Review Article

2',6'-Dimethylphenylalanine: A Useful Aromatic Amino Acid Surrogate for Tyr or Phe Residue in Opioid Peptides

Yusuke Sasaki and Akihiro Ambo

Department of Biochemistry, Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, 4-4-1 Komatsushima, Aoba-ku, Sendai 981-8558, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Yusuke Sasaki, ysasaki@tohoku-pharm.ac.jp

Received 31 January 2012; Revised 15 March 2012; Accepted 18 March 2012

Academic Editor: Yoshio Okada

Copyright © 2012 Y. Sasaki and A. Ambo. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Two aromatic amino acids, Tyr^1 and Phe^3 or Phe^4 , are important structural elements in opioid peptides because they interact with opioid receptors. The usefulness of an artificial amino acid residue 2',6'-dimethylphenylalanine (Dmp) was investigated as an aromatic amino acid surrogate for several opioid peptides, including enkephalin, dermorphin, deltorphin, endomorphin, dynorphin A, and nociceptin peptides. In most peptides, substitutions of Phe^3 by a Dmp residue produced analogs with improved receptor-binding affinity and selectivity, while the same substitution of Phe^4 induced markedly reduced receptor affinity and selectivity. Interestingly, replacement of Tyr^1 by Dmp produced analogs with unexpectedly high affinity or produced only a slight drop in receptor affinity and bioactivity for most peptides. Thus, Dmp is also a useful surrogate for the N-terminal Tyr residue in opioid peptides despite the lack of a phenolic hydroxyl group, which is considered necessary for opioid activity. The Dmp¹substituted analogs are superior to 2',6'-dimethyltyrosine (Dmt)¹-substituted analogs for high receptor selectivity since the latter generally have poor receptor selectivity. Thus, Dmp is very useful as an aromatic amino acid surrogate in opioid peptides and may be useful for developing other novel peptide mimetics with high receptor specificity.

1. Introduction

Three major types of opioid receptors, μ , δ , and κ , have been cloned and assigned to the superfamily of rhodopsinlike G-protein-coupled receptors [1-3]. The μ -receptors are involved in supraspinal analgesia, respiratory depression, euphoria, sedation, decreased gastrointestinal motility, and physical dependence [4]. The δ -receptors appear to affect cardiovascular function, contribute to analgesia, and cause changes in affective behavior [4]. The κ -receptors are responsible for spinal analgesia, miosis, a modest degree of sedation, and some respiratory depression [4]. In vivo, opioid peptides exert pharmacological actions via the opioid receptors. Enkephalins (Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu/Met) [5] and endomorphins (Tyr-Pro-Phe/Trp-Phe-NH₂) [6] are endogenous ligands for the δ - and μ -opioid receptors, respectively. Dynorphin A (DYN: Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu-Arg-Arg-Ile-Arg-Pro-Lys-Leu-Lys-Trp-Asp-Asn-Gln) is the endogenous ligand of the κ -opioid receptor [7]. Dermorphin (DM, Tyr-D-Ala-Phe-Gly-Tyr-Pro-Ser-NH₂) [8] and [D-Ala²]deltorphin II

(DT, Tyr-D-Ala-Phe-Glu-Val-Val-Gly-NH₂) [9] are naturally occurring opioid peptides isolated from South American frogs and possess high selectivity toward μ - and δ -opioid receptors, respectively.

A fourth cloned member of the opioid-receptor family is the opioid receptor-like 1 (ORL1) receptor that shares high sequence homology with traditional opioid receptors [10]. The heptadecapeptide nociceptin (NOC) [11] or orphanin FQ [12] (NOC: Phe-Gly-Gly-Phe-Thr-Gly-Ala-Arg-Lys-Ser-Ala-Arg-Lys-Leu-Ala-Asn-Gln) was discovered as an endogenous ligand for the ORL1 receptor. NOC and DYN have a similar structural feature including the Nterminal tetrapeptide sequence Phe-Gly-Gly-Phe in NOC and Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe in DYN and the existence of basic residues, although with different distributions, at the Cterminal. Despite the sequence homology, NOC and DYN have different pharmacological profiles [13, 14]. NOC possesses hyperalgesia and allodynia activity when applied supraspinally at low doses, while spinal delivery of NOC causes analgesia at high doses [11, 13-15]. Two aromatic

In the field of opioid peptides, a number of synthetic analogs have been prepared based on structure-activity studies focusing on the aromatic amino acids Tyr¹ and either Phe³ or Phe⁴, which are important structural elements that interact with opioid receptors [21]. Among these, the most active analogs were those that substituted 2',6'dimethyltyrosine (Dmt) for Tyr¹, which vastly improved opioid receptor binding affinity [22-36]. Structure-activity relation studies of opioid peptides using Dmt revealed that introduction of Dmt1 could improve receptor affinity and opioid potency. In addition, combination of Dmt with 1,2,3,4-tetraisoquinoline-3-carboxvlic acid (Tic), Dmt-Tic pharmacophore, also produced potent δ -selective antagonists, including Dmt-Tic-OH [31, 32], N,N'-diMeDmt-Tic-OH [33], DIPP-NH₂ [34], and DIPP [Ψ] [35]. The 2,6dimethylation of the aromatic moiety in Leu-enkephalin (ENK) imparted high enzymatic stability to the peptide [36]. These findings prompted a study to modify a Phe aromatic moiety at position 3 or 4 of opioid peptides through 2,6-dimethylation because no derivatives with phenyl ringmethylated Phe incorporated into opioid peptides have been reported, only other biologically active peptides have been prepared [37, 38]. The usefulness of incorporating the artificial aromatic amino acid, 2',6'-dimethylphenylalanine (Dmp) (Figure 1) as an aromatic amino acid surrogate in opioid peptides to develop opioid ligands specific for opioid receptors was investigated. This paper includes all studies that involved substitution of the Dmp residue into opioid peptides reported in the last decade.

2. Preparation of 2',6' -Dimethylphenylalanine (Dmp)

Initially, Dmp and D-Dmp were synthesized by the route illustrated in Scheme 1 [39]. Commercially available 1 reacted with sodium trimethyl stannane according to the method of Yamamoto et al. [40], followed by reaction with iodine according to the method of Ohno et al. [41]. A key intermediate, 2-iodo-*m*-xylene (3), reacted with methyl 2-acetamidoacrylate by Dygos' method [42], which led to 4, followed by saponification to yield 5. Catalytic hydrogenation of 5 yielded racemic Ac-Dmp (6). For optical resolution, 6 was converted to its dipeptide derivatives, Ac-DL-Dmp-Arg-OMe (7), which were easily separated into diastereoisomers by preparative HPLC. Acid hydrolysis and neutralization of each isomer yielded Dmp (8) and D-Dmp (9). The L and D configurations were determined using L-amino acid oxydase according to a method reported by Toth et al. [43].

In addition, Li et al. prepared Dmp using the asymmetric synthetic method of Dygos et al. [42], which was applied to the endomorphin analogs [44].



FIGURE 1: Structure of 2',6'-dimethylphenylalanine (Dmp).

