

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpba

Simultaneous determination of phenolic acids by UPLC–MS/MS in rat plasma and its application in pharmacokinetic study after oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations





Wei Zhou^{a,b,c}, Shijia Liu^d, Wenzheng Ju^d, Jinjun Shan^e, Minxin Meng^f, Baochang Cai^a, Liuqing Di^{a,b,c,*}

^a College of Pharmacy, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210046, PR China

^b Jiangsu Engineering Research Center for Efficient Delivery System of TCM, PR China

^c Nanjing Engineering Research Center for Industrialization of Chinese Medicine Pellets, PR China

^d Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210093, PR China

^e First Medicine College, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210046, PR China

^f Waters Corporation, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 June 2013 Received in revised form 31 July 2013 Accepted 4 August 2013 Available online 17 August 2013

Keywords: Flos Lonicerae Phenolic acids UPLC–MS/MS Oral delivery Pharmacokinetics

ABSTRACT

The current study aims to investigate the pharmacokinetic study of five phenolic acids (neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid) following oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations in rats. A rapid and sensitive ultra performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS) method was developed to simultaneously determine the five phenolic acids in rat plasma. After mixing with the internal standard (IS) tinidazole, plasma samples were pretreated by liquid–liquid extraction with ethyl acetate/n-hexane (9:1, v/v). The separation was performed on an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μ m) at a flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹, and acetonitrile/methanol (4:1, v/v)-0.4% formic acid was used as mobile phase. The detection was performed on a triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) *via* electrospray ionization (ESI) source with positive ionization mode. All calibration curves had good linearity (*r*>0.991) over the concentration ranges of 0.74–378 ng ml⁻¹ for neochlorogenic acid, 0.50–1030 ng ml⁻¹ for chlorogenic acid, 1.9–250 ng ml⁻¹ for 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid. The intra-and inter-day precision were within 15% and the accuracy ranged from 86.2% to 114.1%.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Flos Lonicerae, a flower bud of *Lonicerae japonica* Thunb. that possessed antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, antiendotoxin, blood fat reducing and antipyretic activities, has been widely used in traditional Chinese medicine to treat exopathogenic wind-heat, epidemic febrile diseases, sores, carbuncles, furuncles and some infection diseases [1], and it has also been employed extensively to prevent and treat some serious viral diseases of human and veterinary, such as SASR coronavirus, H1N1 (Swine) flu virus [2].

It was reported that, more than 500 prescriptions containing *Flos Lonicerae* have been used to treat various diseases in China [3], and its preparations, such as Jin-Yin-Huang oral liquid composed of *Flos Lonicerae* alone, Shuang-Huang-Lian tablet, Yin-Qiao-Jie-Du

tablet, Fufang Jin-Huang-Lian Granule, Qing-Re-Jie-Du oral liquid and Fufang Qin-Lan oral liquid, etc. [4], in which Flos Lonicerae was the main and active composition, were extensively used for treating acute upper respiratory tract infection caused by virus or bacterial infection in clinical practice. It was found that those phenolic acids especially isochlorogenic acids in Flos Lonicerae were the main bioactive components for antioxidant, antiviral and antibacterial effects by "Spectrum-activity relationship" and "Knock-out/Knock-in" methods [5,6]. Besides, we also found that the oral bioavailability of chlorogenic acid in Flos Lonicerae, the indicator compound recorded in Chinese Pharmacopeia [7], was enhanced largely as the Chito-oligosaccharide at the dosage of 25 mg/kg, one of the most important absorption enhancers possessing a loosening effect on the tension of the tight junctions through ionic interactions with negatively charged groups of glycocalix [8], was added, and its antiviral activity in vitro was improved significantly [4]. Thus, phenolic acids might be one of the most important compositions to characterize the quality of Flos Lonicerae. In order to elucidate the action mechanism of phenolic acids in vivo, it is

^{*} Corresponding author at: College of Pharmacy, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210046, PR China. Tel.: +86 25 86798226; fax: +86 25 83271038. *E-mail address:* diliuqing@hotmail.com (L. Di).

^{0731-7085/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2013.08.010

essential to develop a quantitative method for determining these phenolic acids in plasma samples and studying their pharmacokinetic profiles.

There were several articles concerning the quantification of chlorogenic acid in plasma [9–17]. However, apart from chlorogenic acid, simultaneous pharmacokinetic studies of other phenolic acids have not been reported after oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations in rats, and very little attention has been devoted to the pharmacokinetic studies of these components. In the previous pharmacokinetic studies of chlorogenic acid, its concentration in plasma was analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with UV, ECD or MS [9–17]. However, *Flos Lonicerae* contains a series of phenolic acids that have isomer properties with different pharmacological activities [18] shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, it was desirable to develop an analytical method to allow the five analytes to be quantified simultaneously in rat plasma.

In this study, a rapid and selective ultra performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) method for the simultaneous determination of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid was developed in rat plasma. The method was fully validated and applied to the pharmacokinetic study of phenolic acids in rat plasma following oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Chlorogenic acid and tinidazole (using as internal standard, IS) were purchased from National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). Neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (98% pure) were purchased from Sichuan Weikeqi Bio-tech Co., Ltd. (Sichuan, China). Six *Flos Lonicerae* extracts (product A-Jin-Yin-Huang extract, product B-Qing-Re-Jie-Du extract, product C-Fufang Qin-lan extract, product D-Shuang-Huang-Lian extract, product E-Yin-Qiao-Jie-Du extract and product F-Fufang Jin-Huang-Lian extract) were manufactured by Harbin third pharmaceutical factory (Harbin, China).

