in regulating TLR signaling. It enhances the TRIF-dependent activation of TBK1 and IRF3 via K63-linked ubiquitination of TBK1 [161]. In contrast, MyD88-dependent activation of IRAK1, TAK1, IKKβ, MAPKs, and NF- κ B are inhibited by Nrdp1-mediated degradation of MyD88 via K48-linked ubiquitination [161].

A number of deubiquitinating enzymes also play important roles in negative regulation of TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 signaling pathways. A20, a cytoplasmic zinc finger protein, is one of the deubiquitin enzymes (DUBs) of the ovarian tumor (OTU) family. As a deubiquitinating enzyme A20 can remove K63-polyubiquitin chains from TRAF6 to turn off the NF- κ B signaling. A20 also has a unique E3 ligase activity that allows it to catalyze K48-polyubiquitination to degrade RIP1 in a proteasome-dependent manner after cleavage of K63-polyubiquitin chains to restrict NF- κ B activation [167]. Another important function of A20 is to disrupt the interaction of E3 ligases (TRAF6, TRAF2, TRAF3, IKK complex, and cIAP1/2) with E2 conjugating enzymes (Ubc13 and Ubc5hc), which results in effective downregulation of the NF- κ B-signaling pathway [168]. Furthermore, A20 has also been reported to negatively regulate IFN- β transcription by inhibiting IRF3 activation [169]. Two other important deubiquitinating enzymes, deubiquitinating enzyme A and cyclindomatosis, are involved in the negative regulation of IFN- β production by removing a K63-polyubiquitin chain from TBK1 and inhibiting the activation of TRAF3 and IRFs [170,171].

Two OTU family members OTUB1 and OTUB2, originally identified as proteases, suppress IFN-B promoter activity through cleavage of the K63-polyubiquitin chain from TRAF3 and inhibit NF-KB signaling via deubiquitination of TRAF6 [172]. TANK may also act as a negative regulator of TRAF6 ubiquitination in macrophages and B cells [173], whereas Atg16L1 negatively regulates TRIF-dependent pathways that lead to intestinal inflammation suppression and caspase-1 activation [174]. Src homology 2 domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase (SHP)-2 inhibits cytokine production by suppressing the phosphorylation of TBK1 [175], while SHP-1 inhibits TLR-mediated production of inflammatory cytokines by suppressing the function of IRAK1 and IRAK2 [176]. Interestingly, CD2-associated adaptor protein (CD2AP) has the ability to positively regulate BDCA2/FceR1y signaling via forming a complex with SHIP1 to inhibit the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cbl and TLR9-mediated type I IFNs production [177].

Several splice variants are also involved in negative regulation of the signaling pathways associated with endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs by competing with various adapters and transcription factors for binding sites, such as soluble decoy TLRs (such as sTLR9), IRAKM, MyD88 short (MyD88s), Armadillo motif-containing protein (SARM) and IRF4 [178-182]. In addition, a range of miRNAs, including miR-9, miR-124, miR-155, miR-218, miR-15, miR-16, miR-223, miR-199a. miR-520h, miR-301a, and miR-181b-1, may also act as important negative regulators of those pathways, as they are involved in the down-regulation of signaling proteins related to innate immune response [162-164,183,184]. Thus, a complex network involving multiple regulatory molecules and pathways are likely important for the recognition of endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, which is essential for preventing serious inflammatory disorders and autoimmune diseases. In addition, nucleic acid-sensing TLR signaling, especially some important adapters such as NF-kB, TRIF, and IRFs, was negatively regulated by viruses (such as VACV, HCV, and HIV and herpesviruses, polyomaviruses, ascoviruses, and adenoviruses), which encoded a number of proteins and miRNAs to inhibit the production of type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokine [186,187].

Concluding Remarks

Over the past decade, significant progress has been made in our understanding of nucleic acid recognition. In particular, the functions of endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13 in innate immunity, especially their restricted expression/compartmentalization, ligand specificity, trafficking, proteolysis, autophagy, signaling, and regulation have been studied in detail. Several studies provided new insights into the compartmentalization of endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs based on ligand recognition and revealed a series of regulatory mechanisms that are key for discrimination between 'self' and 'non-self'. As previously mentioned, 'self'-derived nucleic acids are properly degraded by extracellular and endosomal nucleases before they can be sensed by nucleic acid-sensing TLRs within endosomal compartments under normal conditions. In addition, compartmentalization of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs is important for avoiding contact with 'self'-nucleic acids. Furthermore, intracellular trafficking and proteolytic maturation of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, mediated in part through their TM domains, is important for preventing inappropriate recognition of 'self'-nucleic acids by leakage of these receptors to the cell surface.

As discussed above, Unc93b1 acts as a chaperone for the endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 13, and controls the translocation of these TLRs from the ER to endosomal compartments. Interestingly, another member of the TLR family, a protein-recognizing receptor TLR11, was also recently found to be expressed in the ER along with UNC93B1, TLR11 regulates the activation of DCs in response to protozoan parasite Toxoplasma gondii profilin (PFTG) [188], suggesting that in addition to nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, UNC93B1 also regulates at least one protein-sensing TLR in intracellular compartments. Although this knowledge is needed for our understanding of nucleic acid-sensing TLRs defense against protozoan parasites, further studies are needed to dissect whether TLR11 is trafficked from the ER to endosomal compartments by UNC93B1 to detect foreign nucleic acids, and the molecular mechanism of TLR11 activation by PFTG and T. gondii or others protozoan parasites. A surprising finding from recent experiments is that VACV and its poly(A)/T-rich DNA motifs are potent inducers of pDC-derived IFN- α in a TLR9-independent, and exclusively TLR8-dependent pathway [12]. Although it is not clear why the recognition of VACV/modified VACV Ankara (MVA) and ECTV in pDC is mediated through different TLRs and whether TLR8-mediated recognition of VACV by non-pDCs is important in VACV control in humans, these result may reflect differences between VACV/MVA and ECTV in terms of their genetic

compositions and endosomal trafficking pathways, and that different pathogens have evolved to adopt different mechanisms to effectively activate the innate immune system.

It has been known for years that 'self'-nucleic acids that are modified or inappropriately localized can be recognized as 'non-self' to induce autoimmune response. On the one hand, 'self'-derived nucleic acids form nucleic acid-protein immune complexes (ICs) with a variety of endogenous proteins such as autoantibodies, anti-microbial peptides, small ribonucleoprotein (snRNPs), and high mobility group box 1 (HMGB-1), they may become resistant to nucleases and reach endosomal nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, leading to autoimmune reaction [1-3,189-190]. On the other hand, imbalance between positive and negative regulators of the TLR-associated may disrupt immune homeostasis, resulting in autoimmune diseases. Interestingly, intracellular trafficking of TLR7 and TLR9 from the ER to endosomes is also induced by LPS, which may increase the possibility of autoimmune diseases [186]. Furthermore, autophagy is not only important for the degradation of intracellular pathogens, cellular proteins, and organelles, but also for the regulation of nucleic acid-sensing TLR-mediated recognition of pathogens in multiple ways. However, autophagy can be also exploited by a diverse array of pathogens, which interfere with autophagy-mediated degradation of intracellular pathogens and substrate recognition by nucleic acid-sensing TLR, and suppress or escape the host's antiviral innate immune response. However, a detailed understanding of how such pathogens achieve these feats will need to be elucidated in future studies.

