

# The combination of tamoxifen with amphotericin B, but not with fluconazole, has synergistic activity against the majority of clinical isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans*

Trieu Phan Hai<sup>1</sup> | Anh Duong Van<sup>1</sup> | Nguyen Thi Thuy Ngan<sup>1,2</sup> | Le Thanh Hoang Nhat<sup>1</sup> |  
 Nguyen Phu Huong Lan<sup>3</sup> | Nguyen V. Vinh Chau<sup>3</sup> | Guy E. Thwaites<sup>1,4</sup> |  
 Damian Krysan<sup>5</sup> | Jeremy N. Day<sup>1,4</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam

<sup>2</sup>Cho Ray Hospital, Ho Chi Minh city, Viet Nam

<sup>3</sup>Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh city, Viet Nam

<sup>4</sup>Centre for Tropical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Microbiology/Immunology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

## Correspondence

Jeremy N. Day, OUCRU, 764 Vo Van Kiet, Quan 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam.  
 Email: jday@oucru.org

## Funding information

Wellcome Trust, Grant/Award Number: WT097147MA

## Summary

**Background:** Cryptococcal meningitis has fatality rates of 40%-70%, resulting in 200 000 deaths each year. The best outcomes are achieved with amphotericin combined with flucytosine but flucytosine is expensive and unavailable where most disease occurs. More effective and affordable treatments are needed. Tamoxifen, a selective oestrogen receptor modulator frequently indicated for breast cancer, has been found to have synergistic activity against the *Cryptococcus neoformans* type strain when combined with amphotericin or fluconazole. It is cheap, off-licence, widely available and well-tolerated, and thus a pragmatic potential treatment for cryptococcal disease.

**Objectives:** We wanted to determine the susceptibility of clinical isolates of *C. neoformans* to tamoxifen alone and in combination with other antifungals, to determine whether there is sufficient evidence of activity to justify a clinical trial.

**Methods:** We used the CLSI broth microdilution protocol to test the susceptibility of 30 randomly selected clinical isolates of *C. neoformans* to tamoxifen, in dual combination with amphotericin, fluconazole or flucytosine, and in triple combination with amphotericin and fluconazole. Evidence of drug interactions was assessed using the fractional inhibitory concentration index.

**Results:** The MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> of tamoxifen were 4 and 16 mg/L, respectively. The combination of tamoxifen and amphotericin suggested a synergistic interaction in 20 of 30 (67%) isolates. There was no interaction between tamoxifen and either fluconazole or flucytosine. Synergy was maintained in 3-Dimensional chequerboard testing. There was no evidence of antagonism.

**Conclusions:** Tamoxifen may be a useful addition to treatment with amphotericin and fluconazole for cryptococcal meningitis; a trial is justified.

## KEYWORDS

antifungal, cryptococcal meningitis, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, drug repurposing, susceptibility, synergy, tamoxifen, treatment

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Every year, more than 200 000 people die from cryptococcal meningitis.<sup>1</sup> The vast majority of cases are due to *Cryptococcus neoformans* and occur in patients who have underlying immunosuppression. Currently, the most frequent cause of immunosuppression is HIV infection, but iatrogenic causes, including therapy for connective tissue disorders, cancers and solid organ transplantation, are increasingly important as healthcare advances.<sup>2</sup> Cryptococcal meningitis also occurs in immunocompetent patients, where *Cryptococcus gattii sensu lato* is an important cause, particularly in the tropics, Western Canada and the Pacific Northwest of the USA.<sup>2</sup> In Southeast and East Asia, both *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii sensu lato* cause disease in apparently immunocompetent patients.<sup>3</sup>

Treatment guidelines for cryptococcal meningitis are based upon the results of a number of randomised controlled trials, largely completed in patients with HIV infection.<sup>4–8</sup> The best clinical outcomes are achieved using induction treatment consisting of amphotericin combined with flucytosine.<sup>6,9</sup> This combination was first shown to deliver improved rates of sterilisation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) compared with amphotericin monotherapy in 1997; subsequently, a trial from Vietnam demonstrated that as well as delivering faster rates of clearance of yeast from CSF, it also resulted in better survival, with a 40% reduction in the risk of death by 10 weeks.<sup>4,6</sup> However, even on gold standard therapy, death rates 3 months after diagnosis remain high, at between 15 (USA) and 40% (Asia and Africa).<sup>1</sup>

