



Published in final edited form as:

Nat Biotechnol. ; 29(8): 697–698. doi:10.1038/nbt.1934.

Targeted gene disruption in somatic zebrafish cells using engineered TALENs

Jeffrey D. Sander^{1,2,*}, Lindsay Cade^{3,*}, Cyd Khayter^{1,2}, Deepak Reyon⁴, Randall T. Peterson^{3,5}, J. Keith Joung^{1,2,#}, and Jing-Ruey J. Yeh^{3,#}

¹Molecular Pathology Unit, Center for Cancer Research, and Center for Computational and Integrative Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA 02129

²Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

³Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA 02129; Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

⁴Department of Genetics, Development & Cell Biology; Interdepartmental Graduate Program in Bioinformatics & Computational Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011

⁵Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA 02142

To the Editor:

Miller *et al.* recently described a TALE nuclease architecture for performing efficient genome editing¹. The authors demonstrated that TALE nucleases, composed of an engineered array of TALE repeats fused to the non-specific *FokI* cleavage domain, could be used to introduce targeted double-stranded breaks (DSBs) in human cells with high efficiency. Repair of these DSBs by normal DNA repair mechanisms such as non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination (HR) enables introduction of alterations at or near the site of the break. A single 34 amino acid TALE repeat binds to one bp of DNA and repeats that bind each of the four DNA bases have been described^{2, 3}. These modules can be assembled into arrays capable of binding extended DNA sequences. TALE nucleases may have advantages over engineered zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) due to the relative ease with which they can be designed and their potential ability to be targeted to a wide range of sequences (with target sites reported to be as frequent as 1 in 35 bps of random DNA sequence⁴).

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed: JYEH1@PARTNERS.ORG; JJOUNG@PARTNERS.ORG.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work

Author Contributions

J.D.S., R.T.P., J.K.J. and J.-R.J.Y. conceived the study. J.D.S., C.K., D.R. and J.K.J. designed and constructed the TALE nucleases. J.D.S., L.C., C.K. and D.R. performed the experiments. L.C. and J.-R.J.Y. analyzed the mutation results. J.D.S., L.C., R.T.P., J.K.J. and J.-R.J.Y. wrote the paper.

Competing Financial Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

We sought to determine whether the TALE nuclease framework described by Miller *et al.* could also be used to efficiently modify endogenous genes in zebrafish. Previous studies have shown that error-prone repair of ZFN-induced DSBs by NHEJ can result in the efficient introduction of small insertions or deletions (indels) at cleavage sites in endogenous zebrafish genes^{5–7}. These indels frequently result in frameshift knockout mutations that can be passed through the germline to create mutant fish^{5–9}. ZFN technology has enabled reverse genetics studies to be performed in zebrafish, a capability that did not previously exist. However, engineering ZFNs can be challenging due to the need to account for context-dependent effects among individual fingers in an array. In addition, although many zebrafish genes can be targeted with ZFNs made by publicly available methods that account for context-dependence^{7, 10}, it can in some instances be difficult to target within some genes in zebrafish due to the currently limited targeting range of publicly available ZFN engineering platforms. Thus, if TALE nucleases could be used to introduce targeted mutations in zebrafish, this platform would provide an important additional capability for this model organism.

To test the ability of TALE nucleases to function in zebrafish, we targeted DNA sequences in two endogenous zebrafish genes *gria3a* and *hey2* (Figure 1). To avoid confounding effects that might affect binding and cleavage of DNA sites by TALE nucleases (e.g., chromatin structure or DNA methylation), we chose to target sequences that we had efficiently altered previously in zebrafish using engineered ZFNs (Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). Using an iterative assembly approach (Supplementary Methods), we constructed four TALE nuclease monomers to partially overlapping sites in the *gria3a* gene and two TALE nuclease monomers to a site in the *hey2* gene (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 3). These six TALE nuclease monomers all harbor the wild-type *FokI* cleavage domain (Supplementary Figures 4 and 5) and can be paired in combinations to make three TALE nuclease dimers to the *gria3a* gene and one TALE nuclease dimer to the *hey2* gene (Figure 1). We injected RNAs encoding the various TALE nuclease pairs into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos and determined the frequency of NHEJ-mediated mutagenesis at the target site by sequence analysis of alleles from pooled injected embryos (Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Figs. 6–10 and Supplementary Table 1). As shown in Figure 1, we found that all four pairs of TALE nucleases induced targeted indels with high mutation frequencies ranging from 11 to 33%. These frequencies are comparable to what we obtained with ZFNs targeted to DNA sequences in the same vicinity of the gene (Supplementary Figure 1); however, we note that TALE nucleases harbor wild-type *FokI* domains whereas the ZFNs harbor obligate heterodimeric *FokI* domains¹¹. Although small indels were typically observed with the TALE nucleases, some large deletions (up to 303 basepairs) were also found (Figure 1).

