

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical Kidney Journal, 2023, vol. 16, no. 12, 2396–2404

https:/doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfad088 Advance Access Publication Date: 19 April 2023 Original Article

The impact of gender on the risk of cardiovascular events in older adults with advanced chronic kidney disease

Megan Astley^{1,2}, Fergus J. Caskey³, Marie Evans ¹/₂, Claudia Torino⁵, Maciej Szymczak⁶, Christiane Drechsler⁷, Maria Pippias^{3,8}, Esther de Rooij⁹, Gaetana Porto¹⁰, Vianda S. Stel^{1,11}, Friedo W. Dekker⁹, Christoph Wanner ¹⁷/₇, Kitty J. Jager^{1,11} and Nicholas C. Chesnaye^{1,11}; the EQUAL study investigators

¹Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, ERA Registry, Medical Informatics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ²Amsterdam Public Health, Health Behaviours and Chronic Diseases and Methodology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ³Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK, ⁴Renal Unit, Department of Clinical Intervention and Technology (CLINTEC), Karolinska Institutet and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁵IFC-CNR, Clinical Epidemiology and Pathophysiology of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, Reggio Calabria, Italy, ⁶Department of Nephrology and Transplantation Medicine, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland, ⁷Division of Nephrology, University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, ⁸North Bristol NHS Trust, Renal Unit, Bristol, UK, ⁹Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands, ¹⁰G.O.M., Bianchi Melacrino Morelli, Reggio Calabria, Italy and ¹¹Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Quality of Care, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Megan Astley; E-mail: m.e.astley@amsterdamumc.nl

ABSTRACT

Background. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are at a higher risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) compared with the general population, but gender differences in this risk, especially in older adults, are not fully known. We aim to identify gender differences in the risk of MACE in older European CKD patients, and explore factors that may explain these differences.

Methods. The European Quality study (EQUAL) is a prospective study on stage 4–5 CKD patients, \geq 65 years old, not on dialysis, from Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Sweden and the UK. Cox regression and cumulative incidence competing risk curves were used to identify gender differences in MACE risks. Mediation analysis was used to identify variables which may explain risk differences between men and women.

Results. A total of 417 men out of 1134 (37%) and 185 women out of 602 women (31%) experienced at least one MACE, over a follow-up period of 5 years. Women had an 18% lower risk of first MACE compared with men (hazard ratio 0.82; 95% confidence interval 0.69–0.97; P = .02), which was attenuated after adjusting for pre-existing cardiometabolic

Received: 14.11.2022; Editorial decision: 20.3.2023

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the ERA. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

comorbidities and cardiovascular risk factors. There were no significant gender differences in the risk of recurrent MACE or fatal MACE. The risk difference in MACE by gender was larger in patients aged 65–75 years, compared with patients over 75 years.

Conclusions. In a cohort of older adults with advanced CKD, women had lower risks of MACE. These risk differences were partially explained by pre-existing cardiometabolic comorbidities and cardiovascular risk factors.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Keywords: cardiovascular events, chronic kidney disease, gender differences, older adults, risk differences

INTRODUCTION

In the general population, the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) is higher in men compared with women across most age groups [1], with women's risk of MACE lagging 10 years behind men's. After menopause this risk gap closes, with lifetime risks for some conditions being greater in women [2]. Furthermore, the magnitude of the effect of traditional risk factors may differ by gender [3] and women are also exposed to femalespecific risk factors [4–6]. Women experience an under appreciation of their risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), with evidence of gender differences in the diagnosis and treatment of MACE [7–9].

Gender differences in MACE risk found in the general population may not translate to the chronic kidney disease (CKD) population, where the difference in MACE risk between men and women often appears smaller [10]. Unlike younger CKD patients, older CKD patients are more likely to experience MACE than to progress to kidney failure [11–13]. Despite these age-related risks, few studies have directly assessed the risk of MACE in older CKD patients who are not yet on kidney replacement therapy (KRT). Comparisons among studies are challenging due to varying patient populations with regards to age, CKD stage or MACE definitions [14–18].

An understanding of the risk of MACE by gender may provide useful insights to help reduce the burden of CVD and improve gender-specific clinical management. Therefore, this study primarily aims to describe the gender-specific risk of first, recurrent and fatal MACE in a European population of advanced CKD patients over the age of 65 years. Secondly, to understand the mechanisms underlying these differences, we will explore demographic, cardiovascular and clinical factors that may explain any differences observed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population

The European Quality study (EQUAL study) is a prospective study on stage 4–5 CKD patients not yet on dialysis from Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Sweden and the UK who were \geq 65 years at inclusion. A full description of the study has been

previously published [19]. In brief, the EQUAL study includes patients who experienced an incident drop in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) \leq 20 mL/min/1.73 m² in the last 6 months and were referred to a nephrologist. Patients were eligible when they were being followed in a nephrology clinic and excluded if their eGFR drop was due to an acute event or if they previously had KRT. For the current study, the follow-up period was from March 2012 to January 2022. Study visits were scheduled at 3-to 6-month intervals, and patients were followed until kidney transplantation, death, refusal for further participation, loss to follow-up or 5 years of follow-up. Initiation of dialysis did not exclude patients from follow-up. The study received approval by the Medical Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Boards of all participating centres. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Data collection, variable definition and outcomes

