

REVIEW

Clinical experimentation with aerosol antibiotics: current and future methods of administration

Paul Zarogoulidis^{1,2}
Ioannis Kioumis¹
Konstantinos Porpodis¹
Dionysios Spyratos¹
Kosmas Tsakiridis³
Haidong Huang⁴
Qiang Li⁴
J Francis Turner⁵
Robert Browning⁶
Wolfgang Hohenforst-Schmidt⁷

Konstantinos Zarogoulidis¹

Pulmonary Department, G Papanikolaou General Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; ²Department of Interventional Pneumology, Ruhrlandklinik, West German Lung Center, University Hospital, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; 3Cardiothoracic Surgery Department, Saint Luke Private Hospital of Health Excellence, Thessaloniki, Greece; ⁴Department of Respiratory Diseases, Shanghai Hospital/ First Affiliated Hospital of the Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China; 5Pulmonary Medicine, University of Nevada School of Medicine, National Supercomputing Center for Energy and the Environment University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA; 6Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Interventional Pulmonology, National Naval Medical Center, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Bethesda, MD, USA; 7II Medical Department, Regional Clinic of Coburg, University of Wuerzburg, Coburg, Germany

Correspondence: Paul Zarogoulidis Pulmonary Department, G Papanikolaou General Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece Tel +30 231 099 2433 Fax +30 231 099 2432 Email pzarog@hotmail.com **Abstract:** Currently almost all antibiotics are administered by the intravenous route. Since several systems and situations require more efficient methods of administration, investigation and experimentation in drug design has produced local treatment modalities. Administration of antibiotics in aerosol form is one of the treatment methods of increasing interest. As the field of drug nanotechnology grows, new molecules have been produced and combined with aerosol production systems. In the current review, we discuss the efficiency of aerosol antibiotic studies along with aerosol production systems. The different parts of the aerosol antibiotic methodology are presented. Additionally, information regarding the drug molecules used is presented and future applications of this method are discussed.

Keywords: antibiotics, aerosol, nebulizers

Introduction

Currently most antibiotics are administered via the intravenous route. However, it has been observed in clinical practice that there are several situations where the necessary concentration of the administered antibiotic is not reached in the target tissue/system. A clear example of this clinical situation where optimal antibiotic concentrations are necessary is bone infection. Local antibiotic administration using a system able to achieve higher antibiotic concentrations locally increases local disease control.² Pulmonary infection is another situation where antibiotics need to reach high concentrations locally.³ In addition, in most pulmonary diseases, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cystic fibrosis, the defense mechanisms of the respiratory tract are operating subnormally. These defense mechanisms can be summarized as beating cilia, mucus, the cough reflex, and local macrophages. In the event of malfunction of these defense mechanisms, it is easy for microorganisms that colonize the lung parenchyma to proliferate and cause infection. There are several factors affecting the efficient deposition of an aerosolized pharmaceutical, including: the flow rate produced;⁵⁻⁸ design of the residual cup;⁹ residual cup loading;^{10,11} residual cup filling at the start of drug administration; 10 tapping of the residual cup during nebulization;¹² charge on the drug molecules;¹³ environment of the respiratory tract (humidity >99% and airways temperature 37°C); chemical structure of droplets;¹⁴ droplet size produced (<5 µm); 15 viscosity; surface tension; and concentration of the drug solution. 16 In order for the aerosol to reach the distal airways, the maximum droplet size produced must not exceed 5 µm. It has been observed that, due to the respiratory tract environment (>99% humidity and 37°C), chemical structure, and concentration of salts, the molecules of the aerosol increase in size between 25% to

50% of the original produced size. 17 The increased flow rate is responsible for reducing the nebulization time. 5,8,12 Several authors have also proposed refilling of the residual cup when the solution volume reaches half of the initial value in order to produce droplets <5 μm in size. The number of fillings should not exceed two, because the concentration of the drug solution will drop significantly.¹⁷ Moreover; the lung parenchyma, if extended, measures 100 m², and is actually a huge membrane where oxygen enters the circulation through the small vessels surrounding the alveoli. 18 Underlying respiratory disease or opportunistic infection will negatively affect distribution of the aerosol. However, from our experience with inhaled insulin, the available information indicates that aerosol therapy can still be administered, but the dose should be changed and closer monitoring of the relevant laboratory values is necessary.19

New recently published insights regarding aerosol antibiotics in patients with underlying respiratory disease or opportunistic infection indicate that local administration has an immunomodulatory effect and that the inflammatory response to the infection is kept to a minimum.²⁰ Tracheal and alveolar macrophages remain active, and the inflammation associated with the infection is kept under control at the same time.²¹ Another reason why we would like to be able to administer antibiotics locally is that the antibiotic solution undergoes minimal systemic metabolism when administered via this route. In a number of cases, the intravenously administered dose has to be reduced because of impaired renal or liver function. It has been previously observed that aerosol antibiotic treatment is also efficient when lower antibiotic drug concentration is administered.²²⁻²⁶ Several aerosol antibiotics are currently approved, including tobramycin, ^{26–33} aztreonam lysine,34-40 and colistimethate sodium,41-46 and other new formulations are under development, including polymyxins,⁴⁷ aminoglycosides,^{48–53} fluoroquinolones,^{54,55} and fosfomycin.⁵⁶ Several respiratory diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, and cystic fibrosis, show changes in parameters of the respiratory system, eg, sputum viscosity. Novel nanomolecules bypassing these obstacles to distribution have been reported. 57,58 In the current mini-review, published clinical trials, new information regarding aerosol production systems, 48,57,59-63 and novel nanoformulations^{58,64–72} are discussed.

Search methods

We performed an electronic article search using the PubMed, Google Scholar, Medscape, and Scopus databases, using combinations of the following search terms: "aerosol antibiotics", "aerosol nanoparticles", "aerosol production", and "aerosol antibiotic studies". All types of articles (randomized controlled trials, clinical observational cohort studies, review articles, case reports) were included. Selected references from the articles identified were searched further, with no language restrictions.

Fosfomycin/tobramycin

The study by Trapnell et al⁵⁶ screened 162 patients, of whom 121 completed the trial. The mean patient age was 32 years and two different drug combinations were administered, ie, 160/40 mg and 80/20 mg. The administration system was an eFlow® nebulizer system (PARI Pharma GmbH, Starnberg, Germany). Safety and efficiency were recorded using spirometry, the Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised (CFQ-R), and recording of adverse effects in the respiratory tract. Upon inclusion in the protocol, patients were stratified according to their performance on spirometry, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa was required to be present in expectorated sputum, in previous examinations. Two major points regarding treatment should be noted. First, all patients received bronchodilation before administration of the aerosol antibiotic independently of their regular inhalation therapy. Second, there were 12 hospitalizations due to disease exacerbation after aerosol administration according to the treating physician. Major positive results included a relative increase in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV,), lower sputum P. aeruginosa density on the 80/20 mg dose, and fewer adverse effects on this dose. No major therapeutic differences were observed between the two groups⁵⁶ (Table 1).

Tobramycin alone

Inhaled tobramycin was administered as 300 mg twice daily in a multicenter, placebo-controlled, 24-week study. Once again, changes in FEV, and sputum P. aeruginosa density were recorded, along with adverse effects. Administration of the aerosol was performed using two nebulizers, ie, the LC Plus® jet nebulizer (PARI Pharma GmbH) and the Pulmo-Aide compressor (DeVilbiss, Glendale Heights, IL, USA). The patients were again stratified according to FEV, and sputum P. aeruginosa density. In addition, the patients were instructed to wear nose clips and perform normal tidal breathing. The patients needed to have a previous record of P. aeruginosa in their sputum. The results showed a 10% increase in FEV, at week 20 and a mean decrease in sputum P. aeruginosa density of 0.8 log₁₀ colony-forming units. The adverse effects recorded were tinnitus and voice alteration, but these were not severe enough to warrant cessation of aerosol administration.

