

*Article*

# **Defect Chemistry, Sodium Di**ff**usion and Doping Behaviour in NaFeO2 Polymorphs as Cathode Materials for Na-Ion Batteries: A Computational Study**

# **Navaratnarajah Kuganathan 1,2,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4826-5329) , Nikolaos Kelaidis [2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7116-4876) and Alexander Chroneos 1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2558-495X)**

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Materials, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK; alexander.chroneos@imperial.ac.uk
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry CV1 5FB, UK; ad0636@coventry.ac.uk
- **\*** Correspondence: n.kuganathan@imperial.ac.uk or ad0636@coventry.ac.uk

Received: 10 September 2019; Accepted: 2 October 2019; Published: 4 October 2019



Abstract: Minor metal-free sodium iron dioxide, NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, is a promising cathode material in sodium-ion batteries. Computational simulations based on the classical potentials were used to study the defects, sodium diffusion paths and cation doping behaviour in the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> polymorphs. The present simulations show good reproduction of both  $\alpha$ - and β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. The most thermodynamically favourable defect is Na Frenkel, whereas the second most favourable defect is the cation antisite, in which Na and Fe exchange their positions. The migration energies suggest that there is a very small difference in intrinsic Na mobility between the two polymorphs but their migration paths are completely different. A variety of aliovalent and isovalent dopants were examined. Subvalent doping by Co and Zn on the Fe site is calculated to be energetically favourable in  $\alpha$ - and  $β$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, suggesting the interstitial Na concentration can be increased by using this defect engineering strategy. Conversely, doping by Ge on Fe in  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> and Si (or Ge) on Fe in  $β$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> is energetically favourable to introduce a high concentration of Na vacancies that act as vehicles for the vacancy-assisted Na diffusion in NaFe $O<sub>2</sub>$ . Electronic structure calculations by using density functional theory (DFT) reveal that favourable dopants lead to a reduction in the band gap.

**Keywords:** NaFeO<sub>2</sub>; defects; Na-ion diffusion; dopants; atomistic simulation

# **1. Introduction**

There is a demand for high-capacity rechargeable batteries to be used in large scale energy storage devices such as electric vehicles and grid-scale energy storage systems. Lithium ion batteries were of intense interest to achieve this and significant effort has been devoted to explore novel materials to produce high capacity Li-ion batteries [\[1](#page-10-0)[–5\]](#page-10-1). However, there is a significant challenge to manufacture Li-ion batteries at large scale because of the low abundance and inhomogeneous distribution of lithium in the world. Furthermore, many lithium rich ores are found in remote areas leaving extraction and transportation difficult. After the commercial success of Li-ion batteries in portable applications, a considerable effort is currently devoted to Li-based supercapacitors, as they exhibit higher energy and power density compared to that of Li-ion batteries and can be used in large scale applications [\[6–](#page-10-2)[10\]](#page-10-3).



Sodium-ion batteries (NIBs) have recently attracted considerable interest because of the high elemental abundance with broader global distribution and the low cost of sodium [\[11](#page-10-4)[–13\]](#page-11-0). A variety of sodium-based cathode materials, including NaFePO<sub>4</sub> [\[14](#page-11-1)[–16\]](#page-11-2), Na<sub>2</sub>FePO<sub>4</sub>F [\[17](#page-11-3)[,18\]](#page-11-4), Na<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [\[19](#page-11-5)[,20\]](#page-11-6),  $Na_3V(PO_4)_2$  [\[21,](#page-11-7)[22\]](#page-11-8),  $Na_4Co_3(PO_4)_2P_2O_7$  [\[23\]](#page-11-9) and  $Na_2CoSiO_4$  [\[24\]](#page-11-10), have been synthesized and their electrochemical properties studied. There is a continuous active research on synthesizing novel cathode materials for NIBs to improve their capacity and its applicability in electrical vehicles.

Layered sodium transition metal dioxides—NaMO<sub>2</sub> (M = Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni) [\[25](#page-11-11)[–32\]](#page-11-12)—have been proposed as promising electrode materials for rechargeable NIBs due to their high volumetric and gravimetric densities. In addition,  $\text{NaMO}_2$  materials exhibit more transition metal redox compared to their Li analogue, owing to the larger radius of Na ions and multiple staking sequences [\[33\]](#page-11-13).

 $NaFeO<sub>2</sub>$  is an important cathode material for large-scale NIBs, owing to its low cost and environmentally benign nature  $[34,35]$  $[34,35]$ . There are two main polymorphs available for NaFeO<sub>2</sub>:  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (hexagonal) [\[36\]](#page-12-1) is a layered structure containing sheets of edge sharing FeO<sub>6</sub> and NaO<sub>6</sub> octahedrons. Electrode performance of  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> was first reported experimentally by Zhao et al. [\[37\]](#page-12-2). The electrochemical study by Yabuuchi et al. [\[38\]](#page-12-3) showed that a reversible capacity of 80–100 mg<sup>-1</sup> where the flat voltage of 3.3 V vs. Na metal can be delivered. Furthermore, its cycling performance was shown to be a reversible retention of 75% after 30 cycles [\[38\]](#page-12-3). Topotactic reaction studies ( $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{4+}$ redox without the destruction of crystal) reveal that theoretical capacity of 241.8mAhg<sup>-1</sup> can be achieved in  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> [\[39\]](#page-12-4). Another experimental study by Okada et al. [\[40\]](#page-12-5) shows that operating voltage of more than 3.4 V versus Na metal is possible with the  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{4+}$  redox.  $\beta$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (orthorhombic) [\[41\]](#page-12-6) has not been reported yet as an electrochemically active material for NIBs though there are other studies [\[42–](#page-12-7)[44\]](#page-12-8) on this material.