3. Dmp Replacement of Phenylalanine Residue at Position 3 or 4 in Opioid Peptides

The usefulness of Dmp was first investigated as a surrogate for Phe⁴ in ENK analogs [39]. The receptor-binding affinities of synthetic analogs were determined using rat brain, as previously reported [45]. As shown in Table 1, replacement of Phe⁴ in ENK by Dmp led to analog 10 with μ -receptor affinity comparable to ENK, but with approximately 12fold reduction in δ -receptor affinity, resulting in a change of preferential receptor from δ to μ . The D-Dmp replacement of ENK (11) induced significant reduction in binding affinity for both receptors. Combined replacement of Dmp⁴ and Dmt¹ produced 12 with markedly improved affinities for both receptors, 40- and 110-fold greater in affinity than 10 for the μ - and δ -receptors, respectively. However, 12 possessed 5-fold lower affinity than that of [Dmt¹]ENK for both receptors, possibly due to slight changes in the active conformation by simultaneous dimethylation of two aromatic moieties. D-Dmp⁴ replacement of 12 led to 13, which retained μ affinity equivalent to that of ENK and modest δ affinity, demonstrating the effectiveness of Dmt¹ replacement for maintaining high receptor affinity. In vitro biological activity of ENK analogs was evaluated using isolated guinea pig ileum (GPI) and mouse vas deferens (MVD) tissue samples, as previously reported [46]. The GPI tissue contains predominantly µ-receptors, while MVD tissue contains δ -receptors [47]. As shown in Table 1, compound 10 possessed 8- and 30-fold lower activity compared to ENK in the GPI and MVD assays, respectively. In contrast, 11 was devoid of activity in both assays, as expected from the binding data. Analog 13 also lacked activity in both assays, even though this analog showed potent μ affinity and modest δ affinity. This analog turned out to be a potent μ -antagonist and a weak δ -antagonist. The pA₂ values of 13 were 6.90 against EM2 as a μ agonist in the GPI assay and 5.57 against DT as a δ agonist, in the MVD assay. The results of 13 are in line with observations that Dmt-D-Phe-NH₂ and its Cterminally extended analog are μ -receptor antagonists [48].

Effects of Dmp substitution for phenylalanine at position 3 or position 4 in EM2 were examined (Table 1) [49]. The EM2 possessed great affinity and selectivity for the μ -receptor. Interestingly, Dmp substitution for Phe³ in EM2 (14) produced a compound with 10-fold greater affinity than that of EM2 for both the μ - and δ -receptors and still retained high μ -receptor selectivity comparable to that of EM2. The Dmp substitution of Phe in position 4 (16), however, resulted



SCHEME 1: Synthetic route to L-Dmp and D-Dmp, (a) Me₃SnNa, DME, ice-salt bath, 2 h; (b) I_2/THF , rt, 3 h; (c) methyl 2-acetamidoacrylate/Pd(OAc)₂/Et₃N/MeCN, (2-MeC₆H₄)₃P, reflux, 24 h; (d) 1 M NaOH/dioxane, rt, 2 h; (e) H₂ (4 kgf/cm²)/10% Pd-C/AcOH, 70°C, 48 h; (f) HCl·Arg-OMe/Et₃N/DCC/HOBt/DMF, 0°C to rt, 5 h; (g) preparative HPLC; (h) concd HCl, reflux, 8 h; (i) pH 4–6/H₂O.

in a 23-fold decrease in μ affinity and a slight increase in δ affinity, resulting in a significant decrease in μ -receptor selectivity. The introduction of D-Dmp at either position 3 or 4 (15 or 17, resp.) resulted in a significant decrease in μ affinity and selectivity, which agreed with the results from D-Phe-replaced analogs [50]. Analog 15 retained moderate μ affinity with a Ki value of 2.4 nM, whereas 16 and 17 exhibited significantly decreased μ affinity. In the *in vitro* assay, 14 exhibited considerably greater GPI potency than EM2 as expected; however, this analog exhibited more potent MVD activity than that expected from δ -binding. This may be due to μ -receptors, which coexist in the MVD tissues, because the high MVD potency was strongly inhibited by the specific µ-receptor antagonist CTAP [51]. A similar trend was observed with other μ -receptor ligands [52, 53]. These results suggest that Dmp substitution of Phe³ of EM2 promotes μ receptor specificity and that Phe³ is more amenable to Dmp or its D-isomer substitution compared to Phe⁴.

Use of Dmp as a Phe surrogate in DM and DT heptapeptides was also examined [52]. Replacement of Phe by Dmp in the μ -specific ligand DM (18) induced a significant increase (170-fold) in μ affinity and only a modest increase in δ affinity, resulting in marked improvement of μ -receptor selectivity. The D-Dmp³ replacement (19), however, resulted in marked decrease in both μ and δ affinities. Interestingly, the Dmp³ replacement in δ -specific ligand DT produced **20** with a 22-fold increase in δ affinity and a 3-fold decrease in μ affinity, resulting in a 75-fold increase in δ -receptor selectivity with unprecedented δ -receptor selectivity (μ/δ = 1,045,714). The configurational inversion of Dmp in DT (21) was detrimental to δ -receptor selectivity. Results of the *in* vitro bioassay of these analogs showed that 18 exhibited a slight increase in GPI potency and a greater increase in MVD potency, while 19 showed marked decreases in both assays as expected from binding affinities. The discrepancy between the degree of increase (3-fold) in the GPI assay observed with **18** and the μ -binding data (170-fold) may be due to differences in μ -receptors in the brain and peripheral tissues. The Dmp³-substituted DT analog **20** showed markedly increased MVD potency, resulting in a very high GPI/MVD ratio of 304,772. As expected, D-Dmp³-substituted DT (**21**) possessed very low MVD potency. Analogs **18** and **20** are among the most potent and selective ligands for μ - and δ opioid receptors, respectively, and therefore are candidates for investigations of opioid systems.

A dermorphin tetrapeptide analog, Tyr-D-Arg-Phe- β Ala-NH₂ (YRFB), is a highly potent and selective ligand for the μ -opioid receptor [53]. The usefulness of Dmp replacement for Phe3 in this tetrapeptide was examined [54]. Substituting Dmp for Phe³ in YRFB (22) induced a 5-fold increase in μ -receptor affinity without significant change in δ -receptor affinity, as compared to the parent peptide. Results from the GPI assay using this compound coincided well with the binding data, but a slight increase in activity in the MVD assay was found. As shown in Table 2, low K_e values for the μ -antagonist CTAP and high $K_{\rm e}$ values for the δ antagonist N, N(Me)₂Dmt-Tic-OH [33], against 22 suggest that the GPI activity of these analogs occurred mainly via the μ -opioid receptor. Analog 22 was also tested for analgesic activity in the formalin test in mice and was compared to results for YRFB and morphine. As shown in Table 3, subcutaneous injection of this analog produced dose-dependent antinociceptive activity in mice in both the first and second phases. Its analgesic activity was approximately 40- and 70-fold more potent than that of morphine in the first and second phases, respectively. These results indicate that Dmp is effective as a Phe surrogate for improving functional activity and maintaining μ -selectivity [52]. In contrast, [D-Dmp³] YRFB (23) exhibited μ -receptor affinity similar to the parent peptide, but it exhibited an order of magnitude lower GPI potency.

