BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine

Single-leg balance and core motor control in children: when does the risk for ACL injury occurs?

Allison B Hutchinson, Paul Yao, Mark R Hutchinson

Introduction: While numerous publications have

and core motor control with an increased risk of

preadolescent population regarding when indeed

comparative deficits in balance and core control

actually occur. The purpose of this study was to

are present in preadolescents and if so when.

assess whether the neuromotor factors that place

mature females at increased risk of ACL injury actually

Methods: This study used simplified modifications of

classic drop-jump testing as well as single-leg balance

(males and females) ranging in age from 6 to 13 years

were divided into 4 equally sized groups based on their

academic classes. Each group was compared with each other, and compared with a cohort of 205 collegiate

athletes. The latter served as a comparative norm of

Results: Outcomes revealed that the preadolescent

children matured with age until the eldest subgroups

pace than boys. This study focusing on preadolescent

Conclusions: Based on the outcomes of this study, it

is suggested that sex differences regarding balance and

core control deficits that can increase risk of ACL

injuries likely occur after grade school (age 12-13).

mature athletes who had performed the same or

population performed poorly on the tests when

compared with the collegiate population but the

compared more favourably with the college-aged

athletes. Girls appear to mature at a slightly earlier

children concluded that the neuromuscular changes that place females at greater risk of injury do not

appear to occur prior to adolescence.

tests performed on stable and unstable surfaces to assess balance and core motor control. 84 children

mature female athletes, few have analysed the

anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries in skeletally

demonstrated the correlation of poor single-leg balance

ABSTRACT

To cite: Hutchinson AB, Yao P, Hutchinson MR. Single-leg balance and core motor control in children: when does the risk for ACL injury occurs?. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2016;**2**: e000135. doi:10.1136/ bmjsem-2016-000135

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjsem-2016-000135).

Accepted 23 May 2016



University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois,

Correspondence to

Dr Mark R Hutchinson; mhutch@uic.edu

INTRODUCTION

similar testing.

The relative increased risk of anterior cruciate injury in women compared with men in twisting and cutting sports has become well recognised and well documented in the literature.^{1–3} While numerous factors including anatomic alignment, hormonal influences and anatomic structure (small notch, smaller ligament volume) have been considered and in some

Key findings

- Regarding jump landing and balance skills, girls mature earlier than boys.
- Regarding jump landing and balance skills, factors that predispose mature women to anterior cruciate ligament injuries have not yet appeared in preadolescents.
- Regarding jump landing and balance skills, young children perform more poorly than college-aged cohorts.
- Regarding jump landing and balance skills, mature children (age 12–13) perform similar to college-aged cohorts.

cases implicated as causative factors, neuromotor retraining of core and balance deficiencies has been shown to be an effective means of injury prevention in these at-risk athletes.^{3–5} More specifically, studies of adolescent and young adult athletes have revealed sex variation of core and neuromotor control when comparing trained and untrained athletes with positive response to neuromuscular retraining. $^{6-13}$ This is especially true for female athletes. Indeed, in the position statement of the National Athletic Trainer's Association, they determined that to the highest level of evidence, they could recommend prevention programmes focusing on neuromuscular control, balance, coordination, flexibility and strength to reduce the risk of overuse injuries in the paediatric population.¹⁴

The questions raised and targeted in this study are: at what age does the sex-related imbalance of lower limb neuromotor control in preadolescent children occur, and how do children progress regarding neuromotor skills with maturity.^{6 8 15 16} We hypothesised that children would have poorer neuromotor control compared with collegiate athletes, that they would improve with maturity, and that we could identify a predictive age group for which girls lagged behind boys in development of neuromotor control placing them at risk of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury.