Recently, the key role of flucytosine in delivering the best outcomes has been underlined by the publication of the ACTA trial.<sup>9</sup> This study confirmed the role of amphotericin combined with flucytosine as the treatment of choice and also demonstrated that an oral regimen consisting of flucytosine combined with fluconazole delivered survival rates approaching that of amphotericin combined with flucytosine. However, despite having been off-patent for several decades, and the existence of an energetic and passionate advocacy campaign, there has been little progress in improving access to flucytosine.<sup>10</sup> In fact, prices have risen significantly in recent years.<sup>11</sup>

Flucytosine is an unattractive prospect for generic manufacturers because of its limited indications outside cryptococcal meningitis, and because the vast burden of cryptococcal disease is in low-income countries. Both these factors reduce the possibility of generating significant profit, and this conundrum exists for any treatment specific for cryptococcal meningitis. The perfect drug for cryptococcal meningitis would be off-patent, manufactured by a number of different companies, and frequently indicated for some other, common, disease. These circumstances would confer commercial viability driven by high numbers of prescriptions rather than high per tablet profit margins, keep prices affordable through competition and increase the likelihood of licensing and availability where cryptococcal disease occurs. This paradigm has driven interest in the repurposing of generic drugs for neglected tropical and other diseases of poverty.

Tamoxifen, a selective oestrogen reuptake modulator, was first noted to have antifungal activity against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in 1989.<sup>12</sup> Soon afterwards, it was found to have antifungal action against *Candida albicans*, and against *C. neoformans* in 2009.<sup>13,14</sup> Tamoxifen has a wide range of effects in mammalian cells including anti-oxidant activity, alteration of cell membrane properties and induction of apoptosis.<sup>14</sup> In *Cryptococcus*, it has been shown to bind to calmodulin and a calmodulin-like protein, preventing the activation of calcineurin, which is involved in the yeast stress response.<sup>14,15</sup> Furthermore, when tested against the *C. neoformans* type strain H99, tamoxifen interacted synergistically in combination with both amphotericin and fluconazole, and had a fungicidal effect in combination with fluconazole in the mouse infection model.<sup>15</sup> Tamoxifen has high oral bioavailability, is lipophilic resulting in high brain concentrations, and is concentrated in macrophage phagosomes—a site of growth of *C. neoformans*.<sup>15–17</sup> Therefore, tamoxifen, which is off-patent, widely available and affordable, is a promising treatment to augment current antifungal therapy for cryptococcal meningitis. As a first step, we tested the susceptibility of clinical isolates of *C. neoformans* from our hospital in Vietnam to tamoxifen, amphotericin B, fluconazole and flucytosine, alone and in combination. Because we occasionally see disease in our patients due to *C. gattii sensu lato*, we also measured the susceptibility of a small number of isolates and control strains of these species to tamoxifen alone and in combination with amphotericin.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Fungal isolates

We randomly selected thirty isolates of *C. neoformans* from the strain collection at our institute. The strain collection consists of isolates derived from the cerebrospinal fluid of patients at the point of diagnosis of cryptococcal meningitis. The patients were all enrolled into randomised controlled trials or prospective descriptive studies of cryptococcal meningitis (N = 299).<sup>3,6</sup> All 30 isolates were subjected to amphotericin and tamoxifen susceptibility testing; because testing revealed that evidence of drug interaction was rare between tamoxifen and either fluconazole or flucytosine, susceptibility testing to these drugs was limited to a subset of 20 isolates.

We also determined the susceptibility of four *C. gattii* *sl* isolates, derived from participants from the descriptive study of cryptococcal meningitis to amphotericin and tamoxifen.<sup>3</sup> These consisted of three *C. gattii* and one *Cryptococcus deutero-gattii*. We have not seen infection due to other members of the species complex in our hospital. For reference and quality control, we used the *C. neoformans* H99 type strain, *Candida krusei* (ATCC 6258), and four *C. gattii* strains representing the major molecular groups: *C. gattii sensu stricto* (WM179), *Cryptococcus deutero-gattii* (WM178), *Cryptococcus bacillisporus* (WM175) and *Cryptococcus tetragattii* (WM779), kindly provided by Dr Wieland Meyer of Westmead Millenium Centre, Sydney Australia.

## 2.2 | In vitro antifungal susceptibility testing

All antifungal drugs were obtained as pure drug from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Fluconazole and flucytosine were prepared as stock solutions in sterile water. Amphotericin B (Sigma) and Tamoxifen were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO).

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of each of amphotericin and tamoxifen were determined according to the M27-A3 broth microdilution protocol of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).<sup>18</sup> Briefly, antifungal agents and inoculum were prepared in RPMI 1640 (Difco) buffered to a pH of 7.0 using 0.165 mol/L morpholine propane sulphonic acid (MOPS; Sigma). The infectious inoculum— $0.5\text{--}2.5 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL—was achieved using an automated cell counter Cellometer X2 (Nexcelom Bioscience) and serial dilution. The densities of all inocula were confirmed through culture. Serial twofold drug dilutions were prepared on 96-well microtitre plates at the following concentrations: Fluconazole 0.125–64 µg/mL, amphotericin 0.0625–32 µg/mL, tamoxifen, 0.125–64 µg/mL and flucytosine 0.125–64 µg/mL. All microplates were incubated at 35°C for 72 hours. All plates were inspected by two observers, and the MIC estimated as the lowest concentration that completely inhibited visual growth for amphotericin and tamoxifen. For fluconazole and flucytosine, the endpoint was defined as the lowest drug concentration that resulted in an 80% reduction of visual growth compared with that of the drug-free growth control well.

## 2.3 | Chequerboard microdilution assays

Combinations of tamoxifen plus amphotericin, tamoxifen plus fluconazole, tamoxifen plus flucytosine and tamoxifen plus amphotericin plus fluconazole were tested as has been described previously.<sup>19</sup> For two-dimensional microplate preparation, a stock solution of each antifungal drug was made in RPMI at fourfold the final desired test concentrations. To test a combination of drug "A" with drug "B," 50 µL of drug "A" solution at fourfold the desired final concentration was combined with 50 µL of the chosen combination drug "B" at fourfold its desired final concentration to give a volume of 100 µL. One hundred µL of inoculum was added to this to give a final volume of 200 µL (and hence a fourfold dilution of the original stock drug solution). The final drug concentrations tested in combination were as follows: tamoxifen from 0.25 to 64 µg/mL; fluconazole and flucytosine each from 1 to 64 µg/mL, and amphotericin from 0.25 to 16 µg/mL. All plates had a drug-free growth control well and a sterility control well.

For three-dimensional microplate preparation, nine microplates were needed per isolate with each plate containing a fixed concentration of amphotericin in a twofold series, ranging from 0 to 8 µg/mL. The concentration of tamoxifen ranged from 0.25 to 64 µg/mL on the x-axis, and the concentration of fluconazole varied from 1 to 32 µg/mL on the y-axis. As before, 50 µL of each drug was used at fourfold the desired final concentration, but only 50 µL of inoculum was used per well, at a higher density of  $1.0\text{--}5.0 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL, to deliver a final reaction volume of 200 µL. Every plate included a

drug-free growth control well and a sterility control well. The MIC of a drug combination was defined as the lowest concentration for which no growth was observed. Results were recorded 72 hours after incubation at 35°C, and all plates were assessed by two observers.

## 2.4 | Statistical analysis

Susceptibility was expressed as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the particular drug at which growth was inhibited for 50% (MIC<sub>50</sub>), and 90% (MIC<sub>90</sub>) of all isolates, and as the geometric mean inhibitory concentration of each drug. The differences in MICs of amphotericin and fluconazole when incubated with and without tamoxifen were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

Evidence of drug interaction was evaluated using the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI). The FICI is the sum of the fractional inhibitory concentrations (FIC) of each drug in the 2- or 3-dimensional testing. The FIC is calculated by dividing the MIC of the drug when used in combination by the MIC of that same drug when used alone. For two-dimensional chequerboard testing, the FICI is interpreted as follows: FICI ≤ 0.5 = evidence of synergy; 0.5 < FICI ≤ 4 = no evidence of drug interaction; FICI > 4 = evidence of drug antagonism.<sup>20</sup> For three-dimensional chequerboard testing, an FICI of <1.0 is considered evidence of synergy, and an FICI of greater than one is defined as antagonism; an FICI equal to one is considered evidence of no interaction.<sup>21</sup>

All analyses were done using R software version 3.1.2.<sup>22</sup>

## 2.5 | Ethics

The authors confirm that the ethical policies of the journal, as noted on the journal's author guidelines page, have been adhered to. All clinical studies from which the isolates were derived had ethical approval from the Hospital for Tropical Diseases, and either Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK or the Oxford Tropical Ethics Committee, UK. All participants gave informed consent.

## 3 | RESULTS

The drug susceptibility (MIC<sub>50</sub>, MIC<sub>90</sub>, ranges and geometric means) by species are summarised in Table 1. Tamoxifen MICs ranged from 2 to 16 µg/mL, with an MIC<sub>50</sub> of 4 µg/mL and MIC<sub>90</sub> of 16 µg/mL. While clinical breakpoints are not defined for *C. neoformans*, a range of susceptibilities to fluconazole and flucytosine were seen among the isolates, including nine isolates which could be considered to have dose-dependent susceptibility or frank resistance to fluconazole (MIC ≥ 16 µg/mL; three where the MIC = 64 µg/mL) and 14 isolates with less than full susceptibility to flucytosine (MIC > 4 µg/mL; eight where the MIC ≥ 16 µg/mL).<sup>10,23,24</sup> There was little variability in amphotericin B susceptibility among the clinical isolates. The MICs of amphotericin B, tamoxifen, fluconazole and flucytosine were 1, 4, 4 and 4 µg/mL, respectively, for the type strain H99.

**TABLE 1** In vitro susceptibility of Vietnamese clinical isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* isolates to tamoxifen, amphotericin, fluconazole and flucytosine

Antifungal (No tested)	Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, µg/mL)			
	Range	MIC50	MIC90	Geometric Mean
<i>C. neoformans</i> <sup>a</sup>				
Tamoxifen (30)	2-16	4	16	7.1
Amphotericin B (30)	0.25-2	1	2	1.1
Fluconazole (20)	0.5-64	8	64	9.2
Flucytosine (20)	4-32	8	16	8.9
<i>C. gattii sensu lato</i> <sup>b</sup>				
Tamoxifen (8)	2-8	4	8	4
Amphotericin B (8)	0.25-2	0.5	1	0.6

<sup>a</sup>Excludes results for H99. Numbers in brackets are number of isolates tested.

<sup>b</sup>Includes data from four Vietnamese clinical isolates (three *C. gattii sensu stricto* and one *C. deuterogattii*, and the four control strains).

**TABLE 2** Evidence of drug interactions from two-dimensional chequerboard testing of tamoxifen in combination with either amphotericin, fluconazole or flucytosine

Antifungal combination	Proportion (%) of isolates where particular drug interactions was observed <sup>a</sup>		
	Synergy FICI ≤ 0.5	No interaction 0.5 < FICI ≤ 4	Antagonism FIC > 4
<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i>			
Tamoxifen + amphotericin	67 (20/30)	33 (10/30)	0 (0/30)
Tamoxifen + fluconazole	5 (1/20)	95 (19/20)	0 (0/20)
Tamoxifen + flucytosine	0 (0/20)	100 (20/20)	0 (0/20)
<i>Cryptococcus gattii sensu lato</i> <sup>b</sup>			
Tamoxifen + amphotericin	75% (6/8)	25% (2/8)	0 (0/8)

<sup>a</sup>Numbers in brackets: Numerators are the numbers of strains where interaction was observed; denominators are the numbers of isolates tested.

<sup>b</sup>Includes data from four Vietnamese clinical isolates (three *C. gattii sensu stricto* and one *C. deuterogattii*, and the four control strains).

*C. gattii* species complex strains had similar susceptibilities to tamoxifen as *C. neoformans*, ranging from 2 to 8 µg/mL, with no clear difference by species (Table 1).

### 3.1 | The combination of tamoxifen with amphotericin B, but not with fluconazole or flucytosine, appears synergistic for the majority of clinical isolates of *C. neoformans*

The results of chequerboard testing are shown in Table 2. The combination of tamoxifen with amphotericin suggested a synergistic interaction for 20 of 30 isolates (67%) synergy. However, there was evidence of synergy in only one of 20 isolates (5%) when tamoxifen was combined with fluconazole, and none when combined with flucytosine. There was no evidence of antagonism between any of the tamoxifen-antifungal combinations, suggesting that the effects of fluconazole or flucytosine and tamoxifen are additive for most strains.

Similarly, there was apparent synergy between tamoxifen and amphotericin for six of eight *C. gattii* isolates (75%, three of four Vietnamese clinical strains and three of four control strains) (Table 3).

Interestingly, the two strains where the drug combination appeared to be only additive were both *C. deuterogattii* (BMD800 and control strain WM178).

When tamoxifen and amphotericin were combined, there was a statistically significant reduction in the geometric mean MIC of amphotericin B from 1.1 to 0.26 µg/mL (difference between the groups  $P < 0.001$ ) (Table 3) for the 30 *C. neoformans* isolates. While there was little evidence of a synergistic interaction between tamoxifen and fluconazole, there was a decrease in the geometric mean MIC of fluconazole from 9.19 to 2.30 µg/mL when the two drugs were combined (difference between the groups  $P = 0.005$ , Table S1). This was also seen for the combination of tamoxifen and flucytosine where the geometric mean MIC of flucytosine fell from 8.9 to 1.2 µg/mL (monotherapy versus combination therapy, difference between the groups  $P < 0.001$ , Table S2).

Of isolates that appeared to have reduced susceptibility to fluconazole, four of the nine had at least fourfold increases in susceptibility when combined with tamoxifen. All 14 strains with probable reduced susceptibility to flucytosine had eightfold or greater increases in susceptibility to the drug (to 1 µg/mL) when combined with tamoxifen.

Isolate ID	MIC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ )				FIC index
	Amphotericin B		Tamoxifen		
	Alone	Combined	Alone	Combined	
BK03	2	0.5	8	2	0.5
BK20	0.5	0.25	4	2	1
BK23	1	0.25	4	0.5	0.38
BK33	1	0.25	16	4	0.5
BK34	2	0.125	4	4	1.06
BK42	0.5	0.25	4	0.5	0.63
BK45	1	0.25	4	1	0.5
BK48	0.5	0.125	4	1	0.5
BK59	1	0.5	8	2	0.75
BK62	0.5	0.125	4	1	0.5
BK69	2	0.25	2	2	1.13
BK73	2	0.5	16	0.25	0.27
BK81	1	0.25	16	2	0.38
BK84	1	0.25	16	2	0.38
BK87	2	0.5	4	0.25	0.31
BK91	2	0.5	16	0.5	0.28
BK111	1	0.25	4	1	0.5
BK115	0.5	0.25	2	1	1
BK128	2	0.25	8	2	0.38
BK139	1	0.25	4	1	0.5
BK169	0.5	0.25	4	1	0.75
BK175	1	0.25	4	0.25	0.31
BK192	2	0.125	16	4	0.31
BK224	0.25	0.125	4	2	1
BK247	2	0.25	16	2	0.25
BK287	1	0.25	8	2	0.5
BK301	2	1	16	1	0.56
BMD394	2	0.5	4	0.25	0.31
BMD865	1	0.125	4	0.5	0.25
BMD1392	2	0.125	4	4	1.06
BMD800 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.125	8	4	0.63
BMD856 <sup>b</sup>	2	0.5	2	0.25	0.38
BMD1377 <sup>b</sup>	0.5	0.125	2	0.5	0.50
BMD1516 <sup>b</sup>	0.5	0.125	4	0.25	0.31
WM179	0.5	0.125	4	0.25	0.31
WM178	0.25	0.125	4	0.25	0.56
WM175	1	0.25	8	0.25	0.28
WM779	0.5	0.125	4	0.25	0.31
H99	1	0.25	4	2	0.8

**TABLE 3** Susceptibilities of individual strains of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* to amphotericin B and tamoxifen, alone and in combination

<sup>a</sup>*C. deuterogattii*.

<sup>b</sup>*C. gattii sensu stricto*.

**TABLE 4** Minimum inhibitory concentration of Amphotericin B, Fluconazole and Tamoxifen, in triple combination, against *Cryptococcus neoformans*

Isolate ID	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )						FIC index
	Amphotericin B		Fluconazole		Tamoxifen		
	Alone	Combined <sup>a</sup>	Alone	Combined <sup>a</sup>	Alone	Combined <sup>a</sup>	
BK03	2	0.5	16	1	4	1	0.56
BK59	1	0.25	8	1	2	1	0.88
BK287	2	0.125	8	1	4	2	0.69
BK301	2	1	4	1	2	0.5	1
H99	0.5	0.0625	2	2	2	0.25	1.3

<sup>a</sup>The combined result describes the MIC of that drug when combined with the two other antifungal drugs.

### 3.2 | Synergy is preserved when tamoxifen, amphotericin and fluconazole are combined in triple combination

We tested the three drugs—tamoxifen, amphotericin and fluconazole—in combination against four clinical *C. neoformans* isolates and the H99 type strain. The amphotericin-tamoxifen dual drug combination had appeared synergistic with two of the selected isolates (FICI = 0.5), but to have only additive effect with the other two ( $0.5 < \text{FICI} \leq 4$ ). In the triple combination testing (Table 4), there appeared to be a synergistic drug interaction for three of the four clinical isolates (FICI < 1), no evidence of antagonism for the remaining clinical isolate (FIC = 1) and a suggestion of antagonism for the H99 type strain (FICI > 1).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

Cryptococcal meningitis, even with gold standard therapy, is a devastating illness with mortality rates in the order of 30% 10 weeks after diagnosis.<sup>1,6,9</sup> The best outcomes depend on induction treatment with amphotericin and flucytosine over the first 1-2 weeks.<sup>4,6,9</sup> Unfortunately, flucytosine is usually neither available nor affordable where the major burden of disease occurs; flucytosine-sparing therapies have significantly higher mortalities.<sup>10</sup> There is a clear need to develop treatment that is more effective, tolerable, available and affordable. However, new drugs developed specifically to treat cryptococcal disease are unlikely to fulfil the last two of these criteria in low-income settings.