Next, the effect of Dmp replacement of N-terminal aromatic residues in DYN and NOC was compared [55]. Six analogs (26-31) containing Dmp in position 1 and/or 4 of DYN (1-13)-NH₂ and NOC (1-13)-NH₂ were synthesized and tested for their binding affinity to opioid receptors derived from rat (μ - and δ -receptors) or guinea pig (κ receptor) brains and to membrane preparations derived from HEK293 cells expressing human ORL-1 receptor. Results are shown in Table 4. In a series of $DYN(1-13)-NH_2$ analogs, the parent peptide DYN(1-13)-NH₂ showed high affinity toward κ -, μ -, and δ -opioid receptors with κ -receptor selectivity, that is, an IC₅₀ ratio of 1/15.6/40.1 and significantly low affinity toward the ORL1 receptor, similar to an observation of intact DYN [11, 20]. Dmp⁴ replacement afforded 26, which had greater κ -opioid receptor affinity than that of the parent peptide and significantly improved κ -receptor selectivity (IC₅₀ ratios: $1(\kappa)/509(\mu)/21159(\delta)$ versus DYN(1-13)-NH₂, $1(\kappa)/15.6(\mu)/40.1(\delta)$). Compound **26** exhibited an order of magnitude decrease in affinity, indicating that the Dmp⁴ modification in DYN peptides is detrimental to ORL1-receptor affinity, as was observed with NOC peptides. NOC (1-13)-NH₂ possessed high ORL1 receptor affinity and poor affinity for κ -, μ -, and δ -opioid receptors. The Dmp⁴-NOC analog (29) showed a 70-fold decrease in ORL1 affinity without significant changes in affinity toward the opioid receptors. These results indicate the critical importance of the Phe⁴ residue for interactions with the ORL1 receptor. A Dmp residue at this position appears to influence the conformation of the NOC peptide by 2',6'-dimethylation of the Dmp side chain aromatic moiety. This occurs because, according to the proposed model of the ORL1 receptor and its complex with NOC, the Phe⁴ residue of NOC located at a hydrophobic pocket in a cavity formed by TM helices 3, 5, 6, and 7 and the Phe⁴ side chain interact with Phe²²⁰ of the ORL1 receptor through an edge-face interaction [56]. Two methyl groups on Dmp⁴ may interfere with the receptor interaction due to a reduction in conformational flexibility and/or enhanced lipophilicity. To further examine the usefulness of Dmp-containing DYN peptides as κ opioid receptor ligands, the in vitro bioactivity of DYN peptides was determined using the GPI assay (Table 5). Contrary to the high κ -opioid receptor-binding profile, 26 exhibited unexpectedly low GPI potency, which was one order of magnitude lower than the parent peptides. Low $K_{\rm e}$ values for the κ -receptor antagonist and high $K_{\rm e}$ values for the μ - and δ -antagonists of 26 suggest that the GPI activity of these analogs occurred mainly via the κ -opioid receptor.

4. Dmp Replacement of N-Terminal Tyr Residue in Opioid Peptides

The usefulness of Dmp¹ substitution for Tyr¹ in the δ opioid receptor-selective ligands, ENK and DT, and the μ opioid receptor-selective ligands, EM2 and YRFB, has been investigated [49, 53, 57]. Results of receptor-binding and in *vitro* assays are shown in Table 6. The replacement of Tyr¹ by Dmp in ENK led to 32, which possessed similar receptor affinity and selectivity as ENK, whereas Dmt¹ replacement produced marked increases in both μ and δ affinities but did not increase receptor selectivity. Replacement of Phe¹ in ENK (33) decreased the affinity by 50- and 70-fold at the δ - and μ -receptors, respectively. Replacement of Tyr¹ by Dmp in DT (34) markedly decreased the binding affinity and selectivity toward the δ -receptor. Introduction of the inverse configuration at this position (35) markedly reduced δ affinity and selectivity. In contrast, [Dmt¹]DT (36) possessed a 50-fold increase in δ affinity and a 1200-fold increase in μ affinity, resulting in substantial reduction in δ -receptor selectivity, which agrees with previous results [28]. [Phe¹]DT (37) retained significant δ affinity and good δ selectivity, similar to the results for [Phe¹] deltorphin I [58]. Analog 32 possessed lower MVD and GPI potency compared to ENK but showed significant MVD potency and GPI/MVD selectivity in agreement with the binding data. Analog 34 exhibited 2-fold greater potency for MVD and a greater GPI/MVD ratio compared to DT (selectivity ratio: 14,835 versus 9342) or [Dmt¹]DT (36) (selectivity ratio: 14,835) versus 1700). The [Phe¹]DT (**37**) exhibited a 20-fold decrease in MVD potency compared to DT but retained significant potency and MVD specificity. These results demonstrate that the Dmp¹ peptide is superior to the corresponding Dmt¹ peptide in receptor selectivity because the latter generally possesses poor receptor selectivity.

In EM2 analogs, replacement of Tyr¹ by Dmp led to **38**, which showed a 4-fold reduction, retaining significant μ affinity. Note that **38** retained high potency for μ -receptors despite the lack of a phenolic hydroxyl group at the N-terminal, which agreed with results for YRFB analogs [53]. The D-Dmp¹- or Phe¹-substituted analogs of EM2 (**39** and **40**, resp.) showed a significant decrease in GPI potency, which was expected from the binding affinity. In contrast, **39** and **40** were more than 100-fold less potent than EM2. Results from analog **38** supported the observation that a Dmp residue can mimic the N-terminal Tyr of opioid peptides [53].

Replacing Tyr with Dmp at position 1 in YRFB (24) produced greater μ affinity and considerably lower δ affinity compared to YRFB and improved μ -receptor selectivity by 15-fold. This compound, however, showed slightly lower GPI and MVD potency compared to YRFB. The D-Dmp substitution for Tyr1 (41) markedly reduced affinities for both receptors and for *in vitro* biological activity, suggesting that the L-configuration at this position is crucial for receptor interactions. The dual substitutions of Dmp for the aromatic amino acids at positions 1 and 3 produced 25 with binding affinity and selectivity for the μ -receptor that were slightly improved relative to those of 22 or 24. This analog also showed slightly greater GPI potency than YRFB. As shown in Table 2, the low K_e value found for the μ -receptor selective antagonist CTAP in the GPI assay demonstrated inhibition of the high activity of Dmp¹-containing analogs (24 and 25) and suggests that the activity is mediated via μ -opioid receptors. The low Ke values for CTAP in the MVD assay