Data were collected on demographics, laboratory data, medication, physical examination, primary kidney disease and cardiovascular risk factors. We realize there is a difference between gender and sex, but we will use the term 'gender' throughout this manuscript based on guidelines from the US Institute of Medicine [20]. Data on pre-existing cardiovascular comorbid conditions were collected (definitions provided in Supplementary data, Table S1). eGFR was calculated from serum creatinine level standardized to isotope dilution mass spectrometry using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation. Urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (uACR) was determined following routine 24-h urine collection or a single sample if 24-h urinary collection was unavailable. Primary kidney disease and causes of death were classified using the European Renal Association codes [21]. Hospitalization and comorbidity events were standardized into categories defined by the nephrologists from each country who are collaborating within the EQUAL study. MACE are defined as a comorbidity or hospitalization due to cerebrovascular disease, myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, angina pectoris, arrhythmias, congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, or death due to myocardial ischaemia and infarction, heart failure, cardiac arrest or cerebrovascular accident. A 'first MACE' refers to the first occurrence of a MACE after entering the study.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were presented as means with standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed continuous variables. Skewed continuous variables were presented as medians with interquartile range (IQR). Categories were presented as frequencies with percentages. uACR was log-transformed to improve normality. The rate of incident MACE was presented by gender. The cumulative incidence competing risk curve was used to visualize the probability of first MACE by gender in the presence of two competing events, non-MACE death and kidney transplantation, by gender. Cox proportional hazards models were used to determine the risk of first, recurrent and fatal MACE in men and women. As patients are censored when experiencing a non-MACE death or transplantation, the hazard ratio (HR) can be considered as cause-specific [22]. Proportional hazards assumptions were checked for each covariate and found to be fulfilled

The difference method of mediation analysis [23] was performed to identify mediators, which are variables that do not qualify as confounders as they lie in the causal pathway and may therefore partially or fully explain a relationship between an exposure (gender) and outcome (MACE). The change in the gender HRs between the unadjusted and adjusted models (outlined in Supplementary data, Table S2) show the gender effect that is explained by the mediators. Variables were selected based on published research and advice from medical professionals. Analyses were performed using baseline observations of variables . Timedependent variables used were eGFR, uACR, body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, albumin, calcium, phosphate, potassium, haemoglobin and dialysis status.

For the recurrent event analysis, the Prentice, Williams and Peterson (PWP) gap time approach was used. This allows the start time for each event to be reset to zero after a previous event and for the effects of covariates to differ for subsequent MACE [24]. A cluster variable is also included to identify correlated events and a stratum variable representing the number of events per individual. We used the Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations (MICE) package [25] to impute missing data using ten imputations (Supplementary data, Table S3). Missing data were considered missing at-random. All analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 [26] and R version 3.4.1 [27].

Two subgroup analyses were carried out by stratifying on age at baseline (65–75 years old and >75 years old) and on the presence of diabetes at baseline. Patient characteristics per subgroup are provided in the Supplementary materials.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Among the 1736 EQUAL study participants, 1134 were men and 602 were women (Table 1). The mean age was 76 years for men and 77 years for women. A higher proportion of women were divorced, widowed or never married, compared with men. Women were more likely to have low or no education, while men were more likely to have high or intermediate education. Men had a higher prevalence of diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, prior myocardial infarction and angina pectoris. A larger proportion of men were current or ex-smokers compared with women. Over the follow-up period, 436 men (38% of men) and 154 women (26% of women) initiated dialysis.

MACE risk in men and women

Total follow-up time was 4916 years, with a median follow-up time of 3.8 years (IQR 2.5, 4.3). There were a total of 1253 incident MACE, of which 48% (n = 600) were first MACE. The proportion of type of first MACE by gender is presented in Fig. 1 and the median number of events is presented in Supplementary data, Fig. S1. The rate of first MACE was 23 per 1000 person-years (95% CI 21-26) in women compared with 27 per 1000 person-years (95% CI 25–29) in men. The rate of fatal MACE for women was 3 per 1000 person-years (95% CI 2-4) and 4 per 1000 person-years (95% CI 3-5) in men. The 1-year probability of experiencing first MACE was 18% (95% CI 16%-21%) for men and 14% (95% CI 12%-18%) for women. At 5 years, the probability of experiencing first MACE was 43% (95% CI 40%-47%) for men and 39% (95% CI 34%-44%) for women (Fig. 2). Women had an 18% lower crude risk of first MACE compared with men (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.69-0.97; P = .02). Men and women had similar risks of recurrent MACE (HR 0.91; 95% CI 0.80–1.03; P = .14). Women had a lower risk of fatal MACE, but this did not reach statistical significance (HR 0.86; 95% CI 0.62-1.19; P = .36).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study participants with CKD stage 4–5 above 65 years of age within the EQUAL study cohort stratified by	
gender.	