In addition to nucleic acid-sensing TLRs, other nucleic acid-sensing PRRs, such as RLRs, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NLRs), absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2)-like receptors (ALRs), and DNA recognition receptors (cytosolic sensors for DNA), also provide defense against a wide range of pathogens [1-3]. However, very little is known about possible crosstalk between nucleic acid-sensing TLRs and these PRRs. Therefore, future studies should aim to improve our understanding of innate immune systems and immunobiology, including host-pathogen interactions, crosstalk between immune networks and their influence on the development of adaptive immunity. Such studies will likely also reveal information essential for the identification and development of novel anti-pathogen targets, therapeutic strategies, and better adjuvant for vaccines.

Funding

This work was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30871884) and the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (No. 2011AA10A211).

References

- 1 Kawai T and Akira S. Toll-like receptors and their crosstalk with other innate receptors in infection and immunity. Immunity 2011, 34: 637–650.
- 2 Barbalat R, Ewald SE, Mouchess ML and Barton GM. Nucleic acid recognition by the innate immune system. Ann Rev Immunol 2011, 29: 185–214.
- 3 Hansena JD, Vojtechb LN and Laingc KJ. Sensing disease and danger: a survey of vertebrate PRRs and their origins. Dev Comp Immunol 2011, 35: 886–897.
- 4 Kang JY and Lee JO. Structural biology of the toll-like receptor family. Annu Rev Biochem 2011, 80: 917–941.
- 5 Barton GM and Kagan JC. A cell biological view of Toll-like receptor function: regulation through compartmentalization. Nat Rev Immunol 2009, 9: 535–542.
- 6 Matsumoto M, Oshiumi H and Seya T. Antiviral responses induced by the TLR3 pathway. Rev Med Virol 2011, 21: 67–77.
- 7 Yamamoto M and Takeda K. Current views of Toll-like receptor signaling pathways. Gastroent Res Pract 2010, 2010: 240365.
- 8 Esashi E, Bao M, Wang YH, Cao W and Liu YJ. PACSIN1 regulates the TLR7/9-mediated type I interferon response in plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Eur J Immunol 2012, 42: 573–579.
- 9 Xia ZP, Sun L, Chen X, Pineda G, Jiang X, Adhikari A and Zeng W, *et al.* Direct activation of protein kinases by unanchored polyubiquitin chains. Nature 2009, 461: 114–9.
- 10 Negishi H, Fujita Y, Yanai H, Sakaguchi S, Ouyang X, Shinohara M and Takayanagi H, *et al.* Evidence for licensing of IFN-gamma-induced IFN regulatory factor 1 transcription factor by MyD88 in Toll-like receptordependent gene induction program. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103: 15136–15141.
- 11 Chang Foreman HC, Van Scoy S, Cheng TF and Reich NC. Activation of interferon regulatory factor 5 by site specific phosphorylation. PLoS One 2012, 7: e33098.
- 12 Martinez J, Huang X and Yang Y. Toll-like receptor 8-mediated activation of murine plasmacytoid dendritic cells by vaccinia viral DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107: 6442–6447.
- 13 Shi Z, Cai Z, Sanchez A, Zhang T, Wen S, Wang J and Yang JH, et al. A novel Toll-like receptor that recognizes vesicular stomatitis virus. J Biol Chem 2011, 286: 4517–4524.
- 14 Oldenburg M, Krüger A, Ferstl R, Kaufmann A, Nees G, Sigmund A and Bathke B, et al. TLR13 recognizes bacterial 23S rRNA devoid of erythromycin resistance-forming modification. Science 2012, 337: 1111–1115.
- 15 Shi Z, Cai Z, Wen S, Chen C, Gendron C, Sanchez A and Patterson K, *et al.* Transcriptional regulation of the novel Toll-like receptor Tlr13. J Biol Chem 2009, 284: 20540–20547.
- 16 Dieudonné A, Torres D, Blanchard S, Taront S, Jeannin P, Delneste Y and Pichavant M, *et al.* Scavenger receptors in human airway epithelial cells: Role in response to double-stranded RNA. PLoS One 2012, 7: e41952.
- 17 Nagyoszi P, Wilhelm I, Farkas AE, Fazakas C, Dung NT, Haskó J and Krizbai IA. Expression and regulation of toll-like receptors in cerebral endothelial cells. Neurochem Int 2010, 57: 556–64.
- 18 Kaul D, Habbel P, Derkow K, Krüger C, Franzoni E, Wulczyn FG and Bereswill S, *et al.* Expression of Toll-like receptors in the developing brain. PLoS One 2012, 7: e37767.
- 19 Alexopoulou L, Desnues B and Demaria O. Toll-like receptor 8: the awkward TLR8. Med Sci (Paris) 2012, 28: 96–102.
- 20 Vincent IE, Zannetti C, Lucifora J, Norder H, Protzer U, Hainaut P and Zoulim F, *et al.* Hepatitis B virus impairs TLR9 expression and function in plasmacytoid dendritic cells. PLoS One 2011, 6: e26315.