Table I Aerosol studies with tobramycin, amikacin, and gentamicin

Tobramycin 663 patients PARI LC FEV, decreased sputum PA 300 mg inhaled tobramycin FEV,	Reference	Drug	Subjects	Production system	Result	Dosage	LFTs	Major adverse effects
ck al Proteinsmycin 646 pasieruss PARILC TRECenteads guantem 730 mg inhaled toubramycin FEV, FECTOR plantation FEV, FEVC FEVC <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>2006</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>200</td> <td>: :</td> <td></td>		0	2006			200	: :	
tet al. Tobramycin et et et electroperate et al. Tobramycin et electroperate et electropera	Ramsey et al ²⁷	Tobramycin	663 patients	PARI LC	↑FEV, decreased sputum PA	300 mg inhaled tobramycin	FEV_	Tinnitus, voice alteration
th Tobramycin 6–18 years - Improvement of a control of a post on and 28 days off drug. FPV, PVC. y et al. and bill and public or and a control of a control of a control of an and a control of control of a control of a control of a control of a control of control of a control of co			Mean age 21 years	Plus and Pulmo-Aide	density, fewer hospitalizations	or placebo, 24 weeks		and pneumothorax
yet all Tobramycin Tobramycin on et all Tobramycin 184 patients recruited and St completed FIV decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days on and 28 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 days off drug decline over 18 days on and 28 day	Stelmach	Tobramycin	6-18 years	1	Improved body mass index,	300 mg inhaled tobramycin	FEV	No major adverse effects
y et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 164 patients recruited PARI LC Increased FEF12 by 8K. 300 mg inhaled tobramycin EFP., FVC. et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 2.6 years. 164 patients recruited PARI LC Increased FEF12 by 8K. 300 mg inhaled tobramycin FEP., FVC. et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 2.6 years. 1.6 years. PARI LC GCQ-R and FEP by 8K. 300 mg inhaled tobramycin FEP., FVC. n et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 2.6 years. 1.15 patients. PARI LC GCQ-R and FEP by 8K. 300 mg wice daily. 28 days off drug. FEV., FVC. n et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 2.6 years. 1.15 patients. PARI LC GCQ-R and FEP py 8K. 300 mg wice daily. 28 days. FEV., FVC. n et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 2.6 years., 1.15 patients. PARI LC FEV., FVC. FEV., FVC. FEV., FVC. n et a 3 ^N Tobramycin 2.6 years., 1.15 patients. PARI LC FEM., FVC. FEV., FVC. FEV., FVC. n c 2 years. 1.15 patients. 5.7 years. PARI LC GCG, R and	et al ⁷³				reduced FEV, decline over	28 days on and 28 days off drug,		reported
Tobramycin 194 patients recruited PARI LC Increased EHE 3 b Value Secuesia connection Secuesia evaluation DaVilbsis Secuesia evaluation Secuesia evaluation Secuesia evaluation DaVilbsis Secuesia evaluation Sec					2 years, delayed X-ray	2 years		
y et al. ¹⁰ Tob namycin 189 patients recruited PAR1 LC Increased Fig., by 8%, and 30 ong inhaled obnumycin 300 ong inhaled cobnumycin FEV, FVC, and FE, and 63 completed the Puls and Pulmo-Aide weight increase in both a several sowers events. 36-week evaluation PAR1 LC CCPOR and FEF, increase in both a several sowers events. 36 ong profice daily, 28 days off drug. FEF, increase in both a several sowers events. 36 ong profice daily, 28 days off drug. FEF, increase in both a several sowers events. 36 ong profice daily, 28 days off drug. FEF, increase in both a several sowers events. 36 ong profice daily, 28 days off drug. FEF, increase in both a several sowers and the several sowers and sowers and sowers and a several sowers and sowers an					disease progression			
Tobramycin 25 week evaluation DeVilbiss Accretication DeVilbiss and 1-36 or	Murphy et al ³⁰	Tobramycin	184 patients recruited	PARI LC	Increased $\text{FE}_{\Sigma_{n,r_s}}$ by 8%,	300 mg inhaled tobramycin	FEV, FVC,	Cough, sore throat, sneeze,
Tobramycin 26-week evaluation DeVilbiss Groups, fewer adverse events 56-week evaluation DeVilbiss Groups, fewer adverse events 56-week evaluation PARI LC Concominant antiblociscs 300 mg twice daily, 28 days FEV Aztreonam First step, 216 enrolled Plus and eFlow after AZLI and delayed time 55 mg twice or three times daily Aztreonam First step, 216 enrolled Plus and eFlow after AZLI and delayed time 55 mg twice or three times daily FEV F			and 63 completed the	Plus and Pulmo-Aide	weight increase in both	28 days on and 28 days off drug,	FEF	dizziness, pharyngitis,
et all 7 Tobramycin Se years PARI LC CPQ-R and FEV, increase and delyed time accordination and delyed time accordination and delyed time accordination and delyed time accordination and delyed time. Second step, 21 lennoled and ventore and delyed time. Second step, 21 lennoled and ventore and delyed time. Second step, 21 lennoled and ventore and delyed time. Tobramycin age 21 years. I 15 patients. Se years. PARI LC Ref. increase in the TOBI group. In month plus an additional provise daily improvement in TOBI group. And Gelyed time and GRCO. Colistin 80 mg twice daily. FEV, FVC. At a delay of time accordination. Tobramycin. So patients. Se years. PARI LC Ref. increase in the TOBI group. In month plus an additional plus and delay of plasma concentration. And density in provise ally the sand Pulmo-Aide plasma concentration. So days. 24 weeks of clolow-up. PRAIL C PARI LC PARI C PARI LC PARI LC PARI C PARI LC PARI C			56-week evaluation	DeVilbiss	groups, fewer adverse events	56 weeks	SaO	tinnitus, conjunctival
Tobramycin Ex years PARILC CFQR and FEV, increase So mag twice daily, 28 days FEV,					on aerosol tobramycin, fewer		ı	hyperemia
Tobramycin 26 years PARI LC CFQ.R and FEV, increase 300 mg swice daily, 28 days FEV, record First step, 246 encloled Plus and eflow Attraction 15 mg twice or three times daily. Partice or daily Plus and Pulizer system Oi inhaled or intravenous 28 days PEV, record step, 211 encloled Plus and Ventstream Oi inhaled or intravenous 28 days PEV, record step, 211 encloled Plus and Ventstream Auchibiotic administration PARI LC FEV, increase in the TOBI 300 mg twice daily PEV, record Plus and Ventstream TOBI Group, and GRCQ Colistin 80 mg twice daily PEV, record Puls and Ventstream TOBI Group, and GRCQ Colistin 80 mg twice daily PEV, record PARI LC PARI PARI PARI PARI PARI PARI PARI PARI					concomitant antibiotics			
1	McCoy et al ³⁷	Tobramycin	≥6 years	PARI LC	CFQ-R and FEV, increase	300 mg twice daily, 28 days	FEV	6 patients, >15% FEV,
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17		Aztreonam	First step, 246 enrolled	Plus and eFlow	after AZLI and delayed time	75 mg twice or three times daily,		reduction
Tobramycin Statements PARILC FEV increase in the colistin Tobramycin Statements PARILC FEV increase in the colistin Tobramycin Statements PARILC FEV increase in the colistin Tobramycin Statements PARILC FEV increase in the concentration, and dry powder Tobramycin Statements Tobramycin Stat		lysinate	Second step, 211 enrolled	nebulizer system	to inhaled or intravenous	28 days		
Tobramycin 26 years, 115 patients PARILC Ferring TOBI Group, and GRCQ Colistin 80 mg twice daily Ferring Ferri			Mean age 26.2 years		antibiotic administration			
Tobramycin	143	-		()	after AZLI		Ĺ	11
Colistin A Colistin B	Hodson et al™	Lobramycin	≥6 years, 115 patients	PAKI LC	FEV Increase in the	I OBI 300 mg twice daily	LEV.	Pharyngitis, 17 patients,
et all ²⁸ Tobramycin 523 patients, > 6 years, PARI LC Efficient deposition, low and pulmo-Aide plasma concentration, at a difficient deposition, low and pulmo-Aide plasma concentration, at a difficient deposition, low and pulmo-Aide plasma concentration, at a difficient deposition, low and pulmo-Aide plasma concentration, and any owner are all and dry powder are all and dry powder and at a difficient pharmacokinetic pharmacokinetic and dry powder and at a difficient pharmacokinetic and dry powder and at a difficient pharmacokinetic and dry powder are all and dry powder are all and dry powder are all and dry powder and and dry powder and dry powder and dry powder and dry powder and and dry powder are all and dry powder and dry powde		Colistin		Plus and Ventstream	TOBI Group, and GRCQ	Colistin 80 mg twice daily		≥10% decrease after
et alls Tobramycin 523 patients, > 6 years, PARI LC Efficient deposition, low 300 mg twice daily FEV, FVC, PC, PC, PC, PC, PC, PC, PC, PC, PC, P					improvement in TOBI group	I month plus an additional		aerosol administration
et all 2						4 weeks of follow-up		
Hosa age 21 years	Geller et al²6	Tobramycin	523 patients, >6 years,	PARI LC	Efficient deposition, low	300 mg twice daily	FEV, FVC,	1
retains and polylibiss increased sputum MIC Perase in FEV, correlated and Pulmo-Aide with reduction in sputum DeVilbiss Patients PARILC Tobramycin, Soft patients PARILC Tobramycin, Both aerosol Acrosol			Mean age 21 years	Plus and Pulmo-Aide	plasma concentration,	28 days, 24 weeks	FEV ₁ /FVC	
FARILC Increase in FEV, correlated 300 mg, 96 weeks FEV, locamycin in sputum DeVilbiss Patients PARILC Increased days of Decreased days of Devilous and Devi				DeVilbiss	increased sputum MIC		ratio	
ther Tobramycin 804 patients — Devilbiss PA density Lobramycin et al** Tobramycin, both aerosol Tobramycin et al** Tobramycin Tobramyci	Moss et al ^{28,29}	Tobramycin	I 28 patients	PARI LC	Increase in FEV, correlated	300 mg, 96 weeks	FEV_	1
ther Tobramycin 804 patients — Decreased days of Striation Decreased days of Dec				Plus and Pulmo-Aide	with reduction in sputum			
et al** Tobramycin 804 patients – Decreased days of 2001–2006 data – hospitalization with more than four cycles of administration of daministration and dry powder aerosol DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI Increase in FEV, reduction in sputum PA density an et al; Aerosol tobramycin 25 years, PARILC Equal increase in FEV, reduction in sputum PA density an et al; Aerosol tobramycin 25 years, Plus and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum PA density and four capsules = 112 mg FEV, and powder capsules = 112 mg in sputum PA density and four capsules = 112 mg in sputum PA density and four capsules = 112 mg in sputum PA density and four capsules = 112 mg in sputum PA density and four capsules = 112 mg in sputum PA density and four capsules = 112 mg in sputum capsule				DeVilbiss	PA density			
et al ⁴⁹ Tobramycin, 90 randomized PARLL Efficient pharmacokinetic of administration and dry powder and pulmo-Aide and Pulmo-Aide and Pulmo-Aide and DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI and Pulmo-Aide and DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI and density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin and powder and dry	Briesacher	Tobramycin	804 patients	ı	Decreased days of	2001–2006 data	1	1
robramycin, 90 randomized PARILC Efficient pharmacokinetic 300 mg aerosol and four PLUS and Pulmo-Aide evaluation of dry powder and pulmo-Aide bigher reduction in sputum PA density and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum apputum capsules = 112 mg × 2 equivalent particle, dry powder article, dry and Pulmo-Aide bigher reduction in sputum apputum apputu	et al ⁷⁴				hospitalization with			
Tobramycin, both aerosol 90 randomized PARI LC PROBLE Efficient pharmacokinetic pharmacokinetic 300 mg aerosol and four per evaluation of dry powder FEV, apsules = 112 mg equivalent and dry powder 102 patients T-326 DPI Increase in FEV, reduction FOUR capsules = 112 mg equivalent FEV, apsules = 112 mg equivalent Aerosol tobramycin Zobramycin T-326 DPI In sputum PA density 300 mg aerosol tobramycin FEV, apsules = 112 mg Aerosol tobramycin S53 randomized PARI LC Equal increase in FEV, reduction in sputum 300 mg aerosol and four FEV, apsules = 112 mg particle, dry Particle, dry Particle, dry Particle in sputum Adensity in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin powder In TIP group, TSQM 3 × 28 days in TIP group, TSQM					more than four cycles			
Tobramycin, 90 randomized PARI LC Efficient pharmacokinetic 300 mg aerosol and four FEV, both aerosol PLUS and Pulmo-Aide evaluation of dry powder capsules = 112 mg equivalent LOBAYilbiss and T-326 DPI Increase in FEV, reduction Four capsules = 112 mg equivalent in sputum PA density Aerosol tobramycin ≥ 6 years, PARI LC Equal increase in FEV, 300 mg aerosol and four FEV, versus light-porous 553 randomized Plus and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg × 2 equivalent particle, dry DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI PA density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin treatment satisfaction 3 × 28 days					of administration			
both aerosol and dry powder and dry powder and dry powder and dry powder. Tobramycin dry powder Tobramycin dry powder Tobramycin dry powder Aerosol tobramycin ≥ 6 years, PARI LC Pariticle, dry powder PLUS and Pulmo-Aide evaluation of dry powder Tobramycin dry powder Tobramycin dry powder FEV, reduction FeV, reduction Four capsules = 112 mg equivalent programs of 3 × 28 days FEV, 10300 mg aerosol tobramycin FEV, 10300 mg aerosol and four FEV, 10300 mg aerosol and four FEV, 10300 mg aerosol tobramycin treatment satisfaction 3 × 28 days Tobramochamycin and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg × 2 equivalent particle, dry Treatment satisfaction 3 × 28 days Tobramycin TIP, higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg × 2 equivalent powder	Geller et al ⁴⁹	Tobramycin,	90 randomized	PARI LC	Efficient pharmacokinetic	300 mg aerosol and four	FEV.	Cough, dysgeusia, decline of
and dry powder Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Tobramycin Tobramycin Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin Treatment satisfaction Tobramycin T		both aerosol		PLUS and Pulmo-Aide	evaluation of dry powder	capsules = 112 mg equivalent		FEV, after both aerosol and
Tobramycin 102 patients T-326 DPI Increase in FEV₁, reduction Four capsules = 112 mg FEV₁ any powder dry powder Aerosol tobramycin ≥ 6 years, PARI LC Equal increase in FEV₁, 300 mg aerosol and four FEV₁ versus light-porous 553 randomized Plus and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg × 2 equivalent particle, dry DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI PA density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin treatment satisfaction 3 × 28 days in TIP group, TSQM		and dry powder		DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI		to 300 mg of aerosol tobramycin		dry powder administration
dry powder Aerosol tobramycin \geq 6 years, PARLLC Equal increase in FEV, 300 mg aerosol and four FEV, versus light-porous 553 randomized Plus and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg \times 2 equivalent particle, dry DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI PA density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin treatment satisfaction 3×28 days in TIP group, TSQM	Konstan et al;	Tobramycin	102 patients	T-326 DPI	Increase in FEV, reduction	Four capsules = 112 mg	FEV	Cough, sore throat, pyrexia
Aerosol tobramycin \geq 6 years, PARI LC Equal increase in FEV $_1$, 300 mg aerosol and four FEV $_1$ versus light-porous 553 randomized Plus and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg \times 2 equivalent particle, dry DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI PA density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin treatment satisfaction 3×28 days in TIP group, TSQM	EVOLVE trial ⁷⁵	dry powder			in sputum PA density			
versus light-porous 553 randomized Plus and Pulmo-Aide higher reduction in sputum capsules = 112 mg \times 2 equivalent particle, dry DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI PA density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin powder 3×28 days in TIP group, TSQM	Konstan et al;	Aerosol tobramycin	≥6 years,	PARI LC	Equal increase in FEV,	300 mg aerosol and four	FEV	Cough, dysphonia,
DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI $$ PA density in TIP, higher to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin treatment satisfaction 3×28 days in TIP group, TSQM	EAGER Trial ⁵⁰	versus light-porous	553 randomized	Plus and Pulmo-Aide	higher reduction in sputum	capsules = 112 mg \times 2 equivalent		dysgeusia, bronchospasm,
treatment satisfaction 3×28 days in TIP group, TSQM		particle, dry		DeVilbiss and T-326 DPI	PA density in TIP, higher	to 300 mg aerosol tobramycin		equal in both groups,
in TIP group, TSQM		powder			treatment satisfaction	3×28 days		5.2% TIP and 5.3% TIS
					in TIP group, TSQM			

(Continued)

Table I (Continued)	tinued)						
Reference	Drug	Subjects	Production system	Result	Dosage	LFTs	Major adverse effects
Bhavsar et al ⁷⁶	Human lysozyme,	PA	Misty-Ox	Three groups: 60 mg rhLZ,	Reduced PA density and	ı	1
	tobramycin		Nebulizer	5 μg TBMN, 60 mg rhLZ	inflammatory index		
Parkins et al ⁶²	TOBI dry powder	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review
Geller et al ²⁶	TOBI dry powder	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review
Trapnell	Fosfomycin/	162 CF patients screened	eFlow nebulizer	↑FEV,, ↑CFQ-R, fewer	160/40 mg or 80/20 mg placebo,	FEV	Cough, dyspnea, wheezing
et al ⁵⁶	tobramycin	121 completed	system (PARI)	symptoms with 80/20 mg	28 days, twice daily		less common with
		≥18 years, mean 32 years					80/20 mg
Newman et al ¹¹⁶ Gentamicin	6 Gentamicin	Eight nebulizers	Bird	The higher the flow rate	ı	ı	I
		from each brand	Micronebuliser	the smaller the MMAD			
			DeVilbiss 646	and shorter the nebulization			
			Bard Inspiron	time			
			Mini-Neb, Medic-Aid				
			Upmist				
Safdar et al ⁸²	Amikacin	9 patients	Jet nebulizer	8 of 9 patients were	Amikacin 100 mg per 3 mL	ı	Throat irritation, bitter
		Case series		efficiently treated	(intravenously) twice daily		taste, hoarseness of voice
Aquino et al ⁷⁸	Gentamicin	CuFi-I	Single-stage glass	Efficient manufacturing	Storage stability	ı	1
	dry powder		impinge and	of gentamicin capsules			

Abbreviations: FEV, forced expiratory volume in one second; PVC, forced vital capacity; FEF₅₋₂₅ forced expiratory flow during middle half of forced vital capacity; SaO₂, oxygen saturation; CFQ-R, Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised; TOBI, inhaled tobramycin solution; TSQM, Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication; DNI, dry powder; TOBI, inhaled tobramycin solution; TSQM, Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication; DNI, dry powder; MMAD, mass median aerodynamic diameter; CuFi-1, human airway epithelial (HAE) cell line; S4, Staphylococcus aureus; VAP, ventilation-associated pneumonia; ASD, aminosterol derivative; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; LFTs, lung function tests.

Tobramycin (30 mg/5 mL)

ASD 2-10 mg/mL

For ASD, MIC remained

addition MMAD <5 μm

the same after mucin

Plus eFlow PARI LC

PA and SA

tobramycin

ASD and

Alhanout et al 108 based on supernatants

of liposomal amikacin

inhalation chamber, 12-port nose-only

Animal

Liposomal

Meers et al⁵³

amikacin

PAI LC Star

Sustained release

20 mg/mL

Gentamicin (40 mg/mL) Colistin (75 mg/4 mL)

Amikacin (100 mg/3 mL)

resolution in 81% aerosol Efficient VAP pneumonia

et nebulizer Turbospin®

VAP pneumonia

amikacin, colistin, Gentamicin,

Ghannam

et al⁷⁷

tobramycin

versus 31%

Pneumothorax was observed in one patient. Most importantly, fewer hospitalizations were observed in the group receiving aerosolized to bramycin. Another small uncontrolled study in 12 patients recorded height, weight, chest X-ray (Brasfield score) and FEV_1 . After 2 years of administration of inhaled to bramycin 300 mg twice daily (28 days on and 28 days off), the decline in $\text{FEV}_1(\Delta)$ decreased, body mass index increased, and radiologic disease progression was again decreased.

In a study by Murphy et al,³⁰ 184 patients were enrolled to receive aerosolized tobramycin 300 mg twice daily administered with the LC Plus jet nebulizer and a Pulmo-Aide compressor for 56 weeks. Again, administration was performed on a 28-day on and 28-day off cycle. Respiratory functions were recorded, and this study presented additional data regarding forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory flow during the middle half of forced vital capacity (FEF₂₅₋₇₅). The most important observation was an 8% increase in FEF₂₅₋₇₅ (an index of small airways function) in the aerosolized tobramycin group. Moreover, fewer hospital admissions and fewer days of hospitalization were observed in the group receiving aerosolized tobramycin. Concomitant antibiotics were administered to fewer patients receiving aerosolized antibiotics (102 days versus 124 days in the control group). Further, both groups showed an increase in body weight, and no severe adverse effects were observed. However, two patients were withdrawn from the study because of severe cough, sneezing, and sore throat related to administration of the aerosol. Hoarseness of voice was also observed in almost all patients receiving aerosolized tobramycin.

The pharmacokinetics of tobramycin were assessed in a 24-week study by Geller et al. ²⁶ The main observation was that aerosol deposition was not associated with changes in pulmonary function tests, ie, FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC, as would be expected. It has always been a point of debate whether underlying respiratory disease influences deposition of the aerosol. However, more information is necessary regarding the site of sample collection, ie, from the central or distal airways. Another major point was the low plasma drug concentration and local increase in sputum concentration. The methodology used in this study provides an excellent example of the pharmacokinetic superiority of a local treatment modality. ⁴⁸

In a study by Moss et al,²⁸ a reduction in sputum *P. aeruginosa* density was associated for the first time with an increase in FEV₁. Again, weight gain, increase in FEV₁, and reduction in sputum *P. aeruginosa* density were observed in this long-term 96-week study. Evaluation of nephrotoxicity

and ototoxicity also indicated no adverse effects other than tinnitus; however, neither of the two patients affected had to discontinue administration of the drug. Patient adherence with tobramycin was associated with cost-effectiveness of therapy and days of hospitalization. It was observed that 804 patients receiving more than four cycles of tobramycin per year (2001-2006 data) had a significant reduction in hospitalization and fewer outpatient service costs. However, higher outpatient prescription drug costs were recorded.⁷⁴ Tobramycin was evaluated as an aerosol versus a dry powder. Pharmacokinetics were assessed, and major observations were made regarding future development of antibiotic formulations. First, the timing of administration was significantly reduced compared with the 15 minutes required for nebulization. For the first time, the plasma concentration of tobramycin was evaluated until 12 hours after administration. The time taken to reach peak plasma concentration was one hour after administration for both the aerosol and the dry powder. In addition, the area under the curve and peak plasma concentration were detected between subjects receiving 4×14 mg and 2×28 mg capsules. Moreover, systemic exposure was identical for the 300 mg aerosol and the 112 mg dry powder. One patient had to discontinue administration of the dry powder because of severe cough. However, there was a difference in the decrease in FEV, of 10%-20% between the aerosol and dry powder formulations. Only one patient had a decrease in FEV, of 20% and had to discontinue treatment. This study provides valuable data indicating that this new methodology for antibiotic administration should be pursued at least for cystic fibrosis and in patients with respiratory function appropriate for dry powder usage.49 The safety and efficacy of the dry powder formulation of tobramycin was evaluated in the EVOLVE (Tobramycin Inhalation Powder [TIP] for *P. aeruginosa* Infection in Cystic Fibrosis Subjects) trial. The maximum administration time was 4-6 minutes. The major adverse effects occurring in both the tobramycin and placebo dry powder inhaler groups were cough, sore throat, and pyrexia; however, pyrexia was only related to the dry powder. Again, FEV, was increased in the dry powder inhaler group and sputum P. aeruginosa density was decreased; this observation was confirmed again when placebo patients were switched to tobramycin by dry powder inhaler.⁷⁵

In the EAGER (Safety, efficacy and convenience of tobramycin inhalation powder in cystic fibrosis patients) trial,⁵⁰ inhalation dry powder was evaluated versus inhalation solution. The increase in FEV₁ was equal for the two groups at all times of spirometric evaluation. The sputum

P. aeruginosa density was observed to be lower in the inhalation dry powder group during the 28 days of cycle 3. Adverse effects and in particular cough were observed to be more severe in the inhalation dry powder group. This finding is attributable to the fact that the dry powder fibers have the one axis increased, so the particles have a linear shape which irritates the respiratory tract epithelium and provokes cough. Information on this issue is not available for this study. Cough was diminished after several administrations of treatment; however, 4% of patients (12/308) in the inhalation dry powder group discontinued treatment in comparison with 1% of patients (2/209) in the inhalation solution group. Bronchospasm (defined as a >20% reduction in FEV,) after administration was observed to be the same in both groups (5.2% inhalation dry powder versus 5.3% inhalation solution). Hearing complaints tended to be intermittent and transient in both groups (0.97% inhalation dry powder versus 0.96% inhalation solution). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of tobramycin dry powder was increased on day 28 of cycle 3 in both groups. Finally, it should be mentioned that there were significantly more patients requiring new antipseudomonal antibiotics in the inhalation dry powder group than in the inhalation solution group.⁶² Greater adherence and satisfaction with treatment were recorded on the Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication in the inhalation dry powder group, with a mean administration time of 5.6 minutes versus 19.7 minutes for the inhalation solution group (ie, higher than previously observed⁷⁵).

In an effort to investigate possible enhancement of tobramycin aerosol administration, human lysozyme was coadministered with tobramycin. It was observed by measuring bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, neutrophils, and lung histopathology samples that human lysozyme had anti-inflammatory properties and enhanced the antibacterial effect of tobramycin. Four different antibiotics were administered in the trial by Ghannam et al; three of these were prepared from intravenous solutions and were administered in cancer patients with ventilation-associated pneumonia. It was observed that, in comparison with intravenous administration, aerosol administration did not induce renal toxicity and that the ventilation-associated pneumonia resolution rate was 81% in comparison with 31% for intravenous administration (Table 1).

Aztreonam lysinate

The efficiency of an aztreonam lysinate aerosol antibiotic formulation was evaluated in a dose-escalation study. Forty

patients were enrolled, including 21 adults and 19 adolescents. The drug formulation was administered using an eFlow nebulizer system; the mass median diameter of the droplets was $3.6 \pm 0.1 \,\mu m$ and the geometric standard deviation was $1.6 \pm 0.1 \,\mu m$. The patients were divided into a dose-escalation group (75 mg-150 mg-225 mg) and a placebo group. Pulmonary function tests, ie, FVC, FEV₁, and FEF₂₅₋₇₅, were evaluated by spirometry. The total study period was 13 days and the administration was performed in a 3-day manner. Only one adolescent patient showed a >20% decrease in FEV,, and the maximum tolerated dose was established at 75 mg. However, for adults, no decrease $\geq 20\%$ FEV, was observed in order for the maximum tolerated dose to be determined. The spirometry examination was performed three times, once before aerosol administration, and then 30 minutes and 2 hours after aerosol administration in order to cover all scenarios from early to late airway hyperresponsiveness. Adverse events were recorded using the MedDRA (Medtra (S) Pte Ltd, Singapore) 5.0 classification system. The usual adverse effects were observed, ie, chest tightness, nasal congestion, aggravated cough, and increased sputum, which is expected to be increased when a saline solution is administered. There was a trend towards a numeric increase in adverse effects with an increase in dosage for the adults but not for the adolescents. Again, only one patient had to stop the treatment when the maximum tolerated dose was reached at 75 mg. A very important aspect of this study was the plasma concentration of the drug, which was measurable at one hour and still detectable after 8 hours, indicating sustained drug absorption from the lung parenchyma into the circulation, as previously observed in other studies.²³ Additionally, drug concentrations were measurable in the sputum of patients after 10 minutes, and were still detectable 2 and 4 hours after aerosol administration. This study provides excellent information regarding the pharmacokinetics of the aztreonam lysinate aerosol and a methodology via which to evaluate aerosol antibiotics.

The pharmacokinetics of aztreonam lysinate 75 mg and 225 mg were evaluated further in a study of 105 patients by Retsch-Bogart et al.³⁵ Positive results regarding pulmonary function tests were observed after 7 days, and sputum *P. aeruginosa* density also decreased significantly. The plasma drug levels reached were dose-dependent, as was the sputum aztreonam lysinate concentration. There were no severe adverse effects in any of the patients. This study provides importance evidence regarding a bronchoconstrictive effect that has not been observed before. Specifically, there were patients were they had their FEV, decreased more than 30%

after the aerosol administration and a careful follow-up of 2 hours with spirometry indicating that the pulmonary function returned for these patients to 15% of pretreatment values. However, a similar effect was observed for a patient in the placebo group. Similar adverse effects have been observed with other inhaled therapies, and it is not yet clear whether this is due to the concentration of the drug, its chemical structure, or a background of hyperresponsiveness. ^{23,68} In any case, all these factors play an important role in bronchoconstriction. In addition, patients administered short-acting bronchodilators before treatment had a lower decrease in FEV₁. Administration of aztreonam lysinate 75 mg was again evaluated in a 28-day trial. The CFQ-R score was the primary endpoint and the FEV, increase was the second endpoint. Indeed, an increase in both values was observed, and although decreased after discontinuation of aztreonam lysinate, still remained increased compared with baseline values. Sputum and plasma drug concentrations were again dose-related. A decrease ≥15% was again observed after each inhalation of aztreonam lysinate, with a short-acting bronchodilator administered 15 minutes beforehand.³⁶ Similar results were also observed in a study by Wainwright et al, 40 who clearly stated for the first time that aerosol therapy is contraindicated when atelectasis and pleural effusion are present. This has also been shown for other aerosol treatment modalities. 19,24 In a study by Oermann et al,³⁸ the 75 mg aztreonam lysinate formulation was administered for 18 months either twice daily or three times daily. Pulmonary function tests, CFQ-R scores, and weight were increased in the three times daily group; however, adverse respiratory effects were observed in 50 patients, and adherence was observed to be slightly lower (4%) in the three times daily group. In any case, better results were observed in the three times daily group. There were fewer hospitalizations and a lower *P. aeruginosa* density in sputum samples. This was an excellent long-term study presenting the different aspects of administration methodology that can be used and how these influence different aspects of the patient's clinical situation.

A combination of tobramycin and aztreonam lysinate was administered in a multicenter study in which patients first received tobramycin for 28 days followed by aztreonam lysinate for 28 days. The major positive outcome other than increased FEV₁, improvement on CFQ-R, and reduced sputum *P. aeruginosa* density, was that patients receiving aztreonam lysinate had a delayed time to receiving inhaled or intravenous antibiotics.³⁷ Moreover, a \geq 15% reduction in FEV₁ was observed in six patients. In a publication following this study, the susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* was investigated. Sputum samples were obtained from all patients, and a 30% increase

in MIC, a few decreases in *P. aeruginosa* susceptibility to other antibiotics, and an increase in tobramycin susceptibility was observed³⁹ (Table 2).

Gentamicin

Gentamicin solution was nebulized by 32 nebulizers representing four different brands (Bird Micronebulizer®, Bird Corporation, Palm Springs, CA, USA; DeVilbiss 646; Inspiron Mini-Neb®, CR Bard Inc, Covington GA, USA; and Upmist[®], Medic-Aid Limited, Bognor Regis, UK). It was observed that the higher the flow rate, the smaller the droplet mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) produced and the shorter the nebulization time. Moreover, the higher the loading in the residual cup, the smaller the MMAD. In addition, the methodology of adding NaCl 0.9% to the residual cup when the concentration was reduced to half of the initial dosage was proposed in order to produce further small droplets <5 μm during aerosol administration. Using this method more than once does not have any additional benefit because the concentration of drug is reduced. Gentamicin has also been investigated as a dry powder formulation with leucine. Leucine was observed to improve the properties of the dry powder formulation of gentamicin. The safety of the formulation was evaluated in CuFi-1 cells, and no adverse effects were observed 24 hours after administration. Leucine improved the dispersibility of the aerosol and modified the surface of the particles. The formulation was stable after 6 months of storage. A new gentamicin alginate microparticle has recently been developed, but needs to be investigated further as an aerosol formulation⁷⁸ (Table 1).

Colistin

Aerosolized colistin and tobramycin were administered in a randomized clinical study including 115 patients for one month, with an additional 4 weeks of follow-up to compare the safety and effectiveness of the two drugs. 43 Fewer adverse airway reactivity effects were observed in the tobramycin solution group (n = 6) than in the colistin group (n = 11). There was also an increase in FEV₁ in the tobramycin group, especially in younger patients. However, both groups showed a decrease in sputum P. aeruginosa density, with no difference observed between groups in this regard. FVC was also recorded, but no data regarding changes in FVC were reported because this was not a primary endpoint. The medical condition of the patients was also evaluated using the Global Rating of Change questionnaire, 43 and it was observed that patients receiving tobramycin benefited more. In another study by Jensen et al, 42 colistin was administered for 3 months versus placebo. A different aerosol ım,

Reference	Drug	Subjects	Production system	Result	Dosage	LFIS	Major adverse effects
Gibson	Aztreonam	21 adults and	eFLOW nebulizer	Efficient drug evaluation, increased	75 mg/150 mg	FEV, FVC,	Chest tightness, increased sputum
et al ³⁴	lysinate	19 adolescents	system (PARI)	sputum and plasma drug levels	225 mg	FEF	nasal congestion, aggravated coug
				after inhalation		SaO2	I patient $>$ 20% FEV $_{_{ m I}}$ reduction
Retsch-	Aztreonam	105 randomized	eFLOW nebulizer	Decrease in sputum PA density,	75 mg/225 mg/twice	FEV, FVC,	Cough, transient decrease in FEV
Bogart et al ³⁵	lysinate	14 days	system (PARI)	increase in FEV _, for AZLI patients	daily placebo	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅	
				in 7 days		SaO	
Retsch-	Aztreonam	≥6 years	eFLOW nebulizer	CFQ-R-respiratory scores improved	75 mg/28 days	, LEV	Pulmonary exacerbation
Bogart et al³6	lysinate	124 completed	system (PARI)	and FEV, improved, weight gain			
Oermann	Aztreonam	≥6 years, 195 completed	eFLOW nebulizer	CFQ-R and FEV, increase, reduction	75 mg twice or three	FEV, FVC,	Pyrexia, fatigue, headache, cough,
et al³8	lysinate	Mean age 28.5 years	system	of sputum PA density	times daily, 18 months	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅	decreased appetite
Oermann	Aztreonam	≥6 years, 195 completed	eFLOW nebulizer	30% increase in MIC, increase in	75 mg twice or three	ı	I
et al ³⁹	lysinate	Mean age 28.5 years	system	tobramycin susceptibility	times daily, 18 months		
Wainwright	Aztreonam	≥6 years	eFLOW nebulizer	CFQ-R and FEV, increase,	75 mg	FEV	3 patients discontinued due
et al ⁴⁰	lysinate	157 patients	system	reduction of sputum PA density	three times daily,		to pulmonary exacerbation, one
					28 days		$patient > \!\! 20\% \; FEV_{\scriptscriptstyle \parallel} \; reduction$
Parkins	AZLI	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review
et al ⁶⁸							

Abbreviations: FEV,, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced viral capacity; FEF₅₋₇₅, forced expiratory flow during middle half of forced viral capacity; SaO₂, oxygen saturation; CFQ-R, Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised; TOBI, inhaled tobramycin solution; AZLI, aztreonam lysinate; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; LFTs, lung function tests.

production system was used, ie, the Raindrop® (Purian-Bennett Corporation, Overland Park, KS, USA) nebulizing chamber which nebulizes 3 mL in 15 minutes. The decrease in FEV₁ and FVC over the 3-month period was lower in the colistin group, and inflammatory markers such as white cell count and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were also marginally decreased. Sputum *P. aeruginosa* density was also decreased. However, adverse effects, including severe irritating cough and burning sensation on the tongue, were severe enough in three patients to require withdrawal from the study. At this point, we should report a case of hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to high-dose colistin therapy where the patient had to be intubated. The treatment was stopped after 12 days, and the eosinophil count normalized after 3 days. The patient was efficiently weaned to pressure support mode with minimal pressure support.⁷⁹

Colistin has been administered as an aerosol in ventilated-associated pneumonia caused by P. aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii using an Aeroneb Pro vibrating plate nebulizer (Serogen, Galway, Ireland). The major concern regarding aerosol production was the strict coordination needed on the part of the patient, and therefore additional propofol administration was necessary. Eliminating inspiratory turbulence was necessary for efficient aerosol deposition.80 Pharmacokinetics were also evaluated using blood samples, and it was observed that the colistin concentration was higher on day 3 than on day 2, with no significant difference in this regard between the groups receiving aerosolized colistin with and without additional intravenous antibiotics. Moreover, no airway clearance side effects were observed between the groups. The study by Lu et al⁸¹ was one of the first to evaluate aerosol efficiency using computed tomography. Efficiency was observed for both sensitive and resistant strains. The MIC was increased in only two patients (Table 3).

Amikacin

The pharmacokinetics of aerosolized liposomal amikacin was evaluated in a rat model and sputum samples from cystic fibrosis patients in comparison with an aerosolized tobramycin formulation. First, it was observed that liposomal amikacin had a sustained release effect locally and in the systemic circulation. One administration was enough for liposomal amikacin levels to be detectable after 3 days. Blood levels detected were 8 (lungs) >2 (kidneys), indicating that local administration enables slow release in the systemic circulation, providing enough time for efficient and safe clearance of the drug. The same concept can be applied to other experimental treatment modalities where large concentrations are

Table 3 Aerosol antibiotic studies with colistin, amphotericin B, and antituberculosis drugs

Reference	Drug	Subject	Production system	Result	Dosage	LFTs	Major adverse effects
Jensen et al ⁴²	Colistin	14.2 mean years	Raindrop	Less decrease in FEV ₁ , FVC with colistin	One million units twice daily, 3 months/placebo	FV.	Coughing, expectoration, rhonchi
Alexander et al ⁷²	Liposomal amphotericin B	ſ	Hudson Updraft, LC Star, Aeroeclipse II, Small Volume nebulizer	PARI LC and Aeroeclipse II	50 mg vials diluted in I2 mL	1	ı
Gilani et al ⁶⁹	DC-SA nanomicelles + amphotericin B	Candida albicans, Aspergillus niger, Aspergilus fumigatus, Aspergillus flavus Cyytococcus neoformans	Hudson London, UK	DC-SA more effective against Cryptococcus neoformans	Amphotericin B alone in water, Fungizone, DC-SA amphotericin B	1	ı
Nasr et al ⁶⁶	Amphotericin B Nanoemulsions Intralipid [®] or Clinoleic [®]	In vitro evaluation Using a twin impinger	PARI LC Sprint and PARI Turbo Boy S compressor	Efficient drug loading and the Clinoleic displayed higher deposition of Amphotericin B in the lower impinge stage	Amphotericin B 25 mg added in 10 mL of Intralipid or Clinoleic nanoemulsions	1	ı
Lu et al ⁸¹	Colistin	165 enrolled with VAP PA and AB	Aeroneb Pro	Clinical cure rate 66% in sensitive strain and 67% in multidrug-resistant strain	400 mg every 8 hours 7–19 days	1	ſ
Wood et al ⁸⁰	VAP Aminoglycosides colistin	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review
Abdulla et al ⁷¹	Rifampicin nanoparticles	Formulation evaluation	PARI LC Plus	MMAD <5 µm in any polymer weight ratio, sustained drug release	mPEG2000-DSPE and mPEG5000-DSPE	1	1
Pourshahab et al ⁶³	Isoniazid nanoparticles	PA, SA, and MI	DPI inhalation device Cyclohaler	MMAD 10 µm, Sustained drug release	Isoniazid-loaded chitosan/tripolyphosphate	ı	I
Son et al ⁵⁷	Rifampicin microparticles	Membrane holder method	DPI inhalation device, Aerolizer	MMAD 3.5–4.5 μm	RFDH microcrystals coated PLGA or PLA	I	I
son et al ~	Kırampıcın microparticles	Membrane noider method	Dri innalation device, Aerolizer Handihaler	MMAD 2.2 µm	RFAM	I	I
Gonzalez-Juarrero et al ¹⁰¹	Isoniazid, capreomycin, and amikacin	Mycobacterium tuberculosis	Intrapulmonary Microsprayer	Efficient for INH in both groups, additionally in spleen for aerosol	Isoniazid, capreomycin and amikacin 500 µg/dose 3 times weekly	ı	I
Chan et al ⁶⁴	Isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide	Microparticle dissolution profile	Aerolizer	Efficient, excipient-free triple antibiotic DPI powder	Isoniazid I.5 mg/mL, rifampicin 3 mg/mL, pyrazinamide 8 mg/mL	1	1
Hraiech et al ¹⁰⁷	Squalamine, colistin	PA, rats	Nose-only jet nebulizer (cage)	3 µm MMAD Squalamine and 2.8 µm colistin	160 mg colistin and 3 mg squalamine 6 days	ı	ı

Note: Chitosan-stearic acid conjugate.

Abbreviations: FEV, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced vital capacity; AB, Acinetobacter baumannii; VAP, ventilation-associated pneumonia; MMAD, mass median aerodynamic diameter; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; DP, dry powder inhaler; RFDH, rifampicin dehydrate; PLGA, poly (_{DL}lactide-co-glycolide); PLA, poly (_{DL}lactide); RFAM, amorphous rifampicin; MI, Mycobacterium intracellulare; LFTs, lung function tests.

needed to reach the target tissue; however, during metabolism of the same concentration in another tissue, such as the liver or kidney, the same concentration may be toxic. In several situations where administering a drug intravenously unnecessarily exposes healthy cells and organs to toxicity, by the time the drug reaches its target tissue, a large concentration of toxic metabolites has already caused damage to normal tissue. The sustained-release effect is associated with the concentration of rhamnolipids, ie, the monorhamnolipid and dirhamnolipid found in P. aeruginosa biofilm which are responsible for release of the amikacin contained in the liposomes. One rhamnolipid molecule is enough for extraction of 100 liposomal amikacin molecules. Additional observations were made regarding penetration of the formulation into sputum from patients with cystic fibrosis. The formulation efficiently penetrated the mucus independent of the size of the liposomes, and it was observed that the liposomes had the ability to modify while penetrating the mucus. Thick mucus is a major problem in patients with cystic fibrosis, and the liposomal formulation demonstrated superiority in comparison with aerosolized tobramycin with regard to penetration of this thick mucus. Reduction of sputum P. aeruginosa density was greater in comparison with that achieved using aerosol tobramycin in this study, and bacteria were undetectable after administration of liposomal amikacin in several animals. Penetration of liposomal amikacin was also observed to be higher at the site of infection and subsequently at the site where the P. aeruginosa population was highest within sputum. Finally, it was observed that alternate day dosing of the formulation is an efficient method of administration for this type of formulation. This is an excellent study showing all aspects of the pharmacokinetics of liposomal carriers, and this method of encapsulation and drug release has been pursued in other experimental studies. However, the local trigger for drug release in the respiratory epithelium has not been identified. An intravenous solution of amikacin (50 mg per 3 mL) was administered twice daily as an aerosol to nine patients with nontuberculous immunosuppression. Adverse effects were self-limiting and not severe enough to warrant withdrawal from the study. Of the nine study participants, eight responded to the treatment and one died from underlying disease. This study shows favorable data indicating this intravenous solution can be aerosolized efficiently as an effective treatment for patients who are otherwise difficult to treat.82

Levofloxacin

Aerosolized levofloxacin (300 mg) is being investigated under the name MP-376. This novel formulation, apart from

demonstrating efficient control of bacteria, has been shown to have additional immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory properties. In a study by Tsivkovskii et al using human BE135 bronchial epithelial cells,83 MP-376 decreased production of interleukin-6 and interleukin-8, whereas tobramycin aerosol solution increased production of interleukin-6. Aerosolized levofloxacin needs to offer additional benefits, as do the macrolides.⁸⁴ Further investigation of this agent was performed in comparison with amikacin, ciprofloxacin, tobramycin, and aztreonam against P. aeruginosa, Burkholderia cepacia complex, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Alcaligenes xylosoxidans, and Staphylococcus aureus. The two quinolones demonstrated the highest activity against the Gram-negative pathogens seen in cystic fibrosis. Levofloxacin demonstrated higher potency against methicillin-sensitive S. aureus and methicillin-resistant S. aureus, while aztreonam was not active against methicillinsensitive S. aureus or methicillin-resistant S. aureus. The bacterial activity of levofloxacin was observed to be more rapid and complete when compared with that of tobramycin and aztreonam (30 minutes for 11/12 isolates tested). Tobramycin killed 58% of isolates in 30 minutes and aztreonam was the slowest of the three agents. The antibacterial activity of levofloxacin was the same for mucoid and nonmucoid *P. aeruginosa* isolates. In conclusion, levofloxacin was the most potent antibiotic against cystic fibrosis isolates, with an MIC₉₀ in the range of 8–32 µg/mL. The quinolones, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, had a protective effect against inhaled Bacillus anthracis, Yersinia pestis, and Francisella tularensis when administered subcutaneously or intraperitoneally at a dose of 90-120 mg/ kg/day.85 Liposomal nanoparticles containing ciprofloxacin were investigated as an aerosol. The aerosol formulation was produced with a LC Sprint® and Turbo Boy S compressor® (PARI Pharma GmbH), and was administered to Calu-3 bronchial epithelial cells, with observation of efficiency against P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. The MMAD was 3.6 µm and the geometric standard deviation was 2.3. The aerosol nanoformulation was stable during storage and nebulization, and showed sustained-release properties. However, drug release was slower in comparison with the previously discussed studies due to the fact that several parameters were absent in the in vitro evaluation model (eg, macrophages, mucociliary clearance, and virulence factors).53 Moreover, the formulation was not effective against S. aureus, which was attributed to the thick peptidoglycan cell wall. However, as previously observed, these liposomes tend to modify their properties while interacting with mucus, ⁵³ so further investigation of this formulation is warranted in an in vivo model and sputum solution. Superiority of levofloxacin was observed in comparison with tobramycin, amikacin, and

aztreonam when administered to 114 *P. aeruginosa* isolates in an hypoxia-induced model. All antibiotics except levo-floxacin showed an increase in geometric mean values for MIC (tobramycin seven-fold, amikacin four-fold, and aztreonam six-fold), whereas the MIC for levofloxacin was increased by only two-fold in an anaerobic environment. The MIC₅₀ was increased four-fold for tobramycin and 16-fold for aztreonam. Forty percent of the isolates showed an MIC increase of more than four-fold for tobramycin, amikacin, and aztreonam, but of only 4% for levofloxacin⁵⁵ (Table 4).

