



Origins, Development, and Compartmentation of the Granule Cells of the Cerebellum

G. Giacomo Consalez^{1†}, Daniel Goldowitz^{2†}, Filippo Casoni^{1†} and Richard Hawkes^{3*†}

¹Division of Neuroscience, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy, ²Department of Medical Genetics, Centre for Molecular Medicine and Therapeutics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ³Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, Hotchkiss Brain Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Granule cells (GCs) are the most numerous cell type in the cerebellum and indeed, in the brain: at least 99% of all cerebellar neurons are granule cells. In this review article, we first consider the formation of the upper rhombic lip, from which all granule cell precursors arise, and the way by which the upper rhombic lip generates the external granular layer, a secondary germinal epithelium that serves to amplify the upper rhombic lip precursors. Next, we review the mechanisms by which postmitotic granule cells are generated in the external granular layer and migrate radially to settle in the granular layer. In addition, we review the evidence that far from being a homogeneous population, granule cells come in multiple phenotypes with distinct topographical distributions and consider ways in which the heterogeneity of granule cells might arise during development.

Keywords: granule cell, upper rhombic lip, external granular layer, radial migration, Bergmann glial fibers, compartmentation, cerebellum

INTRODUCTION

In this review article, we address how granule cells (GCs) develop: their origins in the upper rhombic lip (URL: also known as the anterior or rostral rhombic lip) and the elaborate migrations and amplifications that lead GCs to become the most populous neurons in the brain, and secondly the embryological origins of granular layer (GL) heterogeneity. Given the space constraints we do not review synaptogenesis and circuit formation; recent reviews may be found in Leto et al. (2015) and Lackey et al. (2018). This aspect has been covered in several excellent reviews (inter alia Yuzaki, 2011) and in recent research articles that suggest there is much to find out about this critical process (Toledo et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; **Table 1**).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Eduardo Weruaga, University of Salamanca, Spain

Reviewed by:

Mitsuhiro Hashimoto, Fukushima Medical University, Japan Tetsushi Sadakata, Gunma University, Japan

*Correspondence: Richard Hawkes

rhawkes@ucalgary.ca

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Received: 29 September 2020 Accepted: 17 December 2020 Published: 15 January 2021

Citation:

Consalez GG, Goldowitz D, Casoni F and Hawkes R (2021) Origins, Development, and Compartmentation of the Granule Cells of the Cerebellum. Front. Neural Circuits 14:611841. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2020.611841

Abbreviations: AZ, Anterior Zone; CZa/p, Central Zone, anterior and posterior; EGL, External Granular Layer (oEGL/iEGL: outer and inner laminae); GC, Granule Cell; GCP, Granule Cell Progenitors; GL, Granular Layer; NZ, Nodular Zone; PC, Purkinje Cell; PZ, Posterior Zone; UBC, Unipolar Brush Cell; URL, Upper Rhombic Lip (eURL/iURL: exterior and interior laminae).

TABLE 1 | Summary of genes cited in this review that are involved in granule cell development.

C	News	<u></u>	6 11	F _1		1000.00	
Symbol	Name	Chromosome	Start	End	CIVI	strand GRCm38	MGID
Astn1	astrotactin 1	1	158362273	158691781	68.35	+	MGI:1098567
Astn2	astrotactin 2	4	65380803	66404537	34.31	-	MGI:1889277
Atoh1	atonal bHLH transcription factor 1	6	64729125	64731245	30.03	+	MGI:104654
Bmpr1a	bone morphogenetic protein receptor, type 1A	14	34411061	34503336	20.81	-	MGI:1338938
Bmpr1b	bone morphogenetic protein receptor, type 1B	3	141837136	142169425	66.11	-	MGI:107191
Ccnd1	cyclin D1	7	144929931	144939831	88.92	-	MGI:88313
Ccne1	cyclin E1	7	38097984	38107490	25.35	-	MGI:88316
Cdh2	cadherin 2	18	16588877	16809246	10.1	-	MGI:88355
Cdkn1b	cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B	6	134920401	134925513	65.77	+	MGI:104565
Cep131	centrosomal protein 131	11	120064430	120086827	83.96	-	MGI:107440
Cep290	centrosomal protein 290	10	100487558	100574840	51.48	+	MGI:2384917
Chrna3	cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, alpha polypeptide 3	9	55010117	55026562	29.84	-	MGI:87887
Cntn1	contactin 1	15	92051165	92341967	46.39	+	MGI:105980
Cntn2/Tag1	contactin 2	1	132509425	132543251	57.42	-	MGI:104518
Cxcr4	chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4	1	128588199	128592293	56.43	-	MGI:109563
Cxc/3	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 3	5	90786101	90788093	44.78	+	MGI:3037818
Cxcl12	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12	6	117168535	117181367	54.81	+	MGI:103556
Dah1	disabled 1	4	103619500	104744844	47 79	+	MGI:108554
Dec	deleted in colorectal carcinoma	18	71253634	72351069	45 24	-	MGI:94869
Ebf2	early B cell factor 2	10	67233292	67/30918	34.63		MGI:89/332
Ebf2	early B cell factor 2	14	127102672	127214445	92.26	T	MGI:894332
Efab 2	early B cell factor 5	/	9617424	2661242	2.40	-	MGI:894289
EIIIDZ En1	epnin B2	0	120002497	120007001	5.42	-	MGI:105097
EIII	engraned 1	1	120602487	120607991	52.74	+	MG1:95389
En2	engrailed 2	5	28165694	281/2166	13.94	+	MGI:95390
Mapk3/Erk1	mitogen-activated protein kinase 3	7	126759626	126765816	69.25	+	MGI:1346859
Mapk1/Erk2	mitogen-activated protein kinase 1	16	16983382	17047453	10.53	+	MGI:1346858
Mapk7/Erk5	mitogen-activated protein kinase 7	11	61488812	61494267	37.96	-	MGI:1346347
Fgf8	fibroblast growth factor 8	19	45736798	45742915	38.75	-	MGI:99604
Foxc1	forkhead box C1	13	31806646	31810635	13.52	+	MGI:1347466
Fzr1	fizzy and cell division cycle 20 related 1	10	81366879	81378370	39.72	-	MGI:1926790
Gbx2	gastrulation brain homeobox 2	1	89927962	89931176	45.06	-	MGI:95668
Gdf7	growth differentiation factor 7	12	8297918	8301954	3.85	-	MGI:95690
Gli1	GLI-Kruppel family member GLI1	10	127329882	127341579	74.5	-	MGI:95727
Gli2	GLI-Kruppel family member GLI2	1	118834132	119053619	52.17	-	MGI:95728
Gli3	GLI-Kruppel family member GLI3	13	15463723	15730026	5.43	+	MGI:95729
Hes1	hes family bHLH transcription factor 1	16	30065357	30067796	21.09	+	MGI:104853
Hif1a	hypoxia inducible factor 1, alpha subunit	12	73901375	73947530	31.99	+	MGI:106918
Hoxa2	homeobox A2	6	52162417	52164831	25.4	-	MGI:96174
lft88	intraflagellar transport 88	14	57424064	57517936	30.1	+	MGI:98715
ltab1	integrin beta 1 (fibronectin recentor beta)	8	128685654	128733200	76.09	+	MGI:96610
Imx1a	LIM homeobox transcription factor 1 alpha	1	167689237	167848741	75.08	+	MGI:1888519
Jag1	iagged 1	2	137081451	137116520	67.73	-	MGI:1095416
lam3	junction adhesion molecule 3	9	27097384	27155421	12 27	-	MGI:1033825
Kcnifi	notassium inwardly-rectifying channel, subfamily L member 6	16	94748636	94997701	55 44		MGI:1033023
Kif3a	kinesin family member 30	10	53567379	53601967	31 97	- -	MGI:107689
Moie1	Mais homoshov 1	11	19970917	10019095	11 11	T	MGI:10/083
Muon	while nonrebox 1	11	12026002	120/1935	6.14	-	MGI:07257
NIYCH	v-myc avian myelocytomatosis virai related oncogene, neurobiastoma derived	12	12936093	12941836	0.14	-	MGI:97357
Nus	neurotrophin 3	0	126101412	120100772	60.45	-	MGI:97380
Neurod I	neurogenic differentiation 1	2	79452637	79456636	47.58	-	MGI:1339708
Neurod2	neurogenic differentiation 2	11	98325415	98329645	61.75	-	MGI:107755
Ntn1	netrin 1	11	68209364	68386826	41.45	-	MGI:105088
Notch2	notch 2	3	98013538	98150367	42.42	+	MGI:97364
Otx1	orthodenticle homeobox 1	11	21994764	22001651	14.1	-	MGI:97450
Otx2	orthodenticle homeobox 2	14	48656781	48667644	25.36	-	MGI:97451
Pard6a	par-6 family cell polarity regulator alpha	8	105701148	105703494	53.04	+	MGI:1927223
Pard3	par-3 family cell polarity regulator	8	127063893	127612286	74.66	+	MGI:2135608
Ptch1	patched 1	13	63508328	63573460	32.8	-	MGI:105373
Ptafr	platelet-activating factor receptor	4	132564067	132582683	65.56	+	MGI:106066
Pax2	paired box 2	19	44756045	44837871	38.09	+	MGI:97486
Pax5	paired box 5	4	44524757	44710487	23.55	-	MGI:97489
Pax6	paired box 6	2	105668900	105697364	55.31	+	MGI:97490
Plxna2	plexin A2	1	194619791	194816869	98.27	+	MGI:107684
Ptf1a	pancreas specific transcription factor, 1a	2	19445663	19447501	13.37	+	MGI:1328312
Reln	reelin	5	21884454	22344702	9.98	-	MGI:103022
Sema6a	sema domain, transmembrane domain (TM), and cytoplasmic domain. (semaphor	i 18	47245254	47371200	25.08	-	MGI:1203727
Ptpn11/Shp2	protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 11	5	121130533	121191397	61.72	-	MGI:99511
Slit2	slit guidance ligand 2	5	47983138	48307733	26.05	+	MGI:1315205
Smad1	SMAD family member 1	2	79338305	79399428	37 56	-	MGI:109452
Smad5	SMAD family member 5	12	56703010	56742377	30.12	+	MGI:1328787
Shh	sonic hedgehog	15	28456940	28/67101	1/ 20		MGI-98207
Smo	smoothened, frizzled class recentor	5	20430840	20407101	12.20	+	MGI:109075
Sufu	Silicit pagative regulator of badgebog signalize	6	46206006	46400004	12.30	-	MGI:108075
Sulu	SOLO HERATIAE LERATATIOL OL HERBEHOR SIGUAILUB	19	40590890	40488804	56.85	т	1/101:1345043

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Talpid3/KIAA0856	RIKEN cDNA 2700049A03 gene	12	71136848	71243303	29.39 +	MGI:1924217
Tbr1	T-box brain transcription factor 1	2	61802930	61814114	35.56 +	MGI:107404
Tbr2/Eomes	eomesodermin	9	118478212	118486132	70.21 +	MGI:1201683
Btg2/Tis21	BTG anti-proliferation factor 2	1	134075170	134079120	58.1 -	MGI:108384
TIx3	T cell leukemia, homeobox 3	11	33200752	33203588	19.21 -	MGI:1351209
Unc5c	unc-5 netrin receptor C	3	141465564	141834924	65.57 +	MGI:1095412
Wnt3	wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 3	11	103774150	103817957	67.5 +	MGI:98955
Wls	wntless WNT ligand secretion mediator	3	159839672	159938664	82.65 +	MGI:1915401
Zeb1	zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1	18	5591860	5775467	4.42 +	MGI:1344313
Zic1	zinc finger protein of the cerebellum 1	9	91358058	91365810	48.26 -	MGI:106683
Zic2	zinc finger protein of the cerebellum 2	14	122475435	122479852	65.97 +	MGI:106679
Zic4	zinc finger protein of the cerebellum 4	9	91365631	91389351	48.26 +	MGI:107201
Znf423/Zfp423	zinc finger protein 423	8	87661810	87959595	42.29 -	MGI:1891217

The "Symbol" and "Name" columns list the symbols and full names of genes, respectively. The "Chromosome" column lists on which chromosome each gene is located. The "Start" and "End" columns list in base pairs along a chromosome at which a gene begins and ends, respectively. The "CM" column gives the length of each gene in centiMorgans (cM). The "strand GRCm38" column reveals whether a gene is located on the positive or negative strand. Finally, the "MGI ID" column provides the ID of each gene on the JAX Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) website (http://www.informatics.jax.org/). To find out more about cerebellar-specific phenotypes when a gene is perturbed, first copy the MGI ID into the JAX MGI website. Then, on the Gene Summary page, under the "Mutations, Alleles, and Phenotypes" tab, click on the shaded "Nervous System" box. Under the "Mouse Phenotypes" heading, a list of nervous system-related phenotypes is provided, including those that are specific to the cerebellum.

A Brief Overview of the Adult Cerebellar Cortex

The adult cerebellar cortex has four distinct layers. Superficially lies the molecular layer, a cell-poor structure rich in GC axons, Purkinje cell (PC) dendrites, and their synapses. Next is the narrow PC layer comprising a monolayer of PC somata. Beneath the PC layer is the GL, densely packed with GC somata. Each of these three cellular layers also has distinct populations of inhibitory interneurons. The three cellular layers overlie the white matter tracts, which comprise the cortical afferent and efferent axon pathways (sketched in Figure 1). Within these layers, all neurons and some glial cells show restriction to a common cerebellar map (e.g., reviewed in Apps and Hawkes, 2009; Apps et al., 2018). Traditionally, the cerebellum has been subdivided into lobes (e.g., anterior, posterior, and flocculonodular; Figure 1), but generally deemed extremely regular (e.g., Marr, 1969). However, on closer inspection, a highly stereotyped pattern of transverse boundaries and parasagittal stripes is revealed, in particular in the distributions of PC phenotypes and afferent terminal fields (recently reviewed in Apps and Hawkes, 2009; Cerminara et al., 2015; Apps et al., 2018). First, the PC layer is comprised of at least five molecularly defined transverse zones-the anterior (AZ), central [CZ: anterior (CZa) and posterior (CZp)], posterior (PZ), and nodular (NZ) zones (Ozol et al., 1999). Each transverse zone is further subdivided into parasagittal stripes, which can be identified by molecular markers (e.g., zebrin II/Aldolase C-Brochu et al., 1990; Ahn et al., 1994); phospholipase Cβ4 (Sarna et al., 2006); heat shock protein 25 (HSP25; Armstrong et al., 2000) and the effects of mutations [rostral cerebellar malformation (rcm) Eisenman and Brothers, 1998; e.g., cerebellar deficient folia (cdf) Beierbach et al., 2001; Niemann Pick disease type C1 (NPC1) Sarna and Hawkes, 2003]. This architecture is reproducible between individuals and conserved across species (e.g., Lannoo et al., 1991; Meek et al., 1992; e.g., reviewed in Marzban et al., 2011). The PC zone-and-stripe array is the ground plan around which other elements are organized. For example, basket and stellate cells (e.g., reviewed in Consalez and Hawkes, 2013), Golgi cells (Sillitoe et al., 2008), unipolar brush cells (UBCs; Chung et al., 2009) and radial glial cells (Eisenman and Hawkes, 1989) all show restriction at PC stripe boundaries, in the sense that either the somata of interneuron subtypes are restricted to particular zones or stripes, or that interneuron neurites do not cross PC stripe boundaries (reviewed in Consalez and Hawkes, 2013). Similarly, afferents are also confined to specific PC stripes (reviewed in Apps et al., 2018) and different stripes exhibit different functional properties (Zhou et al., 2014; Valera et al., 2016). In "Patterning of the Adult Granular Layer" section we describe how this cerebellar compartmentation is reflected in the final arrangement of GCs.

A Brief Overview of Cerebellar Development

Cerebellar development (sketched in Figure 2) has been summarized in many reviews (inter alia Leto et al., 2015). The map of the cerebellar anlage is established at early stages [embryonic day (E)8.0-8.5] thanks to extracellular signals released by the isthmic organizer and roof plate and transcription factors expressed rostral and caudal to the isthmus (see Figure 1, Leto et al., 2015). All cerebellar neurons arise from the interplay of two germinal epithelia: the ventricular zone (VZ) and the URL. From ~E9 a small patch in the wall of the VZ of the 4th ventricle (Figure 2), identified by Ptf1a expression, is specified to generate all GABAergic neurons-the PCs and multiple classes of inhibitory interneurons (Hoshino et al., 2005; unless otherwise noted all timings refer to mice, with the beginning of embryogenesis designated as E0). The postmitotic PCs migrate dorsally via the cerebellar plate (E10-E13) to form physically separate and molecularly distinct clusters by ~E18 (reviewed in Dastjerdi et al., 2012). This stereotyped array is the scaffold around which cerebellar architecture is patterned. The inhibitory interneuron precursors, also derived from the



4th ventricle, migrate *via* the white matter, undergoing further cell divisions *en route*, and then settle in association with the PC clusters (\sim E18: Leto and Rossi, 2012). Perinatally, the PC clusters and associated interneurons disperse longitudinally to form a monolayer of parasagittal stripes by \sim P20 (reviewed in Leto et al., 2015).