- 21 Thompson MR, Kaminski JJ, Kurt-Jones EA and Fitzgerald KA. Pattern recognition receptors and the innate immune response to viral infection. Viruses 2011, 3: 920–940.
- 22 Edwards AD, Diebold SS, Slack EM, Tomizawa H, Hemmi H, Kaisho T and Akira S, *et al.* Toll-like receptor expression in murine DC subsets: Lack of TLR7 expression by CD8 alpha⁺ DC correlates with unresponsiveness to imidazoquinolines. Eur J Immunol 2003, 33: 827–833.
- 23 Poth JM, Coch C, Busch N, Boehm O, Schlee M, Janke M and Zillinger T, *et al.* Monocyte-mediated inhibition of TLR9-dependent IFN-α induction in plasmacytoid dendritic cells questions bacterial DNA as the active ingredient of bacterial lysates. J Immunol 2010, 185: 7367–7373.
- 24 Xu LG, Jin L, Zhang BC, Akerlund LJ, Shu HB and Cambier JC. VISA is required for B cell expression of TLR7. J Immunol 2012, 188: 248–258.
- 25 Chaturvedi A and Pierce SK. How location governs toll-like receptor signaling. Traffic 2009, 10: 621–628.
- 26 Chockalingam A, Brooks JC, Cameron JL, Blum LK and Leifer CA. TLR9 traffics through the Golgi complex to localize to endolysosomes and respond to CpG DNA. Immunol Cell Biol 2009, 87: 209–217.
- 27 Latz E, Schoenemeyer A, Visintin A, Fitzgerald KA, Monks BG, Knetter CF and Lien E, *et al.* TLR9 signals after translocating from the ER to CpG DNA in the lysosome. Nat Immunol 2004, 5: 190–198.
- 28 Barton GM, Kagan JC and Medzhitov R. Intracellular localization of Toll-like receptor 9 prevents recognition of self DNA but facilitates access to viral DNA. Nat Immunol 2006, 7: 49–56.
- 29 Lee CC, Avalos AM and Ploegh HL. Accessory molecules for Toll-like receptors and their function. Nat Rev Immunol 2012, 12: 168–179.
- 30 Into T, Inomata M, Takayama E and Takigawa T. Autophagy in regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling. Cell Signal 2012, 24: 1150–1162.
- 31 Saitoh S and Miyake K. Regulatory molecules required for nucleotidesensing toll-like receptors. Immunol Rev 2009, 227: 32–43.
- 32 Nishiya T, Kajita E, Miwa S and Defranco A. TLR3 and TLR7 are targeted to the same intracellular compartments by distinct regulatory elements. J Biol Chem 2005, 280: 37107–37117.
- 33 Leifer CA, Brooks JC, Hoelzer K, Lopez J, Kennedy MN, Mazzoni A and Segal DM. Cytoplasmic targeting motifs control localization of tolllike receptor 9. J Biol Chem 2006, 281: 35585–35592.
- 34 Mouchess ML, Arpaia N, Souza G, Barbalat R, Ewald SE, Lau L and Barton GM. Transmembrane mutations in Toll-like receptor 9 bypass the requirement for ectodomain proteolysis and induce fatal inflammation. Immunity 2011, 35: 721–732.
- 35 Yang Y, Liu B, Dai J, Srivastava PK, Zammit DJ, Lefrançois L and Li Z, et al. Heat shock protein gp96 is a master chaperones for toll-like receptors and is important in the innate function of macrophages. Immunity 2007a, 26: 215–226.
- 36 Brooks JC, Sun W, Chiosis G and Leifer CA. Heat shock protein gp96 regulates Toll-like receptor 9 proteolytic processing and conformational stability. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2012, 421: 780–784.
- 37 Kiyokawa T, Akashi-Takamura S, Shibata T, Matsumoto F, Nishitani C, Kuroki Y and Seto Y, *et al*. A single base mutation in the PRAT4A gene reveals differential interaction of PRAT4A with Toll-like receptors. Int Immunol 2008, 20: 1407–1415.
- 38 Liu B, Yang Y, Qiu ZJ, Staron M, Hong F, Li Y and Wu S, *et al.* Folding of Toll-like receptors by the HSP90 paralogue gp96 requires a substratespecific cochaperone. Nat Commun 2010, 1: 1–10.
- 39 Blasius AL, Arnold CN, Georgel P, Rutschmann S, Xia Y, Lin P and Ross C, et al. Slc15a4, AP-3, and Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome proteins are required for Toll-like receptor signaling in plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107: 19973–19978.
- 40 Chiang CY, Engel A, Opaluch AM, Ramos I, Maestre AM, Secundino I and De Jesus PD, *et al.* Cofactors required for TLR7- and

TLR9-dependent innate immune responses. Cell Host Microbe 2012, 11: 306–318.

- 41 Chevrier N, Mertins P, Artyomov MN, Shalek AK, Iannacone M, Ciaccio MF and Gat-Viks I, *et al.* Systematic discovery of TLR signaling components delineates viral-sensing circuits. Cell 2011, 147: 853–867.
- 42 Brinkmann MM, Spooner E, Hoebe K, Beutler B, Ploegh HL and Kim YM. The interaction between the ER membrane protein UNC93B and TLR3, 7, and 9 is crucial for TLR signaling. J Cell Biol 2007, 177: 265–275.
- 43 Kim YM, Brinkmann MM, Paquet ME and Ploegh HL. UNC93B1 delivers nucleotide-sensing toll-like receptors to endolysosomes. Nature 2008, 452: 234–238.
- 44 Fukui R, Saitoh S, Matsumoto F, Kozuka-Hata H, Oyama M, Tabeta K and Beutler B, *et al.* Unc93B1 biases Toll-like receptor responses to nucleic acid in dendritic cells toward DNA-but against RNA-sensing. J Exp Med 2009, 206: 1339–1350.
- 45 Tabeta K, Hoebe K, Janssen EM, Du X, Georgel P, Crozat K and Mudd S, *et al.* The Unc93b1 mutation 3d disrupts exogenous antigen presentation and signaling via Toll-like receptors 3, 7 and 9. Nat Immunol 2006, 7: 156–164.
- 46 Itoh H, Tatematsu M, Watanabe A, Iwano K, Funami K, Seya T and Matsumoto M. UNC93B1 physically associates with human TLR8 and regulates TLR8-mediated signaling. PLoS One 2012, 6: e28500.
- 47 Fukui R, Saitoh S, Kanno A, Onji M, Shibata T, Ito A and Onji M, *et al.* Unc93B1 restricts systemic lethal inflammation by orchestrating Toll-like receptor 7 and 9 trafficking. Immunity 2011, 35: 69–81.
- 48 Crane MJ, Gaddi PJ and Salazar-Mather TP. UNC93B1 mediates innate inflammation and antiviral defense in the liver during acute murine cytomegalovirus infection. PLoS One 2012, 7: e39161.
- 49 Nickerson KM, Christensen SR, Shupe J, Kashgarian M, Kim D, Elkon K and Shlomchik MJ. TLR9 regulates TLR7- and MyD88-dependent autoantibody production and disease in a murine model of lupus. J Immunol 2010, 184: 1840–1848.
- 50 Ewald SE, Lee BL, Lau L, Wickliffe KE, Shi GP, Chapman HA and Barton GM. The ectodomain of Toll-like receptor 9 is cleaved to generate a functional receptor. Nature 2008, 456: 658–662.
- 51 Park B, Brinkmann MM, Spooner E, Lee CC, Kim YM and Ploegh HL. Proteolytic cleavage in an endolysosomal compartment is required for activation of Toll-like receptor 9. Nat Immunol 2008, 9: 1407–1414.
- 52 Sepulveda FE, Maschalidi S, Colisson R, Heslop L, Ghirelli C, Sakka E and Lennon-Duménil AM, *et al.* Critical role for asparagine endopeptidase in endocytic Toll-like receptor signaling in dendritic cells. Immunity 2009, 31: 737–748.
- 53 Asagiri M, Hirai T, Kunigami T, Kamano S, Gober HJ, Okamoto K and Nishikawa K, *et al.* Cathepsin K-dependent toll-like receptor 9 signaling revealed in experimental arthritis. Science 2008, 319: 624–627.
- 54 Matsumoto F, Saitoh S, Fukui R, Kobayashi T, Tanimura N, Konno K and Kusumoto Y, *et al.* Cathepsins are required for Toll-like receptor 9 responses. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008, 367: 693–699.
- 55 Ewald SE, Engel A, Lee J, Wang M, Bogyo M and Barton GM. Nucleic acid recognition by Toll-like receptors is coupled to stepwise processing by cathepsins and asparagine endopeptidase. J Exp Med 2011, 208: 643–651.
- 56 Peter ME, Kubarenko AV, Weber AN and Dalpke AH. Identification of an N-terminal recognition site in TLR9 that contributes to CpG-DNA-mediated receptor activation. J Immunol 2009, 182: 7690–7697.
- 57 Kasperkovitz PV, Cardenas ML and Vyas JM. TLR9 is actively recruited to *Aspergillus fumigatus* phagosomes and requires the N-terminal proteolytic cleavage domain for proper intracellular trafficking. J Immunol 2010, 185: 7614–7622.
- 58 Garcia-Cattaneo A, Gobert FX, Müller M, Toscano F, Flores M, Lescure A and Del Nery E, et al. Cleavage of Toll-like receptor 3 by cathepsins B