	Overall	Males	Females
Number of subjects, N (%)	1736	1134 (65.3)	602 (34.7)
Age, years (SD)	76 (6.7)	76 (6.5)	77 (7.1)
Primary kidney disease, N (%)			
Glomerular disease	159 (9.3)	117 (10.4)	42 (7.1)
Tubulo-interstitial disease	146 (8.5)	80 (7.1)	66 (11.2)
Diabetes mellitus	351 (20.6)	244 (21.8)	107 (18.2)
Hypertension	613 (35.9)	391 (34.9)	222 (37.8)
Other/unknown	439 (25.7)	288 (25.7)	151 (25.7)
Marital status, N (%)			
Married	890 (64.4)	689 (75.7)	201 (42.7)
Divorced	100 (7.2)	58 (6.4)	42 (8.9)
Widowed	333 (24.1)	133 (14.6)	200 (42.5)
Never married	58 (4.2)	30 (3.3)	28 (5.9)
One or more children, yes, N (%)	1177 (88.4)	778 (88.5)	399 (88.1)
Education level, N (%)			
None/low	426 (30.8)	243 (26.7)	183 (38.7)
Intermediate	678 (49.0)	457 (50.2)	221 (46.7)
High	210 (15.2)	169 (18.6)	41 (8.7)
Other/unknown	69 (5.0)	41 (4.5)	28 (5.9)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²), mean (SD)ª	17.3 (5.51)	17.0 (5.46)	17.8 (5.57)
uACR (mg/g), median (IQR)	38.2 (9.6, 145.5)	44.5 (12.0, 152.5)	24.8 (8.0, 121.4)
Diabetes ^b , yes, N (%)	716 (42.5)	496 (44.9)	220 (37.9)
Chronic heart failure, yes, N (%)	299 (18.3)	203 (19.0)	96 (16.9)
Cerebrovascular disease, yes, N (%)	258 (15.4)	171 (15.5)	87 (15.2)
Peripheral vascular disease, yes, N (%)	288 (17.4)	211 (19.4)	77 (13.4)
Myocardial infarction, yes, N (%)	294 (17.4)	229 (20.7)	65 (11.2)
Angina pectoris, yes, N (%)	246 (14.8)	186 (17.1)	60 (10.5)
Left ventricular hypertrophy, yes, N (%)	365 (24.3)	258 (26.1)	107 (20.8)
Atrial fibrillation, yes, N (%)	307 (18.4)	199 (18.3)	108 (18.8)
Hypertension, yes, N (%)	1463 (89.1)	958 (89.0)	505 (89.4)
Coronary artery disease, yes, N (%)	452 (27.4)	351 (32.4)	101 (17.9)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	28.4 (5.35)	28.2 (4.87)	28.8 (6.16)
Charlson comorbidity index, mean (SD)	7.13 (1.88)	7.24 (1.93)	6.91 (1.77)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)	142.7 (22.04)	143.2 (21.65)	141.9 (22.76)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)	73.9 (11.26)	74.0 (11.34)	73.6 (11.12)
Smoking status, N (%)			
Current smoker	120 (8.7)	82 (9.0)	38 (8.0)
Ex-smoker	748 (54.1)	584 (64.2)	164 (34.7)
Never	514 (37.2)	243 (26.7)	271 (57.3)
Cholesterol (mmol/L), mean (SD)	4.54 (1.29)	4.35 (1.19)	4.90 (1.39)
Albumin (g/dL), mean (SD)	37.71 (5.88)	37.65 (5.91)	37.83 (5.84)
Calcium (mmol/L), mean (SD)	2.30 (0.16)	2.28 (0.16)	2.33 (0.16)
Phosphate (mmol/L), mean (SD)	1.30 (0.31)	1.29 (0.32)	1.31 (0.30)
Potassium (mmol/L), mean (SD)	4.64 (0.60)	4.67 (0.61)	4.60 (0.59)
Haemoglobin (mmol/L), mean (SD)	7.20 (0.93)	7.26 (0.96)	7.09 (0.88)
Polypharmacy (>10 medications), yes, N (%)	663 (38.2)	439 (38.7)	224 (37.2)
Psychological comorbidities, yes, N (%)	113 (6.7)	60 (5.4)	53 (9.2)
Use of RAS-acting agents, yes, N (%)	125 (7.2)	80 (7.1)	45 (7.5)
Use of beta blockers, yes, N (%)	40 (2.3)	21 (1.9)	19 (3.2)
Use of angiotensin receptor blockers, yes, N (%)	59 (3.4)	32 (2.8)	27 (4.5)
Use of angiotensin-converting enzyme, yes, N (%)	46 (2.6)	28 (2.5)	18 (3.0)
Use of statins, yes, N (%)	93 (5.4)	64 (5.6)	29 (4.8)
Use of diuretics, yes, N (%)	154 (8.9)	97 (8.6)	57 (9.5)

Values are presented as median (IQR), frequency (%) or mean (SD) as appropriate.

^aEstimated GFR calculated using CKD-EPI.

^bDefined as both a history of diabetes mellitus or diabetes mellitus as cause of primary kidney disease, either Type I or Type II diabetes mellitus.

RAS, renin–angiotensin system.



