Management of non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding: role of endoscopic ultrasound-guided treatments

Chaoqun Han*, Xin Ling*^(D), Jun Liu, Rong Lin and Zhen Ding

Abstract: Non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding (NVUGIB) is a common type of upper gastrointestinal emergency with high risk of life-threatening conditions. Nowadays some standard guidelines for the treatments of NVUGIB have been established based on endoscopic therapies, including injection of glues or sclerosing agents, coiling, band ligation, and thermal therapies. Nevertheless, some patients are refractory to standard endoscopic treatments. We have noticed that endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided treatments have been performed by some endoscopists in certain conditions. This review aims to evaluate the role of EUS-guided treatments in the management of NVUGIB. We performed a MEDLINE/PubMed search, and relevant studies were extracted and examined. According to the existing literature, the most common lesions were Dieulafoy's lesion, pancreatic pseudoaneurysms, and gastrointestinal stromal tumors. EUS-guided treatments mainly include injection, mechanical therapies, and combined therapies, and seem to be a promising technique in the management of NVUGIB, especially for refractory bleeding.

Keywords: endoscopic ultrasound, hemostasis, NVUGIB, refractory bleeding, treatment

Received: 8 June 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 8 October 2021.

Introduction

Non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding (NVUGIB) is defined as any gastrointestinal bleeding caused by non-variceal diseases of the gastrointestinal tract above the ligament of Treitz, which includes the esophagus, stomach, duodenum, pancreatic and bile duct, and the anastomotic site after gastrojejunostomy.¹ Although the annual incidence decreased from 78 to 61 per 100,000 persons from 2001 to 2009, 30-day mortality remains up to 11%.² Peptic ulcer is the most common cause of NVUGIB, accounting for 20– 67% of cases.³ Erosive esophagitis, Dieulafoy's lesion, Mallory–Weiss syndrome, gastric antral vascular ectasia, and neoplasms are other causes of NVUGIB.

The well-established treatments for NVUGIB include acid-suppressive drugs, endoscopic therapy (ET), and radiological and surgical approaches.⁴

In recent years, the widespread use of ET has reduced the risk of rebleeding, surgical rate, and mortality in NVUGIB.5 Besides, emerging novel endoscopic therapies have played important roles in achieving hemostasis, including over-the-scopeclips (OTSC), endoscopic suturing, coagulation grasper, and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided treatment.^{4,6–9} Nevertheless, refractory bleeding is still a tough condition for many endoscopists. Moreover, ET seems to be not effective enough in certain lesion. The rebleeding rates in patients who underwent ET for gastric cancer were up to 30–40%,¹⁰ and high rebleeding rate was associated with a poor prognosis.^{11,12} In this case, EUS-guided treatment could serve as a salvage option for failed or not eligible standard therapy.

EUS has rapidly expanded from diagnostic modality to therapeutic technique in different directions because the emerging new accessories Ther Adv Gastroenterol

2022, Vol. 15: 1–15 DOI: 10.1177/ 17562848211056148

© The Author(s), 2022. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to: **Rong Lin** Division of

Gastroenterology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1277 Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430022, Hubei, China

selinalin35@hotmail.com

Zhen Ding Division of

Gastroenterology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1277 Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430022, Hubei, China

docd720@126.com

Chaoqun Han Xin Ling

Jun Liu Division of

Division of Gastroenterology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

journals.sagepub.com/home/tag



have broadened the use in recent years.^{13,14} It offers access to arterial and venous vasculature of abdomen and mediastinum with real-time image, which makes it possible to perform targeted therapeutic procedures to abnormal vessels.¹⁵ Besides, Doppler ultrasound (US) endoscope can be used to detect the arterial blood flow and predict the risk of recurrent bleeding before and after hemostasis procedures. We notice that EUS has been great application and has positive clinical impact on the treatment of gastroesophageal varices.^{16,17}

Nevertheless, researches about EUS-guided treatment in NVUGIB remain scarce, and the role in this direction still lacks the support of clinical comparative research, especially in cases of refractory gastrointestinal bleeding.^{18,19} This article aims to summarize current literature regarding EUS-guided interventions in NVUGIB as well as to discuss the specific procedures, the effectiveness, and possible clinical outcomes of EUS-guided management.

Methods

We performed a MEDLINE/PubMed search to identify the peer-reviewed original and review articles using keywords of EUS, NVUGIB, and hemostasis. The references of pertinent studies were manually searched to identify additional relevant studies. A total of 20 articles were found, including 15 case reports and 5 case series.^{20–39} The identifications of patients (including type of lesion, type of bleeding, and previous treatments), details of procedures (including specific type of treatment, type of needle), and main outcomes (including technical and clinical outcomes, and complications) for each study were reviewed. A total of 44 patients were induced and divided into different classifications according to the treatments.

Results

Injection therapy

EUS-guided cyanoacrylate injection. Overview. EUS-guided cyanoacrylate injection is an emerging endoscopic technology mostly used for variceal gastrointestinal bleeding.¹⁶ Cyanoacrylate polymerizes when contacting with blood, then the vascular obstruction formed which can be confirmed by Doppler probe. The safety of cyanoacrylate is highly concerned because of the risk of systemic embolization, which can be reduced through the direct and low amount of glue injection under the guidance of EUS.^{40,41} In this setting, EUS-guided cyanoacrylate injection is superior to traditional endoscopic glue injection. In various cases, cyanoacrylate is mixed with lipiodol for the purpose of fluoroscopic monitoring and dilution of cyanoacrylate to prevent early hardening, which may cause adherence to endoscopy channel.^{42–44}

For included cases, EUS-guided Patients. cyanoacrylate injection was performed in 16 cases (Table 1).^{25,31–33,35,36} The types of lesions included gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST; five cases), duodenal ulcer (two cases), Dieulafoy's lesion (one case), pancreatic pseudoaneurysm (one case), splenic artery pseudoaneurysm (one case), gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm (one case), left gastric artery pseudoaneurysm (one case), gastroduodenal artery bleeding due to a pancreatic tumor (one case), arterial anomaly of the gastric fundus (one case), duodenal metastasis (one case), and esophageal cancer (one case). There were 10 patients (62.5%) who had endured endoscopic hemostasis before the EUS-guided cyanoacrylate injection. The other six patients (37.5%) received EUS-guided treatments for the first time due to the limitations of anatomical reasons and poor conditions of patients. It is worth mentioning that Gonzalez et al.36 reported they performed EUSguided cyanoacrylate injection on a patient who had arterial leak during EUS examination because of pancreatic pseudoaneurysm.