2.2. Apparatus and operation conditions

2.2.1. Liquid chromatography

Chromatographic analysis was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC system (Waters Co., Milford, MA, USA), consisting of a binary pump solvent management system, an online degasser, and an autosampler. An Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μ m) was employed and the column temperature was maintained at 40 °C. The mobile phase was composed of A (0.4% formic acid) and B (acetonitrile/methanol 4:1, v/v) using a gradient elution of 10–11% B at 0–0.5 min, 11–13% B at 0.5–0.75 min, 13–15% B at 0.75–1.5 min, 15–10% B at 1.5–2 min, 10–35% B at 2–3 min, 35–38% B at 3–3.37 min, 38–10% B at 3.37–3.75 min, and hold for 1.5 min. The flow rate was set at 0.4 ml min⁻¹. The auto-sampler was conditioned at 4 °C and the injection volume was 5 μ l.

2.2.2. Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometric detection was performed using Xevo Triple Quadrupole MS (Waters Co., Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI). The ESI source was set in positive ionization mode. The analyte detection was performed by using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode at m/z transitions of 354.8 \rightarrow 162.9 for cryptochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid, 516.9 \rightarrow 162.9 for 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 247.8 \rightarrow 120.8 for IS, respectively (Fig. 1). The parameters in the source were set as follows: capillary voltage, 3.3 kV; source temperature, 150 °C; desolvation temperature, 500 °C; cone gas flow, 501h⁻¹; desolvation gas flow, 10001h⁻¹; cone voltage, 18 V for cryptochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid, 24 V for 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 36 V for IS, respectively; collision energy, 12 V for cryptochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid, 20 V for 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 16 V for IS. Dwell time was set at 0.05 s.

2.3. Preparation of calibration standard and quality control (QC) samples

Stock solutions were separately prepared by dissolving the accurately weighed five standard reference compounds with a mixture of methanol/water (60:40, v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid. A mixed stock solution was obtained by mixing all the five stock solutions above, and giving a final concentration of 11.33 μ g ml⁻¹ for neochlorogenic acid, 15.44 μ g ml⁻¹ for chlorogenic acid, 7.48 μ g ml⁻¹ for cryptochlorogenic acid, 11.41 μ g ml⁻¹ for 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and 9.85 μ g ml⁻¹ for 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid, respectively. The mixed stock solution was diluted with a mixture of methanol/water (60:40, v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid to provide working standard solutions of desired concentrations. The internal standard solution of tinidazole was prepared to the concentration of 118.0 ng ml⁻¹ in methanol.

Calibration standards and quality control (QC) samples were prepared by evaporating to dryness 10 µl of standard working solution by a gentle stream of nitrogen, and then 150 µl of blank rat plasma was added. The samples were prepared prior to use during validation and pharmacokinetic study. The final calibration concentration ranges were 0.74–378 ng ml⁻¹ for neochlorogenic acid. 0.50-1030 ng ml⁻¹ for chlorogenic acid. 1.95-249 ng ml⁻¹ for cryptochlorogenic acid, 0.74–380 ng ml⁻¹ for 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and 5.1–328 ng ml⁻¹ for 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid, respectively. The QC samples were prepared at concentrations of 1.50, 20.0, 250 ng ml⁻¹ for neochlorogenic acid, 1.00, 25.0, 650 ng ml⁻¹ for chlorogenic acid, 4.00, 25.0, 160 ng ml⁻¹ for cryptochlorogenic acid, 1.50, 20.0, 250 for 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and 10.0, 45.0, 220 ng ml⁻¹ for 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in drug-free plasma. The standards and quality controls were extracted on each analysis day with the same procedures for plasma samples as described below.

2.4. Sample preparation

A 150 μ l aliquot of plasma was vortex mixed with 10 μ l of 5% formic acid, 10 μ l of IS solution (118 ng ml⁻¹) and 20 μ l of 2 M hydrochloric acid in an Eppendorf tube, and 1000 μ l of ethyl acetate/n-hexane (9:1, v/v) was added to extract the five phenolic components from the plasma. The sample was vortexed for 1.5 min, and centrifuged at 9659 \times g for 5 min. 800 μ l of supernatant was transferred into another Eppendorf tube and dried under a flow of nitrogen gas. The residue was re-constituted in 100 μ l 10% acetonitrile/methanol (4:1, v/v) containing 0.4% formic acid, and centrifuged for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to an autosampler vial and an aliquot of 5 μ l was injected onto the UPLC–MS/MS system for analysis.

2.5. Method validation

The method was validated in terms of specificity, selectivity, calibration curve, sensitivity, matrix effect, accuracy, precision and stability, in accordance with the USA Food and Drug Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance [19].



Fig. 1. Product ion mass spectra of [M+H]⁺ ions of (1) neochlorogenic acid, (2) chlorogenic acid, (3) cryptochlorogenic acid, (4) 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, (5) 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid acid and tinidazole (IS) in positive mode.

2.5.1. Specificity and selectivity

The specificity of the method was evaluated by comparing the chromatograms of six different batches of blank rat plasma samples, plasma samples spiked with the analytes and IS, and plasma samples after an oral dose. Blank rat plasma samples were analyzed for endogenous interference, followed by spiking with IS for the interference of IS.

2.5.2. Linearity and lower limits of quantification (LLOQ)

The linearity of each calibration curve was determined by plotting the peak area ratio (y) of analytes to IS *versus* the nominal

concentration (x) of analytes with weighted $(1/x^2)$ least square linear regression. The LLOQ was defined as the lowest concentration on the calibration curve with an acceptable accuracy (relative error, RE) within $\pm 20\%$ and a precision (relative standard deviation, RSD) below 20%.