In parallel, GC progenitors (GCPs), together with excitatory cerebellar nuclei neuron progenitors and glutamatergic UBC progenitors, are generated dorsally in the upper rhombic lip (**Figure 3A**), where they proliferate and migrate to form a secondary germinal epithelium, the external granular layer (EGL), that completely covers the embryonic cerebellar surface

[also often called the "external germinal layer." The original term—due to Ramón Y Cajal (1911)—is "granular" ("couche granulaire externe"): fortunately, either way, it abbreviates as EGL. The EGL was first described thoroughly by Hess (1858) and then by Obersteiner (1869), and was known for many years as "Obersteiner's layer". GCPs proliferate for 3 weeks in the outer EGL (oEGL; Figure 3B) to generate the postmitotic GCs that invade the inner EGL (iEGL) and migrate radially through the molecular layer to eventually settle in the maturing granular layer (GL; Figures 3B,C). As they migrate they leave behind trailing axons that bifurcate and extend mediolaterally as parallel fibers,



FIGURE 2 | Granule cell development. Schematic representation of granule cell development between E11 and P30. See text for discussion. Abbreviations: EGL, external granular layer; GCPs, granule cell progenitors; GL, granular layer; iEGL, inner lamina of the EGL; oEGL, outer lamina of the EGL; PCs, Purkinje cells; URL, upper rhombic lip.



the EGL; LM, leptomeninges; ML, molecular layer; PCL, Purkinje cell layer; GL, granular layer.

which synapse with the PC dendritic arbors (see also **Figure 2**, Leto et al., 2015).

In the adult, GC somata located in the GL send out four to five short dendrites that receive excitatory (glutamatergic) input from incoming mossy fiber afferents (**Figure 1**), and long axons that extend through the molecular layer, bifurcate giving rise to parallel fibers (**Figure 3C**) and form excitatory (glutamatergic) synapses on PC dendrites. The circuit is thus: mossy fiber afferent input is relayed *via* GC axons to the PCs, which are the sole efferent projection of the cerebellar cortex

(Figure 1). While the mossy fiber \Rightarrow GC \Rightarrow PC pathway appears straightforward, it has two remarkable features. Cerebellar GCs are the most numerous neurons in the brain: in mice, there are estimated to be 70,000,000, which constitute well over 99% of all neurons in the cerebellum (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2006)! It is noteworthy that large numbers of GCs are a highly conserved feature of the cerebellar cortex (the GC/PC ratio goes up during evolution: Ito, 1984). Therefore, large numbers matter. It is less clear why such an enormous number of GCs is needed (e.g., the "combinatorial coding" theory of Marr, 1969).

ORIGINS AND FORMATION OF THE RHOMBIC LIP

The GC lineage arises at around E8.75 from the URL, an ephemeral structure located atop the 4th ventricle at the intersection of the roof plate and the cerebellar anlage. A two-step process formats the cerebellum and the future GCs: first, arealization of rhombomere 1 of the dorsal neural tube forms the initial cerebellar territory, which includes the URL, and second signaling from the adjacent roof plate assists in cell specification. Innovative techniques have been applied to the analysis of the origins of the primordium-from the classical chick-quail chimera technique of LeDouarin and colleagues (e.g., Pourquié et al., 1992) to the creative use of transgenic mouse lines. These studies defined rhombomere 1 as the territory that produces the anlage. The application of molecular techniques, whether in situ hybridization (Hallonet and Le Douarin, 1993) or mouse knockouts (McMahon and Bradley, 1990; Millet et al., 1996), further refined the URL to an anterior rhombencephalic region bounded by the isthmic organizer (Otx2+) rostrally and rhombomere 2 (Hoxa2+) caudally. In the arealization of the URL, Gbx2 plays an important role through the antagonism of Otx2 expression (Hashimoto and Hibi, 2012). The isthmic organizer resembles a Spemann-Mangold-like inducer in that its secreted signals can induce cerebellar-like structures when transplanted to ectopic sites and if it is eliminated no cerebellum is produced (e.g., FGF8 Chi et al., 2003). Other molecules documented to play a role in specifying this region as future cerebellum include the Paired-box transcription factor genes Pax2 and Pax5 (Bouchard et al., 2000), and En1 and En2 of the engrailed family (Hanold, 1986; Hanks et al., 1995).

While not contributing to the cells that come to populate the parenchyma of the cerebellum, the roof plate expresses molecules that are key to URL development. Important insights arise from the study of the *Dreher* mutant mouse (Lmx1adr-J) whose mutated gene was found to be Lmx1a (Millonig et al., 2000). Studies by Chizhikov et al. (2006) led to an appreciation of this extra-cerebellar signaling center. The loss of LMX1A expression from roof plate cells results in both a major loss of GCs (Sekiguchi et al., 1992) and the ablation of the vermis (Millonig et al., 2000; Sillitoe et al., 2014). Lmx1a is also expressed in a subset of rhombic lip progenitors that produce GCs predominantly restricted to the cerebellar posterior vermis. In the absence of Lmx1a, these cells precociously exit the rhombic lip and overmigrate into the anterior vermis. This overmigration is associated with premature regression of the rhombic lip and posterior vermis hypoplasia in Lmx1a null mice (Chizhikov et al., 2010). LMX1A acts downstream to signaling via bone morphogenetic protein receptors (BMPRs) and this pathway is likely involved in the production of the crucial progenitor gene Atoh1 (Atonal homolog 1; a.k.a Math1, Alder et al., 1999; Krizhanovsky and Ben-Arie, 2006). Genetic destruction of the roof plate by using diphtheria toxin driven by the roof plate specific gene Gdf7 resulted in the near-total loss of Atoh1 cells of the URL (Chizhikov et al., 2006). BMPRs assemble into a heterotetramer and phosphorylate members of the SMAD family (Smad1, 5, 8; signaling pathway reviewed in Waite and Eng, 2003). Double knockouts of Bmpr1a; Bmpr1b and Smad1; Smad5 result in a dramatic loss of GCPs that is attended by loss of Atoh1 and other critical genes in the GC lineage including Zic1 and Zic2 (Qin et al., 2006; Tong and Kwan, 2013). Interestingly, BMP signaling has also been implicated in the degradation of ATOH1 (Zhao et al., 2008), an effect promoted by Meis1 and Pax6 (Owa et al., 2018).

Atoh1 (Figure 5A) is currently viewed as the definitive marker of the GC lineage, as well as of the other glutamatergic cells that arise from the URL (Akazawa et al., 1995; Ben-Arie et al., 1996). This opened up the molecular analysis of GC development by using transgenesis for gene knockouts and lineage tracing. Of note, evidence was brought to bear on possible upstream genes to Atoh1, for example, Hes1 (Akazawa et al., 1995). This issue is still relatively unexplored although the downstream targets of ATOH1 have been well characterized. Critical genes in the pathway to a glutamatergic phenotype (GCs, glutamatergic projection neurons of the cerebellar nuclei, and UBCs) include Pax6, Tbr1, and Tbr2. Atoh1 deletion results in the elimination of the entire population of GCs in addition to related populations that derive from the full rhombic lip (Ben-Arie et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2005). This dramatic loss places Atoh1 in the headwaters of the GC lineage. The examination of downstream targets of ATOH1 has identified a set of genes that suggest a broad developmental impact of Atoh1 on GC development (Klisch et al., 2011; Machold et al., 2011).

With the identification of Atoh1 as key to GC development, it became important to map the timing of the cells that emerge from the Atoh1 lineage by using site-specific recombinase genetic fate mapping (Dymecki and Tomasiewicz, 1998; Zinyk et al., 1998). The earliest cells to emerge from the URL-between E10.5 and E12.5-are fated to become neurons of the cerebellar nuclei, and give rise to the so-called nuclear transitory zone. They migrate anteriorly over the cerebellar surface as the "rostral rhombic-lip migratory stream" (Wang et al., 2005) or "subpial stream" (Altman and Bayer, 1997; sketched in Figures 4A,B). The GCPs follow the same path from the URL to the EGL. Altman and Bayer's careful analysis of the rat URL at E10.5 showed two distinct cellular organizations-one tangentially oriented in the exterior lamina of the URL (eURL), and a second with a columnar organization in the interior lamina of the URL (iURL), possibly corresponding to apical radial glial progenitors). We do not know if these laminae are a transitional



FIGURE 4 | Formation of the external granular layer. (A) Schematic representation of the embryonic hindbrain (dorsal view, *crca* E12.5). The view illustrates the departure of GCPs from the URL and the invasion of the EGL (arrowheads). (B) The same process is seen in a sagittal view to show the formation of the EGL. (C) A sketch of extracellular signals and their receptors controlling GCP migration from the URL into the EGL. The URL is represented as a triangle on the left. The location of the isthmic organizer and mesencephalon is on the right side of each box. CXCL12 is released by the leptomeninges (horizontal black line); SLIT2 is released by the URL (triangle); netrin is secreted by the mesencephalic ventral midline. Abbreviations, URL, upper rhombic lip; EGL, external granular layer; VZ, ventricular zone; ChP, choroid plexus; Cb, cerebellar anlage.

phase of URL development, but it is clear that they comprise distinct subpopulations based upon the analysis of the Atoh1 null mouse, where the cells of the eURL are absent while the iURL persists in a normal proliferative state (Jensen et al., 2004). Developmental analysis of the Wnt pathway gene Wntless (Wls; signaling cascade reviewed in Clevers and Nusse, 2012), which processes Wnt for its extracellular signaling role, confirms this heterogeneity with the identification of a population of Wls+ cells in the iURL that are both Atoh1-independent [i.e., they persist in the Atoh1 knockout and do not express Atoh1 or the corresponding protein (Yeung et al., 2014)]. In the wildtype, an examination of the two URL laminae suggests that the transition from Wls+ to Atoh1+ in the iURL serves as a reservoir for the production of ATOH1+ cells and cells of the glutamatergic lineage generally. Genetic fate-mapping of the *Wls*+ population would provide insights into this possibility.

The URL as the First Zone of Transit Amplification

The expansion of the GCP population during the formation of the EGL from the URL can be thought of as an example of transit amplification-comparable to the transit amplification of GABAergic interneurons as they migrate from the subventricular zone of the 4th ventricle through the white matter tracts (e.g., Leto and Rossi, 2012). In support of this perspective, Wingate and coworkers have shown GCP transit amplification in teleosts, from which a well-defined EGL is absent (Chaplin et al., 2010). The molecular signals that direct URL progenitor cells to form the EGL include the antagonistic interplay between ATOH1 and LMX1A (Chizhikov et al., 2010). Such a tug-of-war between molecularly distinct compartments-i.e., an interplay between factors that push forward developmental events and those which inhibit that progression-is a common dynamic in CNS development (e.g., Toresson et al., 2000; Yeung et al., 2014; Kullmann et al., 2020). Any quantitative estimate of the initial phase of amplification of the GCP population in the

proliferatively-active URL is bound to be uncertain as this population gives rise not only to GCs but also to cerebellar nuclear neurons and UBCs.

From URL to EGL: The Second Stage of Transit Amplification

Once GCPs exit the URL to form the EGL, starting at E13, we estimate that from the time that the EGL covers the cerebellum (~E15) to the adult population in the GL (~P25), there is a \sim 3,000× amplification! As Atoh1+ cells exit the URL, they proliferate and disperse tangentially to cover the entire dorsal surface of the cerebellum as the EGL (Figure 3B). Scant information is available about the molecules that guide GCP migration at this stage. One key factor is chemotactic stromal cell-derived factor 1, encoded by the Cxcl12 gene (Figures 4C, 5D, 6F,G) expressed by the developing leptomeninges and its receptor, CXCR4 (Figures 4C, 5E, 6H,I), expressed by migrating progenitors of the EGL and acting through its downstream effector Shp2 (Hagihara et al., 2009). Fetal cerebellar development in Cxcl12 mutant animals is markedly different from that in wild-type animals, with many proliferating GCs invading the cerebellar anlage (Zou et al., 1998). Mutations in Cxcl12 and Cxcr4 have the same effect on GCP migration, pointing to a monogamous relationship between the corresponding proteins: in the mutant, GCPs depart prematurely from the EGL migrating radially and forming large cell clumps in the cerebellar parenchyma (Ma et al., 1998).

During GCP migration into the EGL (**Figures 4A,B**; reviewed in Chédotal, 2010), the repulsive extracellular signal SLIT-2 is expressed in the URL (**Figure 5J**) and probably propels migrating ROBO-expressing GCPs (**Figures 4C** and **5K**) out of the URL (Gilthorpe et al., 2002). GCPs also express the chemoattractant netrin receptor *deleted in colorectal carcinoma* (DCC, Moore et al., 2007). Netrins are a family of lamininrelated secreted proteins that direct axon extension and cell migration during neural development. They act as attractants



FIGURE 5 | (A–K) Distribution of eleven transcripts in the embryonic cerebellar primordium. Sagittal sections hybridized *in situ* with antisense riboprobes specific for genes, cited in the text, that play important roles in the early stages of cerebellar development. Positive territories are labeled black. All images show E13.5 cerebellar primordia, except (G), which shows an E15.5 section. Image credit: Allen Institute. © 2008 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas. Available online at: https://developingmouse.brain-map.org/. Abbreviations: Cb, cerebellar primordium; ChP, choroid plexus; EGL, external granular layer; NTZ, nuclear transitory zone; URL, upper rhombic lip; VZ, ventricular zone. Scale Bar in (K) = 200 μ m and applies to all panels.

for some cell types and as repellents for others, mediated by distinct receptors (Figure 4C). Among other expression sites, *Ntn1*, encoding netrin-1, is expressed in the mesencephalic

ventral midline (**Figure 5G**). GCPs express the netrin receptor gene *Dcc* (**Figure 5H**), which mediates the attractive response to netrin-1 during EGL formation. Co-expression of the UNC-5C

co-receptor converts the response from attractive to repulsive (Figure 4C). GCP migration is confined within the cerebellar anlage by the netrin co-receptor encoded by Unc5c (a.k.a Unc5h3; Figure 5I), which acts cell-autonomously to enact a repulsive response to the netrin-1 ligand (Ackerman et al., 1997; reviewed in Goldowitz et al., 2000), thereby preventing the inappropriate anterior migration of GCPs into the inferior colliculus. Consistent with this, in Pax6^{Sey/Sey} mice, in which Unc5c is absent, GCPs are not restricted to the cerebellum and migrate ectopically into the inferior colliculus (Engelkamp et al., 1999). Importantly, however, netrin-1 is not expressed at the anterior limit of the cerebellum and it does not repel GC precursors in collagen-gel assays (Alcantara et al., 2000; Gilthorpe et al., 2002). Furthermore, the EGL forms normally in netrin-1 KO mice (Przyborski et al., 1998; Alcantara et al., 2000), possibly suggesting the presence of redundant signals. Another interesting feature of CGPs that migrate to establish the EGL is that they release reelin (D'Arcangelo, 2014), which guides neuronal migration in the cerebellum as it does in the developing telencephalic cortical plate. In this respect, we view the cerebellar GC as equivalent to the Cajal-Retzius neuron of the isocortex.

Clonal Expansion in the EGL

Long before the bulk of clonal expansion, DNA synthesis is detected in the prospective EGL. It is not clear whether GCPs divide after completing their migration or combine migration and proliferation simultaneously, similar to basal progenitors of the cerebral cortex. Prenatal migration of GCPs over the cerebellar primordium (E13-E17.5) and subsequent EGL maintenance (E18-P20) both require contacts with the basement membrane, involving both the basal endfeet of radial glial progenitors and fibroblasts of the pia mater. In 1985 Hausmann and Sievers (1985) identified in the E14 rat (roughly corresponding to E12.5 in the mouse) an EGL cell type oriented tangentially to the cerebellar surface and characterized by persistent contact with the basal lamina via an external process with a lamellipodial tip and a cytoskeleton characteristic of migratory cells. They proposed that the basal lamina guides the tangentially migrating GCPs and that persistent contacts with the basal lamina mediate stimuli that maintain GCPs in a proliferative state. The bulk of proliferation starts shortly before birth and continues for about 15 days, peaking around P6 (Figure 3B). Clonal expansion requires a mitogenic signal released by neighboring PCs (Smeyne et al., 1995). The nature of this signal is well established—PCs release the morphogen and mitogen sonic hedgehog (SHH) that promotes a massive GCP proliferation (Dahmane and Ruiz-I-Altaba, 1999; Wallace, 1999; Wechsler-Reya and Scott, 1999); accordingly, Shh deletion abolishes GCP expansion (Lewis et al., 2004). GCPs are competent to respond to SHH because they express the receptor Patched-1, located near the base of the cell cilium, and the G-protein-coupled transmembrane co-receptor smoothened (SMO; signaling pathway reviewed in Ruiz i Altaba et al., 2002; reviewed in Di Pietro et al., 2017; Lospinoso Severini et al., 2020). SMO activates an inhibitory G protein that in turn activates GLI transcription factors and promotes cell cycle progression. However, the SHH signaling intermediates that regulate GCP proliferation are only just starting to be defined.