Figure 1: Proportion of causes of first MACE experienced by men and women with CKD stage 4–5 older than 65 years of age within the EQUAL study over a 5-year follow-up period.

Mediation analysis for first MACE, recurrent MACE and fatal MACE

We explored various models to identify potential mediators which may partially or fully explain the lower risk of MACE in women (Table 2). Gender HRs adjusted for individual mediators are presented in Supplementary data, Table S4. Women remained at a significantly lower risk of first MACE after adjustment for demographic factors, and at a non-significantly lower risk after adjustment for socioeconomic factors and kidney function. With the addition of cardiometabolic comorbidities, women had an 8% lower risk (HR 0.92; 95% CI 0.76–1.10; P = .36), which remained similar with the addition of traditional CVD risk factors (HR 0.93; 95% CI 0.76-1.13; P = .44) and non-traditional CVD risk factors (HR 0.92; 95% CI 0.76-1.12; P = .42). The addition of mediators had little effect on the gender HR for recurrent or fatal MACE (Table 2). Time-dependent adjustment for dialysis status slightly reduced the HR for both first MACE (HR 0.84; 95% CI 0.71–1.00; P = .05) and fatal MACE (HR 0.86; 95% CI 0.62–1.29; P = .33). When censoring individuals at dialysis initiation, the hazard ratio for first, recurrent and fatal MACE was similar to the main results (Supplementary data, Table S5). The inclusion of time-dependent variables (Supplementary data, Table S6) had little effect on the results.

MACE risk in men and women by age group

Patient characteristics by age group (65–75 years old and >75 years old) are provided in Supplementary data, Table S7. In patients 65–75 years old, women had a non-significant 25% lower risk of first MACE compared with men (HR 0.75; 95% CI 0.57–1.00; P = .05) which was only a 15% lower risk in the >75 group (HR 0.85; 95% CI 0.68–1.06; P = .15). Conversely, in patients 65–75 years old, women and men had similar risks of recurrent MACE (HR 0.90; 95% CI 0.74–1.10; P = .32), whereas in those >75 years old women had a 18% lower risk (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.70–0.97; P = .02). In those aged 65–75 years, we found no significant gender difference in the risk of fatal MACE (HR 1.33; 95% CI 0.78–2.26; P = .28), whereas in the >75 years group, women had a 39% lower risk of fatal MACE (HR 0.61; 95% CI 0.40–0.93; P = .02).

MACE risk in men and women by diabetes status

Summary characteristics for patients with (DM) and without diabetes (non-DM) at baseline are found in Supplementary data, Table S8. The gender difference in the risk of first MACE was similar in patients with DM (HR 0.81; 95% CI 0.63–1.04; P = .09) and without DM (HR 0.83; 95% CI 0.64–1.07; P = .14). The risk of



Figure 2: Cumulative incidence competing risk curves for the risk of first MACE in men and women over 65 years old with CKD stage 4–5 and the competing risk of non-MACE death. The category 'First MACE' includes both non-fatal and fatal MACE. The category 'Alive without MACE' includes subjects who were censored or did not have a MACE. 'Kidney transplant' includes patients who received a kidney transplant before having a MACE or non-MACE death. 'Non-MACE death is death due to any condition not listed within our MACE definition. The at-risk tables provide an overview of the subjects at risk over the 5-year follow-up period.

recurrent MACE did not differ between men and women by diabetic status (DM HR 0. 90; 95% CI 0.75–1.07; P = .23, non-DM HR 0. 87; 95% CI 0.73–1.04; P = .12). Men and women with DM had a non-significant difference of fatal MACE (HR 0.77; 95% CI 0.48–1.24; P = .28), whereas in the non-DM group there was a similar risk (HR 0.95; 95% CI 0.59–1.52; P = .82).

DISCUSSION

An understanding of MACE risk by gender is vital for reducing the gender-specific burden of MACE and improving the genderspecific clinical management in CKD. This study characterized the impact of gender on the risk of MACE within a European cohort of advanced CKD patients aged 65 years and over. Overall, women had a lower risk of first MACE, whereas the risk of recurrent and fatal MACE was more similar. In older adults in the general population without CKD, similar differences in the risk of MACE by gender have been found [28].

Adjusting for pre-existing cardiometabolic comorbidities and cardiovascular risk factors reduced the difference in MACE risk between men and women, suggesting that these factors may be responsible for a part of this disparity. Gender differences also varied by age group, indicating converging MACE risks with increasing age.

In agreement with our findings, a meta-analysis in CKD stage 3–4 found that men had a 45% increased risk of both fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events compared with women, even after adjustment for pre-existing traditional cardiovascular risk factors [16]. The Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort Study (CRIC) study found in individuals >51 years old, women had a 22%–44% lower risk of MACE [18]. Similarly, the KoreaN cohort study for Outcome in patients With Chronic Kidney Disease (KNOW-CKD) found women to have a 34% lower risk of MACE than men [15]. Compared with our results, these studies observed larger differences in the risk of MACE between men and women, which may be attributed to the inclusion of younger individuals and individuals in earlier stages of CKD. Others have shown women to experience a steeper increase in MACE risk with declining eGFR [14, 17], suggesting that the gender effect is likely modified by disease progression. In dialysis patients, the risk of MACE remains lower in women [29–31], although Carrero *et al.* found women may have a similar risk of cardiovascular mortality compared with men [32]. The Swedish Renal Registry–CKD also found the gender difference in cardiovascular mortality risk to disappear in individuals with stage 5 CKD [33]. Taken together, this suggests the seemingly protective effect of female gender, seen in both the general population and earlier CKD stages, is reduced with CKD progression and especially in those on dialysis.

Men and women share traditional cardiovascular risk factors but their prevalence and effect on cardiovascular risks has been shown to differ by gender. We found that adjustment for pre-existing cardiometabolic comorbidities and traditional and non-traditional CVD risk factors attenuated the MACE risk difference by gender. Similarly, the KNOW-CKD study found the gender risk difference to lower from a 66% to a 45% higher risk in men after adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors [15]. The CRIC study found that adjustment for traditional risk factors in patients with an eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m² reduced the gender risk difference for heart failure and fatal MACE, but not for atherosclerotic events [18]. Nevertheless, these findings suggest gender differences in MACE risks are likely explained by traditional risk factors in individuals with late-stage CKD.

The MACE risk difference by gender seems to narrow with increasing age, although the mechanisms underlying these converging risks, especially in the CKD population, remain largely unclear. It has been hypothesized that endogenous estrogens provide a cardio-protective effect in women, but production of

Table 2: Mediation analysis for the effect of gender on first, recurrent and fatal MACE in patients with CKD stage 4–5 older than 65 years of	٥f
age.	

Model	First MACE	Recurrent MACE	Fatal MACE
 Model 1 (unadjusted)	0.82 (0.69–0.97, P = .02)	0.89 (0.78–1.00, P = .05)	0.84 (0.61–1.16, P = .30)
Model 2 (+ demographics)	0.81 (0.68–0.97, P = .02)	0.87 (0.77–0.99, P = .03)	0.80 (0.58–1.10, P = .17)
Model 3 (+ socioeconomic status)	0.84 (0.70–1.01, P = .06)	0.91 (0.80–1.04, P = .18)	0.81 (0.58–1.14, P = .24)
Model 4 (+ kidney function)	0.84 (0.70–1.01, P = .07)	0.92 (0.80–1.05, P = .20)	0.82 (0.59–1.16, P = .26)
Model 5 (+cardiometabolic comorbidities)	0.92 (0.76–1.10, P = .36)	0.98 (0.85–1.13, P = .78)	0.89 (0.63–1.26, P = .52)
Model 6 (+ traditional cardiovascular risk factors)	0.93 (0.76–1.13, P = .44)	0.98 (0.85–1.13, P = .78)	0.90 (0.62–1.29, P = .55)
Model 7 (+ non-traditional cardiovascular risk factors)	0.92 (0.76–1.12, P = .42)	0.97 (0.84–1.12, P = .67)	0.84 (0.58–1.21, P = .34)

HRs represent the difference in risk of MACE in women compared with men. An HR <1 suggests women have a lower risk than men. The difference between the HR from the unadjusted model and mediator-adjusted HR represents the proportion of the effect that is explained by the mediators included in the model. Model 1: only gender included.

Model 2: Model 1 + age at baseline + primary kidney disease.

Model 3: Model 2 + marital status + one or more children + education level.

Model 4: Model 3 + eGFR + log-transformed uACR.

Model 5: Model 4 + diabetes + chronic heart failure + cerebrovascular disease + peripheral vascular disease + myocardial infarction + angina pectoris + left ventricular hypertrophy + atrial fibrillation + hypertension + coronary artery disease.

Model 6: Model 5 + BMI + systolic blood pressure + diastolic blood pressure + smoking status + cholesterol.

Model 7: Model 6 + albumin + calcium + phosphate + potassium + haemoglobin.

these hormones decreases after menopause [34]. This may explain why younger populations of CKD patients still retain gender differences after adjustment for traditional risk factors but older populations do not. An alternative explanation for changes in MACE risk in ageing women has been suggested by The Framingham Heart Study, which found that cardiovascular risk factors affect the age of menopause onset, and not the reverse. Therefore, the underlying cardiovascular risk factors that accumulate with age may be responsible for worsening MACE risks, and menopause onset is just a result of these cardiovascular risk factors [35].

In the general population, women have a lower risk of MACE compared with men but diabetes seems to remove the female advantage with regards to CVD outcomes [28-30, 36, 37]. Here we found no effect modification by diabetes on the risk of first MACE by gender. In agreement with our results, the CRIC and KNOW-CKD studies found no significant interaction between diabetes and gender on the risk of MACE in the CKD population [15, 18]. Nonetheless, a meta-analysis by Fox et al. [31] found that in endstage kidney disease, diabetes increased cardiovascular mortality risk to a larger magnitude in women than men, with similar findings reported by Carrero *et al.* [32]. As the protective effect of female gender is already reduced with CKD progression, especially in those on dialysis, the additional presence of diabetes in women may further reduce their advantage. Overall, it seems that in CKD patients, diabetes disproportionately affects adverse outcomes more in women than in men, and perhaps even more so for those on dialysis.

This study has several strengths including the provision of MACE risks in an underrepresented population, older CKD patients with stage 4-5 CKD. We used data from six European countries, allowing for a better generalizability of results within Europe. Also, we had access to detailed laboratory measurements which were used longitudinally in adjusted models. Finally, we minimized the risk of survivor bias by prospectively including patients when eGFR dropped below the pre-defined level of 20 mL/min/1.73 m². Our study is also subject to several limitations. The power of this study was limited by the study sample size and number of events, thus our subgroup analyses should be interpreted cautiously. Although we included a selection of clinical and comorbidity variables in our analyses, we could not account for gender differences in the diagnosis and treatment of MACE, access to care, or certain behavioural factors known to differ by gender. As our population of CKD stage 4-5 patients offers a short observation of a more lengthy disease trajectory, MACE risks in men and women are likely to vary in earlier stages of CKD. Furthermore, we lack the dates of previous MACE prior to entering the study, and our definition of first MACE refers to the first observed MACE after study entry.

In conclusion, we found that in elderly patients with stage 4-5 CKD in Europe, women have a lower risk of MACE than men. The gender risk difference was partially explained by preexisting cardiometabolic comorbidities and cardiovascular risk factors. In line with the current literature, our results support the notion that the protective effect of female gender is reduced with CKD progression and increasing age, and by diabetes. Further research may focus on using biomarkers to explore the physiological mechanisms by which risk factors affect the relationship between gender and MACE risk, which may help implement individualized prevention strategies and improve genderspecific management.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data is available at ckj online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all the patients and health professionals participating in the EQUAL study.

LIST OF EQUAL STUDY INVESTIGATORS:

Andreas Schneider, Anke Torp, Beate Iwig, Boris Perras, Christian Marx, Christiane Drechsler, Christof Blaser, Christoph Wanner, Claudia Emde, Detlef Krieter, Dunja Fuchs, Ellen Irmler, Eva Platen, Hans Schmidt-Gürtler, Hendrik Schlee, Holger Naujoks, Ines Schlee, Sabine Cäsar, Joachim Beige, Jochen Röthele, Justyna Mazur, Kai Hahn, Katja Blouin, Katrin Neumeier, Kirsten Anding-Rost, Lothar Schramm, Monika Hopf, Nadja Wuttke, Nikolaus Frischmuth, Pawlos Ichtiaris, Petra Kirste, Petra Schulz, Sabine Aign, Sandra Biribauer, Sherin Manan, Silke Röser, Stefan Heidenreich, Stephanie Palm,

Susanne Schwedler, Sylke Delrieux, Sylvia Renker, Sylvia Schättel, Theresa Stephan, Thomas Schmiedeke, Thomas Weinreich, Til Leimbach, Torsten Stövesand, Udo Bahner, Wolfgang Seeger, Adamasco Cupisti, Adelia Sagliocca, Alberto Ferraro, Alessandra Mele, Alessandro Naticchia, Alex Còsaro, Andrea Ranghino, Andrea Stucchi, Angelo Pignataro, Antonella De Blasio, Antonello Pani, Aris Tsalouichos, Bellasi Antonio, Biagio Raffaele Di Iorio, Butti Alessandra, Cataldo Abaterusso, Chiara Somma, Claudia D'alessandro, Claudia Torino, Claudia Zullo, Claudio Pozzi, Daniela Bergamo, Daniele Ciurlino, Daria Motta, Domenico Russo, Enrico Favaro, Federica Vigotti, Ferruccio Ansali, Ferruccio Conte, Francesca Cianciotta, Francesca Giacchino, Francesco Cappellaio, Francesco Pizzarelli, Gaetano Greco, Gaetana Porto, Giada Bigatti, Giancarlo Marinangeli, Gianfranca Cabiddu, Giordano Fumagalli, Giorgia Caloro, Giorgina Piccoli, Giovanbattista Capasso, Giovanni Gambaro, Giuliana Tognarelli, Giuseppe Bonforte, Giuseppe Conte, Giuseppe Toscano, Goffredo Del Rosso, Irene Capizzi, Ivano Baragetti, Lamberto Oldrizzi, Loreto Gesualdo, Luigi Biancone, Manuela Magnano, Marco Ricardi, Maria Di Bari, Maria Laudato, Maria Luisa Sirico, Martina Ferraresi, Michele Provenzano, Moreno Malaguti, Nicola Palmieri, Paola Murrone, Pietro Cirillo, Pietro Dattolo, Pina Acampora, Rita Nigro, Roberto Boero, Roberto Scarpioni, Rosa Sicoli, Rosella Malandra, Silvana Savoldi, Silvio Bertoli, Silvio Borrelli, Stefania Maxia, Stefano Maffei, Stefano Mangano, Teresa Cicchetti, Tiziana Rappa, Valentina Palazzo, Walter De Simone, Anita Schrander, Bastiaan van Dam, Carl Siegert, Carlo Gaillard, Charles Beerenhout, Cornelis Verburgh, Cynthia Janmaat, Ellen Hoogeveen, Ewout Hoorn, Friedo Dekker, Johannes Boots, Henk Boom, Jan-Willem Eijgenraam, Jeroen Kooman, Joris Rotmans, Kitty Jager, Liffert Vogt, Maarten Raasveld, Marc Vervloet, Marjolijn van Buren, Merel van Diepen, Nicholas Chesnaye, Paul Leurs, Pauline Voskamp, Peter Blankestijn, Sadie van Esch, Siska Boorsma, Stefan Berger, Constantijn Konings, Zeynep Aydin, Aleksandra Musiała, Anna Szymczak, Ewelina Olczyk, Hanna Augustyniak-Bartosik, Ilona Miśkowiec-Wiśniewska, Jacek Manitius, Joanna Pondel, Kamila Jędrzejak, Katarzyna Nowańska, Łukasz Nowak, Maciej Szymczak, Magdalena Durlik, Szyszkowska Dorota, Teresa Nieszporek, Zbigniew Heleniak, Andreas Jonsson, Anna-Lena Blom, Björn Rogland, Carin Wallquist, Denes Vargas, Emöke Dimény, Fredrik Sundelin, Fredrik Uhlin, Gunilla Welander, Isabel Bascaran Hernandez, Knut-Christian Gröntoft, Maria Stendahl, Maria Svensson, Marie Evans, Olof Heimburger, Pavlos Kashioulis, Stefan Melander, Tora Almquist, Ulrika Jensen, Alistair Woodman, Anna McKeever, Asad Ullah, Barbara McLaren, Camille Harron, Carla Barrett, Charlotte O'Toole, Christina Summersgill, Colin Geddes, Deborah Glowski, Deborah McGlynn, Dympna Sands, Fergus Caskey, Geena Roy, Gillian Hirst, Hayley King, Helen McNally, Houda Masri-Senghor, Hugh Murtagh, Hugh Rayner, Jane Turner, Joanne Wilcox, Jocelyn Berdeprado, Jonathan Wong, Joyce Banda, Kirsteen Jones, Lesley Haydock, Lily Wilkinson, Margaret Carmody, Maria Weetman, Martin Joinson, Mary Dutton, Michael Matthews, Neal Morgan, Nina Bleakley, Paul Cockwell, Paul Roderick, Phil Mason, Philip Kalra, Rincy Sajith, Sally Chapman, Santee Navjee, Sarah Crosbie, Sharon Brown, Sheila Tickle, Suresh Mathavakkannan, Ying Kuan.