2.5.3. Precision and accuracy

The intra-day and inter-day precision and accuracy were measured through quantifying three concentration levels of QC samples (six samples for each concentration level) on the same day and on three consecutive validation days, respectively. The precision



Fig. 2. Representative MRM chromatograms of compounds 1–5 and tinidazole (IS) in rat plasma: (A) blank plasma; (B): blank plasma spiked with the five analytes and IS in LLOQ; (C) plasma sample at 20 min following oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations in rats.

was evaluated by relative standard deviation (RSD %) and accuracy by (mean measured concentration/spiked concentration) $\times 100\%$.

2.5.4. Recovery and matrix effect

The extraction recoveries of analytes at three QC levels were determined by comparing the response obtained from six extracted QC samples with those obtained from pure reference standards spiked in post-extracted blank rat plasma at the same concentrations. The matrix effects were evaluated by comparing the peak areas obtained from samples where the extracted matrix was spiked with standard solutions to those obtained from the pure reference standard solutions at the same concentration.

2.5.5. Stability experiments

The stability of phenolic acids in rat plasma was assessed using QC samples, which were freshly prepared and immediately mixed with 10 μ l of 5% formic acid followed by storing at -70 °C for one month to evaluate the long-term stability. The post-preparation stability was tested by determination of the extracted QC samples stored in the auto-sampler (4 °C) for 24 h. The freeze and thaw stability was determined using QC samples after three freeze–thaw cycles (-70 to 20 °C).

2.6. Pharmacokinetic study

Product A, B, C, D, E, F extracts were dissolved in saline to give the concentration of 50% (v/v) immediately prior to drug administration. The contents of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in product extracts respectively were 16.1, 16.9, 16.6, 18.9 and 37.8 mg ml⁻¹ for A extract, 12.8, 14.1, 11.5, 15.3 and 30.3 mg ml⁻¹ for B extract, 13.0, 13.4, 13.6, 13.9 and 28.9 mg ml⁻¹ for C extract, 15.1, 14.5, 13.7, 15.1 and 30.2 mg ml⁻¹ for D extract, 13.1, 12.4, 13.3, 13.1 and 23.4 mg ml⁻¹ for E extract and 12.4, 12.7, 12.7, 13.4 and 25.3 for mg ml⁻¹ for F extract, respectively, using the same chromatographic conditions as described above.

This validated method was applied to monitor the plasma concentrations of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid simultaneously following single oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations in rats.

Male SD rats (~250 g) were obtained from Experimental Animal Center of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine and kept in an environmentally controlled breeding room (temperature: 20 ± 2 °C, relative humidity: $60 \pm 5\%$) for 1 week. The animals were fasted for 12 h prior to drug administration. The rats were randomly divided into six groups with six rats in each group to receive various administrations at a single oral dose (10 ml kg^{-1}) by gastric gavage. After dosing for 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 55, 70, 100, 160, 250, 600 and 1440 min, blood was collected from the pre-intubated catheter and put into tubes with heparin sodium injection $(10 \,\mu\text{J})$ and ascorbic acid $(2 \,\mu\text{g})$ at predetermined time points. Subsequently, plasma was prepared by centrifugation at $1816 \times g$, $4 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 7 min, and immediately analyzed or stored at $-70 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for further analysis followed by immediately mixing with $10 \,\mu\text{l}$ of 5% formic acid.

The pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid, including the peak plasma concentration (C_{max}), the time to C_{max} (T_{max}), the AUC from 0 to infinity (AUC_{0- ∞}), the AUC form 0 to time (AUC_{0-t}), mean residence time (MRT) and terminal elimination half-life ($T_{1/2z}$), were calculated by the non-compartmental analysis of plasma concentration *vs.* time data using the "DAS 2.1.1" software (Mathematical Pharmacology Professional Committee of China, Shanghai, China). The comparison of pharmacokinetic parameters was possessed by SPSS 16.0 (Statistical Package for the Social Science).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Method development

3.1.1. Optimization of mass spectrometry

The stock solutions of the analytes and IS diluted with a mixture of methanol/water (60:40, v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid were directly infused along with the mobile phase into the mass spectrometer with electrospray ion source. The response observed in the positive ionization mode was higher than that in negative ionization mode owning to its ion enhancement effect, though we found that the response in negative ion mode without acid addition in the process of the whole analyzing procedure was better than that in positive ion mode. In the precursor ion full-scan spectra, the most abundant ions were protonated molecules ions [M+H]+ for all the analytes (Fig. 1). Parameters such as desolvation temperature, ESI source temperature, capillary and cone voltage, flow rate of desolvation gas and cone gas were optimized to obtain the highest intensity of protonated molecules ions of analytes. The ion pairs of precursor \rightarrow production for MRM detection were generated by the intellistart procedure (Fig. 1), which was embedded in the Masslynx software. The MRM transitions at $354.8 \rightarrow 162.9$, $354.8 \rightarrow 162.9, 354.8 \rightarrow 162.9, 516.9 \rightarrow 162.9, 516.9 \rightarrow 162.9$ and $247.8 \rightarrow 120.8$ were selected to analyze cryptochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and IS, respectively.

3.1.2. Optimization of chromatography

Fig. 2 shows the representative UPLC–MS/MS chromatograms of blank plasma (A), blank plasma spiked with the five analytes and IS in LLOQ (B), and the plasma sample at 20 min after oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations (C). No interfering peak was observed in blank plasma under the assay conditions. The retention times were 1.27 min, 1.69 min, 1.83 min, 3.60 min, 3.73 min and 1.93 min for neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and IS, respectively. All analytes were eluted rapidly within 5.25 min.