TABLE 1	: Opioid receptor-bine	ling affinity and biologica	l activities of op	ioid peptide ana	logs containing D1	np at position 3/	4.	
Peptide	Receptor binding at μ^{a}	finity, $Ki \pm S$. E. (nM) δ^{b}	δ/μ	μ/δ	GPI (μ) IC ₅₀ (nM)	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{MVD}\left(\delta\right)\\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}\left(\mathrm{nM}\right) \end{array}$	MVD/GPI	GPI/MVD
(1) Leu-enkephalin								
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu (ENK)	2.42 ± 0.93	1.43 ± 0.71		1.69	103 ± 30	22.2 ± 4.3		4.64
$[Dmp^4]ENK$ (10)	1.25 ± 0.29	17.7 ± 4.2		0.07	808 ± 101	624 ± 103		1.29
$[D-Dmp^4]ENK$ (11)	2505 ± 169	8924 ± 4098		0.28	>10,000	>10,000		
[Dmt ¹]ENK ^c	0.0068 ± 0.003	0.031 ± 0.011		0.22	0.55 ± 0.17	0.17 ± 0.02		3.24
$[Dmt^1, Dmp^4]ENK$ (12)	0.030 ± 0.011	0.158 ± 0.034		0.19	2.00 ± 0.51	1.45 ± 0.26		1.38
$[Dmt^1, D-Dmp^4]ENK$ (13)	5.61 ± 0.59	40.9 ± 11.5		0.14	>10,000 ^d	$>10,000^{e}$		
(2) Endomorphin-2								
Tyr-Pro-Phe-Phe-NH ₂ (EM2)	0.557 ± 0.306	$14,070 \pm 3346$	25260		10.5 ± 1.2	317 ± 65	30.2	
$[Dmp^3]EM2$ (14)	0.0304 ± 0.0208	1063 ± 336	34967		0.378 ± 0.104	1.39 ± 0.17	3.68	
$[D-Dmp^3]EM2$ (15)	2.4 ± 0.56	4169 ± 954	1737		30.4 ± 2.8	187 ± 30	6.15	
$[Dmp^4]EM2$ (16)	13.2 ± 1.9	7624 ± 2571	578		196 ± 40	320 ± 55	1.63	
$[D-Dmp^4]EM2$ (17)	106 ± 20	1765 ± 834	17		587 ± 119	2267 ± 603	3.86	
(3) Demrophin/deltorphin								
Dermorphin (DM)	0.092 ± 0.024	192 ± 51	2087	l	3.74 ± 0.57	34.4 ± 4.8	9.2	I
$[Dmp^3]DM$ (18)	0.00054 ± 0.00021	45.7 ± 11.8	84630	l	1.21 ± 0.23	4.62 ± 0.82	3.8	I
$[D-Dmp^3]DM$ (19)	4.43 ± 1.85	3300 ± 702	745	I	44.4 ± 6.1	358 ± 45	8.1	I
Deltorphin 11 (DT)	314 ± 53	0.0226 ± 0.0077	I	13894	5437 ± 812	0.582 ± 0.029		9342
$[Dmp^3]DT$ (20)	1098 ± 111	0.00105 ± 0.00043		1045714	6705 ± 992	0.022 ± 0.003		304772
$[D-Dmp^3]DT$ (21)	1956 ± 177	111 ± 17		18	8214 ± 872	145 ± 15		56
(4) Dermorphin-relative short peptide								
Tyr-D-Arg-Phe- β Ala-NH ₂ (YRFB)	0.172 ± 0.025	482 ± 121	2802		5.31 ± 0.72	116 ± 18	21.8	
$[Dmp^3]$ YRFB (22)	0.0350 ± 0.0167	544 ± 143	15543		1.67 ± 0.24	27.9 ± 5.0	16.7	
$[D-Dmp^3]$ YRFB (23)	0.0618 ± 0.0109	>2823	>45679		19.8 ± 1.9	305 ± 53	15.4	
^a Versus [³ H]DAMGO. ^b Versus [³ H]DT. ^c Da	ita cited from [39]. ^d Anta	gonism was shown with pA2	= 6.90 against EN	12. ^e Antagonism w	as shown with pA2 =	= 5.57 against DT.		

TABLE 2: K_e values of opioid receptor antagonists against Dmpcontaining YRFB analogs in the GPI and MVD assays.

			$K_{\rm e} ({\rm nM})$	
Peptides		GPI		MVD
		СТАР	CTAP	N,N(Me) ₂ Dmt-Tic-OH
[Dmp ³]YRFB	(22)	22.7	11.4	>1000
[Dmp ¹]YRFB	(24)	25.1	13.4	>1000
[Dmp ^{1,3}]YRFB	(25)	21.3	10.2	>1000
YRFB		26.8	21.2	>1000
[Dmt ¹]YRFB ^b		85.5	7.89	192
DT		NT ^a	>1000	0.64

^aNot tested.

TABLE 3: Antinociceptive activities of Dmp-containing YRFB analogs after subcutaneous injection in the formalin test.

Dantidaa		ED ₅₀ (95%	6 C. L.)	ª, nmol/kg
replices		First phase	Se	cond phase
[Dmp ³]YRFB	(22) 98.6	(26.7–364)	113	(48.6–264)
[Dmp ¹]YRFB	(24) 1946	(1026–3691)	1529	(1199–1950)
YRFB	628	(364–1280)	514	(378–700)
Morphine	3811	(2921–4973)	7319	(4058–13198)
a				

^a ED₅₀ values and 95% confidence limits.

indicate its inhibition of the analogs MVD activity, but the δ -receptor selective antagonist $N, N(Me)_2$ Dmt-Tic-OH did not inhibit this activity. This result may be due to μ -receptor cooccurring with the δ -receptor in MVD tissue. Analogs 24 and 25 retained high μ -receptor affinity and potent GPI activity despite the lack of a phenolic hydroxyl group in the side chain of the N-terminal residue, which is considered crucial for binding and activating opioid receptors. However, some cyclic somatostatin- or DPDPE-based analogs possess high affinity for and/or potency toward the μ -receptor despite the absence of this group at the N-terminal residue [59-63]. Analogs 24 and 25 are examples of linear peptides lacking an N-terminal phenolic hydroxyl group but possess high opioid activity. The present results support reports of the interactions of cyclic compounds that indicate the Tyr hydroxyl moiety at the N-terminal residue of opioid peptides is not an absolute requirement for interaction with opioid receptors and signal transduction. Because replacing the Tyr¹ residue with Phe (42) drastically reduced μ -receptor affinity and GPI potency, effects of Dmp substitution on receptor interactions are attributable mainly to enhanced hydrophobicity and/or increased conformational stability of the side chain of the aromatic ring. The basic functional group of the D-Arg residue at position 2 may also be responsible for the potent receptor interaction because the affinity of 41 and 42 was very low, but significant affinity for the μ -receptor was retained. In addition, the Dmt¹-substituted YRFB exhibited great affinity for both the μ - and δ -receptors, which often resulted in low receptor selectivity. Such trends have also been observed with other Dmt¹-substituted opioid peptides [39, 64, 65]. In contrast, substitution of Dmp¹ for Tyr¹ improved μ -receptor selectivity exclusively, a result distinct

from the effects of Dmt¹substitution. In the formalin test in mice, analog **24** also exhibited approximately 3-fold (first phase) and 5-fold (second phase) greater potency than that of morphine, but the potencies were approximately 3-fold less than those of YRFB. The analgesic potencies of these analogs correlated well with their GPI potencies.

In a series of DYN(1-13)-NH₂ analogs, Dmp¹ replacement afforded 27 with greater κ -opioid receptor affinity than that of the parent peptide; Dmp¹ replacement also significantly improved *k*-receptor selectivity (IC₅₀ ratios: 27, $1(\kappa)/293(\mu)/180(\delta)$ versus DYN(1-13)-NH₂, $1(\kappa)/15.6(\mu)/40.1(\delta)$). These results support our recent finding that Dmp is an effective surrogate for the Tyr¹ residue in opioid peptides [49, 53, 57]. Analog 27, however, exhibited low GPI potency two orders of magnitude less than DYN(1-13)-NH₂. Low K_e values for the κ -receptor antagonist nor-BNI suggests that its GPI activity occurred mainly via the κ -opioid receptor, similar to the observations for 26. The discrepancy between κ -opioid receptor binding and GPI potency observed with 27 can be attributed to the lack of hydroxyl side chains on the N-terminal residue because the [Dmt¹]DYN peptide was as active as the parent peptide in a GPI assay [66]. Similar results have been reported for the Phe¹-DYN (1–11) peptide [67]. Unexpectedly, however, 27 possessed 3-fold greater affinity toward the ORL1 receptor, whereas 26 exhibited an order of magnitude decrease in ORL1 receptor affinity, indicating that Dmp⁴ modification in DYN peptides is detrimental to ORL1-receptor affinity. Simultaneous Dmp replacements in positions 1 and 4 (28) resulted in a two order of magnitude decrease in κ -receptor affinity and dramatically reduced GPI potency with loss of receptor selectivity. These results indicate that the Nterminal phenolic hydroxyl group of the DYN peptide is not mandatory for κ -receptor binding but is critically important for receptor activation.