Clarithromycin

Clarithromycin was investigated as an aerosol versus an oral agent in a rat model. Safety was also evaluated. Blood samples and bronchoalveolar lavage were used to determine these parameters. The blood clarithromycin concentration was lower in the aerosol group, and drug concentration was observed in epithelial lung fluid and alveolar macrophages. The structure of the alveoli and mechanisms of transportation from the alveoli to the blood circulation and inverse are well known, ie, the capillary lumen, connective tissue, and alveolar epithelial cells. 86 The capillary lumen acts as a filter via which the solution enters the systemic circulation. In addition, local transporters, ie, the MDR1/P-glycoprotein substrate, play a major role in transporting drug molecules from the alveolar region to the blood circulation, and the inverse.87-91 It has been observed that it is easier for a molecule to be transported from the alveolus to the circulation than the inverse.⁹¹ Therefore, at least for the clarithromycin aerosol formulation, it has been demonstrated that systemic side effects are fewer because less drug is introduced into the systemic circulation. In the current study, the safety of the formulation was demonstrated, given that no release of lactate dehydrogenase from lung tissue was observed. Further, the concentration of the aerosol clarithromycin formulation was observed to be 29-fold higher in alveolar macrophages than in epithelial lung fluid. Finally, the clarithromycin aerosol was observed to be stable in alveolar macrophages and epithelial lung fluid for 48 hours after administration, regardless of biodegradable molecules existing within epithelial lung fluid and alveolar macrophages^{92–96} (Table 4).

Amphotericin B

Four different nebulizers were evaluated as to whether they could produce droplets with an MMAD size <5 μm, which is necessary in order for the aerosol to be deposited in the distal airways. The Hudson Updraft[®] (Hudson Respiratory Care, Temecula, CA, USA), LC Star[®] (PARI Respiratory

Equipment, Midlothian, VA, USA), Small Volume Nebulizer® (eValueMed, Mexico), and Aeroeclipse II[®] (Monaghan Medical Corporation, Plattsburgh, NY, USA) were driven by compressed air at a flow rate of 8 L per minute. The PARI LC and Aeroeclipse II were the best nebulizers for producing an optimal droplet size for efficient lung deposition.⁷² Amphotericin B was compared after modification involving encapsulation in chitosan-stearic acid conjugate nanomicelles with a commercially available formulation of amphotericin B. These formulations were tested against five different fungal organisms, ie, Candida albicans, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus flavus, and Cryptococcus neoformans. It was observed that amphotericin B encapsulated in chitosan-stearic acid conjugate micelles was more effective than the commercially available formulation of amphotericin B for inhibition of the growth of C. neoformans. Further investigation of this method of encapsulation is warranted in an in vivo model for reasons as previously explained.⁶⁹ Moreover, in another study, amphotericin B was incorporated into three different cholesteryl carbonate esters, ie, sodium cholesteryl carbonate, dicholesteryl carbonate, and cholesteryl palmitate. The dry powders produced were observed to be stable after 3 months of storage, and the MMAD was measured to be 6.8–8 µm. The powder was effective against C. neoformans and C. albicans, and further investigation of this form of encapsulation is warranted.⁶⁵ In a study by Nasr et al,66 a lipid nanoemulsion containing amphotericin B aerosol was evaluated. The amphotericin B (25 mg) was prepared either with Intralipid® (Fresenius Kabi AB Uppsala, Sweden) or Clinoleic® (10 mL, Clintec Parenteral, Maurepas, France) and aerosolized with a PARI Sprint jet nebulizer. An in vitro evaluation was performed using a twin impinger. The nanoemulsion prepared with Clinoleic showed deposition at the lower impinging stage (80% versus 57% for Intralipid) and therefore would be theoretically more efficient in an in vivo evaluation model (Table 3).

Rifampicin

The antituberculosis drug, rifampicin, was investigated when encapsulated in poly-(ethylene oxide)-block-distearoyl phosphatidyl-ethanolamine polymers of two different molecular weights (mPEG2000-DSPE and mPEG5000-DSPE). The two formulations were nebulized efficiently using a jet nebulizer and the particle size range was 162-395 nm. The MMAD was identified as being $2.6\,\mu\text{m}$, and the aerodynamic characteristics were not influenced by the molecular weight of the copolymers. Encapsulation efficiency was also unaffected by the molecular weight of the copolymer and the

Table 4 Aerosol studies with macrolides, quinolones, and tetracyclines

Aerosol levofloxacin MP-376 Levofloxacin, amikacin, tobramycin, aztreonam Levofloxacin Liposomal Clarithromycin Azithromycin Azithromycin dry In vitro PA BC, SM, AX, SA Calu-3 Ciprofloxacin Calu-3 Ciprofloxacin Rat model Doxycycline Rats Azithromycin dry In vitro powder Clindamycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, Legionellin G, Arithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, Legionellin S, Arithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus powder Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Peniciliin G, Arithromycin Rats, Hemophilus and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Peniciliin G,	Reference	Drug	Subject	Production	Result	Dosage	LFTs	Major
skii Aerosol levofloxacin HBE135 cells MP-376 Levofloxacin, amilacin, tobramycin, aztreonam Levofloxacin Liposomal Liposomal Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Rat model Clarithromycin dry In vitro powder Azithromycin dry In vitro powder Clindamycin In vitro Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, Legionellin G, one monicilin G, one mo				system		1		adverse effects
al ^{s4} Levofloxacin, amikacin, tobramycin, aztreonam al ^{s8} ciprofloxacin, amikacin, tobramycin, aztreonam al ^{s8} Liposomal Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Rat model Clarithromycin Rats Azithromycin dry In vitro Powder In vivo Clindamycin In vitro Powder In vivo Clindamycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Peniciliin G, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Peniciliin G, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Peniciliin G,	vskii	Aerosol levofloxacin MP-376	HBE135 cells	Under clinical evaluation	Reduction in IL-6 and IL-8	1	1	ı
ciprofloxacin, amikacin, tobramycin, aztreonam Levofloxacin Liposomal Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Rat model Clarithromycin dry In vitro powder Azithromycin dry In vitro In vivo Clindamycin In vitro Mice, TNF-cx, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1 B, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,	al ⁵⁴	Levofloxacin,	PA, BC, SM, AX, SA	I	Levofloxacin most potent MIC ₉₀	Dosage as instructed in	ı	ı
Levofloxacin, BA, YP, FT ciprofloxacin al ¹⁸⁸ Liposomal Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Clarithromycin Azithromycin dry In vitro Powder Clindamycin In vitro In vivo Clindamycin In vitro Pheumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, IL-1B, IL-6, PG IL-1B, IL-6, PG Legionella pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Penicilin G,		ciprofloxacin, amikacin, tobramycin, aztreonam			range from 8–32 µg/mL	package		
ciprofloxacin Liposomal ciprofloxacin Clarithromycin Rat model Azithromycin dry In vitro powder Clindamycin In vivo Clindamycin In vivo Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,		Levofloxacin,	BA, YP, FT	ı	Effective dosage 90–120 mg/	5% levofloxacin 2%	1	ı
Liposomal Calu-3 ciprofloxacin Clarithromycin Rat model Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, expericilin G, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Penicilin G,		ciprofloxacin			kg/day for both quinolones	ciprofloxacin in 5% dextrose		
ciprofloxacin Clarithromycin Rats Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-cz, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,		Liposomal	Calu-3	PARI LC	Slower drug release	Ciprofloxacin 50 mg/mL,	ı	ı
Clarithromycin Rat model Rats Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, esistant to Penicillin G,	J	ciprofloxacin		Sprint and PARI	from liposomes due to	pH 6.0, HSPC 70.6 mg/mL,		
Clarithromycin Rat model Rats Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,				Turbo Boy S	absence of in vivo trigger	cholesterol 29.4 mg/mL		
Clarithromycin Rat model Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,				compressor	mechanisms			
Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vitro In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G, in the pericilin G, in the pericilin G, in the pericilin G, in the preumoniae resistant to Penicilin G, in the preumoniae resi		Clarithromycin	Rat model	Liquid microsprayer	Aerosol more efficient	Aerosol 0.2 mg/kg,	ı	ı
Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,	al ²¹				delivery to ELF and AMs	Oral 50 mg/kg		
Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR I-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, and Mycobacterium avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,		Doxycycline	Rats	Electric nebulizer	Prophylactic effect against	Aerosol doxycycline	ı	1
Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, and Mycobacterium avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,					treatment of smoking-induced	20 mg/kg		
Azithromycin dry In vitro powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-cx, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumoniae, and Mycobacterium avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,					mucus hypersecretion			
powder In vivo Clindamycin Mice, TNF-cx, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, IL-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumonia, and Mycobacterium avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,		Azithromycin dry	In vitro	Microsprayer	High encapsulation 59.2%	AZI, raw material purity	1	1
Clindamycin Mice, TNF-α, sTNFR1-sTNFR2, 1L-1β, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumonia, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,		powder	In vivo		3.82 µm	95.5%		
IL-I ß, IL-6, PG Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, and Mycobacterium avium S, pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,		Clindamycin	Mice, TNF- α , sTNFRI-sTNFR2,	Microsprayer	Clindamycin alone better than	Clindamycin 40 mg/kg	1	1
Telithromycin Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, and Mycobacterium avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,	al ¹⁰⁶		IL-1β, IL-6, <i>PG</i>		clindamycin plus dexamethasone Normalized TNF- α , sTNFRs			
		Telithromycin	Rats, Hemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus	Microsprayer	Aerosol distribution more	Aerosol 0.2 mg/kg,	ı	ı
Legionella pneumophila, and Mycobacterium avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,	al ⁹¹		pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae,		efficient in AMs and ELF	Oral 50 mg/kg		
avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,			Legionella pneumophila, and Mycobacterium					
			avium S. pneumoniae resistant to Penicillin G,					
erythromycin A, and levotloxacin			erythromycin A, and levofloxacin					

Abbreviations: AMs, alveolar macrophages; ELF, epithelial lung fluid; TNF-o, tumor necrosis factor-o; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; SA, Staphylococcus aureus; PA, Pseudomona aeruginosa; BC, Burkholderia cepacia complex; SM, Stenotrophomonas maltophila; AX, Acaligenes xylosoxidans; IL-1B, interleukin 1B; IL-6, interleukin 6; BA, Bacillus anthracis; YP, Yersinia pestis; FT, Francisella tularensis; Calu-3, sub-bronchial epithelial cell line; HBE135 cells, human bronchial epithelial cells; LFTs, lung function tests.

highest encapsulation efficiency was observed when the drug/ copolymer ratio was 1:5. Sustained release was observed for up to 3 days, and the mPEG2000-DSPE formulation were observed to be larger in size than the mPEG5000-DSPE. The size decreased when the PEG content in the formulation was increased. It should be mentioned that the PEG molecule adds a "stealth" ability, which enables the formulation to go unrecognized by the defense mechanisms of the respiratory tract, such as tracheal and alveolar macrophages. 97 The PEG molecule has also been observed to be safe on aerosol administration. 98 Finally, these formulations are excellent carriers, and further evaluation in an in vivo model is warranted. Microencapsulation of rifampicin was investigated when rifampicin dehydrate was coated with poly(pt-lactideco-glycolide) or poly(pt-lactide). The MMAD range produced for all the formulations was 3.6-4.5 µm. The uncoated formulation showed immediate drug release followed by sustained release for 8 hours. The slowest drug release was observed from the poly(DI-lactide) formulation. The major observation was the effect of low pH as a drug release trigger for the poly (DI-lactide) carriers in comparison with the uncoated formulation. 70 The pH of the environment has been previously identified as a trigger for release of drug in several formulations. 99 In conclusion, based on the target tissue and organ (eg, gastric route), this formulation can be modulated to be an efficient treatment.

Rifampicin dehydrate was further investigated by recrystallization of rifampicin in anhydrous ethanol (rifampicin dehydrate) versus amorphous rifampicin with two dry powder inhalers, ie, the Aerolizer® (Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA) and Handihaler® (Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany). The Aerolizer was found to be superior to the Handihaler, producing a MMAD of 2.2 μ m. Stable storage was observed for 9 months, along with reduced agglomeration in the rifampicin dehydrate formulation in contrast with the amorphous rifampicin formulation. Maximum potency delivery was observed with the rifampicin dehydrate formulation. 57 In another study investigating dry powders, an excipient-free triple antibiotic (isoniazid, pyrazinamide and rifampicin) dry powder was produced with a MMAD of $3.5 \pm 0.1 \, \mu$ m. This formulation has to be further tested in an in vivo model (Table 3).

Isoniazid

Further investigation of antituberculous drugs produced the isoniazid-loaded chitosan/tripolyphosphate (TPP) formulation in different chitosan/TPP ratios. The dry powder was produced with a Cyclohaler® (Teva Pharmachemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands) and in vitro evaluation showed sustained release from

the formulation for up to 6 days. Release was 50% at the first 4 hours, with 80% of the total encapsulated drug released by day 6. The effect was directly related to the chitosan/TPP ratio. Two formulations were investigated, ie, a 6:1 chitosan/TPP ratio and a 3:1 chitosan/TPP ratio, with a better long-term effect observed for the 6:1 ratio. Three types of bacteria, ie, *P. aeruginosa*, *S.* aureus, and Mycobacterium intracellulare, were included in the in vitro evaluation, and a decrease in MIC was observed for M. intracellulare. The efficiency of the antiproliferative effect was again associated with the chitosan/TPP ratio of 6:1. Different molecules were included in the construction of the dry powder formulation, with each one conferring different properties (in terms of shape and surface) to the dry powder molecule. 100 The formulation contained large-sized particles, and further investigation toward creating smaller-sized dry powder, is necessary since we have positive antibacterial results in vitro. Another method of aerosol production was used for aerosolized intrapulmonary delivery of isoniazid, capreomycin, and amikacin versus subcutaneous administration of the same drugs. The Mycobacterium tuberculosis density (colony-forming units) was efficiently reduced using the aerosol and subcutaneous administration routes; however, this effect occurred one week earlier using the aerosol modality. Further evaluation of the aerosol showed positive results at lower and fewer doses, with reduction of bacteria load seen in the spleen¹⁰¹ (Table 3).

Doxycycline

Doxycycline, a tetracycline antibiotic, was administered as an aerosol using an electric nebulizer in order to evaluate its effect on mucus production in acrolein-exposed rats. Acrolein is a compound found in tobacco smoke and is known to induce chronic inflammation in the airways. Acrolein was used to induce inflammation of the airways and mucus hypersecretion in rats. Mucus hypersecretion is known to impair mucociliary clearance, so doxycycline was administered and efficiently downregulated MUC5 AC mRNA and mucus production. Doxycycline could be used in patients with severe airways inflammation, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cystic fibrosis, either as a standard anti-inflammatory treatment for mucus production or as a method for enhancing aerosol deposition. 102 Doxycycline has also been found to prevent development of fibrosis in a mouse model, so there are further properties that need to be investigated¹⁰³ (Table 4).