Caffeoylquinic acids containing neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid as isomers and dicaffeoylquinic acids containing 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4dicaffeoylquinic acid as isomers had the same precursor and product ions in mass spectrometry. Therefore, it was indispensable to separate the isomers in the two groups with UPLC.

An Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (100 mm \times 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm) elicited a suitable retention and a base-line separation between the

analytes. Besides, since caffeoylquinic acids and dicaffeoylquinic acids are phenolic compounds, they needed formic acid in the UPLC mobile phase at a concentration of 0.4% so as to not only overcome the peak-tailing effect, but also enhance the response in ESI-MS/MS for five analytes in the positive ionization mode to improve their detection sensitivity because of ion enhancement effect [20]. We found that a mixture solvent system containing acetonitrile/methanol (4:1, v/v), not acetonitrile or methanol, could be utilized as organic phase to separate the two groups of isomers well. In addition, it was difficult to separate chlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid owning to their high similar polarity unless an optimized gradient with seven different segments shown above was selected, and the content of mobile phase B was lowered from 15 to 10% at the time of 1.5-2 min. The results (Fig. 2B and C) showed that the gradient elution method was suitable for the caffeoylquinic acids and dicaffeoylquinic acids separation, and the method described above can achieve symmetric peak shape, high resolution (Rs > 1.5) among peaks and short run time for the simultaneous analysis of the five compounds in plasma.

3.1.3. Optimization of sample preparation

Caffeoylquinic acids and dicaffeoylquinic acids are phenolic compounds, susceptible to oxidation. However, they were found to be stable in acidic conditions and unstable in neutral and basic conditions (data not shown). Therefore, the acidic solvents were used throughout the sample preparation, including collection, treatment and reconstitution procedures. To prevent from potential degradation of caffeoylquinic acids and dicaffeoylquinic acids in the blood, the fresh collected blood samples were stored on ice and then immediately centrifuged at 4 °C for separation of plasma, although ascorbic acid (2 μ g) as antioxidant was added in the Eppendorf tube at predetermined time points.

In order to extract the analytes and IS with high, stable recoveries and no endogenous interference at the retention time, four types of reagents using methanol, acetonitrile, ethyl acetate or a mixture of ethyl acetate/n-hexane were tried for precipitation of protein or solvent extraction in rat plasma. It was found that protein precipitation using methanol or acetonitrile gave an effective absolute extraction recovery of analytes whilst they appeared poor repeatability and non-negligible matrix effects from endogenous plasma components on the ionization of the analytes and the IS (data not shown). Besides, it was shown from liquid-liquid extraction using ethyl acetate that the extraction was consistent, compared with protein precipitation, but showed also serious matrix effects. As a result, a mixture of ethyl acetate/n-hexane (9:1, v/v) was utilized to extract the five analytes and the IS, resulting in consistent and precise extraction efficiency (Table 3). Besides, the matrix effects from endogenous plasma components on the ionization of the analytes and the IS were negligible. Therefore, it was demonstrated that ethyl acetate/n-hexane (9:1, v/v) produced the best extraction solvent for all the analytes and IS.

3.2. Method validation

3.2.1. Selectivity and specificity

The representative chromatograms of blank plasma, blank plasma spiked with standard solution and plasma sample obtained following oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations in rats are shown in Fig. 2. Under the established chromatographic condition, there was no endogenous interference in the plasma and all the five analytes as well as IS could be well separated from each other.

3.2.2. Linearity and calibration curve

The regression equation, correlation coefficients and linearity ranges for the five analytes are shown in Table 1. The results

Table 1

Regression data and LLOQs of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid.

Compound	Range (ng ml ⁻¹)	Linear regression equation	Correlation coefficient	$LLOQ(ng ml^{-1})$
Neochlorogenic acid	0.74-378	y = 0.0036x + 0.0004	0.9966	0.74
Chlorogenic acid	0.50-1030	y = 0.0154x - 0.1573	0.9973	0.50
Cryptochlorogenic acid	1.95-249	y = 0.0051x - 0.0154	0.9963	1.95
3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	0.74-380	y = 0.0057x - 0.0143	0.9931	0.74
3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	5.1-328	y = 0.0018x - 3E - 05	0.9929	5.1

Table 2

Intra-day, inter-day precision and accuracy of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid (n=6).

Compound	Concentration (ng ml ⁻¹)	Intra-day		Inter-day	
		Precision (RSD, %)	Accuracy (%)	Precision (RSD, %)	Accuracy (%)
Neochlorogenic acid	1.50	13.05	101.5	9.1	94.6
	20.0	10.06	97.0	14.3	103.8
	250	5.58	100.3	5.6	100.4
Chlorogenic acid	1.00	11.94	113.6	9.8	106.2
	25.0	11.22	103.0	9.0	94.2
	650	9.34	112.1	9.6	113.5
Cryptochlorogenic acid	4.00	13.83	98.1	14.7	111.6
	25.0	13.50	101.1	8.1	106.6
	160	11.94	113.1	7.5	108.8
3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid	1.50	10.87	104.4	6.3	110.2
	20.0	14.02	89.0	12.7	88.1
	250	7.19	86.7	8.3	86.2
3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid	10.0	12.30	105.2	12.2	114.1
	45.0	6.110	112.9	11.8	113.7
	220	10.29	89.5	9.9	93.1

showed that they all exhibited good linearity. The LLOQs for neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic were 0.74 ng ml^{-1} , 0.50 ng ml⁻¹, 1.95 ng ml⁻¹, 0.74 ng ml⁻¹, and 5.13 ng ml⁻¹, respectively.