Here, we have confirmed that tamoxifen, a selective oestrogen receptor modulator used most frequently to treat breast cancer, and which was shown to have an anti-cryptococcal effect against the type strain almost 10 years ago, has in vitro activity against clinical isolates of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* *sl* in Vietnam. Tamoxifen has excellent bioavailability, with minimal first pass metabolism, but standard doses of 20-60 mg/d, as used to treat breast cancer, achieve serum concentrations of only around 0.1  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .<sup>25,26</sup> This is clearly somewhat lower than the MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> of our isolates (4 and 16  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively). However, considerably higher doses—240-500 mg/d—have been studied in clinical trials for central

nervous system tumours, desmoid tumours and lung cancer and are well-tolerated.<sup>27-32</sup> Such treatment regimens achieve serum tamoxifen concentrations around 2-8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , approaching the MIC<sub>50</sub> seen in our study, and have been administered safely for up to 1 year. Moreover, tamoxifen is concentrated in lipid-rich tissues including the brain, the site of disease in cryptococcal meningitis.<sup>17</sup> Here, concentrations are 40- to 100-fold higher than in serum and thus likely to safely exceed the MIC in the majority of infections. Tamoxifen is also concentrated within macrophages, an important site of replication of *C. neoformans*.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, it is likely that oral administration of tamoxifen can achieve sufficient concentrations of tamoxifen at the site of disease to have therapeutic effect.

Furthermore, we confirmed that tamoxifen appears to have a synergistic effect when combined with amphotericin, as has been reported previously for the H99 type strain.<sup>15</sup> This was seen in the majority (67%) of our clinical isolates (and is similar to reported rates of synergy with amphotericin and flucytosine in clinical isolates<sup>33</sup>). Of note, we did not see evidence of synergy with *C. deuterogattii*, although only two strains were tested. However, in contrast with the previous report, we found the interaction between tamoxifen and fluconazole to be simply additive for almost all (95%) of our isolates. Importantly, there was no evidence of antagonism between tamoxifen and any of amphotericin, fluconazole or flucytosine. When we combined the three drugs, we found that a synergistic interaction was preserved in strains where it had been seen for the amphotericin-tamoxifen dual combination. In addition, combining the three drugs also seemed to deliver a synergistic interaction in one of the clinical strains where synergy had not been apparent for the dual drug combination. Therefore, a triple drug combination has the potential to be synergistic in at least two-thirds of Vietnamese cases. However, we also found that the combination of amphotericin, fluconazole and tamoxifen appeared antagonistic for the H99 type strain and were unable to replicate previously published data that tamoxifen was synergistic when combined with either amphotericin or tamoxifen for the type strain. The reasons for this are not clear. However, microevolution and divergence of the H99 type strain in different laboratories are well-documented.<sup>34</sup>

Synergy is an attractive property for an anti-microbial treatment, promising non-linear gains in efficacy, potentially with little additional



side effect cost. There has been recent interest in the use of sertraline to treat cryptococcal meningitis. Sertraline also appears to work synergistically with either amphotericin or fluconazole against *C. neoformans* in vitro.<sup>35,36</sup> A (historically) controlled phase 2 trial of this drug in combination with amphotericin and fluconazole appeared promising, suggesting improved rates of clearance of yeast from cerebrospinal fluid, although this contrasted with a smaller controlled trial which found no difference.<sup>37,38</sup> Disappointingly, a recent phase 3 randomised placebo-controlled trial of sertraline boosted therapy, powered to survival, was stopped early due to futility after enrolling 460 patients.<sup>39</sup> No differences were found between the sertraline or placebo arms in patient survival or yeast clearance rates from cerebrospinal fluid.

Despite the fact that we can discern a range of susceptibilities to tamoxifen in vitro, there is no clear relationship between antifungal susceptibility in vitro and response to treatment in human cryptococcal disease—clinical breakpoints are not defined and outside of relapsed disease the value of susceptibility testing is unclear.<sup>7,40</sup> However, the rate of clearance of yeast from cerebrospinal fluid—early fungicidal activity (EFA)—does appear to correlate with survival in cryptococcal meningitis at the population level.<sup>5,6,9</sup> Therefore, as a next step, tamoxifen should be tested in a small randomised controlled trial, to generate initial efficacy (EFA) and safety data. A dose in the order of 300 mg/d would be needed to attain serum levels around the MIC<sub>90</sub>. While such a dose is likely to be less tolerable than that used in breast cancer, it must be considered in the context of the poor prognosis of cryptococcal meningitis, which compares unfavourably with glioblastoma, prostate and small cell lung cancer, where large doses of tamoxifen have been used.<sup>41</sup> Recognised side effects include thromboembolic disease and retinopathy (although the risk of these is low with short duration treatment) and QT prolongation of the cardiac cycle.<sup>42</sup> QT prolongation might be expected to be a particular risk as doses are increased, especially when combined with fluconazole, which can also cause this conduction abnormality, and disordered serum electrolytes, frequently seen in cryptococcal disease as a consequence of amphotericin therapy and intracranial pathology.<sup>43-45</sup> Any study would need to pay close attention to these risks, and a trial is underway in Vietnam (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT03112031).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust Intermediate Fellowship grant number WT097147MA to JND.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None to declare. The funders had no role in study design, analysis or decision to publish.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.N.D., N.T.T.N. and D.K. conceived the study; T.P.H. and A.D.V. performed the laboratory work, T.P.H., L.T.H.N. and J.N.D. performed the analyses, N.P.H.L. and N.V.V.C. provided material support, T.P.H.