BMJ

USA



1

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighty-four children, 42 boys and 42 girls, age range 6–13 with equal distribution between first and eighth grade at an American grade school were prescreened for eligibility. Participants were asked to volunteer and each required parental consent. Many were recruited at school-wide events in which both student and parent were present. None were recruited at athletic events in which a more athletic population might be expected to skew the results. Participants were disqualified if they had a lower extremity injury within 6 months of the testing. History of lower extremity injury >6 months old as well as pretest participation in a balance, twisting or cutting sport were recorded. For comparison, the cohort was divided into four comparison groups: first and second grade ($\sim 6-7$ years old); third and fourth grade (\sim 8–9 years old), fifth and sixth grade (\sim 10–11 years old), and seventh and eighth grade ($\sim 12-13$ years old). All participants signed or had their parents sign an individual consent for participation. The project itself was approved after institutional review.

Each participant underwent a series of neuromotor screening tests. A single evaluator measured all preadolescent participants to avoid inter-rater variability. Single-leg squats were performed on stable and unstable surfaces based on techniques previously reported and validated in the literature in adult populations.^{11 17 13} Each participant was asked to assume a single-legged stance. Once they were stable, the participant was asked to squat down to a knee flexion angle of 90° and rise back to full extension while maintaining an erect torso. The ability to maintain balance as well as maintain knee varus/valgus alignment were assessed and recorded. These were scaled from 1 (normal) to 5 (abnormal requiring step down; figure 1). Each participant repeated the test and their best score was used for comparison in the study. Next, each participant was also assessed performing a modified two-legged drop-jump test based on previously described techniques in the literature.^{11 13} These were scaled on a 1–5-point scale with 3 being ideal and 1 being excessive valgus and 5 being excessive varus (figure 2). The classic two-legged dropjump test was modified for simplicity for participants of all ages. Basically, the participant was asked to jump down from a height of a 12-inch box and land with both feet simultaneously. No second plyometric jump onto a second box was performed. Each participant repeated the test and their best score was used for comparison in the study.

In total, 228 mature, collegiate athletes aged 17–24 years (118 males/110 females) underwent the same, scaled, single-leg squat assessment on stable and unstable surfaces. The collegiate athletes served as a comparative cohort not a control group. Twenty-three athletes were disqualified due to recent (within 6 months) lower extremity injury leaving 205 athletes (410 knees) for comparison. The collegiate athletes participated in a variety of sports including baseball, basketball, gymnastics, soccer, softball, swimming, tennis, track and cheerleading.

Statistical analysis was performed by an independent statistician using χ^2 , Student's t-test and Fischer's t-test for group comparisons. Statistical significance was set a priori at p>0.05. If trends were identified that did not meet that criteria, special notation was included documenting the inability to conclude statistical significance.

RESULTS

Comparison of the grade school cohort to the collegiate cohort revealed overall poorer balance and lower extremity neuromotor control in the all of the grade school cohort compared with athletic collegiate athletes. For single-leg balance on a stable surface, the average grade school score was 2.15 ± 0.11 (normal being 1) compared with the collegiate athletes who scored 1.8 ± 0.1 (p<0.05). For single-leg balance on an unstable surface, the grade school cohort scored 2.61 ± 0.11 (p<0.05). When the 12–13 years old subgroup was compared with the collegia athletes, the differences were less significant (stable 1.7 compared with 1.8 in collegiate athletes). Evaluating test–retest outcomes revealed that testing

Figure 1 Scoring scale for single-leg balance test. One is a perfect score, 5 is a failed score requiring the participant to place contralateral foot on the ground. A score of 2 represents a poor hip control with dropped hip and slight valgus of tested knee. A score of 3 demonstrates the participant introducing the upper extremities to maintain balance and upper torso motion. A score of 4 represents significant upper extremity and torso movement beyond central balance line and a near failure.

Balance Scale



Hutchinson AB, et al. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2016;2:e000135. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2016-000135

Figure 2 Scoring for modified drop-jump test. A score of 3 is ideal with neutral position of the knees over the foot with no varus or valgus deformity. A score of 2 demonstrates mild valgus with some portion of the knee still above the foot. A score of 4 represents mild varus with some portion of the knee still above the foot. A score of 1 or 5 represents extreme varus or valgus position on landing.