Needles and volumes. When injecting cyanoacrvlate glue, the mostly chosen puncture points were the targeted vessels followed by direct injection. With regard to the selection of needles, the sizes of the needles used in these cases were 19G in seven cases (43.75%), 22G in eight cases (50%), and unspecified in one case (6.25%). And for the injection dose, as the risk of embolization increases with the injected volume, endoscopists always inject cyanoacrylate glue with the necessary minimum dose.32 Volume injected in the induced cases varies from 1 to 7 ml, and the mean volume is 2.9 ml (except for unspecified 2 ampoules in one case). At the end of the procedure, Doppler was used to monitor the blood flow and ensure the disappearance of Doppler signal which indicates the end point of treatment. Levy et al.³¹ reported that for a GIST patient, the end point of injection was the complete filling of the GIST with the injectate

Levendard Induction 	Author	Included patients (<i>n</i>)	Age	Gender (No. of cases)	Induced EUS-guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS-guided therapy	Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Treatment (No. of cases)	Needle size (No. of cases)	Technical outcome	Clinical outcome	Follow- up (months)
Observator 1 50 Nature stricts Natu	Levy <i>et al.</i> ³¹	m	74 (median)	Male (1), female (2)	m	Repeated in two cases, first in one case	Heater probe plus injection in one cyanoacrylate injection in one case	Duodenal ulcer (1), GISTs (2)	Severe GI bleeding in two cases lactivel, transfusion- dependent anemia in one case [inactive]	Cyanoacrylate, 3–5 ml (3)	22G in two cases, unspecified in one case	Success	Success	7 [median]
Guzzate 5 60 Mate (k), instructions Endoactoric instructions Endoactoric instruction Endoactoric instruction	Gonzalez et al. ³⁶	~	55	Male	-	First	NA	Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm	Arterial leakage during an EUS examination (active)	Lipiodol + <i>n</i> -butyl cyanoacrylate, 2 ampoules	19G	Success	Success	9
Kumbhari 1 94 Male 1 First NA GIST Symptomatic N-buytic 196 Suu et al. ³³ et al. ³³ 5 71 Mate 1 GIST Symptomatic N-buytic 196 Suu Law et al. ³⁴ 5 71 Mate 10 Standarduct Esophageal cancer Clinically 2-cyanoacrylate. 2-cyanoacrylate. Suu Law et al. ³⁴ 5 71 Mate 10 GIST Sup Repeated in (undoted ulter ulter) Undoted ulter Und	Gonzalez et al. ³⁵	ى	60 (median)	Male (4), female (1)	۵	Repeated in three cases, first in two cases	Endoscopic hemostasis in three cases	Dieulafoy's lesions (1), splenic artery pseudoaneurysms (1), gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysms (1), gastroduodenal artery bleeding due to a pancreatic tumor (1), arterial anomaly of the gastric fundus (1)	Hematemesis and/or melena (active in four cases, inactive in one case)	Lipiodol + cyanoacrylate, 2ml (5)	196	Success	Success	8 (median)
Law et al. ³² 5 71 Male [2], bit female [3], bit four cases, coagulation in duodenal ulcer bit first in one and cyanoacrylate injection in metastasis [1], duodenal ulcer bit four cases Cyanoacrylate, 205 20 Suu A serie and cyanoacrylate first in one and cyanoacrylate first in one and cyanoacrylate [1], diodenal ulcer bit eleding in four cases 2-7ml [5], severe <td>Kumbhari <i>et al.</i>³³</td> <td>~</td> <td>94</td> <td>Male</td> <td>-</td> <td>First</td> <td>ИА</td> <td>GIST</td> <td>Symptomatic anemia (inactive)</td> <td>N-butyl 2-cyanoacrylate, 2 ml</td> <td>196</td> <td>Success</td> <td>Success</td> <td>\$</td>	Kumbhari <i>et al.</i> ³³	~	94	Male	-	First	ИА	GIST	Symptomatic anemia (inactive)	N-butyl 2-cyanoacrylate, 2 ml	196	Success	Success	\$
Hashimoto 1 55 Male 1 First NA Left gastric artery Hematemesis N-butyl-2- 22G Su et al. ²⁵ pseudoaneurysm (active) cyanoacrylate, 1.21	Law <i>et al.</i> ³²	ى	71 (median)	Male (2), Female (3)	ى	Repeated in four cases, first in one case	Argon plasma coagulation in one case, fibrin and cyanoacrylate injection in one case, IR angiography with coil embolization and surgical oversewing in one case, heater probe and epinephrine injection in one case	Esophageal cancer (11, GISTs (2), duodenal ulcer (11, duodenal metastasis (1)	Clinically severe bleeding in four cases (active), chronic anemia in one case (inactive)	Cyanoacrylate, 2-7 ml (5)	226	Success	Success	31 (median)
	Hashimoto et al. ²⁵	~	55	Male	-	First	NA	Left gastric artery pseudoaneurysm	Hematemesis (active)	N-butyl-2- cyanoacrylate, 1 ml	226	Success	Success	2

because the specific bleeding vessel is hard to clearly discern.

Prognoses and complications. Much attention should be paid to the possible complications, mainly distant thrombosis. Indeed, pulmonary glue embolisms have been reported in patients with varices treated by EUS-guided cyanoacrylate injection.41,45 Systemic embolization and splenic infarction have also been observed after endoscopic cyanoacrylate injection.46,47 The bleeding was stopped and the EUS-guided procedure was thought to be successful in all included cases. The clinical outcomes of all these 16 patients (100%) were satisfactory with no further bleeding, and no adverse events or complications were observed. Only one patient of gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm, reported by Gonzalez et al.,35 suffered from a rebleeding 9 months later resulted from a new vascular lesion which was treated with radiological embolization. The median follow-up time was 6 months (interquartile range = 4.5-13).