3.2.3. Precision and accuracy

The results of the intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy of all the analytes in LLOQ and QC samples are summarized in Table 2. The intra-day and inter-day precisions ranged 5.58–14.0% and 5.6–14.3%, respectively. The accuracy derived from QC samples was between 86.2% and 114.1% for each QC level of the five analytes. The assay values on both intra- and inter-day were all within the acceptable range.

3.2.4. Extraction recovery and matrix effect

The mean recoveries of all analytes at different concentrations are shown in Table 3. The extraction recoveries of three level QC samples were stable. The extraction recoveries of IS was $52.6 \pm 5.2\%$. The matrix effect of blank plasma of all the analytes was found to be within the acceptable range, all values were in the range from 85.0%to 115% (Table 3). The matrix effect of IS was $93.0 \pm 7.0\%$. Thus, it was demonstrated that the plasma matrix effect was negligible for the assay.

3.2.5. Stability

Stability of the five analytes during the sample storing and processing procedures was fully evaluated by analysis of QC samples. The results (Table 3) indicated that these analytes in acidified

Table 3

Recoveries, matrix effects and stability of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid (n=6).

Compound	Concentration (ng ml ⁻¹)	Recovery		Matrix effect		Freeze-thaw cycles $At - 70 \degree C$ for 1 month		1 month	Autosampler for 24 h		
		Average (%)	RSD (%)	Average (%)	RSD (%)	Remain (%)	RSD (%)	Remain (%)	RSD (%)	Remain (%)	RSD (%)
Neochlorogenic acid	1.50	40.09	12.4	97.9	6.2	106.8	6.7	102.6	8.3	91.4	13.8
	20.0	40.6	10.4	110.2	1.3	92.9	13.4	107.3	14.1	108.2	14.1
	250	42.0	8.2	110.7	11.8	100.9	4.6	85.7	13.8	88.2	9.0
Chlorogenic acid	1.00	59.9	11.5	101.9	8.7	99.2	7.5	108.7	11.0	98.5	9.9
	25.0	67.7	12.7	113.3	5.5	110.6	8.5	114.9	10.5	111.8	11.8
	650	60.7	4.6	111.4	2.4	98.9	6.8	90.0	11.1	87.8	11.6
Cryptochlorogenic acid	4.00	57.7	8.1	102.1	8.7	91.2	11.4	100.7	12.5	114.3	12.7
	25.0	64.0	10.8	102.2	9.8	96.8	7.3	105.4	13.4	86.6	9.9
	160	58.7	4.1	97.1	14.3	105.4	10.1	104.4	9.6	99.3	6.1
3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid	1.50	75.0	14.5	98.5	6.5	92.5	7.2	111.3	12.6	104.5	5.1
	20.0	82.8	8.1	103.2	10.4	87.7	11.8	85.2	12.8	90.8	13.7
	250	77.3	11.7	113.6	1.0	88.4	8.6	93.3	10.8	94.4	10.2
3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid	10.0	80.4	10.2	104.6	4.6	95.6	11.1	93.9	11.3	98.3	10.1
	45.0	70.9	13.6	102.0	7.2	97.5	9.8	108.8	8.3	86.0	12.5
	220	89.6	9.6	112.6	9.6	108.4	9.0	86.1	11.23	86.86	11.2



Fig. 3. Mean pharmacokinetic profiles of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid following oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations in rats (10 ml kg⁻¹). (A): Jin-Yin-Huang extract; (B): Shuang-Huang-Lian extract; (C): Fufang Qin-Lan extract; (D): Fufang Jin-Huang-Lian extract; (E): Qing-Re-Jie-Du extract; (F): Yin-Qiao-Jie-Du extract.

Table 4

Pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Jin-Yin-Huang extract (*n* = 6, mean ± SD).

Parameters	Jin-Yin-Huang				
	Chlorogenic acid	Neochlorogenic acid	Cryptochlorogenic acid	3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid
C_{\max} (ng ml ⁻¹)	242 ± 69^a	$151\pm 38^{\Delta,a}$	$137\pm57^{\Delta}$	$81\pm 36^{\Delta}$	$74\pm43^{\Delta}$
T _{max} (min)	30.0 ± 0.00	30.0 ± 0.00	30.0 ± 0.00	31.7 ± 17.7	53 ± 14
AUC_{0-t} (ng min ml ⁻¹)	$80,579 \pm 14,910^{a}$	$45,140 \pm 13,091^{\Delta}$	$42,809 \pm 12378^{\Delta}$	$43,355 \pm 9408^{\Delta}$	$37177\pm9426^{\Delta}$
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng min ml ⁻¹)	$93,054 \pm 15,266^{a}$	$49,269 \pm 11,554^{\Delta}$	$48,022 \pm 12,765^{\Delta}$	51,271 \pm 5382 $^{\Delta}$	51,145 \pm 8921 $^{\Delta}$
MRT_{0-t} (min)	$440\pm24^{\text{a}}$	395 ± 35^{a}	418 ± 26^{a}	466 ± 73^{a}	$542\pm 61^{\Delta}$
$T_{1/2z}$ (min)	513 ± 29^a	$428 \pm 165.^{\text{a}}$	450 ± 41^{a}	378 ± 69.6^a	$652\pm37^{\Delta}$

^a p < 0.05 vs (3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid), (Δ) p < 0.05 vs (chlorogenic acid).

plasma were all stable for one-month storage at -70 °C, 24 h in the auto-sampler (4 °C) and three freeze-thaw cycles with accuracy in range of 85.7–114.9%.

3.3. Pharmacokinetic study

This validated UPLC–MS/MS method reported for the first time by us was successfully applied to the pharmacokinetic study of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in rat plasma following oral administration of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations. The assay was proved to be sensitive enough for the determination of these analytes in rat plasma.

