Identification of Genes Influencing Skeletal Phenotypes in Congenic P/NP Rats

Imranul Alam,¹ Lucinda G Carr,^{2,3} Tiebing Liang,² Yunlong Liu,² Howard J Edenberg,⁴ Michael J Econs,² and Charles H Turner^{1,5}

¹Departments of Biomedical Engineering, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA

²Medicine, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA

³Pharmacology, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA

⁴Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA

⁵Biomechanics and Biomaterials Research Center, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA

ABSTRACT

We previously showed that alcohol-preferring (P) rats have higher bone density than alcohol-nonpreferring (NP) rats. Genetic mapping in P and NP rats identified a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) between 4q22 and 4q34 for alcohol preference. At the same location, several QTLs linked to bone density and structure were detected in Fischer 344 (F344) and Lewis (LEW) rats, suggesting that bone mass and strength genes might cosegregate with genes that regulate alcohol preference. The aim of this study was to identify the genes segregating for skeletal phenotypes in congenic P and NP rats. Transfer of the NP chromosome 4 QTL into the P background (P.NP) significantly decreased areal bone mineral density (aBMD) and volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) at several skeletal sites, whereas transfer of the P chromosome 4 QTL into the NP background (NP.P) significantly increased bone mineral content (BMC) and aBMD in the same skeletal sites. Microarray analysis from the femurs using Affymetrix Rat Genome arrays revealed 53 genes that were differentially expressed among the rat strains with a false discovery rate (FDR) of less than 10%. Nine candidate genes were found to be strongly correlated ($r^2 > 0.50$) with bone mass at multiple skeletal sites. The top three candidate genes, *neuropeptide Y* (*Npy*), α synuclein (Snca), and *sepiapterin reductase* (*Spr*), were confirmed using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR). Ingenuity pathway analysis revealed relation-ships among the candidate genes, including some novel genes on chromosome 4 segregating for skeletal phenotypes in reciprocal congenic P and NP rats. \mathbb{G} Bone and Mineral Research.

KEY WORDS: BONE MASS; CONGENIC; QTL; NEUROPEPTIDE Y; GENE

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a common multifactorial disorder characterized by reduced bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to reduced bone strength and increased susceptibility to fracture.⁽¹⁾ Bone mineral density (BMD), the most important surrogate for osteoporotic fracture, is strongly heritable at every skeletal site.^(2,3) As much as 80% of peak BMD and about a third of the variance in the risk of fracture have been found to be attributable to genetic factors.⁽³⁾ Although linkage studies in human and animal models have identified many quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for different bone phenotypes,^(4,5) the causal genes underlying these phenotypes have yet to be discovered. In a previous study we compared bone phenotypes in inbred alcohol-preferring (P) and alcohol-nonpreferring (NP) rats.⁽⁶⁾ These rat lines were developed at Indiana University for high and low alcohol preference behavior by selective breeding from a randomly bred closed colony of Wistar rats [Wrm: WRC (WI)BR from Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, DC].⁽⁷⁾ After selective breeding for 30 generations, inbreeding was initiated and continued for another 20 generations to obtain inbred P and NP lines. Using these inbred lines, we demonstrated that P rats have significantly higher BMD than NP rats both in long bones and in lumbar vertebrae.⁽⁶⁾ Furthermore, P rats have larger cross-sectional area and stronger long bones than NP rats. Using genome-wide linkage analysis, we identified several QTLs on chromosomes 4, 5, 10, 12, and 16 influencing alcohol

Received in original form August 17, 2009; revised form on October 28, 2009; accepted December 15, 2010. Published online January 29, 2010.

Address correspondence to: Charles H Turner, PhD, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), 1120 South Drive, Fesler Hall 115, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. E-mail: turnerch@iupui.edu

Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, Vol. 25, No. 6, June 2010, pp 1314–1325 DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.8

© 2010 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

preference in P and NP rats.⁽⁸⁾ The major QTL for alcohol preference was observed in the region between q22 and q34 on chromosome 4, with an logarithms of odds (LOD) score of 9.2. Interestingly, in a separate linkage study using inbred Fischer 344 (F344) and Lewis (LEW) rats, several QTLs linked to bone density and structure were identified at the same location,^(9,10) suggesting that some novel bone mass-regulating genes might have segregated during selective breeding for the alcohol-preference trait.

Identification of candidate genes following the discovery of QTLs for a complex disease such as osteoporosis requires multiple approaches because the linkage region is usually broad and harbors hundreds of genes. The development of a congenic animal model through a series of backcrossings is the first step to confirm a QTL and narrow down the QTL interval. By exploiting this approach, we have created reciprocal congenic rats (P.NP and NP.P) by introgressing the 4q22-q34 QTL region of one inbred strain (donor) into the genetic background of another inbred strain (recipient).⁽¹¹⁾ In order to identify the effects of transfer of the QTL on skeletal phenotypes, we measured multiple bone phenotypes, including total BMD, bone mineral content (BMC), total bone area, and biomechanical properties at different skeletal sites in inbred and congenic P and NP rats. Since body weight and activities might influence the bone phenotypes, we compared the bone phenotypes in weightbearing (femur) versus non-weight-bearing (cranium) sites and measured daily activity levels in these rats.

The purpose of this study is to identify genes segregating for bone phenotypes in congenic P and NP rats. We performed microarray-based gene expression analysis to identify the candidate genes underlying the variations in skeletal phenotypes in inbred and congenic P and NP rats. The differentially expressed genes were ranked based on the proportion of the variation in skeletal phenotypes explained by the expression level of each gene. In addition, we used a network-based pathway analysis tool to identify the known functional interrelationships among these candidate genes.

Materials and Methods

Animals

We used 16 inbred male P and NP rats and 16 congenic male rats (n = 8 per strain) derived from inbred P and NP rats. Generation of each reciprocal congenic rat line (P.NP and NP.P) involved transfer of the 4q22-q34 QTL region (demarcated by the flanking markers D4Mgh16 at 34 cM and D4Rat55 at 55.5 cM) from one inbred strain (donor) into the genetic background of another inbred strain (recipient), as described previously.⁽¹¹⁾ All rats were developed and maintained at Indiana University. Transfer of the donor region was accomplished by first producing ($P \times NP$ or $NP \times P$) N_1F_1 offspring and then backcrossing an N_1F_1 rat to a recipient rat to obtain N₂F₁ progeny. Ten generations of backcrossing were performed, followed by an intercross between N₁₀ animals to produce homozygous N₁₀F₁ animals, which resulted in the congenic strains. The nomenclature for congenic strains lists the recipient strain first and the donor strain second. Therefore, NP.P has the QTL at 4q22-4q34 donated from

the P onto the NP background. Rats were individually housed in polycarbonate cages in a vivarium maintained on a 12-hour light/dark cycle on sterilized northern white pine shavings bedding and provided standard rat chow (NIH-31 Mouse/Rat Diet 7017, Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI, USA) and water *ad libitum*. The procedures performed throughout the experiment followed the guidelines of the Indiana University Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Euthanasia and specimen collection

Rats were euthanized by cervical dislocation at 6 months of age, and lower limbs and lumbar vertebrae (L_1-L_6) were dissected out. The lower limbs on the right side were immediately stored at -20° C for biomechanical testing. The lower limbs on the left side were stripped of the muscle and transferred to 70% ethyl alcohol and stored at 4°C for densitometry analyses.