EUS-guided sclerosing agent injection. Overview. EUS-guided injection therapies of other medicaments, similar to EUS-guided cyanoacrylate injection, have been applied to achieve hemostasis. The most widely used injections are sclerosing agents which include absolute alcohol and polidocanol. Once sclerosing agents are injected, vascular endothelial cells are damaged, which leads to the adhesion and aggregation of platelets and further thrombosis.

Patients. We induced 10 cases, in which 11 EUS-guided procedures were included (Table 2).^{20,21,31,32,35,38} The source of bleeding mainly focuses on Dieulafoy's lesion (five cases). Other pathogenesis includes pancreatic pseudoaneurysm (two cases), duodenal metastasis (one case), periampullary tumor (one case), and GIST (one case). In these cases, three patients (30%) of Dieulafoy's lesions had only undergone upper gastrointestinal endoscopy before EUS was performed.³⁸ For all other cases (70%) induced, patients had received endoscopic hemostasis or angiographies before the EUS-guided procedures.

Needles and volumes. As for the choice of injection site, the sclerosing agents were injected into or adjacent to the targeted vessels. In a study reported by Levy *et al.*,³¹ the needle was punctured directly into the pseudoaneurysm followed by advancing to the feeding vessel and injection

when treating a patient of pancreatic pseudoaneurysm . Valdivielso Cortazar et al.20 reported that the needle was placed in the middle of the lesion of a GIST and alcohol was injected in the middle of the lesion. The sizes of the needles used in these cases were 19G in one case (two procedures, 18.2%), 20G in one case (9.1%), 22G in four cases (36.4%), 23G in three cases (27.3%), and 25G in one case (9.1%). With regard to the selection of dose, the injected volume of ethanol varies from 0.2 to 7.5 ml and the mean volume is 3.7 ml (six cases). The volume of polidocanol for single use is 4ml (one case, two procedures). Furthermore, epinephrine has the effect of constricting blood vessels.32 Fockens et al.38 reported that they used polidocanol 1% or a combination of polidocanol 1% and epinephrine 1:10,000 to treat three patients with Dieulafoy's lesions. However, the exact volume was unspecified.

Prognoses and complications. Some complications had been reported after endoscopic procedures with sclerosing agents.^{48,49} In several comparative studies about the treatment of variceal bleeding, alcohol or polidocanol injection is associated to relatively higher risk of complication, higher mortality, and lower eradication rates, when compared with cyanoacrylate injection.^{50,51} The clinical outcomes of induced cases were favorable in seven cases (70%) for no further bleeding was observed during the follow-up period. Nevertheless, three patients (30%) experienced recurrent bleeding after the EUS-guided procedure. In the study of Fockens et al.,38 one patient rebled 5 months later and successfully received sclerotherapy followed by a wedge resection. Gonzalez et al.35 reported a patient exhibited rebleeding 3 months later which was successfully treated by a second injection of polidocanol. In the study reported by Sharma et al.,²¹ the patient rebled on Day 6 after the EUS-guided injection, and hemostasis was achieved through another EUS-guided coil deployment. In general, the rebleeding rate after EUS-guided sclerosing agent injection is 30% in our included cases. The median follow-up time was 18 months (interguartile range = 10-29.5). It seems that the safety of injection of sclerosing agents requires more investigation in the future.

EUS-guided other hemostatic agent injection. Hyaluronate. Hyaluronate has a strong water retention effect and its aqueous solution is a highly viscous agent that can increase the

Author	Included patients (<i>n</i>)	Age	Gender (No. of cases)	Induced EUS- guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS-guided therapy	Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Treatment (No. of cases)	Needle size (No. of cases)	Technical outcome	Clinical outcome	Follow-up (months)
Fockens et al. ³⁸	m	Unspecified	Unspecified	ო	First	۲ ۷	Dieulafoy's lesions (3)	Hematemesis (active)	Polidocanol 1% or polidocanol 1% + epinephrine (1:10,000)	236	Success	Rebleeding after 5 months in one case: surgical gastric wedge resection	14 [median]
Levy et al. ³¹	Ν	67 (median)	Male (1), female (1)	7	Repeated	Angiography (coit and gelfoam injection) in one case, heater probe plus injection in one case	Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm (11, Dieulafoy's lesion (1)	Severe GI bleeding (active)	Alcohol 99%, 4-7 ml (2)	226	Success	Success	20 (median)
Gonzalez et al. ³⁵		78	Male	7	Repeated	Endoscopic hemostasis	Dieulafoy's lesions	Hematemesis and melena (lactive)	Polidocanol 2%, 4 ml	19G	Success	Rebleeding after 3 months: EUS-guided treatment	8
Law et al. ³	2	70 (median)	Aate	7	Repeated	IR coil embolization in 1 case, IR coil and gelfoam injection in one case	Duodenal metastasis (11, pancreatic pseudoaneurysm (1)	Ctinically severe bleeding [active]	Alcohol, 0.2-7.5 ml (2)	226	Success	Success	3 and 101
Valdivielso Cortazar <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	-	90	Male	-	Repeated	Endoscopic sclerotherapy	GIST	Recurrent GI bleeding (inactive)	Alcohol 99%, 1.5 ml	206	Success	Success	36
Sharma et al. ²¹	-	72	Male	5	Repeated	Endoscopic epinephrine injection	Periampullary tumor	Melena and decreased hemoglobin levels (active)	EUS Session 1: Alcohol 99.9%, 2ml; EUS Session 2: 2 microcoils deployment	EUS Session 1: 25G; EUS Session 2: 22G	Success	Rebleeding on Day 6 after Session 1	Unspecified
EUS, endo	scopic ultra	sound; G, gaug	1e; GI, gastroint	testinal; GIST,	gastrointestin	al stromal tumor	; IR, interventional ra	diology; ml, millil	iters; NA, not app	ilicable.			

pressure within the lesion and fill the vessels.⁵² According to a clinical trial reported by Cho et al.,53 the hemostatic rate of endoscopic sodium hyaluronate injection in peptic ulcer bleeding is 96.2%. It serves as an excellent agent for endoscopic injection therapy. In the study reported by Law et al.,32 two patients of gastric GIST suffered from resistant bleeding. EUS-guided hyaluronate injection was performed with 22G aspiration needle. To minimize the risk of embolization, hvaluronate was injected next to the targeted vessels; 1 ml of hvaluronate was injected to one patient, and another patient underwent twice injection of hyaluronate, 3 and 4 ml, respectively, to achieve complete cessation. No complications and further bleeding were reported in these two cases. The median follow-up time was 40 and 45 months (Table 3).