Drop Jump Scale



using the unstable surface was able to demonstrate more consistent/reproducible imbalances (poor scores) than using a stable surface (p<0.005 for children and p<0.001 for collegiate athletes; table 1).

Within the grade school cohort, it was readily evident that younger children performed more poorly than older children on all testing modalities. Indeed when a score of 5 was defined as a failed score on the single-leg balance test on an unstable surface, the study revealed 19/84 failures (23% failed test rate) in the 6–7 years old group; 10/84 failures in the 8-9 years old group (12% failed test rate); 5/84 failures in the 10–11 years old group (6%) failed test rate) and 6/84 failures in the 12-13 years old group (7% failed test rate). A failed test for this component of the analysis implied a failed score of the test or retest on either extremity. An inverse relationship was demonstrated when the data were analysed a perfect score of 1 on an unstable surface. For perfect scores, the study revealed 13/84 successes in the 6-7 years old group (15% success rate); 17/84 successes in the 8-9 yearsold group (20% success rate); 28/84 successes in the 10–11 years old group (33% success rate) and 30/84 successes in the 12–13 years old group (35% success rate). Regarding the ability to perform a single-leg balance on an unstable surface, an important maturation appears to

occur between the 8–9 and the 10–11 years old subgroups. No significant difference were noted when comparing children with a distant history of lower extremity injury (13/84) and those with no such history (71/84) based on single-leg squats on an unstable surface (2.58 compared with 2.68 score, respectively).

When comparing by sex the performance of children on the stable and unstable surface, girls appear to mature slightly earlier than boys. Indeed a significant jump in performance on the stable platform occurs for girls between the ages of 6–7 and 8–9 years (p<0.003), while significant improvement for boys occurs on the stable and unstable platforms between 8–9 and 10– 11 years old (p<0.03 and p<0.003, respectively; table 2).

Based on direct sex comparison of each age subgroup of the children using the drop jump and single-leg balance as measures, results failed to reveal a specific timeframe in which females began to lag behind their male counterparts. The only χ^2 analyses that achieved statistical significance were: girls aged 6–7 years were better than the boys with right leg squats on a stable surface (p<0.07); girls aged 8–9 years were better than the boys with left leg squats on a stable surface (p<0.003); and girls aged 8–9 years were better than the boys with left leg squats on unstable surface (p<0.04;

Proadolo	coont	Colloc		Significan
college n	orms			
Table 1	Single-leg	squat result	s: preadol	escent versus

Preadolescent	College	Significance				
(N=84)	(N=410)					
Stable	Stable					
2.15±0.11	1.8±0.1	p<0.05				
Unstable	Unstable					
2.61± 0.11	2.2±0.1	p<0.05				
p<0.005	p<0.005					

Comparison of all preadolescent participants and all collegiate athletes when performing balance testing on a stable and unstable surface. The data reveal significant differences between both participant cohorts and testing techniques.

Table 2	Maturation	of balance so	cores				
	Stable surf	ace	Unstable s	le surface			
Age	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys			
6–7	2.13±0.19	2.48±0.24	2.41±0.28	2.77±0.30			
8–9	1.72±0.19	2.28±0.23	2.57±0.26	2.72±0.20			
10–11	1.78±0.21	1.83±0.23	2.48±0.25	2.25±0.25			
12–13	1.66±0.19	2.01±0.29	2.29±0.24	2.25±0.28			

Comparison of maturation of balance skills of preadolescent participants by age subgroups. Significant improvement is noted for girls between ages 6–7 and 8–9 years. Significant improvement is noted for boys between ages 8–9 and 10–11 years.

Is there an age in which one gender is better than the other?

Drop jump

	XX Mean	XX Stdev	XY Mean	XY Stdev								
					[Drop	o Jun	ıp (p	oints 1	from	optin	nal)
2	0.73	0.47	0.64	0.50	0.90 -							_
					0.80	_		_	_			
					0.70							
4	0.55	0.82	0.73	0.65	0.60							
					0.50							
					0.40							
ö	0.73	0.65	0.45	0.52	0.30							_
					0.20							
в	0.36	0.50	0.64	0.50	0.10							_
					0.00							
						010	2	0304	05	06	0708	

mu(XX0102) = mu(XY0102)	mu(XX0102) > mu(XY0102)	0.33286542	no
mu(XX0304) = mu(XY0304)	mu(XX0304) < mu(XY0304)	0.35242664	no
mu(XX0506) = mu(XY0506)	mu(XX0506) > mu(XY0506)	0.1399786	no
mu(XX0708) = mu(XY0708)	mu(XX0708) < mu(XY0708)	0.06762328	no

Stable Right

0102

0506

0102

0304

0506

0708

0102

0304

0506

0708

VV Mea

2 14

1.91

1.82

XX Stdev	XY Mean	XY Stdev			s	ta	ble	Righ	ıt (l	an	diı	ng s	co	,
0.50	2.59	0.83	3.00	_										
			250	⊢	-		-							
0.58	2.23	0.88	2.00	⊢									_	
			1.50	⊢										
0.83	1.86	0.45	1.00	⊢										
			0.50	⊢										
0.68	2.09	0.77	0.00	L										
					01	02		0304		05	06		07	

mu(XX0102) = mu(XY0102)	mu(XX0102) < mu(XY0102)	0.07000919	yes
mu(XX0304) = mu(XY0304)	mu(XX0304) < mu(XY0304)	0.20253049	no
mu(XX0506) = mu(XY0506)	mu(XX0506) > mu(XY0506)	0.33024824	no
mu(XX0708) = mu(XY0708)	mu(XX0708) < mu(XY0708)	0.11335358	no

mu(XX0102) = mu(XY0102) mu(XX0102) < mu(XY0102)

mu(XX0304) = mu(XY0304) mu(XX0304) > mu(XY0304)

mu(XX0506) = mu(XY0506) mu(XX0506) > mu(XY0506)

mu(XX0708) = mu(XY0708) mu(XX0708) > mu(XY0708)

0.15256859

0.49565562

0.259548

Stable Left

XX Mean	XX Stdev	XY Mean	XY Stdev			Sta	able	left	(la	nding	score)							
2.27	0.52	2.68	0.78	3.00	_				(,,,,,,		mu(XX01	102) = mu(XY0102	mu(XX0	102) < mu(XY	(0102)	0.08
1.77	0.52	2.27	0.61	250									mu(XX03	304) = mu(XY0304	mu(XX0	(304) < mu(XY	(0304)	0.00
1.73	0.68	1.77	0.75	1.50								■ XX ■ XY	mu(XX05	506) = mu(XY0506	mu(XX0	:506) < mu(XY	(0506)	0.26
1.55	0.52	1.82	0.60	0.50					_				mu(XX07	708) = mu(XY0708	mu(XX0	(708) < mu(XY	(0708)	0.07
				0.00		0102		0304		0506	0708							

re)

Unstable Right



Unstable Left

	XX Mean	XX SILLEY	X Y Mean	XY SLUEV										
						Unst	able Left	landin	g score)					
0102	2.82	1.33	3.32	1.50	252						mu(XX0102) = mu(XY0102)	mu(XX0102) < mu(XY0102)	0.20925354	
					3.50									
					3.00									
0304	2.73	0.85	3.09	0.70	2.50				_		$mu(X \times U3U4) = mu(X \times U3U4)$	mu(XXU3U4) < mu(XYU3U4)	0.04039658	3
					2.00					■xx				
0506	2.50	0.87	2.50	0.63	1.50					×Y	mu(XX0506) = mu(XY0506)	mu(XX0506) > mu(XY0506)	0.33050927	
					1.00									
					0.50									
0708	2.32	0.68	2.27	0.61	0.50						mu(XX0708) = mu(XY0708)	mu(XXU708) > mu(XYU708)	0.33708521	
					0.00	0102	0304	0506	0708					
						OXOL.								

Figure 3 The χ^2 analyses demonstrating which by comparison which at which age preadolescents are able to demonstrate a significant difference on single-leg balance testing and modified drop-jump testing.

figure 3). Overall, the inspection of the graphics appeared to reveal a trend to better overall scores of the females compared with males, but this did not achieve statistical significance (figure 3). Data analysis was not able to identify a conclusive time at which the balance skills and neuromotor assessments dropped below their male counterparts placing female athletes at increased risk of ACL injury.

DISCUSSION

The relative increased risk of anterior cruciate injury in women compared with men in twisting and cutting sports has become well recognised and well documented in the literature.^{1 2} While numerous factors including anatomic alignment, hormonal influences and anatomic structure (small notch, smaller ligament volume) have been considered and in some cases implicated as causative factors, targeting neuromotor retraining of core and balance deficiencies has been shown to be an effective means of injury prevention in these at-risk athletes.^{3–5 14} Specific studies of adolescent and young adult athletes have revealed similar sex-related variation of core and balance control when comparing trained and untrained athletes.¹⁰ While a large majority of existing studies have identified the gender variations contributing to ACL risk, few have targeted skeletally immature cohorts. Stracciolini et al¹⁸ performed a cross-sectional review of children presenting to a dedicated children's sports medicine centre over 10 years. They concluded, in contrast to what is seen in late adolescence and early adulthood, boys aged 5-12 years had a higher ACL to total injury rate than girls (p<0.01). However, over time, the proportion of ACL to total injuries increased at a higher rate for girls, and by the age of 16-17 years, the ratio exceeded that seen in boys. In 2011, the National Athletic Trainer's Association did an extensive review of the literature in coming up with their position statement on overuse injuries in paediatric sports and found the highest level of evidence to support the conclusion that balance, coordination, strength and flexibility deficits are directly correlated to overuse injuries in paediatric athletes.¹⁴ Admittedly, the type and severity of injuries may be slightly different in the lighter, shorter, paediatric population due to lower energy mechanisms; nonetheless, targeting the core deficits would seem to be a reasonable target.

Little research is available to suggest when balance and core deficits present in the adolescent population and how it progresses over time, and at what specific age do girls begin to lag behind boys.⁶ ¹⁶ ⁷ ^{19–21} Barber-Westin *et al*²² analysed children aged 9–10 years and noted a large majority of both sexes landed with increased valgus position from a drop-jump test and suggested that neuromotor retraining might be indicated. The study did not conclude a specific age or time frame by which such a programme should be initiated and whether that time was different between sexes.

In this study, we elected to use single-leg balance test on a stable and unstable surface as well as a modified drop jump as our measure of lower limb neuromotor control. These measures have been previously described and validated in the literature as valid and reproducible in adult populations.^{7 10–13 19} They have also been commonly used as a screening tool for lower extremity balance and control in direct relationship to ACL injury risk. Barber-Westin *et al*²² concluded that regarding single-leg squats, 'sexed-based strength differences are not expected to become apparent until the mid-teens and children may not master complex motor skills until ten to twelve years of age'. More specifically, they found 'most kids aged nine to twelve had abnormal drop-jump tests where they fall into the knock-knee position. More girls than boys fell into a knock-kneed alignment during the drop-jump'. Croce *et al*⁶ concluded that knee muscular response strategies differ by developmental level but they did not identify a sex-related difference during jump landing. Hewett *et al*⁷ documented deficits in drop-jump testing for female athletes at the middle school and high school levels. In our study, the female athletes did not perform worse than their male counterparts. When compared with collegiate athletes, our findings did confirm the relatively poorer performance of preadolescents compared with mature athletes;

nonetheless, most of the preadolescent participants were able to complete the task. We were also able to identify poorer performance of the tasks in the youngest subgroups of our cohort, but were able to demonstrate improvement with maturity.