Azithromycin

Azithromycin dry powder was evaluated in a rat model. The MMAD was measured at 3.82 µm and administration was

done with a microsprayer. Azithromycin is known to achieve high concentrations in phagocytic cells (monocytes and polymorphonuclear cells). ¹⁰⁴ Macrophages are also known to take up this dry powder when deposited in the respiratory tract as early as one hour post administration. ¹⁰⁵ The dry powder produced from raw azithromycin materials in the study by Zhang et al offers an alternative formulation for delivering this antibiotic ⁶⁷ (Table 4).

Clindamycin

Clindamycin was administered intratracheally either alone or in combination with dexamethasone. Animals were inoculated with *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Inflammatory markers such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), TNF- α receptors (sTNFR1 and sTNFR2), interleukin 1 β , and interleukin 6, were measured at different time points. It was observed that clindamycin alone is more potent in reducing the density of the bacterial population and normalizes TNF- α and sTNFR1 after resolution of aspiration pneumonia¹⁰⁶ (Table 4).

Squalamine and colistin

Squalamine, a steroid extracted from sharks, was evaluated versus colistin in a rat model. The colistin formulation was 160 mg (2.8 µm MMAD) and squalamine 3 mg (3 µm MMAD), with administration for 6 days. The aerosol was administered in a sealed cage with a nose-only inlet. The rats were inoculated with *P. aeruginosa* and both treatments were found to be efficient; however, pathologic examination was in favor of the squalamine group since the diffuse and confluent bronchopneumonia lesions were markedly reduced¹⁰⁷ (Table 3).

Telithromycin

Telithromycin was administered as aerosol with a microsprayer in a rat model and the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics was evaluated. The aerosol administered as 0.2 mg/mL was more efficiently distributed in alveolar macrophages and epithelial lung fluid than when administered orally. Both modalities were evaluated using the following bacteria: *Haemophilus influenza*, *Streptococcus pneumonia*, *Chlamydophila pneumonia*, *Legionella pneumophila*, *Mycobacterium avium*, and *S. pneumonia* resistant to Penicillin G, erythromycin A, and levofloxacin. It was observed that the concentration of telithromycin in the alveolar macrophages and epithelial lung fluid time curve/minimum concentration of telithromycin ratio was higher than the effective values.²¹ As previously stated in the amikacin section, there are specific structural properties and local transportation mechanisms which

enhance the ability of a formulation to be moved more easily from the alveoli to the systemic blood circulation as inverse (Table 4).

Antimicrobial aminosterol formulation

The novel aminosterol derivative (ASD) was compared with tobramycin in a *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* evaluation model. The MICs for *P. aeruginosa* for ASD and tobramycin were 4 mg/L, and 1 mg/L, respectively. The MICs for *S. aureus* for ASD and tobramycin were 1 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L. The aerosol was produced using two production systems, ie, the LC Plus and eFlow, and the MMAD produced was <5 µm. The effectiveness of the two aerosol formulations was further evaluated when mucin 1 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL was added. In the tobramycin group, it was observed that the MIC was increased by four-fold and 16-fold for *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*, respectively. Further evaluation of this novel antimicrobial formulation is warranted in an in vivo model¹⁰⁸ (Table 1).

Production systems and evaluation models

The two basic types of production systems are the jet nebulizer and the ultrasonic nebulizer. Jet nebulizer production is by the Bernoulli principle, and uses gas to produce an aerosol mist. The ultrasonic nebulizers use a piezoelectric crystal vibrating at a high frequency (1-3 MHz) and generate aerosol mist. The higher the frequency, the "finer" the aerosol produced. 109 Aerogen's aerosol generator is portable, quiet, and has a shorter duration of aerosol production and ability to control particle size and flow rate. It efficiently aerolizes proteins and peptides, but is expensive. 110 The Aeroneb hand-held inhaler has the ability to produce 3-5-fold smaller droplets when compared with the jet and ultrasonic nebulizers, and the remaining volume concentration in the residual cup is negligible, but the devices are expensive.¹¹¹ Omron's technology is a piezoelectric crystal, with a negligible volume of the drug remaining in the residual cup and the ability to control particle size and flow rate, but is again an expensive device. 112 TouchSprayTM technology (Odem Scientific Applications Ltd, Rehovot, Israel) also has the ability to control particle size and flow rate. It can be used to aerosolize any compound, but is expensive. 113 The Soft Mist® inhaler (Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany) is cheap and easy to use. The dose delivered is independent of the patient's respiratory capacity and lower doses are needed in comparison with the Handihaler device. 114 Metered dose inhalers are outpatient inhalation devices, and are designed

for single dose and multiple dose inhalation. Lung deposition varies between 12%–40%, 20%–25% of the cloud produced is retained within the device, lack of hand-mouth coordination is observed, and 50%–80% of the dose may be deposited in the oropharynx due to the high velocity of the particles produced. Patient technique is still a major factor. Dry powder inhalers are breath-actuated and need more rapid and larger inhalation efforts (>60 L per minute), and their efficiency depends on the nature of the powder.

Durand et al⁵⁹ investigated deposition of aerosol produced with an Atomisor NL11SN jet nebulizer connected to an AOLH® air source compressor (Diffusion Technique Francaise, Saint-Etienne, France). The experiment was conducted with either gentamicin solution 80 mg/mL (4 mL) or 2.5% NaF solution (4 mL), with the nebulization system operating as a classic nebulizer or with addition of a 100 Hz acoustic frequency (producing sonic aerosol). This is the first time that intrasinus aerosol deposition has been evaluated in a human plastinated nasal cast. It was observed that the MMAD increased as the concentration of gentamicin increased, indifferent to the additional usage of 100 Hz acoustic flow and the local anatomic features influence the deposition. Local deposition was increased two-fold with addition of 100 Hz acoustic airflow, but did not overcome the local "anatomy" deposition factor. In the study by Wee et al,117 an aerosol was investigated using a method incorporating mathematical model derivation, in vitro testing, and in vivo testing.

In another study by McCormack et al,⁶¹ two different breathing modes were evaluated, ie, the tidal breathing mode and the target inhalation mode. It was observed that the target inhalation mode reduced the time of aerosol administration and increased patient adherence. The same group modified their administration apparatus to record patient adherence with aerosol administration. ¹¹⁸ Addition of 5%–7% CO₂ during nebulization demonstrated an increase in tidal volume of 180% and a decrease in respiratory rate. ^{119,120} Additional oxygen delivery through a nasal device during air-driven jet nebulization increased the fraction of inspired oxygen and decreased the droplet size produced. ¹²¹

In another survey investigating the method of aerosol administration preferred by clinical physicians for tracheostomized children reported a preference for the tracheostomy aerosol mask.⁶⁰ However, this was only a survey study on which device is usually preferable by pediatric pulmonologists probably due to the easy access to the airways and method of administration. Moreover, disposable versus reusable nebulizers were investigated as to whether they would have an impact on aerosol deposition. More than 20 nebulization systems were evaluated, and it was observed that there was no difference between the compressed air source and nebulizer performance; however, different interfaces produced different results.¹²² New nebulization systems such as the eFlow when compared with the PARI LC Star produce the aerosol in half the amount of time, but there is

 Table 5 Methods and models of aerosol deposition evaluation

Durand M, Pourchez J, Aubert G, Le Guellec S, Navarro L, Forest V, Rusch P, Cottier M. Deposition evaluation model with classic nebulizer or 100 Hz acoustic airflow.⁵⁹

McCormack P, McNamara PS, Southern KW. Two different breathing modes were evaluated.⁶¹

Willis LD, Berlinski A. Survey on aerosol administration in tracheostomized children by pediatric pulmonologists.⁶⁰

Vecellio L, Abdelrahim ME, Montharu J, Galle J, Diot P, Dubus JC. Disposable versus reusable jet nebulizers. 122

Stegen K, Neujens A, Crombez G, Hermans D, Van de Woestijne KP, Van den Bergh O. Negative effect of CO₂ addition in nebulization. ¹²⁰ Caille V, Ehrmann S, Boissinot E, Perrotin D, Diot P, Dequin PF. Nasal additional oxygen delivery during air-driven jet nebulization increases FiO₂. ¹²¹ Britland S, Finter W, Chrystyn H, Eagland D, and Abdelrahim ME. Different aerosol formulations interact differently with the solutions and tissue in the respiratory system. ¹³⁹

Coates AL, Green M, Leung K, Chan J, Ribeiro N, Ratjen F, Charron M. Superiority of the investigational eFlow by producing the same amount of aerosol in half time in comparison to PARI LC Plus $^{\circ}$. 123

Pitance L, Reychler G, Leal T, Reychler H, Liistro G, Montharu J, Lab T, Diot P, Vecellio L. Sidestream® jet nebulizer with and without corrugated piece of tubing. 126

Wee WB, Leung K, Coates AL. A proposed aerosol evaluation model (i) mathematical model derivation, (ii) in vitro testing and (iii) in vivo testing. 117 Tiemersma S, Minocchieri S, Lingen RA, Nelle M, Devadason SG. eFlow® nebulizer system more efficient in comparison to Intersurgical® Cirrus Jet® nebulizer and pressured meter dose inhaler with an Aerochamber® for drug delivery to preterm infants. 124

Pitance L, Vecellio L, Leal T, Reychler G, Reychler H, Liistro G. Sidestream® jet nebulizer with and without corrugated piece of tubing in six healthy spontaneous breathing volunteers.¹²⁷

Rao N, Kadrichu N, Ament B. Refrigerating the impactor down to 5° C prior to aerosol measurement produced by vibrating mesh nebulizers. McCormack P, Southern KW, McNamara PS. Automatic data recording of patient adherence to aerosol administration. 118

Skaria S, Smaldone GC. Omron NE U22 was evaluated in comparison to PARI LC Plus® and Sidestream®, 129

Fadl A, Wang J, Zhang Z. Metered dose inhaler mouthpieces were modified in order reduce the inertial impaction in order to reduce aerosol deposition to the oral airway. 130

no difference in deposition rate.¹²³ The investigational eFlow nebulizer system was observed to be more efficient than the Cirrus Jet® nebulizer (Intersurgical, Wokingham, UK) and the pressurized meter dose inhaler with an Aerochamber® (Forest Pharmaceuticals Inc, St Louis, MO, USA) for drug delivery to preterm infants.¹²⁴ Further investigation of nebulization systems produced the Ink-Jet® nebulizer technology; this new apparatus was investigated with insulin solutions, and found not to interfere with the biological activity of the solution.¹²⁵ This novel system of hormone administration has to be further investigated with other formulations.

Aerosol delivery (with the Sidestream[®] jet nebulizer, Philips Respironics, Best, The Netherlands) was observed to be efficient when it was necessary to deliver small doses rapidly; however, for high doses, nebulization was efficient when using a corrugated piece of tubing. 126 This administration modality was further evaluated in six healthy spontaneous breathing volunteers. 127 Regarding vibrating nebulizers, it has been proposed that refrigerating the impactor down to 5°C prior to aerosol measurement provides unbiased results. In addition, laser diffraction spectrometry is the optimal method for measurement of aerosol droplets produced from vibrating mesh nebulizers. 128 The vibrating mesh nebulizer (Omron NE U22) was evaluated in comparison with the LC Plus and Sidestream, and it was observed that the position of the mesh device altered the run time and variability in particle distribution. 129 Fadl et al 130 investigated modifications in the mouthpiece of two meter dose inhalers in order to reduce inertial impact and reduce deposition of the aerosol in the oral cavity. They achieved higher particle penetration by creating a new mouthpiece based on the previous one.

Conclusion

Local antibiotic administration has shown favorable results in the treatment of respiratory diseases. The droplets produced with the current systems vary in the range of 1.2–4.5 µm, and we would like to have aerosols of 1–2 µm upon production since until their final deposition they will expand at least by 25%. The particle size of 1–2 µm deposits in the 17–23 airway generations which are the respiratory airways. The method of aerosol production and delivery may vary between patients due to the underlying respiratory disease or respiratory capability (eg, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cystic fibrosis, and intubation). The drug formulation is also an important factor in deposition and local absorption, and further investigation is needed probably in a disease by disease case. The drug formulation has not been properly evaluated

in all respiratory diseases. Apart from the obvious issue of pharmacokinetics, the timing of administration as prophylactic treatment has to be further evaluated in comparison with intravenous administration in head-to-head trials.141 In any case, we are interested in creating a local antibiotic concentration gradient that will not induce antibiotic resistance. 133,142 Administration of aerosol antibiotic or antiviral therapy in acute infection was previously administered without toxicity. 143 Future direction towards an efficient aerosol antibiotic treatment comes from a group of patients in need of daily treatment. Studies in children and young adults with cystic fibrosis indicate that the next generation of aerosol antibiotic treatments should be delivered in less time and less dose frequency during the day. 144 Moreover, a patient-friendly device that increases adherence and possibly enables monitoring of treatment should be investigated further. 118 These parameters have been partially achieved with carriers (eg, liposomes, PEG, chitosan)^{53,58,63,69,71,72} encapsulating the antibiotic drug and with new aerosol production systems (eg, eFlow)¹²⁴ and mouthpiece modifications. 126 Three directions of investigation should summarized to (i) production system, (ii) efficient interface of production to deposition, and (iii) efficient local concentration (MIC_{max}) (Table 5).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Zhang L, Huang Y, Zhou Y, Buckley T, Wang HH. Antibiotic administration routes significantly influence the levels of antibiotic resistance in gut microbiota. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(8):3659–3666.
- Young SW, Zhang M, Freeman JT, Mutu-Grigg J, Pavlou P, Moore GA.
 The Mark Coventry Award: higher tissue concentrations of vancomycin with low-dose intraosseous regional versus systemic prophylaxis in TKA: a randomized trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* May 11, 2013. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Smaldone GC. Advances in aerosols: adult respiratory disease. *J Aerosol Med*. 2006;19(1):36–46.
- Houtmeyers E, Gosselink R, Gayan-Ramirez G, Decramer M. Regulation of mucociliary clearance in health and disease. *Eur Respir J*. 1999;13(5):1177–1188.
- Clay MM, Pavia D, Newman SP, Clarke SW. Factors influencing the size distribution of aerosols from jet nebulisers. *Thorax*. 1983;38(10): 755–759.
- Smith EC, Denyer J, Kendrick AH. Comparison of twenty three nebulizer/compressor combinations for domiciliary use. *Eur Respir J*. 1995;8(7):1214–1221.
- Douglas JG, Leslie MJ, Crompton GK, Grant IW. Is the flow rate used to drive a jet nebuliser clinically important? *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1985;290(6461):29.
- 8. Newman SP, Pellow PG, Clay MM, Clarke SW. Evaluation of jet nebulisers for use with gentamicin solution. *Thorax*. 1985;40(9): 671–676.
- Byron PR, editor. Aerosol formulation, generation and delivery using non-metered systems. In: *Respiratory Drug Delivery*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1990.