Thrombin. Thrombin plays an important role in the final pathway of the coagulation cascade. It hydrolyzes the fibrinogen to produce strong fibrin clot and activates Factor XIII, which crosslinks adjacent fibrin molecules to stabilize the fibrin clot.⁵⁴ Thanks to the few complications and side effects, thrombin has been used to treat visceral pseudoaneurysms through percutaneous US- or computed-tomography-guided injection. Indeed, EUS-guided intravascular injection. Indeed, EUS-guided injection is superior to percutaneous injection because it allows safe, minimally invasive access to visceral pseudoaneurysms.

We induced three patients of visceral pseudoaneurysm who underwent EUS-guided thrombin injection without hemostasis treatments before (Table 3). Roach et al.29 succeeded in injecting 1 ml of solution containing 500 IU of thrombin to treat a patient of pancreatic pseudoaneurysm. Lameris et al.39 used 7 ml thrombin for the patient of splenic artery pseudoaneurysm. They both punctured the pseudoaneurysm with a 22G needle and color Doppler confirmed the obliteration of the lesion. In the study of Sharma et al.,²⁸ they tried EUS-guided coil embolization initially, but follow-up EUS showed high flow in the pseudoaneurysm, in which case on Day 3 a further 8 ml of thrombin was injected through a 22G needle and color Doppler showed complete obliteration of the pseudoaneurysm. The clinical outcomes of all three patients (100%) were satisfactory. The median follow-up time was 10 months (range = 0.5 - 10.5). EUS-guided

thrombin injection seems to be a safe and effective therapy for visceral pseudoaneurysm.

Mechanical therapy

EUS-guided coiling. Overview. EUS-guided coiling is performed by the insertion of coils into the vessels. Targeted vessel is identified endoscopically and then an FNA (fine needle aspiration) needle is preloaded with a coil whose diameter is approximately 1.25 times the diameter of the targeted vessel. The needle is advanced slowly into the vessel, then the stylet is withdrawn to allow the coil to deploy. The proximal portion of the coil is anchored to the non-vascular tissue close to the vessel.³² Platelets adhere to the fibrillary structures on the coil, which induces the following thrombosis and obliteration.⁵⁶ The decreased or absence of blood flow is confirmed by Doppler US endoscope after the coil is deployed.

Patients. We induced six cases including six procedures of EUS-guided coiling (Table 4).^{21,26–28,32,34} The type of lesions refers to ulcer after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB; one case), pancreatic pseudoaneurysm (one case), gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm (one case), periampullary tumor (one case), portoduodenal fistula (one case), and post-ERCP (endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography) bleeding (one case). Except for one patient of gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm, the other five patients (83.3%) had undergone hemostasis therapies before EUSguided procedures.

Needles and sizes. The size of the coil ranges from 4 to 10 mm depending on the diameter of the targeted vessel. Interestingly, Sharma *et al.*²¹ reported that they used a transducer to measure the diameter of targeted vessel, which decided the selection of the size of the coil required. The sizes of the needles used were 19G in one case (16.7%), 22G in four cases (66.7%), and unspecified in one case (16.7%). The reason why 22G FNA needle accommodating 0.018-inch coils is preferable to 19G needle accommodating 0.035-inch coils is that the former one is easier to use and relates to less risk of bleeding.³²

Prognoses and complications. All the EUS-guided procedures were technically successful, and the clinical outcomes were favorable in five cases (83.3%). The patient in the case report of Sharma *et al.*²⁸ showed high flow in the pseudoaneurysm

Table 3.	Summar	y of the st	udies repor	ted on EUS-	guided othe	er hemostatic a	agent injection.						
Author	Included patients (<i>n</i>)	Age	Gender (No. of cases)	Induced EUS-guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS-guided therapy	Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Treatment (No. of cases)	Needle size (No. of cases)	Technical outcome	Clinical outcome	Follow- up (months)
Roach et al. ²⁹	-	32	Male	-	First	A	Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm	Melena (active)	Thrombin 500 IU, 1 ml	226	Success	Mild melena at 12weeks, then no further bleeding	10.5
Lameris et al. ³⁹	-	43	Male	-	First	AN	Splenic artery pseudoaneurysm	Hematemesis (active)	Thrombin– collagen compound, 7 ml	226	Success	Success	10
Law et al. ³²	5	79 (median)	Male (1), female (1)	e	Repeated in one case, first in one case	Hemoclip	GISTs [2]	Unspecified bleeding (not reported)	Hyaluronate, 3–4 ml (1); hyaluronate, 1 ml (1)	226	Success	Success	43 (median)
Sharma et al. ²⁸	-	50	Male	2	First	AN	Gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm	Melena (active)	EUS Session 1: Coil deployment; EUS Session 2: Thrombin, 8 ml	EUS Session 1: 196; EUS Session 2: 226	Success	Success	0.5
EUS, end	doscopic ult	rrasound; G,	gauge; Gl, ga	astrointestinal;	GISTs, gastroi	intestinal stromal	l tumors; IU, interna	ational units; ml, r	nilliliters; NA, not	applicable.			

Table 4. Summ	ary of the	studi	es repor	ted on EUS	5-guided co	oiling							
Author	Included patients (<i>n</i>)	Age	Gender (No. of cases)	Induced EUS- guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS-guided therapy	Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Treatment (No. of cases)	Needle size (No. of cases)	Technical outcome	Clinical outcome	Follow-up (months)
Law <i>et al.</i> ³²	-	40	Female	-	Repeated	Endoscopic suturing and surgical revision	Marginal ulcer after RYGB	Clinically severe bleeding (active)	Coil deployment	226	Success	Success	0.5
Jeffers <i>et al.³⁴</i>		37	Male	-	Repeated	Injection of microcoils and thrombin	Pancreatic pseudoaneurysm	Melena (active)	Coil deployment	226	Success	Success	15
Sharma <i>et al.</i> ²¹	-	72	Male	7	Repeated	Endoscopic epinephrine injection	Periampullary tumor	Melena and decreased hemoglobin levels (active)	EUS Session 1: Alcohol 99.9%, 2ml; EUS Session 2: 2 microcoils deployment	EUS Session 1: 256; EUS Session 2: 226	Success	Rebleeding on Day 6 after Session 1	Unspecified
Sharma <i>et al.</i> ²⁸		20	Male	N	First	A	Gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm	Melena (active)	EUS Session 1: Coil deployment; EUS Session 2: Thrombin, 8 ml	EUS Session 1: 196; EUS Session 2: 226	Success	Success	0.5
Chantarojanasiri et al. ²⁷		33	Male	-	Repeated	Hemostatic clips	Portoduodenal fistula	Hematemesis, hematochezia, and decreased hemoglobin levels (active)	2 coils deployment	Unspecified	Success	Success	ω
Guzman-Calderon et al. ²⁶	-	82	Female	-	Repeated	Hemostatic powder and injection therapy with epinephrine and hemoclips	Refractory post- ERCP bleeding	Melena, hematemesis and decreased hemoglobin levels (active)	Coil deployment	22G	Success	Success	Unspecified
ERCP, endoscopic	retrograde c	cholang	iopancreat	tography; EUS	õ, endoscopic	ultrasound; G, ga	uge; Gl, gastrointest	inal; ml, milliliter:	s; NA, not applicab	ıle; RYGB, Roux-	·en-Y gastric	bypass.	

Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology 15

1 day after coil deployment, which has been stated in the former chapter. No complication related to EUS-guided coiling was observed although there are concerns regarding the risk of coil migration and subsequent thrombosis. The median followup time was 4.6 months (range = 0.5-15). Fluoroscopy and anchor technique may be useful to ensure the safety of EUS-guided coiling. Although EUS-guided coiling seems to be a safe and effective alternative therapy for refractory non-variceal bleeding, more clinical comparative investigation is required.

EUS-guided band ligation. Endoscopic band ligation is a well-established therapeutic modality in the treatment of bleeding varices⁵⁷ and has been used to manage other non-variceal gastrointestinal bleeding.⁵⁸ EUS-guided band ligation is an emerging therapy for hemostasis, especially for Dieulafoy's lesion, because EUS allows precise imaging of structures in the gastrointestinal tract, which is helpful for accurate delivery of therapy and evaluation of vessel obliteration.

We induced three cases of recurrent bleeding due to Dieulafoy's lesions (Table 5). Before bands were deployed, EUS was used to confirm and mark the targeted vessels. EUS was also performed after the procedure to assess the efficacy of band ligation. The patient in the case report of Folvik et al.³⁷ had no further bleeding and complication. Law et al. 32 reported a patient suffered from a clinically mild bleeding requiring no transfusion 38 months after the first EUS-guided band ligation and no subsequent bleeding after the second EUS treatment. The other patient received EUS-guided band ligation combined with injection of 4ml of alcohol and had no rebleeding. In general, complete hemostasis was achieved in two of three patients (66.7%), but ultimate clinical outcomes were all favorable. The median follow-up time was 43 months (range = 11–60).

Combined therapy

Combined EUS-guided coiling and cyanoacrylate injection. When cyanoacrylate is combined with coil, the glue immediately adhered to the synthetic fibers. This kind of adherence is firm, which indicates that the deployment of a coil can work as a scaffold to retain cyanoacrylate at the site of inserted coil. Besides, both coiling and cyanoacrylate injection contribute to promote hemostasis.⁵⁹ This combined therapy seems to acquire higher safety and

	긑	
	ö	2
	=	
	Ĕ	
	o O	
	ō	
	g	
	Ξ	
	0	י
-	S	
ī	ц	
-	0	
	ē	
	- 0	
	Q d)	
	č	
	es S	
-	ē	
	Ľ	
	с) С	
-	Ē	
•	5	
	>	`
	a	
	E	
	Ē	
(ה	
	ഹ	
1	ă	
	ā	

л.

ollow- p months)	-	2 median)	
inical F itcome u (lccess 1	sbleeding 1 ter [months one ttient: JS- ided satment	
echnical Cl utcome ou	lccess Su	uccess Re affi 338 EL Fu gu	
eedle To ize (No. of ou ases)	S	nspecified Si	
Treatment N (No. of s cases) c.	Band N ligation	Two EUS U sessions of band ligation (1); band ligation + alcohol, 4 ml (1)	
Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Severe Gl bleeding (active)	Clinically severe bleeding (active)	
Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Dieulafoy's lesion	Dieulafoy's lesions (2)	
Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS- guided therapy	Endoscopic adrenaline injection	Hemoclip placement, epinephrine injection, and heater probe in one case, heater probe and epinephrine injection in one case	not applicable.
Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Repeated	Repeated	nilliliters; NA,
Induced EUS-guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	-	m	itestinal; ml, n
Gender (No. of cases)	Male	Female	GI, gastroir
Age	67	17 and 67	trasound;
Included patients (<i>n</i>)	-	7	loscopic ul
Author	Folvik et al. ³⁷	Law et al. ³²	EUS, enc

effectiveness because the risk of embolization is minimized and better theoretically eradication rate is expected. Combined EUS-guided coiling and cyanoacrylate injection have been used in patients with gastric varices and lead to favorable clinical outcomes.42

We induced two cases of bleeding GISTs reported by Romero-Castro et al.23 in a case series (Table 6). The two patients had not received previous hemostasis therapy. EUS-guided coil deployments with a 19G FNA needle were performed, followed by injection of cyanoacrylate. The targeted vessels were the feeding arteries. Coils are both 6 mm and the volume of cvanoacrylate is 2 ml. It is worth mentioning that this combined therapy managed to achieve hemostasis in a case of spurting bleeding after initial EUS-guided puncture. It seems that EUS-guided therapy could have the additional advantage to solve adverse event during the EUS-guided procedure. The clinical outcome in both patients was uneventful and the followup time was 1 month. More clinical researches are needed to identify the effect of combined EUS-guided coiling and cyanoacrylate in the management of NVUGIB.