As shown in Table 4, Dmp^1 -NOC peptide (**30**) possessed high ORL1 receptor affinity comparable to the parent peptide NOC (1-13)-NH₂. Interestingly, this analog exhibited improved affinity toward the three opioid receptors, with 5- and 16-fold improved affinity for the κ - and μ -receptors, respectively, perhaps due to the effect of Dmp^1 , which can mimic Tyr¹ in some opioid peptides without a substantial decrease in receptor affinity [53, 58]. Dmp substitutions in positions 1 and 4 afforded **31** with a moderate decrease in affinity toward the ORL1 and opioid receptors, indicating that a Dmp residue in position 1 can compensate for the decrease caused by the Dmp⁴ substitution.

Substitution of the peptide with an artificial amino acid often improves metabolic stability, which is useful when conducting *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. As shown in Table 7, Dmp¹-substituted analogs **27** and **30** showed greater stability toward aminopeptidase M (AP-M) and rat brain synaptosomal enzymes compared to the parent peptides, which suggests the involvement of aminopeptidase(s) in the brain that breaks down these analogs as observed with intact NOC [68, 69] and DYN [70, 71]. However, the stability of the Dmp⁴-substituted analogs (**26** and **29**) was similar to or somewhat less than that of the parent peptide toward rat brain enzymes. These results imply that a Dmp residue in

]	$IC_{50} \pm SEM (nM)$		
Peptides	ORL1 receptor		Opioid recept	or	
	[³ H]NOC ^a	$[^{3}H]U$ -69593 (κ) ^b	$[^{3}H]$ DAMGO (μ) ^c	$[^{3}H]DT (\delta)^{c}$	κ/μ/δ
DYN(I-13)-NH ₂	18.8 ± 3.01	0.162 ± 0.049	2.53 ± 0.38	6.49 ± 1.11	1/15.6/40.1
$[Dmp^4]DYN (1-13)-NH_2$ (26)	188 ± 18.2	0.044 ± 0.035	22.4 ± 10.2	931 ± 723	1/509/21159
$[Dmp^1]DYN(1-13)-NH_2$ (27)	6.60 ± 0.952	0056 ± 0026	16.4 ± 2.35	10.1 ± 6.02	1/293/180
$[Dmp^{1,4}]DYN (1-13) - NH_2$ (28)	51.5 ± 1.62	5.45 ± 1.65	251 ± 56.3	415 ± 185	1/46/76.1
NOC	0.151 ± 0.058	643 ± 218	1540 ± 601	>10000	_
NOC(1-13)-NH ₂	0.743 ± 0.125	193 ± 54	319 ± 88	>10000	_
$[Dmp^4]NOC(1-13)-NH_2$ (29)	51.6 ± 12.9	299 ± 63	629 ± 433	>10000	_
$[Dmp^1]NOC(1-13)-NH_2$ (30)	0.814 ± 0.090	38.8 ± 16.7	25.0 ± 6.5	292 ± 61	_
$[Dmp^{l,4}]NOC(1-13)-NH_2$ (31)	21.3 ± 3.2	100 ± 29	56.8 ± 12.3	3407 ± 990	_

TABLE 4: Receptor-binding affinity of DYN analogs and NOC analogs containing Dmp for opioid receptors and ORLI receptor.

^aUsing cell membrane expressing human ORL1 receptor in Hek-293 cells. ^bUsing guinea pig brain homogenate.

^cUsing rat brain homogenate.

TABLE 5: GPI assay and opioid receptor preference of DYN analogs.

Pentides		$IC_{ro} + SFM(nM)$	K _e (n	M) value of recepto	or selective antagonist
			nor-BNI (κ)	CTAP (μ)	N,N(Me) ₂ Dmt-Tic-OH (δ)
DYN(l-13)-NH ₂		3.14 ± 1.13	1.1	99	98
[Dmp ⁴]DYN(I-13)-NH ₂	(26)	32.2 ± 9.16	0.63	108	198
[Dmp ¹]DYN(l-13)-NH ₂	(27)	306 ± 68	10	115	> 1000
$[Dmp^{1,4}]DYN(l-13)-NH_2$	(28)	1341 ± 303	809	595	> 100

position 4 offers no additional metabolic stability for either peptide and that endopeptidases play a major role in brain metabolism. A doubly Dmp-replaced NOC analog **31** also possessed no additional stability, whereas its counterpart DYN analog **28** possessed improved metabolic stability. Comparison of the metabolism of Dmp-containing NOC and DYN analogs suggested that the NOC peptides generally are more susceptible to aminopeptidases and endopeptidases although other results in human blood have been reported [71].

5. Conclusions

The usefulness of the artificial amino acid residue Dmp was investigated as an aromatic amino acid surrogate for opioid peptides and related peptides, including ENK, DM, YRFB, DT, EM2, DYN, and NOC peptides. In most opioid peptides, substitution of Phe³ by Dmp produced analogs with improved receptor-binding affinity and selectivity, for example, [Dmp³]EM2 (14), [Dmp³]DM (18), [Dmp³]DT (20), and [Dmp³]YRFB (22), while substitution by the D-enantiomer resulted in decreased receptor affinity and selectivity. A small analog [D-Dmp³]YRFB (23) was the only exception because it possessed high μ affinity similar to the parent peptide. However, Dmp-substitution in position 4 produced analogs with markedly reduced receptor affinity and selectivity, for example, [Dmp⁴]ENK (10), [Dmp⁴]EM2

(16), and [Dmp⁴]NOC (1-13)-NH₂ (29), while their D-Dmp⁴-analogs were almost devoid of receptor affinity and opioid activity. [Dmp⁴]DYN(1-13)-NH₂ (26) exceptionally possessed significantly improved receptor affinity for the κ -opioid receptor and outstanding κ -receptor selectivity. Interestingly, replacement of Tyr¹by Dmp residue produced analogs with equipotent or only slightly reduced receptor affinity and in vitro bioactivity, for example, [Dmp¹]EM2 (38), [Dmp¹]DT (34), [Dmp¹]YRFB (24), and $[Dmp^1]DYN(1-13)-NH_2$ (27). Thus, Dmp is also a useful surrogate for the N-terminal Tyr residue in opioid peptides despite the lack of a phenolic hydroxyl group, which has been considered to be indispensable for opioid activity. The Dmp¹-substituted analogs are superior to Dmt¹-substituted analogs in opioid receptor selectivity because the Dmt1 analogs generally possess outstandingly high affinity to opioid receptors but poor receptor selectivity. These results demonstrate that Dmp is very useful as an aromatic amino acid surrogate in opioid peptides and may be applicable to other biologically active peptides for the development of novel peptide mimetics with high receptor specificity.