- Kendrick AH, Smith EC, Denyer J. Nebulizers fill volume, residual volume and matching of nebulizer to compressor. *Respir Med*. 1995;89(3):157–159.
- 11. Zarogoulidis P, Kioumis I, Ritzoulis C, et al. New insights in the production of aerosol antibiotics. Evaluation of the optimal aerosol production system for ampicillin-sulbactam, meropenem, ceftazidime, cefepime and piperacillin-tazobactam. *Int J Pharm.* July 23, 2013. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Kendrick AH, Smith EC, Wilson RS. Selecting and using nebuliser equipment. *Thorax*. 1997;52 Suppl 2:S92–S101.
- Kwok PC, Trietsch SJ, Kumon M, Chan HK. Electrostatic charge characteristics of jet nebulized aerosols. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2010;23(3):149–159.
- Davis SS, Bubb MD. Physico-chemical studies on aerosol solutions for drug delivery. 3 The effect of relative humidity on the particle size of inhalation aerosols. *Int J Pharm*. 1978;6(1):303–314.
- Davis SS, Warburton B. Physico-chemical studies on aerosol solutions for drug delivery. I. Water-propylene glycol studies. *Int J Pharm*. 1978;(1):71–83.
- Newman SP, Pellow PGD, Clarke SW. Drop sizes from medical atomisers (nebulisers) for drug solutions of different viscosities and surface tensions. Atom Spray Technol. 1987;(3):1–11.
- Labiris NR, Dolovich MB. Pulmonary drug delivery. Part I: physiological factors affecting therapeutic effectiveness of aerosolized medications. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2003;56(6):588–599.
- Nunn JF. Nunn's Applied Respiratory Physiology. 4th ed. Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heineman; 1993.
- Zarogoulidis P, Papanas N, Kouliatsis G, Spyratos D, Zarogoulidis K, Maltezos E. Inhaled insulin: too soon to be forgotten? *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2011;24(5):213–223.
- Suresh Babu K, Kastelik J, Morjaria JB. Role of long term antibiotics in chronic respiratory diseases. *Respir Med.* 2013;107(6):800–815.
- Togami K, Chono S, Morimoto K. Aerosol-based efficient delivery of clarithromycin, a macrolide antimicrobial agent, to lung epithelial lining fluid and alveolar macrophages for treatment of respiratory infections. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2012;25(2):110–115.
- Otterson GA, Villalona-Calero MA, Hicks W, et al. Phase I/II study of inhaled doxorubicin combined with platinum-based therapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16(8): 2466–2473.
- Zarogoulidis P, Eleftheriadou E, Sapardanis I, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of inhaled carboplatin in NSCLC patients. *Invest New Drugs*. 2012;30(4):1628–1640.
- Zarogoulidis P, Chatzaki E, Porpodis K, et al. Inhaled chemotherapy in lung cancer: future concept of nanomedicine. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:1551–1572.
- Darwiche K, Zarogoulidis P, Karamanos NK, et al. Efficacy versus safety concerns for aerosol chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: a future dilemma for micro-oncology. *Future Oncol*. 2013;9(4): 505–525.
- Geller DE, Pitlick WH, Nardella PA, Tracewell WG, Ramsey BW. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of aerosolized tobramycin in cystic fibrosis. *Chest.* 2002;122(1):219–226.
- Ramsey BW, Pepe MS, Quan JM, et al. Intermittent administration of inhaled tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis. Cystic Fibrosis Inhaled Tobramycin Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1999;340(1): 23–30.
- Moss RB. Long-term benefits of inhaled tobramycin in adolescent patients with cystic fibrosis. Chest. 2002;121(1):55–63.
- Moss RB. Administration of aerosolized antibiotics in cystic fibrosis patients. Chest. 2001;120(Suppl 3):107S–113S.
- Murphy TD, Anbar RD, Lester LA, et al. Treatment with tobramycin solution for inhalation reduces hospitalizations in young CF subjects with mild lung disease. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2004;38(4):314–320.
- Geller DE, Madge S. Technological and behavioral strategies to reduce treatment burden and improve adherence to inhaled antibiotics in cystic fibrosis. *Respir Med*. 2011;105 Suppl 2:S24–S31.

- Chuchalin A, Csiszer E, Gyurkovics K, et al. A formulation of aerosolized tobramycin (Bramitob) in the treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: a double-blind, placebocontrolled, multicenter study. *Paediatr Drugs*. 2007;9 Suppl 1:21–31.
- Flume PA, O'Sullivan BP, Robinson KA, et al. Cystic fibrosis pulmonary guidelines: chronic medications for maintenance of lung health. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;176(10):957–969.
- Gibson RL, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Oermann C, et al. Microbiology, safety, and pharmacokinetics of aztreonam lysinate for inhalation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2006;41(7):656–665.
- Retsch-Bogart GZ, Burns JL, Otto KL, et al. A phase 2 study of aztreonam lysine for inhalation to treat patients with cystic fibrosis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2008;43(1):47–58.
- Retsch-Bogart GZ, Quittner AL, Gibson RL, et al. Efficacy and safety of inhaled aztreonam lysine for airway pseudomonas in cystic fibrosis. *Chest*. 2009;135(5):1223–1232.
- McCoy KS, Quittner AL, Oermann CM, Gibson RL, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Montgomery AB. Inhaled aztreonam lysine for chronic airway Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;178(9):921–928.
- Oermann CM, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Quittner AL, et al. An 18-month study of the safety and efficacy of repeated courses of inhaled aztreonam lysine in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2010;45(11): 1121–1134.
- Oermann CM, McCoy KS, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson RL, McKevitt M, Montgomery AB. Pseudomonas aeruginosa antibiotic susceptibility during long-term use of aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI). J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(10):2398–2404.
- Wainwright CE, Quittner AL, Geller DE, et al. Aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) in patients with cystic fibrosis, mild lung impairment, and P. aeruginosa. J Cyst Fibros. 2011;10(4):234–242.
- Hansen CR, Pressler T, Hoiby N. Early aggressive eradication therapy for intermittent Pseudomonas aeruginosa airway colonization in cystic fibrosis patients: 15 years experience. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2008;7(6): 523–530.
- Jensen T, Pedersen SS, Garne S, Heilmann C, Hoiby N, Koch C. Colistin inhalation therapy in cystic fibrosis patients with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1987;19(6): 831–838
- Hodson ME, Gallagher CG, Govan JR. A randomised clinical trial of nebulised tobramycin or colistin in cystic fibrosis. *Eur Respir J*. 2002;20(3):658–664.
- Heijerman H, Westerman E, Conway S, Touw D, Doring G. Inhaled medication and inhalation devices for lung disease in patients with cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. J Cyst Fibros. 2009;8(5):295–315.
- 45. Beringer P. The clinical use of colistin in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Curr Opin Pulm Med.* 2001;7(6):434–440.
- McCoy KS. Compounded colistimethate as possible cause of fatal acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(22): 2310–2311.
- 47. European Medicines' Agency. Colobreathe. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/001225/smops/Positive/human_smop_000270.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d127&jsenabled=true. Accessed August 23, 2013.
- Geller DE, Weers J, Heuerding S. Development of an inhaled drypowder formulation of tobramycin using PulmoSphere technology. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2011;24(4):175–182.
- Geller DE, Konstan MW, Smith J, Noonberg SB, Conrad C. Novel tobramycin inhalation powder in cystic fibrosis subjects: pharmacokinetics and safety. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2007;42(4): 307–313.
- Konstan MW, Flume PA, Kappler M, et al. Safety, efficacy and convenience of tobramycin inhalation powder in cystic fibrosis patients: the EAGER trial. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2011;10(1):54–61.
- PARI Pharma GmbH. eFlow® Technology Advanced Aerosol Delivery Platform. Starnberg, Germany: PARI Pharma GmbH; 2011. Available from: http://www.paripharma.com. Accessed August 25, 2013.

- Insmed Incorporated. Arikace® (Inhaled liposomal amikacin). Monmouth Junction, NJ: Insmed Incorporated; 2011. Available from: http://www.insmed.com/arikace.php#p1. Accessed August 25, 2013.
- Meers P, Neville M, Malinin V, et al. Biofilm penetration, triggered release and in vivo activity of inhaled liposomal amikacin in chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2008;61(4):859–868.
- 54. King P, Lomovskaya O, Griffith DC, Burns JL, Dudley MN. In vitro pharmacodynamics of levofloxacin and other aerosolized antibiotics under multiple conditions relevant to chronic pulmonary infection in cystic fibrosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;54(1): 143–148.
- 55. King P, Citron DM, Griffith DC, Lomovskaya O, Dudley MN. Effect of oxygen limitation on the in vitro activity of levofloxacin and other antibiotics administered by the aerosol route against Pseudomonas aeruginosa from cystic fibrosis patients. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2010;66(2):181–186.
- Trapnell BC, McColley SA, Kissner DG, et al. Fosfomycin/tobramycin for inhalation in patients with cystic fibrosis with pseudomonas airway infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2012;185(2):171–178.
- Son YJ, McConville JT. A new respirable form of rifampicin. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2011;78(3):366–376.
- Ong HX, Traini D, Cipolla D, et al. Liposomal nanoparticles control the uptake of ciprofloxacin across respiratory epithelia. *Pharm Res*. 2012;29(12):3335–3346.
- Durand M, Pourchez J, Aubert G, et al. Impact of acoustic airflow nebulization on intrasinus drug deposition of a human plastinated nasal cast: new insights into the mechanisms involved. *Int J Pharm*. 2011;421(1):63–71.
- Willis LD, Berlinski A. Survey of aerosol delivery techniques to spontaneously breathing tracheostomized children. *Respir Care*. 2012;57(8):1234–1241.
- McCormack P, McNamara PS, Southern KW. A randomised controlled trial of breathing modes for adaptive aerosol delivery in children with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2011;10(5):343–349.
- Parkins MD, Elborn JS. Tobramycin inhalation powder: a novel drug delivery system for treating chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2011;5(5):609–622.
- Pourshahab PS, Gilani K, Moazeni E, Eslahi H, Fazeli MR, Jamalifar H. Preparation and characterization of spray dried inhalable powders containing chitosan nanoparticles for pulmonary delivery of isoniazid. *J Microencapsul*. 2011;28(7):605–613.
- 64. Chan JG, Chan HK, Prestidge CA, Denman JA, Young PM, Traini D. A novel dry powder inhalable formulation incorporating three first-line anti-tubercular antibiotics. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. September 13, 2012. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Chuealee R, Wiedmann TS, Srichana T. Physicochemical properties and antifungal activity of amphotericin B incorporated in cholesteryl carbonate esters. *J Pharm Sci.* 2011;100(5):1727–1735.
- Nasr M, Nawaz S, Elhissi A. Amphotericin B lipid nanoemulsion aerosols for targeting peripheral respiratory airways via nebulization. *Int J Pharm*. 2012;436(1–2):611–616.
- Zhang Y, Wang X, Lin X, Liu X, Tian B, Tang X. High azithromycin loading powders for inhalation and their in vivo evaluation in rats. *Int* J Pharm. 2010;395(1–2):205–214.
- Parkins MD, Elborn JS. Aztreonam lysine: a novel inhalational antibiotic for cystic fibrosis. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2010;4(4): 435–444.
- Gilani K, Moazeni E, Ramezanli T, Amini M, Fazeli MR, Jamalifar H. Development of respirable nanomicelle carriers for delivery of amphotericin B by jet nebulization. *J Pharm Sci.* 2011;100(1):252–259.
- Son YJ, McConville JT. Preparation of sustained release rifampicin microparticles for inhalation. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 2012;64(9): 1291–1302.
- Abdulla JM, Tan YT, Darwis Y. Rehydrated lyophilized rifampicinloaded mPEG-DSPE formulations for nebulization. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2010;11(2):663–671.