Combined EUS-guided coil/clip deployment and epinephrine injection. There are two cases where EUS-guided coil/clip deployment combined with epinephrine injection was performed (Table 7). In the case series of Satyavada et al.,22 EUS was used in the diagnosis and treatment of an obscure gastrointestinal bleeding which turned out due to Dieulafoy's lesion. EUS-guided therapy was performed with the injection of 1:10,000 epinephrine and the deployment of four hemoclips. Marva et al.24 attempted to perform complicated EUSguided procedures on a patient of recurrent bleeding due to duodenal ulcer with RYGB. The patient had received surgery before but had rebleeding. Under EUS guidance, lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) was used to access the targeted bleeding ulcer followed by injection of dilute epinephrine and deployment of two hemoclips. A fully covered metal stent (FCMS) was additionally placed for tamponade. After the procedure, an interventional angiography was performed but failed, and the patient had persistent bleeding. Second EUS-guided procedure was performed with injection of coils and a mixture of gelfoam and epinephrine into the targeted artery by a 22G needle.

Follow-up (months) outcome Clinical Success Technical outcome Success Needle (No. of cases) size 19G Treatment (No. of cases) Coil deployment + cyanoacrylate, 2 ml (2) gastrointestinal; GISTs, gastrointestinal stromal tumors; ml, milliliters; NA, not applicable instability in one hemodynamic hematemesis shock in one hypovolemic case (active) case (active) Melena and bleeding bleeding Type of status) and GISTs (2) Type of lesions (No. of cases) Study reported on combined EUS-guided coiling and cyanoacrylate injection. for GI bleeding guided therapy Interventions prior to EUS-¥ Instance of treatment repeated) (first/ First **EUS-guided** procedures Induced 1 \sim female (1 Male [1], 6 Gender (No. of cases) EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; G, gauge; 75.5 (median) Age Included patients (*n*) \sim Romero-Castro et al.²³ Author

Table 6.

Table 7. S	ummary (of the s	tudies rep	ported on cor	mbined EUS	-guided coil/cli	ip deployme	ent and epine	phrine injection.				
Author	Included patients (<i>n</i>)	Age	Gender (No. of cases)	Induced EUS-guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS-guided therapy	Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Treatment (No. of cases)	Needle size (No. of cases)	Technical outcome	Clinical outcome	Follow-up (months)
Satyavada et al. ²²	-	55	Male	~	First	٩	Dieulafoy's lesion	Melena, coffee- ground emesis, syncope, and decreased hemoglobin levels (active)	Epinephrine (1:10,000) + 4 hemoclips deployment	Unspecified	Success	Success	Unspecified
Marya et al. ²⁴	-	76	Male	2	Repeated	Exploratory laparotomy with a Graham patch for closure closure	Duodenal ulcer	Recurrent GI bleeding (active)	EUS Session 1: Dilute epinephrine + 2 clips deployment; a mesenteric angiography was performed after the procedure but failed; EUS Session 2: 2 microcoils deployment + mixture of gelfoam and epinephrine	EUS Session 1: Unspecified; EUS Session 2: 226	Success	Success	Unspecified
EUS, endo	scopic ultra	sound; G	ን, gauge; Gl	, gastrointestin	al; NA, not app	olicable.							

These two patients had no further bleeding. A previous randomized trial compared epinephrine injection alone *versus* dual therapy (epinephrine injection plus other therapy). The results showed that dual therapy significantly reduced the rate of recurrent bleeding, surgery, and mortality.⁶⁰ Combined EUS-guided injection therapy and mechanical therapy may provide a strategy for complicated non-variceal gastrointestinal bleeding.

EUS-guided other combined therapies. Riberio et al.³⁰ reported a patient of recurrent episodes of bleeding due to Dieulafoy's lesion. Under the guidance of EUS, thermal contact therapy was performed and followed by injection of 2.5 ml of absolute alcohol because the thermal therapy did not result in complete cessation. The target area was the bleeding artery and alcohol was injected along the course of the vessel. Repeated Doppler image confirmed the eradication of arterial flow. Law et al.32 performed EUS-guided injection of 10 ml of epinephrine and snare ligature with polypectomy on a patient of duodenal Brunner's gland hamartoma who had rebleeding after endoscopic treatments. Prior attempt to resect the duodenal mass failed due to the characteristic of the lesion, friable and bled easily. EUS-guided injection eradicated the blood flow, which promoted the success of resection. Both the patients had no further bleeding, and the follow-up time was 1 and 9 months, respectively (Table 8).

Conclusion

EUS-guided treatments are gaining popularity in the diagnostic and therapeutic fields. Thanks to its capacity to offer direct access to targeted lesions that are difficult to explore, treat lesions under visualizing guidance, detect the specific blood flow, and check the therapeutic effectiveness, EUS-guided therapies have been proved to be powerful tool in the treatment of various conditions. As mentioned in this review, EUS-guided treatment is a technique with promising therapeutic potential for NVUGIB, especially in cases where standard endoscopic treatments failed, as it has shown effectiveness with high safety profile in most cases. Meanwhile, concerns regarding the role of EUS-guided hemostasis for both variceal and non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding still exist, especially in case of active bleeding at the time of the treatment. Overall, we believe the application of EUS-guided therapies in NVUGIB will expand with the further development of EUS

ante o.	(IPIIIIIInc			reputieu un	EUD-guided		uei apies.						
Author	Included patients (<i>n</i>)	Age	Gender (No. of cases)	Induced EUS-guided procedures (<i>n</i>)	Instance of treatment (first/ repeated)	Interventions for GI bleeding prior to EUS-guided therapy	Type of lesions (No. of cases)	Type of bleeding (bleeding status)	Treatment (No. of cases)	Needle size (No. of cases)	Technical outcome	Clinical outcome	Follow-up (months)
Riberio <i>et al.</i> ³⁰	-	80	male	-	Repeated	Rubber band through endoscope	Dieulafoy's lesion	Melena (active)	Thermal contact + alcohol, 2.5 ml	Unspecified	Success	Success	6
Law et al. ³²	-	77	Female	-	Repeated	Endoscopic hemoclip placement and epinephrine injection	Duodenal Brunner's gland hamartoma	Clinically severe bleeding (active)	Epinephrine (1:10,000), 10 ml + snare ligature with polypectomy	226	Success	Success	-
EUS, enc	loscopic ultra	:punos	G, gauge; G	l, gastrointestina	al; ml, milliliters	ú							

technology. However, the literature regarding this field is scarce and limits to case report and case series. Large randomized clinical controlled trials seem to be warranted.

