Structure and hydrodynamics of a DNA G-quadruplex with a cytosine bulge

Markus Meier^{1,*}, Aniel Moya-Torres¹, Natalie J. Krahn¹, Matthew D. McDougall¹, George L. Orriss¹, Ewan K. S. McRae¹, Evan P. Booy¹, Kevin McEleney¹, Trushar R. Patel^{2,3,4}, Sean A. McKenna^{1,5} and Jörg Stetefeld^{1,5,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada, ²Alberta RNA Research and Training Institute, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta T1K 3M4, Canada, ³DiscoveryLab, Medical Sciences Building, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2H7, Canada, ⁴Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary T2N 1N4, Alberta, Canada and ⁵Department of Biochemistry and Medical Genetics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada

Received October 30, 2017; Revised April 05, 2018; Editorial Decision April 08, 2018; Accepted April 12, 2018

ABSTRACT

The identification of four-stranded G-quadruplexes (G4s) has highlighted the fact that DNA has additional spatial organisations at its disposal other than double-stranded helices. Recently, it became clear that the formation of G4s is not limited to the traditional G₃₊N_{L1}G₃₊N_{L2}G₃₊N_{L3}G₃₊ sequence motif. Instead, the G₃ triplets can be interrupted by deoxythymidylate (DNA) or uridylate (RNA) where the base forms a bulge that loops out from the G-quadruplex core. Here, we report the first highresolution X-ray structure of a unique unimolecular DNA G4 with a cytosine bulge. The G4 forms a dimer that is stacked via its 5'-tetrads. Analytical ultracentrifugation, static light scattering and small angle X-ray scattering confirmed that the G4 adapts a predominantly dimeric structure in solution. We provide a comprehensive comparison of previously published G4 structures containing bulges and report a special γ torsion angle range preferentially populated by the G4 core guanylates adjacent to bulges. Since the penalty for introducing bulges appears to be negligible, it should be possible to functionalize G4s by introducing artificial or modified nucleotides at such positions. The presence of the bulge alters the surface of the DNA, providing an opportunity to develop drugs that can specifically target individual G4s.

INTRODUCTION

Nucleic acid G-quadruplexes (G4s) adopt a four-stranded structure where four guanines from different G-tracts form a planar tetrad strengthened by hydrogen bonds between Watson-Crick and Hoogsteen faces of adjacent guanines (1). This unique arrangement enables highly efficient base stacking between multiple successive guanine tetrads and represents the major stabilizing feature of G4s. Further reinforcement is provided by a requisite monovalent or divalent cation (typically K^+) that occupies the central channel between stacked tetrads, reducing electrostatic repulsion from the O_6 oxygens of the guanines (1). The consecutive G-tracts of three or more guanylates can be located either on a single nucleic acid molecule (unimolecular G4) or be distributed on two (bimolecular G4) or four (tetramolecular G4) separate macromolecules. The G-tracts can adopt a parallel (where all strands run in the same direction), antiparallel (where strands have alternate directionality), or hybrid (where three strands run in one direction and the fourth the opposite) orientation. In the case of unimolecular or bimolecular G4s, the G-tracts are joined by loops ranging from a single nucleotide to several hundred nucleotides. Strand orientation significantly impacts loop connectivity, as parallel G4s require loops to connect the top tetrad to the bottom, whereas in antiparallel orientation the loops connect strands on the same tetrad. The G-tracts themselves can also be interrupted by nucleotides, forming a bulge, further increasing the structural heterogeneity of different G4s (1,2). We counted (as of October 2017) 231 entries in the PDB (3) for high-resolution structures of G4 forming nucleic acids; of these 90 were determined by X-ray crystallography. This catalogue contains many examples of different strand orientations and loop composition, but only six ex-

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: markus.meier@umanitoba.ca

Correspondence may also be addressed to Jörg Stetefeld. Tel: +1 204 474 9731; Fax: +1 204 474 7608; Email: jorg.stetefeld@umanitoba.ca

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

amples of G4s with bulged nucleotides. There exist many excellent reviews on G4 structures and their biophysical properties (1,4–6). A recent biophysical study focused solely on the effect of bulges on G4s in a systematic manner (2).

The major sources of structural heterogeneity amongst the population of DNA G4 species are created by differences in loop sequence, length, orientation, and bulged nucleotides within the G-tracts themselves. Progress towards the discovery of small molecules that target specific DNA G4 species might be expedited through a better understanding of these structural features of G4. The major obstacle facing the discovery of such ligands is their specificity; an effective G4 ligand must demonstrate high selectivity for a specific G4. A prerequisite for the rational design of such ligands is the structural characterization of a wide variety of G4 structures. Furthermore, a better understanding of how DNA G4 structures accommodate loops and bulges could see their incorporation in nanoscale material for drug delivery (7) and single molecule probes for biophysical assays (8,9) or medical diagnostics (10) among other applications.

Both DNA and RNA G4s have been associated with a number of important biological functions and there exist multiple review articles detailing the relevance of G4 as regulatory elements (11-13). In this work, we study the DNA version of an RNA G4 that forms in the 5'-end of the human telomerase RNA component (hTR). Formation of quadruplex in this region interferes with P1 helix formation, which is vital for an active telomerase enzyme (14). An RNA G4 resolving enzyme (DHX36) can interact with the 5'-region of hTR and unwind the G4, promoting P1 helix formation, an activity that is associated with increased telomerase activity (15-17). We have previously demonstrated that both the RNA and DNA versions of the quadruplex formed in the first 20 bases of hTR are of parallel topology and have a highly similar bun-shaped structure, as determined by SAXS (18). Furthermore, NMR chemical shift perturbation experiments with a small G4-interacting portion of DHX36 indicate that both RNA and DNA quadruplexes interact with the same amino acids of DHX36 (18).

Here we used the DNA version of hTR 1-20 in complex with the DHX36 G4-interacting peptide in crystallization trials. Although we were unable to observe any electron density from the peptide, the crystals grown showed diffraction from nucleic acid component to sufficient resolution for its high-resolution structure to be determined. This G4 contains a cytosine bulge in one G-tract and we assess its impact on the G4 structure. We further support the rigour of our structural data using an integrated biophysical approach to characterize the G4 using size exclusion chromatography (SEC), sedimentation velocity (SV), SEC coupled multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS), dynamic light scattering (DLS) and SEC coupled small angle X-ray scattering (SEC-SAXS) at multiple concentrations to show that it exists predominantly as a dimer across a large concentration range. Finally, we compare the structural features of the hTR 1-20 DNA G4 to other bulged G4 structures and present a thorough analysis of backbone torsion angles, identifying a unique range of γ torsion angles amongst bulged G4s. The easily accommodated nucleotide bulge presents a unique site to add functional groups or to facilitate specific recognition by novel G4 ligands.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis and purification

Nucleotides 1-20 of human telomerase RNA component with sequence d(GGG TT GCGG A GGG T GGG CCT) were chemically synthesized as DNA and PAGE purified by AlphaDNA, Montreal, Canada in 15 µmol scale. We refer to it as hTR 1-20 DNA in this publication. G4s were formed by suspending the DNA at a concentration of 5 μ M in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, heating the sample to 95°C for 10 min, followed by slow cooling in the water bath. The G4s were then purified on a HiLoad Superdex 75 26/60 size exclusion chromatography (SEC) column, yielding two distinct species, c1 and c2 (Supplementary Figure S1A). The sharp c2 elution peak contained a single, crystallizable conformation of G4. The broad c1 elution peak showed features of G4 also, but likely included multiple conformations and did not crystallize. More c2 could be obtained by reheating the c1 fraction and repeating the purification. However, the yield of c2 diminished with each cycle (Supplementary Figure S1B).

Sample preparation for biophysical studies

Purified hTR 1-20 DNA c2 in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl was concentrated to 13 mg/ml using an Amicon[®] concentrator with 3000 Da molecular weight cut-off and then diluted to the desired final concentrations with the same buffer. This buffer was used for all biophysical analysis except when indicated otherwise.