Abbreviations

Dmp:	2',6'-Dimethylphenylalanine
Dmt:	2',6'-Dimethyltyrosine
DAMGO:	[D-Ala ² , MePhe ⁴ , Gly-ol ⁵]enkephalin

Domtido	Receptor binding aff	inity, $Ki \pm S. E.$ (nM)			$GPI(\mu)$	$MVD(\delta)$		
repude	μ^{a}	δ^{b}	δ/μ	μ/δ	IC_{50} (nM)	IC ₅₀ (nM)	MVD/GPI	GPI/MVD
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu (ENK)	2.42 ± 0.93	1.43 ± 0.71		1.69	103 ± 30	22.2 ± 4.3		4.64
$[Dmp^1]ENK$ (32)	5.94 ± 1.45	1.86 ± 0.61		3.19	710 ± 69	66.6 ± 12.7		10.66
[Dmt ¹]ENK ^c	0.0068 ± 0.003	0.031 ± 0.011		0.22	0.55 ± 0.17	0.17 ± 0.02		3.24
[Phe ¹]ENK (33)	169 ± 12	73.7 ± 19.5		2.29	> 10000	> 10000		
Deltorphin II (DT)	314 ± 53	0.0226 ± 0.0077		13894	5437 ± 812	0.582 ± 0.029		9342
$[Dmp^1]DT$ (34)	156 ± 33	0.329 ± 0.077		475	4038 ± 1118	0.272 ± 0.054		14835
$[D-Dmp^{1}]DT$ (35)	> 2178	1394 ± 495			> 10000	662 ± 147		
$[Dmt^1]$ DT (36)	0.261 ± 0.060	0.012 ± 0.002		21.8	88.4 ± 22.7	0.052 ± 0.007		1700
$[Phe^{1}]DT$ (37)	> 2178	2.68 ± 1.22			> 10000	10.4 ± 1.5		
Tyr-Pro-Phe-Phe-NH ₂ (EM2)	0.557 ± 0.306	$14,070 \pm 3346$	25260		10.5 ± 1.2	317 ± 65	30.2	
$[Dmp^1]EM2$ (38)	2.48 ± 1.46	6762 ± 590	2727		76.9 ± 20.7	661 ± 316	8.61	
[[D-Dmp ¹]EM2 (39)	40.4 ± 2.6	9714 ± 3820	241		1392 ± 221	2329 ± 943	167	
[Dmt ¹]EM2 ^d	0.15 ± 0.04				0.07 ± 0.02	1.87 ± 0.61	26.7	
[Phe ¹]EM2 (40)	54.1 ± 23.4	$18,851 \pm 10,487$	348		1073 ± 309	$5,199 \pm 2,584$	4.85	
Tyr-D-Arg-Phe- β Ala-NH ₂ (YRFB)	0.172 ± 0.025	482 ± 121	2802		531 ± 0.72	116 ± 18	21.8	
$[Dmp^1]$ YRFB (24)	0.0623 ± 0.0140	2572 ± 947	41284		9.88 ± 1.04	188 ± 52	19	
[D-Dmp ¹]YRFB (41)	7.62 ± 1.75	> 2823	> 370		320 ± 30	1474 ± 283	4.6	
$[Dmp^{1,3}]$ YRFB (25)	0.0216 ± 0.0062	1688 ± 458	78148		2.76 ± 0.56	501 ± 86	18.2	
[Dmt ¹]YRFB ^c	0.00205 ± 0.00069	1.13 ± 0.13	551		0.034 ± 0.065	0.398 ± 0.085	11.7	
[Phe ¹]YRFB (42)	7.17 ± 1.03	> 2823	> 393		633 ± 89	7143 ± 950	11.2	

TABLE 6: Opioid receptor-binding affinity and biological activities of opioid peptide analogs containing Dmp at position 1.

8

 TABLE 7: Comparison of stability of Dmp-containing peptides toward enzymatic degradation.

Pentides		Half-life tir	ne (min) ^a
replices		Aminopeptidase	Rat brain
		М	homogenate
DYN(I-13)-NH ₂		15.5	435
[Dmp ⁴]DYN(l-13)-NH ₂	(26)	NT ^b	315
$[Dmp^1]DYN(1-13)-NH_2$	(27)	> 30	577
[Dmp ^{1,4}]DYN(1-13)- NH ₂ (28)		NT ^b	770
NOC(1-13)-NH ₂		12	41.5
[Dmp ⁴]NOC(1-13)-NH ₂	(29)	NT ^b	33.6
[Dmp ¹]NOC(1-13)-NH ₂	(30)	28	60.3
[Dmp ^{1,4}]NOC(l-13)- NH ₂ (31)		NT ^b	27.1
Met-enkephalin		< 5	8.5

^aDetermined by HPLC. ^bNot tested.

ENK:	Leu-enkephalin, Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu
DM:	Dermorphin

- Tyr-D-Ala-Phe-Gly-Tyr-Pro-Ser-NH₂ DT: [D-Ala²]deltorphin II,
- Tyr-D-Ala-Phe-Glu-Val-Val-Gly-NH₂
- YRFB: Tyr-D-Arg-Phe- β Ala-NH₂
- EM2: Endomorphin 2, Tyr-Pro-Phe-Phe-NH₂
- CTAP: D-Phe-c[Cys-Tyr-D-Trp-Arg-Thr-Pen]-Thr-NH₂
- NOC: Nociceptin/orphanin FQ
- DYN: Dynorphin A
- ORL1: Opioid receptor-like 1
- AP-M: Aminopeptidase M
- GPI: Guinea pig ileum
- MVD: Mouse vas deferens.

References

- T. Reisine, "Neurotransmitter receptors V. Opiate receptors," Neuropharmacology, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 463–472, 1995.
- [2] B. N. Dhawan, F. Cesselin, R. Raghubir et al., "International Union of Pharmacology. XII. Classification of opioid receptors," *Pharmacological Reviews*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 567–592, 1996.
- [3] P. A. Zaki, E. J. Bilsky, T. W. Vanderah, J. Lai, C. J. Evans, and F. Porreca, "Opioid receptor types and subtypes: the δ receptor as a model," *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, vol. 36, pp. 379–401, 1996.
- [4] R. J. Bodnar, "Endogenous opiates and behavior: 2010," *Pep-tides*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 2522–2552, 2011.
- [5] J. Hughes, T. W. Smith, and H. W. Kosterlitz, "Identification of two related pentapeptides from the brain with potent opiate agonist activity," *Nature*, vol. 258, no. 5536, pp. 577–579, 1975.
- [6] J. E. Zadina, L. Hackler, L. J. Ge, and A. J. Kastin, "A potent and selective endogenous agonist for the μ-opiate receptor," *Nature*, vol. 386, no. 6624, pp. 499–502, 1997.
- [7] A. Goldstein, *The Peptides: Analysis, Synthesis, Biology*, S. Udenfriend and J. Meienhofer, Eds., Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1984.