and H is essential for signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012, 109: 9053-9058.

- 59 Qi R, Singh D and Kao CC. Proteolytic processing regulates Toll-like receptor 3 stability and endosomal localization. J Biol Chem 2012, 287: 32617–3229.
- 60 Lee HK, Lund JM, Ramanathan B, Mizushima N and Iwasaki A. Autophagy-dependent viral recognition by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Science 2007, 315: 1398–1401.
- 61 Delgado M, Singh S, De Haro S, Master S, Ponpuak M, Dinkins C and Ornatowski W, *et al.* Autophagy and pattern recognition receptors in innate immunity. Immunol Rev 2009, 227: 189–202.
- 62 Oh JE and Lee HK. Autophagy in innate recognition of pathogens and adaptive immunity. Yonsei Med J 2012, 53: 241–247.
- 63 Kuballa P, Nolte WM, Castoreno AB and Xavier RJ. Autophagy and the immune system. Annu Rev Immunol 2012, 30: 611–646.
- 64 Saitoh T and Akira S. Regulation of innate immune responses by autophagy-related proteins. J Cell Biol 2010, 189: 925–935.
- 65 Delgado MA, Elmaoued RA, Davis AS, Kyei G and Deretic V. Toll-like receptors control autophagy. EMBO J 2008, 27: 1110–1121.
- 66 Delgado MA and Deretic V. Toll-like receptors in control of immunological autophagy. Cell Death Differ 2009, 16: 976–983.
- 67 Zaitseva E, Yang ST, Melikov K, Pourmal S and Chernomordik LV. Dengue virus ensures its fusion in late endosomes using compartmentspecific lipids. PLoS Pathog 2010, 6: e1001131.
- 68 Taylor MP and Kirkegaard K. Modification of cellular autophagy protein LC3 by poliovirus. J Virol 2007, 81: 12543–12553.
- 69 Reggiori F, Monastyrska I, Verheije MH, Cali T, Ulasli M, Bianchi S and Bernasconi R, *et al.* Coronaviruses Hijack the LC3-I-positive edemosomes, ER-derived vesicles exporting short-lived ERAD regulators, for replication. Cell Host Microbe 2010, 7: 500–508.
- 70 Wong J, Zhang J, Si X, Gao G, Mao I, McManus BM and Luo H. Autophagosome supports coxsackievirus B3 replication in host cells. J Virol 2008, 82: 9143–9153.
- 71 Taylor MP and Kirkegaard K. Potential subversion of autophagosomal pathway by picornaviruses. Autophagy 2008, 4: 286–289.
- 72 Ke PY and Chen SS. Activation of the unfolded protein response and autophagy after hepatitis C virus infection suppresses innate antiviral immunity in vitro. J Clin Invest 2011, 121: 37–56.
- 73 Alexopoulou L, Holt AC, Medzhitov R and Flavell RA. Recognition of double-stranded RNA and activation of NF-κB by Toll-like receptor 3. Nature 2001, 413: 732–738.
- 74 Audry M, Ciancanelli M, Yang K, Cobat A, Chang HH, Sancho-Shimizu V and Lorenzo L, *et al.* NEMO is a key component of NF-κB- and IRF-3-dependent TLR3-mediated immunity to herpes simplex virus. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011, 128: 610–617.
- 75 Wang T, Town T, Alexopoulou L, Anderson JF, Fikrig E and Flavell RA. Toll-like receptor 3 mediates West Nile virus entry into the brain causing lethal encephalitis. Nat Med 2004, 10: 1366–1373.
- 76 Nasirudeen AMA, Wong HH, Thien PL, Xu S, Lam KP and Liu DX. RIG-I, MDA5 and TLR3 synergistically play an important role in restriction of dengue virus infection. PLoS Negl Tropl Dis 2011, 5: e926.
- 77 Le Goffic R, Balloy V, Lagranderie M, Alexopoulou L, Escriou N, Flavell R and Chignard M, *et al.* Detrimental contribution of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) 3 to influenza A virus-induced acute pneumonia. PLoS Pathog 2006, 2: e53.
- 78 Hardarson HS, Baker JS, Yang Z, Purevjav E, Huang CH, Alexopoulou L and Li N, *et al.* Toll-like receptor 3 is an essential component of the innate stress response in virus-induced cardiac injury. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007, 292: H251–H258.
- 79 Edelmann KH, Richardson-Burns S, Alexopoulou L, Tyler KL, Flavell RA and Oldstone MB. Does Toll-like receptor 3 play a biological role in virus infections?. Virology 2004, 322: 231–238.