More specifically, we were able to identify a gradual improvement in single-leg balance and drop-jump performance for girls and boys with the girls making a slightly earlier progress between age groups 6–7 and 8–9 years compared with the boys who appeared to make their significant progress between age groups 8–9 and 10–11 years. In contrast to Barber-Westin *et al*,²² we were not able to demonstrate a deficit for females in the age groups compared. More specifically, we were not able to identify deficits which would place female participants <14 years of age at increased risk of ACL injury due to neuromotor deficits. Indeed, by visual inspection of the graphics, there appeared to be a slight predilection to improved performance by the girls compared with the boys, but this did not achieve statistical significance.

The concept that preadolescent females would have improved neuromotor performance and coordination is supported in the developmental literature. According to Largo *et al*,^{17 23} the impression that girls are better coordinated than boys is mainly based on two facts. First, 'girls carry out movements in complex and adaptive tasks somewhat more rapidly than boys. Also, girls show fewer associated movements during all motor activities, and therefore movements appear more harmonious'. Girls perform complex, sequential movements on a pegboard more rapidly than boys. Girls can also stand on one leg longer, and show fewer associated movements than boys.^{17 23} These findings are likely consistent with the findings in this study which reveal a slight predilection of improved performance in age-matched preadolescent girls compared with boys. Ultimately, a key finding for the purpose of this paper is not so much that the girls may perform slightly better than boys which is interesting, but rather that the deficits seen later in the more mature adolescents and young adult females are not yet present in this younger age group.

This study, as is the case for most research, has some potential weaknesses that should be acknowledged and taken into account by the reader. First, while the neuromotor tests performed have been validated and used in other studies, they have not necessarily been validated in this younger age group. For the case of this study, it was felt that they were the best tests available for the project. Second, in an effort to assess the effect of childhood development, we chose a convenient educational grouping which represented a general, but not exact, age grouping and a general, but not exact, educational maturity grouping. It did not represent an exact assessment of physical maturity; nonetheless, it does paint a picture of the effect of development and maturity over time. Finally, as in the case of many comparative studies, it would be nice to have greater numbers in each group to enhance the power of subsequent conclusions.

Open Access

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study, we can reasonably conclude:

While the grade school cohort could successfully perform drop testing and single-leg balance testing on stable and unstable surfaces, their neuromotor control scores fell below a comparison group of collegiate athletes. This, while obvious, served to establish a comparative foundation for the study.

Within the grade school cohort, it was readily evident that younger children performed more poorly than older children on all testing modalities, but their skill improved with age. By the time the children matured to the 12–13 years old subgroup, their performance more nearly mirrored that of collegiate athletes.

When comparing by gender the performance of children on the stable and unstable surface, girls appear to mature slightly earlier than boys.

Based on direct sex-related comparison of each age subgroup of the children using the drop jump and single-leg balance as measures, results failed to reveal a specific timeframe in which females began to lag behind their male counterparts. Based on this, it is reasonable to conclude that the imbalances seen in mature athletes that place female athletes at risk of ACL injury have not yet occurred in the 6–13 years old cohort studied in this evaluation.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to offer special appreciation to Julie Albert for her guidance and motivation and to David Stapleton who performed the statistical analysis for the project (stapoleta@yahoo.com).

Contributors ABH was the primary researcher and lead author for all facets related to the young population. PY was the key researcher regarding the mature athlete population data group and provided initial statistical analysis of the comparative groups. MRH was the senior author who provided conceptual design of project, supervised all levels of manuscript editing, and provided key input regarding appropriate references and review.

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval Immaculate Conception School.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement The manuscript represents the core of the research, and fundamental data are included in its entirety. Original data summary documents are retained by the senior author and could be made available by request for future collaborative research with the caveat that the original published research and publisher always receive appropriate credit.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work noncommercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES

 Agel J, Arendt EA, Bershadsky B. Anterior cruciate ligament injury in national collegiate athletic association basketball and soccer: a 13-year review. *Am J Sports Med* 2005;33:524–30.