It is found in Fig. 3 and Tables 4–9 consistently that the rank order of AUC_{0-t} and C_{max} in six *Flos Lonicerae* preparations were all chlorogenic acid > neochlorogenic acid > cryptochlorogenic acid > 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid ≥ 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (most of them had significant difference), although the administration dosages of 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid were higher significantly than that of other ingredients, which illustrated that the effective

Table 5

Pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Qing-Re-Jie-Du extract (n = 6, mean \pm SD).

Parameters	Qing-Re-Jie-Du extract						
	Chlorogenic acid	Neochlorogenic acid	Cryptochlorogenic acid	3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid		
C_{\max} (ng ml ⁻¹)	$320\pm144^{\text{a}}$	227 ± 96^{a}	$154\pm50.3^{\Delta}$	$121 \pm 42^{\Delta}$	$55.5\pm18.3^{\Delta}$		
T _{max} (min)	35.0 ± 7.1	30.0 ± 0.0	30.0 ± 0.0	30.0 ± 14.1	30.0 ± 0.0		
AUC_{0-t} (ng min ml ⁻¹)	$58,878 \pm 7687^{a}$	$\textbf{32,149} \pm \textbf{4772}^{\texttt{a},\Delta}$	28,688 \pm 3546 $^{\Delta}$	25,205 \pm 8934 $^{\Delta}$	20,357 \pm 2819 $^{\Delta}$		
$AUC_{0-\infty}(ng \min ml^{-1})$	$71,733 \pm 22,160^{a}$	36,078 \pm 8844 $^{\Delta}$	$34,\!217\pm8607^{\Delta}$	$44,537 \pm 29,583^{\Delta}$	$37,\!807 \pm 15,\!904^{\Delta}$		
MRT_{0-t} (min)	418 ± 64^a	334 ± 121^{a}	386 ± 88^{a}	$474\pm80^{\Delta,a}$	$624\pm52^{\Delta}$		
$T_{1/2z}$ (min)	386 ± 173^{a}	361 ± 69^{a}	463 ± 210	550 ± 244	$606\pm 67^{\Delta}$		

^a p < 0.05 vs (3, 4-dicaffeoylquinic acid), (Δ) p < 0.05 vs (chlorogenic acid).

Table 6

Pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Fufang Qin-Lan extract (n = 6, mean \pm SD).

Parameters	Fufang Qin-Lan extract						
	Chlorogenic acid	Neochlorogenic acid	Cryptochlorogenic acid	3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid		
$C_{\rm max}$ (ng ml ⁻¹)	236 ± 21^{a}	$181\pm24^{a,\Delta}$	$100.1\pm11.1^{\text{a},\Delta}$	$57.2\pm6.3^{\Delta}$	$51.1 \pm 13.0^{\Delta}$		
T _{max} (min)	33 ± 6	35.0 ± 5.8	30.0 ± 8.2	40.0 ± 25	55.0 ± 21		
AUC_{0-t} (ng min ml ⁻¹)	$61,\!603 \pm 21,\!650$	37,943 ± 14,856	28,217 \pm 5152 $^{\Delta}$	25,582 \pm 4754 $^{\Delta}$	29,962 ± 19,214		
$AUC_{0-\infty}(ng \min ml^{-1})$	82,861 ± 37,795	43,501 ± 17,406	$33,756 \pm 3334^{a}$	$31,219 \pm 6198^{a}$	37,048 ± 17,453		
MRT_{0-t} (min)	491 ± 49^{a}	471 ± 125^{a}	503 ± 61^{a}	$655\pm166^{\Delta}$	$681 \pm 127^{\Delta}$		
$T_{1/2z}$ (min)	$595 \pm 233^{\text{a}}$	523 ± 54^{a}	461 ± 14^a	605 ± 102	$761 \pm 29^{\Delta}$		

^a p < 0.05 vs (3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid), (Δ) p < 0.05 vs (chlorogenic acid).

Table 7

Pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Shuang-Huang-Lian extract (*n* = 6, mean ± SD).

Parameters	Shuang-Huang-Lian					
	Chlorogenic acid	Neochlorogenic acid	Cryptochlorogenic acid	3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	
$C_{\rm max}$ (ng ml ⁻¹)	$264 \pm 107^{\text{a}}$	215 ± 52^a	$120.3 \pm 41.4^{\Delta}$	$70.1 \pm 14.4^{\Delta}$	$73.5\pm27.8^{\Delta}$	
T _{max} (min)	60.0 ± 11.6	65.0 ± 10.0	65.0 ± 5.8	60.0 ± 14.1	65.0 ± 5.8	
AUC_{0-t} (ng min ml ⁻¹)	61,570 ± 13,937	$45,504 \pm 16,518$	$35,023 \pm 8861^{\Delta}$	$32,821\pm8933^{\Delta}$	$38,004 \pm 5612$	
$AUC_{0-\infty}(ng \min ml^{-1})$	87,646 ± 38,356	81,997 ± 31,025	44,759 ± 12,888	52,813 ± 27,821	88,148 ± 10,111	
MRT_{0-t} (min)	463 ± 49^{a}	450 ± 146^{a}	485 ± 61^{a}	566 ± 60	$641 \pm 54^{\Delta}$	
$T_{1/2z}$ (min)	295 ± 65^a	316 ± 135^{a}	566 ± 224	$503\pm87^{\Delta}$	$673\pm74^{\Delta}$	

^a p < 0.05 vs (3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid), (△) p < 0.05 vs (chlorogenic acid).

Table 8

Pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Yin-Qiao-Jie-Du extract (n = 6, mean \pm SD).