- 80 Schulz O, Diebold SS, Chen M, Näslund TI, Nolte MA, Alexopoulou L and Azuma YT, *et al.* Toll-like receptor 3 promotes cross-priming to virus- infected cells. Nature 2005, 433: 887–892.
- 81 Negishi H, Osawa T, Ogami K, Ouyang X, Sakaguchi S, Koshiba R and Yanai H, *et al.* A critical link between Toll-like receptor 3 and type II interferon signaling pathways in antiviral innate immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105: 20446–20451.
- 82 Oshiumi H, Matsumoto M and Seya T. TICAM-1/TRIF, a TLR3 adaptor, is essential for protection against poliovirus infection. Int Immunol 2010, 22: i19.
- 83 Gowen BB, Hoopes JD, Wong MH, Jung KH, Isakson KC, Alexopoulou L and Flavell RA, *et al.* TLR3 deletion limits mortality and disease severity due to phlebovirus infection. J Immunol 2006, 177: 6301–6307.
- 84 Iwakiri D, Zhou L, Samanta M, Matsumoto M, Ebihara T, Seya T and Imai S, *et al.* Epstein-Barrvirus (EBV)- encoded small RNA is released from EBV-infected cells and activates signaling from Toll-like receptor 3. J Exp Med 2009, 206: 2091–2099.
- 85 Li K, Li NL, Wei D, Pfeffer SR, Fan M and Pfeffer LM. Activation of chemokine and inflammatory cytokine response in hepatitis C virus-infected hepatocytes depends on Toll-like receptor 3 sensing of hepatitis C virus double-stranded RNA intermediates. Hepatology 2012, 55: 666–675.
- 86 West J and Damania B. Upregulation of the TLR3 pathway by kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus during primary infection. J Virol 2008, 82: 5440–5449.
- 87 Hutchens M, Luker KE, Sottile P, Sonstein J, Lukacs NW, Núñez G and Curtis JL, et al. TLR3 increases disease morbidity and mortality from vaccinia infection. J Immunol 2008, 180: 483–491.
- 88 Zhang SY, Jouanguy E, Ugolini S, Smahi A, Elain G, Romero P and Segal D, *et al.* TLR3 deficiency in patients with herpes simplex encephalitis. Science 2007, 317: 1522–1527.
- 89 Tabeta K, Georgel P, Janssen E, Du X, Hoebe K, Crozat K and Mudd S, et al. Toll-like receptors 9 and 3 as essential components of innate immune defense against mouse cytomegalovirus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101: 3516–3522.
- 90 Aksoy E, Zouain CS and Vanhoutte F. Double stranded RNAs from the helminth parasite activates TLR3 in dendritic cells. J Biol Chem 2005, 280: 277–283.
- 91 Carvalho A, De Luca A, Bozza S, Cunha C, D'Angelo C, Moretti S and Perruccio K, *et al.* TLR3 essentially promotes protective class I-restricted memory CD8⁺T-cell responses to *Aspergillus fumigatus* in hematopoietic transplanted patients. Blood 2012, 119: 967–977.
- 92 Diebold SS, Kaisho T, Hemmi H, Akira S and Reise SC. Innate antiviral responses by means of TLR7- mediated recognition of single-stranded RNA. Science 2004, 303: 1529–1531.
- 93 Heil F, Hemmi H, Hochrein H, Ampenberger F, Kirschning C, Akira S and Akira S, *et al.* Species-specific recognition of single-stranded RNA via toll-like receptor 7 and 8. Science 2004, 303: 1481–1482.
- 94 Lund JM, Alexopoulou L, Sato A, Karow M, Adams NC, Gale NW and Iwasaki A, et al. Recognition of single-stranded RNA viruses by Toll-like receptor 7. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101: 5598–5603.
- 95 Triantafilou K, Orthopoulos G, Vakakis E, Ahmed MA, Golenbock DT, Lepper PM and Triantafilou M. Human cardiac inflammatory responses triggered by Coxsackie B viruses are mainly Toll-like receptor (TLR) 8-dependent. Cell Microbiol 2005, 7: 1117–1126.
- 96 Triantafilou K, Vakakis E, Orthopoulos G, Ahmed MA, Schumann C, Lepper PM and Triantafilou M. TLR8 and TLR7 are involved in the host's immune response to human parechovirus 1. Eur J Immunol 2005, 35: 2416–2423.
- 97 Zhang YL, Guo YJ, Sun SH, Su X, Cai K, Wang D and Chen H, et al. Molecular cloning and functional characterization of porcine toll-like

receptor 7 involved in recognition of single-stranded RNA virus/ssRNA. Mol Immunol 2008, 45: 1184–1190.

- 98 Kato H, Sato S, Yoneyama M, Yamamoto M, Uematsu S, Matsui K and Tsujimura T, *et al.* Cell type specific involvement of RIG-I in antiviral response. Immunity 2005, 23: 19–28.
- 99 Wang JP, Liu P, Latz E, Golenbock DT, Finberg RW and Libraty DH. Flavivirus activation of plasmacytoid dendritic cells delineates key elements of TLR7 signaling beyond endosomal recognition. J Immunol 2006, 177: 7114–7121.
- 100 Zhang YL, Guo YJ, Li B and Sun SH. Hepatitis C virus single-stranded RNA induces innate immunity via Toll-like receptor 7. J Hepatol 2009, 51: 29–38.
- 101 Cervantes-Barragan L, Zust R, Weber F, Spiegel M, Lang KS, Akira S and Thiel V, *et al.* Control of coronavirus infection through plasmacytoid dendritic-cell-derived type I interferon. Blood 2007, 109: 1131–1137.
- 102 Kane M, Case LK, Wang C, Yurkovetskiy L, Dikiy S and Golovkina TV. Innate immune sensing of retroviral infection via Toll-like receptor 7 occurs upon viral entry. Immunity 2011, 35: 135–145.
- 103 Davidson S, Kaiko G, Loh Z, Lalwani A, Zhang V, Spann K and Foo SY, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells promote host defense against acute pneumovirus infection via the TLR7-MyD88-dependent signaling pathway. J Immunol 2011, 186: 5938–5948.
- 104 Cervantes JL, Weinerman B, Basole C and Salazar JC. TLR8: the forgotten relative revindicated. Cell Mol Immunol 2012, 9: 434–438.
- 105 Cervantes JL, Dunham-Ems SM, La Vake CJ, Petzke MM, Sahay B, Sellati TJ and Radolf JD, *et al.* Phagosomal signaling by *Borrelia burg-dorferi* in human monocytes involves Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR8 cooperativity and TLR8-mediated induction of IFN-beta. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, 108: 3683–3688.
- 106 Mancuso G, Gambuzza M, Midiri A, Biondo C, Papasergi S, Akira S and Teti G, *et al.* Bacterial recognition by TLR7 in the lysosomes of conventional dendritic cells. Nat Immunol 2009, 10: 587–594.
- 107 Caetano BC, Carmo BB, Melo MB, Cerny A, dos Santos SL, Bartholomeu DC and Golenbock DT, *et al.* Requirement of UNC93B1 reveals a critical role for TLR7 in host resistance to primary infection with *Trypanosoma cruzi*. J Immunol 2011, 187: 1903–1911.
- 108 Bourgeois C, Majer O, Frohner IE, Lesiak-Markowicz I, Hildering KS, Glaser W and Stockinger S, *et al.* Conventional dendritic cells mount a type I IFN response against *Candida spp.* requiring novel phagosomal TLR7-mediated IFN-beta signaling. J Immunol 2011, 186: 3104–3112.
- 109 Biondo C, Signorino G, Costa A, Midiri A, Gerace E, Galbo R and Bellantoni A, *et al.* Recognition of yeast nucleic acids triggers a host-protective type I interferon response. Eur J Immunol 2011, 41: 1969–1979.
- 110 Hochrein H, Schlatter B, O'Keeffe M, Wagner C, Schmitz F, Schiemann M and Bauer S, et al. Herpes simplex virus type-1 induces IFN-alpha production via Toll-like receptor 9-dependent and-independent pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101: 11416–11421.
- 111 Lund J, Sato A, Akira S, Medzhitov R and Iwasaki A. Toll-like receptor 9-mediated recognition of Herpes simplex virus-2 by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. J Exp Med 2003, 198: 513–520.
- 112 Delale T, Paquin A, Asselin-Paturel C, Dalod M, Brizard G, Bates EE and Kastner P, et al. MyD88-dependent and-independent murine cytomegalovirus sensing for IFN-alpha release and initiation of immune responses in vivo. J Immunol 2005, 175: 672367–32.
- 113 Zhu J, Huang X and Yang Y. Innate immune response to adenoviral vectors is mediated by both Toll-like receptor-dependent and-independent pathways. J Virol 2007, 81: 3170–3180.
- 114 Abe T, Hemmi H, Miyamoto H, Moriishi K, Tamura S, Takaku H and Akira S, *et al.* Involvement of the Toll-like receptor 9 signaling pathway in the induction of innate immunity by baculovirus. J Virol 2005, 79: 2847–2858.