- Alexander BD, Winkler TP, Shi S, Dodds Ashley ES, Hickey AJ. In vitro characterization of nebulizer delivery of liposomal amphotericin B aerosols. *Pharm Dev Technol*. 2011;16(6):577–582.
- Stelmach I, Korzeniewska A, Stelmach W. Long-term benefits of inhaled tobramycin in children with cystic fibrosis: first clinical observations from Poland. *Respiration*. 2008;75(2):178–181.
- Briesacher BA, Quittner AL, Saiman L, Sacco P, Fouayzi H, Quittell LM. Adherence with tobramycin inhaled solution and health care utilization. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2011;11:5.
- Konstan MW, Geller DE, Minic P, Brockhaus F, Zhang J, Angyalosi G. Tobramycin inhalation powder for P. aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis: the EVOLVE trial. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. October 20, 2010. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Bhavsar T, Liu M, Liu X, Cantor J. Aerosolized recombinant human lysozyme enhances the bactericidal effect of tobramycin in a hamster model of Pseudomonas aeruginosa-induced pneumonia. *Exp Lung Res*. 2011;37(9):536–541.
- Ghannam DE, Rodriguez GH, Raad II, Safdar A. Inhaled aminoglycosides in cancer patients with ventilator-associated Gram-negative bacterial pneumonia: safety and feasibility in the era of escalating drug resistance. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2009;28(3):253–259.
- Aquino RP, Prota L, Auriemma G, et al. Dry powder inhalers of gentamicin and leucine: formulation parameters, aerosol performance and in vitro toxicity on CuFi1 cells. *Int J Pharm.* 2012;426(1–2):100–107.
- Leong KW, Ong S, Chee HL, Lee W, Kwa AL. Hypersensitivity pneumonitis due to high-dose colistin aerosol therapy. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2010;14(11):e1018–e1019.
- Wood GC. Aerosolized antibiotics for treating hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2011;9(11):993–1000.
- Lu Q, Luo R, Bodin L, et al. Efficacy of high-dose nebulized colistin in ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;117(6):1335–1347.
- Safdar A. Aerosolized amikacin in patients with difficult-to-treat pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteriosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;31(8):1883–1887.
- Tsivkovskii R, Sabet M, Tarazi Z, Griffith DC, Lomovskaya O, Dudley MN. Levofloxacin reduces inflammatory cytokine levels in human bronchial epithelia cells: implications for aerosol MP-376 (levofloxacin solution for inhalation) treatment of chronic pulmonary infections. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2011;61(2):141–146.
- 84. Zarogoulidis P, Papanas N, Kioumis I, Chatzaki E, Maltezos E, Zarogoulidis K. Macrolides: from in vitro anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties to clinical practice in respiratory diseases. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012;68(5):479–503.
- Peterson JW, Moen ST, Healy D, et al. Protection afforded by fluoroquinolones in animal models of respiratory infections with Bacillus anthracis, Yersinia pestis, and Francisella tularensis. *Open Microbiol J.* 2010;4:34–46.
- Baldwin DR, Honeybourne D, Wise R. Pulmonary disposition of antimicrobial agents: methodological considerations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1992;36(6):1171–1175.
- Wang F, Daugherty B, Keise LL, et al. Heterogeneity of claudin expression by alveolar epithelial cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2003;29(1):62–70.
- 88. Campbell L, Abulrob AN, Kandalaft LE, et al. Constitutive expression of P-glycoprotein in normal lung alveolar epithelium and functionality in primary alveolar epithelial cultures. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2003;304(1):441–452.
- Fojo AT, Ueda K, Slamon DJ, Poplack DG, Gottesman MM, Pastan I. Expression of a multidrug-resistance gene in human tumors and tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1987;84(1):265–269.
- Zaman GJ, Versantvoort CH, Smit JJ, et al. Analysis of the expression of MRP, the gene for a new putative transmembrane drug transporter, in human multidrug resistant lung cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res*. 1993;53(8):1747–1750.

- Togami K, Chono S, Morimoto K. Distribution characteristics of clarithromycin and azithromycin, macrolide antimicrobial agents used for treatment of respiratory infections, in lung epithelial lining fluid and alveolar macrophages. *Biopharm Drug Dispos*. 2011;32(7): 389–397.
- Geist LJ, Powers LS, Monick MM, Hunninghake GW. Asbestos stimulation triggers differential cytokine release from human monocytes and alveolar macrophages. *Exp Lung Res*. 2000;26(1):41–56.
- Mao JT, Roth MD, Serio KJ, et al. Celecoxib modulates the capacity for prostaglandin E2 and interleukin-10 production in alveolar macrophages from active smokers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9(16 Pt 1): 5835–5841.
- 94. Ogle CK, Ogle JD, Johnson C, Keynton L, Alexander JW. The production of C3, PGE2 and TxB2 by splenic, alveolar, and peritoneal guinea pig macrophages. *Prostaglandins*. 1988;36(3):279–289.
- Rennard SI, Hunninghake GW, Bitterman PB, Crystal RG. Production of fibronectin by the human alveolar macrophage: mechanism for the recruitment of fibroblasts to sites of tissue injury in interstitial lung diseases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1981;78(11):7147–7151.
- Weissbach S, Neuendank A, Pettersson M, Schaberg T, Pison U. Surfactant protein A modulates release of reactive oxygen species from alveolar macrophages. *Am J Physiol*. 1994;267(6 Pt 1): L660–L666.
- 97. Allen TM. Liposomal drug formulations. Rationale for development and what we can expect for the future. *Drugs*. 1998;56(5):747–756.
- Klonne DR, Dodd DE, Losco PE, Troup CM, Tyler TR. Two-week aerosol inhalation study on polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 in F-344 rats. *Drug Chem Toxicol*. 1989;12(1):39–48.
- Zhou L, Li Z, Liu Z, Ren J, Qu X. Luminescent carbon dot-gated nanovehicles for ph-triggered intracellular controlled release and imaging. *Langmuir*. 2013;29(21):6396–6403.
- 100. Zarogoulidis P, Hohenforst-Schmidt W, Darwiche K, et al. 2-diethylaminoethyl-dextran methyl methacrylate copolymer nonviral vector: still a long way toward the safety of aerosol gene therapy. *Gene Ther*. May 30, 2013. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Gonzalez-Juarrero M, Woolhiser LK, Brooks E, DeGroote MA, Lenaerts AJ. Mouse model for efficacy testing of antituberculosis agents via intrapulmonary delivery. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(7):3957–3959.
- Ren S, Guo LL, Yang J, et al. Doxycycline attenuates acrolein-induced mucin production, in part by inhibiting MMP-9. Eur J Pharmacol. 2011;650(1):418–423.
- Ward JE, Ren R, Toraldo G, et al. Doxycycline reduces fibril formation in a transgenic mouse model of AL amyloidosis. *Blood*. 2011;118(25): 6610–6617.
- 104. Hall IH, Schwab UE, Ward ES, Butts JD, Wolford ET, Ives TJ. Disposition and intracellular activity of azithromycin in human THP-1 acute monocytes. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2002;20(5):348–360.
- 105. Bosquillon C, Preat V, Vanbever R. Pulmonary delivery of growth hormone using dry powders and visualization of its local fate in rats. *J Control Release*. 2004;96(2):233–244.
- 106. Nemec A, Pavlica Z, Nemec-Svete A, Erzen D, Milutinovic A, Petelin M. Aerosolized clindamycin is superior to aerosolized dexamethasone or clindamycin-dexamethasone combination in the treatment of severe Porphyromonas gingivalis aspiration pneumonia in an experimental murine model. Exp Lung Res. 2012;38(1):9–18.
- 107. Hraiech S, Bregeon F, Brunel JM, et al. Antibacterial efficacy of inhaled squalamine in a rat model of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa pneumonia. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2012;67(10):2452–2458.
- 108. Alhanout K, Brunel JM, Dubus JC, Rolain JM, Andrieu V. Suitability of a new antimicrobial aminosterol formulation for aerosol delivery in cystic fibrosis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2011;66(12): 2797–2800.
- Labiris NR, Dolovich MB. Pulmonary drug delivery. Part II: the role
 of inhalant delivery devices and drug formulations in therapeutic effectiveness of aerosolized medications. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2003;56(6):
 600–612.

- 110. Hess DR. Nebulizers: principles and performance. *Respir Care*. 2000;45(6):609–622.
- 111. Ari A, Areabi H, Fink JB. Evaluation of aerosol generator devices at 3 locations in humidified and non-humidified circuits during adult mechanical ventilation. *Respir Care*. 2010;55(7):837–844.
- 112. Dhand R. Nebulizers that use a vibrating mesh or plate with multiple apertures to generate aerosol. *Respir Care*. 2002;47(12): 1406–1416.
- 113. Abu-Rabie P, Denniff P, Spooner N, Brynjolffssen J, Galluzzo P, Sanders G. Method of applying internal standard to dried matrix spot samples for use in quantitative bioanalysis. *Anal Chem.* 2011;83(22): 8779–8786.
- 114. Zierenberg B. Optimizing the in vitro performance of Respimat. *J Aerosol Med.* 1999;12 Suppl 1:S19–S24.
- 115. Dolovich M. New propellant-free technologies under investigation. *J Aerosol Med.* 1999;12 Suppl 1:S9–S17.
- 116. Newman SP, Weisz AW, Talaee N, Clarke SW. Improvement of drug delivery with a breath actuated pressurised aerosol for patients with poor inhaler technique. *Thorax*. 1991;46(10):712–716.
- 117. Wee WB, Leung K, Coates AL. Modeling breath-enhanced jet nebulizers to estimate pulmonary drug deposition. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. March 29, 2013. [Epub ahead of print.]
- 118. McCormack P, Southern KW, McNamara PS. New nebulizer technology to monitor adherence and nebulizer performance in cystic fibrosis. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2012;25(6):307–309.
- Davis JN, Stagg D. Interrelationships of the volume and time components of individual breaths in resting man. *J Physiol*. 1975;245(2): 481–498.
- Stegen K, Neujens A, Crombez G, Hermans D, Van de Woestijne KP, Van den Bergh O. Negative affect, respiratory reactivity, and somatic complaints in a CO2 enriched air inhalation paradigm. *Biol Psychol*. 1998;49(1–2):109–122.
- 121. Caille V, Ehrmann S, Boissinot E, Perrotin D, Diot P, Dequin PF. Influence of jet nebulization and oxygen delivery on the fraction of inspired oxygen: an experimental model. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2009;22(3):255–261.
- Vecellio L, Abdelrahim ME, Montharu J, Galle J, Diot P, Dubus JC.
 Disposable versus reusable jet nebulizers for cystic fibrosis treatment with tobramycin. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2011;10(2):86–92.
- 123. Coates AL, Green M, Leung K, et al. A comparison of amount and speed of deposition between the PARI LC STAR(R) jet nebulizer and an investigational eFlow(R) nebulizer. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2011;24(3):157–163.
- 124. Tiemersma S, Minocchieri S, Lingen RA, Nelle M, Devadason SG. Vibrating membrane devices deliver aerosols more efficient than standard devices: a study in a neonatal upper airway model. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. October 28, 2012. [Epub ahead of print.]
- 125. Nemoto M, Hiki Y, Shimada K, et al. Novel hormonal delivery method using the ink-jet technology: application to pulmonary insulin therapies. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2011;13(5):509–517.
- 126. Pitance L, Reychler G, Leal T, et al. Aerosol delivery to the lung is more efficient using an extension with a standard jet nebulizer than an open-vent jet nebulizer. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2013;26(4): 208–214.
- 127. Pitance L, Vecellio L, Leal T, Reychler G, Reychler H, Liistro G. Delivery efficacy of a vibrating mesh nebulizer and a jet nebulizer under different configurations. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2010;23(6):389–396.
- 128. Rao N, Kadrichu N, Ament B. Application of a droplet evaporation model to aerodynamic size measurement of drug aerosols generated by a vibrating mesh nebulizer. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2010;23(5):295–302.
- Skaria S, Smaldone GC. Omron NE U22: comparison between vibrating mesh and jet nebulizer. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2010;23(3):173–180.
- 130. Fadl A, Wang J, Zhang Z. Metered-dose inhaler efficiency enhancement: a case study and novel design. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2010;22(7):601–609.

Zarogoulidis et al Dovepress

- 131. Standaert TA, Vandevanter D, Ramsey BW, et al. The choice of compressor effects the aerosol parameters and the delivery of tobramycin from a single model nebulizer. *J Aerosol Med*. 2000;13(2): 147–153
- Hurley PK, Smye SW, Cunliffe H. Assessment of antibiotic aerosol generation using commercial jet nebulizers. *J Aerosol Med*. 1994;7(3): 217–228.
- 133. Rottier BL, de Boer AH, Duiverman EJ. Inhaled medication and inhalation devices for lung disease in patients with cystic fibrosis: three areas for future research. Which areas to target? Which particle size to deliver? Which device to use? *J Cyst Fibros*. 2010;9(4): 296–297.
- 134. Rubin BK. Aerosolized antibiotics for non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2008;21(1):71–76.
- Dhand R. Aerosol delivery during mechanical ventilation: from basic techniques to new devices. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2008;21(1):45–60.
- el Din MA, Palmer LB, el Tayeb MN, Khalil I, Gabr MS. Nebulizer therapy with antibiotics in chronic suppurative lung disease. *J Aerosol Med*. 1994;7(4):345–350.
- Lange CF, Finlay WH. Liquid atomizing: nebulizing and other methods of producing aerosols. *J Aerosol Med*. 2006;19(1):28–35.

- Hasan MA, Lange CF. Estimating in vivo airway surface liquid concentration in trials of inhaled antibiotics. *J Aerosol Med.* 2007;20(3): 282–293
- 139. Britland S, Finter W, Chrystyn H, Eagland D, Abdelrahim ME. Droplet aerodynamics, cellular uptake, and efficacy of a nebulizable corticosteroid nanosuspension are superior to a micronized dosage form. *Biotechnol Prog.* 2012;28(5):1152–1159.
- 140. Diot P, Dequin PF, Rivoire B, et al. Aerosols and anti-infectious agents. *J Aerosol Med*. 2001;14(1):55–64.
- 141. Lo D, VanDevanter DR, Flume P, Smyth A. Aerosolized antibiotic therapy for chronic cystic fibrosis airway infections: continuous or intermittent? *Respir Med*. 2011;105 Suppl 2:S9–S17.
- 142. Todisco T, Eslami A, Baglioni S, et al. Basis for nebulized antibiotics: droplet characterization and in vitro antimicrobial activity versus Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Aerosol Med.* 2000;13(1):11–16.
- 143. Takanami C, Goto Y. Physical properties of antibiotic aerosols produced by jet and ultrasonic nebulizers. *J Aerosol Med.* 1990;3(1): 45–52.
- 144. Ruddy J, Emerson J, Moss R, et al. Sputum tobramycin concentrations in cystic fibrosis patients with repeated administration of inhaled tobramycin. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2013;26(2):69–75.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal} \\$

Dovepress