Parameters	Yin-Qiao-Jie-Du extract					
	Chlorogenic acid	Neochlorogenic acid	Cryptochlorogenic acid	3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	
$C_{\rm max}$ (ng ml ⁻¹)	$190 \pm 26^{\text{a}}$	$121\pm16^{\Delta,a}$	$101.5 \pm 28^{\Delta,a}$	$68.4\pm10.3^{\Delta,a}$	$31.7\pm3.5^{\Delta}$	
T _{max} (min)	27.5 ± 5.00	27.5 ± 5.0	30.0 ± 8.2	30.0 ± 0.0	27.5 ± 5.0	
AUC_{0-t} (ng min ml ⁻¹)	$60,228 \pm 5008^{a}$	$30,197 \pm 4069^{\Delta,a}$	$29,842 \pm 3658^{\Delta,a}$	$\textbf{28,314} \pm \textbf{4127}^{\Delta,\textbf{a}}$	19,559 \pm 3819 $^{\Delta}$	
$AUC_{0-\infty}(ng \min ml^{-1})$	$70,102 \pm 5412^{a}$	30,913 \pm 4421 $^{\Delta}$	$48,532 \pm 27,362^{\Delta}$	36,091 \pm 2547 $^{\Delta}$	$29,113 \pm 7529^{\Delta}$	
MRT_{0-t} (min)	436 ± 34^{a}	$338\pm24^{\Delta,a}$	422 ± 40^{a}	$497\pm 34^{\Delta,a}$	$597 \pm 21^{\Delta}$	
$T_{1/2z}$ (min)	508 ± 113^{a}	267 ± 50^a	445 ± 138^{a}	$751 \pm 164^{\Delta}$	$748\pm28^{\Delta}$	

^a p < 0.05 vs (3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid), (Δ) p < 0.05 vs (chlorogenic acid).

intestinal permeability (P_{eff}) of 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid might be the lowest among the phenolic acids, but that of chlorogenic acid was opposite. Besides, the rank order of MRT_{0-t} and $T_{1/2z}$ of phenolic acids in six *Flos Lonicerae* preparations were 3,5dicaffeoylquinic acid > 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid \geq chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid (part of them were different significantly), but T_{max} of them had little significance, which demonstrated that elimination *in vivo* of dicaffeoylquinic acids might be slower than that of caffeoylquinic acids, influenced by plasma protein binding rate possibly, it was consistent with the report [18] that dicaffeoylquinic acids with two coffee acyl groups had higher binding abilities with human serum albumin (HAS) than caffeoylquinic acids with one coffee acyl group. The results above indicated that there were differences of caffeoylquinic acids containing neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid and cryptochlorogenic acid as isomers and dicaffeoylquinic acids containing 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid as isomers in the pharmacokinetic parameters, although they had similar physicochemical properties. Further, it was necessary to separate the caffeoylquinic acids isomers and dicaffeoylquinic acids isomers when phenolic acids as one of the most important compositions were used to characterize the quality of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations.

We also found from Tables 5 and 7 that the values of AUC_{0-t} in 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Shuang-Huang-Lian were higher significantly than in Qing-Re-Jie-Du,

Table 9

Pharmacokinetic parameters of neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid in Fufang Jin-Huang-Lian extract (*n* = 6, mean ± SD).

Parameters	Fufang Jin-Huang-Lian						
	Chlorogenic acid	Neochlorogenic acid	Cryptochlorogenic acid	3,5-Dicaffeoylquinic acid	3,4-Dicaffeoylquinic acid		
$C_{\rm max}$ (ng ml ⁻¹)	$354 \pm 155^{\text{a}}$	$212 \pm 146^{\text{a}}$	$134\pm72^{\Delta}$	$81.6\pm26^{\Delta}$	$56.0 \pm 13^{\Delta}$		
T _{max} (min)	25.0 ± 10.0	25.0 ± 10.0	30.0 ± 0.0	25.0 ± 5.8	35.0 ± 5.8		
AUC_{0-t} (ng min ml ⁻¹)	$59,884 \pm 14,540^{a}$	$35,337 \pm 11,979^{\Delta}$	34,718 \pm 9424 $^{\Delta}$	19,741 \pm 8154 $^{\Delta}$	27,429 \pm 7081 $^{\Delta}$		
$AUC_{0-\infty}(ng \min ml^{-1})$	$64{,}902 \pm 20{,}429$	$37,852 \pm 20,608$	45,213 ± 21,605	50,509 ± 16,360	$64,\!954 \pm 25,\!452$		
MRT_{0-t} (min)	504 ± 72	519 ± 163	562 ± 84	576 ± 122	662 ± 48		
$T_{1/2z}$ (min)	393 ± 172	399 ± 72	366 ± 156	425 ± 235	415 ± 119		

^a p < 0.05 vs (3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid), (Δ) p < 0.05 vs (chlorogenic acid).

although the administration dosage of 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid between them were approximately similar, which indicated that other ingredients in Shuang-Huang-Lian or Qing-Re-Jie-Du might influence the dicaffeoylquinic acids absorption and metabolism *in vivo*.

4. Conclusion

In this study, a rapid and reliable UPLC–MS/MS method was developed for simultaneous analysis of chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid and 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid in rat plasma. Sample preparation was carried out by liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl acetate/n-hexane (9:1, v/v) and the data acquisition of each sample was 5.25 min. The method has been successfully applied to the pharmacokinetic study of five bioactive phenolic acids in rats following oral dose of *Flos Lonicerae* preparations. The results also elucidated effectively that the pharmacokinetic parameters of phenolic acids even isomers had significant differences.