FUNDING

Main funding was received from the European Renal Association (ERA), previously the ERA–European Dialysis and Transplant Association (EDTA), and contributions from the Swedish Medical Association (SLS), the Stockholm County Council ALF Medicine and Center for Innovative research (CIMED), the Italian Society of Nephrology (SIN-Reni), the Dutch Kidney Foundation (SB 142), the Young Investigators grant in Germany and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) in the UK.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

M.E. report no conflict of interest in relation to this publication. Outside this work M.E. reports payment for advisory boards and lectures by Astellas pharma, Vifor Pharma and AstraZeneca, and institutional grants from AstraZeneca and Astellas pharma. C.W. had no conflict with respect to the present research. Outside this research, honoraria for consultancy and lecturing were received from Amicus, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Eli-Lilly, GILEAD, GSK, MSD, Sanofi-Genzyme and Takeda.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article cannot be shared publicly due to privacy laws, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

(See related article by Radley et al. Cardiovascular disease in older women with CKD. *Clin Kidney J* (2023) 16: 2304–2308.)

REFERENCES

- Stramba-Badiale M, Fox KM, Priori SG et al. Cardiovascular diseases in women: a statement from the policy conference of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2006;27:994–1005. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehi819.
- Girijala RL, Sohrabji F, Bush RL. Sex differences in stroke: Review of current knowledge and evidence. Vasc Med 2017;22:135–45. https://doi.org/10.1177/1358863x16668263.
- Appelman Y, van Rijn BB, Ten Haaf ME et al. Sex differences in cardiovascular risk factors and disease prevention. Atherosclerosis 2015;241:211–8.
- Sattar N, Greer IA. Pregnancy complications and maternal cardiovascular risk: opportunities for intervention and screening? BMJ 2002;325:157–60. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.325.7356.157.
- Atsma F, Bartelink ML, Grobbee DE et al. Postmenopausal status and early menopause as independent risk factors for cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Menopause* 2006;13:265–79. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.gme.0000218683. 97338.ea.
- Alexander CJ, Tangchitnob EP, Lepor NE. Polycystic ovary syndrome: a major unrecognized cardiovascular risk factor in women. Rev Obstet Gynecol 2009;2:232–9.
- Garcia M, Miller VM, Gulati M et al. Focused cardiovascular care for women: the need and role in clinical practice. Mayo Clinic Proc 2016;91:226–40.
- 8. Bairey Merz CN, Shaw LJ, Reis SE *et al.* Insights from the NHLBI-sponsored Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) study. Part II: gender differences in presentation, diagnosis, and outcome with regard to gender-based pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and macrovascular and microvascular cor. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;**47**:S21–9.
- 9. Woodward M. Cardiovascular disease and the female disadvantage. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:1165. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16071165.
- 10. Carrero JJ, de Jager DJ, Verduijn M et al. Cardiovascular and noncardiovascular mortality among men and women

starting dialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011;**6**:1722–30. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.11331210.