- [8] P. C. Montecucchi, R. De Castiglione, S. Piani, L. Gozzini, and V. Erspamer, "Amino acid composition and sequence of dermorphin, a novel opiate-like peptide from the skin of Phyllomedusa sauvagei," *International Journal of Peptide and Protein Research*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 275–283, 1981.
- [9] V. Erspamer, P. Melchiorri, G. Falconieri-Erspamer et al., "Deltrophins: a family of naturally occurring peptides with high affinity and selectivity for δ opioid binding sites," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 86, no. 13, pp. 5188–5192, 1989.
- [10] J. R. Bunzow, C. Saez, M. Mortrud et al., "Molecular cloning and tissue distribution of a putative member of the rat opioid receptor gene family that is not a μ, δ or κ opioid receptor type," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 347, no. 2-3, pp. 284–288, 1994.
- [11] J. C. Meunier, C. Mollereau, L. Toll et al., "Isolation and structure of the endogenous agonist of opioid receptor-like ORL1 receptor," *Nature*, vol. 377, no. 6549, pp. 532–535, 1995.
- [12] R. K. Reinscheid, H. P. Nothacker, A. Bourson et al., "Orphanin FQ: a neuropeptide that activates an opioidlike G protein-coupled receptor," *Science*, vol. 270, no. 5237, pp. 792– 794, 1995.
- [13] J.-C. Meunier, "Nociceptin/orphanin FQ and the opioid receptor-like ORL1 receptor," *European Journal of Pharmacol*ogy, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1997.
- [14] R. K. Reinscheid, A. Ardati, F. J. Monsma, and O. Civelli, "Structure-activity relationship studies on the novel neuropeptide orphanin FQ," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 24, pp. 14163–14168, 1996.
- [15] E. Okuda-Ashitaka, S. Tachibana, T. Houtani et al., "Identification and characterization of an endogenous ligand for opioid receptor homologue ROR-C: its involvement in allodynic response to innocuous stimulus," *Molecular Brain Research*, vol. 43, no. 1-2, pp. 96–104, 1996.
- [16] C. T. Dooley and R. A. Houghten, "Orphanin FQ: receptor binding and analog structure activity relationships in rat brain," *Life Sciences*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. PL23–PL29, 1996.
- [17] R. Guerrini, G. CalO', A. Rizzi et al., "Address and message sequences for the nociceptin receptor: a structure- activity study of nociceptin-(1-13)-peptide amide," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 1789–1793, 1997.
- [18] V. J. Hruby and R. S. Agnes, "Conformation-activity relationships of opioid peptides with selective activities at opioid receptors," *Biopolymers*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 391–410, 1999.
- [19] Y. Shimohigashi, R. Hatano, T. Fujita et al., "Sensitivity of opioid receptor-like receptor ORL1 for chemical modification on nociceptin, a naturally occurring nociceptive peptide," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 39, pp. 23642– 23645, 1996.
- [20] R. K. Reinscheid, J. Higelin, R. A. Henningsen, F. J. Monsma, and O. Civelli, "Structures that delineate orphanin FQ and dynorphin A pharmacological selectivities," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 3, pp. 1490–1495, 1998.
- [21] V. J. Hruby and C. A. Gehrig, "Recent developments in the design of receptor specific opioid peptides," *Medicinal Research Reviews*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 343–401, 1989.
- [22] D. W. Hansen Jr, R. H. Mazur, and M. Clare, "The synthesis and SAR of orally active enkephalin analogs with modified Nterminal tyrosine residue," in *Peptides: Structure and Function*, C. M. Deber, V. J. Hruby, and K. D. Kopple, Eds., pp. 491–494, Pierce Chemical, Rockford, Ill, USA, 1985.
- [23] N. S. Chandrakumar, P. K. Yonan, A. Stapelfeld et al., "Preparation and opioid activity of analogues of the analgesic

dipeptide 2,6-dimethyl-L-tyrosyl-N-(3-phenylpropyl)-D-alaninamide," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 223–233, 1992.

- [24] D. W. Hansen Jr., A. Stapelfeld, M. A. Savage et al., "Systemic analgesic activity and δ -opioid selectivity in [2,6-dimethyl-Tyr¹, D-Pen², D-Pen⁵]enkephalin," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 684–687, 1992.
- [25] B. S. Pitzele, R. W. Hamilton, K. D. Kudla et al., "Enkephalin analogs as systemically active antinociceptive agents: O- and N-alkylated derivatives of the dipeptide amide L-2,6-dimethyltyrosyl-N-(3-phenylpropyl)-D-alaninamide," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 888–896, 1994.
- [26] P. W. Schiller, G. Weltrowska, R. Schmidt et al., "Four different types of opioid peptides with mixed mu agonist/delta antagonist properties," *Analgesia*, vol. 1, pp. 703–706, 1995.
- [27] S. Salvadori, M. Attila, G. Balboni et al., "Delta opioidmimetic antagonists: prototypes for designing a new generation of ultraselective opioid peptides.," *Molecular Medicine*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 678–689, 1995.
- [28] R. Guerrini, A. Capasso, L. Sorrentino et al., "Opioid receptor selectivity alteration by single residue replacement: synthesis and activity profile of [Dmt¹]deltorphin B," *European Journal* of Pharmacology, vol. 302, no. 1–3, pp. 37–42, 1996.
- [29] Y. Okada, Y. Fujita, T. Motoyama et al., "Structural studies of [2',6'-dimethyl-L-tyrosine¹]endomorphin-2 analogues: enhanced activity and cis orientation of the Dmt-Pro amide bond," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 1983–1994, 2003.
- [30] C. Wang, I. J. McFadyen, J. R. Traynor, and H. I. Mosberg, "Design of a high affinity peptidomimetic opioid agonist from peptide pharmacophore models," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 8, no. 19, pp. 2685–2688, 1998.
- [31] A. Capasso, R. Guerrini, G. Balboni et al., "Dmt-Tic-OH a highly selective and potent delta-opioid dipeptide receptor antagonist after systemic administration in the mouse.," *Life sciences*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. PL93–PL98, 1996.
- [32] S. D. Bryant, S. Salvadori, P. S. Cooper, and L. H. Lazarus, "New δ-opioid antagonists as pharmacological probes," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 19, pp. 42–46, 1999.
- [33] S. Salvadori, R. Guerrini, G. Balboni et al., "Further studies on the Dmt-Tic pharmacophore: hydrophobic substituents at the C-terminus endow δ antagonists to manifest μ agonism or μ antagonism," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 42, no. 24, pp. 5010–5019, 1999.
- [34] P. W. Schiller, R. Schmidt, G. Weltrowska et al., "Conformationally constrained opioid peptide analogs with novel activity profiles," *Letters in Peptide Science*, vol. 5, no. 2-3, pp. 209–214, 1998.
- [35] P. W. Schiller, M. E. Fundytus, L. Merovitz et al., "The opioid μ agonist/ δ antagonist DIPP-NH₂[Ψ] produces a potent analgesic effect, no physical dependence, and less tolerance than morphine in rats," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 42, no. 18, pp. 3520–3526, 1999.
- [36] Y. Sasaki, T. Suto, A. Ambo, H. Ouchi, and Y. Yamamoto, "Biological properties of opioid peptides replacing Tyr at position 1 by 2,6-dimethyl-Tyr," *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 1506–1509, 1999.
- [37] P. Majer, J. Slaninova, and M. Lebl, "Synthesis of methylated phenylalanines via hydrogenolysis of corresponding 1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acids. Synthesis and biological activity of oxytocin analogs with methylated phenylalanines in position 2," *International Journal of Peptide and Protein Research*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 62–68, 1994.