Atomistic scale simulation simulations based on the classical interatomic potentials can give useful information to the experimentalist on defect chemistry and the Na-ion transport mechanism, together with the activation energies and favourable cation doping of both polymorphs of  $NaFeO<sub>2</sub>$ . In previous work [\[45](#page-12-9)[–62\]](#page-13-0), we applied this methodology to promising cathode materials for lithium and sodium-ion batteries. Here, we examine both hexagonal and orthorhombic polymorphs of NaFe $O<sub>2</sub>$ and calculate the intrinsic defect formation energies, solution energies for a variety of dopants and possible diffusion pathways for sodium-ion conduction. Further, DFT calculations were performed to examine the electronic properties of doped and undoped  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **2. Computational Methods**

Classical pair potential calculations based on the Born model for ionic crystals were performed using the generalized utility lattice program (GULP) code [\[63\]](#page-13-1). The interionic interactions consist of long-range attraction (Coulombic) and short-range electron–electron repulsion. We used the well-established Buckingham potentials (refer to supplementary information) to model short-range interactions. The atomic positions and the simulation boxes were optimised using the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) algorithm [\[64\]](#page-13-2). Lattice relaxation around point defects and migrating ions were modelled using the Mott–Littleton method [\[65\]](#page-13-3). Vacancy-assisted Na ion diffusion was calculated considering seven interstitial Na ions between local Na hops. Activation energy reported in this study is the local maximum energy along the diffusion path. The present calculation is based on the full ionic charge model within the dilute limit. Therefore, the defect energies will be overestimated, however, the relative energies, and the trends will be consistent [\[66](#page-13-4)[–68\]](#page-13-5).

DFT calculations were applied for the electronic properties of  $NaFeO<sub>2</sub>$  by means of the CASTEP plane wave code [\[69,](#page-13-6)[70\]](#page-13-7). The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was applied with the gradient correction added by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) to the exchange–correlation energy functional [\[71\]](#page-13-8). The kinetic energy cut-off of the plane wave basis functions was set at 500 eV and the k-point grid at  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  for the geometry optimization calculations. After energy relaxation of the cell, the lattice constants obtained for  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (a = b = 2.96 Å, c = 15.82 Å) are in good agreement with experiment (refer to Table [1\)](#page-2-0). For the calculations of the density of states (DOS), a denser grid  $(5 \times 5 \times 5)$  was applied with a Gaussian smearing of 0.1 eV, taking into account spin polarization. The addition of the Hubbard model is necessary to derive a band gap closer to the experimental one, as the GGA method is expected to underestimate the band gap of insulators [\[72](#page-13-9)[,73\]](#page-13-10). The Hubbard+U model was included to account for the Coulombic (repulsive) interaction of the on-site d electrons. It is well known that the GGA description cannot accurately predict the band gap due to electron delocalization overestimation. Therefore, the Hubbard correction term (+U) used for the 3d electrons of Fe and Co in this study is an established empirical method, to account for the strong on-site Coulomb interactions for the calculation of electronic properties. The U parameter was set at 4 eV for the Fe 3d states and at 3.4 eV for the 3d states of the dopant Co, according to literature [\[72\]](#page-13-9). This correction brings the energy band gap value closer to the experimental one.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** Experimental and calculated structural parameters for hexagonal  $(α)$  and orthorhombic  $(β)$ NaFeO<sub>2.</sub>

# **3. Results and Discussion**

# *3.1. NaFeO<sup>2</sup> Crystal Structures*

NaFeO<sub>2</sub> has two different crystallographic structures:  $\alpha$  (hexagonal, space group R $\overline{3}$ m) [\[32\]](#page-11-12) and  $\beta$ (orthorhombic, space group *Pn21a*). Hexagonal phase consists of alternate layers of edge-sharing  $NaO<sub>6</sub>$ and FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedral units along the ab plane, as reported by Takeda et al. [\[32\]](#page-11-12) (see Figure [1a](#page-3-0)). The crystal structure of orthorhombic NaFeO<sub>2</sub> [\[41\]](#page-12-6) forms corner-sharing tetrahedral units (both NaO<sub>4</sub> and FeO<sub>4</sub>) in the ac plane as shown in Figure [1b](#page-3-0). Using classical pair potentials selected from previous work (refer to Table S1), we first reproduced the experimental structures of both polymorphs. The experimental and calculated structural parameters are listed in Table [1.](#page-2-0) There is a good agreement between experimental and calculated lattice constants for both structures. Overestimation or underestimation of lattice constants is only within the error margin of  $\sim$ 2% suggesting that defect, diffusion and dopant calculation results would be enough accurate to compare with available experimental data. Furthermore, our calculation suggests that hexagonal phase is 0.31 eV lower in energy than orthorhombic phase.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Crystal structures of NaFeO<sub>2</sub> polymorphs: (a) hexagonal (space group (R3m) and (b) orthorhombic (space group Pn2<sub>1</sub>a).

#### *3.2. Intrinsic Defect Processes*

Possible defect processes in both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> were calculated. Point defect energies including vacancy and interstitial formation energies were first calculated and then they were combined to calculate the Frenkel- and Schottky-type defect formation energies. These intrinsic defect energies are useful in predicting the electrochemical behaviour of NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. Here we use the Kröger-Vink notation [\[74\]](#page-13-11) to write equations for the Frenkel, Schottky and antisite defect formation:

$$
\text{Na Frenkel}: \text{Na}_{\text{Na}}^X \to V_{\text{Na}}' + \text{Na}_i^{\bullet} \tag{1}
$$

$$
\text{Fe Frenkel}: \ \text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^X \ \rightarrow \ V_{\text{Fe}}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \ \text{Fe}_{\text{i}}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\text{O Frenkel}: O_O^X \to V_O^{\bullet \bullet} + O_i^{\prime \prime} \tag{3}
$$

Schottky: Na<sub>Na</sub><sup>X</sup> + Fe<sub>Fe</sub><sup>X</sup> + 2 O<sub>O</sub><sup>X</sup> 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 V'<sub>Na</sub> + V'''<sub>Fe</sub> + 2V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> + NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (4)

$$
Na2O Schottky: 2 NaNaX + OOX \rightarrow 2 V'Na + VO•• + Na2O
$$
 (5)

$$
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ Schottky}: 2 \text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^X + 3 \text{O}_\text{O}^X \rightarrow 2 \text{V}_{\text{Fe}}^{\prime\prime\prime} + 3 \text{V}_{\text{O}}^{\bullet\bullet} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \tag{6}
$$

$$
Na/Fe\;antisite\; (isolated): Na_{Na}^X + Fe_{Fe}^X \to Na_{Fe}^{\prime\prime} + Fe_{Na}^{\bullet\bullet}
$$
 (7)

$$
Na/Fe\;antisite\; (clustered): Na_{Na}^X + Fe_{Fe}^X \rightarrow \{Na_{Fe}^{\prime\prime}: Fe_{Na}^{\bullet\bullet}\}^X
$$
 (8)

Reaction energies for these intrinsic defect processes (refer to Table S2) are reported in Figure [2.](#page-4-0) The Na Frenkel is calculated to be the most energetically favourable intrinsic defect in both forms of NaFeO2. The second lowest defect energy process is found to be the Na–Fe anti-site, suggesting that a small percentage of Na on Fe sites ( $\text{Na}_{\text{Fe}}''$ ) and Fe on Na sites ( $\text{Fe}_{\text{Na}}^{**}$ ) will be observed at high temperatures. A small distortion is observed in the cation-oxygen bond distances and bond angles in the relaxed structure, but the lattice structure was not altered significantly. There are experimental and theoretical studies showing the presence of anti-site defects in many Li-ion cathode battery materials and in some as-prepared Na ion cathode materials [\[45,](#page-12-9)[46,](#page-12-10)[48–](#page-12-11)[52,](#page-12-12)[75](#page-13-12)[–79\]](#page-13-13). There is, however, no experimental report on cation mixing of  $NaFeO<sub>2</sub>$  yet. Nevertheless, in the future experimental preparations of as-prepared structure using different synthetic conditions or during cycling of this material, this defect may be observed. The Frenkel and Schottky defect energies were found to be highly endoergic suggesting that they are unlikely to form at low temperatures. The enthalpy to form

Na<sub>2</sub>O Schottky (relation 5) is calculated as 2.76 eV/defect and 2.14 eV/defect for α- and β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. This process can introduce further  $V'_{Na}$  and  $V_O^{\bullet\bullet}$  $\bigcirc$  in the lattice at elevated temperatures. Conversely, lower defect energetics are observed for  $\beta$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, but the overall trend is retained in both polymorphs. The difference in energetics is mainly due to the different crystal structures and difference in the coordination number of Na and Fe.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Energetics of intrinsic defect process in α- and β-NaFeO2. **Figure 2.** Energetics of intrinsic defect process in α- and β-NaFeO<sup>2</sup> .

# *3.3. Sodium-Ion Diffusion 3.3. Sodium-Ion Di*ff*usion*

In this section intrinsic sodium-ion diffusion of  $NaFeO<sub>2</sub>$  is discussed. Sodium-ion migration with low activation energy is one of the key requirements for a promising high-rate cathode material.<br>The state cathode material. The present computational technique allows us to calculate the Na vacancy migration paths together with the Na with activation energies, which are difficult to examine by experimental work alone.<br>

For the Na vacancy migration in  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, we identified Na local hops (P) with the jump distance of 3.07 Å, and the migration energy was calculated to be 0.64 eV (refer to Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Long range diffusion was calculated to be 0.64 eV (refer to Table 2). Long range diffusion range diffusion paths were then constructed. Sodium-ions migrate in the *ab* plane forming curved paths were then constructed. Sodium-ions migrate in the *ab* plane forming curved paths with overall activation energy of 0.64 eV (refer to Figure [3a](#page-5-1)). We considered Na hops between the layers but Na–Na<br>activation energy of 0.64 eV (refer to Figure 3a). We considered Na hops between the layers but Na–Na migration distances were found to be >5 Å. Figure [3b](#page-5-1) reports the energy profile diagram for the Na<br>https://www.identity.com/  $\frac{d}{dx}$  and  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is the activation energy. local hop with the activation energy.

Two different local hops, namely, A and B, were identified in β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (refer to Figure [4\)](#page-5-2). The energy profile diagrams for these two hops are shown in Figure [5.](#page-6-0) The migration path for hop A is in the shown in Figure 5. The migration path for hop A is the *bc* plane with the jump distance of 3.51 Å and Na ion moves via a curved trajectory. The activation in the *bc* plane with the jump distance of 3.51 Å and Na ion moves via a curved trajectory. The activation energy for the hop A is 0.65 eV. In the hop B, Na ions migrate in the *ac* plane with a curved trajectory, but with a jump distance of 3.26 Å and migration energy of 0.67 eV. Three two dimensional long but with a jump distance of 3.26 Å and migration energy of 0.67 eV. Three two dimensional long range paths  $[(A\rightarrow A\rightarrow A\rightarrow A), (B\rightarrow B\rightarrow B\rightarrow B)$  and  $(A\rightarrow B\rightarrow A\rightarrow B)$ ] joining local Na hops were identified (see Figure [4\)](#page-5-2). The lowest activation energy (0.65 eV) long range path  $(A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A)$  forms a zig-zag  $A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$ ) pattern in the *bc* plane. The other two paths have an overall activation energy of 0.67 eV, owing to the *f* plane. the presence of local hop B which has an activation energy of 0.67 eV. Here, ions were treated as fully the presence of local hop B which has an activation energy of 0.67 eV. Here, ions were treated as fully charged. Point defects in a highly ionic material might be expected to be in their fully ionic charge charged. Point defects in a highly ionic material might be expected to be in their fully ionic charge states. The activation energy of migration is defined as the position of the highest potential energy<br>along the migration along the migration.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 2. Calculated Na–Na separation and activation energy for the sodium-ion migration between two adjacent Na sites in α-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (refer to Figure [3a](#page-5-1)). **Table 2.** Calculated Na–Na separation and activation energy for the sodium-ion migration between

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Figure 3. Na ion migration in  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>: (a) long migration paths and (b) energy profile of Na vacancy hopping between two adjacent Na sites. hopping between two adjacent Na sites. hopping between two adjacent Na sites.



Figure 4. Possible long range sodium vacancy migration paths considered in  $\beta$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. Local Na migration paths are shown in green and grey atoms. migration paths are shown in green and grey atoms. migration paths are shown in green and grey atoms.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** Energy profile diagrams (A and B as shown in Figure 4) [fo](#page-5-2)r local Na vacancy hopping with **Figure 5.** Energy profile diagrams (A and B as shown in Figure 4) for local Na vacancy hopping with Na-Na separation of (**a**) 3.51 Å and (**b**) 3.26 Å β-NaFeO2. Na-Na separation of (**a**) 3.51 Å and (**b**) 3.26 Å β-NaFeO<sup>2</sup> .

#### *3.4. Dopant Substitution 3.4. Dopant Substitution*

A variety of aliovalent and isovalent dopants were considered on the Fe site. Aliovalent dopant A variety of aliovalent and isovalent dopants were considered on the Fe site. Aliovalent dopant substitutions were charge-compensated by introducing necessary vacancies and interstitials. In all substitutions were charge-compensated by introducing necessary vacancies and interstitials. In all cases, appropriate lattice energies were calculated using the same Buckingham potentials used in this cases, appropriate lattice energies were calculated using the same Buckingham potentials used in this study and used in the solution energy calculations (refer to Table S3). study and used in the solution energy calculations (refer to Table S3).

First, divalent dopants (M = Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup>) were considered. following reaction equations were used to calculate solution energies by compensating Na The following reaction equations were used to calculate solution energies by compensating Na interstitials and O vacancies, respectively. interstitials and O vacancies, respectively.

$$
2\,\text{MO}\, + 2\,\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{X}} + \text{Na}_{2}\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{M}_{\text{Fe}}^{\prime} + 2\,\text{Na}_{i}^{\bullet} + \text{Fe}_{2}\text{O}_{3} \tag{9}
$$

$$
2\,\text{MO}\, + 2\,\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{X}} + \text{O}_{\text{O}}^{\text{X}} \rightarrow 2\text{M}_{\text{Fe}}^{\prime} + \text{V}_{\text{O}}^{\bullet\bullet} + \text{Fe}_{2}\text{O}_{3} \tag{10}
$$

In the first charge compensation scheme, Na interstitials ions are introduced in the lattice. This can In the and Charge compensation scheme, The Intercedation are interceded in the fault of This can<br>be an efficient way to increase the probability of Na<sup>+</sup> ion intercalation/de-intercalation processes Lower solution energies are observed for β-NaFeO2. This can be different condition of the different crystal structures of  $\mathcal{R}^2$ . in the as-prepared NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. Figure [6a](#page-7-0) reports the solution energies of  $M^{2+}$  dopants on the Fe site. Lower solution energies are observed for β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. This can be due to the different crystal structures containing different coordination numbers of Fe. The most favourable dopant solution energy (1.22 eV/dopant) is calculated for Co<sup>2+</sup> in α-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that a possible synthesis–doping strategy to introduce additional sodium into  $\mathrm{NaFeO}_2$  can be achieved by doping Co on Fe sites at elevated temperatures, although the exact amount of Na incorporation cannot be determined. In the case of β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, Zn is the energetically favourable dopant with exothermic solution energy (−0.09 eV/dopant). Other promising dopants are Co<sup>2+</sup> (0.17 eV/dopant) and Ni<sup>2+</sup> (0.19 eV/dopant). The possible composition of Co-doped NaFeO<sub>2</sub> would be Na<sub>1+x</sub>Fe<sub>1−x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (x = 0.0–1.0). The high solution enthalpy for BaO suggests that Ba $^{2+}$  is an unfavourable dopant to increase Na $^+$  ions in both NaFeO<sub>2</sub> polymorphs.  $\overline{X}$ 

In the second charge compensation scheme, the formation of oxygen vacancies is favoured by Zn incorporation in both  $\alpha$ - and β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> (see Figure [6b](#page-7-0)). Again, lower solution energies are observed for  $β$ -NaFe $O_2$  though the values are endoergic.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>



**Figure 6.** Calculated enthalpy of solution of MO (M = Ni, Cu, Zn, Co, Mn, Ca, Sr and Ba) with respect **Figure 6.** Calculated enthalpy of solution of MO (M = Ni, Cu, Zn, Co, Mn, Ca, Sr and Ba) with respect to the  $M^{2+}$  ionic radius in NaFeO<sub>2</sub> using (a) Na interstitial and (b) O vacancy as charge compensation.

Next, we considered trivalent dopants ( $M = Al^{3+}$ ,  $Co^{3+}$ ,  $Ga^{3+}$ ,  $Mn^{3+}$ ,  $Sc^{3+}$ ,  $In^{3+}$ ,  $Yb^{3+}$ ,  $Y^{3+}$  and  $Gd^{3+}$ ). Equation 11 was used to calculate the solution enthalpy:

$$
M_2O_3 + 2 Fe_{Fe}^X \rightarrow 2 M_{Fe}^X + Fe_2O_3 \tag{11}
$$

Favourable solution energies (0.00–0.20 eV) were noted for Ga, Co and Mn (see Figure 7) in α-Favourable solution energies  $(0.00-0.20 \text{ eV})$  were noted for Ga, Co and Mn (see Figure [7\)](#page-8-0) in α-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. Interestingly, exothermic solution energies are observed for all dopants except for Y and Gd. (a) and Co. The Co. **The Co. The Co. Co. The Co. Co. The Co. Co. Th** in β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. The most energetically favourable solution energy (-0.99 eV/dopant) is observed for Co.

We considered  $M^{4+}$  dopants on the Fe site to increase the concentration of  $V'_{Na}$  in NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. This strategy can facilitate Na self-diffusion via vacancy mechanism. Here, we calculate the solution of<br>---- $MO<sub>2</sub>$  via the following equation,

$$
2\,\text{MO}_{2} + 2\,\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe}}^{X} + 2\,\text{Na}_{\text{Na}}^{X} \rightarrow 2\,\text{M}_{\text{Fe}}^{\bullet} + 2\,\text{V}_{\text{Na}}^{\prime} + \text{Fe}_{2}\text{O}_{3} + \text{Na}_{2}\text{O}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{12}
$$

Figure [8](#page-8-1) reports the solution energies of  $MO<sub>2</sub>$ . It is observed that Ge exhibits the lowest solution energy (0.78 eV/dopant) in α-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. Exothermic solution energies are calculated for SiO<sub>2</sub> (-1.47 eV/dopant) and GeO<sub>2</sub> (-0.87 eV/dopant) in β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> suggesting that these two dopants should be considered for experimental investigation.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Figure 7.** Enthalpy of solution of  $M_2O_3$  (M = Al, Co, Ga, Mn, Sc, In, Yb, Y and Gd) with respect to the  $M^{3+}$  ionic radius in NaFeO2.



Figure 8. Enthalpy of solution of MO<sub>2</sub> (M = Si, Ge, Ti, Zr and Ce) with respect to the  $M^{4+}$  ionic radius  $in$  NaFeO<sub>2</sub>.

As redox couples  $Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{4+}$  are important for the Na<sup>+</sup> de-intercalation process and high operating voltage, respectively, a disproportionation reaction was considered according to the following equation, following equation, following equation,

$$
2 \text{ Fe}^X_{\text{Fe}} \rightarrow \text{ Fe}^{\bullet}_{\text{Fe}} + \text{ Fe}^{\prime}_{\text{Fe}} \tag{13}
$$

 $\det$  energy for this defect process is −3.47 eV/defect for α-phase and −4.19 eV/defect for β-phase respectively indicating that this process is likely to take place. Defect energy for this defect process is −3.47 eV/defect for α-phase and −4.19 eV/defect for β-phase Defect energy for this defect process is −3.47 eV/defect for α-phase and −4.19 eV/defect for β-phase respectively indicating that this process is likely to take place. respectively indicating that this process is likely to take place.

#### *3.5. Density of States*  $T_{\text{SUSU}}$  of domes

The electronic structures of doped and undoped  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> were calculated by using first principles calculations, as described in methodology section. A supercell of  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  cells was used and the dopants of Co, Ge, Si and Zn were substitutional in the Fe position. This translates to a doping concentration of 8.3%. The density of states (DOS) plots are shown in Figure 9 for  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. The incorporation of defects in a substitutional Fe position leads to a band gap reduction and the appearance of defect states near the valence band. The band gap of the perfect structure is calculated to be 1.53 eV. Doping with Co decreases significantly the calculated band gap at 0.8 eV, due to a band tail that is formed near the valence band with a peak at 0.35 eV. Doping with Ge or Si further decreases the band gap at 0.7 eV and 0.6 eV, respectively, due to an appearance of states with peaks at 0.48 eV for Ge and 0.14 eV and 0.56 eV for Si. Doping with Zn also decreases in the same way as the band gap at 0.70 eV, due to states that appear 0.34 eV and 0.60 eV higher that the valence band of the perfect structure.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 9.** Density of states of (a) perfect, (b)  $Co_{Fe}$ - (c)  $Ge_{Fe}$ - (d)  $Si_{Fe}$ - and (e)  $Zn_{Fe}$ -doped  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub>.

# **4. Conclusions**

In conclusion, using atomistic simulation techniques, we carried out a systematic survey of both  $\alpha$ - and β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> to investigate intrinsic defects, sodium-ion diffusion paths and favourable aliovalent and isovalent dopants on the Fe site. The present simulations reasonably reproduce the observed polymorphs of NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. The most favourable intrinsic defect type is Na Frenkel. The second most favourable energy defect process is Na–Fe antisite, suggesting that there will be a small population of Na on Fe site and vice versa. The lowest migration energies for long-range Na ion migration in hexagonal ( $α$ -) and orthorhombic ( $β$ -) NaFeO<sub>2</sub> are 0.65 eV and 0.67 eV, respectively, suggesting that both polymorphs exhibit favourable electrode kinetics. The present calculations further suggest that favourable dopants for creating additional Na in the  $\alpha$ - and β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> are Co<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> on the Fe site, respectively. A high concentration of Na vacancies can be introduced by doping Ge on Fe in α-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> and Si (and Ge) on Fe in β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> to facilitate the vacancy-assisted Na diffusion in NaFeO<sub>2</sub>. Electronic structure calculations predict that in all cases substitutional doping leads to a reduction in the band gap.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://[www.mdpi.com](http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/12/19/3243/s1)/1996-1944/12/19/3243/s1, Table S1: Interatomic potential parameters used in the atomistic simulations of NaFeO<sub>2</sub>; Table S2: Energetics of intrinsic defect process in NaFeO<sub>2</sub>; Table S3: Solution enthalpy for dopant substation at Fe site in NaFeO<sub>2.</sub>

**Author Contributions:** Computation, N.K. (Navaratnarajah Kuganathan) and N.K. (Nikolaos Kelaidis); Writing, N.K. (Navaratnarajah Kuganathan); Analysis and Editing, N.K. (Navaratnarajah Kuganathan) and A.C.

**Funding:** This research was financially supported by European Union's H2020 Programme under Grant Agreement no 824072– HARVESTORE

**Acknowledgments:** Computational facilities and support were provided by the High Performance Computing Centre at Imperial College London and Coventry University.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Armand, M.; Tarascon, J.M. Building better batteries. *Nature* **2008**, *451*, 652. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/451652a) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18256660)
- 2. Padhi, A.K.; Nanjundaswamy, K.S.; Goodenough, J.B. Phospho-olivines as Positive-Electrode Materials for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1997**, *144*, 1188–1194. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/1.1837571)
- 3. Nytén, A.; Abouimrane, A.; Armand, M.; Gustafsson, T.; Thomas, J.O. Electrochemical performance of Li2FeSiO<sup>4</sup> as a new Li-battery cathode material. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2005**, *7*, 156–160. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2004.11.008)
- 4. Masquelier, C.; Croguennec, L. Polyanionic (Phosphates, Silicates, Sulfates) Frameworks as Electrode Materials for Rechargeable Li (or Na) Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 6552–6591. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr3001862) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23742145)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>5. Afyon, S.; Wörle, M.; Nesper, R. A Lithium-Rich Compound L<sub>i7</sub>Mn(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> Containing Mn<sup>2+</sup> in Tetrahedral Coordination: A Cathode Candidate for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12541–12544. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201307655)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>6. Simon, P.; Gogotsi, Y.; Dunn, B. Where Do Batteries End and Supercapacitors Begin? *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1210–1211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1249625) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24626920)
- 7. Jeżowski, P.; Fic, K.; Crosnier, O.; Brousse, T.; Béguin, F. Lithium rhenium(vii) oxide as a novel material for graphite pre-lithiation in high performance lithium-ion capacitors. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 12609–12615. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6TA03810G)
- 8. Jeżowski, P.; Fic, K.; Crosnier, O.; Brousse, T.; Béguin, F. Use of sacrificial lithium nickel oxide for loading graphitic anode in Li-ion capacitors. *Electrochim. Acta* **2016**, *206*, 440–445. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.12.034)
- 9. Jeżowski, P.; Crosnier, O.; Deunf, E.; Poizot, P.; Béguin, F.; Brousse, T. Safe and recyclable lithium-ion capacitors using sacrificial organic lithium salt. *Nat. Mater.* **2017**, *17*, 167. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat5029)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>10. Jagadale, A.; Zhou, X.; Xiong, R.; Dubal, D.P.; Xu, J.; Yang, S. Lithium ion capacitors (LICs): Development of the materials. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2019**, *19*, 314–329. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2019.02.031)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>11. Ellis, B.L.; Nazar, L.F. Sodium and sodium-ion energy storage batteries. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2012**, *16*, 168–177. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2012.04.002)
- 12. Yabuuchi, N.; Kubota, K.; Dahbi, M.; Komaba, S. Research Development on Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 11636–11682. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr500192f) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25390643)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>13. Palomares, V.; Casas-Cabanas, M.; Castillo-Martinez, E.; Han, M.H.; Rojo, T. Update on Na-based battery materials. A growing research path. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *6*, 2312–2337. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3ee41031e)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>14. Oh, S.-M.; Myung, S.-T.; Hassoun, J.; Scrosati, B.; Sun, Y.-K. Reversible NaFePO<sub>4</sub> electrode for sodium secondary batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2012**, *22*, 149–152. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2012.06.014)
- 15. Fang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Xiao, L.; Ai, X.; Yang, H.; Cao, Y. High-Performance Olivine NaFePO4 Microsphere Cathode Synthesized by Aqueous Electrochemical Displacement Method for Sodium Ion Batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 17977–17984. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b04691) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26207862)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>16. Tang, W.; Song, X.; Du, Y.; Peng, C.; Lin, M.; Xi, S.; Tian, B.; Zheng, J.; Wu, Y.; Pan, F.; et al. High-performance NaFePO<sup>4</sup> formed by aqueous ion-exchange and its mechanism for advanced sodium ion batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 4882–4892. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6TA01111J)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>17. Kawabe, Y.; Yabuuchi, N.; Kajiyama, M.; Fukuhara, N.; Inamasu, T.; Okuyama, R.; Nakai, I.; Komaba, S. Synthesis and electrode performance of carbon coated Na2FePO4F for rechargeable Na batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2011**, *13*, 1225–1228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2011.08.038)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>18. Kosova, N.V.; Podugolnikov, V.R.; Devyatkina, E.T.; Slobodyuk, A.B. Structure and electrochemistry of NaFePO<sup>4</sup> and Na2FePO4F cathode materials prepared via mechanochemical route. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2014**, *60*, 849–857. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2014.09.081)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>19. Jian, Z.; Zhao, L.; Pan, H.; Hu, Y.-S.; Li, H.; Chen, W.; Chen, L. Carbon coated  ${\rm Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3}$  as novel electrode material for sodium ion batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2012**, *14*, 86–89. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2011.11.009)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>20. Jiang, X.; Yang, L.; Ding, B.; Qu, B.; Ji, G.; Lee, J.Y. Extending the cycle life of  ${\rm Na}_3{\rm V}_2({\rm PQ}_4)_3$  cathodes in sodium-ion batteries through interdigitated carbon scaffolding. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2016**, *4*, 14669–14674. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6TA05030A)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>21. Kovrugin, V.M.; David, R.; Chotard, J.-N.; Recham, N.; Masquelier, C. A High Voltage Cathode Material for Sodium Batteries: Na3V(PO<sup>4</sup> )2 . *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 8760–8768. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b00401) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29992816)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>22. Kim, J.; Yoon, G.; Kim, H.; Park, Y.-U.; Kang, K. Na<sub>3</sub>V(PO<sub>4)2</sub>: A New Layered-Type Cathode Material with High Water Stability and Power Capability for Na-Ion Batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 3683–3689. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b00458)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>23. Nose, M.; Nakayama, H.; Nobuhara, K.; Yamaguchi, H.; Nakanishi, S.; Iba, H. Na $_4\rm{Co_3(PO_4)_2P_2O_7}$ : A novel storage material for sodium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2013**, *234*, 175–179. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.01.162)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>24. Treacher, J.C.; Wood, S.M.; Islam, M.S.; Kendrick, E.  $Na_2CoSiO_4$  as a cathode material for sodium-ion batteries: Structure, electrochemistry and diffusion pathways. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 32744–32752. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6CP06777H) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27878176)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>25. Vassilaras, P.; Ma, X.; Li, X.; Ceder, G. Electrochemical Properties of Monoclinic NaNiO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, *160*, A207–A211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.023302jes)
- 26. Kikkawa, S.; Miyazaki, S.; Koizumi, M. Deintercalated NaCoO<sub>2</sub> and LiCoO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1986**, 62, 35–39. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(86)90213-6)
- 27. Ma, X.; Chen, H.; Ceder, G. Electrochemical Properties of Monoclinic NaMnO<sup>2</sup> . *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2011**, *158*, A1307–A1312. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.035112jes)
- 28. Komaba, S.; Takei, C.; Nakayama, T.; Ogata, A.; Yabuuchi, N. Electrochemical intercalation activity of layered NaCrO<sup>2</sup> vs. LiCrO<sup>2</sup> . *Electrochem. Commun.* **2010**, *12*, 355–358. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2009.12.033)
- 29. Didier, C.; Guignard, M.; Denage, C.; Szajwaj, O.; Ito, S.; Saadoune, I.; Darriet, J.; Delmas, C. Electrochemical Na-Deintercalation from NaVO<sub>2</sub>. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2011**, 14, A75–A78. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/1.3555102)
- 30. Wu, D.; Li, X.; Xu, B.; Twu, N.; Liu, L.; Ceder, G. NaTiO<sup>2</sup> : A layered anode material for sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 195–202. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4EE03045A)
- 31. Maazaz, A.; Delmas, C.; Hagenmuller, P. A study of the NaxTiO<sub>2</sub> system by electrochemical deintercalation. *J. Inclusion Phenom.* **1983**, *1*, 45–51. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00658014)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>32. Takeda, Y.; Nakahara, K.; Nishijima, M.; Imanishi, N.; Yamamoto, O.; Takano, M.; Kanno, R. Sodium deintercalation from sodium iron oxide. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1994**, *29*, 659–666. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(94)90122-8)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>33. Guo, S.; Yi, J.; Sun, Y.; Zhou, H. Recent advances in titanium-based electrode materials for stationary sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 2978–3006. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6EE01807F)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>34. Pan, H.; Hu, Y.-S.; Chen, L. Room-temperature stationary sodium-ion batteries for large-scale electric energy storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *6*, 2338–2360. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3ee40847g)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>35. Fang, Y.; Chen, Z.; Xiao, L.; Ai, X.; Cao, Y.; Yang, H. Recent Progress in Iron-Based Electrode Materials for Grid-Scale Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Small* **2018**, *14*, 1703116. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.201703116)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>36. Kikkawa, S.; Miyazaki, S.; Koizumi, M. Sodium deintercalation from α-NaFeO<sup>2</sup> . *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1985**, *20*, 373–377. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(85)90003-0)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>37. Zhao, J.; Zhao, L.; Dimov, N.; Okada, S.; Nishida, T. Electrochemical and Thermal Properties of α-NaFeO<sup>2</sup> Cathode for Na-Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, *160*, A3077–A3081. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.007305jes)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>38. Yabuuchi, N.; Yoshida, H.; Komaba, S. Crystal Structures and Electrode Performance of Alpha-NaFeO $_2$  for Rechargeable Sodium Batteries. *Electrochemistry* **2012**, *80*, 716–719. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.5796/electrochemistry.80.716)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>39. Ohzuku, T.; Ueda, A. Why transition metal (di) oxides are the most attractive materials for batteries. *Solid State Ionics* **1994**, *69*, 201–211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(94)90410-3)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>40. Okada, S.; Yamaki, J. Iron-Based Rare-Metal-Free Cathodes. In *Lithium Ion Rechargeable Battries*; Ozawa, K., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2009; p. 57.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>41. Grey, I.E.; Hill, R.J.; Hewat, A.W. A neutron powder diffraction study of the  $\beta$  to  $\gamma$  phase transformation in NaFeO<sup>2</sup> . *Z. Kristallog.* **1990**, *193*, 51–69. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1524/zkri.1990.193.1-2.51)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>42. Singh, S.; Tovstolytkin, A.; Lotey, G.S. Magnetic properties of superparamagnetic β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2018**, *458*, 62–65. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2018.03.004)
- 43. Viret, M.; Rubi, D.; Colson, D.; Lebeugle, D.; Forget, A.; Bonville, P.; Dhalenne, G.; Saint-Martin, R.; André, G.; Ott, F. β-NaFeO<sub>2</sub>, a new room-temperature multiferroic material. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2012**, 47, 2294–2298. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2012.05.040)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>44. Watanabe, H.; Fukase, M. Weak Ferromagnetism in β-NaFeO<sup>2</sup> . *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **1961**, *16*, 1181–1184. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.16.1181)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>45. Kuganathan, N.; Islam, M.S. Li<sub>2</sub>MnSiO<sub>4</sub> Lithium Battery Material: Atomic-Scale Study of Defects, Lithium Mobility, and Trivalent Dopants. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 5196–5202. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm902163k)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>46. Armstrong, A.R.; Kuganathan, N.; Islam, M.S.; Bruce, P.G. Structure and Lithium Transport Pathways in Li2FeSiO<sup>4</sup> Cathodes for Lithium Batteries. *JACS* **2011**, *133*, 13031–13035. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja2018543) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21740007)
- 47. Fisher, C.A.J.; Kuganathan, N.; Islam, M.S. Defect chemistry and lithium-ion migration in polymorphs of the cathode material Li2MnSiO<sup>4</sup> . *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 4207–4214. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3ta00111c)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>48. Kuganathan, N.; Iyngaran, P.; Chroneos, A. Lithium diffusion in Li5FeO<sup>4</sup> . *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 5832. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24168-7)
- 49. Kordatos, A.; Kuganathan, N.; Kelaidis, N.; Iyngaran, P.; Chroneos, A. Defects and lithium migration in Li2CuO<sup>2</sup> . *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 6754. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25239-5)
- 50. Kuganathan, N.; Ganeshalingam, S.; Chroneos, A. Defects, Dopants and Lithium Mobility in Li $_9V_3(P_2O_7)_3$ (PO4)<sup>2</sup> . *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 8140. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26597-w)
- 51. Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Chroneos, A. Li<sub>2</sub>SnO<sub>3</sub> as a Cathode Material for Lithium-ion Batteries: Defects, Lithium Ion Diffusion and Dopants. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 12621. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30554-y)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>52. Kuganathan, N.; Chroneos, A. Defects, Dopants and Sodium Mobility in Na2MnSiO<sup>4</sup> . *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 14669. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32856-7) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30279505)
- 53. Kuganathan, N.; Chroneos, A. Defects and dopant properties of Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, 9, 333. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36398-w) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30674898)
- 54. Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Chroneos, A. Defect Chemistry and Li-ion Diffusion in Li<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>3</sub>. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 550. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36865-4)
- 55. Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Fitzpatrick, M.E.; Vovk, R.V.; Chroneos, A. Defect process and lithium diffusion in Li2TiO<sup>3</sup> . *Solid State Ionics* **2018**, *327*, 93–98. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2018.10.030)
- 56. Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Anurakavan, S.; Iyngaran, P.; Chroneos, A. Li3SbO4 lithium-ion battery material: Defects, lithium ion diffusion and tetravalent dopants. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *225*, 34–41. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2018.12.055)
- 57. Kuganathan, N.; Iyngaran, P.; Vovk, R.; Chroneos, A. Defects, dopants and Mg diffusion in MgTiO<sup>3</sup> . *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 4394. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40878-y)
- 58. Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Kelaidis, N.; Chroneos, A. Defects, Lithium Mobility and Tetravalent Dopants in the Li3NbO<sup>4</sup> Cathode Material. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 2192. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37466-x)
- 59. Kuganathan, N.; Tsoukalas, L.H.; Chroneos, A. Defects, dopants and Li-ion diffusion in Li<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>. *Solid State Ionics* **2019**, *335*, 61–66. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2019.02.019)
- 60. Kuganathan, N.; Sgourou, E.N.; Panayiotatos, Y.; Chroneos, A. Defect Process, Dopant Behaviour and Li Ion Mobility in the Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> Cathode Material. *Energies* 2019, 12, 1329. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en12071329)
- 61. Kuganathan, N.; Chroneos, A. Defect Chemistry and Na-Ion Diffusion in Na<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> Cathode Material. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 1348. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma12081348)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>62. Kuganathan, N.; Chroneos, A. Na<sub>3</sub>V(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> cathode material for Na ion batteries: Defects, dopants and Na diffusion. *Solid State Ionics* **2019**, *336*, 75–79. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2019.03.025)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>63. Gale, J.D.; Rohl, A.L. The General Utility Lattice Program (GULP). *Mol. Simulat.* **2003**, *29*, 291–341. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0892702031000104887)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>64. Gale, J.D. GULP: A computer program for the symmetry-adapted simulation of solids. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1997**, *93*, 629–637. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/a606455h)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>65. Mott, N.F.; Littleton, M.J. Conduction in polar crystals. I. Electrolytic conduction in solid salts. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1938**, *34*, 485–499. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/tf9383400485)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>66. Grimes, R.W.; Busker, G.; McCoy, M.A.; Chroneos, A.; Kilner, J.A.; Chen, S.-P. The Effect of Ion Size on Solution Mechanism and Defect Cluster Geometry. *Ber. Bunsengest. Phys. Chem.* **1997**, *101*, 1204–1210. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.199700026)
- 67. Seymour, I.D.; Chroneos, A.; Kilner, J.A.; Grimes, R.W. Defect processes in orthorhombic LnBaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5.5</sub> double perovskites. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 15305–15310. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1cp21471c)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>68. Jay, E.E.; Rushton, M.J.D.; Chroneos, A.; Grimes, R.W.; Kilner, J.A. Genetics of superionic conductivity in lithium lanthanum titanates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 178–183. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04834B)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>69. Segall, M.D.; Philip, J.D.L.; Probert, M.J.; Pickard, C.J.; Hasnip, P.J.; Clark, S.J.; Payne, M.C. First-principles simulation: Ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code. J. *Phys. Condens. Matter* **2002**, *14*, 2717. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/14/11/301)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>70. Clark Stewart, J.; Segall Matthew, D.; Pickard Chris, J.; Hasnip Phil, J.; Probert Matt, I.J.; Refson, K.; Payne Mike, C. First principles methods using CASTEP. In *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie Crystalline Materials*; The University of York: York, UK, 2005; Volume 220, p. 567.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>71. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>72. Kubota, K.; Asari, T.; Yoshida, H.; Yaabuuchi, N.; Shiiba, H.; Nakayama, M.; Komaba, S. Understanding the Structural Evolution and Redox Mechanism of a NaFeO<sub>2</sub>-NaCoO<sub>2</sub> Solid Solution for Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, *26*, 6047–6059. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201601292)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>73. Perdew, J.P. Density functional theory and the band gap problem. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 497–523. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qua.560280846)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>74. Kröger, F.A.; Vink, H.J. Relations between the Concentrations of Imperfections in Crystalline Solids. In *Solid State Physics*; Seitz, F., Turnbull, D., Eds.; Academic Press: Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 1956; Volume 3, pp. 307–435.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>75. Nytén, A.; Kamali, S.; Häggström, L.; Gustafsson, T.; Thomas, J.O. The lithium extraction/insertion mechanism in Li2FeSiO<sup>4</sup> . *J. Mater. Chem.* **2006**, *16*, 2266–2272. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B601184E)
- 76. Liu, H.; Choe, M.-J.; Enrique, R.A.; Orvañanos, B.; Zhou, L.; Liu, T.; Thornton, K.; Grey, C.P. Effects of Antisite Defects on Li Diffusion in LiFePO<sup>4</sup> Revealed by Li Isotope Exchange. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121*, 12025–12036. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02819)
- 77. Kempaiah Devaraju, M.; Duc Truong, Q.; Hyodo, H.; Sasaki, Y.; Honma, I. Synthesis, characterization and observation of antisite defects in LiNiPO<sup>4</sup> nanomaterials. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 11041. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep11041) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26091441)
- 78. Politaev, V.V.; Petrenko, A.A.; Nalbandyan, V.B.; Medvedev, B.S.; Shvetsova, E.S. Crystal structure, phase relations and electrochemical properties of monoclinic Li2MnSiO<sup>4</sup> . *J. Solid State Chem.* **2007**, *180*, 1045–1050. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2007.01.001)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>79. Guo, S.; Sun, Y.; Liu, P.; Yi, J.; He, P.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, Y.; Senga, R.; Suenaga, K.; Chen, M.; et al. Cation-mixing stabilized layered oxide cathodes for sodium-ion batteries. *Sci. Bull.* **2018**, *63*, 376–384. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2018.02.012)



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.)/licenses/by/4.0/).