- 115 Zauner L and Nadal D. Understanding TLR9 action in Epstein-Barr virus infection. Front Biosci 2012, 17: 1219–1231.
- 116 NordstrÖm I and Eriksson K. HHV-6B induces IFN-lambda1 responses in cord plasmacytoid dendritic cells through TLR9. PLoS one 2012, 7: e38683.
- 117 Yu HR, Huang HC, Kuo HC, Sheen JM, Ou CY, Hsu TY and Yang KD. IFN-α production by human mononuclear cells infected with varicella-zoster virus through TLR9-dependent and -independent pathways. Cell Mol Immunol 2011, 8: 181–188.
- 118 Guggemoos S, Hangel D, Hamm S, Heit A, Bauer S and Adler H. TLR9 contributes to antiviral immunity during gammaherpesvirus infection. J Immunol 2008, 180: 438–443.
- 119 Samuelsson C, Hausmann J, Lauterbach H, Schmidt M, Akira S, Wagner H and Chaplin P, *et al.* Survival of lethal poxvirus infection in mice depends on TLR9, and therapeutic vaccination provides protection. J Clin Invest 2008, 118: 1776–1784.
- 120 Arpaia N, Godec J, Lau L, Sivick KE, McLaughlin LM, Jones MB and Dracheva T, *et al.* TLR signaling is required for *Salmonella typhimurium* virulence. Cell 2011, 144: 675–688.
- 121 Lecours MP, Segura M, Fittipaldi N, Rivest S and Gottschalk M. Immune receptors involved in *Streptococcus suis* recognition by dendritic cells. PLoS One 2012, 7: e44746.
- 122 Saiga H, Shimada Y and Takeda K. Innate immune effectors in mycobacterial infection. Clin Dev Immunol 2011, 2011: 347594.
- 123 Albiger B, Dahlberg S, Sandgren A, Wartha F, Beiter K, Katsuragi H and Akira S, *et al.* Toll-like receptor 9 acts at an early stage in host defence against pneumococcal infection. Cell Microbiol 2007, 9: 633–644.
- 124 Zinkernagela AS, Hruzc P, Uchiyamaa S, von Köckritz-Blickwedea M, Schuepbachd RA, Hayashic T and Carsonc DA, *et al.* Importance of Toll-like receptor 9 in host defense against M1T1 group A *Streptococcus* infections. J Innate Immun 2012, 4: 213–218.
- 125 Uchiyama S, Andreoni F, Schuepbach RA, Nizet V and Zinkernagel AS. DNase Sda1 allows invasive M1T1 group A *Streptococcus* to prevent TLR9-dependent recognition. PLoS Pathog 2012, 8: e1002736.
- 126 Parker D and Prince A. Staphylococcus aureus induces type I IFN signaling in dendritic cells via TLR9. J Immunol 2012, 189: 4040–4046.
- 127 Bafica A, Santiago HC, Goldszmid R, Ropert C, Gazzinelli RT and Sher A. Cutting edge: TLR9 and TLR2 signaling together account for MyD88-dependent control of parasitemia in *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. J Immunol 2006, 177: 3515–3519.
- 128 Drennan MB, Stijlemans B, Van den Abbeele J, Quesniaux VJ, Barkhuizen M, Brombacher F and De Baetselier P, *et al.* The induction of a type I immune response following a *Trypanosoma brucei* infection is MyD88 dependent. J Immunol 2005, 175: 2501–2509.
- 129 Abou Fakher FH, Rachinel N, Klimczak M, Louis J and Doyen N. TLR9-dependent activation of dendritic cells by DNA from *Leishmania* major favors Th1 cell development and the resolution of lesions. J Immunol 2009, 182: 1386–1396.
- 130 Coban C, Igari Y, Yagi M, Reimer T, Koyama S, Aoshi T and Ohata K, et al. Immunogenicity of whole parasite vaccines against plasmodium falciparum involves malarial hemozoin and host TLR9. Cell Host Microbe 2010, 7: 50–61.
- 131 Parroche P, Lauw FN, Goutagny N, Latz E, Monks BG, Visintin A and Halmen KA, *et al.* Malaria hemozoin is immunologically inert but radically enhances innate responses by presenting malaria DNA to Toll-like receptor 9. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104: 1919–1924.
- 132 Ramirez ZG, Specht OC, Wang JP, Lee CK, Bartholomeu DC, Gazzinelli RT and Levitz SM. Toll-like receptor-9 dependent immune activation by unmethylated CpG motifs in *Aspergillus fumigatus* DNA. Infect Immunol 2008, 76: 2123–2129.
- 133 Kasperkovitz PV, Khan NS, Tam JM, Mansour MK, Davids PJ and Vyas JM. Toll-like receptor 9 modulates macrophage antifungal effector

function during innate recognition of *candida albicans* and *saccharo-myces cerevisiae*. Infect Immun 2011, 79: 4858–4867.