Spectropolarimetry

All circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded as previously described in detail (18). Briefly, data were collected on a calibrated J-810 spectropolarimeter (Jasco Inc., Easton, MD, USA) in a 1.0 mm (220–200 nm) or 0.1 mm (220– 180 nm) quartz cell at a concentration of 30–50 μ M (1.0 mm cell) or 200 μ M (0.1 mm cell) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, 100 mM KF. The spectra were measured in triplicate, averaged, buffer subtracted and normalized by the number of nucleotides per unit volume (Supplementary Figure S2).

Dynamic light scattering

To assess sample homogeneity, dynamic light scattering (DLS) data were collected on a Zetasizer Nano-S instrument (Malvern Instruments Canada, Montreal, QC, Canada), equipped with a 633 nm (red) He-Ne Laser and a 173° backscatter detector (19) from the same hTR 1-20 DNA samples that were used for sedimentation velocity experiments (Supplementary Figure S3). The samples were centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 5 min in an Eppendorf[™] MiniSpin[™] centrifuge and then filtered through a 0.1 µm Millipore Ultrafree[®]-MC filter immediately before transfer to the 3×3 mm quartz cell (Hellma Canada Ltd., Markham, ON, Canada). The temperature was equilibrated to 20°C for 5 min before starting the measurements. The small size of the G4 required very long measurement times and only sample concentrations above 1.0 mg/ml concentrations provided enough signal. The sample preparations were highly

homogenous and contained only trace amounts of aggregates or higher order oligomers.

Static light scattering

To determine the molecular mass, we used an in-line Dawn[®] Heleos[®] II multi-angle static light scattering (MALS) detector (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) in conjunction with a 24 ml Superose 12 10/300 GL SEC column driven by an ÄKTA pure FPLC system (GE Healthcare, Toronto, ON, Canada). Sample concentration was monitored by a 2 mm multi-wavelength UV flow cell (GE Healthcare) and an in-line Optilab T-rEX differential refractometer (Wyatt Technology). 200 μ l sample was injected into the buffer equilibrated column at a concentration of 7.66 mg/ml using a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. The UV signal was recorded at a wavelength of 290 nm, where the hTR 1-20 DNA c2 G4 has an absorption coefficient of 58756 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Supplementary Figure S5A). The Superose 12 column has a separation range of 1–300 kDa.

Sedimentation velocity

Sedimentation velocity (SV) profiles were measured on a ProteomeLab[™] XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter Canada, Mississauga, ON, Canada) using an An-50 Ti 8-place rotor, a rotor speed of 42 000 rpm, a temperature T of 20° C and sample concentrations of 11.12, 8.90, 4.45, 2.00, 1.00, 0.50, 0.10, 0.05, 0.01 and 0.005 mg/ml, corresponding to a range of 1.8 mM to 800 nM. Samples were dialysed in the reference buffer overnight and then diluted to the desired concentration. 400 μ l of sample and buffer was loaded into the respective channel of the double-sector centrepiece. The 8-place An-50 Ti rotor with samples was allowed to equilibrate to the pre-set temperature for at least 2 h. Radial scans were collected every 10 min for 24 h. Twodimensional distributions (20) $c(s, f_r)$ of sedimentation coefficient s and frictional ratio f_r were calculated in SED-FIT using a grid with 20 evenly spaced points along f_r and 50 variably spaced points along s. Between 0.5 and 4.0 S. the points were evenly spaced with a distance of 0.1 S and from there increasingly further apart up to 20 S. To overcome the 2 GiB addressable memory limit of SEDFIT, a 32-bit program, we removed all points of the radial scans outside the usable data range prior to loading them into SEDFIT, but we kept the full radial resolution. We also removed every uneven scan after 18 h (scan # 108), increasing the scan time interval from 10 to 20 min. The obtained $c(s, f_r)$ distributions established the presence of a major and a minor species within a 4.45-0.005 mg/ml concentration range (Supplementary Figure S8A-M, Supplementary Table S5A–M, Figure 4A). The data of the two highest sample concentrations (11.12 and 8.90 mg/ml) were excluded from further analysis as explained in the Results section. We then calculated the apparent s, apparent molecular mass M, molecular fractions and measurement uncertainties of both species at each sample concentration by fitting these parameters to the combined Lamm and Svedberg equations using the Species Analysis with Mass Conservation Restraints model implemented in SEDPHAT (21,22) (Figure 4B and C). The obtained values were then linearly extrapolated to

infinite dilution and converted to standard conditions (pure water at 20°C) using Equation (1) with a buffer density $\rho_{T,b}$ of 1.0045 g/cm³, a buffer viscosity $\eta_{T,b}$ of 0.0101543 P, and for the G4 a previously reported (23) (determined from a 22-nucleotides G4 in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 75 mM KCl) partial specific volume $\bar{\nu}_{T,b} = \bar{\nu}_{20^{\circ}C,w} = 0.541 \pm 0.019 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ to obtain $s^0_{20^{\circ}C,w}$ and M^0 (Table 1).

$$s_{20^{\circ}C,w} = s_{T,b} \frac{\eta_{T,b}}{\eta_{20^{\circ}C,w}} \frac{(1 - \bar{\nu}_{20^{\circ}C,w} \rho_{20^{\circ}C,w})}{(1 - \bar{\nu}_{T,b} \rho_{T,b})}$$
(1) (Ref. 24)

Graphical representations of the residuals and fits to the sedimentation velocity scans as well as the $c(s, f_r)$ and c(s, M) distributions were generated with *GUSSI* (25) and can be found in Supplementary Figure S8A-M. The HEPES buffer parameters were calculated with the software package *SED*-*NTERP 2* (26,27).

Small angle X-ray scattering

In-house small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data were acquired and processed as previously described in detail (28). Briefly, data were collected in batch mode (capillary) on a Rigaku 3-pin hole camera (S-MAX3000) equipped with a Rigaku MicroMax+002 microfocus sealed tube (Cu-K_α radiation at 1.54 Å) and a Confocal Max-Flux (CMF) optics operating at 40 W, using a calibrated 200-mm multi-wire 2D detector. Over the past few years, we collected full datasets (several concentrations) of G4s from three separate hTR 1-20 DNA synthesis batches using X-ray exposure times of 2-4 h. Data from the same synthesis batch were reduced with SAXSGUI (JJ X-Ray Systems ApS, Hoersholm, Denmark), averaged and buffer subtracted with *PRIMUS* (29). The datasets comprised the following concentrations: 4.8, 3.6 and 3.0 mg/ml (dataset published in Meier et al. (18)); 4.8, 3.3, 2.6 and 1.7 mg/ml (dataset from 2012); 2.5, 3.5 and (another) 3.5 mg/ml (dataset from 2016).

Synchrotron SEC-SAXS data were collected at the B21 beamline at the Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK) using an in-line Agilent 1200 (Agilent Technologies, Stockport, UK) HPLC system connected to a specialized flow cell. 50 μ l hTR 1-20 DNA c2 G4 with a concentration of 5.58 mg/ml was injected into a buffer equilibrated 4.6 ml Shodex KW402.5-4F size exclusion column using a flow rate of 0.16 ml/min. The column diluted the sample \sim 3-fold (\sim 2 mg/ml) before it arrived at the flow cell. Each frame was exposed for 3 s. Nine frames of the sample peak region were integrated, buffer subtracted and merged using the ScÅtter software package (30).