GRANULE CELL BIRTH IN THE EGL

Although SHH is available across the thickness of the molecular layer, GCPs only proliferate when they are exposed to the microenvironment of the EGL. GCPs that remain in the EGL because of impaired migration continue to proliferate: thus, the EGL acts as a mitogenic niche and migration away correlates with the transition from a proliferative to a nonproliferative state (Choi et al., 2005). Regulators of the proliferative environment include *Hif1a* (Figures 6B,C), *Zeb*, and *Itgb1*; conversely, the Pard gene family promotes cell cycle exit and cell migration (see below and Kullmann et al., 2020).

Symmetric Division of GCPs

Perinatally, GCPs start proliferating rapidly in the EGL and expand clonally over a ~20-day period. Each GCP undergoes predominantly symmetric divisions, generating two GCPs or two neurons (Legué et al., 2015; Nakashima et al., 2015). Cell divisions are oriented to drive growth along the anteroposterior axis. At the same time, the bases of the fissures act as boundaries for GCP dispersion, allowing each lobe/transverse zone to be a distinct developmental unit and ensuring that appropriate GC numbers are partitioned into each folium (Legué et al., 2015).

As mentioned, clonal expansion of GCPs in the mouse EGL is promoted primarily by SHH secreted by PCs (Dahmane and Ruiz-I-Altaba, 1999; Wallace, 1999; Wechsler-Reya and Scott, 1999). This is also the case in humans (Lewis et al., 2004; Aguilar et al., 2012; Haldipur et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013). Upon SHH binding, the SHH receptor PTCH1 (Marigo et al., 1996) undergoes a conformational change and releases SMO, which enters the cell cilium triggering the activation of the SHH signaling cascade (reviewed in Hu and Song, 2019). While other factors (codon, brother of codon, and growth arrest-specific 1) also regulate the activation of SHH signal transduction, their role in GCP proliferation has not been fully addressed.

The glioma-associated oncogene homolog (GLI) protein family converts SHH signals into gene expression regulation (Ruiz i Altaba, 1999; Corrales et al., 2004). GLI transcription factors are encoded by three genes: Gli1, Gli2, and Gli3 (Ruppert et al., 1988). GLI proteins bind to DNA through zinc finger motifs and activate or repress transcription. SHH signaling promotes GLI-1 accumulation in the nucleus and target gene activation (Mimeault and Batra, 2010). GLI-2 and GLI-3 are transcriptional activators and repressors (Wang et al., 2011; Carballo et al., 2018). Among other GLI target genes, Mycn, encoding the N-MYC protein, promotes GCP proliferation (Knoepfler et al., 2002; Kenney et al., 2003; Llinás and Negrello, 2015). Besides regulating the cell cycle progression of GCPs, N-MYC promotes a switch from proliferation to differentiation (Knoepfler et al., 2002; Llinás and Negrello, 2015). Ablation of Gli3 in Shh null mice restores Mycn expression (Hu et al., 2006). In addition to Mycn, GLI factors activate transcription of Ccnd



FIGURE 6 | Distribution of nine transcripts in the P4 cerebellum. Sagittal sections hybridized *in situ* with antisense riboprobes specific for genes cited in the text that play important roles at early stages of cerebellar development. Image credit: Allen Institute. ©2008 Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas. Available online at: https://developingmouse.brain-map.org/. Abbreviations: Cb, cerebellum; iEGL, inner EGL; oEGL, outer EGL. (C,E,J) are magnifications of areas in panels (B,D,I), respectively. Scale bar in panel (A; 400 μm) applies to (B,D,F,H,J); scale bar in panel (C; 100 μm) applies to (E,G,I,K–O).

and *Ccne* (encoding cyclin D and E, respectively), and thereby promote GCP proliferation (Hatton et al., 2006).

The notch-2 pathway also promotes the expansion of GCPs. Treatment of GCPs with jagged-1, a Notch-2 ligand, stimulates GCP proliferation, and inhibits GC differentiation (Solecki et al., 2001). Activated notch-2 antagonizes BMP signaling (signaling pathway reviewed in Liu and Niswander, 2005; Zhao et al., 2008) and upregulates *Atoh1* expression (Machold et al., 2007). In neural crest development, WNT signaling negatively regulates SHH and controls cell proliferation and differentiation postnatally (Jacob and Briscoe, 2003; Borday et al., 2012): in GCP development, the WNT-3 ligand may antagonize SHH by post-translationally regulating GLI-3 (Anne et al., 2013). WNT-3

is co-expressed with SHH in the postnatal PC layer (**Figure 6A**) where it suppresses GCP growth through a non-canonical Wnt signaling pathway that activates prototypic mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK)—the Ras-dependent extracellular-signal-regulated kinases 1/2 (ERK1/2) and 5 (ERK5) instead of the canonical β -catenin pathway. Inhibition of MAPK activity by using a MAPK kinase inhibitor reversed the inhibitory effect of WNT3 on GCP proliferation (Aguado et al., 2013).

Tis21 is an antiproliferative gene expressed in differentiating apical progenitors throughout the neural tube (Iacopetti et al., 1999). Its deletion causes an increased frequency of medulloblastoma. In the developing cerebellum, *Tis21*, expressed in Bergmann glia, downregulates *Cxcl3*, a gene that maintains

the proliferative niche acting through its receptor expressed in the EGL and delays radial GCP migration from the EGL. Thus, *Tis21* nullisomy may cause GCPs to remain longer in a mitotic state, making them more prone to transformation (Farioli-Vecchioli et al., 2012).

Finally, oxygen tension is a new and complementary pathway to SHH that regulates GCP proliferation. The vascularization of the EGL is reduced in the early postnatal cerebellum and the region is relatively hypoxic resulting in the cells in the EGL expressing the hypoxia-inducing factor 1a gene (Hif1a; Figures 6B,C), which stimulates GCP proliferation. Perturbation of neither the SHH pathway nor the HIF1A pathway impacts the other's role in driving GCP proliferation (Kullmann et al., 2020). The recent work by Solecki and co-workers identifies a microenvironment in which HIF1A stimulates the expression of the homeobox transcription factor ZEB1, which both enhances restriction to the proliferative niche through *Itgb1* and inhibits the Pard family of genes that push cells toward migration (Kullmann et al., 2020). When hypoxia is normalized by vascularization of the EGL, Hif1a expression diminishes as does its effect on GCP proliferation.

Primary Cilia and GCP Proliferation

It is now well established that the transduction of SHH signaling starts in the cell cilium. Millen's group showed for the first time in 2007 that CNS-specific inactivation of ciliary genes Ift88 and Kif3a causes severe cerebellar hypoplasia and foliation abnormalities, due to a failure of GCP clonal expansion, demonstrating that cilia proteins are essential for normal development and GCP proliferation (Chizhikov et al., 2007; Spassky et al., 2008). As noted earlier, the SMO receptor translocates to the primary cilium in response to SHH, and cilia are required for SMO activity (Corbit et al., 2005; May et al., 2005). Moreover, GLI1-3 and SUFU, a negative regulator of the pathway and interactor of GLI proteins, all reside in the distal tip of the primary cilium. Consistent with these findings, intraflagellar transport proteins and cilia are required for both the transcriptional activator and repressor functions of the GLI proteins, affecting both the proteolytic processing of full-length GLI-3 to the repressor form and the transcriptional activity of GLI-2 (Haycraft et al., 2005; Huangfu and Anderson, 2005; Liu et al., 2005; May et al., 2005). Thus, the primary cilium contains the machinery required for the reception and transduction of SHH signaling, explaining its key role in GCP proliferation. Consistent with this, several ciliopathies affect cerebellar cortical development. Joubert and Meckel's syndromes are ciliopathies characterized by severe vermis defects, ranging from hypoplasia to aplasia. Joubert syndrome patients exhibit a peculiar brainstem malformation known as the "molar tooth sign." In 2006, Joubert syndrome patients were identified as carrying mutations in Cep290 (Valente et al., 2006). The homonymous protein is mostly distributed in proliferating GCs and, at the subcellular level, is concentrated in the centrosome and primary cilium. As mentioned previously, cilia control cerebellar morphogenesis by promoting the expansion of the GCP pool (Chizhikov et al., 2007). GCPs possess a primary cilium with CEP290 at its base. The proliferation and the response to SHH are severely impaired in subjects with Joubert or Meckel syndrome (Aguilar et al., 2012). More recently, *ZNF423/Zfp423*, a gene implicated in rare cases of Joubert syndrome, was found to be required for the response to SHH (Hong and Hamilton, 2016), for DNA repair (Chaki et al., 2012; Casoni et al., 2017) and CGP cell cycle progression (Casoni et al., 2017). Likewise, another gene recently implicated in Joubert syndrome, *KIAA0856*, has been shown to encode TALPID3, a centrosomal protein. Mice carrying a conditional *Talpid3* deletion lack primary cilia and show a thinned EGL, presumably due to reduced GCP proliferation (Bashford and Subramanian, 2019). Taken together, Joubert syndrome GCPs exhibit defective or absent primary cilia and have a low proliferative rate throughout the cerebellar cortex.

ATOH1 has been long known to positively regulate the SHH transduction pathway but is also required for the maintenance of primary cilia, which keep GCPs competent to respond to SHH (as mentioned, the loss of primary cilia causes GCPs to exit their proliferative state). ATOH1 activates ciliogenesis by transcriptionally regulating *Cep131*, whose gene product facilitates the clustering of centriolar satellites at the basal body. Importantly, ectopic expression of *Cep131* counteracts the effects of *Atoh1* loss in GCPs by restoring the proper localization of centriolar satellites and consequently ciliogenesis. This pro-proliferative pathway is also conserved in SHH-type medulloblastoma, a pediatric brain tumor arising from GCPs (Chang et al., 2019).

GCP Cell Cycle Exit and the Onset of Differentiation

The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor gene Neurod1 (Figures 5F; 6D,E) is required for differentiation of GCs, and its absence results in GC death (Miyata et al., 1999), particularly in the PZ and NZ (populated by the late-born GC subset-see below: Cho and Tsai, 2006). Experiments on chick embryos have shown that NEUROD1 plays a key role in terminating the proliferation of GCPs by downregulating the expression of Atoh1, thereby promoting postmitotic GC migration towards the GL. Premature misexpression of NEUROD1 in chick suppresses transit amplification in the URL migratory stream and hence the formation of the EGL. Furthermore, misexpression of Neurod1 after the establishment of the EGL triggers radial migration and downregulates Atoh1 (Butts et al., 2014). The structurally-related protein NEUROD2 promotes the postnatal survival of both GCs and molecular layer interneurons (Pieper et al., 2019).

The Zic gene family, which encodes zinc finger transcription factors implicated in neural induction in the early embryo (Nagai et al., 1997; Nakata et al., 1997; reviewed in Aruga and Millen, 2018), play multiple roles in GC development. Zic1/2 is expressed robustly in the EGL from E12 through birth (Figures 5B,C). Interestingly, Zic1 is expressed in the deeper part of the EGL and remains expressed in the GL, supporting the notion that Zic1 and its paralogs play roles both in GCP differentiation and in regulating their proliferation (Aruga et al., 1994, 2002; Gaston-Massuet et al., 2005; Blank et al., 2011; reviewed in Aruga and Millen, 2018). Zic mutants feature variable degrees of patterning defects. Although the lamination

Granule Cell Development

in $Zic1^{-/-}$ mice is normal, the pattern of foliation is perturbed (Aruga et al., 1998; Blank et al., 2011). Zic2 hypomorphs $(Zic2^{kd/kd})$ show a medial fusion defect at E16 but no other obvious histological abnormalities (Aruga et al., 2002). Due to cell cycle dysregulation, Zic1 null mice display hypoplasia of the vermis and hemispheres and reduced GCP proliferation in the EGL. Mechanistically, expression of cyclin D1 (Ccnd1) is reduced both in $Zic1^{-/-}$ and $Zic1^{+/-}$; $Zic2^{+/kd}$ cerebella, and the expression of mitotic inhibitor p27 (Cdkn1b), which inhibits GCP proliferation (Miyazawa et al., 2000), is increased in $Zic1^{-/-}$ cerebella. Moreover, both ZIC1 and ZIC2 act as critical regulators of GC terminal differentiation by affecting chromatin dynamics (Frank et al., 2015). Finally, patients carrying heterozygous deletions encompassing both Zic1 and Zic4, two genes physically linked on chromosome 3q24, develop Dandy-Walker malformation (DWM; Grinberg et al., 2004; Aruga and Millen, 2018). DWM consists of hypoplasia and upward rotation of the cerebellar vermis and cystic dilation of the fourth ventricle. DWM patients have motor deficits including hypotonia and ataxia; about half have mental retardation and some have hydrocephalus. DWM is a heterogeneous disorder and its family recurrence rate does not match expected Mendelian frequencies.

Cxcl12, a chemokine expressed by the leptomeninges (Figure 5D), mentioned previously concerning its role in tangential migration, acts as a chemoattractant during GCP migration from the URL into the EGL (Zhu et al., 2004). Also, GCPs, which express the CXCL12 receptor gene Cxcr4 (Figures 5E, 6G,H), abnormally invade the cerebellar parenchyma in either Cxcr4 or Cxcl12 knockouts (Zhu et al., 2004; Vilz et al., 2005). This suggests that CXCL12/CXCR4mediated attraction plays a major role in maintaining GCPs at the pial surface. The Src homology 2-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase is a crucial downstream signal transducer of Cxcl12 for GC attraction (Hagihara et al., 2009). Interestingly, Cxcl12 expression by meningeal cells is positively regulated by the FOXC1 transcription factor expressed by leptomeningeal progenitors (Aldinger et al., 2009). Thus, reduced CXCL12 signaling in the EGL may contribute to the cerebellar malformations observed in Dandy-Walker patients bearing FOXC1 mutations, and to the severe EGL atrophy in Foxc1 null mice (Aldinger et al., 2009).

Among other factors known to affect the balance of proliferation and differentiation is neurotrophin-3, which promotes differentiation and exit of GCPs from the EGL (Doughty et al., 1998). Likewise, precocious expression of the migration-associated gene *contactin-1* (*Cntn1*) driven by the *Cntn2* promoter delays but does not arrest GCP proliferation in the EGL (Bizzoca et al., 2003). The two contact-related adhesion molecules, TAG1 (CNTN2; gene expression in **Figures 6J,K**) and F3/contactin (CNTN1) act antagonistically in the response to SHH, promoting GCP expansion and cell cycle exit/differentiation, respectively (Xenaki et al., 2011). Besides adhesion molecules, extracellular matrix glycoproteins also regulate the response to SHH. In particular, laminins and the integrin receptor subunit $\alpha 6$ accumulate in the outermost EGL, where GCP proliferation is greatest. Laminin strongly

enhances *in vitro* proliferation of GCPs induced by SHH. Another matrix molecule, vitronectin, and its integrin receptor subunit $\alpha(V)$ are expressed in the inner part of the EGL, where GCPs exit the cell cycle and start to differentiate. Vitronectin promotes phosphorylation of cyclic-AMP responsive element-binding protein (CREB), whose overexpression is sufficient to induce GC differentiation even in the presence of SHH (Pons et al., 2001; also, see above comments regarding Kullmann et al., 2020).

A brief note about the occurrence of normally-occurring cell death in the EGL is appropriate given that such a large expansion of the GCP pool is required to produce the large number of GCs seen in the mature cerebellum. It has been calculated that about 0.12 to 0.37% of EGL cells undergo pyknosis (Smeyne and Goldowitz, 1989). This is a vanishingly small number of cells that leads one to think that the sort of matching mechanisms that drive programmed cell death in other neural structures is not a major sculptor of the final GC number in the adult.

Patterning of the EGL

Despite its seemingly uniform appearance, the EGL is divided into at least three transverse compartments. For example, transverse boundaries between the AZ and the CZ are seen through the expression of the homeobox genes Otx1/2 (Frantz et al., 1994), fibroblast growth factor-alpha, and protein tyrosine phosphatase rho (McAndrew et al., 1998), and neurotrophin-3 (Tojo et al., 1995). A similar restriction associated with the PZ/NZ transverse boundary (Figure 7A) is seen for the HOX homeodomain transcription factor gene Tlx3 (Logan et al., 2002), shown to have a selector role in glutamatergic subtype specification (Shimomura et al., 2015). Tlx3, a gene whose expression is induced by PAX6, is expressed in a highly restricted manner in the iEGL and oEGL plus mature cerebellar granule neurons of the posterior cerebellar lobes, where it is required for the localized expression of the nicotinic cholinergic receptor- α 3 subunit (CHRN- α 3) and other factors involved in neuronal migration and connectivity (Divya et al., 2016). Other transverse boundaries are seen in birth dating studies. The first GCPs are born around E12.5 and preferentially colonize the anterior EGL. Injection of tamoxifen to promote the precisely timed functional activation of Atoh1driven CreER^{T2} at later times (e.g., E16.5-E17) tag GCs that occupy more caudal territories (Machold and Fishell, 2005). The developmental mechanisms by which different GCP populations preferentially occupy particular transverse zones are not certain. In particular, it is not clear whether different GC populations (e.g., anterior vs. posterior) follow different migration pathways or the early-born cells simply migrate further. However, late-born GCPs (Lmx1a+) do not move into the anterior cerebellum in mutants in which the early-born (Lmx1a-) GCs are absent, again pointing to the presence of an AZ/CZ restriction boundary (see below). Likewise, in the embryonic primordium, the Ebf2 gene, encoding a helixloop-helix transcription factor involved in cerebellar cortical development (Croci et al., 2006, 2011; Chung et al., 2008), is expressed in the eURL and the Atoh1+ URL migratory



stream at E12.5 and downregulated 1 day later. Genetic fate mapping indicates that GCs derived from Ebf2+ GCPs end up in the adult AZ (Badaloni et al., 2019), populated by early-born GCs (Machold and Fishell, 2005; **Figure 7B**). To date it has not been established whether Ebf2 plays a role in GC development; interestingly, patients carrying mutations of its human paralog *EBF3*, expressed in URL-derivatives of the cerebellar primordium (Croci et al., 2006), exhibit a complex neurodevelopmental syndrome that includes cerebellar ataxia (Sleven et al., 2017).

In like manner, other GCP defects are restricted to the posterior EGL. As hinted above, posterior vermis abnormalities are reported due to mutations in the LIM-homeodomain transcription factor LMX1A, a critical regulator of cell-fate decisions. Lmx1a acts downstream of Atoh1 (Pan et al., 2009) with an expression pattern restricted to a subset of posterior GC progenitors at the border between the URL and the roof plate (Chizhikov et al., 2010). Downregulation of Lmx1a is also associated with profound hypoplasia of the choroid plexus (Casoni et al., 2020). These progenitors produce GCs that are predominantly restricted to the posterior EGL and subsequently give rise to the posterior (=NZ; Figure 7A) late-born GCs. Consistent with this, the Lmx1a recessive mutant dreher (Lmx1a^{dr-J}) has pronounced GL defects focused on the posterior vermis (Chizhikov et al., 2010). In the absence of Lmx1a, mutant CGPs precociously exit the URL and over-migrate into the anterior vermis, leading to a premature regression of the URL and posterior vermis hypoplasia. Finally, the carbohydrate epitope CD15 is transiently expressed in parasagittal stripes in the EGL in both the embryonic human and the developing rabbit cerebellum (Marani and Tetteroo, 1983).

POSTMITOTIC GRANULE CELL RADIAL MIGRATION TO THE GRANULAR LAYER

Postmitotic GCs Go Through a Wave of Tangential Migration

The translocation of EGL cells to the GL begins with the cells becoming postmitotic and occupying the inner lamina of the EGL, where they form neurites and express the adhesion molecule TAG1, encoded by Cntn2 (Pickford et al., 1989; Furley et al., 1990; Figure 3B). Once in the iEGL, the somata of the postmitotic GCs migrate tangentially for up to 2 days after their final mitosis. It is worth mentioning that the term "tangential migration" has been legitimately used to indicate mitotically active GCPs plodding along the subpial region, to invade the secondary germinal zone from the URL (e.g., Gilthorpe et al., 2002) and initiate transit amplification and clonal expansion. However, several studies use the term tangential migration to refer to the movement of postmitotic GCs in the iEGL before initiating their radial migration to the GL. During this period, the GCs alter their polarity to emit an axon at either pole: these axons eventually become parallel fibers. This process starts prenatally and continues through \sim P21, by which time the EGL is depleted. Tangential migration slows at the interface of the EGL and the growing molecular layer and stops as the GCs extend a single vertical process-the future ascending GC axon-and switch to radial migration

(Komuro et al., 2001). The purpose of this tangential migration is unclear: perhaps it serves to align descending GC streams with the gaps ("raphes") between embryonic PC clusters, thereby ensuring appropriate GC allocation between stripes and zones of the expanding cerebellar cortex (Komuro et al., 2001). Raphes are prominent in chicken (Feirabend, 1990; Lin and Cepko, 1998) but less so in mammals, save for aberrant conditions such as the *Sey* mutant mouse where they are prominent (Swanson and Goldowitz, 2011).

The switch from tangential migration in the EGL to radial migration along Bergmann glial fibers is mediated noncell-autonomously via semaphorin-6A (SEMA6A; Figure 6N) expressed by GCPs (Kerjan et al., 2005) and involves a repulsive interaction with its plexin-A2 receptor (Renaud et al., 2008; gene expression in Figure 6O). Plexin A2 binds to SEMA6A and controls GC migration and nucleus-centrosome coupling cell-autonomously: the lack of SEMA6A only affects tangential migration but not radial migration (Renaud and Chédotal, 2014). In Sema6A null mice many GCs remain ectopic in the molecular layer where they go through terminal differentiation and are contacted by mossy fibers. Similarly, expression of the repulsive ephrin receptor EPHB2 (Figure 6L) is restricted to the iEGL. Through reverse signaling, Ephrin B2, encoded by the Efnb2 gene (Figure 6M) expressed by GCPs of the EGL, inhibits the chemoattractant effect of CXCL12 (Lu et al., 2001), which is expressed in meningeal cells. Finally, as shown by live imaging and functional studies, the SIAH E3 ubiquitin ligase, which controls proteasomal degradation of the PARD3A polarity protein (encoded by the Pard3 gene), critically regulates GCP adhesion during EGL exit via the junctional adhesion molecule JAM-C (Famulski et al., 2010). Additional factors posited to play a role in the switch from tangential to radial migration include: the microtubule-actin crosslinking protein drebrin, interfering with the function of which leads to random movements of both the nucleus and the centrosome and impairs forward motion efficiency (Trivedi et al., 2017); the Rac pathway which cell-autonomously controls tangential migration, neurite formation and terminal differentiation of GCPs in the EGL (Nakamura et al., 2017); tenascin (Husmann et al., 1992); tissue plasminogen activator (Seeds et al., 1999); and the plateletactivating factor receptor (Bix and Clark, 1998).

Radial Migration Is Guided by Bergmann Glial Fibers

The modern study of postmitotic GC migration came from research labs in the Boston area using three novel techniques. First, the rapidly developing field of immunohistochemistry identified glial fibrillary acidic protein and raised antibodies that highlighted radial (Bergmann) glial cells and their Bergmann fibers (Bignami et al., 1972). Second, cell culture analysis offered a means to dynamically study GC migration along Bergmann fibers and thus provide an experimental approach to identify molecules that might play an *in vivo* role in the GC-Bergmann fiber interaction (Wolf, 1970; Hatten and Sidman, 1977; Trenkner and Sidman, 1977; Trenkner et al., 1978). Later, Hatten and Liem showed that in a culture system, glial filaments interacted with cerebellar neurons: the interactions were specific to Bergmann fibers and did not occur with parenchymal astrocytes (Hatten and Liem, 1981). Third, electron microscopy revealed the fine detail of the intimate relations between the migrating GCs and the Bergmann glial fibers (Hatten and Sidman, 1977). As GC somata migrate radially through the nascent molecular layer to the GL, the cell somata extend leading processes along the adjacent radial Bergmann glial fibers (Rakic, 1971).

Live imaging experiments have shown that migrating GCs form an extensive junction beneath the cell surface, mediated by the neuron-glial adhesion protein Astrotactin-1 (ASTN1) expressed on migrating GCs (Edmondson et al., 1988; Stitt and Hatten, 1990; Zheng et al., 1996; Adams et al., 2002) and regulates GC migration (Fishell and Hatten, 1991; Zheng et al., 1996). ASTN1 on GCs interacts heterotypically with N-cadherin (CDH2) both in cis and in trans, to support cell adhesion (Horn et al., 2018), while another family member, Astrotactin-2, regulates ASTN1 trafficking during postmitotic GC locomotion (Wilson et al., 2010). Migrating GCs extend a leading process with short filopodia and lamellipodia that shroud the glial fiber (Edmondson and Hatten, 1987; Gregory et al., 1988). When the neuron-glial adhesion junction beneath the cell body is released the cell soma advances, after which the neuron glides along the glial fiber until a new adhesion forms (Gregory et al., 1988). Migration is coordinated by the PAR-6 polarity complex in the centrosome (Solecki et al., 2004) through a mechanism that includes activation of actomyosin contractile motors in the proximal region of the leading process (Solecki et al., 2009), suggesting that the force needed for the forward movement is provided by contractility in the leading process.

Several ion channels are critical to normal GC radial migration. First is the G-protein coupled, inwardly-rectifying potassium channel GIRK2. A single amino acid mutation in GIRK2 gives rise to the phenotypic picture seen in weaver (Kcnj6^{wv}) mice (Goldowitz and Smeyne, 1995; Patil et al., 1995). Mice carrying the weaver mutation (Sidman et al., 1965) exhibit ataxia, mild locomotor hyperactivity, and, occasionally, tonicclonic seizures. The failure of migration is accompanied by extensive GC death but the extent to which the two are linked is uncertain. GCs in the weaver mutant are born normally (Rezai and Yoon, 1972) but subsequently fail to migrate through the molecular layer to the GL owing to faulty interactions with radial glia (Rakic and Sidman, 1973a,b; Bignami and Dahl, 1974; Sotelo and Changeux, 1974; Sotelo, 1975). The abnormal relationship between GCs that had just begun their migration and Bergmann glial fibers led to the hypothesis that the weaver gene targeted the glial cell (Rakic and Sidman, 1973c). However, contrary to the initial hypothesis the homozygous weaver mutation does not directly affect migration but rather cell-autonomously promotes GC survival and differentiation (Goldowitz and Mullen, 1982; Gao et al., 1992). This is most dramatically shown by the normal migration of wild-type GCs along weaver mutant Bergmann glial fibers (Goldowitz and Mullen, 1982). The death of premigratory Girk2 null GCs in the EGL prompted further studies of the role played by ion

channels (Surmeier et al., 1996), particularly calcium currents, in GC migration. Studies conducted by Komuro and Rakic in mouse cerebellar slices showed that selective pharmacological blockade of NMDA-subtype calcium channels impairs cell motility (Komuro and Rakic, 1992) and that the amplitude and frequency components of Ca^{2+} fluctuations correlate positively with the rate of GC movement in cerebellar microexplant cultures (Komuro and Rakic, 1996).

As a result of these processes, postmigratory GCs at the interface of the EGL and molecular layer extend axons several millimeters mediolaterally as parallel fibers, which form excitatory glutamatergic synapses on the Purkinje cell dendrites they intersect. The migrating GC somata express TAG1/CNTN2 and its deletion results in disordered parallel fiber extension (Furley et al., 1990; Dang et al., 2012). The elongation of axons projected by inward-migrating GCs is also disrupted by knockdown of *Fzr1*, encoding an adapter for the anaphasepromoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase complex (Konishi et al., 2004).

As GCs pass through the molecular layer and settle in the nascent GL they accumulate in an inside-out order (albeit not very strictly so-Legué et al., 2015) such that the earliest born settle adjacent to the white matter tracts, and the late-born cohort ends up more superficially, close to the PC somata. This passive stacking has interesting implications. One is that GCs of different molecular phenotypes (NADPH+/-, Hawkes and Turner, 1994; e.g., dystrophin+/-, Sillitoe et al., 2003) form patches that preferentially occupy either the deep or superficial GL. Thus, a superficial GL patch will project to the more distal PC dendritic arbors while parallel fibers from a deeper patch will terminate on dendritic branches more proximal to the PC somata. It is not clear whether proximal parallel fiber inputs are more influential on PC firing (Gundappa-Sulur et al., 1999; Dorgans et al., 2018). Either way, we believe that molecular differences imply functional differences, and focusing mossy fiber inputs to specific PC dendritic subdomains provides an additional subtlety that may serve to enhance the computational capacity of the mossy fiber pathway.

PATTERNING OF THE ADULT GRANULAR LAYER

Granule Cells and Granular Layer Compartmentation

As mentioned, despite its apparent simplicity, it is a mistake to believe that the GL is homogeneous. On the contrary, both expression markers and lineage tracing reveal an intriguing heterogeneity that aligns reliably with the stripe and zone architecture of the PCs, as described briefly in "Introduction" section. For example, consistent lineage restriction boundaries are seen in several models of chimeric mice that express constitutive phenotype markers (sketched in **Figure 7A**, an example in **Figure 7B**). Transverse lineage boundaries are often seen in mouse chimeras, and these boundaries align with the location of boundaries between PC transverse zones. For

example, in *M. musculus* $\leftrightarrow M$. *caroli* chimeras, two GL lineage boundaries are consistently found-one near the AZ/CZ, the other near the PZ/NZ (Goldowitz, 1989): unfortunately, a direct comparison of lineage and PC boundaries has not been made. Furthermore, transverse PC zones interdigitate such that the boundary line is complex. Similar lineage boundaries are seen in embryonic stem cell chimeras (Hawkes et al., 1999). Similarly, in murine chimeras of the *Pax6* mutation *small eve* ($Pax6^{Sey/Sey}$) and ROSA26 controls [Sey/Sey \leftrightarrow Gt(ROSA)26Sor], the AZ/CZ boundary is apparent, together with the second boundary in lobules VII/VIII (~CZ/PZ: Swanson and Goldowitz, 2011) such that the Pax6 mutation preferentially affects the GL of the CZa and CZp. The chimera data are important in that chimeric markers are cell-intrinsic and expression cannot be ascribed to local induction mechanisms. Why do chimera lineages in the GL segregate into discrete transverse zones? One model evokes heterochronicity: autonomous differences in developmental rate between different mouse strains result in one component of the chimera preferentially populating a particular GL compartment (Goldowitz, 1989; e.g., in chimeras the embryonic stem cells develop more quickly and hence end up concentrated in the earlier-born AZ). This view is consistent with the differences in birth dating between GC subtypes.

Many mutations that affect cerebellar development also show GL phenotypes with regional restriction reminiscent of the chimeras, although in these cases it is always a challenge to distinguish intrinsic GC defects from defects in the local environment. For example, in the meander tail (mea/mea) mutant the anterior cerebellum is agranular with a transition to normal at the AZ/CZ boundary: the phenotype seems intrinsic to GCPs (Hamre and Goldowitz, 1997) with no loss of PCs (Napieralski and Eisenman, 1993). The same phenotype is seen in rostral cerebellar malformation [(Unc5crcm): Ackerman et al., 1997; Eisenman and Brothers, 1998] and, at the same location, physical separation of the GL into anterior and posterior parts, with the two overlapping at the AZ/CZ boundary, is seen in the reelin pathway mouse mutant disabled (Dab1: Gallagher et al., 1998). Likewise, deletion of the transcriptional activator NeuroD1 (expression pattern in Figures 5F, 6E) produces a similar agranular phenotype except that GCs are absent posterior to the AZ/CZ boundary (Miyata et al., 1999). Finally, in the heterozygous weaver mutant, numerous defects include PC ectopias restricted to the CZ (Eisenman et al., 1998; Armstrong and Hawkes, 2001) and more pertinent here, substantial GC ectopia is seen in the AZ and a transverse GL discontinuity is present in lobules VIII/IX (= PZ/NZ boundary).

Even more striking than the transverse zone and parasagittal stripe boundaries, expression markers in the adult reveal a much more elaborate division of the GL into thousands of small patches ("hyper-heterogeneity"). This was first described for NADPH/nNOS expression (Hawkes and Turner, 1994; Schilling et al., 1994) and subsequently seen also for anti-dysbindin immunostaining (Sillitoe et al., 2003). In the case of NADPH/nNOS, the evidence suggests that heterogeneous expression by GC patches is likely induced by the local mossy

Granule Cell Development

fiber environment (Schilling et al., 1994). Evidence of hyperheterogeneity also comes from an elaborate, reproducible pattern of blebs in the GL that is revealed in paraffin-embedded sections on rehydration (Hawkes et al., 1997, 1998) and by the topography of trigeminal mossy fiber terminal fields (Shambes et al., 1978).

As discussed above, there are intrinsic topographic maps in the PC layer, the EGL, and the GL—all are aligned but how this is achieved is not well understood. The data suggest the following scenario: PC embryonic clusters are the prime organizers and restrict the distribution of different GCP subpopulations in the EGL to align with the underlying transverse PC zones. Thus, as GCPs in the URL proliferate and spread over the surface of the cerebellum to form the EGL (Miale and Sidman, 1961; Smeyne and Goldowitz, 1989) the early-born GCPs (from E12.5)-derived from the specific subset of early-born GCPs that do not express *Lmx1a*—give rise to the anterior EGL (AZ; Figure 7A). Later-born EGL progenitors subsequently migrate to cover the posterior lobe (the CZ and PZ; Figure 7A). The alignment of the PC AZ/CZ boundary with the border between the anterior and posterior EGL compartments-despite their very different embryological origins-suggests that the PC parasagittal architecture restricts GCP dispersal. The EGL transverse boundaries aligned with the CZp/PZ and PZ/NZ presumably arise in similar ways. Perinatally, the EGL boundaries are projected onto the nascent GL as the postmitotic GCs migrate along the radial Bergmann glial fibers and settle. Once the different GC lineages are in situ, hyper-heterogeneity appears—as evidenced by expression boundaries, blebs, and trigeminal terminal fields-all reproducible and in register with the overlying PC stripe architecture. It is highly unlikely that GC heterogeneity at this resolution is specified in the URL/EGL so we favor the interpretation that it is secondary to local inductive interactions with PCs and/or mossy fiber afferents (Ozol and Hawkes, 1997). Presumably, GC or PC heterogeneity at the molecular level is a substrate for the fine-tuning of mossy fiber pathways to reflect different input/output requirements and implies the possibility of a much richer mossy fiber input map than is usually appreciated, with up to several thousand parallel afferent pathways (Hawkes and Gravel, 1991).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

While much progress has been made in our understanding of GC development, numerous issues remain unclarified. First, the mechanism through which the *Atoh1*+ URL manages to generate multiple cell types—medial, interpositus, and lateral nuclear neurons, early- and late-born GCs, various UBC subtypes—is not clear. Does *Atoh1* label a population of region-specific stem cells or a heterogeneous pool of committed progenitors? In that case, do these committed progenitors originate from "universal" *Sox2*+ apical progenitors or an, as yet undiscovered, URL-specific, asymmetrically dividing stem cell restricted to glutamatergic fates? And if so, what marks this putative stem cell? An attractive candidate is the *Wls* gene, which labels apical progenitors negative for *Atoh1* (Yeung et al., 2014).

Second, not enough is known about the molecular mechanisms, extracellular cues, and cell-matrix interactions regulating the progression of GCPs from the URL into the EGL. Although previous studies have implicated both chemotactic signals and repulsive cues in the fine regulation of GCP migration into the URL, cell-type-specific inactivation or overexpression approaches may be required to obtain a more complete picture of this process. For example, early-born GCPs (E12.5) populate anterior segments of the EGL (Machold and Fishell, 2005; Badaloni et al., 2019) while later-born ones (E13.5-E15.5) spread throughout the AP axis of the cerebellar primordium, and the latest-born ones (E16.5) are mostly restricted to lobule X (Machold and Fishell, 2005). What molecules regulate their migration at each stage? The raw material to address this question has become available through exciting single-cell analyses of RNA expression over early cerebellar development. These data present a rich resource to mine to identify molecular pathways that would be assignable to various developmental events and epochs (Rosenberg et al., 2018; Hovestadt et al., 2019; Vladoiu et al., 2019; Wizeman et al., 2019).

Third, regarding clonal expansion, recent studies have classified pediatric medulloblastoma (MB) into four distinct molecular subgroups—WNT-dependent, SHH-dependent, Group 3, and Group 4 (reviewed in Northcott et al., 2019). WNT-dependent medulloblastoma has the most benign prognosis, while SHH MB is somewhat more severe (reviewed in Northcott et al., 2019). In particular, Group 3 medulloblastomas often metastasize, resulting in a poor prognosis, while Group 4 metastasizes less frequently and has an intermediate prognosis. Group 4 is the most frequent form of medulloblastoma accounting for 35% of all cases (reviewed in Northcott et al., 2019). Thus, recently discovered signaling pathways underlying clonal expansion (Kullmann et al., 2020) may provide new clues to its diagnosis and management.

Finally, the array of parallel fibers is highly conserved through vertebrate evolution and thus is important, but its development remains poorly understood. The mechanisms that restricts the extension of parallel fibers on the frontal plane (e.g., Berglund et al., 1999), their guidance within and across parasagittal domains, and the selection of their targets in the molecular layer are all largely unexplored. Which mechanisms lead parallel fibers to run orthogonal to the PC dendrites? Is the parallel array due to a cell-intrinsic control of cytoskeletal configuration, as is suggested from the parallel fiber disarray in the Pax6 mutant small eye (Sey, Engelkamp et al., 1999; Yamasaki et al., 2001)? Parallel fibers also have implications for cerebellar stripe architecture. Parallel fibers extend several millimeters mediolaterally and intersect, and synapse with, multiple PC stripes. This is curious. Why are mossy fiber terminal fields highly topographically organized and aligned with specific PC stripes (Ji and Hawkes, 1994; Apps et al., 2018) if the parallel fibers promptly throw all the specificity away?

In conclusion, we believe that GC development remains a vital research field, not only because it involves the origin of over half of all neurons in the brain but also for its far-reaching implications in developmental biology, human genetics, oncology, and regenerative medicine.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

These studies were supported by the Italian Telethon and Ataxia UK (GC), the Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, University of Calgary (RH), and the Centre

REFERENCES

- Ackerman, S. L., Kozak, L. P., Przyborski, S. A., Rund, L. A., Boyer, B. B., and Knowles, B. B. (1997). The mouse rostral cerebellar malformation gene encodes an UNC-5-like protein. *Nature* 386, 838–842. doi: 10.1038/386838a0
- Adams, N. C., Tomoda, T., Cooper, M., Dietz, G., and Hatten, M. E. (2002). Mice that lack astrotactin have slowed neuronal migration. *Development* 129, 965–972.
- Aguado, C., Fernández-Alacid, L., Cabañero, M. J., Yanagawa, Y., Schilling, K., Watanabe, M., et al. (2013). Differential maturation of GIRK2-expressing neurons in the mouse cerebellum. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* 47, 79–89. doi: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2012.11.001
- Aguilar, A., Meunier, A., Strehl, L., Martinovic, J., Bonniere, M., Attie-Bitach, T., et al. (2012). Analysis of human samples reveals impaired SHH-dependent cerebellar development in Joubert syndrome/meckel syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 109, 16951–16956. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1201408109
- Ahn, A. H., Dziennis, S., Hawkes, R., and Herrup, K. (1994). The cloning of zebrin II reveals its identity with aldolase C. *Development* 120, 2081–2090.
- Akazawa, C., Ishibashi, M., Shimizu, C., Nakanishi, S., and Kageyama, R. (1995). A mammalian helix-loop-helix factor structurally related to the product of *Drosophila* proneural gene atonal is a positive transcriptional regulator expressed in the developing nervous system. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 8730–8738. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.15.8730
- Alcantara, S., Ruiz, M., De Castro, F., Soriano, E., and Sotelo, C. (2000). Netrin 1 acts as an attractive or as a repulsive cue for distinct migrating neurons during the development of the cerebellar system. *Development* 127, 1359–1372.
- Alder, J., Lee, K. J., Jessell, T. M., and Hatten, M. E. (1999). Generation of cerebellar granule neurons *in vivo* by transplantation of BMP-treated neural progenitor cells. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 535–540. doi: 10.1038/9189
- Aldinger, K. A., Lehmann, O. J., Hudgins, L., Chizhikov, V. V., Bassuk, A. G., Ades, L. C., et al. (2009). FOXC1 is required for normal cerebellar development and is a major contributor to chromosome 6p25.3 dandy-walker malformation. *Nat. Genet.* 41, 1037–1042. doi: 10.1038/ng.422
- Altman, J., and Bayer, S. A. (1997). Development of the Cerebellar System in Relation to Its Evolution, Structure and Functions. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Anne, S. L., Govek, E.-E., Ayrault, O., Kim, J. H., Zhu, X., Murphy, D. A., et al. (2013). WNT3 inhibits cerebellar granule neuron progenitor proliferation and medulloblastoma formation via MAPK activation. PLoS One 8:e81769. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081769
- Apps, R., and Hawkes, R. (2009). Cerebellar cortical organization: a one-map hypothesis. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 670–681. doi: 10.1038/nrn2698
- Apps, R., Hawkes, R., Aoki, S., Bengtsson, F., Brown, A. M., Chen, G., et al. (2018). Cerebellar modules and their role as operational cerebellar processing units: a consensus article [corrected]. *Cerebellum* 17, 654–682. doi: 10.1007/s12311-018-0952-3
- Armstrong, C., and Hawkes, R. (2001). Selective Purkinje cell ectopia in the cerebellum of the weaver mouse. J. Comp. Neurol. 439, 151–161. doi: 10.1002/cne.1339
- Armstrong, C. L., and Hawkes, R. (2013). Pattern Formation in the Cerebellum. Colloquium digital library of life sciences. San Rafael: Morgan and Claypool.
- Armstrong, C. L., Krueger-Naug, A. M., Currie, R. W., and Hawkes, R. (2000). Constitutive expression of the 25-kDa heat shock protein Hsp25 reveals novel parasagittal bands of Purkinje cells in the adult mouse

for Molecular Medicine and Therapeutics and the Brain, Behaviour, and Development Themes at the University of British Columbia (DG).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Joanna Yeung, Joshua Wu, Miguel Ramirez, Derek Wu, and Matthew Liu (DG), and Laura Croci (GC) for the helpful discussions.

cerebellar cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. 416, 383–397. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(20000117)416:3<383::aid-cne9>3.0.co;2-m

- Aruga, J., and Millen, K. J. (2018). ZIC1 function in normal cerebellar development and human developmental pathology. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1046, 249–268. doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-7311-3_13
- Aruga, J., Inoue, T., Hoshino, J., and Mikoshiba, K. (2002). Zic2 controls cerebellar development in cooperation with Zic1. J. Neurosci. 22, 218–225. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-01-00218.2002
- Aruga, J., Minowa, O., Yaginuma, H., Kuno, J., Nagai, T., Noda, T., et al. (1998). Mouse zic1 is involved in cerebellar development. J. Neurosci. 18, 284–293. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-01-00284.1998
- Aruga, J., Yokota, N., Hashimoto, M., Furuichi, T., Fukuda, M., and Mikoshiba, K. (1994). A novel zinc finger protein, zic, is involved in neurogenesis, especially in the cell lineage of cerebellar granule cells. *J. Neurochem.* 63, 1880–1890. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.1994.63051880.x
- Badaloni, A., Casoni, F., Croci, L., Chiara, F., Bizzoca, A., Gennarini, G., et al. (2019). Dynamic expression and new functions of early b cell factor 2 in cerebellar development. *Cerebellum* 18, 999–1010. doi: 10.1007/s12311-019-01051-3
- Bashford, A. L., and Subramanian, V. (2019). Mice with a conditional deletion of Talpid3 (KIAA0586)—a model for joubert syndrome. *J. Pathol.* 248, 396–408. doi: 10.1002/path.5271
- Beierbach, E., Park, C., Ackerman, S. L., Goldowitz, D., and Hawkes, R. (2001). Abnormal dispersion of a Purkinje cell subset in the mouse mutant cerebellar deficient folia (CDF). J. Comp. Neurol. 436, 42–51. doi: 10.1002/cne.1052
- Ben-Arie, N., Bellen, H. J., Armstrong, D. L., Mccall, A. E., Gordadze, P. R., Guo, Q., et al. (1997). Math1 is essential for genesis of cerebellar granule neurons. *Nature* 390, 169–172. doi: 10.1038/36579
- Ben-Arie, N., Mccall, A. E., Berkman, S., Eichele, G., Bellen, H. J., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (1996). Evolutionary conservation of sequence and expression of the bHLH protein Atonal suggests a conserved role in neurogenesis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 5, 1207–1216. doi: 10.1093/hmg/5.9.1207
- Berglund, E. O., Murai, K. K., Fredette, B., Sekerkova, G., Marturano, B., Weber, L., et al. (1999). Ataxia and abnormal cerebellar microorganization in mice with ablated contactin gene expression. *Neuron* 24, 739–750. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)81126-5
- Bignami, A., and Dahl, D. (1974). The development of bergmann glia in mutant mice with cerebellar malformations: reeler, staggerer and weaver. Immunofluorescence study with antibodies to the glial fibrillary acidic protein. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 155, 219–229. doi: 10.1002/cne.901550207
- Bignami, A., Eng, L. F., Dahl, D., and Uyeda, C. T. (1972). Localization of the glial fibrillary acidic protein in astrocytes by immunofluorescence. *Brain Res.* 43, 429–435. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(72)90398-8
- Bix, G. J., and Clark, G. D. (1998). Platelet-activating factor receptor stimulation disrupts neuronal migration *in vitro*. J. Neurosci. 18, 307–318. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-01-00307.1998
- Bizzoca, A., Virgintino, D., Lorusso, L., Buttiglione, M., Yoshida, L., Polizzi, A., et al. (2003). Transgenic mice expressing F3/contactin from the TAG-1 promoter exhibit developmentally regulated changes in the differentiation of cerebellar neurons. *Development* 130, 29–43. doi: 10.1242/dev. 00183
- Blank, M. C., Grinberg, I., Aryee, E., Laliberte, C., Chizhikov, V. V., Henkelman, R. M., et al. (2011). Multiple developmental programs are altered

Granule Cell Development

by loss of zic1 and zic4 to cause dandy-walker malformation cerebellar pathogenesis. *Development* 138, 1207–1216. doi: 10.1242/dev.054114

- Borday, C., Cabochette, P., Parain, K., Mazurier, N., Janssens, S., Tran, H. T., et al. (2012). Antagonistic cross-regulation between wnt and hedgehog signaling pathways controls post-embryonic retinal proliferation. *Development* 139, 3499–3509. doi: 10.1242/dev.079582
- Bouchard, M., Pfeffer, P., and Busslinger, M. (2000). Functional equivalence of the transcription factors pax2 and pax5 in mouse development. *Development* 127, 3703–3713.
- Brochu, G., Maler, L., and Hawkes, R. (1990). Zebrin II: a polypeptide antigen expressed selectively by Purkinje cells reveals compartments in rat and fish cerebellum. J. Comp. Neurol. 291, 538–552. doi: 10.1002/cne.902910405
- Butts, T., Hanzel, M., and Wingate, R. J. (2014). Transit amplification in the amniote cerebellum evolved *via* a heterochronic shift in neuro D1 expression. *Development* 141, 2791–2795. doi: 10.1242/dev.101758
- Carballo, G. B., Honorato, J. R., De Lopes, G. P. F., and Spohr, T. (2018). A highlight on sonic hedgehog pathway. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 16:11. doi: 10.1186/s12964-018-0220-7
- Casoni, F., Croci, L., Bosone, C., D'ambrosio, R., Badaloni, A., Gaudesi, D., et al. (2017). *Zfp423/ZNF423* regulates cell cycle progression, the mode of cell division and the DNA-damage response in Purkinje neuron progenitors. *Development* 144, 3686–3697. doi: 10.1242/dev.155077
- Casoni, F., Croci, L., Vincenti, F., Podini, P., Riba, M., Massimino, L., et al. (2020). ZFP423 regulates early patterning and multiciliogenesis in the hindbrain choroid plexus. *Development* 147:dev190173. doi: 10.1242/dev. 190173
- Cerminara, N. L., Lang, E. J., Sillitoe, R. V., and Apps, R. (2015). Redefining the cerebellar cortex as an assembly of non-uniform Purkinje cell microcircuits. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 79–93. doi: 10.1038/nrn3886
- Chaki, M., Airik, R., Ghosh, A. K., Giles, R. H., Chen, R., Slaats, G. G., et al. (2012). Exome capture reveals ZNF423 and CEP164 mutations, linking renal ciliopathies to DNA damage response signaling. *Cell* 150, 533–548. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.028
- Chang, C.-H., Zanini, M., Shirvani, H., Cheng, J.-S., Yu, H., Feng, C.-H., et al. (2019). Atoh1 controls primary cilia formation to allow for SHH-triggered granule neuron progenitor proliferation. *Dev. Cell* 48, 184.e5–199.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2018.12.017
- Chaplin, N., Tendeng, C., and Wingate, R. J. (2010). Absence of an external germinal layer in zebrafish and shark reveals a distinct, anamniote ground plan of cerebellum development. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 3048–3057. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6201-09.2010
- Chédotal, A. (2010). Should I stay or should i go? Becoming a granule cell. *Trends Neurosci.* 33, 163–172. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2010.01.004
- Chi, C. L., Martinez, S., Wurst, W., and Martin, G. R. (2003). The isthmic organizer signal FGF8 is required for cell survival in the prospective midbrain and cerebellum. *Development* 130, 2633–2644. doi: 10.1242/dev.00487
- Chizhikov, V. V., Davenport, J., Zhang, Q., Shih, E. K., Cabello, O. A., Fuchs, J. L., et al. (2007). Cilia proteins control cerebellar morphogenesis by promoting expansion of the granule progenitor pool. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 9780–9789. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5586-06.2007
- Chizhikov, V. V., Lindgren, A. G., Currle, D. S., Rose, M. F., Monuki, E. S., and Millen, K. J. (2006). The roof plate regulates cerebellar cell-type specification and proliferation. *Development* 133, 2793–2804. doi: 10.1242/dev.02441
- Chizhikov, V. V., Lindgren, A. G., Mishima, Y., Roberts, R. W., Aldinger, K. A., Miesegaes, G. R., et al. (2010). Lmx1a regulates fates and location of cells originating from the cerebellar rhombic lip and telencephalic cortical hem. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 107, 10725–10730. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0910786107
- Cho, J.-H., and Tsai, M.-J. (2006). Preferential posterior cerebellum defect in BETA2/neuroD1 knockout mice is the result of differential expression of BETA2/neuroD1 along anterior-posterior axis. *Dev. Biol.* 290, 125–138. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.11.024
- Choi, Y., Borghesani, P. R., Chan, J. A., and Segal, R. A. (2005). Migration from a mitogenic niche promotes cell-cycle exit. J. Neurosci. 25, 10437–10445. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1559-05.2005
- Chung, S.-H., Marzban, H., Croci, L., Consalez, G., and Hawkes, R. (2008). Purkinje cell subtype specification in the cerebellar cortex: ebf2 acts to repress the zebrin II-positive Purkinje cell phenotype. *Neuroscience* 153, 721–732. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.01.090

- Chung, S.-H., Sillitoe, R. V., Croci, L., Badaloni, A., Consalez, G., and Hawkes, R. (2009). Purkinje cell phenotype restricts the distribution of unipolar brush cells. *Neuroscience* 164, 1496–1508. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.09.080
- Clevers, H., and Nusse, R. (2012). Wnt/β-catenin signaling and disease. *Cell* 149, 1192–1205. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.012
- Consalez, G. G., and Hawkes, R. (2013). The compartmental restriction of cerebellar interneurons. *Front. Neural Circuits* 6:123. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2012. 00123
- Corbit, K. C., Aanstad, P., Singla, V., Norman, A. R., Stainier, D. Y., and Reiter, J. F. (2005). Vertebrate smoothened functions at the primary cilium. *Nature* 437, 1018–1021. doi: 10.1038/nature04117
- Corrales, J. D., Rocco, G. L., Blaess, S., Guo, Q., and Joyner, A. L. (2004). Spatial pattern of sonic hedgehog signaling through gli genes during cerebellum development. *Development* 131, 5581–5590. doi: 10.1242/dev.01438
- Croci, L., Barili, V., Chia, D., Massimino, L., Van Vugt, R., Masserdotti, G., et al. (2011). Local insulin-like growth factor I expression is essential for Purkinje neuron survival at birth. *Cell Death Differ*. 18, 48–59. doi: 10.1038/cdd. 2010.78
- Croci, L., Chung, S. H., Masserdotti, G., Gianola, S., Bizzoca, A., Gennarini, G., et al. (2006). A key role for the HLH transcription factor EBF2^{COE2,O/E-3} in Purkinje neuron migration and cerebellar cortical topography. *Development* 133, 2719–2729. doi: 10.1242/dev.02437
- Dahmane, N., and Ruiz-I-Altaba, A. (1999). Sonic hedgehog regulates the growth and patterning of the cerebellum. *Development* 126, 3089–3100.
- Dang, P., Smythe, E., and Furley, A. J. (2012). TAG1 regulates the endocytic trafficking and signaling of the semaphorin3A receptor complex. J. Neurosci. 32, 10370–10382. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5874-11.2012
- D'Arcangelo, G. (2014). Reelin in the years: controlling neuronal migration and maturation in the mammalian brain. Adv. Neurosci. 2014:19. doi: 10.1155/2014/597395
- Dastjerdi, F. V., Consalez, G. G., and Hawkes, R. (2012). Pattern formation during development of the embryonic cerebellum. *Front. Neuroanat.* 6:10. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2012.00010
- Di Pietro, C., Marazziti, D., La Sala, G., Abbaszadeh, Z., Golini, E., Matteoni, R., et al. (2017). Primary cilia in the murine cerebellum and in mutant models of medulloblastoma. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* 37, 145–154. doi: 10.1007/s10571-016-0354-3
- Divya, T. S., Lalitha, S., Parvathy, S., Subashini, C., Sanalkumar, R., Dhanesh, S. B., et al. (2016). Regulation of Tlx3 by Pax6 is required for the restricted expression of chrnα3 in cerebellar granule neuron progenitors during development. *Sci. Rep.* 6:30337. doi: 10.1038/srep30337
- Dorgans, K., Demais, V., Bailly, Y., Poulain, B., Isope, P., and Doussau, F. (2018). Molecular and functional heterogeneity of cerebellar granule cell terminals expands temporal coding in molecular layer interneurons. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/338152
- Doughty, M. L., Lohof, A., Campana, A., Delhaye-Bouchaud, N., and Mariani, J. (1998). Neurotrophin-3 promotes cerebellar granule cell exit from the EGL. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 10, 3007–3011. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.1998.00333.x
- Dymecki, S. M., and Tomasiewicz, H. (1998). Using Flp-recombinase to characterize expansion of wnt1-expressing neural progenitors in the mouse. *Dev. Biol.* 201, 57–65. doi: 10.1006/dbio.1998.8971
- Edmondson, J. C., and Hatten, M. E. (1987). Glial-guided granule neuron migration *in vitro*: a high-resolution time-lapse video microscopic study. *J. Neurosci.* 7, 1928–1934. doi: 10.1523/INEUROSCI.07-06-01928.1987
- Edmondson, J. C., Liem, R. K., Kuster, J. E., and Hatten, M. E. (1988). Astrotactin: a novel neuronal cell surface antigen that mediates neuron-astroglial interactions in cerebellar microcultures. *J. Cell Biol.* 106, 505–517. doi: 10.1083/jcb. 106.2.505
- Eisenman, L. M., and Brothers, R. (1998). Rostral cerebellar malformation (rcm/rcm): a murine mutant to study regionalization of the cerebellum. J. Comp. Neurol. 394, 106–117. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(19980427)394:1<106::aid-cne8>3.0.co;2-4
- Eisenman, L. M., and Hawkes, R. (1989). 5'-nucleotidase and the mabQ113 antigen share a common distribution in the cerebellar cortex of the mouse. *Neuroscience* 31, 231–235. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(89) 90045-6
- Eisenman, L. M., Gallagher, E., and Hawkes, R. (1998). Regionalization defects in the weaver mouse cerebellum. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 394, 431–444.

- Engelkamp, D., Rashbass, P., Seawright, A., and Van Heyningen, V. (1999). Role of Pax6 in development of the cerebellar system. *Development* 126, 3585–3596.
- Famulski, J. K., Trivedi, N., Howell, D., Yang, Y., Tong, Y., Gilbertson, R., et al. (2010). Siah regulation of pard3A controls neuronal cell adhesion during germinal zone exit. *Science* 330, 1834–1838. doi: 10.1126/science.1198480
- Farioli-Vecchioli, S., Ciná, I., Ceccarelli, M., Micheli, L., Leonardi, L., Ciotti, M. T., et al. (2012). Tis21 knock-out enhances the frequency of medulloblastoma in patched1 heterozygous mice by inhibiting the cxcl3-dependent migration of cerebellar neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 15547–15564. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 0412-12.2012
- Feirabend, H. K. (1990). Development of longitudinal patterns in the cerebellum of the chicken (gallus domesticus): a cytoarchitectural study on the genesis of cerebellar modules. *Eur. J. Morphol.* 28, 169–223.
- Fishell, G., and Hatten, M. E. (1991). Astrotactin provides a receptor system for CNS neuronal migration. *Development* 113, 755–765.
- Frank, C. L., Liu, F., Wijayatunge, R., Song, L., Biegler, M. T., Yang, M. G., et al. (2015). Regulation of chromatin accessibility and zic binding at enhancers in the developing cerebellum. *Nat. Neurosci.* 18, 647–656. doi: 10.1038/ nn.3995
- Frantz, G. D., Weimann, J. M., Levin, M. E., and Mcconnell, S. K. (1994). Otx1 and Otx2 define layers and regions in developing cerebral cortex and cerebellum. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 5725–5740. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-10-05725.1994
- Furley, A. J., Morton, S. B., Manalo, D., Karagogeos, D., Dodd, J., and Jessell, T. M. (1990). The axonal glycoprotein TAG-1 is an immunoglobulin superfamily member with neurite outgrowth-promoting activity. *Cell* 61, 157–170. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90223-2
- Gallagher, E., Howell, B. W., Soriano, P., Cooper, J. A., and Hawkes, R. (1998). Cerebellar abnormalities in the disabled (mdab1-1) mouse. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 402, 238–251.
- Gao, W. Q., Liu, X. L., and Hatten, M. E. (1992). The weaver gene encodes a nonautonomous signal for CNS neuronal differentiation. *Cell* 68, 841–854. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90028-b
- Gaston-Massuet, C., Henderson, D. J., Greene, N. D. E., and Copp, A. J. (2005). Zic4, a zinc-finger transcription factor, is expressed in the developing mouse nervous system. *Dev. Dyn.* 233, 1110–1115. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.20417
- Gilthorpe, J. D., Papantoniou, E.-K., Chédotal, A., Lumsden, A., and Wingate, R. J. T. (2002). The migration of cerebellar rhombic lip derivatives. *Development* 129, 4719–4728.
- Goldowitz, D. (1989). Cell allocation in mammalian CNS formation: evidence from murine interspecies aggregation chimeras. *Neuron* 3, 705–713. doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(89)90239-0
- Goldowitz, D., and Mullen, R. J. (1982). Granule cell as a site of gene action in the weaver mouse cerebellum: evidence from heterozygous mutant chimeras. *J. Neurosci.* 2, 1474–1485. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.02-10-01474.1982
- Goldowitz, D., and Smeyne, R. J. (1995). Tune into the weaver channel. *Nat. Genet.* 11, 107–109. doi: 10.1038/ng1095-107
- Goldowitz, D., Hamre, K. M., Przyborski, S. A., and Ackerman, S. L. (2000). Granule cells and cerebellar boundaries: analysis of Unc5h3 mutant chimeras. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 4129–4137. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-11-04129.2000
- Gregory, W. A., Edmondson, J. C., Hatten, M. E., and Mason, C. A. (1988). Cytology and neuron-glial apposition of migrating cerebellar granule cells in vitro. J. Neurosci. 8, 1728–1738. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.08-05-01728.1988
- Grinberg, I., Northrup, H., Ardinger, H., Prasad, C., Dobyns, W. B., and Millen, K. J. (2004). Heterozygous deletion of the linked genes ZIC1 and ZIC4 is involved in Dandy-Walker malformation. *Nat. Genet.* 36, 1053–1055. doi: 10.1038/ng1420
- Gundappa-Sulur, G., De Schutter, E., and Bower, J. M. (1999). Ascending granule cell axon: an important component of cerebellar cortical circuitry. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 408, 580–596. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(19990614)408:4<580::aid-cne11>3.0.co;2-0
- Hagihara, K., Zhang, E. E., Ke, Y.-H., Liu, G., Liu, J.-J., Rao, Y., et al. (2009). Shp2 acts downstream of SDF-1alpha/CXCR4 in guiding granule cell migration during cerebellar development. *Dev. Biol.* 334, 276–284. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio. 2009.07.029
- Haldipur, P., Bharti, U., Govindan, S., Sarkar, C., Iyengar, S., Gressens, P., et al. (2012). Expression of sonic hedgehog during cell proliferation in the human cerebellum. *Stem Cells Dev.* 21, 1059–1068. doi: 10.1089/scd.2011.0206

- Hallonet, M. E., and Le Douarin, N. M. (1993). Tracing neuroepithelial cells of the mesencephalic and metencephalic alar plates during cerebellar ontogeny in quail-chick chimaeras. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 5, 1145–1155. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568. 1993.tb00969.x
- Hamre, K. M., and Goldowitz, D. (1997). Meander tail acts intrinsic to granule cell precursors to disrupt cerebellar development: analysis of meander tail chimeric mice. *Development* 124, 4201–4212.
- Hanks, M., Wurst, W., Anson-Cartwright, L., Auerbach, A., and Joyner, A. (1995). Rescue of the en-1 mutant phenotype by replacement of en-1 with en-2. *Science* 269, 679–682. doi: 10.1126/science.7624797
- Hanold, K. C. (1986). Teratogenic potential of valproic acid. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Neonatal Nurs. 15, 111–116. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6909.1986.tb01376.x
- Hashimoto, M., and Hibi, M. (2012). Development and evolution of cerebellar neural circuits. Dev. Growth Differ. 54, 373–389. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-169X. 2012.01348.x
- Hatten, M. E., and Liem, R. K. (1981). Astroglial cells provide a template for the positioning of developing cerebellar neurons *in vitro*. J. Cell. Biol. 90, 622–630. doi: 10.1083/jcb.90.3.622
- Hatten, M. E., and Sidman, R. L. (1977). Plant lectins detect age and region specific differences in cell surface carbohydrates and cell reassociation behavior of embryonic mouse cerebellar cells. J. Supramol. Struct. 7, 267–275. doi: 10.1002/jss.400070210
- Hatton, B. A., Knoepfler, P. S., Kenney, A. M., Rowitch, D. H., De Alborán, I. M., Olson, J. M., et al. (2006). N-myc is an essential downstream effector of shh signaling during both normal and neoplastic cerebellar growth. *Cancer Res.* 66, 8655–8661. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1621
- Hausmann, B., and Sievers, J. (1985). Cerebellar external granule cells are attached to the basal lamina from the onset of migration up to the end of their proliferative activity. J. Comp. Neurol. 241, 50–62. doi: 10.1002/cne.902410105
- Hawkes, R., Beierbach, E., and Tan, S. S. (1999). Granule cell dispersion is restricted across transverse boundaries in mouse chimeras. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 11, 3800–3808. doi: 10.1046/j.1460-9568.1999.00812.x
- Hawkes, R., Gallagher, E., and Ozol, K. (1997). Blebs in the mouse cerebellar granular layer as a sign of structural inhomogeneity: 1. Anterior lobe vermis. *Acta Anat.* 158, 205–214. doi: 10.1159/000147931
- Hawkes, R., Gallagher, E., and Ozol, K. (1998). Blebs in the mouse cerebellar granular layer as a sign of structural inhomogeneity: 2. Posterior lobe vermis. *Acta Anat.* 163, 47–55. doi: 10.1159/000046445
- Hawkes, R., and Gravel, C. (1991). The modular cerebellum. Prog. Neurobiol. 36, 309–327. doi: 10.1016/0301-0082(91)90004-k
- Hawkes, R., and Turner, R. W. (1994). Compartmentation of NADPH-diaphorase activity in the mouse cerebellar cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. 346, 499–516. doi: 10.1002/cne.903460404
- Haycraft, C. J., Banizs, B., Aydin-Son, Y., Zhang, Q., Michaud, E. J., and Yoder, B. K. (2005). Gli2 and gli3 localize to cilia and require the intraflagellar transport protein polaris for processing and function. *PLoS Genet.* 1:e53. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0010053
- Herculano-Houzel, S., Mota, B., and Lent, R. (2006). Cellular scaling rules for rodent brains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 12138–12143. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0604911103
- Hess, N. (1858). De Cerebelli Gyrorum Textura Disquisitiones Microscopicae. Dissertatio inauguralis. Tartu, Russia: Schünmann & Mattiesen.
- Hong, C.-J., and Hamilton, B. A. (2016). Zfp423 regulates sonic hedgehog signaling via primary cilium function. PLoS Genet. 12:e1006357. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006357
- Horn, Z., Behesti, H., and Hatten, M. E. (2018). N-cadherin provides a cis and trans ligand for astrotactin that functions in glial-guided neuronal migration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 115, 10556–10563. doi: 10.1073/pnas.18111 00115
- Hoshino, M., Nakamura, S., Mori, K., Kawauchi, T., Terao, M., Nishimura, Y. V., et al. (2005). Ptf1a, a bHLH transcriptional gene, defines GABAergic neuronal fates in cerebellum. *Neuron* 47, 201–213. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.007
- Hovestadt, V., Smith, K. S., Bihannic, L., Filbin, M. G., Shaw, M. L., Baumgartner, A., et al. (2019). Resolving medulloblastoma cellular architecture by single-cell genomics. *Nature* 572, 74–79. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1434-6
- Hu, A., and Song, B.-L. (2019). The interplay of patched, smoothened and cholesterol in hedgehog signaling. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 61, 31–38. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2019.06.008

- Hu, M. C., Mo, R., Bhella, S., Wilson, C. W., Chuang, P. T., Hui, C.-C., et al. (2006). GLI3-dependent transcriptional repression of gli1, gli2 and kidney patterning genes disrupts renal morphogenesis. *Development* 133, 569–578. doi: 10.1242/dev.02220
- Huangfu, D., and Anderson, K. V. (2005). Cilia and hedgehog responsiveness in the mouse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 102, 11325–11330. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0505328102
- Husmann, K., Faissner, A., and Schachner, M. (1992). Tenascin promotes cerebellar granule cell migration and neurite outgrowth by different domains in the fibronectin type III repeats. *J. Cell. Biol.* 116, 1475–1486. doi: 10.1083/jcb. 116.6.1475
- Iacopetti, P., Michelini, M., Stuckmann, I., Oback, B., Aaku-Saraste, E., and Huttner, W. B. (1999). Expression of the antiproliferative gene TIS21 at the onset of neurogenesis identifies single neuroepithelial cells that switch from proliferative to neuron-generating division. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 96, 4639–4644. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.8.4639

Ito, M. (1984). The Cerebellum and Neural Control. New York, NY: Raven Press.

- Jacob, J., and Briscoe, J. (2003). Gli proteins and the control of spinal-cord patterning. *EMBO Rep.* 4, 761–765. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor. embor896
- Jensen, P., Smeyne, R., and Goldowitz, D. (2004). Analysis of cerebellar development in math1 null embryos and chimeras. J. Neurosci. 24, 2202–2211. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3427-03.2004
- Ji, Z., and Hawkes, R. (1994). Topography of Purkinje cell compartments and mossy fiber terminal fields in lobules II and III of the rat cerebellar cortex: spinocerebellar and cuneocerebellar projections. *Neuroscience* 61, 935–954. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(94)90414-6
- Kenney, A. M., Cole, M. D., and Rowitch, D. H. (2003). Nmyc upregulation by sonic hedgehog signaling promotes proliferation in developing cerebellar granule neuron precursors. *Development* 130, 15–28. doi: 10.1242/dev. 00182
- Kerjan, G., Dolan, J., Haumaitre, C., Schneider-Maunoury, S., Fujisawa, H., Mitchell, K. J., et al. (2005). The transmembrane semaphorin Sema6A controls cerebellar granule cell migration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1516–1524. doi: 10.1038/nn1555
- Klisch, T. J., Xi, Y., Flora, A., Wang, L., Li, W., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (2011). *In vivo* atoh1 targetome reveals how a proneural transcription factor regulates cerebellar development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 108, 3288–3293. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1100230108
- Knoepfler, P. S., Cheng, P. F., and Eisenman, R. N. (2002). N-myc is essential during neurogenesis for the rapid expansion of progenitor cell populations and the inhibition of neuronal differentiation. *Genes Dev.* 16, 2699–2712. doi: 10.1101/gad.1021202
- Komuro, H., and Rakic, P. (1992). Selective role of N-type calcium channels in neuronal migration. *Science* 257, 806–809. doi: 10.1126/science.13 23145
- Komuro, H., and Rakic, P. (1996). Intracellular Ca²⁺ fluctuations modulate the rate of neuronal migration. *Neuron* 17, 275–285. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80159-2
- Komuro, H., Yacubova, E., Yacubova, E., and Rakic, P. (2001). Mode and tempo of tangential cell migration in the cerebellar external granular layer. J. Neurosci. 21, 527–540. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-02-00527.2001
- Konishi, Y., Stegmuller, J., Matsuda, T., Bonni, S., and Bonni, A. (2004). Cdh1-APC controls axonal growth and patterning in the mammalian brain. *Science* 303, 1026–1030. doi: 10.1126/science.1093712
- Krizhanovsky, V., and Ben-Arie, N. (2006). A novel role for the choroid plexus in BMP-mediated inhibition of differentiation of cerebellar neural progenitors. *Mech. Dev.* 123, 67–75. doi: 10.1016/j.mod.2005.09.005
- Kullmann, J. A., Trivedi, N., Howell, D., Laumonnerie, C., Nguyen, V., Banerjee, S. S., et al. (2020). Oxygen tension and the VHL-Hif1α pathway determine onset of neuronal polarization and cerebellar germinal zone exit. *Neuron* 106, 607.e5–623.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.02.025
- Lackey, E. P., Heck, D. H., and Sillitoe, R. V. (2018). Recent advances in understanding the mechanisms of cerebellar granule cell development and function and their contribution to behavior. *F1000Res.* 7:F1000. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.15021.1
- Lannoo, M. J., Ross, L., Maler, L., and Hawkes, R. (1991). Development of the cerebellum and its extracerebellar Purkinje cell projection in teleost fishes as

determined by zebrin II immunocytochemistry. Prog. Neurobiol. 37, 329–363. doi: 10.1016/0301-0082(91)90022-s

- Lee, S. J., Lindsey, S., Graves, B., Yoo, S., Olson, J. M., and Langhans, S. A. (2013). Sonic hedgehog-induced histone deacetylase activation is required for cerebellar granule precursor hyperplasia in medulloblastoma. *PLoS One* 8:e71455. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071455
- Legué, E., Riedel, E., and Joyner, A. L. (2015). Clonal analysis reveals granule cell behaviors and compartmentalization that determine the folded morphology of the cerebellum. *Development* 142, 1661–1671. doi: 10.1242/dev. 120287
- Leto, K., Arancillo, M., Becker, E. B., Buffo, A., Chiang, C., Ding, B., et al. (2015). Consensus article: cerebellar development. *Cerebellum* 15, 789–828. doi: 10.1007/s12311-015-0724-2
- Leto, K., and Rossi, F. (2012). Specification and differentiation of cerebellar GABAergic neurons. *Cerebellum* 11, 434–435. doi: 10.1007/s12311-011-0324-8
- Lewis, P. M., Gritli-Linde, A., Smeyne, R., Kottmann, A., and Mcmahon, A. P. (2004). Sonic hedgehog signaling is required for expansion of granule neuron precursors and patterning of the mouse cerebellum. *Dev. Biol.* 270, 393–410. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.03.007
- Lin, J. C., and Cepko, C. L. (1998). Granule cell raphes and parasagittal domains of Purkinje cells: complementary patterns in the developing chick cerebellum. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 9342–9353. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-22-09 342.1998
- Liu, A., and Niswander, L. A. (2005). Bone morphogenetic protein signaling and vertebrate nervous system development. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 945–954. doi: 10.1038/nrn1805
- Liu, A., Wang, B., and Niswander, L. A. (2005). Mouse intraflagellar transport proteins regulate both the activator and repressor functions of gli transcription factors. *Development* 132, 3103–3111. doi: 10.1242/dev.01894
- Llinás, R., and Negrello, M. N. (2015). Cerebellum. *Scholarpedia* 10:4606. doi: 10.4249/scholarpedia.4606
- Logan, C., Millar, C., Bharadia, V., and Rouleau, K. (2002). Onset of tlx-3 expression in the chick cerebellar cortex correlates with the morphological development of fissures and delineates a posterior transverse boundary. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 448, 138–149. doi: 10.1002/cne.10234
- Lospinoso Severini, L., Ghirga, F., Bufalieri, F., Quaglio, D., Infante, P., and Di Marcotullio, L. (2020). The SHH/GLI signaling pathway: a therapeutic target for medulloblastoma. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 24, 1159–1181. doi: 10.1080/14728222.2020.1823967
- Lu, Q., Sun, E. E., Klein, R. S., and Flanagan, J. G. (2001). Ephrin-B reverse signaling is mediated by a novel PDZ-RGS protein and selectively inhibits G protein-coupled chemoattraction. *Cell* 105, 69–79. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00297-5
- Ma, Q., Jones, D., Borghesani, P. R., Segal, R. A., Nagasawa, T., Kishimoto, T., et al. (1998). Impaired B-lymphopoiesis, myelopoiesis and derailed cerebellar neuron migration in CXCR4- and SDF-1-deficient mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U S A 95, 9448–9453. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.16.9448
- Machold, R., and Fishell, G. (2005). Math1 is expressed in temporally discrete pools of cerebellar rhombic-lip neural progenitors. *Neuron* 48, 17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.08.028
- Machold, R., Klein, C., and Fishell, G. (2011). Genes expressed in ATOH1 neuronal lineages arising from the r1/isthmus rhombic lip. *Gene. Expr. Patterns* 11, 349–359. doi: 10.1016/j.gep.2011.03.007
- Machold, R. P., Kittell, D. J., and Fishell, G. J. (2007). Antagonism between Notch and bone morphogenetic protein receptor signaling regulates neurogenesis in the cerebellar rhombic lip. *Neural Dev.* 2:5. doi: 10.1186/1749-8104-2-5
- Marani, E., and Tetteroo, P. A. (1983). A longitudinal band-pattern for the monoclonal human granulocyte antibody B4,3 in the cerebellar external granular layer of the immature rabbit. *Histochemistry* 78, 157–161. doi: 10.1007/BF00489494
- Marigo, V., Davey, R. A., Zuo, Y., Cunningham, J. M., and Tabin, C. J. (1996). Biochemical evidence that patched is the hedgehog receptor. *Nature* 384, 176–179. doi: 10.1038/384176a0
- Marr, D. (1969). A theory of cerebellar cortex. J. Physiol. 202, 437-470. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1969.sp008820
- Marzban, H., Hoy, N., Aavani, T., Sarko, D. K., Catania, K. C., and Hawkes, R. (2011). Compartmentation of the cerebellar cortex in the naked mole-rat

(Heterocephalus Glaber). Cerebellum 10, 435–448. doi: 10.1007/s12311-011-0251-8

- May, S. R., Ashique, A. M., Karlen, M., Wang, B., Shen, Y., Zarbalis, K., et al. (2005). Loss of the retrograde motor for IFT disrupts localization of SMO to cilia and prevents the expression of both activator and repressor functions of gli. *Dev. Biol.* 287, 378–389. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2005. 08.050
- McAndrew, P. E., Frostholm, A., Evans, J. E., Zdilar, D., Goldowitz, D., Chiu, I. M., et al. (1998). Novel receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase (RPTPrho) and acidic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-1) transcripts delineate a rostrocaudal boundary in the granule cell layer of the murine cerebellar cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 391, 444–455. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(19980222)391:4<444::aidcne3>3.0.co;2-0
- McMahon, A. P., and Bradley, A. (1990). The wnt-1 (int-1) proto-oncogene is required for development of a large region of the mouse brain. *Cell* 62, 1073–1085. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90385-r
- Meek, J., Hafmans, T. G., Maler, L., and Hawkes, R. (1992). Distribution of zebrin II in the gigantocerebellum of the mormyrid fish *Gnathonemus petersii* compared with other teleosts. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 316, 17–31. doi: 10.1002/cne. 903160103
- Miale, I. L., and Sidman, R. L. (1961). An autoradiographic analysis of histogenesis in the mouse cerebellum. *Exp. Neurol.* 4, 277–296. doi: 10.1016/0014-4886(61)90055-3
- Millet, S., Bloch-Gallego, E., Simeone, A., and Alvarado-Mallart, R. M. (1996). The caudal limit of Otx2 gene expression as a marker of the midbrain/hindbrain boundary: a study using *in situ* hybridization and chick/quail homotopic grafts. *Development* 122, 3785–3797.
- Millonig, J. H., Millen, K. J., and Hatten, M. E. (2000). The mouse dreher gene lmx1a controls formation of the roof plate in the vertebrate CNS. *Nature* 403, 764–769. doi: 10.1038/35001573
- Mimeault, M., and Batra, S. K. (2010). Frequent deregulations in the hedgehog signaling network and cross-talks with the epidermal growth factor receptor pathway involved in cancer progression and targeted therapies. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 62, 497–524. doi: 10.1124/pr.109.002329
- Miyata, T., Maeda, T., and Lee, J. E. (1999). NeuroD is required for differentiation of the granule cells in the cerebellum and hippocampus. *Genes Dev.* 13, 1647–1652. doi: 10.1101/gad.13.13.1647
- Miyazawa, K., Himi, T., Garcia, V., Yamagishi, H., Sato, S., and Ishizaki, Y. (2000). A role for p27/Kip1 in the control of cerebellar granule cell precursor proliferation. J. Neurosci. 20, 5756–5763. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-15-05756.2000
- Moore, S. W., Tessier-Lavigne, M., and Kennedy, T. E. (2007). Netrins and their receptors. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 621, 17–31. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-76715-4_2
- Nagai, T., Aruga, J., Takada, S., Gunther, T., Sporle, R., Schughart, K., et al. (1997). The expression of the mouse Zic1, Zic2 and Zic3 gene suggests an essential role for Zic genes in body pattern formation. *Dev. Biol.* 182, 299–313. doi: 10.1006/dbio.1996.8449
- Nakamura, T., Ueyama, T., Ninoyu, Y., Sakaguchi, H., Choijookhuu, N., Hishikawa, Y., et al. (2017). Novel role of rac-midl signaling in medial cerebellar development. *Development* 144, 1863–1875. doi: 10.1242/dev.147900
- Nakashima, K., Umeshima, H., and Kengaku, M. (2015). Cerebellar granule cells are predominantly generated by terminal symmetric divisions of granule cell precursors. *Dev. Dyn.* 244, 748–758. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.24276
- Nakata, K., Nagai, T., Aruga, J., and Mikoshiba, K. (1997). Xenopus zic3, a primary regulator both in neural and neural crest development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 94, 11980–11985. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.22.11980
- Napieralski, J. A., and Eisenman, L. M. (1993). Developmental analysis of the external granular layer in the meander tail mutant mouse: do cerebellar microneurons have independent progenitors? *Dev. Dyn.* 197, 244–254. doi: 10.1002/aja.1001970403
- Northcott, P. A., Robinson, G. W., Kratz, C. P., Mabbott, D. J., Pomeroy, S. L., Clifford, S. C., et al. (2019). Medulloblastoma. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 5:11. doi: 10.1038/s41572-019-0063-6
- Obersteiner, H. (1869). Beiträge zur kenntniss vom feineren bau der kleinhirnrinde, mit besonderer berücksichtigung der entwicklung. S.B. Akad. Wiss. Mien. Bd. 60, 101–114.
- Owa, T., Taya, S., Miyashita, S., Yamashita, M., Adachi, T., Yamada, K., et al. (2018). Meis1 coordinates cerebellar granule cell development by regulating

Pax6 transcription, BMP signaling and atoh1 degradation. J. Neurosci. 38, 1277-1294. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1545-17.2017