To assess the toxicity of our engineered TALE nucleases, we scored the percentages of dead and deformed embryos that resulted from mRNA microinjections (Supplementary Figure 11). Although we cannot directly compare these results with the microinjections of ZFNs due to the differences between the *FokI* endonuclease domains used (EL/KK heterodimeric *FokI*¹¹ for ZFNs versus wild-type *FokI* for the TALE nucleases) and the specific sequences targeted, the toxicity we observed with injection of 600 pg of TALE nuclease mRNAs

(ranging between 40–80%) appears similar to that observed with 400–500 pg of mRNAs encoding ZFNs targeted to sequences in the same vicinity and to other genes (Supplementary Figure 12 and Reference 7).

An important future experiment will be to demonstrate germline transmission of TALE nuclease-induced mutations. Given that the frequencies of mutation and the extent of toxicities we observe are similar to what we have seen with ZFNs, we expect that TALE nuclease-induced mutations should be efficiently passed through the germline to progeny and we are currently conducting experiments to test this prediction. Successful germline transmission of these mutations will be critical for using TALE nucleases to perform reverse genetics in zebrafish. Progeny fish bearing TALE nuclease-induced mutations, unlike founder F0 fish, will not be mosaic (i.e., these fish will have uniform mutation of all cells in the organism); such mutant fish will enable determination of whether both mono-allelic and bi-allelic alterations of a gene are possible and will provide a more straightforward background on which to perform analysis of off-target effects.

In summary, we show that the TALE nuclease framework described by Miller *et al.* can be used to efficiently introduce targeted indel mutations in endogenous zebrafish genes at the somatic cell level. Although in this study we chose two genomic loci that have been successfully targeted with ZFNs before, all six TALE nuclease monomers we constructed showed high mutagenesis activities when tested in various pairwise combinations, suggesting that the TALE nuclease framework is also highly robust and effective in zebrafish. As is the case with ZFNs, the complete genome-wide spectrum of off-target mutations introduced by TALE nucleases remains unknown. However, expression of the TALE nucleases we made in zebrafish does not show toxicity substantially different from that observed with expression of ZFNs, suggesting that the magnitude of off-target effects may be comparable with the two types of nucleases. In principle, off-target mutations made by TALE nucleases can be removed by out-crossing the founder assuming that they are not tightly linked to the intended mutation. In addition, mutant phenotypes could also be confirmed by generation of a second mutant allele using nucleases targeted to a different site. For mutagenesis of genes in zebrafish (and other model organisms such as *C. elegans*¹²), TALE nucleases may offer potential advantages over ZFNs because they can be easily and quickly assembled in a modular fashion and they can potentially target a greater range of DNA sequences. Thus, we expect that the ability to utilize both ZFNs and TALE nucleases should enable any researcher to rapidly and easily create targeted mutations in their endogenous zebrafish gene of interest.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Daniel Voytas and Adam Bogdanove for helpful discussions in the early stages of this project and Jonathan Foley, Morgan Maeder, Stacey Thibodeau-Beganny, Feng Zhang, Michelle Christian, and Daniel Voytas for help with characterizing the *hey2*- and *gria3a*-targeted ZFN pairs. This work was supported by NIH R01 GM088040 (J.K.J. & R.T.P.), NIH Director's Pioneer Award DP1 OD006862 (J.K.J.), NIH T32 CA009216

(J.D.S.), NIH K01 AG031300 (J.-R.J.Y.), the Jim and Ann Orr MGH Research Scholar award (J.K.J.), and the Charles and Ann Sanders MGH Research Scholar award (R.T.P.).