Ab initio low-resolution structures were calculated with software from the ATSAS suite (31). We first generated sets of 20 models with DAMMIF (32), using identical parameters within each set but a different random seed for each model. No symmetry was enforced (P1). Between the sets we slightly varied the maximum distance D_{max} (4.400, 4.614 and 4.619 nm in case of the SEC-SAXS data) and the number of data points when calculating the pair distance distribution P(r) in GNOM (33) and we tried the three shape classes compact-hollow, compact and unknown implemented

Property	Monomer	Dimer	Method
Experimental			
Radius of gyration (R_{α}) , nm		1.419 ± 0.004	In-line SEC-SAXS ($P(r)$ distribution)
Largest dimension (D_{max}) , nm		4.619	In-line SEC-SAXS $(P(r)$ distribution)
Extrapolated intensity $(I(0))$ at		0.05469 ± 0.00006	In-line SEC-SAXS $(P(r)$ distribution)
scattering angle 0			
Extrapolated hydrodynamic radius	1.2 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.1	SV, species analysis
$(R_h^0{}_{20^{\circ}}C)$, nm			
Extrapolated sedimentation coefficient	1.5 ± 0.2	2.70 ± 0.02	SV, species analysis
$(s^{0}_{20^{\circ}C,w}), S$			
Extrapolated sedimentation coefficient	1.5 ± 0.2	2.69 ± 0.03	SV, $c(s, f_r)$ analysis
$(s^0{}_{20^{\circ}\mathrm{C},w}),\mathrm{S}$			
Extrapolated sedimentation coefficient	1.4 ± 0.2	2.71 ± 0.04	SV, $c(s)$ analysis
$(s^{0}{}_{20}\circ_{C,w}), S$			
Extrapolated molecular mass (M^0) ,	4.7 ± 0.9	13.5 ± 0.7	SV, species analysis
kDa			
Extrapolated molecular mass (M^0) ,	5.8 ± 0.6	15.0 ± 0.9	SV, $c(s, f_r)$ analysis
kDa			
Molecular mass (M) , kDa		14 ± 1	In-line SEC-MALS
Molecular mass (M) , kDa		14	In-line SEC-SAXS
In-line SEC-SAXS low resolution structures ^a			
Radius of gyration (R_g) , nm		1.4219 ± 0.0005	DAMMIN
Largest dimension (D_{max}) , nm		4.83 ± 0.06	DAMMIN
Volume (V), nm ³		19.1 ± 0.2	DAMMIN
Hydrodynamic radius ($R_{h20^{\circ}C,w}$), nm		1.805 ± 0.008	HYDROPRO
Sedimentation coefficient ($s_{20^{\circ}C,w}$), S		2.86 ± 0.02	HYDROPRO
X-ray crystal structure			
Radius of gyration (R_g) , nm	1.205	1.423	HYDROPRO
Largest dimension (D_{max}) , nm	4.37	4.79	HYDROPRO
Volume (V) , nm ³	8.82	17.22	HYDROPRO
Hydrodynamic radius ($R_{h20^{\circ}C,w}$), nm	1.54	1.94	HYDROPRO
Sedimentation coefficient ($s_{20^{\circ}C,w}$), S	1.67	2.67	HYDROPRO
Molecular mass (M) , kDa	$6.3643 (G4_1 K_2^+)$	$12.7677 (G4_2 K_{5}^{+})$	Atomic composition

The uncertainties are expanded and represent 95% confidence intervals.

^aMean values of the nine final *DAMMIN* structures.

in *DAMMIF*. The models in each set were then aligned, averaged and filtered using *SUPCOMB* (34) and *DAMAVER* (35) which provided an individual fixed core for each final structure calculated in *DAMMIN* (36). From the nine final SEC-SAXS structures, we uploaded those three based on the P(r) distribution with the highest quality estimate (*Dmax* = 4.619 nm) to the *Small Angle Scattering Biological Data Bank* SASBDB (37) where they are accessible under ID SASDCC8.

The molecular mass in Table 1 was determined from the merged scattering data using SAXSMoW2 (38) and the result was corrected for the appropriate mass density of the G-quadruplex DNA (1.85 g/cm³ = $1/_{\overline{b}}$, see SV analysis).

Calculation of hydrodynamic parameters

To verify our low resolution SAXS structures, we calculated the hydrodynamic parameters (hydrodynamic radius R_h , sedimentation coefficient *s*) from the bead models using the program *HYDROPRO* (version 10) (39–41). An important parameter for *HYDROPRO* is the *atomic element radius (AER)*, i.e. the radius of the beads in the SAXS (primary) model. This parameter can be calculated from the '*dummy atom volume'* V_{DA} reported in the header of each PDB file produced by *DAMMIF* by using Equation (2). For *DAMMIN* models, V_{DA} is reported as '*average volume per atom*'. The radius of gyration R_g , largest dimension D_{max} , excluded volume V_{DAM} and estimated molecular mass *M* can also be found in the header.

$$AER = \sqrt[3]{\frac{3 V_{DA}}{4 \pi}} \tag{2}$$

All our *DAMMIF* models had a V_{DA} of 5.661 Å³ that yielded an *AER* of 1.106 Å, whereas the *DAMMIN* models contained a V_{DA} of 11.056 Å³ that corresponded to an *AER* of 1.382 Å. Using these values, *HYDROPRO* produced particle volumes that matched very closely to the volumes reported by the SAXS models and also reproduced R_g and D_{max} correctly. More information about the *AER* parameter determination can be found in the supplementary information. For the X-ray crystal structure, we used an *AER* parameter of 2.54 Å, a value that was calibrated (42) with several G4 structures from the protein database (3) and experimental data. For all cases, we used the atomic-level primary model calculation (INDMODE = 1) with eight shells, where the innermost shell contained 200–300 and the outermost shell 1800–3000 minibeads.

Crystallization and structure solution

Crystals were formed with an initial complex of 5 mg/ml hTR 1-20 DNA c2 and a 1:1 molar ratio of human DHX36(53-105) peptide (residues 53-105 of ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX36, UniProtKB (43) Q9H2U1 or DHX36_HUMAN) by hanging drop vapour

diffusion with drop sizes of $2+2 \mu l$ in 2.5 M NaCl, 10% (v/v)ethanol. 0.1 M sodium cacodylate. pH 6.5 at 20°C. Crystals emerged after 3 months and grew as fragile stacks of plates. Before data collection, crystals were soaked in reservoir solution containing 15% ethylene glycol and 5 mM of both HgCl₂ and PtCl₄ for 24 h. This procedure separated the stacks into fewer layers of plates that could be picked up for cryogenic cooling to 100 K in a Rigaku X-stream[™] 2000 cold stream. X-ray diffraction images of the crystals were collected in 1° wedges with 10-min exposure on a Rigaku MicroMax[™]-007 HF equipped with an R-AXIS IV++ detector and a Rigaku Osmic[™] Confocal Max-Flux[®] multilayer mirror using a Cu K α source. Due to the presence of two crystals plates in the loop during data collection, two non-overlapping lattices were present. The lattices were processed individually, then scaled and merged together using the HKL-2000 package (44). The space group was determined with *Pointless* (45,46). Phases were determined by molecular replacement in *Phaser* (47) using the core of another parallel G4 structure (PDBID 1XAV (48)) as a search model. *Coot* (49) was used to build the atomic model into the electron density map, followed by refinement with Refmac5 (50). We wrote scripts to perform data conversion and to generate data statistics by taking advantage of the Computational Crystallography Toolbox (CCTBX) (51) and we also used software of the Collaborative Computational Project Number 4 (CCP4) (52). The crystals only contained DNA; no traces of the peptide could be found. We therefore monitored the stability of the DHX36(53-105) peptide by SDS PAGE and determined that fragmentation started to occur after 5 days at room temperature and was essentially complete after only one month. The fully refined coordinates and the structure factors were deposited in the protein database with PDBID 5UA3.

Nucleic acid geometry and visualization

We used the program *DSSR* (53) of the *3DNA* suite (54) to analyse the nucleic acid backbone and the base pair geometry from the 3D structures. We reported the 'simple' base-pair parameters for buckle, propeller twist and stagger which are more intuitive for non-canonical base-pairs than the classic base-pair parameters as explained in the program manual and the 3DNA website (http://x3dna.org/highlights/details-on-the-simple-base-pair-parameters, http://x3dna.org/articles/simple-parameters-for-non-

Watson--Crick-base-pairs). We wrote an R (55) script that automatically creates a backbone angle plot from the output of the *DSSR* program. The script can be downloaded from the *3DNA* forum at http://x3dna.org. The nucleic acid was visualized in *PyMOL* and the *dssr_block* plugin (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0, Schrödinger, LLC, https://pymol.org/). All other figures in this publication were created in *QtiPlot* (QtiPlot—Data Analysis and Scientific Visualization, http://www.qtiplot.com).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on a well-characterized short 20-nt region from the 5'-end of the human telomerase RNA (hTR 1-20), we chose



Figure 1. Cartoon representation of the hTR 1-20 DNA c2 X-ray crystal structure with the backbone of chain A coloured in gold and the one of chain B in copper. The bases are represented as cartoon blocks, cytosines in yellow, guanines in green, thymines in blue and adenines in red. The bases of the loops, bulges and tails are labelled. The potassium ions are shown as magenta spheres. (A) View on top of the CCT tail and 3'-tetrad face of the G4. (B) Side view of the guanylate core with the bulges, loops and tails facing out.

to study the DNA equivalent from a biophysical perspective. Purification of this chemically synthesized DNA by size exclusion chromatography resulted in two species with similar but distinct sizes; referred to as c1 (eluting first, \sim 65%) and c2 (eluting second, \sim 35%), see Supplementary Figure S1.

Spectropolarimetry suggests a pure parallel strand orientation for c2

We have previously reported that both, c1 and c2 exhibit circular dichroism (CD) spectra with the general features of typical parallel G4s (56), characterised by a dominant positive peak at 263 nm and a negative peak at 242 nm (18) (see Supplementary Figure S2). However, c1 and c2 have distinguishable spectral features, suggesting differences in overall structure. The tailing shoulder near 290 nm of the c1 species is likely caused by a mixture of syn- and antiglycosidic bond dihedrals, indicative for the presence of some antiparallel conformations, whereas the c2 species has a much sharper peak at 263 nm indicative of a uniform parallel strand orientation with only anti-glycosidic bonds. Thermal melting of the G4s resulted in a gradual disappearance of these spectral features (characteristic of guanine unstacking) with c1 demonstrating an elevated estimated midpoint (70°C) relative to c2 (64°C) (18). Both midpoint values were significantly higher than that expected for singleor double-stranded nucleic acid structures and are consistent with G4s.

High-resolution structure of the hTR 1-20 DNA

Of the two species c1 and c2, only the latter could be crystallized. This is not surprising, since c1 represents likely a heterogeneous mixture of parallel and antiparallel G4s. The crystal structure of c2 has a traditional resolution of limit 1.88 Å (shell where $I/\sigma(I) = 2.0$) with a single dimer occupying the asymmetric unit (Figure 1, Supplementary Table S1). The G4 crystallized in space group P1. Consistent with spectropolarimetry results, each G4 adopts a parallel orientation with all glycosidic bond angles (χ) adopting the



Figure 2. Stereo image of a fraction of the high-resolution X-ray crystal structure of the hTR 1-20 DNA c2 dimer built into the electron density map. Only the 5'-tetrads with their potassium ions as well as the loops and bulges are displayed. The electron density is shown at a root-mean-square deviation of 1.0σ (0.31 e/Å³). The chain backbone A is coloured in gold, chain B in copper, potassium ions are represented as magenta spheres. The bases of loops and bulges are labelled.

anti-conformation, which results in a head-to-tail stacking of the three guanine tetrads. The centre of each of the five tetrad stacks that comprise the dimer is occupied by a potassium ion, forming a linear grid with a distance of 3.3-3.5 Å between the atoms. Each tetrad within an individual G4 is offset by 30° counter-clockwise to the previous one (looking from head to tail down the axis formed by the central potassium ions, Figure 1A), resulting in a spiral orientation of the guanine bases. The two G4s that comprise the dimer stack in a head-to-head orientation via the guanine tetrads formed by the 5'-nucleotides (Figures 1B and 2).

A tail of three nucleotides protrudes out at both 3' ends of the dimer (Figure 1B). This tail is comprised of nucleotides 18-20 with the sequence d(CCT) that follow the last Gtract. Nucleotides 19 and 20 of chain B have multiple conformations, but the electron density was only clear enough to build one of them (occupancy 0.8). The equivalent nucleotides in chain A are well defined and their bases and the backbone stack on top of the 3'-tetrad of chain B (contacts to dG3, dG9 and dG13) of the nearest symmetry related dimer. dC18 of both chains stacks on top of the 3'-tetrad of its own respective chain (contact to dG17). The base of dC19 (sym. chain B) stacks on the centre of the 3'-tetrad (chain A) while its neighbour, dT20 (sym. chain B), intercalates between the bases of dC18 (chain A) and dT20 (chain A) and is further stabilized by a hydrogen bond to the phosphate of dT20 (chain A). A network of hydrogen bonds stabilizes the triangle formed by dC18 (sym. chain B), dC19 (chain A) and dC20 (chain A) and triplet of hydrogen bonds connects the bases of dC18 (chain A) and dC19 (sym. chain B). This tight interaction network between the tails impedes access to the 3'-tetrad faces.

In contrast, the 5'-end of the hTR 1-20 starts directly with the first guanine of G-tract 1 and consequently, there are no nucleotides to block access to the 5'-tetrad face, making it available for tetrad stacking. The 5'-tetrad faces are almost planar, whereas the base pairs forming the 3'-tetrads are buckled, forming a concave (convex, if viewed 5'-3') depression towards the potassium ion (Figure 1B). The latter provides a less ideal surface for the base stacking of a planar tetrad. Limited access to the 3'-tetrad, its concave surface and free access to the planar 5'-tetrad all favour 5'-5' stacking of the G4 dimer. Searching the PDB data bank (3), we found several other examples of parallel DNA G4 with 5'-5' tetrad stacking (e.g. PDBIDs 352D (57), 3CCO (58), 2LE6 (59), 3QSF (60), 3QSC (60)) and at least one example with a 3'-3' stacking (PDBID 4U92 (61), Figure 6D), confirming that both stacking modes are possible.

Buckled tetrads were already observed in the early structures deposited in the PDB (3), e.g. the 5'-5' stacked tetramolecular parallel G4 [d(TGGGGT)]₄ with PDBID 352D(57) and are a recurrent theme. Among 5'-5' stacked parallel G4s, the tetrads at the stacking interface can be both planar (our structure), one tetrad planar and one convex (PDBID 352D (57)) or both tetrads saddle shaped (3CCO (58), 3OSF (60)). Deviation from planarity can also be caused by propeller twists (2LE6 (59)) or staggers. We define tetrads as planar if the buckles and propeller twists are less than 5°. Convex bowl-shaped tetrads form if all buckles are positive. Saddle-shaped tetrads are obtained if they contain alternating positive and negative buckles. We have calculated these base pair parameters for our and the aforementioned structures (see Supplementary Table S3). Buckled tetrads are not unique to stacked G4 pairs, but also occur in unimolecular monomeric G4s (e.g. PDBID 2LEE (62)). Compared to other unimolecular G4s in the PDB, the tetrad geometries in our structure are remarkably symmetric, even though the presence of the asymmetric loops and the bulge would suggest otherwise. Even the above mentioned simple symmetric sequence [d(TGGGGT)]₄ has an asymmetric structure (PDBID 352D (57)).

Our G4 core is built from the four G-tracts in the DNA sequence: tract 1 (dG1-dG3), tract 2 (dG6, dG8, dG9), tract 3 (dG11-dG13), and tract 4 (dG15-dG17). Unlike tracts 1, 3, and 4 that employ continuous guanines, tract 2 is interrupted by dC7, which adopts a looped-out type I bulge conformation in both chains (Figure 2). Electron density around the bases of the cytosine bulges is ill defined and the atoms of the bases have high B-factors, indicating conformational freedom. Rotation of the base around the glycosidic bond is partly restricted, however, through crystal contacts. The base planes of dC7 (chain A), dC7 (chain B) and dT4 (chain B), each originating from different symmetry molecules, can be oriented such that they form a triple π - π stack. This arrangement is indeed partially occupied (~ 0.6) and visible in the electron density. Whether the cytosine bases (dC7) occupy the syn- or anti-conformation in this arrangement is unclear. Most of the G4 loops show strong electron density. However, having no interaction partners, dT4 in chain B is largely disordered (deoxyribose and base) with only the backbone phosphates visible. Interestingly, dT4 in chain A has very defined electron density, packing snugly onto dT14 of a symmetry mate. The base of dT5 in both chains seems to be rotating around its glycosidic bond.

To assess how the cytosine bulge affects the G4 geometry, we compared the backbone torsion angles of an ideal DNA G4 without loops or bulges to our structure (Figure 3). The X-ray structures with PDBIDs 244D (63) and 352D (57) each contain four tetramolecular G4s in their asymmetric units, providing up to 128 values for each torsion, thus giving a good estimate for the preferred range for



Figure 3. (A) Backbone torsion angle plot of the ideal G4 sequence $[d(TGGGG)]_4$ without loops or bulges. Only the core guanylates are shown. The two X-ray structures 244D (63) and 352D (57) contain four G4s each, providing a total of 128 values for each torsion angles of every guanylate. PDBIDs 2JT7 (64) and 2KVY (65) contain 10 NMR models each and provide another total of 320 values. (B) Backbone torsion angle plot of the guanylates forming the G4 core of hTR 1-20 DNA. dG6 that precedes the cytosine bulge (dC7) is coloured in orchid and dG8 that follows the bulge is in seagreen.

each angle. We also considered the torsion angle values of the NMR ensembles PDBID 2JT7 (64) and PDBID 2KVY (65) with 10 models each that contributed another 320 values (Figure 3A). The presence of the bulge changes the γ torsion angle of dG8 to an unusual value of -60.6° (chain A) and -77.6° (chain B). dG6 has no unusual backbone torsion angles (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S4). Strikingly, the ideal G4 is the almost completely devoid of any ζ angles in the range of 75° -180°. The existence of any ζ angles in this range is apparently correlated with the presence of loops. All observed backbone torsion angles of our hTR 1-20 structure are within the allowed range for nucleic acids, and there is no energetic penalty from strained backbone torsion angles in accommodating a bulge or loop into the G4 core.

In 2014, an NMR study (66) was published that established the parallel nature of the hTR 1-18 RNA G4 and the presence of a cytosine bulge. Like its DNA counterpart, the RNA G4 also formed a dimer and therefore, due to peak broadening, they could not obtain atomic coordinates by NMR approaches.

Sedimentation velocity demonstrates the dimeric nature of hTR 1-20 DNA

We performed sedimentation velocity (SV) to study the size distributions of the hTR 1-20 DNA c2 over a wide range of concentrations (11.12–0.005 mg/ml). Due to the large absorption coefficient of DNA we could cover the entire concentration range using the absorbance optics (Figure 4A). The $c(s, f_r)$ distributions (Supplementary Figure S8A–M, Supplementary Table S5A-M) calculated from the sedimentation profiles suggest that we have a major and a minor peak within the sample concentration range of 4.45 to 0.005 mg/ml. The dominant peak has an *s* range of 2.45–2.73 S and a mass range 13.6–17.4 kDa, whereas the smaller peak comprises an *s* range of 1.37–1.77 S and a mass range 4.5–6.5 kDa. The $c(s, f_r)$ distributions of the two largest sample

concentrations (11.12 and 8.90 mg/ml) contain additional features that could be due to non-ideal sedimentation imparted by the high concentration and charge of the G4s. We therefore excluded these data from further analysis. Figure 4B and C show the results of direct fitting of the apparent sedimentation constant s, the apparent mass M and the molecular fractions of the two identified species at each sample concentration to the combined Lamm and Svedberg equations. Linear extrapolation of the values to infinite dilution and conversion to standard conditions yielded an $s_{20^{\circ}C,w}^{0}$ of 2.70 ± 0.02 S and M^{0} of 13.5 ± 0.7 M for the larger species and an $s^0_{20^{\circ}C,w}$ of 1.5 \pm 0.2 S and M^0 of 4.7 ± 0.9 for the smaller species (Table 1). Alternatively using the peak positions of the $c(s, f_r)$ or c(s) distribution for the linear extrapolation yielded similar values (Table 1, Supplementary Figure S4A-E). Correlating the obtained $s_{20^{\circ}C,w}^{0}$ and M^{0} with the values calculated from our X-ray crystal structure in HYDROPRO (39-41), we could assign the major species to G4 dimers and the minor species to G4 monomers. The species analysis determined the molecular fraction of the larger species to 84.7–92.5% of the population (Figure 4C). Determining the molecular fractions by integrating the peaks of the $c(s, f_r)$ or c(s) distribution yielded similar values (Supplementary Figure S4G and H). These results suggest a monomer-dimer equilibrium with a large majority of dimers. There is no apparent trend of increasing monomeric fraction with decreasing sample concentration. Therefore, we could not determine the dissociation constant, but it must be lower than the lowest concentration we measured (800 nM).

Molecular mass determination by SEC-MALS

We also measured the molecular mass of the hTR 1-20 DNA c2 by SEC-MALS using a 24 ml Superose 12 10/300 GL SEC column, see Materials and Methods. We obtained a mass of 14 ± 1 kDa at the center of the elution peak (Table 1), corroborating the value from SV and the predominant dimeric state of the G4. The resolution of the column was not enough to visually separate monomers from dimers (Supplementary Figure S5A). The molecular mass distribution shows a reduction of the mass across the elution peak indicating an increasing amount of monomer with continuing elution (Supplementary Figure S5B).

Low resolution shape determination by small-angle X-ray scattering

In 2013, we published (18) solution structures of hTR 1-20 DNA c2 obtained by Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) that were based on data collected in batch mode (capillary) by our in-house diffractometer (Supplementary Figure S7B–D, Supplementary Table S2). To get the best possible structures, we now collected synchrotron scattering data (Figure 5A) at Beamline B21 at the Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK) using a special flow cell that was connected to an HPLC system equipped with a 4.6 ml Shodex KW402.5-4F size exclusion column (see Materials and Methods). Synchrotron radiation offers usable data to higher angles than the home source could provide (Supplementary Fig-



Figure 4. (A) Normalized one-dimensional c(s, *) distributions derived by collapsing the two-dimensional $c(s, f_r)$ distributions calculated from the sedimentation velocity data obtained from a wide range of concentrations (0.005–4.45 mg/ml, 0.8 μ M–708 μ M). Some concentrations were measured as independent duplicates. The values were corrected to standard conditions (pure water at 20°C). (B) Linear extrapolation to infinite dilution (solid lines) of the corrected apparent sedimentation coefficients $s_{20°C,w}$ obtained from direct fitting s, M and molecular fractions to the combined Lamm and Svedberg equations for each sample concentration. The black colour applies to the dimer and the grey colour to the monomer. $s_{20°C,w}$ of the dimer is decreasing with increasing concentration as would be observed for a non-interacting system; i.e. the dimer is extremely stable. To accommodate all data, we used a logarithmic scale for the abscissa. The stippled lines represent the 95% confidence intervals of the extrapolation and the error bars the 95% confidence intervals of individual data points. (C) Fractions of monomers (teal) and dimers (magenta) obtained from the same fits as described above. The error bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals which are determined by the noise in the absorbance data. The G4 is predominantly dimeric in the entire concentration range investigated.



Figure 5. Representation of the synchrotron SEC-SAXS data. (A) Guinier plot of the merged scattering intensities (vertical lines represent the standard uncertainties) and the regularized curve (red line). (B) The pair-distance distribution P(r) determined from the scattering data suggest an ellipsoidal shape of the scattering hTR 1-20 DNA c2 particles with a maximal diameter of 4.6 nm. (C and D) Superposition of a cartoon representation of the hTR 1-20 DNA c2 X-ray crystal structure with the refined SAXS envelope from *DAMMIN* that is based on a fixed core generated from 20 averaged and filtered *DAMMIF* models using the compact-hollow shape class. (C) Side view of the SAXS envelope. The CCT tail and the loops with thymidylates occupy the ends of the longest axis. (D) 90° rotated view around the central G4 axis. The cytosine bulge and the adenylate loops are situated at the ends of the shortest axis.

ure S7A), better signal to noise, higher density of measurement points and fast data acquisition, greatly decreasing the uncertainty in the data. Passing the sample through the size exclusion column guarantees equilibrium between buffer and samples, removes aggregated material and separates oligomeric states (if their hydrodynamic volumes are sufficiently distinct) which results into more reliable models. Supplementary Figure S6A shows the scattering signal plot of the sample eluting from the SEC column. Two peaks of which the first occupies 91% and the second 9% of the total area are visible. This is consistent with the ratio of dimers to monomers that we observed by SV. However, it is improbable that the Shodex column provides enough resolution power to separate monomers and dimers. The small peak did not provide enough signal to allow further analysis, but it is most likely a baseline fluctuation.

An advantage of the synchrotron data is that the unmeasurable scattering intensity at 0° angle can be reliably determined by extrapolation and from this the molecular mass. Using the algorithm implemented in the program SAXS-MOW2 (38) we obtained a molecular mass of 14 kDa (Table 1), consistent with the values of SV and in-line SEC-MALS, which independently confirms the predominantly dimeric state of our G4 in solution.

Comparing the pair-distance distribution P(r), a histogram of the inter-electron distances in the sample, of

the synchrotron data to the in-house data shows the presence of an extended tail in the former that suggest that the shape should be more ellipsoidal (Figure 5B, Supplementary Figure S6B) than our previously determined models. As set out in Materials and Methods, we generated each final DAMMIN model based an individual fixed core calculated from a set of 20 DAMMIF models that only differed in the random seed. The individual cores differed by D_{max} and the selected shape class in DAMMIF. For the SEC-SAXS data, we generated a total of 9 final DAMMIN models all of which were very symmetrical and nearly identical in shape and volume with normalized spatial discrepancies (NSDs) (34) ranging just from 0.434 to 0.504 which provides high confidence in these models. Their physical and hydrodynamic parameters can be found in Table 1. A model with a fixed core based on shape class compact-hollow is presented in Figure 5C and D. The SEC-SAXS structures have a similar volume as the in-house SAXS ones, but are more extended and ellipsoidal, consistent with their P(r)distribution (Supplementary Table S2). In the past few years we have accumulated three in-house datasets consisting of several concentrations each. From these, we obtain consistently bun-shaped structures with volumes ranging from 15.4–23.9 nm³ (Supplementary Figure S7B–D). The volume of the X-ray crystal structure is 17.2 nm³ according to HYDROPRO (Table 1). All in-house and synchrotron structures have plausible hydrodynamic properties (Supplementary Table S2) and dimensions.

The best-fit superposition of the X-ray crystal structure with the SEC-SAXS envelope oriented the central axis of the guanine tetrads $\sim 20^{\circ}$ inclined from the long axis of the ellipsoid. The tail of three nucleotides at the 3'-ends of each G4 monomer are located at opposite ends of the long axis. The two loops containing thymidylates are located at opposite ends of the medium axis of the ellipsoids, which nearly coincides with the 2-fold symmetry axis of the highresolution structure. The cytosine bulge and adjacent the adenylate loop occupy one end of the short axis whilst the other end is occupied by G-tract 4 (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S7A).

Comprehensive comparison of G-quadruplexes with bulges

In this text, we will refer to a single G4 entity in a crystal or in solution as *monomer*, regardless if it is uni-, bi- or tetramolecular, and to a stacked double G4 as *dimer*. Several parallel G4s structures with bulges have been reported so far, either with thymines (DNA) or uracils (RNA). Our high-resolution structure is unique by being the first one with a cytosine bulge. An overview of the structures together with a plot of the backbone angles of the G4 core is shown in Figure 6.

RNA G4 structures with uracil bulges. PDBID 1P79 (67) is a tetramolecular RNA G4 with the sequence $[r(U)(d(^{Br}G)r(UGGU)]_4$ that was solved by X-ray crystallography. U3 of all chains forms a looped-out bulge of type I. The guanylates flanking the bulge do not have any unusual backbone torsion angles (Figure 6A). As a tetramolecular G4 it has no loops and there are no ζ torsion angles in the range of 75°–180°.

An RNA G4 that contains type I and type II bulges is PDBID 2AWE (68). Pan *et al.* attributed the presence of type II bulges to the crystal packing. This G4 with sequence $[r(U^{Br}GGUGU)]_4$ dimerized to an octaplex by intercalating the U-tetrad at the 5'-end. G3 which precedes the bulge T4 populates ζ torsion angles in the 75°–180° range. The bromidated G2 adjacent to the intercalated U tetrads occupies the γ torsion angle range -45° to -75° (Figure 6B).

DNA G4 structures with thymine bulges. Presently, the DNA G4s with thymine bulges in the PDB are all unimolecular. PDBID 2M4P (2) with sequence d(TTGTGGTGGG TGGGTGGGT) has a single type I bulge (dT4). This is an NMR ensemble of 10 models which samples the conformational space of the backbone torsion angles exhaustively (Figure 6C). As in our hTR 1–20 DNA G4, the guanylate following the bulge adopts a γ torsion angles in the range of -45° to -75° in some conformers, values absent in an ideal G4 without loops or bulges. Some core guanylates in 2M4P not adjacent to the bulge populated this γ range as well, indicating that such values can also arise in the presence of loops.

PDBID 4U92 (61) with the sequence $d(CCA \ ^{CNV}KG \ CGTGG)$, where $\ ^{CNV}K$ represents cyanovinylcarbazole, is a dimeric 3'-3' stacked tetramolecular DNA G4 containing one thymine bulge in each chain, located close to the stacking interface (the first four nucleotides are disordered in the crystal). The 3'-3' stacking interface is mediated by magnesium ions coordinated to four phosphate groups. The G4 has four G-tetrads with a cytosine quartet interspersed between the first and second G-tetrad. The central channel is occupied by either barium ions or water molecules, and the torsion angle plot shows no unusual values (Figure 6D).

NMR ensemble 2N4Y (69) is a parallel G4 with a single thymine bulge (T19) found in the human immunodeficiency virus-1 genome with sequence d(CTGGGGGGGA CTGGGGGAGTGGT). The backbone torsion angle plot shows that the same regions are populated as in 2M4P. The range of -45° to -75° is populated mostly, but not exclusively, by the deoxyguanylates adjacent to the bulge (Figure 6E).

The most unusual G4 we found in the PDB data bank is 2N3M (yet unpublished (70)) with sequence d(TGGTGG TGGTTGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGT). Instead of consecutive G-tracts, the deoxyguanylates within one tetrad are connected by deoxythymidylate bridges. It also contains two bulges: One formed by the single thymine dT16 and another formed by the nucleotide twosome dT13+dT14. In this NMR ensemble consisting of 10 conformers, the core guanylates sample the same backbone torsion angle space as the classic G4s 2M4P and 2N4Y (Figure 6F, C, E). The γ torsion angles in the range of -45° to -75° are mostly occupied by the guanylates flanking the two bulges: dG12, dG15 and dG17, again confirming that this range is important to accommodate the bulges.

To our knowledge, no G4 structure containing an adenine bulge has yet been deposited in the PDB. However, they do exist and were substantiated by NMR experiments (2).