- [38] S. Sagan, H. Josien, P. Karoyan, A. Brunissen, G. Chassaing, and S. Lavielle, "Tachykinin NK-1 receptor probed with constrained analogues of substance P," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 2167–2178, 1996.
- [39] Y. Sasaki, M. Hirabuki, A. Ambo, H. Ouchi, and Y. Yamamoto, "Enkephalin analogues with 2',6'-dimethylphenylalanine replacing phenylalanine in position 4," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 327–329, 2001.
- [40] Y. Yamamoto, H. Ouchi, T. Tanaka, and Y. Morita, "Direct introduction of acyl and ethoxycarbonyl groups into pyrimidine ring through the trimethylstannyl derivatives," *Heterocycles*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1275–1290, 1995.
- [41] A. Ohno, A. Tsutsumi, N. Yamazaki, M. Okamura, Y. Mikata, and M. Fujii, "NAD(P)⁺-NAD(P)H Models. 87. Nonsteric Stereochemistry Controlled by a Carbonyl Dipole," *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 1679–1685, 1996.
- [42] J. H. Dygos, E. E. Yonan, M. G. Scaros et al., "A convenient asymmetric synthesis of the unnatural amino acid 2,6dimethyl-L-tyrosine," *Synthesis*, no. 8, pp. 741–743, 1992.
- [43] G. Toth, M. Lebl, and V. J. Hruby, "Chiral TLC separation of phenylalanine and tyrosine derivatives," *Journal of Chromatography*, vol. 504, pp. 450–455, 1990.
- [44] T. Li, K. Shiotani, A. Miyazaki et al., "Bifunctional [2',6'dimethyl-L-tyrosine¹] endomorphin-2 analogues substituted at position 3 with alkylated phenylalanine derivatives yield potent mixed μ-agonist/δ-antagonist and dual μ-agonist/δagonist opioid ligands," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 2753–2766, 2007.
- [45] Y. Sasaki, A. Ambo, and K. Suzuki, "[D-Ala²]deltorphin II analogs with high affinity and selectivity for delta-opioid receptor," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 180, no. 2, pp. 822–827, 1991.
- [46] Y. Sasaki, A. Ambo, K. Midorikawa, and K. Suzuki, "Solidphase synthesis and opioid activities of [D-Ala²]deltorphin II analogs," *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 1391–1394, 1993.
- [47] F. M. Leslie, "Methods used for the study of opioid receptors," *Pharmacological Reviews*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 197–249, 1987.
- [48] A. Capasso, P. Amodeo, G. Balboni et al., "Design of μ selective opioid dipeptide antagonists," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 417, no. 1, pp. 141–144, 1997.
- [49] Y. Sasaki, A. Sasaki, H. Niizuma, H. Goto, and A. Ambo, "Endomorphin 2 analogues containing Dmp residue as an aromatic amino acid surrogate with high μ-opioid receptor affinity and selectivity," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 675–678, 2003.
- [50] Y. Okada, A. Fukumizu, M. Takahashi et al., "Synthesis of stereoisomeric analogues of endomorphin-2, H-Tyr-Pro-Phe-Phe-NH₂, and examination of their opioid receptor binding activities and solution conformation," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 276, no. 1, pp. 7–11, 2000.
- [51] J. T. Pelton, W. Kazmierski, K. Gulya, H. I. Yamamura, and V. J. Hruby, "Design and synthesis of conformationally constrained somatostatin analogues with high potency and specificity for μ opioid receptors," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 2370–2375, 1986.
- [52] A. Ambo, H. Murase, H. Niizuma, H. Ouchi, Y. Yamamoto, and Y. Sasaki, "Dermorphin and deltorphin heptapeptide analogues: replacement of Phe residue by Dmp greatly improves opioid receptor affinity and selectivity," *Bioorganic* and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 879–881, 2002.

- [53] Y. Sasaki, A. Ambo, and K. Suzuki, "Studies on analgesic oligopeptides. VII. Solid phase synthesis and biological properties of Tyr-D-Arg-Phe-βAla-NH₂ and its fluorinated aromatic amino acid derivatives," *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 2316–2318, 1991.
- [54] A. Ambo, H. Niizuma, A. Sasaki, H. Kohara, and Y. Sasaki, "Dermorphin tetrapeptide analogues with 2',6'-dimethylphenylalanine (Dmp) substituted for aromatic amino acids have high μ opioid receptor binding and biological activities," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1269–1272, 2003.
- [55] Y. Sasaki, S. Kawano, H. Kohara, H. Watanabe, and A. Ambo, "ORL1 and opioid receptor preferences of nociceptin and dynorphin A analogues with Dmp substituted for N-terminal aromatic residues," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 2433–2437, 2006.
- [56] C. M. Topham, L. Mouledous, G. Poda, B. Maigret, and J. C. Meunier, "Molecular modelling of the ORL1 receptor and its complex with nociceptin," *Protein Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1163–1179, 1998.
- [57] Y. Sasaki, A. Sasaki, T. Ariizumi et al., "2',6'dimethylphenylalanine (Dmp) can mimic the N-terminal Tyr in opioid peptides," *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 244–247, 2004.
- [58] R. Schmidt, D. Menard, C. Mrestani-Klaus, N. N. Chung, C. Lemieux, and P. W. Schiller, "Structural modifications of the N-terminal tetrapeptide segment of [D-Ala²]Deltorphin I: effects on opioid receptor affinities and activities in vitro and on antinociceptive potency," *Peptides*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 1615– 1621, 1997.
- [59] J. T. Pelton, W. Kazmierski, K. Gulya, H. I. Yamamura, and V. J. Hruby, "Design and synthesis of conformationally constrained somatostatin analogues with high potency and specificity for μ opioid receptors," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 2370–2375, 1986.
- [60] K. Gulya, J. T. Pelton, V. J. Hruby, and H. I. Yamamura, "Cyclic somatostatin octapeptide analogues with high affinity and selectivity toward mu opioid receptors," *Life Sciences*, vol. 38, no. 24, pp. 2221–2229, 1986.
- [61] H. I. Mosberg, J. C. Ho, and K. Sobczyk-Kojiro, "A high affinity, Mu-opioid receptor-selective enkephalin analogue lacking an N-terminal tyrosine," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 8, no. 19, pp. 2681–2684, 1998.
- [62] I. J. McFadyen, K. Sobczyk-Kojiro, M. J. Schaefer et al., "Tetrapeptide derivatives of [D-Pen², D-Pen⁵]-enkephalin (DPDPE) lacking an N-terminal tyrosine residue are agonists at the μ-opioid receptor," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 295, no. 3, pp. 960–966, 2000.
- [63] J. E. Burden, P. Davis, F. Porreca, and A. F. Spatola, "Synthesis and biological activities of position one and three transposed analogs of the opioid peptide YKFA," *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, vol. 9, no. 24, pp. 3441–3446, 1999.
- [64] N. S. Chandrakumar, A. Stapelfeld, P. M. Beardsley et al., "Analogs of the δ opioid receptor selective cyclic peptide [2-D-penicillamine,5-D-penicillamine]-enkephalin: 2',6'-Dimethyltyrosine and Gly³-Phe⁴ amide bond isostere substitutions," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 35, no. 16, pp. 2928–2938, 1992.
- [65] L. H. Lazarus, S. D. Bryant, P. S. Cooper, and S. Salvadori, "What peptides these deltorphins be," *Progress in Neurobiol*ogy, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 377–420, 1999.
- [66] Y. Lu, T. M. D. Nguyen, G. Weltrowska et al., "[2^{\prime},6^{\prime}dimethyltyrosine]dynorphin A(1–11)-NH₂ analogues lacking an N-terminal amino group: potent and selective κ opioid

- [67] A. M. Kawasaki, R. J. Knapp, A. Walton et al., "Syntheses, opioid binding affinities, and potencies of dynorphin A analogues substituted in positions 1, 6, 7, 8 and 10," *International Journal* of Peptide and Protein Research, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 411–419, 1993.
- [68] J. Yu, B. T. Chait, L. Toll, and M. J. Kreek, "Nociception in vitro biotransformation in human blood," *Peptides*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 873–876, 1996.
- [69] J. L. Montiel, F. Cornille, B. P. Roques, and F. Noble, "Nociceptin/orphanin FQ metabolism: role of aminopeptidase and endopeptidase 24.15," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 354–361, 1997.
- [70] J.-P. Meyer, T. J. Gillespie, V. J. Hruby, and T. P. Davis, "In vitro stability of some reduced peptide bond pseudopeptide analogs of dynorphin A," *Peptides*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 1215–1219, 1995.
- [71] J. Z. Chou, B. T. Chait, R. Wang, and M. J. Kreek, "Differential biotransformation of dynorphin A(1-17) and dynorphin A(1-13) peptides in human blood, ex vivo," *Peptides*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 983–990, 1996.