DNA isolation and genotyping

Isolation of genomic DNA and genotyping of each rat were accomplished as described previously.⁽¹¹⁾

Cage activity test

Rats were assessed for motor activity for 1 hour in a Digiscan animal activity monitor (Model VMRXYZ TAO, AccuScan Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA) with dimensions of $42 \times 42 \times 30$ cm during both light and dark cycles. There were 16 beams to detect horizontal or vertical movement. Beam spacing for all sensors was 2.5 cm. All walls of the activity chambers were composed of clear acrylic sheet. Activity chambers were connected to the VersaMax/Digiscan analyzer (Model CDA-8, AccuScan Instruments) for relay of movement data to the Digipro software system (Versadat Version 1.50, AccuScan Instruments). Activity chambers were cleaned thoroughly between tests. The average activity was determined from both horizontal and vertical movements during light and dark cycles.

Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)

Whole-body and whole-cranial BMC and areal BMD (aBMD) were measured using a fan-beam Hologic QDR 4500A DXA machine (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA) equipped with Hologic Version 11.2:3 software and a 1.698-mm-diameter collimator, with line spacing of 0.0311 cm, point resolution of 0.0311 cm, and acquisition time of 149 seconds. The machine was calibrated daily with an anthropomorphic spine phantom. After completion of the scan, mutually exclusive region-of-interest (ROI) boxes were drawn around the whole body and the cranium from which aBMD and BMC were obtained. BMC was normalized by body weight (BW) to adjust for differences in body size among the rat lines.

The left femur and lumbar vertebrae 1 to 6 (L_1-L_6) were scanned using DXA (PIXImus II Mouse Densitometer, Lunar Corp., Madison, WI, USA) with ultrahigh resolution (0.18 × 0.18 mm/ pixel). During scanning, dissected femurs were positioned with the lateral surface of the diaphysis facing down on a platform supplied by the manufacturer. After completion of the scan of

each bone, mutually exclusive ROI boxes were drawn around the bone from which BMC/BW measurements were obtained.

Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT)

The left femur, proximal ends of the left femurs, and the fifth lumbar vertebra (L₅) were placed in plastic tubes filled with 70% ethyl alcohol and centered in the gantry of a Norland Stratec XCT Research SA+ pQCT System (Stratec Electronics, Pforzheim, Germany). Two cross-sectional levels were scanned for femurone at the midshaft and one at the distal femur. The distal slices were scanned approximately 1 mm below the growth plate. For the femoral neck, five consecutive scans perpendicular to the neck axis were obtained 0.25 mm apart starting at the base of the femoral head and ending at the greater trochanter. The lumbar vertebrae were scanned for a single slice through the caudocranial center of the vertebral body. A single tomography slice of 0.26-mm thickness was taken at the collimation of 4×10^5 counts/s and at a voxel size of 0.07 mm. For each slice, the X-ray source was rotated through 180 degrees of projection for one block. The slice through the femoral midshaft and neck included mainly cortical bone, whereas the slices from distal femur and lumbar vertebra included both cortical and trabecular bones. For each slice of femoral midshaft, distal femur, and L₅, total volumetric BMD (vBMD) was obtained using XCT Research SA+ Software Version 5.40 (Stratec Electronics). For the femoral neck, total vBMD was measured from the average values of all five slices pQCT images. Density thresholds of 500 and 900 mg/cm³ were used to identify mineralized bone.

Biomechanical testing

The frozen right femurs were brought to room temperature slowly in a saline bath. The femurs were tested in three-point bending by positioning them on the lower supports of a three-point bending fixture and applying load at the midpoint using a material testing machine (Alliance RT/5, MTS Systems Corp., Eden Prairie, MN, USA). The bones were held in place by a small (1-N) preload and then loaded in monotonic axial compression until fracture at a crosshead speed of 20 mm/min. Load was applied midway between two supports that were 20 mm apart. After the long bones were fractured, cortical thickness was measured at the midshaft and 5 mm distal and proximal to the midshaft using digital calipers accurate to 0.01 mm and with a precision of +0.005 mm (Mitutoyo, Aurora, IL, USA). For femoral neck, the proximal half of each femur was mounted vertically in a special chuck that clamped the femoral shaft to the lower platen of the same materials testing machine. Load was applied downward onto the femoral head at a crosshead speed of 20 mm/min until the femoral neck fractured. Force and displacement measurements were collected every 0.05 second. From the force versus displacement curves, ultimate force (F_{ur} in N) was calculated in TestWorks Software Version 4.06 (Eden Prairie, MN).

RNA extraction and microarray measurements

Femurs from 4-week-old P, NP, NP.P, and P.NP animals were harvested and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until required. RNA from the femurs was extracted (n = 5 per strain) using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA),

followed by further purification using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA then was treated with a DNA-free kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) to remove any residual genomic DNA. The quality of RNA was determined using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and was quantified using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE, USA). For microarray analysis, 5 µg of total RNA from each sample was processed according to the standard protocols from Affymetrix (GeneChip Expression Analysis Technical Manual, Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and 10 µg of cRNA from each sample was hybridized to a separate Rat Genome 230 2.0 Array (P/N 511056, Affymetrix) for 17 hours at 45°C with constant rotation. The GeneChip then was washed and stained in the Affymetrix Fluidics Station 400 according to the standard protocol. Subsequently, each array was scanned by the Agilent GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Agilent Technologies). All procedures were carried out using a balanced design. The Rat Genome 230 Array has 31,000 probe sets representing 28,700 well-substantiated rat genes.

Quality control (QC) for RNA and Affymetrix data

Measurement of the ratio between signals from the 5' and 3' ends of the *GAPDH* and β -actin genes (3'/5' ratios) and the RNA degradation plots were used for determination of RNA quality. Affymetrix data QC was done by determining the percentage of present or detection calls and the scale factors between the arrays. To ensure that the overall gene expression profiles in all the samples in each experimental condition were correctly correlated, principal-component analysis was conducted.

Microarray data analysis and informatics

The images from each array were analyzed using an Affymetrix GeneChip Operating System (GCOS) with Version 1.2 software. The .cel files were analyzed in the statistical programming environment R⁽¹²⁾ with tools available from the Bioconductor Project.⁽¹³⁾ The normalization and log₂ transformation of all expression data were done using the robust multichip average (RMA) method^(14,15) implemented in the Bioconductor RMA. Affymetrix data were used for mapping of all probe sets to their chromosomal location. The identities of the probe sets were confirmed by comparing the target mRNA sequences on the Affymetrix Rat Genome 230 2.0 GeneChip with the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/). Only probe sets that were reliably detected (called present by the detection call generated by the Affymetrix Microarray Analysis Suite 5.0 algorithm) were analyzed; this reduces false positives.⁽¹⁶⁾

Culture of primary osteoblasts

Calvaria were harvested from newborn P, NP, NP.P, and P.NP pups (n=5 to 8 per strain); cleaned of all loosely adherent fibrous tissue, periosteum, and dura mater; and minced. The dissected calvaria then were digested sequentially for seven times each for 15 minutes with 0.5 mg/mL of crude collagenase P (Roche Molecular Biochemical, Indianapolis, IN, USA) in a solution of 3 mL of trypsin/EDTA at room temperature with gentle rocking. The supernatant from the first digestion was

discarded, and from each subsequent digest (digests 2 to 7), released cells were collected. The pooled solution from digests 2 to 7 then was filtered through a Nitex membrane (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA), centrifuged, and resuspended in α minimum essential medium (α -MEM) (Invitrogen). Cells were placed in 75-cm² flasks and grown in α -MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (100 IU/mL of penicillin, 100 µg/mL of streptomycin) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Once cells reached about 80% confluence, they were resuspended with 0.05% trypsin in EDTA and plated onto 75-cm² flasks. First-passage primary osteoblasts were used for subsequent RNA isolation.

Real-time PCR measurements

Top candidate genes from microarray data were verified using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR). Two micrograms of total RNA (the same RNA used for Affymetrix analysis; n = 3) was reverse transcribed using Superscript III reverse-transcription reagent for first-strand cDNA synthesis (Invitrogen). All PCR reactions contained the first-strand cDNA corresponding to 100 ng of total RNA. TaqMan predeveloped primers, FAM-dye-labeled MGB probes, and universal mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) were used to quantity the relative gene expression. Rat *GAPDH* was used as an endogenous control. Real-time detection of PCR products was performed using an ABI PRISM 7300 sequence detector (Applied Biosystems). Relative expression of mRNA was calculated based on a relative standard curve and normalized to *GAPDH*. All real-time PCR analyses used triplicates of each of three biologic samples.

Statistics

To detect significant differences for bone phenotypes among all rat strains, one-way ANOVA analysis was performed, followed by Fischer's protected least-significance differences. The level of significance was set at .05. For BW correction of BMC and polar moment of inertia (Ip), rat strains were compared using ANOVA with BW as a covariate. For microarray analysis, p values among all strains were calculated by ANOVA using the package Limma.⁽¹⁷⁾ For comparison of differentially expressed transregulated genes, we used the Welch t test between the strains (NP versus NP.P and P versus P.NP). Because our hypothesis was that cis-regulated genes within the introgressed regions would be differentially regulated, the 460 probe sets that mapped to the introgressed chromosome 4 QTL region (and therefore were potentially cis-regulated) were analyzed separately. False discovery rate (FDR) was calculated by the method of Benjamini and Hochberg.⁽¹⁸⁾ Probe sets were considered differentially expressed if the FDR was less than 10%. The microarray data set was submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Express Web portal (GEO Accession Number GSE 12066). For each phenotype of interest (ie, whole-body, cranial, femur, and L_1-L_6 BMC/BW; whole-body and cranial aBMD; femur midshaft, distal femur, and L_5 total vBMD; and femur and femoral neck ultimate force), regression analysis was performed with the average gene expression level for the strain as the dependent variable and the phenotypic mean value in animals of that strain as the independent variable. The proportion of variation (r^2 value) in the phenotypic means explained by the variation in gene expression was obtained using the statistical software package StatView (Abacus Concepts, Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA).

Pathway analysis

The interactions among differentially expressed genes for each bone phenotype were investigated using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA 5.0, Ingenuity Systems, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA). Differentially expressed genes that explained a significant proportion of the variation in bone phenotypes were uploaded into the application. Each gene identifier was mapped to its corresponding gene in the Ingenuity Pathway Knowledge Base (IPKB). These genes were overlaid onto a global network developed from the information contained in the IPKB. Networks of these genes, defined as the reflection of all interactions of a given gene defined in the literature, then were generated algorithmically based on their connectivity. The interactions indicate physical association, induction/ activation, or repression/inactivation of one gene product by the other, directly or through another intermediary molecule.

Results

Effect of QTL transfer on body weight and cage activity

P rats had lower BWs and higher activity levels than NP rats (Table 1). NP.P rats, containing the P 4q22-q34 QTL on the NP background, had significantly lower BWs and increased activity compared with NP rats. P.NP rats, with the NP QTL on the P background, had significantly higher BWs but did not differ significantly from the background P strain in activity level.

Effect of QTL transfer on bone mass

Whole-body and cranial aBMD and BMC measured with DXA

P rats had significantly higher whole-body and cranial aBMD and BMC/BW than NP rats (Table 2). NP.P rats had higher whole-body and cranial aBMD and whole-body BMC/BW than NP rats, demonstrating that the presence of the P QTL increased BMD

Table 1. Body weight and cage activities in NP, NP.P, P.NP and P rats $(n = 8)^{a}$

| | | Stra | ins | | | ANOVA | p-value | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Phenotypes | NP | NP.P | P.NP | Р | NP/P | NP/NP.P | P/P.NP | NP.P/P.NP |
| Body Weight (g) | 639 ± 29 | 609 ± 33 | 597 ± 24 | 543 ± 29 | < 0.0001 | 0.01 | 0.0002 | 0.47 |
| Average activity | 5126 ± 1722 | 7290 ± 2435 | 7849 ± 1484 | 8194 ± 972 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.7 | 0.54 |

^aValues are mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

| | | Stra | ains | | | ANOVA | <i>p</i> -value | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Phenotypes | NP | NP.P | P.NP | ٩ | NP/P | NP/NP.P | P/P.NP | NP.P/P.NP |
| DXA | | | | | | | | |
| Whole body aBMD (g/cm ²) | 0.188 ± 0.003 | $\textbf{0.200}\pm\textbf{0.003}$ | $\textbf{0.203}\pm\textbf{0.005}$ | 0.210 ± 0.005 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | 0.002 | 0.19 |
| Whole body BMC/BW | 0.02184 ± 0.0003 | 0.02276 ± 0.001 | 0.02330 ± 0.001 | 0.02392 ± 0.001 | < 0.0001 | 0.007 | 0.05 | 0.0 |
| Cranial aBMD (g/cm ²) | 0.371 ± 0.01 | $\textbf{0.389}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | $\textbf{0.389}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | $\textbf{0.416}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | < 0.0001 | 0.01 | 0.0003 | 0.9 |
| Cranium BMC/BW | 0.0062 ± 0.0002 | 0.0065 ± 0.0003 | 0.0064 ± 0.0002 | 0.0067 ± 0.0004 | 0.001 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.28 |
| Femur aBMD (g/cm ²) | 0.244 ± 0.004 | $\textbf{0.232}\pm\textbf{0.005}$ | $\textbf{0.235}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | $\textbf{0.246}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.54 |
| Femur BMC/BW | 0.00114 ± 0.0001 | 0.00123 ± 0.0001 | 0.00129 ± 0.0001 | 0.00133 ± 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | 0.006 | 0.11 | 0.03 |
| Lumbar 1-6 aBMD (g/cm ²) | 0.218 ± 0.01 | $\textbf{0.223}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | $\textbf{0.236}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | $\textbf{0.238}\pm\textbf{0.01}$ | < 0.0001 | 0.27 | 0.75 | 0.004 |
| L1-6 BMC/BW | 0.00220 ± 0.0001 | 0.00235 ± 0.0001 | 0.00249 ± 0.0001 | 0.00258 ± 0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 0.001 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| pQCT | | | | | | | | |
| Femur midshaft total vBMD (mg/cm ³) | 952 ± 11 | 966 ± 25 | 960 ± 14 | 1044 ± 21 | < 0.0001 | 0.18 | <0.0001 | 0.53 |
| Distal femur total vBMD (mg/cm ³) | 588 ± 19 | 582 ± 24 | 618 ± 17 | 653 ± 24 | < 0.0001 | 0.64 | 0.004 | 0.005 |
| Femoral neck total vBMD (mg/cm ³) | 1117 ± 17 | 1092 ± 39 | 1033 ± 33 | 1082 ± 35 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.007 | 0.002 |
| Lumbar 5 total vBMD (mg/cm ³) | 584 ± 24 | 571 ± 19 | 626 ± 18 | 685 ± 31 | < 0.0001 | 0.3 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| Femur lp/BW (mm ⁴ /g) | 0.00816 ± 0.001 | 0.00851 ± 0.0003 | 0.00840 ± 0.0002 | 0.00824 ± 0.0002 | 0.71 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 0.64 |
| Femoral neck lp/BW (mm ⁴ /g) | 0.00057 ± 0.0001 | 0.00069 ± 0.0001 | 0.00125 ± 0.0001 | 0.00132 ± 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | 0.11 | 0.36 | <0.0001 |
| Femur ultimate force (N) | 142 ± 13 | 157 ± 16 | 153 ± 5 | 159 ± 13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.41 | 0.61 |
| Femoral neck ultimate Force (N) | 143 ± 9 | 134 ± 12 | 155 ± 15 | 174 ± 17 | 0.0003 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| ^a Values are mean \pm standard deviation (SD). | | | | | | | | |

Table 2. Bone Phenotypes for whole body, cranium, femur, femoral neck and lumbar vertebrae in NP, NP.P, P.NP and P rats $(n = 8)^a$

| | | | | | | | R-sq | uare value | s | | | | | | Fold | change | | | | |
|-------------|---|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|--------|---------|---------|----------------|------------------|
| | Gene | Average | Whole body BMC/ | Cranial BMC/ | Femur BMC/ | L1-6 BMC/ | Whole body | Cranial | Femur Midshaft Total | Distal Femur Total | L5 Total | Femur Ultimate | Femur Neck Ultimate | d N | NP vs | P vs | NP.P vs | ANOVA | FDR-Chr | FDR-Chr |
| Gene symbol | name | BMC | BW | BW | BW | BW | aBMD | aBMD | vBMD | vBMD | vBMD | Force | Force | vs P | NP.P | P.NP | P.NP | p-value | 4 ^c | all ^d |
| Candidate | Candidate genes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| genes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Snca | Synuclein, alpha | 0.89 | 06.0 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.88 | 0.46 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00006 |
| Spr | Sepiapterin reductase | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.97 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.62 | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 0.34 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0007 | 0.008 | 600.0 |
| Npy | Neuropeptide Y | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.82 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.97 | 0.13 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.00001 | 0.0009 | 0.000 |
| Arf5 | ADP-ribosylation factor 5 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.89 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.51 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.00001 | 0.0003 | 0.07 |
| Tmem209 | Transmembrane protein 209 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 0.28 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.26 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.66 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Slc25a26 | Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial | 0.60 | 0.68 | 0.26 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.20 | 0.79 | 1:1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0004 | 0.008 | 0.0 |
| | carrier, phosphate carrier), member 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Genmb | Glycoprotein (transmembrane) nmb | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.62 | 0.69 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.84 | 0.11 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.006 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| Fkbp14 | FK506 binding protein 14 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.17 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.002 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Isy1 | ISY1 splicing factor homolog | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.16 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Ghrhr | Growth hormone releasing hormone | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.84 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.003 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| | receptor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nup205 | Nucleoporin 205 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.11 | 0.64 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.004 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Skap2 | Src family associated phosphoprotein 2 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.89 | 0.01 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.00004 | 0.0003 | 0.0005 |
| Ruvbl1 | RuvB-like protein 1 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.63 | 09.0 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Tmem43 | Transmembrane protein 43 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.006 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Wdr91 | WD repeat domain 91 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.64 | 0.12 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0006 | 0.007 | 0.008 |
| Tmem176b | Transmembrane protein 1768 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.0004 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| | or Torid | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sec61a1 | Sec61 alpha 1 subunit | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.06 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.003 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Bpgm | 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate mutase | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Cald1 | Caldesmon 1 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.003 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Abtb1 | Ankyrin repeat and BTB (POZ) | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| | domain containing 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Add2 | Adducin 2 (beta) | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0004 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| Gars | Glycyl-tRNA synthetase | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Gadd45a | Growth arrest and | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.00005 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| | DNA-damage-inducible 45 alpha | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cnbp | Cellular nucleic acid binding protein | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.008 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Chn2 | Chimerin (chimaerin) 2 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.008 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Podxl | Podocalyxin-like | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 09.0 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Eif4e3 | Eukaryotic translation initiation | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| | factor 4E member 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tmem140 | Transmembrane protein 140 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 0.08 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00001 |
| Kel | Kel Kell blood group | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.43 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.00001 |
| Abcg2 | ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.005 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| | (WHITE), member 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3. (Continued)

| 1320 Journal of Bone and Mineral | Research |
|----------------------------------|----------|
|----------------------------------|----------|

| | | | | | | | nbs-8 | are value: | | | | | | | Fold | change | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| - | Gene | Average | Whole body BMC/ | Cranial BMC/ | Femur BMC/ | L1-6 BMC/ | Whole body | Cranial | Femur Midshaft Total | Distal Femur Total L | 5 Total | Femur Ultimate r | Femur Neck Ultimate | ď ľ | NP vs | P <s< th=""><th>AP.P vs</th><th>ANOVA</th><th>FDR-Chr</th><th>FDR-Chr</th></s<> | AP.P vs | ANOVA | FDR-Chr | FDR-Chr |
| Gene symbol | name | BMC | BW | BW | BW | BW | aBMD | aBMD | vBMD | vBMD | vBMD | Force | Force | vs P | NP.P | P.NP | P.NP | p-value | 4 | all |
| Tia1 | Cytotoxic granule-associated RNA | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Serbp1 | Serpine1 mRNA binding protein 1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.46 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0007 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Hoxa5 | Homeo box A5 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00004 |
| Foxp1 | Forkhead box P1 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Bola3 | BolA homolog 3 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 00.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.009 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| Predicted genes | Predicted genes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ppp4r2 | Protein phosphatase 4, regulatory | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.49 | 0.80 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.004 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| | subunit 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mpp6 | Membrane protein, palmitoylated 6 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.00008 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| | (MAGUK p55 subfamily member 6) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baiap1 | BAI1-associated protein 1 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.17 | 0.83 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.001 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Cct7 | Chaperonin subunit 7 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 0.86 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.00007 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Chchd6 | Coiled-coil-helix-coiled-coil-helix | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.004 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| | domain containing 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MGC94941 | Similar to Mkrn1 protein | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.0002 |
| Slc35b4 | Predicted solute carrier family 35, | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 00.00 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.0006 | 0.007 | 0.008 |
| | member B4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RGD1563542 | Similar to Kell protein | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.00003 | 0.0006 | 0.0007 |
| Nt5c3 | Predicted 5'-nucleotidase, | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | cytosolic III | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RGD1311080 | Similar to RIKEN cDNA | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.00008 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| | A930038C07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ube2h | ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2H | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 1:1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.008 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| RGD1306936 | Similar to chromosome 7 open | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 00.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.003 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| | reading frame 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a Genes ($r^2 > 0$ | .50) are indicated in bold face. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^a P allele is hic | gh bone mass expressing genotype. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^c FDR-Chr 4: F ² | alse discovery rate from the analysis | s of 460 p | robe se | ts in the | chrom | osome | 4 QTL n | egion. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^d FDR-Chr all: F | False discovery rate from the analys | is of all p | robe se | ts in all | chromo | somes. | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

ALAM ET AL.

in NP rats. Conversely, P.NP rats had significantly lower wholebody and cranial aBMD and BMC/BW than P rats, indicating that the presence of the NP QTL lowered BMD.

It is noteworthy that we detected higher BMD in P rats than in NP rats at a non-weight-bearing area such as the cranium, and the presence of the NP QTL significantly reduced bone mass in the cranium, suggesting that the skeletal phenotypic differences in these rats could not be explained only by increasing physical activity levels.

Whole-femur and L_1-L_6 aBMD and BMC measured with DXA

P rats had a significantly higher whole-femur BMC/BW and L_1-L_6 aBMD and BMC/BW than NP rats (Table 2). NP.P rats had a higher femur BMC/BW and L_1-L_6 BMC/BW than NP rats, indicating that the presence of the P QTL increased bone mass. Conversely, the presence of the NP QTL lowered bone mass because P.NP rats had significantly lower femur aBMD and L_1-L_6 BMC/BW than P rats.

Femur midshaft, distal femur, femoral neck, and $L_{\rm 5}$ total vBMD measured with pQCT

P rats had a significantly higher total vBMD at most skeletal sites (i.e., femur midshaft, distal femur, and L_5) compared with NP rats (Table 2). An exception was found at the femoral neck, where total vBMD was significantly lower in P rats than in NP rats. There were no differences in total vBMD for any of these sites between





| Table | 4. R-square values | and folc | l-change fo | or top 3 ci | andidate ç | genes in I | femur and p | orimary (| osteoblast by | q-PCR in NP | , NP.P, P. | NP and P rats ⁶ | a,b | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | Ske | eletal phen | otypes | | | | | | Fold chi | ange | |
| Gene | Gene name | Average BMC | Whole body BMC/BW | Cranial BMC/BW | Femur BMC/BW | L1-6 BMC/BW | Whole body aBMD | Cranial aBMD | Femur Midshaft Total vBMD | Distal Femur Total vBMD | L5 Total vBMD | Femur Ultimate Force | Femur Neck Ultimate Force | NP vs P | NP vs NP.P | P vs P.NP | NP.P vs P.NP |
| emur | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Snca | Synuclein, alpha | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.76 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 96.0 | 0.80 | 0.48 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.81 | 0.48 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Spr | Sepiapterin reductase | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.63 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.69 | 0.37 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.71 | 0.48 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| Npy | Neuropeptide Y | 06.0 | 0.96 | 0.65 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.56 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| rimary c | steoblast | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Snca | Synuclein, alpha | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.34 | 0.96 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Spr | Sepiapterin reductase | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.96 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.72 | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.80 | 0.29 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Npy | Neuropeptide Y | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.50 | 0.68 | 0.80 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.18 | 0.98 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1:1 |
| aGenes | correlated r ² > 0.50 are | indicated in | hold face | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{ab}P allele is high bone mass expressing genotype

Table 5. Trans-regulated genes between NP and NP.P rats with a false discovery rate (FDR) less than 10%^a

| Gene symbol | Gene name | Fold change | <i>p</i> -value | FDR | Rat genome location |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|------|---------------------|
| Ptgfrn | Prostaglandin F2 receptor negative regulator | 1.47 | 0.00001 | 0.04 | 2q34 |
| Ddx58 | DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 58 | -1.31 | 0.00001 | 0.03 | 5q22 |
| Otub2 | OTU domain, ubiquitin aldehyde binding 2 | 1.27 | 0.00001 | 0.04 | 6q32 |
| DnaJ | Hsp40 homolog, subfamily B, member 2 | 1.19 | 0.00001 | 0.03 | 9q33 |
| Bnip3l | BCL2/adenovirus E1B 19 kDa-interacting protein 3-like | 1.11 | 0.00005 | 0.08 | 15p12 |
| F13a | Coagulation factor XIIIa | -1.36 | 0.00005 | 0.08 | 17p12 |
| RT1-Db1 | RT1 class II, locus Db1 | -1.52 | 0.00002 | 0.04 | 20p12 |

^aP allele is high bone mass expressing genotype.

NP and NP.P rats, suggesting that the presence of the P QTL in the NP background did not have much effect on vBMD. However, the presence of the NP QTL in the P background significantly lowered total vBMD at all these sites.

Effect of QTL transfer on Ip and bone strength

P rats had significantly more robust and stronger bones than NP rats, as evidenced by significantly higher femoral neck lp/Body Weight (BW) and significantly greater ultimate force at the femur and femoral neck (UF) (Table 2). NP.P rats had a significantly higher femur lp/BW and UF than NP rats, demonstrating that the P QTL improved bone structure and strength. P.NP rats had a significantly lower femoral neck UF than P rats, indicating that the transfer of the NP QTL lowered bone strength. However, no significant differences for femur and femoral neck lp/BW were observed between P and P.NP rats.

Effect of QTL transfer on gene expression

Microarray analyses

The Affymetrix microarray analyses using RNA from femurs showed that a total of 53 genes, residing in the chromosome 4 QTL region, including 41 candidate genes and 12 predicted genes, were differentially expressed (FDR < 0.10) among the rat strains (Table 3). We used the term *candidate gene* to refer to the differentially expressed known genes with a setting of FDR < 0.10. In addition, *predicted genes* are the genes indicated as "predicted" in NCBI GenBank Database with the same setting. Regression analyses were performed to assess the correlation between gene expression and skeletal phenotypes. Genes with a strong correlation ($r^2 > 0.50$) in at least one phenotype of interest are indicated in bold in Table 3. These were prioritized based on the strength of correlation for average BMC derived from whole-body, cranium, and hind limb BMC. A total of nine candidate genes were found to be strongly correlated with average BMC (Table 3).

Pathway analysis

The nine candidate genes that were highly correlated with bone phenotypes at multiple skeletal sites were mapped to pathways using Ingenuity. Among the nine candidate genes that were highly correlated with bone phenotypes at multiple skeletal sites, six genes (*Snca, Spr, Npy, Arf5, Gpnmb,* and *Fkbp14*) were identified by Ingenuity pathway for network analysis. *Fkbp14* was not linked to the molecules within the same pathways. The

1322 Journal of Bone and Mineral Research

remaining five genes that were eligible for network analysis were directly or indirectly connected to pathways related to β -estradiol (E2), interferon- γ (IFNG), and voltage-gated calcium channel (VGCC) (Fig. 1).

Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses

qPCR analyses of the top three candidate genes in Table 3 using RNA from femurs confirmed the strong correlation between gene expression and bone mass and strength phenotypes (Table 4). The correlation coefficients for α -synuclein (Snca), sepiapterin reductase (Spr), and neuropeptide Y (Npy) between microarray and qPCR analyses were 0.87, 0.87, and 0.91, respectively, indicating good agreement between the two methods. The whole-femur RNA comes from a variety of different types of cells, and thus we cannot be sure that the gene-expression changes originated from bone cells. To address this problem, we cultured primary osteoblasts from rat calvaria and performed qPCR analysis of gene expression for the three candidate genes. We observed similar correlations between gene expression and bone phenotypes, suggesting that osteoblastic cells are the main regulators for bone mass and strength in these rats.

Cis- and trans-regulated genes

The preceding analyses focused on *cis*-regulated genes, that is, genes within the QTL region that were differentially expressed (Table 3). We also evaluated *trans*-regulated genes by comparing differentially expressed genes between inbred (NP and P) and their corresponding congenic (NP.P and P.NP) rats. A total of seven genes outside the QTL region (*trans*-) were differentially expressed between NP and NP.P rats at FDRs of less than 10% (Table 5). No *trans*-regulated genes were identified in the comparison of P with P.NP rats.

Discussion

Our results demonstrated that transfer of the NP chromosome 4 QTL (q22–q34) onto the P background (P.NP) significantly increased body weight but decreased BMD at several skeletal sites. Conversely, transfer of the P chromosome 4 QTL onto the NP background (NP.P) significantly decreased body weight but increased bone density at the same skeletal sites, indicating that the chromosome 4 QTL harbors a gene or genes that affect bone mass and structure. In addition, we identified several candidate

genes, including some novel genes located within the 4q22–q34 QTL region, that are differentially expressed and strongly correlated with skeletal phenotypes in congenic P and NP rats. We also confirmed the top three candidate genes (*Snca, Spr,* and *Npy*) by qPCR.

Recently, there has been considerable interest in a link between brain and bone. Several studies have shown that hormones produced in the brain regulate bone mass through neuroendocrine pathways.^(23–25) Interestingly, two of the top candidate genes (Snca and Npy) identified in this study were found to be differentially expressed not only in bone cells but also in brain tissue,^(19,30-32) indicating a possible link between neuronal signaling and skeletal regulation of bone mass in these rats. Snca has been shown to be associated with alcohol preference in rats⁽¹⁹⁾ and with craving in alcoholics,^(20,21) as well as with upregulation matrix mineralization in the human osteosarcoma cell line.⁽²²⁾ Interestingly, Npy affects body weight, alcohol preference, anxiety, and bone mass and strength. The effect of Npy on BW regulation was demonstrated by several studies in mice,^(26,27) with high expression of Npy resulting in increased BW. A null mutation in Npy increased alcohol preference in mice, (28,29) and lower levels of Npy expression in discrete brain regions in P rats was associated with higher alcohol consumption.^(30,31) Furthermore, there is an association between the decreased level of neuropeptide Y and increased anxiety in P rats,⁽³³⁾ and transfer of the P chromosome 4 QTL onto the NP background caused increased anxiety in NP.P rats compared with NP rats (unpublished data). Several studies have demonstrated that neuropeptide Y regulates bone mass by an apparent neuronal pathway.^(34,35) Mice with impaired Npy signaling had higher cortical and trabecular bone mass at different skeletal sites.^(36–38) In humans, a common polymorphism in leucine 7 to proline 7 in prepro-Npy gene (Leu7Pro7) was found to be associated with alcohol dependence^(39,40) and higher femoral neck BMD in postmenopausal women.⁽⁴¹⁾ In addition, neuropeptide Yreceptor genes are associated with alcohol dependence and withdrawal phenotypes.⁽⁴²⁾ All these studies suggest that neuropeptide Y falls within a common genetic pathway affecting bone mass, body weight, anxiety, and alcohol preference.

It is well established that increased physical activity is associated with decreased BW⁽⁴³⁾ and higher bone mass in humans.⁽⁴⁴⁾ However, the effect of activity is mostly restricted to weight-bearing skeletal sites, (45) and non-weight-bearing sites such as the cranium are not strongly affected. Consequently, the variation in bone mass at the cranium is more likely to be related to genetic factors rather than the biomechanical effects of activity. We found that P rats were more active than NP rats, and transfer of the P chromosome 4 onto the NP background made NP.P rats more active than NP rats. In addition, we found that P rats had higher BMD than NP rats at several different skeletal sites, and transfer of the P chromosome 4 onto the NP background decreased bone mass in NP.P rats at the same sites, suggesting that activity level might influence the BMD in P, NP and congenic rats. The correlation coefficients between activity and whole-body aBMD, L₁-L₆ aBMD, femur midshaft total vBMD, distal femur total vBMD, and L₅ total vBMD were 0.97, 0.88, 0.61, 0.68, and 0.65, respectively. These results suggest that the biomechanical effects of activity play some role in the regulation of bone mass in these rats. We also looked at bone density in the

cranium to evaluate genetic influences that are independent of weight bearing. We detected significantly higher BMD values in the crania of P rats compared with NP rats, and transfer of the QTL region in both directions (NP.P and P.NP) was associated with significantly increased or decreased bone mass in the cranium compared with the background strains (NP and P). These findings suggest a direct genetic effect on bone density, independent of the biomechanical effects caused by alterations in activity levels.

Since the BWs of P, NP, and the congenic rats were significantly different and negatively correlated with BMD at different skeletal sites, we normalized some of the bone-mass phenotypes by BW to allow comparisons among the strains. The normalized phenotypes included several BMC measurements (bone mass) and polar moment of inertia (bone size). While it is quite reasonable to normalize measures of bone mass or size by BW, we recognize that such normalization could bias our results by creating composite phenotypes that do not represent true bone traits. Therefore, we were careful to compare the normalized BMC measurements with the vBMD measures taken from pQCT. We found that the normalized values of BMC correlated well with the vBMD values across different skeletal sites, suggesting that the normalization method did not distort these skeletal phenotypes.