Figure 6. A depiction of other G4 structures in the protein data bank containing bulges rendered as cartoon (top panel) with the bases represented as blocks with following colour code: cytosines in yellow, guanines in green, thymines in blue, uracil in cyan and adenine in red. The bulges are labelled. Monomeric G4s are oriented such that the 3'-tetrad points upwards. The bottom panel shows the backbone torsion angle plot of the G4 core nucleotides. Guanylates preceding or following a bulge are marked in colour in the plot. (A) PDBID 1P79 (67) containing a uracil bulge (U3) in each strand. The X-ray structure is sitting on a crystallographic four-fold axis which makes each strand an exact copy (denoted A_{1-3}) of strand A in the asymmetric unit and provides only 1 value for each torsion angle and nucleotide. (B) PDBID 2AWE (68) with one uracil bulge (U4) in each chain. This X-ray structure provides 8 values for each torsion angle and guanylate. (C) NMR ensemble 2M4P (2) with a single thymine bulge. (D) PDBID 4U92 (61) containing barium (purple) and magnesium ions (teal). This X-ray crystal structure contains two independent chains (A and B) in the asymmetric units, the other (A_{1-3} , B_{1-3}) are symmetric copies. This provides 2 values per torsion angle and nucleotide. (E) The NMR ensemble 2N4Y (69) with 10 models has one thymine bulge (T16). (F) NMR ensemble 2N3M (70) containing 10 models has a single thymine bulge dT16 and a double thymine bulge dT13+dT14. dG15 flanks both bulges.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we present the first high-resolution structure of a G4 with a bulged cytosine base. The electron density map of hTR 1-20 DNA c2 revealed a dimeric G4 that is stacked via the 5'-tetrads of each monomer. Analytical ultracentrifugation confirmed that the macromolecule forms a stable dimer over a large concentration range (0.8) μ M to 700 μ M). Its dimeric state was further corroborated by SEC-MALS and SEC-SAXS. We compared the backbone torsion angles of the guanylates forming the core of parallel G4 with bulges from the PDB to an 'ideal' G4 [d(TGGGGT)]₄ without bulges or loops. The core nucleotides of G4s with loops and bulges essentially occupy the same conformational space as those from the 'ideal' G4. However, the presence of the loops and bulges populates an additional range of ζ torsion angles between 75° and 180° and a new region of γ torsion angles between -45° to -75° . The new γ range is occupied preferentially (but not exclusively) by core guanylates adjacent to the bulges. These extra ranges fit well into the generally observed backbone torsion angles distributions for nucleic acids (71) and do not pose a conformational barrier.

As suggested earlier (2), the range of sequences that can form G4s is much greater than the traditional $G_{3+}N_{L1}G_{3+}N_{L2}G_{3+}N_{L3}G_{3+}$ consensus sequence (where G_3 represents a triplet of guanylates and N_L a sequence of arbitrary length connecting the G triplets by forming a loop). It is possible to introduce interruptions into the G-tracts with the length of one or two and probably more nucleotides. These bulges together with the loops could be functionalized to introduce chemical or fluorescence probes, artificial or modified nucleotides etc. for any conceivable application. Projecting outwards from the G4 core, bulges alter the surface of the DNA, enabling specific recognition by proteins or specific targeting by small molecule drugs.

DATA AVAILABILITY

X-ray crystal structure coordinates and structure factors have been deposited to the Protein Data Bank (3) under PDBID 5UA3. The SEC-SAXS data and structures have been deposited in the Small Angle Scattering Biological Data Bank (SASBDB) (37) under accession number SAS-DCC8. Deposited data will be made publicly available upon publication. To obtain the raw data for any other experiments presented in this publication, kindly contact the authors. Our R (55) script that automatically creates backbone angle plots from the output of the *DSSR* program can be downloaded from the *3DNA* forum at http://x3dna.org.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Diamond Light Source for time on Beamline B21 under Proposal SM16028. We also thank the staff of beamline B21 for their assistance with SAXS data collection and helpful advice. Authors contributions: M.M: X-ray data processing, X-ray structure determination. G4 structure analysis and comparison, SV experiments and data analysis, SAXS bead modelling, DLS experiments and data analysis, SEC-MALS data analysis, CD experiments and data analysis, programming, manuscript writing, figure preparation; A.M.T: protein expression and purification, G4 preparation and purification, crystallization, CD and DLS experiments; N.J.K.: protein expression and purification, G4 preparation and purification, crystallisation, CD and DLS experiments, SAXS data processing; M.D.McD: X-ray data collection and processing; G.L.O.: SEC-MALS experiment and data analysis, E.K.S.McR: G4 structure analysis and comparison, manuscript writing; E.P.B: consulting regarding to G4 preparation and detection, chromatography, protein expression and cloning; K.McE: SAXS data collection; T.R.P: SAXS data processing, analysis and bead modelling; S.A.McK: co-principal investigator; J.S: principal investigator. All authors reviewed and contributed to the manuscript.

FUNDING

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada [Discovery Grant 342077-2012 to J.S., Research Tool and Infrastructure Support 345517-07 to J.S.]; Canadian Cancer Research Society [20085 to S.A.McK.]; Canadian Cancer Society Research Institute [703809 to S.A.McK.]. J.S. is a Tier-1 Canada Research Chair in Structural Biology and Biophysics and T.R.P. is a Canada Research Chair in RNA & Protein Biophysics. Funding for open access charge: Government of Canada.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Burge, S., Parkinson, G.N., Hazel, P., Todd, A.K. and Neidle, S. (2006) Quadruplex DNA: sequence, topology and structure. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 34, 5402–5415.
- Mukundan, V.T. and Phan, A.T. (2013) Bulges in G-quadruplexes: broadening the definition of G-quadruplex-forming sequences. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 135, 5017–5028.
- Berman,H.M., Westbrook,J., Feng,Z., Gilliland,G., Bhat,T.N., Weissig,H., Shindyalov,I.N. and Bourne,P.E. (2000) The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 28, 235–242.
- Dapic, V., Abdomerovic, V., Marrington, R., Peberdy, J., Rodger, A., Trent, J.O. and Bates, P.J. (2003) Biophysical and biological properties of quadruplex oligodeoxyribonucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 31, 2097–2107.
- Neidle,S. (2009) The structures of quadruplex nucleic acids and their drug complexes. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 19, 239–250.
- Chen,Y. and Yang,D. (2012) Sequence, stability, and structure of G-quadruplexes and their interactions with drugs. *Curr. Protoc. Nucleic Acid Chem.*, doi:10.1002/0471142700.nc1705s50.
- 7. Okholm, A.H. and Kjems, J. (2016) DNA nanovehicles and the biological barriers. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, **106**, 183–191.
- Walter, N.G. (2010) Single Molecule Tools (Part A). Elsevier, London, Vol. 472, pp. 1–559.
- Walter, N.G. (2010) Single Molecule Tools (Part B). Elsevier, London, Vol. 475, pp. 1–745.
- Erdmann, V.A., Jurga, S. and Barciszewski, J. (2015) RNA and DNA Diagnostics. Springer, Vol. 6, pp. 1–353.
- Rhodes, D. and Lipps, H.J. (2015) G-quadruplexes and their regulatory roles in biology. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, 8627–8637.
- Dolinnaya,N.G., Ogloblina,A.M. and Yakubovskaya,M.G. (2016) Structure, properties, and biological relevance of the DNA and RNA

G-Quadruplexes: overview 50 years after their discovery. Biochemistry (Mosc.), 81, 1602–1649.