- Arendt E, Dick R. Knee injury patterns among men and women in collegiate basketball and soccer. NCAA data and review of literature. *Am J Sports Med* 1995;23:694–701.
- Huston LJ, Greenfield ML, Wojtys EM. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in the female athlete. Potential risk factors. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000;(372):50–63.
- Grimm NL, Jacobs JC Jr, Kim J, *et al.* Anterior cruciate ligament and knee injury prevention programs for soccer players: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43:2049–56.
- Zazulak BT, Hewett TE, Reeves NP, et al. Deficits in neuromuscular control of the trunk predict knee injury risk: a prospective biomechanical-epidemiologic study. Am J Sports Med 2007;35:1123–30.
- Croce RV, Russell PJ, Swartz EE, et al. Knee muscular response strategies differ by developmental level but not gender during jump landing. *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 2004;44:339–48.
- Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, *et al.* Preparticipation physical examination using a box drop vertical jump test in young athletes: the effects of puberty and sex. *Clin J Sport Med* 2006;16:298–304.
- Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR. Decrease in neuromuscular control about the knee with maturation in female athletes. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004;86:1601–8.
- Myer GD, Ford KR, Khoury J, *et al.* Biomechanics laboratory-based prediction algorithm to identify female athletes with high knee loads that increase risk of ACL injury. *Br J Sports Med* 2011;45:245–52.
- Noyes FR, Barber-Westin SD. Neuromuscular retraining intervention programs: do they reduce noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injury rates in adolescent female athletes? *Arthroscopy* 2014;30:245–55.
- Quatman CE, Ford KR, Myer GD, et al. Maturation leads to gender differences in landing force and vertical jump performance: a longitudinal study. Am J Sports Med 2006;34:806–13.
- Willson JD, Ireland ML, Davis I. Core strength and lower extremity alignment during single leg squats. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006;38:945–52.
- Davies PS. Assessment of cognitive development in adolescents by means of neuropsychological tasks [dissertation]. Laramie, Wyoming, USA: University of Wyoming, 1995.
- Valovich-McLeod TC, Decoster LC, Loud KJ, et al. National Athletic Trainer's Association Position Statement: prevention of pediatric overuse injuries. J Athl Train 2011;46:206–20.
- Davies PL, Rose JD. Motor skills of typically developing adolescents: awkwardness or improvement? *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr* 2000;20:19–42.
- Ford KR, van den Bogert J, Myer GD, *et al.* The effects of age and skill level on knee musculature co-contraction during functional activities: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2008;42:561–6.
- Largo RH, Caflisch JA, Hug F, *et al.* Neuromotor development from 5 to 18 years. Part 2: associated movements. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2001;43:444–53.
- Stracciolini A, Stein CJ, Zurakowski D, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in pediatric athletes presenting to sports medicine clinic: a comparison of males and females through growth and development. Sports Health 2015;7:130–6.
- Kernozek TW, Torry MR, van Hoof H, et al. Gender differences in frontal and sagittal plane biomechanics during drop landings. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;37:1003–12.
- Quatman CE, Ford KR, Myer GD, *et al.* The effects of gender and pubertal status on generalized joint laxity in young athletes. *J Sci Med Sport* 2008;11:257–63.
- Shea KG, Pfeiffer R, Wang JH, *et al.* Anterior cruciate ligament injury in pediatric and adolescent soccer players: an analysis of insurance data. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2004;24:623–8.
- Barber-Westin SD, Galloway M, Noyes FR, et al. Assessment of lower limb neuromuscular control in prepubescent athletes. Am J Sports Med 2005;33:1853–60.
- Largo RH, Caflisch JA, Hug F, *et al.* Neuromotor development from 5 to 18 years. Part 1: timed performance. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2001;43:436–43.