prepared the first manuscript draft, all authors reviewed and revised the manuscript.

## ORCID

Jeremy N. Day  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7843-6280>

## REFERENCES

- Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, et al. Global burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2017;17(8):873-881.
- Sloan DJ, Parris V. Cryptococcal meningitis: epidemiology and therapeutic options. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2014;6:169-182.
- Chau T, Mai NH, Phu NH, et al. A prospective descriptive study of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV uninfected patients in Vietnam - high prevalence of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* in the absence of underlying disease. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2010;10:199.
- van der Horst CM, Saag MS, Cloud GA, et al. Treatment of cryptococcal meningitis associated with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group and AIDS Clinical Trials Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(1):15-21.
- Beardsley J, Wolbers M, Kibengo FM, et al. Adjunctive dexamethasone in HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(6):542-554.
- Day JN, Chau T, Wolbers M, et al. Combination antifungal therapy for cryptococcal meningitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(14):1291-1302.
- Perfect J, Dismukes W, Dromer F, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease: 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(3):291-322.
- WHO. *Rapid advice: Diagnosis, prevention and management of Cryptococcal disease in HIV-infected adults, adolescents and children*. World Health Organisation; 2011.
- Molloy SF, Kanyama C, Heyderman RS, et al. Antifungal combinations for treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in Africa. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(11):1004-1017.
- Loyse A, Dromer F, Day J, Lortholary O, Harrison TS. Flucytosine and cryptococcosis: time to urgently address the worldwide accessibility of a 50-year-old antifungal. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68(11):2435-2444.
- Merry M, Boulware DR. Cryptococcal meningitis treatment strategies affected by the explosive cost of flucytosine in the United States: A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(12):1564-1568.
- Wiseman H, Cannon M, Arnstein HR. Observation and significance of growth inhibition of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (A224A) by the anti-oestrogen drug tamoxifen. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 1989;17(6):1038-1039.
- Beggs WH. Anti-Candida activity of the anti-cancer drug tamoxifen. *Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol*. 1993;80(1):125-128.
- Dolan K, Montgomery S, Buchheit B, Didone L, Wellington M, Krysan DJ. Antifungal activity of tamoxifen: in vitro and in vivo activities and mechanistic characterization. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(8):3337-3346.
- Butts A, Koselny K, Chabrier-Roselló Y, et al. Estrogen receptor antagonists are anti-cryptococcal agents that directly bind EF hand proteins and synergize with fluconazole in vivo. *MBio*. 2014;5(1):e00765-00713.
- Lien EA, Solheim E, Ueland PM. Distribution of tamoxifen and its metabolites in rat and human tissues during steady-state treatment. *Cancer Res*. 1991;51(18):4837-4844.