Author contributions

Chaoqun Han: Data curation; Investigation; Writing-original draft.

Xin Ling: Data curation; Investigation; Writingoriginal draft.

Jun Liu: Formal analysis; Investigation.

Rong Lin: Conceptualization; Supervision.

Zhen Ding: Conceptualization; Supervision.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81770637, No. 81800467).

ORCID iD

Xin Ling D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5175-6081

References

- 1. Lanas A, Dumonceau JM, Hunt RH, et al. Nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018; 4: 18020.
- Barkun AN, Almadi M, Kuipers EJ, et al. Management of nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding: guideline recommendations from the international consensus group. Ann Intern Med 2019; 171: 805–822.
- Tielleman T, Bujanda D and Cryer B. Epidemiology and risk factors for upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gastrointestinal Endosc Clin N Am* 2015; 25: 415–428.
- 4. Martinez-Alcala A and Monkemuller K. Emerging endoscopic treatments for nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2018; 28: 307–320.
- 5. Barkun A, Bardou M and Marshall JK. Consensus recommendations for managing

patients with nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Ann Inter Med 2003; 139: 843-857.

- Weilert F and Binmoeller KF. New endoscopic technologies and procedural advances for endoscopic hemostasis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; 14: 1234–1244.
- Cañamares-Orbís P and Chan FKL. Endoscopic management of nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2019; 42–43: 101608.
- Song LM and Levy MJ. Emerging endoscopic therapies for nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2014; 43: 721–737.
- Naseer M, Lambert K, Hamed A, et al. Endoscopic advances in the management of nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding: a review. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2020; 12: 1–16.
- Kawabata H, Hitomi M and Motoi S. Management of bleeding from unresectable gastric cancer. *Biomedicines* 2019; 7: 54.
- Song IJ, Kim HJ, Lee JA, *et al.* Clinical outcomes of endoscopic hemostasis for bleeding in patients with unresectable advanced gastric cancer. *J Gastric Cancer* 2017; 17: 374–383.
- Kim YI, Choi IJ, Cho SJ, *et al.* Outcome of endoscopic therapy for cancer bleeding in patients with unresectable gastric cancer. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 28: 1489–1495.
- Dimagno EP, Regan PT, Clain JE, et al. Human endoscopic ultrasonography. *Gastroenterology* 1982; 83: 824–829.
- Wiersema MJ, Sandusky D, Carr R, et al. Endosonography-guided cholangiopancreatography. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996; 43: 102–106.
- Levy I and Binmoeller KF. EUS-guided vascular interventions. *Endosc Ultrasound* 2018; 7: 228–235.
- Khoury T, Nadella D, Wiles A, et al. A review article on gastric varices with focus on the emerging role of endoscopic ultrasound-guided angiotherapy. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 30: 1411–1415.
- 17. Nagashima K, Irisawa A, Tominaga K, *et al.* The role of endoscopic ultrasound for esophageal varices. *Diagnostics* 2020; 10: 1007.
- Kohler B, Maier M, Benz C, et al. Acute ulcer bleeding. A prospective randomized trial to compare Doppler and Forrest classifications in endoscopic diagnosis and therapy. *Dig Dis Sci* 1997; 42: 1370–1374.

- Jensen DM, Kovacs TOG, Ohning GV, et al. Doppler endoscopic probe monitoring of blood flow improves risk stratification and outcomes of patients with severe nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Gastroenterology* 2017; 152: 1310–1318.e1311.
- Valdivielso Cortazar E, Fernandez-Urien I, Vila Costas JJ, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided therapy of a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). Endoscopy 2015; 47: E262–E263.
- Sharma M, Somani P, Sunkara T, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided management of bleeding periampullary tumor. *Endoscopy* 2018; 50: E192–E193.
- 22. Satyavada S, Davitkov P, Akbar Ali M, *et al.* Endoscopic Doppler probe in the diagnosis and management of upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *ACG Case Rep J* 2018; 5: e68.
- 23. Romero-Castro R, Jimenez-Garcia VA, Irisawa A, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided angiotherapy in bleeding gastrointestinal stromal tumors with coil deployment and cyanoacrylate injection. Endoscopy 2021; 53: E124–E125.
- 24. Marya NB, Sawas T, Chandrasekhara V, et al. Every trick in the book: EUS angiotherapy for management of refractory bleeding secondary to a complicated duodenal ulcer in a patient with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *VideoGIE* 2020; 5: 461–463.
- Hashimoto Y, Ohno I, Takahashi H, et al. EUS-guided n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate injection therapy for ruptured isolated left gastric artery pseudoaneurysm. Endosc Ultrasound 2019; 8: 58–59.
- Guzman-Calderon E, Ruiz F, Casellas JA, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided injection of coils for the treatment of refractory post-ERCP bleeding. Endoscopy 2020; 52: 702–703.
- Chantarojanasiri T, Sirinawasatien A, Bunchorntavakul C, *et al.* Endoscopic ultrasoundguided vascular therapy for portoduodenal fistula. *Clin Endosc* 2020; 53: 750–753.
- Sharma M, Somani P, Sunkara T, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided coil embolization and thrombin injection of a bleeding gastroduodenal artery pseudoaneurysm. Endoscopy 2019; 51: E36–E37.
- Roach H, Roberts SA, Salter R, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided thrombin injection for the treatment of pancreatic pseudoaneurysm. *Endoscopy* 2005; 37: 876–878.
- 30. Ribeiro A, Vazquez-Sequeiros E and Wiersema MJ. Doppler EUS-guided treatment of gastric

Dieulafoy's lesion. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001; 53: 807–809.