- Ravani P et al. Association of age with risk of kidney failure in adults with stage iv chronic kidney disease in Canada. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2017150. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamanetworkopen.2020.17150.
- Meisinger C, Döring A, Löwel H. Chronic kidney disease and risk of incident myocardial infarction and all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men and women from the general population. Eur Heart J 2006;27:1245–50. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehi880.
- Grams ME, Yang W, Rebholz CM et al. Risks of adverse events in advanced CKD: the chronic renal insufficiency cohort (CRIC) study. Am J Kidney Dis 2017;70:337–46. https://doi.org/ 10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.01.050.
- 14. Currie CJ, Berni ER, Berni TR et al. Major adverse cardiovascular events in people with chronic kidney disease in relation to disease severity and diabetes status. PLoS One 2019;14:e0221044. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0221044.
- 15. Jung CY, Heo GY, Park JT *et al.* Sex disparities and adverse cardiovascular and kidney outcomes in patients with chronic kidney disease: results from the KNOW-CKD. Clin Res Cardiol 2021;**110**:1116–27.
- Major RW, Cheng MRI, Grant RA et al. Cardiovascular disease risk factors in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2018;13:e0192895. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192895.
- Nitsch D, Grams M, Sang Y et al. Associations of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with mortality and renal failure by sex: a meta-analysis. BMJ 2013;346:f324.
- Toth-Manikowski SM, Yang W, Appel L et al. Sex differences in cardiovascular outcomes in CKD: findings from the CRIC study. Am J Kidney Dis 2021;78:200–9.e1. https://doi.org/10. 1053/j.ajkd.2021.01.020.
- Jager KJ, Ocak G, Drechsler C et al. The EQUAL study: a European study in chronic kidney disease stage 4 patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012;27 Suppl 3:iii27–31.
- 20. Institute of Medicine Committee on Understanding The Biology of sex and Gender Differences. The National Academies Collection: reports funded by National Institutes of Health. In: Wizemann TM Pardue ML (eds), Exploring the Biological Contributions to Human Health: Does Sex Matter? Copyright 2001 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US), 2001.
- 21. Venkat-Raman G, Tomson CR, Gao Y et al. New primary renal diagnosis codes for the ERA-EDTA. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012;**27**:4414–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfs461.
- Zhang Z. Survival analysis in the presence of competing risks. Ann Transl Med 2017;5:47. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm. 2016.08.62.
- VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis: a practitioner's guide. Annu Rev Public Health 2016;37:17–32. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-publhealth-032315-021402.

- 24. Amorim L, Cai J. Modelling recurrent events: a tutorial for analysis in epidemiology. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:324–33. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu222.
- van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. Mice: multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. J Statist Softw 2011;45:1–67.
- SAS/IML Software: Usage and Reference, Version 6. 1990: First edition. ©1990. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, 1990.
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2020.
- Leening MJG, Ferket BS, Steyerberg EW et al. Sex differences in lifetime risk and first manifestation of cardiovascular disease: prospective population based cohort study. BMJ 2014;349:g5992. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g5992.
- 29. Prospective Studies Collaboration and Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration. Sex-specific relevance of diabetes to occlusive vascular and other mortality: a collaborative meta-analysis of individual data from 980793 adults from 68 prospective studies. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2018;6: 538–46.
- Huxley R, Barzi F, Woodward M. Excess risk of fatal coronary heart disease associated with diabetes in men and women: meta-analysis of 37 prospective cohort studies. BMJ 2006;332:73–8. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38678. 389583.7C.
- Fox CS, Matsushita K, Woodward M et al. Associations of kidney disease measures with mortality and end-stage renal disease in individuals with and without diabetes: a metaanalysis. Lancet 2012;380:1662–73.
- Carrero JJ, de Mutsert R, Axelsson J et al. Sex differences in the impact of diabetes on mortality in chronic dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011;26:270–6. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/ndt/gfq386.
- Swartling O, Rydell H, Stendahl M et al. CKD progression and mortality among men and women: a nationwide study in Sweden. Am J Kidney Dis 2021;78:190–9.e1. https://doi.org/10. 1053/j.ajkd.2020.11.026.
- Zhao D, Guallar E, Ouyang P et al. Endogenous sex hormones and incident cardiovascular disease in post-menopausal women. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71:2555–66.
- Kok Helen S, van Asselt KM, van der Schouw YT et al. Heart disease risk determines menopausal age rather than the reverse. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1976–83.
- 36. Hu G, Jousilahti P, Qiao Q et al. Sex differences in cardiovascular and total mortality among diabetic and nondiabetic individuals with or without history of myocardial infarction. Diabetologia 2005;48:856–61. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00125-005-1730-6.
- 37. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts, including 775,385 individuals and 12,539 strokes. Lancet North Am Ed 2014;383:1973–80. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60040-4.