- Ozol, K., Hayden, J. M., Oberdick, J., and Hawkes, R. (1999). Transverse zones in the vermis of the mouse cerebellum. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 412, 95–111.
- Ozol, K. O., and Hawkes, R. (1997). Compartmentation of the granular layer of the cerebellum. *Histol. Histopathol.* 12, 171–184.
- Pan, N., Jahan, I., Lee, J., and Fritzsch, B. (2009). Defects in the cerebella of conditional *Neurod1* null mice correlate with effective *Tg* (*Atoh1-cre*) recombination and granule cell requirements for *Neurod1* for differentiation. *Cell Tissue Res.* 337, 407–428. doi: 10.1007/s00441-009-0826-6
- Patil, N., Cox, D. R., Bhat, D., Faham, M., Myers, R. M., and Peterson, A. S. (1995). A potassium channel mutation in weaver mice implicates membrane excitability in granule cell differentiation. *Nat. Genet.* 11, 126–129. doi: 10.1038/ng1095-126
- Pickford, L. B., Mayer, D. N., Bolin, L. M., and Rouse, R. V. (1989). Transiently expressed, neural-specific molecule associated with premigratory granule cells in postnatal mouse cerebellum. *J. Neurocytol.* 18, 465–478. doi: 10.1007/BF01474543
- Pieper, A., Rudolph, S., Wieser, G. L., Gotze, T., Miessner, H., Yonemasu, T., et al. (2019). NeuroD2 controls inhibitory circuit formation in the molecular layer of the cerebellum. *Sci. Rep.* 9:1448. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-37850-7
- Pons, S., Trejo, J. L., Martinez-Morales, J. R., and Marti, E. (2001). Vitronectin regulates Sonic hedgehog activity during cerebellum development through CREB phosphorylation. *Development* 128, 1481–1492.
- Pourquié, O., Hallonet, M. E., and Le Douarin, N. M. (1992). Association of BEN glycoprotein expression with climbing fiber axonogenesis in the avian cerebellum. *J. Neurosci.* 12, 1548–1557. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.12-04-015 48.1992
- Przyborski, S. A., Knowles, B. B., and Ackerman, S. L. (1998). Embryonic phenotype of Unc5h3 mutant mice suggests chemorepulsion during the formation of the rostral cerebellar boundary. *Development* 125, 41–50.
- Qin, L., Wine-Lee, L., Ahn, K. J., and Crenshaw, E. B. III (2006). Genetic analyses demonstrate that bone morphogenetic protein signaling is required for embryonic cerebellar development. J. Neurosci. 26, 1896–1905. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3202-05.2006
- Rakic, P. (1971). Neuron-glia relationship during granule cell migration in developing cerebellar cortex. A golgi and electronmicroscopic study in macacus rhesus. J. Comp. Neurol. 141, 283–312. doi: 10.1002/cne.901410303
- Rakic, P., and Sidman, R. L. (1973a). Organization of cerebellar cortex secondary to deficit of granule cells in weaver mutant mice. J. Comp. Neurol. 152, 133–161. doi: 10.1002/cne.901520203
- Rakic, P., and Sidman, R. L. (1973b). Sequence of developmental abnormalities leading to granule cell deficit in cerebellar cortex of weaver mutant mice. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 152, 103–132. doi: 10.1002/cne.901520202
- Rakic, P., and Sidman, R. L. (1973c). Weaver mutant mouse cerebellum: defective neuronal migration secondary to abnormality of Bergmann glia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 70, 240–244. doi: 10.1073/pnas.70.1.240
- Ramón Y Cajal, S. (1911). Histologie Du Système Nerveux De L'homme & Des Vertébrés. Paris: Maloine.
- Renaud, J., and Chédotal, A. (2014). Time-lapse analysis of tangential migration in Sema6A and PlexinA2 knockouts. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 63, 49–59. doi: 10.1016/j. mcn.2014.09.005
- Renaud, J., Kerjan, G., Sumita, I., Zagar, Y., Georget, V., Kim, D., et al. (2008). Plexin-A2 and its ligand, Sema6A, control nucleus-centrosome coupling in migrating granule cells. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 440–449. doi: 10.1038/ nn2064
- Rezai, Z., and Yoon, C. H. (1972). Abnormal rate of granule cell migration in the cerebellum of "weaver" mutant mice. *Dev. Biol.* 29, 17–26. doi: 10.1016/0012-1606(72)90039-5
- Rosenberg, A. B., Roco, C. M., Muscat, R. A., Kuchina, A., Sample, P., Yao, Z., et al. (2018). Single-cell profiling of the developing mouse brain and spinal cord with split-pool barcoding. *Science* 360, 176–182. doi: 10.1126/science. aam8999
- Ruiz i Altaba, A. (1999). Gli proteins encode context-dependent positive and negative functions: implications for development and disease. *Development* 126, 3205–3216.
- Ruiz i Altaba, A., Palma, V., and Dahmane, N. (2002). Hedgehog-gli signaling and the growth of the brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3, 24–33. doi: 10.1038/nrn704

- Ruppert, J. M., Kinzler, K. W., Wong, A. J., Bigner, S. H., Kao, F. T., Law, M. L., et al. (1988). The GLI-kruppel family of human genes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 8, 3104–3113. doi: 10.1128/mcb.8.8.3104
- Sarna, J. R., and Hawkes, R. (2003). Patterned Purkinje cell death in the cerebellum. Prog. Neurobiol. 70, 473–507. doi: 10.1016/s0301-0082(03)00114-x
- Sarna, J. R., Marzban, H., Watanabe, M., and Hawkes, R. (2006). Complementary stripes of phospholipase Cβ3 and Cβ4 expression by Purkinje cell subsets in the mouse cerebellum. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 496, 303–313. doi: 10.1002/cne. 20912
- Schilling, K., Schmidt, H. H., and Baader, S. L. (1994). Nitric oxide synthase expression reveals compartments of cerebellar granule cells and suggests a role for mossy fibers in their development. *Neuroscience* 59, 893–903. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(94)90293-3
- Seeds, N. W., Basham, M. E., and Haffke, S. P. (1999). Neuronal migration is retarded in mice lacking the tissue plasminogen activator gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 96, 14118–14123. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.24.14118
- Sekiguchi, M., Shimai, K., Guo, H., and Nowakowski, R. S. (1992). Cytoarchitectonic abnormalities in hippocampal formation and cerebellum of dreher mutant mouse. *Brain Res. Dev.* 67, 105–112. doi: 10.1016/0165-3806(92)90030-z
- Shambes, G. M., Gibson, J. M., and Welker, W. (1978). Fractured somatotopy in granule cell tactile areas of rat cerebellar hemispheres revealed by micromapping. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 15, 94–140. doi: 10.1159/000123774
- Shimomura, A., Patel, D., Wilson, S. M., Koehler, K. R., Khanna, R., and Hashino, E. (2015). Tlx3 promotes glutamatergic neuronal subtype specification through direct interactions with the chromatin modifier CBP. *PLoS One* 10:e0135060. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135060
- Sidman, R. L., Green, M. C., and Appel, S. (1965). Catalog of the Neurological Mutants of the Mouse. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sillitoe, R. V., Benson, M. A., Blake, D. J., and Hawkes, R. (2003). Abnormal dysbindin expression in cerebellar mossy fiber synapses in the mdx mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 6576–6585. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-16-06576.2003
- Sillitoe, R. V., Chung, S.-H., Fritschy, J.-M., Hoy, M., and Hawkes, R. (2008). Golgi cell dendrites are restricted by Purkinje cell stripe boundaries in the adult mouse cerebellar cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 2820–2826. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 4145-07.2008
- Sillitoe, R. V., George-Jones, N. A., Millen, K. J., and Hawkes, R. (2014). Purkinje cell compartmentalization in the cerebellum of the spontaneous mutant mouse dreher. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 219, 35–47. doi: 10.1007/s00429-012-0482-6
- Sleven, H., Welsh, S. J., Yu, J., Churchill, M. E., Wright, C. F., Henderson, A., et al. (2017). De novo mutations in EBF3 cause a neurodevelopmental syndrome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 100, 138–150. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.11.020
- Smeyne, R. J., and Goldowitz, D. (1989). Development and death of external granular layer cells in the weaver mouse cerebellum: a quantitative study. J. Neurosci. 9, 1608–1620. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.09-05-01608.1989
- Smeyne, R. J., Chu, T., Lewin, A., Bian, F., Sanlioglu, S., Kunsch, C., et al. (1995). Local control of granule cell generation by cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 6, 230–251. doi: 10.1006/mcne.1995.1019
- Solecki, D. J., Liu, X. L., Tomoda, T., Fang, Y., and Hatten, M. E. (2001). Activated notch2 signaling inhibits differentiation of cerebellar granule neuron precursors by maintaining proliferation. *Neuron* 31, 557–568. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00395-6
- Solecki, D. J., Model, L., Gaetz, J., Kapoor, T. M., and Hatten, M. E. (2004). Par6alpha signaling controls glial-guided neuronal migration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 1195–1203. doi: 10.1038/nn1332
- Solecki, D. J., Trivedi, N., Govek, E. E., Kerekes, R. A., Gleason, S. S., and Hatten, M. E. (2009). Myosin II motors and F-actin dynamics drive the coordinated movement of the centrosome and soma during CNS glial-guided neuronal migration. *Neuron* 63, 63–80. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.05.028
- Sotelo, C. (1975). Anatomical, physiological and biochemical studies of the cerebellum from mutant mice: II. Morphological study of cerebellar cortical neurons and circuits in the weaver mouse. *Brain Res.* 94, 19–44. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(75)90874-4
- Sotelo, C., and Changeux, J. P. (1974). Bergmann fibers and granular cell migration in the cerebellum of homozygous weaver mutant mouse. *Brain Res.* 77, 484–491. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(74)90636-2

- Spassky, N., Han, Y.-G., Aguilar, A., Strehl, L., Besse, L., Laclef, C., et al. (2008). Primary cilia are required for cerebellar development and shh-dependent expansion of progenitor pool. *Dev. Biol.* 317, 246–259. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio. 2008.02.026
- Stitt, T. N., and Hatten, M. E. (1990). Antibodies that recognize astrotactin block granule neuron binding to astroglia. *Neuron* 5, 639–649. doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(90)90218-5
- Surmeier, D. J., Mermelstein, P. G., and Goldowitz, D. (1996). The weaver mutation of GIRK2 results in a loss of inwardly rectifying K⁺ current in cerebellar granule cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 93, 11191–11195. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.20.11191
- Swanson, D. J., and Goldowitz, D. (2011). Experimental Sey mouse chimeras reveal the developmental deficiencies of Pax6-null granule cells in the postnatal cerebellum. *Dev. Biol.* 351, 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.11.018
- Tojo, H., Takami, K., Kaisho, Y., Nakata, M., Abe, T., Shiho, O., et al. (1995). Neurotrophin-3 is expressed in the posterior lobe of mouse cerebellum, but does not affect the cerebellar development. *Neurosci. Lett.* 192, 169–172. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(95)11637-c
- Toledo, A., Lang, F., Doengi, M., Morrison, H., Stein, V., and Baader, S. L. (2019). Merlin modulates process outgrowth and synaptogenesis in the cerebellum. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 224, 2121–2142. doi: 10.1007/s00429-019-01897-7
- Tong, K. K., and Kwan, K. M. (2013). Common partner Smad-independent canonical bone morphogenetic protein signaling in the specification process of the anterior rhombic lip during cerebellum development. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 33, 1925–1937. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01143-12
- Toresson, H., Potter, S. S., and Campbell, K. (2000). Genetic control of dorsalventral identity in the telencephalon: opposing roles for Pax6 and Gsh2. *Development* 127, 4361–4371.
- Trenkner, E., Hatten, M. E., and Sidman, R. L. (1978). Effect of ether-soluble serum components *in vitro* on the behavior of immature cerebellar cells in weaver mutant mice. *Neuroscience* 3, 1093–1100. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(78)90127-6
- Trenkner, E., and Sidman, R. L. (1977). Histogenesis of mouse cerebellum in microwell cultures. Cell reaggregation and migration, fiber and synapse formation. J. Cell. Biol. 75, 915–940. doi: 10.1083/jcb.75.3.915
- Trivedi, N., Stabley, D. R., Cain, B., Howell, D., Laumonnerie, C., Ramahi, J. S., et al. (2017). Drebrin-mediated microtubule-actomyosin coupling steers cerebellar granule neuron nucleokinesis and migration pathway selection. *Nat. Commun.* 8:14484. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14484
- Valente, E. M., Silhavy, J. L., Brancati, F., Barrano, G., Krishnaswami, S. R., Castori, M., et al. (2006). Mutations in CEP290, which encodes a centrosomal protein, cause pleiotropic forms of joubert syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 623–625. doi: 10.1038/ng1805
- Valera, A. M., Binda, F., Pawlowski, S. A., Dupont, J.-L., Casella, J.-F., Rothstein, J. D., et al. (2016). Stereotyped spatial patterns of functional synaptic connectivity in the cerebellar cortex. *eLife* 5:e09862. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 09862
- Vilz, T. O., Moepps, B., Engele, J., Molly, S., Littman, D. R., and Schilling, K. (2005). The SDF-1/CXCR4 pathway and the development of the cerebellar system. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 22, 1831–1839. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04378.x
- Vladoiu, M. C., El-Hamamy, I., Donovan, L. K., Farooq, H., Holgado, B. L., Sundaravadanam, Y., et al. (2019). Childhood cerebellar tumours mirror conserved fetal transcriptional programs. *Nature* 572, 67–73. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1158-7
- Waite, K. A., and Eng, C. (2003). From developmental disorder to heritable cancer: it's all in the BMP/TGF-β family. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4, 763–773. doi:10.1038/nrg1178
- Wallace, V. A. (1999). Purkinje-cell-derived sonic hedgehog regulates granule neuron precursor cell proliferation in the developing mouse cerebellum. *Curr. Biol.* 9, 445–448. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(99)80195-x
- Wang, H., Ge, G., Uchida, Y., Luu, B., and Ahn, S. (2011). Gli3 is required for maintenance and fate specification of cortical progenitors. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 6440–6448. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4892-10.2011
- Wang, V. Y., Rose, M. F., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (2005). Math1 expression redefines the rhombic lip derivatives and reveals novel lineages within the brainstem and cerebellum. *Neuron* 48, 31–43. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.08.024
- Wechsler-Reya, R. J., and Scott, M. P. (1999). Control of neuronal precursor proliferation in the cerebellum by sonic hedgehog. *Neuron* 22, 103–114. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80682-0

- Wilson, P. M., Fryer, R. H., Fang, Y., and Hatten, M. E. (2010). Astn2, a novel member of the astrotactin gene family, regulates the trafficking of ASTN1 during glial-guided neuronal migration. J. Neurosci. 30, 8529–8540. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0032-10.2010
- Wizeman, J. W., Guo, Q., Wilion, E. M., and Li, J. Y. (2019). Specification of diverse cell types during early neurogenesis of the mouse cerebellum. *eLife* 8:e42388. doi: 10.7554/eLife.42388
- Wolf, M. K. (1970). Anatomy of cultured mouse cerebellum: II. Organotypic migration of granule cells demonstrated by silver impregnantion of normal and mutant cultures. J. Comp. Neurol. 140, 281–298. doi: 10.1002/cne.9014 00304
- Xenaki, D., Martin, I. B., Yoshida, L., Ohyama, K., Gennarini, G., Grumet, M., et al. (2011). F3/contactin and TAG1 play antagonistic roles in the regulation of sonic hedgehog-induced cerebellar granule neuron progenitor proliferation. *Development* 138, 519–529. doi: 10.1242/dev. 051912
- Yamasaki, T., Kawaji, K., Ono, K., Bito, H., Hirano, T., Osumi, N., et al. (2001). Pax6 regulates granule cell polarization during parallel fiber formation in the developing cerebellum. *Development* 128, 3133–3144.
- Yang, H., Yang, C., Zhu, Q., Wei, M., Li, Y., Cheng, J., et al. (2019). Rack1 controls parallel fiber-purkinje cell synaptogenesis and synaptic transmission. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 13:539. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00539
- Yeung, J., Ha, T. J., Swanson, D. J., Choi, K., Tong, Y., and Goldowitz, D. (2014). Wls provides a new compartmental view of the rhombic lip in mouse cerebellar development. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 12527–12537. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1330-14.2014
- Yuzaki, M. (2011). Cbln1 and its family proteins in synapse formation and maintenance. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 21, 215–220. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2011. 01.010
- Zhao, H., Ayrault, O., Zindy, F., Kim, J. H., and Roussel, M. F. (2008). Post-transcriptional down-regulation of Atoh1/Math1 by bone morphogenic

proteins suppresses medulloblastoma development. Genes Dev. 22, 722–727. doi: 10.1101/gad.1636408

- Zheng, C., Heintz, N., and Hatten, M. E. (1996). CNS gene encoding astrotactin, which supports neuronal migration along glial fibers. *Science* 272, 417–419. doi: 10.1126/science.272.5260.417
- Zhou, H., Lin, Z., Voges, K., Ju, C., Gao, Z., Bosman, L. W., et al. (2014). Cerebellar modules operate at different frequencies. *eLife* 3:e02536. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 02536
- Zhu, Y., Yu, T., and Rao, Y. (2004). Temporal regulation of cerebellar EGL migration through a switch in cellular responsiveness to the meninges. *Dev. Biol.* 267, 153–164. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2003.10.037
- Zinyk, D. L., Mercer, E. H., Harris, E., Anderson, D. J., and Joyner, A. L. (1998). Fate mapping of the mouse midbrain-hindbrain constriction using a site-specific recombination system. *Curr. Biol.* 8, 665–668. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(98)70255-6
- Zou, Y. R., Kottmann, A. H., Kuroda, M., Taniuchi, I., and Littman, D. R. (1998). Function of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 in hematopoiesis and in cerebellar development. *Nature* 393, 595–599. doi: 10.1038/31269

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Consalez, Goldowitz, Casoni and Hawkes. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.