In this study we used young (4-week-old) rats rather than adult rats (26 weeks old) in the gene-expression study because gene expression is substantially suppressed in the mature skeletons in adult rats. We targeted a rapid skeletal growth phase so that the gene expression should reflect the accrual of bone toward peak bone mass obtained at 26 weeks and for which we detected QTLs. Among nine candidate genes that were highly correlated with bone phenotypes at multiple skeletal sites, a genetic network of five eligible genes (Snca, Spr, Npy, Arf5, and Gpnmb) was associated with direct or indirect pathways controlling cell morphology, cell proliferation, integrin signaling, cellular organization, receptor signaling, molecular transport, and organ development (Fig. 1). Genes in the canonical pathways (network generated in the IPA and known pathways that were associated with metabolism or signaling) were related to serotonin and dopamine receptor signaling, protein kinase C inhibitor and integrin-mediated signaling, folate biosynthesis, and arginine and proline metabolism. When these genes were categorized based on location or cellular components Snca, Spr, and Arf5 were located in cytoplasm, whereas Npy and Gpnmb were located in the plasma membrane. Interestingly, we detected several pathways directly or indirectly related to the candidate genes we obtained from this study with the genes already reported to be related to bone metabolism (highlighted in green). Among them, the pathway related to β -estradiol (E2) has been shown extensively to regulate bone density and turnover.^(46–48) Interferon- γ inhibits osteoclastogenesis,⁽⁴⁹⁾ and voltage-gated calcium channel pathway is essential for chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation⁽⁵⁰⁾ and mediates mechanical load-induced bone formation.⁽⁵¹⁾ Gremlin 1 interacts with tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein (YWHAZ) and acts as an antagonist of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) pathway.⁽⁵²⁾ Identifying the molecular mechanism by which Npy regulates estrogen and

calcium channel pathways and *Snca* and *Spr* modulate the BMP pathway thus will be valuable for understanding the skeletal homeostasis controlled by these genes. Also, further investigation of bone phenotypes in knockout or transgenic animal models involving these genes will provide important insight for their role in bone-mass regulation. Additionally, gene silencing using siRNA or overexpression of these genes in cell culture systems can be undertaken for functional characterization of these genes.

In this study we prioritized candidate genes by analyzing the microarray-based expression of genes underlying the QTLs at rat 4q22–q34 and correlating them with multiple skeletal phenotypes. In another study using the same method, we investigated the genomic expression for skeletal traits at femoral neck in F344 and LEW strains.⁽⁵³⁾ Interestingly, we detected two genes, Spr and glycoprotein (transmembrane) nmb (Gpnmb) that were significantly $(r^{(2)} > 0.50)$ correlated with skeletal phenotypes in both P/NP congenics and F344/LEW rats. Ranking of the microarray-based candidate genes is usually performed by analyzing the magnitude of expression differences (fold differences) between different strains. However, with complex traits such as osteoporosis, even subtle changes in gene expression could be important, and therefore, a larger expression difference might not necessarily identify the best candidate genes. We believe that the correlation between gene expression and physical traits provides stronger evidence for the association between a gene and a trait.

Most of the genes we identified in this study were *cis*-acting, but we also found some novel *trans*-regulated genes between NP and NP.P rats. However, besides these *trans*-regulated genes, some other potential *trans*-acting genes might be influencing bone phenotypes in these rat strains because the bone parameters were not consistently different between NP and NP.P and between P and P.NP rats for both DXA and pQCT measurements (Table 2). *Cis*-acting polymorphisms are located at or near the gene that exhibits altered expression levels. *Trans*-acting regulation involves polymorphisms within the QTL region that affect gene expression outside the QTL. *Trans*-acting genes can provide unique information about the gene networks influencing complex phenotypes.⁽⁵⁴⁾ The role of these *trans*-regulated genes in bone metabolism is yet to be discovered.

In conclusion, using P and NP congenic rats, we demonstrated that several candidate genes, including some novel genes located within rat 4g22-g34, are differentially expressed and strongly correlated with bone density. Among these genes, Npy is a likely common genetic modulator for bone density, body weight, activity, and alcohol preference. However, our approach has several limitations. Identification of candidate genes by correlating differential gene expression with the various skeletal phenotypes simply provides us with a list of potential candidate genes for further prioritization. Moreover, gene-expression study based on microarray analysis explains only transcriptional regulation of genes and does not capture the effects of alternative gene splicing, polymorphism in the coding region affecting protein structure and function, or posttranslational modification of proteins. Also, whether the same candidate genes regulate skeletal phenotypes in female rats remains to be determined because we studied only male rats in this study. Further studies involving the molecular mechanism by which the

genes identified in this study regulating bone mass thus are necessary for the development of drugs to prevent and treat osteoporosis.

Disclosures

All the authors state that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Feng C Zhou and Rabindra Paul Singh for their assistance in the cage activity test. This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health through the following grants: AR047822, AG018397, and AA10707. Microarray analyses were carried out at the Center for Medical Genomics at Indiana University School of Medicine, which is supported in part by the Indiana Genomics Initiative (INGEN, supported in part by The Lilly Endowment, Inc.).

References

- 1. NIH Consensus Development Conference: diagnosis, prophylaxis and treatment of osteoporosis. Am J Med. 1993;94:646–650.
- Arden NK, Baker J, Hogg C, Bann K, Spector TD. The heritability of bone mineral density, ultrasound of the calcaneus and hip axis length: a study of postmenopausal twins. J Bone Miner Res. 1996;11:530–534.
- 3. Peacock M, Turner CH, Econs MJ, Foroud T. Genetics of osteoporosis. Endocr Rev. 2002;23:378–383.
- Ralston SH, Galwey N, MacKay I, et al. Loci for regulation of bone mineral density in men and women identified by genome wide linkage scan: the FAMOS study. Hum Mol Genet. 2005;14:943–951.
- Klein RF, Carlos AS, Vartanian KA, et al. Confirmation and fine mapping of chromosomal regions influencing peak bone mass in mice. J Bone Miner Res. 2001;16:1953–1961.
- Alam I, Robling AG, Weissing S, Carr LG, Lumeng L, Turner CH. Bone mass and strength: phenotypic and genetic relationship to alcohol preference in P/NP and HAD/LAD rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2005;29:1769–1776.
- Li T-K, Lumeng L, Doolittle DP, Carr LG. Molecular associations of alcohol-seeking behavior in rat lines selectively bred for high and low voluntary ethanol drinking. Alcohol Suppl. 1991;1:121–124.
- Carr LG, Foroud T, Bice P, et al. A quantitative trait locus for alcohol consumption in selectively bred rat lines. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1998;22:884–887.
- 9. Alam I, Sun Q, Liu L, et al. Identification of a quantitative trait locus on rat chromosome 4 that is strongly linked to femoral neck structure and strength. Bone. 2006;39:93–99.
- Alam I, Sun Q, Liu L, et al. Whole-genome scan for linkage to bone strength and structure in inbred Fischer 344 and Lewis rats. J Bone Miner Res. 2005;20:1589–1596.
- 11. Carr LG, Habegger K, Spence JP, Liu L, Lumeng L, Foroud T. Development of congenic rat strains for alcohol consumption derived from the alcohol-preferring and nonpreferring rats. Behav Genet. 2006;36:285–290.
- Team RDC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. In: *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Development Core Team; 2006.
- 13. Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, et al. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol. 2004;5:R80.

- Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA, Astrand M, Speed TP. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. Bioinformatics. 2003;19:185–193.
- Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, et al. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics. 2003;4:249–264.
- 16. McClintick JN, Edenberg HJ. Effects of filtering by Present call on analysis of microarray experiments. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7:49.
- 17. Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol. 2004;3:Article 3.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc (B). 1995;57:289–290.
- Liang T, Spence J, Liu L, et al. alpha-Synuclein maps to a quantitative trait locus for alcohol preference and is differentially expressed in alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2003;100:4690–4695.
- Bonsch D, Reulbach U, Bayerlein K, Hillemacher T, Kornhuber J, Bleich S. Elevated alpha synuclein mRNA levels are associated with craving in patients with alcoholism. Biol Psychiatry. 2004;56:984–986.
- 21. Foroud T, Wetherill LF, Liang T, et al. Association of alcohol craving with alpha synuclein (SNCA). Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2007;31:537–545.
- 22. Fujita M, Sugama S, Nakai M, et al. alpha-Synuclein stimulates differentiation of osteosarcoma cells: relevance to down-regulation of proteasome activity. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:5736–5748.
- 23. Amling M, Takeda S, Karsenty G. A neuro (endo)crine regulation of bone remodeling. BioEssays. 2000;22:970–975.
- Ducy P, Amling M, Takeda S, et al. Leptin inhibits bone formation through a hypothalamic relay: a central control of bone mass. Cell. 2000;100:197–207.
- 25. Elefteriou F, Takeda S, Liu X, Armstrong D, Karsenty G. Monosodium glutamate-sensitive hypothalamic neurons contribute to the control of bone mass. Endocrinology. 2003;144:3842–3847.
- 26. Raposinho PD, Pierroz DD, Broqua P, White RB, Pedrazzini T, Aubert ML. Chronic administration of neuropeptide Y into the lateral ventricle of C57BL/6J male mice produces an obesity syndrome including hyperphagia, hyperleptinemia, insulin resistance, and hypogonadism. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2001;185: 195–204.
- 27. Kaga T, Inui A, Okita M, et al. Modest overexpression of neuropeptide Y in the brain leads to obesity after high-sucrose feeding. Diabetes. 2001;50:1206–1210.
- 28. Thiele TE, Marsh DJ, Ste Marie L, Bernstein IL, Palmiter RD. Ethanol consumption and resistance are inversely related to neuropeptide Y levels. Nature. 1998;396:366–369.
- 29. Thiele T, Bell S, Carr L, Li T-K, Palmiter R. Mutant mice lacking neuropeptide Y (NPY) consume more ethanol relative to wild-type mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1998;22:55A.
- 30. Ehlers CL, Li TK, Lumeng L, et al. Neuropeptide Y levels in ethanolnaive alcohol-preferring and nonpreferring rats and in Wistar rats after ethanol exposure. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 1998;22:1778–1782.
- Spence JP, Liang T, Habegger K, Carr LG. Effect of polymorphism on expression of the neuropeptide Y gene in inbred alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring rats. Neuroscience. 2005;131:871–876.
- 32. Carr LG, Kimpel MK, Liang T, et al. Identification of Candidate Genes for Alcohol Preference by Expression Profiling of Congenic Rat Strains. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2007;31:1089–1098.
- 33. Stewart RB, Gatto GJ, Lumeng L, Li TK, Murphy JM. Comparison of alcohol-preferring (P) and nonpreferring (NP) rats on tests of anxiety and for the anxiolytic effects of ethanol. Alcohol. 1993; 10:1–10.
- Baldock PA, Allison S, McDonald MM, et al. Hypothalamic regulation of cortical bone mass: opposing activity of Y2 receptor and leptin pathways. J Bone Miner Res. 2006;21:1600–1607.

- 35. Baldock PA, Sainsbury A, Couzens M, et al. Hypothalamic Y2 receptors regulate bone formation. J Clin Invest. 2002;109:915–921.
- Baldock PA, Allison S, Karl T, et al. Neuropeptide Y protects the skeleton from stress-induced bone loss. J Bone Miner Res. 2007; 22:S39.
- Allison SJ, Baldock P, Sainsbury A, et al. Conditional deletion of hypothalamic Y2 receptors reverts gonadectomy-induced bone loss in adult mice. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:23436–23444.
- Sainsbury A, Baldock PA, Schwarzer C, et al. Synergistic effects of Y2 and Y4 receptors on adiposity and bone mass revealed in double knockout mice. Mol Cell Biol. 2003;23:5225–5233.
- 39. Zhu G, Pollak L, Mottagui-Tabar S, et al. NPY Leu7Pro and alcohol dependence in Finnish and Swedish populations. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2003;27:19–24.
- Lappalainen J, Kranzler HR, Malison R, et al. A functional neuropeptide Y Leu7Pro polymorphism associated with alcohol dependence in a large population sample from the United States. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2002;59:825–831.
- 41. Heikkinen AM, Niskanen LK, Salmi JA, et al. Leucine7 to proline7 polymorphism in prepro-NPY gene and femoral neck bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. Bone. 2004;35:589–594.
- 42. Wetherill L, Schuckit MA, Hesselbrock V, et al. Neuropeptide Y Receptor Genes Are Associated With Alcohol Dependence, Alcohol Withdrawal Phenotypes, and Cocaine Dependence. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2008;32:2031–2040.
- Catenacci VA, Wyatt HR. The role of physical activity in producing and maintaining weight loss. Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab. 2007;144:518–529.
- 44. Barry DW, Kohrt WM. Exercise and the preservation of bone health. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev. 2008;28:153–162.
- 45. Hind K, Burrows M. Weight-bearing exercise and bone mineral accrual in children and adolescents: a review of controlled trials. Bone. 2007;40:14–27.
- Lepescheux L, Secchi J, Gaillard-Kelly M, Miller P. Effects of 17 betaestradiol and trimegestone alone, and in combination, on the bone and uterus of ovariectomized rats. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2001;15:312– 320.
- Sims NA, Morris HA, Moore RJ, Durbridge TC. Estradiol treatment transiently increases trabecular bone volume in ovariectomized rats. Bone. 1996;19:455–461.
- Yeh JK, Aloia JF, Barilla ML. Effects of 17 beta-estradiol replacement and treadmill exercise on vertebral and femoral bones of the ovariectomized rat. Bone Miner. 1994;24:223–234.
- Takayanagi H, Ogasawara K, Hida S, et al. T-cell-mediated regulation of osteoclastogenesis by signalling cross-talk between RANKL and IFN-gamma. Nature. 2000;408:600–605.
- Mancilla EE, Galindo M, Fertilio B, et al. L-type calcium channels in growth plate chondrocytes participate in endochondral ossification. J Cell Biochem. 2007;101:389–398.
- Li J, Duncan RL, Burr DB, Gattone VH, Turner CH. Parathyroid hormone enhances mechanically induced bone formation, possibly involving L-type voltage-sensitive calcium channels. Endocrinology. 2003;144:1226–1233.
- Namkoong H, Shin SM, Kim HK, et al. The bone morphogenetic protein antagonist gremlin 1 is overexpressed in human cancers and interacts with YWHAH protein. BMC Cancer. 2006; 6:74.
- Alam I, Sun Q, Liu L, et al. Genomic expression analysis of rat chromosome 4 for skeletal traits at femoral neck. Physiol Genomics. 2008;35:191–196.
- Petretto E, Mangion J, Pravanec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ. Integrated gene expression profiling and linkage analysis in the rat. Mamm Genome. 2006;17:480–489.