Acknowledgements

The present study is supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81073071, 81273655), "Qing Lan" Project from Jiangsu Provincial Technology Innovation Team Support Scheme, the priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution (No. ysxk-2010) and 2012 program sponsored for scientific innovation research of college graduate in Jiangsu province (623).

References

- L.M. Wang, Studies on Antiviral Effect and Immunopotentiating Activity of Lonicera japonica Thunb. and Flos Lonicerae in vitro, Agri. Univ., Henan, Chengdu, China, 2008, pp. 15–46.
- [2] S.G. Jiao, Research and comprehensive utilization of *honeysuckle*, Qilu Pharma. Aff. 28 (2009) 487–489.
- [3] X.F. Shang, P. Hu, M.X. Li, X.L. Miao, H. Ding, Lonicera japonica Thunb.: ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacology of an important traditional Chinese medicine, J. Ethnopharmacol. 138 (2011) 1–21.
- [4] W. Zhou, H.D. Wang, X.X. Zhu, J.J. Shan, A.L. Yin, B.C. Cai, L.Q. Di, Improvement of intestinal absorption of forsythoside A and chlorogenic acid by different carboxymethyl chitosan and chito-oligosaccharide, application to *Flos Lonicerae-Fructus Forsythiae* herb couple preparations, PLoS ONE 8 (2013) e63348, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063348.
- [5] L. Wang, Study of effective substances screening for *Flos Lonicerae* based on the spectrum-effect combination, Chengdu Univ. Tad. Chin. Med., Chengdu, China, 2008, pp. 51–58.

- [6] T.T. Zhang, Novel patterns of efficient components recognition and quality control for *Flos Lonicerae Japonicae* based on constituent knock-out/knock-in, Chengdu Univ. Trad. Chin. Med., Chengdu, China, 2011, pp. 22–43.
- [7] China Pharmacopoeia Committee, Pharmacopoeia of People's Republic of China, vol. 1, ninth ed., China Medical Science and Technology Press, Beijing, 2010.
- [8] L. Illum, N.F. Farraj, S.S. Davis, Chitosan as a novel nasal delivery system for peptide drugs, Pharm. Res. 11 (2009) 1186–1189.
- [9] R. Gao, Y.N. Lin, G. Liang, B.Y. Yu, Y. Gao, Comparative pharmacokinetic study of chlorogenic acid after oral administration of *Lonicerae Japonicae Flos* and Shuang-Huang-Lian in normal and febrile rats, Phytother. Res. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.4958.
- [10] J. Ye, X.W. Song, Z.H. Liu, X. Zhao, L.L. Geng, K.S. Bi, X.H. Chen, Development of an LC–MS method for determination of three active constituents of Shuanghuang-lian injection in rat plasma and its application to the drug interaction study of Shuang-huang-lian freeze-dried powder combined with levofloxacin injection, J. Chromatogr. B 898 (2012) 130–135.
- [11] J. Yang, F. Lv, X.Q. Chen, W.X. Cui, L.H. Chen, X.D. Wen, Q. Wang, Pharmacokinetic study of major bioactive components in rats after oral administration of extract of *llex hainanensis* by high-performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 77 (2013) 21–28.
- [12] X.R. Guo, X.H. Chen, L. Li, Z.D. Shen, X.L. Wang, P. Zheng, F.X. Duan, Y.F. Ma, K.S. Bi, LC–MS determination and pharmacokinetic study of six phenolic components in rat plasma after taking traditional Chinese medicinal-preparation: Guanxinning lyophilized powder for injection, J. Chromatogr. B 873 (2008) 51–58.
- [13] S.M. Wittemer, M. Ploch, T. Windeck, S.C. Muller, B. Drewelow, H. Derendorf, M. Veit, Bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of caffeoylquinic acids and flavonoids after oral administration of Artichoke leaf extracts in humans, Phytomedicine 12 (2005) 28–38.
- [14] Y.J. Matsui, S. Nakamura, N. Kondou, Y. Takasu, R. Ochiai, Y. Masukawa, Liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry for simultaneous analysis of chlorogenic acids and their metabolites in human plasma, J. Chromatogr. B 858 (2007) 96–105.
- [15] J. Zhang, M. Chen, W.Z. Ju, S.J. Liu, M.J. Xu, J.H. Chu, T. Wu, Liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry assay for the simultaneous determination of chlorogenic acid and cinnamic acid in plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 51 (2010) 685–690.
- [16] W. Qi, T. Zhao, W.W. Yang, G.H. Wang, H. Yu, H.X. Zhao, C. Yang, L.X. Sun, Comparative pharmacokinetics of chlorogenic acid after oral administration in rats, J. Pharm. Anal. 1 (2011) 270–274.
- [17] J.X. Ye, W. Wei, L.H. Quan, C.Y. Liu, Q. Chang, Y.H. Liao, An LC–MS/MS method for the simultaneous determination of chlorogenic acid, forsythiaside A and baicalin in rat plasma and its application to pharmacokinetic study of Shuanghuang-lian in rats, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 52 (2010) 625–630.
- [18] J. Zhou, H.Y. Ma, X.S. Fan, W. Xiao, T.J. Wang, Molecular docking of chlorogenic acid, 3,4-di-o-caffeoylquinic acid and 3,5-di-o-caffeoylquinic acid with human serum albumin, Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao 10 (2012) 1149–1154.
- [19] US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, 2001, http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/Guidance Compliance-Regulatatoryinformation/Guidances/UCM070107.pdf
- [20] D. Remane, M.R. Meyer, D.K. Wissenbach, H.H. Maurer, Ion suppression and enhancement effects of co-eluting analytes in multi-analyte approaches: systematic investigation using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry with atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization or electrospray ionization, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 24 (2010) 3103–3108.