References

1. Miller JC, et al. A TALE nuclease architecture for efficient genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2011; 29:143–148. [PubMed: 21179091]
2. Moscou MJ, Bogdanove AJ. A simple cipher governs DNA recognition by TAL effectors. *Science.* 2009; 326:1501. [PubMed: 19933106]
3. Boch J, et al. Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of TAL-type III effectors. *Science.* 2009; 326:1509–1512. [PubMed: 19933107]
4. Cermak T, et al. Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011 Apr 14. [Epub ahead of print].
5. Meng X, Noyes MB, Zhu LJ, Lawson ND, Wolfe SA. Targeted gene inactivation in zebrafish using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008; 26:695–701. [PubMed: 18500337]
6. Doyon Y, et al. Heritable targeted gene disruption in zebrafish using designed zinc-finger nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008; 26:702–708. [PubMed: 18500334]
7. Foley JE, et al. Rapid mutation of endogenous zebrafish genes using zinc finger nucleases made by Oligomerized Pool ENGINEERING (OPEN). *PLoS One.* 2009; 4:e4348. [PubMed: 19198653]
8. Cifuentes D, et al. A novel miRNA processing pathway independent of Dicer requires Argonaute2 catalytic activity. *Science.* 328:1694–1698. [PubMed: 20448148]
9. Siekmann AF, Standley C, Fogarty KE, Wolfe SA, Lawson ND. Chemokine signaling guides regional patterning of the first embryonic artery. *Genes Dev.* 2009; 23:2272–2277. [PubMed: 19797767]
10. Sander JD, et al. Selection-free zinc-finger-nuclease engineering by context-dependent assembly (CoDA). *Nat Methods.* 2011; 8:67–69. [PubMed: 21151135]
11. Miller JC, et al. An improved zinc-finger nuclease architecture for highly specific genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2007; 25:778–785. [PubMed: 17603475]
12. Wood AJ, et al. Targeted Genome Editing Across Species Using ZFNs and TALENs. *Science.* 2011 Jun 23. [Epub ahead of print].

hey2:

#1297/ #1257 Mutations in 12 of 110 sequences: ~11%

GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACCACATCCCAACAGAGCAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC WT
 <-----GAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ142
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACC-----CAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ14
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACC-----ACAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ13
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACC-----AGAGCAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ11 [2x]
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACC-----AGAGCAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ8 [3x]
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACCACAT-----GAGCAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ6 (Δ7 and +1)
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACCACATC-----AACAGAGCAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC Δ2
 GCTCTCCGTTTCCACATCCACCACATbaaccacacacAGAGCAGCGGGAGCAGCAGTAAACC +6 (Δ4 and +10)
 ACCTTCCTCTATCATTT-----/------TCTGGGAAGAAAAGAAA Δ303

gria3a:

#1258/ #1260 Mutations in 13 of 89 sequences: ~15%

GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCACGCACGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT WT
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTC-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ12
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCA-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGT-----CTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAG-----CCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9 [2x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCA-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ5
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCagaaa-----CCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ2 (Δ6 and +4)
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTcagtcGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT +0 (Δ5 and +5)
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTcacgcACGCACGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTA +4 [3x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTctgtacccgtgACGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTT +5 (Δ6 and +11) [2x]

#1258/ #1259 Mutations in 21 of 68 sequences: ~31%

GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCACGCACGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT WT
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCT-----GTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ18
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTC-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ10 [3x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGT-----CTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9 [3x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCA-----GTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9
 GGAGTCATCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTC-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ6 [5x]
 GGAGTCATCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCgaa-----GTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ6 (Δ9 and +3)
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTc-----CGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ4 (Δ5 and +1) [3x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCA-----CGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ4
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTcctt-----CGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ2 (Δ7 and +5)
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTcagtc-----CGCCTGTGAGNNCTGNTCTTTATCTT Δ2 (Δ4 and +2)
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTctctcagcttCGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTA +4 (Δ6 and +10)

#1295/ #1260 Mutations in 26 of 79 sequences: ~33%

GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCACGCACGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT WT
 GG-----AGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ36
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTC-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ12
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTC-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ10 [2x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGT-----CTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9 [3x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTC-----CGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9 (Δ10 and +1)
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCA-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCA-----GTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ9 [2x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTC-----CTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ8 [2x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTC-----CCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ7
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCA-----CTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ7 [3x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCA-----CCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ6
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCACG-----TGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ6
 GGAGTCATCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTC-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ6 [2x]
 GGAGTCATCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCACGC-----CTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ4 [2x]
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCAga-----GCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTATCTT Δ3 (Δ5 and +2)
 GGAGTCATCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCACGCcacgcCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTTTA +4
 GGAGTCGTCCAATAGCTTCTCAGTCAgtgagtcacacCGCCTGTGAGTTTCTGCTCTT +8 (Δ4 and +12)

Figure 1. Target sequences, frequencies of mutations, and sequences of mutations induced by TALE nucleases in embryonic zebrafish cells

For each pair of TALE nucleases, the wild-type (WT) target sequence is shown at the top with the intended target sites of the TALE nucleases marked in yellow. Deletions are indicated by gray highlighted red dashes and insertions by blue highlighted lower case blue letters. The sizes of the insertions (+) or deletions () are indicated to the right of each mutant allele. The number of times that each mutant allele was isolated is shown in brackets. Mutation frequencies are calculated as the number of mutant alleles isolated/the total number of alleles analyzed. For the *hey2* gene, we also identified two larger deletions 142

and 303 bps in length that extend substantially beyond the intended target sites of the TALE nucleases.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript