



Comprehensive Analysis of Key Genes and Regulatory Elements in Osteosarcoma Affected by Bone Matrix Mineral With Prognostic Values

Mi Li^{1†}, Xin Jin^{2†}, Hao Li¹, Caihong Yang¹, Sisi Deng^{3*} and Gang Wu^{3*}

¹ Department of Orthopedics, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ² Department of Digestive Surgical Oncology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ³ Cancer Center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Chun Liang, Miami University, United States

Reviewed by:

Jin Chen, University of Kentucky, United States Firoz Ahmed, Jeddah University, Saudi Arabia

*Correspondence:

Sisi Deng dengsisi@hust.edu.cn Gang Wu xhzlwg@163.com † These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

> Received: 03 February 2020 Accepted: 04 May 2020 Published: 03 June 2020

Citation:

Li M, Jin X, Li H, Yang C, Deng S and Wu G (2020) Comprehensive Analysis of Key Genes and Regulatory Elements in Osteosarcoma Affected by Bone Matrix Mineral With Prognostic Values. Front. Genet. 11:533. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00533 Osteosarcoma is one of the most common types of bone sarcoma with a poor prognosis. However, genes involved in the mineral metabolism in the microenvironment of the bone affected by osteosarcoma are, to date, largely unknown. A public data series (GSE114237) was used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between osteosarcoma cells adhering to demineralized osseous surfaces and mineralized osseous surfaces. Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs and hub genes, proteinprotein interaction network of DEGs and regulatory network (miRNA-mRNA network and transcription factor (TF)-mRNA network), survival analysis of hub genes was visualized. The prognostic hub genes were considered as candidate genes and their functional predictions were analyzed. A total of 207 DEGs were mainly enriched in extracellular space and thirteen hub genes were mainly enriched in the function of epithelial to mesenchymal transition. However, out of these, only one candidate gene was found to be suitable as a candidate gene. Besides that, 297 miRNAs and 349 TFs interacting with the hub genes were screened. In conclusion, the DEGs, hub genes, miRNAs and TFs screened out in this research could contribute to comprehend the latent mechanisms in osteosarcoma affected by matrix mineral and provide potential research molecular for further study.

Keywords: osteosarcoma, matrix mineral, protein-protein interaction network, differentially expressed genes, regulatory elements

INTRODUCTION

Osteosarcoma is one of the most common types of bone sarcoma, accounting for approximately 20% of newly diagnosed bone cancers (Klein and Siegal, 2006; Siegel et al., 2018). The prevalence of osteosarcoma is related to bone growth and is the most malignant tumors in adolescents (Mirabello et al., 2009). Unlike the other tissues, there is a lot of mineral in the microenvironment matrix

Abbreviations: DEGs, differentially expressed genes GEO, gene expression omnibus GO, gene ontology KEGG, kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes PPI, protein-protein interaction SAGE, serial analysis of gene expression STRING, search tool for the retrieval of interacting genes.

of bone tissue, which may play a potential role in the tumorigenesis of osteosarcoma. When mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were embedded in a calcified ceramic scaffold, tumoral bone formation was observed in the surroundings scaffold (Rubio et al., 2014). *In vitro* experiments showed that CA^{2+} supplementation can provide bone mineralization (Flammini et al., 2016). Meanwhile, selenium-doped hydroxyapatite nanoparticles could induce apoptosis of tumor cells by an inherent caspase-dependent apoptosis pathway together with the generation of reactive oxygen(Wang et al., 2016). Osteosarcoma cell lines have been reported can act as osteoblasts when grown on calcified materials (Zhang et al., 2013). However, the mechanisms of the mineral in the microenvironment affected by osteosarcoma are, to date, still largely unknown.

Bioinformatics, as a new technology, has been widely developed in recent years and plays an important role in revealing the internal mechanism of tumor progression and carcinogenesis at the genome level. Till date, numerous studies have been published on different cancer types, and some researches have revealed the interesting information of the expression of key genes, microRNAs and co-expression modules in osteosarcoma(Li et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020) and drug resistance in osteosarcoma patients(Bhuvaneshwar et al., 2019).

Here we analyzed the microarray dataset obtained from osteosarcoma cells adhered to the demineralized osseous surfaces and mineralized osseous surfaces from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Subsequently, a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed to screen out hub genes in the DEGs. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses of the DEGs and the hub genes were used to reveal the underlying mechanisms. Subsequently, we constructed regulatory networks of the hub genes. Furthermore, the hub genes survival analysis was carried out to find some candidate genes and to predict the possible function of these genes. Taken together, a total of 207 DEGs, 297 miRNAs, 349 transcription factors, 13 hub genes, and 1 candidate gene were identified.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of DEGs and Construction of PPI Network

A public series submitted by Wischmann et al. in 2018, GSE114237 (Wischmann et al., 2018), was downloaded from the GEO¹ (Barrett et al., 2013). The researchers had prepared 8 groups of porcine tibia slices; four groups were demineralized whereas the others were left in their native condition. Later, osteosarcoma cells (MG-63 cells) were seeded onto the osseous surfaces for 72 h. All the expression data were analyzed using the R language (version 3.5.1) BIOCONDUCTOR package, and the DEGs were screened out via the LIMMA package. The cutoff of statistical significance was *p*-values < 0.05 and an absolute value of fold change of gene expression greater than

¹http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo, RRID: SCR_005012

two. The STRING database (online Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes,²) (Szklarczyk et al., 2017) was used to predict and construct PPI network with a statistical significance of interaction scores of >0.4 (medium confidence score), and the network was visualized by Cytoscape software (version 3.7.0, RRID: SCR_003032; the National Resource for Network Biology [NRNB], Bethesda, MD, United States) (Smoot et al., 2011). Subsequently, hub genes were selected via MCODE (Molecular Complex Detection, RRID: SCR_015828) (Bandettini et al., 2012), the app of Cytoscape, employing the following criteria: MCODE score >5.5, degree cut-off = 2, score cut-off = 0.2, max depth = 100, and k-score = 2.

Functional and Pathway Enrichment

DAVID³ (Huang et al., 2009) was used to perform the biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), molecular function (MF) analysis (Gene Ontology Consortium, 2008), and KEGG (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000) pathway enrichment analysis. The DEGs and hub genes were carried out separately with an indicated statistical significance of *p*-value < 0.05.

Regulatory Network of the Hub Genes

The miRDB⁴ (Wong and Wang, 2015) online database was used to determine the potential miRNAs that may bind to the hub genes, which were filtered by a confidence score >60. Additionally, the AnimalTFDB 3.0^5 (Hu et al., 2019), was used to further determine the potential transcription factors (TF) that might interact with hub genes and regulate their expression, filtered with Q-values < 0.01. The miRNA-mRNA and TF-mRNA network were visualized via Cytoscape (Smoot et al., 2011).

Survival Analysis of the Hub Genes

All the hub genes were input into the PROGgeneV2⁶ database (Goswami and Nakshatri, 2014) separately, and the overall survival plot (Kaplan Meier, KM plot) for each gene was created. According to the median gene expression, the data were divided into high and low expression groups. PROGgeneV2 evaluates the prognostic implications of genes using the SURVIVAL package of the R language for a hypothesis test via publicly available data. The hub genes were considered as candidate genes with a *p*-value less than 0.05 for further analysis.

Prediction of Functions of the Candidate Genes

The candidate genes were submitted to the GeneMANIA⁷ (Warde-Farley et al., 2010) to illustrate the interactive functional association network among the candidate genes and their co-expression genes. The advanced statistical options used were as follows: max resultant genes = 20, max resultant attributes = 10, using the automatically selected weighing

²http://string-db.org, RRID: SCR_005223

³https://david.ncifcrf.gov/, RRID: SCR_001881

⁴http://mirdb.org/mining.html, RRID: SCR_010848

⁵http://www.bioguo.org/AnimalTFDB/, RRID: SCR_001624

⁶http://genomics.jefferson.edu/proggene

⁷http://www.genemania.org, RRID: SCR_005709



method. Subsequently, the Anatomic Viewer of the online SAGE database (Serial Analysis of Gene Expression database,⁸) (Boon et al., 2002) displayed the expression of candidate genes in normal and corresponding malignant human tissues. The related expression levels were ordered by different

colors based on the counts of SAGE tags. Furthermore, the Open Targets Platform⁹ (Carvalho-Silva et al., 2019) was used to depict relationships between the candidate genes as drug targets and diseases involved in neoplasm and skeletal system disease.

9https://www.targetvalidation.org/

⁸http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SAGE, RRID: SCR_000796

TABLE 1 | The statistical metrics for the hub genes.

ID	Gene symbol	p value	t value	Log FC	Gene title
8095508	AMTN	0.025101	2.723250	3.127518	amelotin
8016646	COL1A1	0.030041	2.609746	1.312103	collagen type I alpha 1 chain
7903786	CSF1	0.016229	-3.000870	-1.147710	colony stimulating factor 1
8023995	FSTL3	0.001664	4.555960	1.091519	follistatin like 3
8046861	ITGAV	0.019769	2.874816	1.229955	integrin subunit alpha V
8090162	ITGB5	0.027612	2.662971	1.191944	integrin subunit beta 5
7971077	POSTN	0.006454	3.604433	1.214450	periostin
7942957	PRSS23	0.032420	2.561707	1.294606	protease, serine 23
8037005	TGFB1	0.007334	3.519042	1.241910	transforming growth factor beta 1
7980316	TGFB3	0.005699	3.688186	2.927218	transforming growth factor beta 3
8108217	TGFBI	0.007061	3.544310	1.128630	transforming growth factor beta induced
8156826	TGFBR1	0.005879	3.667198	1.037752	transforming growth factor beta receptor 1
8106743	VCAN	0.009674	3.336135	2.234149	versican



KEGG pathway (**D**); the size of the dots represents the gene count and the color depth of the dots represents the -log (*p*-value).

Category	GO ID	GO term	Count	FDR	Log P	Genes
Biological process	0007155	cell adhesion	25	6.55E-07	-9.41	PRKCA, NRP2, ATP1B1, AMTN, ITGA2, PCDHB2, NEDD9, ITGB5, SPOCK1, POSTN, MCAM, EPHA3, TNFAIP6, RGMB, KIAA1462, ITGAV, FAP, CDON, TGFBI, VCAN, COL1A1, ADAM12, BOC, FN1, MYH10
Biological process	0030198	extracellular matrix organization	13	0.00382	-5.64	OLFML2B, ITGA2, ITGB5, POSTN, FURIN, ITGAV, FBLN5, SERPINE1, TGFBI, VCAN, COL1A1, JAM2, FN1
Biological process	0071560	cellular response to transforming growth factor beta stimulus	7	0.029311	-4.76	WNT5A, LIMS1, TGFBR1, POSTN, PDE3A, COL1A1, TGFB1
Biological process	0030335	positive regulation of cell migration	11	0.075174	-4.35	PRKCA, TNFAIP6, ITGAV, CSF1, TGFBR1, HAS2, COL1A1, HGF, MCAM, TGFB1, ACVR1
Biological process	0001525	angiogenesis	12	0.076519	-4.34	NRP2, PRKCA, FMNL3, HEY1, ITGAV, FAP, PLXDC1, TGFBI, SERPINE1, JAG1, MCAM, FN1
Cellular component	0005615	extracellular space	34	0.006268	-5.31	WNT5A, FGF5, AEBP1, CPM, MASP1, CSF1, FSTL3, TGFB3, SPOCK1, POSTN, NRN1, TGFB1, ALDH3A1, SERPINE2, IFNE, FAP, TGFBI, SERPINE1, FN1, HGF, MCAM, FURIN, SLIT2, STOM, TNFAIP6, SULF2, INHBE, FBLN5, PLXDC1, FABP3, SERPINB1, VCAN, COL1A1, ADAMTS4
Cellular component	0005578	proteinaceous extracellular matrix	14	0.007391	-5.23	WNT5A, AMTN, ADAMTS16, OLFML2B, POSTN, SPOCK1, TGFB1, SLIT2, SMOC1, FBLN5, TGFBI, VCAN, ADAMTS4, FN1
Cellular component	0031012	extracellular matrix	13	0.098728	-4.11	AEBP1, TGFB3, POSTN, TGFB1, SERPINE2, FBLN5, CDON, SERPINE1, TGFBI, VCAN, COL1A1, FN1, ADAMTS4
Cellular component	0009986	cell surface	17	0.279007	-3.66	WNT5A, ARSB, CPM, TGFBR1, TGFB3, ITGB5, TLR3, ITGA2, ADGRG2, FURIN, SLIT2, TGFB1, SLC1A4, HSPA2, SULF2, ITGAV, FAP
Cellular component	0005886	plasma membrane	64	1.063098	-3.07	SLC5A3, NRP2, ATP1B1, SLC44A1, SCN3A, TGFB3, JAG1, SLC26A2, TGFB1, EDNRA, SLC1A4, SPRY1, FAP, TGFBI, SERPINE1, NALCN, SLC4A4, BOC, KCNG1, PRKCA, FMNL3, PCDHB2, SLIT2, PLAUR, AMIGO2, PLCE1, PLXDC1, SGCD, JAM2, ADAM12, STEAP2, ARL4C, GRB14, ICOSLG, PMEPA1, WNT5A, ABCA8, LIMS1, CPM, CALD1, CSF1, ITGB5, BDKRB1, BDKRB2, NRN1, DAAM1, ALDH3A1, SERINC5, RGMB, ITGAV, TGFBR1, TSPAN13, ITGA2, NPR3, MCAM, FURIN, EPHA3, DOCK4, SULF2, CDON, SYTL5, RGS9, GFRA2, MYH10
Molecular function	0050431	transforming growth factor beta binding	4	0.914081	-3.19	ITGAV, TGFBR1, TGFB3, ACVR1
Molecular function	0002020	protease binding	7	1.19624	-3.07	ITGAV, FAP, SERPINE1, BDKRB2, FURIN, FN1, ADAMTS4
Molecular function	0005114	type II transforming growth factor beta receptor binding	3	3.348849	-2.62	TGFBR1, TGFB3, TGFB1
Molecular function	0004435	phosphatidylinositol phospholipase C activity	4	4.30035	-2.51	EDNRA, PLCE1, PLCB4, BDKRB2
Molecular function	0008083	growth factor activity	7	11.86587	-2.05	FGF5, INHBE, CSF1, TGFB3, JAG1, HGF, TGFB1

The parameter selection of all these tools above is based on the developers' references to select the most appropriate parameters.

RESULTS

Identification of DEGs and Construction of PPI Network

The profiles of the public series from GEO had a good consistency shown in **Figure 1A**. In total, 207 DEGs were identified in the demineralized group; approximately 151 upregulated and 56 downregulated genes were identified (**Figure 1B**). As we know, the *p*-value calculation includes the number of genes we input for the enrichment and the total number of genes set in a specific concentration; it means that if we analyze the upand down-regulated genes together, we will get a smaller and more significant *p*-value. In this way, some underestimating important significant pathways can be avoided. The PPI network constructed via Cytoscape of the DEGs as shown in **Figure 1C**, while the most significant module in **Figure 1D** with 13 nodes and 33 edges. These 13 genes were proposed as hub genes (12 upregulated genes and 1 downregulated gene). The detailed

TADLES	The enriched KECC	nothwa	v torma of the DECa
TABLE 3	The enriched KEGG	patnway	y terms of the DEGS.

Pathway ID	Pathway name	Gene count	FDR	LogP	Genes
hsa05205	Proteoglycans in cancer	11	0.384572	-3.51	PRKCA, WNT5A, PLCE1, ITGAV, ITGB5, ITGA2, HGF, MIR21, TGFB1, FN1, PLAUR
hsa05200	Pathways in cancer	14	2.496893	-2.69	PRKCA, WNT5A, FGF5, TGFBR1, TGFB3, ITGA2, BDKRB1, HGF, BDKRB2, TGFB1, EDNRA, PLCB4, ITGAV, FN1
hsa05146	Amoebiasis	7	3.449882	-2.55	PRKCA, PLCB4, TGFB3, SERPINB1, COL1A1, TGFB1, FN1
hsa05161	Hepatitis B	8	3.819504	-2.50	PRKCA, EGR2, TGFBR1, TGFB3, CREB3L2, TLR3, CREB5, TGFB1
hsa05410	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)	6	4.545801	-2.43	ITGAV, TGFB3, SGCD, ITGB5, ITGA2, TGFB1
hsa05414	Dilated cardiomyopathy	6	6.194945	-2.29	ITGAV, TGFB3, SGCD, ITGB5, ITGA2, TGFB1
hsa05142	Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis)	6	14.38482	-1.90	PLCB4, TGFBR1, SERPINE1, TGFB3, BDKRB2, TGFB1
hsa04610	Complement and coagulation cascades	5	15.32817	-1.87	MASP1, SERPINE1, BDKRB1, BDKRB2, PLAUR
hsa04918	Thyroid hormone synthesis	5	16.03452	-1.85	PRKCA, ATP1B1, PLCB4, CREB3L2, CREB5
hsa04151	PI3K–Akt signaling pathway	11	18.46895	-1.79	PRKCA, FGF5, ITGAV, CSF1, CREB3L2, ITGB5, ITGA2, CREB5, COL1A1, HGF, FN1
hsa04919	Thyroid hormone signaling pathway	6	20.70627	-1.73	PRKCA, SLC16A2, ATP1B1, PLCE1, PLCB4, ITGAV
hsa04022	cGMP-PKG signaling pathway	7	20.92307	-1.73	EDNRA, ATP1B1, PLCB4, CREB3L2, CREB5, PDE3A, BDKRB2
hsa04961	Endocrine and other factor-regulated calcium reabsorption	4	23.96458	-1.66	PRKCA, ATP1B1, PLCB4, BDKRB2
hsa04350	TGF-beta signaling pathway	5	27.4941	-1.59	INHBE, TGFBR1, TGFB3, TGFB1, ACVR1
hsa04911	Insulin secretion	5	28.40853	-1.57	PRKCA, ATP1B1, PLCB4, CREB3L2, CREB5
hsa04512	ECM-receptor interaction	5	30.26743	-1.54	ITGAV, ITGB5, ITGA2, COL1A1, FN1

information is provided in **Table 1**. The network of hub genes may be an important regulatory mechanism in osteosarcoma affected by matrix mineral.

Functional and Pathway Enrichment

Results of the GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of the DEGs are shown in Figure 2 (details are shown in Tables 2, 3). In the BP ontology (Figure 2A), cell adhesion (25 genes) and extracellular matrix organization (13 genes) were the most significantly enriched terms. In the CC ontology (Figure 2B), the terms were related to extracellular space (34 genes) and plasma membrane (64 genes). While the terms in the MF ontology (Figure 2C), were related to transforming growth factor-beta binding (4 genes) and protease binding (7 genes). Besides that, in the KEGG pathways (Figure 2D), the terms were revealed to be proteoglycans in cancer (11 genes) and pathways in cancer (14 genes). Particularly, GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the hub genes showed that they were mainly related to the positive regulation of epithelial to mesenchymal transition, extracellular matrix, type II transforming growth factor-beta receptor binding, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (Figure 3, also can be seen in Supplementary Tables 1, 2). These enrichment results reveal that transforming growth factor-beta binding function, especially in the extracellular matrix, should need more attention.

Regulatory Network of the Hub Genes

In total, 297 miRNAs and 349 TFs were screened out employing a relative database (details in Methods). The miRNA-mRNA (**Figure 4A**) and TF-mRNA (**Figure 4B**) interaction

regulatory networks were constructed and visualized with that the orange nodes represent hub genes, the purple nodes miRNAs, and the dark blue nodes TFs by Cytoscape. The top 10 miRNAs that regulated the hub genes, ranked by topological coefficients, were hsa-miR-664b-3p, hsa-miR-135a-5p, hsa-miR-548an, hsa-miR-135b-5p, hsa-miR-301a-3p, hsa-miR-301b-3p, hsa-miR-454-3p, hsa-miR-130b-3p, hsa-miR-130a-3p, and hsa-miR-128-3p. Furthermore, the top 10 TFs interacted with the hub genes, ranked by topological coefficients, were VEZF1, CHD1, POLR2A, TAF1, RUNX1T1, HCFC1, ELK4, ZEB1, CDK8, and ZNF22. The topological properties of the miRNAs and TFs are displayed in **Tables 4**, **5**. The miRNA-mRNA network and TF-mRNA network predict the potential mechanism, which can provide evidence for subsequent experimental verification.

Survival Analysis of the Hub Genes

The overall survival plots could be obtained for only 11 hub genes out of 13 hub genes, as shown in **Figure 5**. However, most of them were not statistically significant. Unfortunately, there was no significant difference in the survival curve of COL1A1, CSF1, FSTL3, ITGAV, ITGB5, POSTN, PRSS23, TGFB1, TGFB3, and TGFBI, and no data result for AMTN and VCAN. Only one gene, namely TGFBR1, had a *p*-value < 0.05. High expression of TGFBR1 had better overall survival.

Since TGFBR1 is one of the hub genes involved in the regulation mechanism in osteosarcoma affected by matrix mineral, and its differential expression will affect the prognosis and survival of patients. Therefore, TGFBR1 was considered as a candidate gene for further analyses.



Prediction of Functions of the Candidate Genes

An interactive functional association network constructed by GeneMANIA revealed correlations among genes for the candidate gene. The gene set enriched for TGFBR1 is responsible mainly for the pathways related to TGFB (transforming growth factor-beta) receptor binding and TGFB receptor signaling (Figure 6A). In our study, the expression profiles of the TGFBR1 in human tissue are displayed using SAGE. As shown, TGFBR1 mRNA in thyroid, lung, pancreas, colon, and prostate cancer tissues displayed higher levels than in the matched normal tissues (Figure 6B). Subsequently, the target-disease association related to TGFBR1 in neoplasm and skeletal system disease could be determined and viewed by using the Open Targets Platform. Among the diseases, the top five diseases with a high score of TGFBR1-neoplasm association were cancer, multiple keratoacanthomata, Ferguson-Smith type carcinoma, glioma, and breast carcinoma (Figure 7A). Furthermore, the top five diseases with a high score of TGFBR1-skeletal system

disease association were craniosynostosis, connective tissue disease, Marfan syndrome, isolated brachycephaly, and isolated scaphocephaly (**Figure 7B**). All these findings predict the function of TGFBR1 and can be helpful for further research.

DISCUSSION

The mineralization of the bone matrix plays a potentially important role in the process of bone formation. Osteosarcoma is an intraosseous malignancy that occurs predominantly in growing skeletal regions (Alfranca et al., 2015). The extracellular mineral is the main inorganic component of the bone matrix. Zhang et al. reported that osteosarcoma cell lines altered the expression of cytokines when grown on calcified materials (Zhang et al., 2013). Meanwhile, Kingsley et al. found that calcium is released into bone microenvironment through osteoclastic bone resorption, leading to a "vicious circle" by its participation in different mechanisms of Parathyroid



TABLE 4 | The top 10 miRNAs that regulated the hub genes and their topological properties.

Name	Average shortest path length	Betweenness centrality	Closeness centrality	Degree	Neighborhood connectivity	Radiality	Stress	Topological coefficient
hsa-miR-664b-3p	3.12931	0.007646	0.319559	2	56.5	0.733836	8180	0.549505
hsa-miR-135a-5p	3.12931	0.007646	0.319559	2	56.5	0.733836	8180	0.549505
hsa-miR-548an	3.12931	0.007646	0.319559	2	56.5	0.733836	8180	0.549505
hsa-miR-135b-5p	3.12931	0.007646	0.319559	2	56.5	0.733836	8180	0.549505
hsa-miR-301a-3p	3.094828	0.009452	0.32312	2	56.5	0.738147	8298	0.544118
hsa-miR-301b-3p	3.094828	0.009452	0.32312	2	56.5	0.738147	8298	0.544118
hsa-miR-454-3p	3.094828	0.009452	0.32312	2	56.5	0.738147	8298	0.544118
hsa-miR-130b-3p	3.094828	0.009452	0.32312	2	56.5	0.738147	8298	0.544118
hsa-miR-130a-3p	3.094828	0.009452	0.32312	2	56.5	0.738147	8298	0.544118
hsa-miR-128-3p	3.094828	0.009452	0.32312	2	56.5	0.738147	8298	0.544118

 TABLE 5 | The top 10 transcription factors that regulated the hub genes and their topological properties.

Name	Average shortest path length	Betweenness centrality	Closeness centrality	Degree	Neighborhood connectivity	Radiality	Stress	Topological coefficient
VEZF1	2.628809	4.56E-05	0.3804	2	195.5	0.592798	2458	0.813808
CHD1	2.628809	4.56E-05	0.3804	2	195.5	0.592798	2458	0.813808
POLR2A	2.628809	4.56E-05	0.3804	2	195.5	0.592798	2458	0.813808
TAF1	2.628809	4.56E-05	0.3804	2	195.5	0.592798	2458	0.813808
RUNX1T1	2.628809	4.56E-05	0.3804	2	195.5	0.592798	2458	0.813808
HCFC1	2.628809	4.56E-05	0.3804	2	195.5	0.592798	2458	0.813808
ELK4	2.512465	7.01E-05	0.398015	2	203.5	0.621884	4016	0.778846
ZEB1	2.512465	7.01E-05	0.398015	2	203.5	0.621884	4016	0.778846
CDK8	2.512465	7.01E-05	0.398015	2	203.5	0.621884	4016	0.778846
ZNF22	2.67313	4.70E-05	0.374093	2	180	0.581717	2676	0.774892



Hormone Related Protein (PTHRP) production (Kingsley et al., 2007). Meanwhile, Rubio et al. have demonstrated the role of microenvironment calcium matrix in the development of the osteosarcoma via embedding osteosarcoma tumor cells in calcium phosphate biomaterials (Rubio et al., 2014).

In our study, a microarray dataset was analyzed to obtain DEGs from osteosarcoma cells adhering to the demineralized osseous surfaces against mineralized osseous surfaces. Altogether, 207 DEGs comprised 151 upregulated and 56 downregulated genes were identified. Subsequently, enrichment analyses of the DEGs were used to explore some other interactions. Previous studies have reported that extracellular space in the bone microenvironment is essential for osteosarcoma development (Cai et al., 2015; Baglio et al., 2017; Cortini et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2018). Moreover, studies have revealed that cell adhesion is a significant process of osteosarcoma, which involves a number of molecules (Gang et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2017; Villanueva et al., 2019). In other words, all these studies are consistent with the results obtained in our studies. In contrast, the functional analysis demonstrated the hub genes were mainly related to the positive regulation of epithelial to mesenchymal transition, extracellular matrix, type II transforming growth factor-beta receptor binding, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

The hub genes were selected in the DEGs PPI network of the most significant module. Further survival analysis revealed TGFBR1 as a candidate gene because of its statistical significance. Meanwhile, some hub genes have been reported involved in the tumorigenesis and progression of osteosarcoma, such as ITGAV, POSTN, COL1A1, TGFB1, TGFB3, and ITGAV. These can be negatively regulated by miR-548c-3p, just like the target agents against integrin as reported in clinical trials, and can be potential tumor therapeutic targets (Luo et al., 2016). Moreover, high expression of POSTN (periostin, also known as osteoblastspecific factor two) in osteosarcoma is significantly associated with angiogenesis and is considered to be a promising prognostic factor in osteosarcoma patients (Hu et al., 2016). Similarly, COL1A1 also has a prognostic value in osteosarcoma patients (He et al., 2014). In osteosarcoma, TGFB signaling could interact with both microenvironment and tumor cells, exerting the characteristic effects of tumor precursors. Therefore, TGFBs could be considered as independent therapeutic targets for osteosarcoma (Lamora et al., 2016; Verrecchia and Redini, 2018; Xie et al., 2018).

In addition, a search of published literature revealed that some hub genes have been associated with tumors. VCAN is reported to be essential for migration and metastasis of breast cancer (Zhang et al., 2019) and could be a potential prognostic biomarker for colon cancer (Chida et al., 2016). Moreover, knockdown of PRSS23 could inhibit EIF2 signaling, thereby suppressing the tumor growth of gastric cancer (Han et al., 2019). Furthermore, one report has demonstrated that CSF1 could promote melanoma resistance to PD1 checkpoint blockade (Neubert et al., 2018), and many reports have shown that CSF1R targeting may be beneficial by showing strong anti-tumor effects (Zhu et al., 2014; Obba et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2017; Neubert et al., 2018). Similarly, TGFBI has tumor-promoting and tumor-protective



FIGURE 6 | The functional association network (A) and expression profiles (B) of TGFBR1. In the functional association network, the edge-colors represent the bioinformatics method applied; the node-colors represent the biological functions of the set of enrichment genes. In the expression profiles, the left side represents normal tissues and the right side represents the matched cancer tissues. The related expression levels are based on analysis of the counts of SAGE tags, ordered by 10 colors.

roles in certain gastrointestinal tract cancers (Han et al., 2015), and in prostate cancer (Al Shareef et al., 2018).

Particularly, the candidate gene TGFBR1 is reported to be involved in multiple biological functions in tumor. Inhibition of TGFBR1 can block the activation of fibroblasts mediated via IL1B/TGFB1 and reduce the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines in colorectal cancer, which would make the cancer cells more sensitive to chemotherapy. Moreover, TGFBR1 inhibitor could reduce the metastasis ability of tumor cells *in vivo* (Guillen Diaz-Maroto et al., 2019). It is also reported that in lung cancer TGFBR1 may be a target of tumor suppressor genes (Gao et al., 2019). However, there are few reports on the function of TGFBR1 in osteosarcoma. Upregulated TGFBR1 will induce the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in osteosarcoma cell lines, which is regulated by the interferon consensus sequence-binding protein, but the specific mechanism is not fully elaborated (ICSBP) (Sung et al., 2019).

This bioinformatics study provides information on DEGs and regulatory elements involved in osteosarcoma affected by matrix mineral. However, the mechanism of these molecules are



still unknown, and additional well-designed experiments and analyses are required. In addition, all the results from this study were got *in silico*. Further, *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments are necessary to test the biological functions of the key genes and their regulatory elements.

In conclusion, we identified 207 DEGs, 13 hub genes, 297 miRNAs, 349 TFs, and 1 candidate gene, which may be involved in the processes of matrix mineralization that may provide a functional signal to osteosarcoma cells. The molecules that we found may be potential targets for future research on the microenvironment of osteosarcoma. Furthermore, our results may contribute to the identification of the biomarkers for matrix mineralization related to osteosarcoma.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study were generated at GSE114237 (Wischmann et al., 2018) in GEO. Derived data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ML and XJ analyzed the data. HL provided the help of the R language. CY suggested online tools. SD and GW designed the project. SD selected the analyzed results and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lao Xinyuan, the CEO of helixlife, for his guidance and help in our scientific research work.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2020. 00533/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Al Shareef, Z., Kardooni, H., Murillo-Garzon, V., Domenici, G., Stylianakis, E., Steel, J. H., et al. (2018). Protective effect of stromal Dickkopf-3 in prostate cancer: opposing roles for TGFBI and ECM-1. Oncogene 37, 5305–5324. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0294-0
- Alfranca, A., Martinez-Cruzado, L., Tornin, J., Abarrategi, A., Amaral, T., De Alava, E., et al. (2015). Bone microenvironment signals in osteosarcoma development. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 72, 3097–3113. doi: 10.1007/s00018-015-1918-y
- Baglio, S. R., Lagerweij, T., Perez-Lanzon, M., Ho, X. D., Leveille, N., Melo, S. A., et al. (2017). Blocking tumor-educated MSC paracrine activity halts osteosarcoma progression. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 23, 3721–3733. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3198
- Bandettini, W. P., Kellman, P., Mancini, C., Booker, O. J., Vasu, S., Leung, S. W., et al. (2012). MultiContrast delayed enhancement (MCODE) improves detection of subendocardial myocardial infarction by late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance: a clinical validation study. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 14:83. doi: 10.1186/1532-429X-14-83
- Barrett, T., Wilhite, S. E., Ledoux, P., Evangelista, C., Kim, I. F., Tomashevsky, M., et al. (2013). NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets–update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D991–D995. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1193
- Bhuvaneshwar, K., Harris, M., Gusev, Y., Madhavan, S., Iyer, R., Vilboux, T., et al. (2019). Genome sequencing analysis of blood cells identifies germline haplotypes strongly associated with drug resistance in osteosarcoma patients. *BMC Cancer* 19:357. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5474-y
- Boon, K., Osorio, E. C., Greenhut, S. F., Schaefer, C. F., Shoemaker, J., Polyak, K., et al. (2002). An anatomy of normal and malignant gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 11287–11292. doi: 10.1073/pnas.152324199
- Cai, R., Kawazoe, N., and Chen, G. (2015). Influence of surfaces modified with biomimetic extracellular matrices on adhesion and proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells and osteosarcoma cells. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 126, 381–386. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2014.11.050
- Carvalho-Silva, D., Pierleoni, A., Pignatelli, M., Ong, C., Fumis, L., Karamanis, N., et al. (2019). Open targets platform: new developments and updates two years on. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D1056–D1065. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1133
- Chida, S., Okayama, H., Noda, M., Saito, K., Nakajima, T., Aoto, K., et al. (2016). Stromal VCAN expression as a potential prognostic biomarker for disease recurrence in stage II-III colon cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 37, 878–887. doi: 10. 1093/carcin/bgw069
- Cortini, M., Avnet, S., and Baldini, N. (2017). Mesenchymal stroma: role in osteosarcoma progression. *Cancer Lett.* 405, 90–99. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2017. 07.024
- Flammini, L., Martuzzi, F., Vivo, V., Ghirri, A., Salomi, E., Bignetti, E., et al. (2016). Hake fish bone as a calcium source for efficient bone mineralization. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 67, 265–273. doi: 10.3109/09637486.2016.1150434
- Gang, L., Qun, L., Liu, W. D., Li, Y. S., Xu, Y. Z., and Yuan, D. T. (2017). MicroRNA-34a promotes cell cycle arrest and apoptosis and suppresses cell adhesion by targeting DUSP1 in osteosarcoma. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 9, 5388– 5399.
- Gao, L., Hu, Y., Tian, Y., Fan, Z., Wang, K., Li, H., et al. (2019). Lung cancer deficient in the tumor suppressor GATA4 is sensitive to TGFBR1 inhibition. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1665. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09295-7
- Gene Ontology Consortium, (2008). The Gene Ontology project in 2008. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, D440–D444. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm883
- Goswami, C. P., and Nakshatri, H. (2014). PROGgeneV2: enhancements on the existing database. BMC Cancer 14:970. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-970
- Guillen Diaz-Maroto, N., Sanz-Pamplona, R., Berdiel-Acer, M., Cimas, F. J., Garcia, E., Goncalves-Ribeiro, S., et al. (2019). Noncanonical TGFbeta pathway relieves the blockade of IL1beta/TGFbeta-mediated crosstalk between tumor and stroma: TGFBR1 and TAK1 inhibition in colorectal cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25, 4466–4479. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3957
- Han, B., Cai, H., Chen, Y., Hu, B., Luo, H., Wu, Y., et al. (2015). The role of TGFBI (betaig-H3) in gastrointestinal tract tumorigenesis. *Mol. Cancer* 14:64. doi: 10.1186/s12943-015-0335-z
- Han, B., Yang, Y., Chen, J., He, X., Lv, N., and Yan, R. (2019). PRSS23 knockdown inhibits gastric tumorigenesis through EIF2 signaling. *Pharmacol. Res.* 142, 50–57. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.02.008

- He, M., Wang, Z., Zhao, J., Chen, Y., and Wu, Y. (2014). COL1A1 polymorphism is associated with risks of osteosarcoma susceptibility and death. *Tumour Biol.* 35, 1297–1305. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-1172-6
- Hu, C., Chen, X., Wen, J., Gong, L., Liu, Z., Wang, J., et al. (2017). Antitumor effect of focal adhesion kinase inhibitor PF562271 against human osteosarcoma in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Sci.* 108, 1347–1356. doi: 10.1111/cas.13256
- Hu, F., Shang, X. F., Wang, W., Jiang, W., Fang, C., Tan, D., et al. (2016). High-level expression of periostin is significantly correlated with tumour angiogenesis and poor prognosis in osteosarcoma. *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.* 97, 86–92. doi: 10.1111/iep. 12171
- Hu, H., Miao, Y. R., Jia, L. H., Yu, Q. Y., Zhang, Q., and Guo, A. Y. (2019). AnimalTFDB 3.0: a comprehensive resource for annotation and prediction of animal transcription factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D33–D38. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gky822
- Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., and Lempicki, R. A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57.
- Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30. doi: 10.1038/gene.2015.7
- Kingsley, L. A., Fournier, P. G., Chirgwin, J. M., and Guise, T. A. (2007). Molecular biology of bone metastasis. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 6, 2609–2617.
- Klein, M. J., and Siegal, G. P. (2006). Osteosarcoma: anatomic and histologic variants. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 125, 555–581.
- Kumar, V., Donthireddy, L., Marvel, D., Condamine, T., Wang, F., Lavilla-Alonso, S., et al. (2017). Cancer-associated fibroblasts neutralize the anti-tumor effect of CSF1 receptor blockade by inducing PMN-MDSC infiltration of tumors. *Cancer Cell* 32, 654.e5–668.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.10.005
- Lamora, A., Talbot, J., Mullard, M., Brounais-Le Royer, B., Redini, F., and Verrecchia, F. (2016). TGF-beta signaling in bone remodeling and osteosarcoma progression. J. Clin. Med. 5:96. doi: 10.3390/jcm5110096
- Li, M., Jin, X., Guo, F., Wu, G., Wu, L., and Deng, S. (2019). Integrative analyses of key genes and regulatory elements in fluoride-affected osteosarcoma. J. Cell. Biochem. 120, 15397–15409. doi: 10.1002/jcb.28807
- Li, M., Jin, X., Li, H., Wu, G., Wang, S., Yang, C., et al. (2020). Key genes with prognostic values in suppression of osteosarcoma metastasis using comprehensive analysis. *BMC Cancer* 20:65. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-6542-z
- Luo, Z., Li, D., Luo, X., Li, L., Gu, S., Yu, L., et al. (2016). Decreased expression of miR-548c-3p in osteosarcoma contributes to cell proliferation via targeting ITGAV. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* 31, 153–158. doi: 10.1089/cbr.2016.1995
- Mirabello, L., Troisi, R. J., and Savage, S. A. (2009). Osteosarcoma incidence and survival rates from 1973 to 2004: data from the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program. *Cancer* 115, 1531–1543. doi: 10.1002/cncr.24121
- Neubert, N. J., Schmittnaegel, M., Bordry, N., Nassiri, S., Wald, N., Martignier, C., et al. (2018). T cell-induced CSF1 promotes melanoma resistance to PD1 blockade. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 10:eaan3311. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan3311
- Obba, S., Hizir, Z., Boyer, L., Selimoglu-Buet, D., Pfeifer, A., Michel, G., et al. (2015). The PRKAA1/AMPKalpha1 pathway triggers autophagy during CSF1-induced human monocyte differentiation and is a potential target in CMML. *Autophagy* 11, 1114–1129. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2015.1034406
- Rubio, R., Abarrategi, A., Garcia-Castro, J., Martinez-Cruzado, L., Suarez, C., Tornin, J., et al. (2014). Bone environment is essential for osteosarcoma development from transformed mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 32, 1136– 1148. doi: 10.1002/stem.1647
- Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., and Jemal, A. (2018). Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J. Clin. 68, 7–30.
- Smoot, M. E., Ono, K., Ruscheinski, J., Wang, P. L., and Ideker, T. (2011). Cytoscape 2.8: new features for data integration and network visualization. *Bioinformatics* 27, 431–432. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq675
- Sung, J. Y., Yoon, K., Ye, S. K., Goh, S. H., Park, S. Y., Kim, J. H., et al. (2019). Upregulation of transforming growth factor-beta type I receptor by interferon consensus sequence-binding protein in osteosarcoma cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell. Res.* 1866, 761–772. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2019. 01.015
- Szklarczyk, D., Morris, J. H., Cook, H., Kuhn, M., Wyder, S., Simonovic, M., et al. (2017). The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D362– D368. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw937

- Verrecchia, F., and Redini, F. (2018). Transforming growth factor-beta signaling plays a pivotal role in the interplay between osteosarcoma cells and their microenvironment. *Front. Oncol.* 8:133. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018. 00133
- Villanueva, F., Araya, H., Briceno, P., Varela, N., Stevenson, A., Jerez, S., et al. (2019). The cancer-related transcription factor RUNX2 modulates expression and secretion of the matricellular protein osteopontin in osteosarcoma cells to promote adhesion to endothelial pulmonary cells and lung metastasis. J. Cell Physiol. 234, 13659–13679. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28046
- Wang, Y., Wang, J., Hao, H., Cai, M., Wang, S., Ma, J., et al. (2016). In vitro and in vivo mechanism of bone tumor inhibition by selenium-doped bone mineral nanoparticles. ACS Nano 10, 9927–9937. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.6b0 3835
- Warde-Farley, D., Donaldson, S. L., Comes, O., Zuberi, K., Badrawi, R., Chao, P., et al. (2010). The GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, W214–W220. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq537
- Wischmann, J., Lenze, F., Thiel, A., Bookbinder, S., Querido, W., Schmidt, O., et al. (2018). Matrix mineralization controls gene expression in osteoblastic cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* 372, 25–34. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.09.005
- Wong, N., and Wang, X. (2015). miRDB: an online resource for microRNA target prediction and functional annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D146–D152. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1104
- Xie, L., Yao, Z., Zhang, Y., Li, D., Hu, F., Liao, Y., et al. (2018). Deep RNA sequencing reveals the dynamic regulation of miRNA, lncRNAs, and mRNAs in osteosarcoma tumorigenesis and pulmonary metastasis. *Cell Death Dis.* 9:772. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0813-5

- Zhang, H., Wu, H., Zheng, J., Yu, P., Xu, L., Jiang, P., et al. (2013). Transforming growth factor beta1 signal is crucial for dedifferentiation of cancer cells to cancer stem cells in osteosarcoma. *Stem Cells* 31, 433–446. doi: 10.1002/stem. 1298
- Zhang, Y., Zou, X., Qian, W., Weng, X., Zhang, L., Zhang, L., et al. (2019). Enhanced PAPSS2/VCAN sulfation axis is essential for Snail-mediated breast cancer cell migration and metastasis. *Cell Death Differ*. 26, 565–579. doi: 10.1038/s41418-018-0147-y
- Zheng, Y., Wang, G., Chen, R., Hua, Y., and Cai, Z. (2018). Mesenchymal stem cells in the osteosarcoma microenvironment: their biological properties, influence on tumor growth, and therapeutic implications. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 9:22. doi: 10.1186/s13287-018-0780-x
- Zhu, Y., Knolhoff, B. L., Meyer, M. A., Nywening, T. M., West, B. L., Luo, J., et al. (2014). CSF1/CSF1R blockade reprograms tumor-infiltrating macrophages and improves response to T-cell checkpoint immunotherapy in pancreatic cancer models. *Cancer Res.* 74, 5057–5069. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3723

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Li, Jin, Li, Yang, Deng and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.