17. Lien EA, Wester K, Lonning PE, Solheim E, Ueland PM. Distribution of tamoxifen and metabolites into brain tissue and brain metastases in breast cancer patients. *Br J Cancer*. 1991;63(4):641-645.
18. Fothergill AW. Antifungal susceptibility testing: clinical laboratory and standard institute (CLSI) methods. In: Hall GS, ed. *Interactions of Yeasts, Moulds and Antifungal Agents: How to Detect resistance*. 2012:65-75. New York City: Humana Press
19. Ernst EJ, Rogers PD. *Antifungal Agents Methods and Protocols*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2005.
20. Odds FC. Synergy, antagonism, and what the checkerboard puts between them. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2003;52(1):1.
21. Berenbaum MC. A method for testing for synergy with any number of agents. *J Infect Dis*. 1978;137(2):122-130.
22. R DCT. R: A language and environment for statistical computing (version 2.9.0). 3.3.2: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2015. <http://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed November 11, 2015.
23. Cheong JW, McCormack J. Fluconazole resistance in cryptococcal disease: emerging or intrinsic? *Med Mycol*. 2013;51(3):261-269.
24. Mpoza E, Rhein J, Abassi M. Emerging fluconazole resistance: implications for the management of cryptococcal meningitis. *Med Mycol Case Rep*. 2018;19:30-32.
25. Senkus E, Kyriakides S, Ohno S, et al. Primary breast cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. 2015;26(Suppl 5):v8-30.
26. Morello KC, Wurz GT, DeGregorio MW. Pharmacokinetics of selective estrogen receptor modulators. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2003;42(4):361-372.
27. Bergan RC, Reed E, Myers CE, et al. A Phase II study of high-dose tamoxifen in patients with hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 1999;5(9):2366-2373.
28. Ducharme J, Fried K, Shenouda G, Leyland-Jones B, Wainer IW. Tamoxifen metabolic patterns within a glioma patient population treated with high-dose tamoxifen. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 1997;43(2):189-193.
29. Perez EA, Gandara DR, Edelman MJ, O'Donnell R, Lauder IJ, DeGregorio M. Phase I trial of high-dose tamoxifen in combination with cisplatin in patients with lung cancer and other advanced malignancies. *Cancer Invest*. 2003;21(1):1-6.
30. Muanza T, Shenouda G, Souhami L, et al. High dose tamoxifen and radiotherapy in patients with glioblastoma multiforme: a phase IB study. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 2000;27(4):302-306.
31. Skapek SX, Anderson JR, Hill DA, et al. Safety and efficacy of high-dose tamoxifen and sulindac for desmoid tumor in children: results of a Children's Oncology Group (COG) phase II study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60(7):1108-1112.
32. Trump DL, Smith DC, Ellis PG, et al. High-dose oral tamoxifen, a potential multidrug-resistance-reversal agent: phase I trial in combination with vinblastine. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1992;84(23):1811-1816.
33. Schwarz P, Dromer F, Lortholary O, Dannaoui E. In vitro interaction of flucytosine with conventional and new antifungals against *Cryptococcus neoformans* clinical isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2003;47(10):3361-3364.
34. Janbon G, Ormerod KL, Paulet D, et al. Analysis of the genome and transcriptome of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* reveals complex RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. *PLoS Genet*. 2014;10(4):e1004261.
35. Rossato L, Loreto ES, Zanette RA, Chassot F, Santurio JM, Alves SH. In vitro synergistic effects of chlorpromazine and sertraline in combination with amphotericin B against *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii*. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)*. 2016;61(5):399-403.
36. Zhai B, Wu C, Wang L, Sachs MS, Lin X. The antidepressant sertraline provides a promising therapeutic option for neurotropic cryptococcal infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(7):3758-3766.
37. Rhein J, Morawski BM, Hullsiek KH, et al. Efficacy of adjunctive sertraline for the treatment of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an open-label dose-ranging study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016;16(7):809-818.
38. Villanueva-Lozano H, Treviño-Rangel R, González GM, et al. Clinical evaluation of the antifungal effect of sertraline in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV patients: a single Mexican center experience. *Infection*. 2018;46(1):25-30.
39. Rhein J, Huppler Hullsiek K, Tugume L, et al. Adjunctive sertraline. In *HIV-associated Cryptococcal Meningitis*. March 4-7, 2018. Boston, MA: CROI.
40. Cogliati M, Prigitano A, Esposito MC, et al. Epidemiological trends of cryptococcosis in Italy: Molecular typing and susceptibility pattern of *Cryptococcus neoformans* isolates collected during a 20-year period. *Med Mycol*. 2018;56(8):963-971.
41. American Cancer Society. *American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures 2018*. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society; 2018.
42. Powles TJ, Ashley S, Tidy A, Smith IE, Dowsett M. Twenty-year follow-up of the Royal Marsden randomized, double-blinded tamoxifen breast cancer prevention trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2007;99(4):283-290.
43. McMahon JH, Grayson ML. Torsades de pointes in a patient receiving fluconazole for cerebral cryptococcosis. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2008;65(7):619-623.
44. Molloy SF, Bradley J, Karunaharan N, et al. Effect of oral fluconazole 1200 mg/day on QT interval in African adults with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *AIDS*. 2018;32(15):2259-2261.
45. Tatetsu H, Asou N, Nakamura M, et al. Torsades de pointes upon fluconazole administration in a patient with acute myeloblastic leukemia. *Am J Hematol*. 2006;81(5):366-369.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**How to cite this article:** Hai TP, Van AD, Ngan NTT, et al. The combination of tamoxifen with amphotericin B, but not with fluconazole, has synergistic activity against the majority of clinical isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mycoses*. 2019;62:818-825. <https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12955>