- 134 Bordon Y. Innate immunity: TLR13, unlucky, but just for some. Nat Rev Immunol 2012, 12: 618–619.
- 135 Hidmark A, von Saint Paul A and Dalpke AH. Cutting Edge: TLR13 is a receptor for bacterial RNA. J Immunol 2012, 189: 2717–2721.
- 136 Kleinman ME, Yamada K, Takeda A, Chandrasekaran V, Nozaki M, Baffi JZ and Albuquerque RJ, *et al.* Sequence-and target-independent angiogenesis suppression by siRNA via TLR3. Nature 2008, 452: 591–597.
- 137 Lai Y, Yi G, Chen A, Bhardwaj K, Tragesser BJ, Rodrigo A Valverde and Zlotnick A, *et al.* Viral double-strand RNA-binding proteins can enhance innate immune signaling by Toll-like receptor 3. PLoS One 2011, 6: e25837.
- 138 Lai Y, Adhikarakunnathu S, Bhardwaj K, Ranjith-Kumar CT, Wen Y, Jordan JL and Wu LH, *et al.* LL37 and cationic peptides enhance TLR3 signaling by viral double-stranded RNAs. PLoS One 2011, 6: e26632.
- 139 Liu J, Xu C, Hsu LC, Luo Y, Xiang R and Chuang TH. A five-amino-acid motif in the undefined region of the TLR8 ectodomain is required for species-specific ligand recognition. Mol Immunol 2010, 47: 1083–1090.
- 140 Govindaraj RG, Manavalan B, Basith S and Choi S. Comparative analysis of species-specific ligand recognition in Toll-like receptor 8 signaling: a hypothesis. PLoS One 2011, 6: e25118.
- 141 Gorden KK, Qiu XX, Binsfeld CC, Vasilakos JP and Alkan SS. Cutting edge: activation of murine TLR8 by a combination of imidazoquinoline immune response modifiers and polyoligodeoxynucleotides. J Immunol 2006, 177: 6584–6587.
- 142 Demaria O, Pagni PP, Traub S, de Gassart A, Branzk N, Murphy AJ and Valenzuela DM, *et al.* TLR8 deficiency leads to autoimmunity in mice. J Clin Invest 2010, 120: 3651–3662.
- 143 Forsbach A, Nemorin JG, Montino C, Müller C, Samulowitz U, Vicari AP and Jurk M, *et al.* Identification of RNA sequence motifs stimulating sequence-specific TLR8-dependent immune responses. J Immunol 2008, 180: 3729–3738.
- 144 Ruscanu S, Pascale F, Bourge M, Hemati B, Elhmouzi-Younes J, Urien C and Bonneau M, *et al.* The double-stranded RNA bluetongue virus induces type I interferon in plasmacytoid dendritic cells via a MYD88-dependent TLR7/8-independent signaling pathway. J Virol 2012, 86: 5817–5828.
- 145 Karikó K, Buckstein M, Ni H and Weissman D. Suppression of RNA recognition by Toll-like receptors: the impact of nucleoside modification and the evolutionary origin of RNA. Immunity 2005, 23: 165–175.
- 146 Barrat FJ, Meeker T, Gregorio J, Barrat FJ, Meeker T, Gregorio J and Chan JH, *et al.* Nucleic acids of mammalian origin can act as endogenous ligands for Toll-like receptors and may promote systemic lupus erythematosus. J Exp Med 2005, 202: 1131–1139.
- 147 Baumann CL, Aspalter IM, Sharif O, Pichlmair A, Blüml S, Grebien F and Bruckner M, *et al.* CD14 is a coreceptor of Toll-like receptors 7 and 9. J Exp Med 2010, 207: 2689–2701.
- 148 Cros J, Cagnard N, Woollard K, Patey N, Zhang SY, Senechal B and Puel A, et al. Human CD14dim monocytes patrol and sense nucleic acids and viruses via TLR7 and TLR8 receptors. Immunity 2010, 33: 375–386.
- 149 Hornung V, Guenthner-Biller M, Bourquin C, Ablasser A, Schlee M, Uematsu S and Noronha A, *et al.* Sequence-specific potent induction of IFN-alpha by short interfering RNA in plasmacytoid dendritic cells through TLR7. Nat Med 2005, 11: 263–270.
- 150 Hanagata N. Structure-dependent immunostimulatory effect of CpG oligodeoxynucleotides and their delivery system. Int J Nanomed 2012, 7: 2181–2195.
- 151 Pauls E, Shpiro N, Peggie M, Young ER, Sorcek RJ, Tan L and Choi HG, *et al.* Essential role for IKKβ in production of type I interferons by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. J Biol Chem 2012, 287: 19216–1928.

- 152 Siggs OM, Berger M, Krebs P, Arnold CN, Eidenschenk C, Huber C and Pirie E, *et al.* A mutation of Ikbkg causes immune deficiency without impairing degradation of IkappaB alpha. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107: 3046–3051.
- 153 Skaug B, Jiang X and Chen ZJ. The role of ubiquitin in NF-kappaB regulatory pathways. Annu Rev Biochem 2009, 78: 769–796.
- 154 Cao W, Manicassamy S, Tang H, Kasturi SP, Pirani A, Murthy N and Pulendran B. Toll-like receptor-mediated induction of type I interferon in plasmacytoid dendritic cells requires the rapamycin-sensitive PI3KmTOR-p70S6K pathway. Nat Immunol 2008, 9: 1157–1164.
- 155 Tsujimura H, Tamura T, Kong HJ, Nishiyama A, Ishii KJ, Klinman DM and Ozato K. Toll-like receptor 9 signaling activates NF-κB through IFN regulatory factor-8/IFN consensus sequence binding protein in dendritic cells. J Immunol 2004, 172: 6820–7682.
- 156 Kondo T, Kawai T and Akira S. Dissecting negative regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling. Trends Immunol 2012, 33: 449–458.
- 157 Zeng W, Xu M, Liu S, Sun L and Chen ZL. Key role of Ubc5 and lysine-63 polyubiquitination in viral activation of IRF3. Mol Cell 2009, 36: 315–25.
- 158 Shi HX, Liu X, Wang Q, Tang PP, Liu XY, Shan YF and Wang C. Mitochondrial ubiquitin ligase MARCH5 promotes TLR7 signaling by attenuating TANK action. PLoS Pathog 2011, 7: e1002057.
- 159 Saitoh T, Satoh T, Yamamoto N, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O, Kawai T and Akira S. Antiviral protein viperin promotes Toll-like receptor 7- and Toll-like receptor 9-mediated type I interferon production in plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Immunity 2011, 34: 352–363.
- 160 Renner F, Saul VV, Pagenstecher A, Wittwer T and Schmitz ML. Inducible SUMO modification of TANK alleviates its repression of TLR7 signaling. EMBO Rep 2011, 12: 129–135.
- 161 Wang C, Chen T, Zhang J, Yang M, Li N, Xu X and Cao XT. The E3 ubiquitin ligase Nrdp1 'preferentially' promotes TLR-mediated production of type I interferon. Nat Immunol 2009, 10: 744–752.
- 162 Nahid MA, Satoh M and Chan EK. MicroRNA in TLR signaling and endotoxin tolerance. Cell Mol Immunol 2011, 8: 388–403.
- 163 Yang G, Yang L, Zhao Z, Wang JJ and Zhang XB. Signature miRNAs involved in the innate immunity of invertebrates. PLoS One 2012, 7: e39015.
- 164 Ma X, Becker Buscaglia LE, Barker JR and Li Y. MicroRNAs in NF-κB signaling. J Mol Cell Biol 2011, 3: 159–166.
- 165 Chuang TH and Ulevitch RJ. Triad3A, an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase regulating Toll-like receptors. Nat Immunol 2004, 5: 495–502.
- 166 Shi M, Deng W, Bi E, Mao K, Ji Y, Lin G and Wu X, et al. TRIM30 alpha negatively regulates TLR-mediated NF-kappaB activation by targeting TAB2 and TAB3 for degradation. Nat Immunol 2008, 9: 369–377.
- 167 Boone DL, Turer EE, Lee EG, Ahmad RC, Wheeler MT, Tsui C and Hurley P. The ubiquitin-modifying enzyme A20 is required for termination of Toll-like receptor responses. Nat Immunol 2004, 5: 1052–1060.
- 168 Shembade N, Ma A and Harhaj EW. Inhibition of NF-kappaB signaling by A20 through disruption of ubiquitin enzyme complexes. Science 2010, 327: 1135–1139.
- 169 Saitoh T, Yamamoto M, Miyagishi M, Taira K, Nakanishi M, Fujita T and Akira S, *et al.* A20 is a negative regulator of IFN regulatory factor 3 signaling. J Immunol 2005, 174: 1507–1512.
- 170 Kayagaki N, Phung Q, Chan S, Chaudhari R, Quan C, O'Rourke KM and Eby M, *et al.* A deubiquitinase that regulates type I interferon production. Science 2007, 318: 1628–1632.
- 171 Friedman CS, O'Donnell MA, Legarda-Addison D, Ng A, Cárdenas WB, Yount JS and Moran TM, *et al.* The tumor suppressor CYLD is a negative regulator of RIG-I-mediated antiviral response. EMBO Rep 2008, 9: 930–936.
- 172 Li S, Zheng H, Mao AP, Zhong B, Li Y, Liu Y and Gao Y, *et al.* Regulation of virus-triggered signaling by OTUB1- and OTUB2-mediated