- Levy MJ, Wong Kee Song LM, Farnell MB, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided angiotherapy of refractory gastrointestinal bleeding. Am J Gastroenterol 2008; 103: 352–359.
- 32. Law R, Fujii-Lau L, Wong Kee Song LM, et al. Efficacy of endoscopic ultrasound-guided hemostatic interventions for resistant nonvariceal bleeding. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; 13: 808–812.
- Kumbhari V, Gondal B, Okolo Iii PI, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided angiotherapy of a large bleeding gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Endoscopy 2013; 45: E326–E327.
- Jeffers K, Majumder S, Vege SS, et al. EUSguided pancreatic pseudoaneurysm therapy: better to be lucky than good. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2018; 87: 1155–1156.
- Gonzalez JM, Giacino C, Pioche M, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided vascular therapy: is it safe and effective. *Endoscopy* 2012; 44: 539–542.
- Gonzalez JM, Ezzedine S, Vitton V, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound treatment of vascular complications in acute pancreatitis. *Endoscopy* 2009; 41: 721–724.
- Folvik G, Nesje LB, Berstad A, et al. Endosonography-guided endoscopic band ligation of Dieulafoy's malformation: a case report. Endoscopy 2001; 33: 636–638.
- Fockens P, Meenan J, van Dullemen HM, et al. Dieulafoy's disease: endosonographic detection and endosonography-guided treatment. Gastrointest Endosc 1996; 44: 437–442.
- Lameris R, du Plessis J, Nieuwoudt M, et al. A visceral pseudoaneurysm: management by EUSguided thrombin injection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011; 73: 392–395.
- 40. Bick BL, Al-Haddad M, Liangpunsakul S, *et al.* EUS-guided fine needle injection is superior to direct endoscopic injection of 2-octyl cyanoacrylate for the treatment of gastric variceal bleeding. *Surg Endosc* 2019; 33: 1837–1845.
- 41. Lobo MRA, Chaves DM, DE Moura DTH, et al. Safety and efficacy of EUS-guided coil plus cyanoacrylate versus conventional cyanoacrylate technique in the treatment of gastric varices: a randomized controlled trial. *Arq Gastroenterol* 2019; 56: 99–105.
- 42. Binmoeller KF, Weilert F, Shah JN, *et al.* EUS-guided transesophageal treatment of

gastric fundal varices with combined coiling and cyanoacrylate glue injection (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011; 74: 1019–1025.

- 43. ASGE Technology Committee, Bhat YM, Banerjee S, *et al.* Tissue adhesives: cyanoacrylate glue and fibrin sealant. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2013; 78: 209–215.
- Hwang SS, Kim HH, Park SH, et al. N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate pulmonary embolism after endoscopic injection sclerotherapy for gastric variceal bleeding. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2001; 25: 16–22.
- 45. Romero-Castro R, Ellrichmann M, Ortiz-Moyano C, *et al.* EUS-guided coil versus cyanoacrylate therapy for the treatment of gastric varices: a multicenter study (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2013; 78: 711–721.
- Kim J, Chun HJ, Hyun JJ, *et al.* Splenic infarction after cyanoacrylate injection for fundal varices. *Endoscopy* 2010; 42: E118.
- 47. Saracco G, Giordanino C, Roberto N, *et al.*Fatal multiple systemic embolisms after injection of cyanoacrylate in bleeding gastric varices of a patient who was noncirrhotic but with idiopathic portal hypertension. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2007; 65: 345–347.
- 48. Shi K, Shen Z, Zhu G, *et al.* Systematic review with network meta-analysis: dual therapy for high-risk bleeding peptic ulcers. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2017; 17: 55.
- Ferrari AP, de Paulo GA, de Macedo CM, et al. Efficacy of absolute alcohol injection compared with band ligation in the eradication of esophageal varices. Arq Gastroenterol 2005; 42: 72–76.
- Zimmer T, Rucktäschel F, Stölzel U, *et al.* Endoscopic sclerotherapy with fibrin glue as compared with polidocanol to prevent early esophageal variceal rebleeding. *J Hepatol* 1998; 28: 292–297.

- 51. Sarin SK, Jain AK, Jain M, et al. A randomized controlled trial of cyanoacrylate versus alcohol injection in patients with isolated fundic varices. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; 97: 1010–1015.
- 52. Lee JW and Kim HH. Hyaluronic acid solution injection for upper and lower gastrointestinal bleeding after failed conventional endoscopic therapy. *Dig Endosc* 2014; 26: 285–290.
- 53. Cho YK, Kim CS, Kim SY, *et al.* The hemostatic effect of endoscopic sodium hyaluronate injection in peptic ulcer bleeding. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2007; 54: 1276–1279.
- 54. Sparrow P, Asquith J and Chalmers N. Ultrasonic-guided percutaneous injection of pancreatic pseudoaneurysm with thrombin. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2003; 26: 312–315.
- 55. Manazer JR, Monzon JR, Dietz PA, et al. Treatment of pancreatic pseudoaneurysm with percutaneous transabdominal thrombin injection. *J Vasc Surg* 2003; 38: 600–602.
- Goral V and Yilmaz N. Current approaches to the treatment of gastric varices: glue, coil application, TIPS, and BRTO. *Medicina* (*Kaunas*) 2019; 55: 335.
- Laine L and Cook D. Endoscopic ligation compared with sclerotherapy for treatment of esophageal variceal bleeding. A meta-analysis. *Ann Inter Med* 1995; 123: 280–287.
- Abi-Hanna D, Williams SJ, Gillespie PE, et al. Endoscopic band ligation for non-variceal nonulcer gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998; 48: 510–514.
- 59. Weilert F and Binmoeller KF. EUS-guided vascular access and therapy. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2012; 22: 303–314, x.
- 60. Marmo R, Rotondano G, Piscopo R, *et al.* Dual therapy versus monotherapy in the endoscopic treatment of high-risk bleeding ulcers: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; 102: 279–289.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tag

SAGE journals