deubiquitination of TRAF3 and TRAF6. J Biol Chem 2010, 285: 4291–4297.

- 173 Kawagoe T, Takeuchi O, Takabatake Y, Kato H, Isaka Y, Tsujimura T and Akira S. TANK is a negative regulator of Toll-like receptor signaling and is critical for the prevention of autoimmune nephritis. Nat Immunol 2009, 10: 965–972.
- 174 Cadwell K, Liu JY, Brown SL, Miyoshi H, Loh J, Lennerz JK and Kishi C, *et al.* A key role for autophagy and the autophagy gene Atg1611 in mouse and human intestinal Paneth cells. Nature 2008, 456: 259–263.
- 175 An H, Zhao W, Hou J, Zhang Y, Xie Y, Zheng YJ and Xu HM, et al. SHP-2 phosphatase negatively regulates the TRIF adaptor proteindependent type I interferon and proinflammatory cytokine production. Immunity 2006, 25: 919–928.
- 176 Croker BA, Lawson BR, Rutschmann S, Berger M, Eidenschenk C, Blasius AL and Moresco EM, *et al.* Inflammation and autoimmunity caused by a SHP1 mutation depend on IL-1, MyD88, and a microbial trigger. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105: 15028–15033.
- 177 Bao M, Hanabuchi S, Facchinetti V, Du Q, Bover L, Plumas J and Chaperot L, *et al.* CD2AP/SHIP1 complex positively regulates plasmacytoid dendritic cell receptor signaling by inhibiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cbl. J Immunol 2012, 189: 786–792.
- 178 Chockalingam A, Cameron JL, Brooks JC and Leifer CA. Negative regulation of signaling by a soluble form of toll-like receptor 9. Eur J Immunol 2011, 41: 2176–2184.
- 179 Carty M, Goodbody R, Schröder M, Stack J, Moynagh PN and Bowie AG. The human adaptor SARM negatively regulates adaptor protein TRIF-dependent toll-like receptor signaling. Nat Immunol 2006, 7: 1074–1081.
- 180 Janssens S, Burns K, Tschopp J and Beyaert R. Regulation of interleukin-1- and lipopolysaccharide-induced NF-kappaB activation by alternative splicing of MyD88. Curr Biol 2002, 12: 467–471.

- 181 Kobayashi K, Hernandez LD, Galán JE, Janeway CA, Jr, Medzhitov R and Flavell RA. IRAK-M is a negative regulator of toll-like receptor signaling. Cell 2002, 110: 191–202.
- 182 Burns K, Janssens S, Brissoni B, Olivos N, Beyaert R and Tschopp J. Inhibition of interleukin 1 receptor/toll-like receptor signaling through the alternatively spliced, short form of MyD88 is due to its failure to recruit IRAK-4. J Exp Med 2003, 197: 263–268.
- 183 O'Neill LA, Sheedy FJ and McCoy CE. MicroRNAs: the fine-tuners of Toll-like receptor signaling. Nat Revs Immunol 2011, 11: 163–175.
- 184 Ceppi M, Pereira PM, Dunand-Sauthier I, Barras E, Reith W, Santos MA and Pierre P. MicroRNA-155 modulates the interleukin-1 signaling pathway in activated human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106: 2735–2740.
- 185 Pifer R, Benson A, Sturge CR and Yarovinsky F. UNC93B1 is essential for TLR11 activation and IL-12- dependent host resistance to *Toxoplasma gondii*. J Biol Chem 2011, 286: 3307–3314.
- 186 Yokota SI, Okabayashi T and Fujii N. The battle between virus and host: modulation of Toll-like receptor signaling pathways by virus infection. Mediators Inflamm 2010, 2010: 184328.
- 187 Skalsky RL and Cullen BR. Viruses, microRNAs, and host interactions. Annu Rev Microbiol 2010, 64: 123–141.
- 188 Celhar T, Magalhães R and Fairhurst AM. TLR7 and TLR9 in SLE: when sensing self goes wrong. Immunol Res 2012, 53: 58–77.
- 189 Yanai H, Ban T, Wang Z, Choi MK, Kawamura T, Negishi H and Nakasato M, *et al.* HMGB proteins function as universal sentinels for nucleic-acid-mediated innate immune responses. Nature 2009, 462: 99–103.
- 190 Krieg A and Vollmer J. Toll-like receptors 7, 8, and 9: linking innate immunity to autoimmunity. Immunol Rev 2007, 220: 251–269.