- Fay, M.M., Lyons, S.M. and Ivanov, P. (2017) RNA G-Quadruplexes in Biology: Principles and molecular mechanisms. J. Mol. Biol., 429, 2127–2147.
- Gros, J., Guedin, A., Mergny, J.L. and Lacroix, L. (2008) G-Quadruplex formation interferes with P1 helix formation in the RNA component of telomerase hTERC. *Chembiochem*, 9, 2075–2079.
- Sexton,A.N. and Collins,K. (2011) The 5'-guanosine tracts of human telomerase RNA are recognized by the G-quadruplex binding domain of the RNA helicase DHX36 and function to increase RNA accumulation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 31, 736–743.
- Lattmann,S., Stadler,M.B., Vaughn,J.P., Akman,S.A. and Nagamine,Y. (2011) The DEAH-box RNA helicase RHAU binds an intramolecular RNA G-quadruplex in TERC and associates with telomerase holoenzyme. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **39**, 9390–9404.
- Booy,E.P., Meier,M., Okun,N., Novakowski,S.K., Xiong,S., Stetefeld,J. and McKenna,S.A. (2012) The RNA helicase RHAU (DHX36) unwinds a G4-quadruplex in human telomerase RNA and promotes the formation of the P1 helix template boundary. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 40, 4110–4124.
- Meier, M., Patel, T.R., Booy, E.P., Marushchak, O., Okun, N., Deo, S., Howard, R., McEleney, K., Harding, S.E., Stetefeld, J. *et al.* (2013) Binding of G-quadruplexes to the N-terminal recognition domain of the RNA helicase associated with AU-rich element (RHAU). J. Biol. Chem., 288, 35014–35027.
- Stetefeld, J., McKenna, S.A. and Patel, T.R. (2016) Dynamic light scattering: a practical guide and applications in biomedical sciences. *Biophys. Rev.*, 8, 409–427.
- Brown,P.H. and Schuck,P. (2006) Macromolecular size-and-shape distributions by sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation. *Biophys. J.*, 90, 4651–4661.
- Schuck, P. (1998) Sedimentation analysis of noninteracting and self-associating solutes using numerical solutions to the Lamm equation. *Biophys. J.*, **75**, 1503–1512.
- Schuck, P. (2003) On the analysis of protein self-association by sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation. *Anal. Biochem.*, 320, 104–124.
- Hellman,L.M., Rodgers,D.W. and Fried,M.G. (2010) Phenomenological partial-specific volumes for G-quadruplex DNAs. *Eur. Biophys. J.*, 39, 389–396.
- Lebowitz, J., Lewis, M.S. and Schuck, P. (2002) Modern analytical ultracentrifugation in protein science: a tutorial review. *Protein Sci.*, 11, 2067–2079.
- Brautigam, C.A. (2015) Calculations and Publication-Quality Illustrations for Analytical Ultracentrifugation Data. *Methods Enzymol.*, 562, 109–133.
- Laue, T.M., Shah, B.D., Ridgeway, T. and Pelletier, S.L. (1992) In: Harding, SE, Rowe, AJ and Horton, JC (eds). *Analytical Ultrancentrifugation in Biochemistry and Polymer Science*. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, pp. 90–125.
- Tucker, H., Wright, A., Deubler, G., Bashir, B., Hayes, D.B., Laue, T.M. and Philo, J. (2013) Sedimentation Interpretation Program. *ver.* 20130813 BETA. University of New Hampshire, New Hampshire.
- Patel, T.R., Morris, G.A., Zwolanek, D., Keene, D.R., Li, J., Harding, S.E., Koch, M. and Stetefeld, J. (2010) Nano-structure of the laminin γ-1 short arm reveals an extended and curved multidomain assembly. *Matrix Biol*, **29**, 565–572.
- Konarev, P.V., Volkov, V.V., Sokolova, A.V., Koch, M.H.J. and Svergun, D.I. (2003) PRIMUS: a Windows PC-based system for small-angle scattering data analysis. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 36, 1277–1282.
- Rambo, R. and Diamond Light Source (2017). ScÅtter, a JAVA-based application for basic analysis of SAXS datasets (ver. 3.1R). , Didcot.
- Konarev, P.V., Petoukhov, M.V., Volkov, V.V. and Svergun, D.I. (2006) ATSAS 2.1, a program package for small-angle scattering data analysis. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 39, 277–286.
- Franke, D. and Svergun, D.I. (2009) DAMMIF, a program for rapid ab-initio shape determination in small-angle scattering. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 42, 342–346.
- Svergun, D.I. (1992) Determination of the regularization parameter in Indirect-Transform methods using perceptual criteria. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 25, 495–503.

- Kozin, M.B. and Svergun, D.I. (2001) Automated matching of highand low-resolution structural models. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 34, 33–41.
- Volkov, V.V. and Svergun, D.I. (2003) Uniqueness of ab initio shape determination in small-angle scattering. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 36, 860–864.
- Svergun, D.I. (1999) Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from solution scattering using simulated annealing (vol 76, pg 2879, 1999). *Biophys. J.*, 77, 2896–2896.
- Valentini, E., Kikhney, A.G., Previtali, G., Jeffries, C.M. and Svergun, D.I. (2015) SASBDB, a repository for biological small-angle scattering data. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, D357–D363.
- Fischer, H., Neto, M.D., Napolitano, H.B., Polikarpov, I. and Craievich, A.F. (2010) Determination of the molecular weight of proteins in solution from a single small-angle X-ray scattering measurement on a relative scale. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 43, 101–109.
- 39. Garcia De La Torre, J., Huertas, M.L. and Carrasco, B. (2000) Calculation of hydrodynamic properties of globular proteins from their atomic-level structure. *Biophys. J.*, **78**, 719–730.
- Garcia de la Torre, J., Harding, S.E. and Carrasco, B. (1999) Calculation of NMR relaxation, covolume, and scattering-related properties of bead models using the SOLPRO computer program. *Eur. Biophys. J.*, 28, 119–132.
- Garcia de la Torre, J. (2001) Hydration from hydrodynamics. General considerations and applications of bead modelling to globular proteins. *Biophys. Chem.*, 93, 159–170.
- Le,H.T., Buscaglia,R., Dean,W.L., Chaires,J.B. and Trent,J.O. (2013) Calculation of hydrodynamic properties for G-quadruplex nucleic acid structures from in silico bead models. *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 330, 179–210.
- The UniProt Consortium. (2017) UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res., 45, D158–D169.
- Otwinowski, Z. and Minor, W. (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol.*, 276, 307–326.
- 45. Evans, P. (2006) Scaling and assessment of data quality. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, **62**, 72–82.
- Evans, P.R. (2011) An introduction to data reduction: space-group determination, scaling and intensity statistics. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 67, 282–292.
- McCoy,A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve,R.W., Adams,P.D., Winn,M.D., Storoni,L.C. and Read,R.J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 40, 658–674.
- Ambrus, A., Chen, D., Dai, J., Jones, R.A. and Yang, D. (2005) Solution structure of the biologically relevant G-quadruplex element in the human c-MYC promoter. Implications for G-quadruplex stabilization. *Biochemistry*, 44, 2048–2058.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G. and Cowtan, K. (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 66, 486–501.
- Murshudov,G.N., Skubak,P., Lebedev,A.A., Pannu,N.S., Steiner,R.A., Nicholls,R.A., Winn,M.D., Long,F. and Vagin,A.A. (2011) REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 67, 355–367.
- 51. Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Sauter, N.K., Moriarty, N.W. and Adams, P.D. (2002) The Computational Crystallography Toolbox: crystallographic algorithms in a reusable software framework. *J. Appl. Crystallogr*, **35**, 126–136.
- Winn,M.D., Ballard,C.C., Cowtan,K.D., Dodson,E.J., Emsley,P., Evans,P.R., Keegan,R.M., Krissinel,E.B., Leslie,A.G., McCoy,A. *et al.* (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 67, 235–242.
- Lu,X.J., Bussemaker,H.J. and Olson,W.K. (2015) DSSR: an integrated software tool for dissecting the spatial structure of RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, e142.
- 54. Lu,X.J. and Olson,W.K. (2003) 3DNA: a software package for the analysis, rebuilding and visualization of three-dimensional nucleic acid structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **31**, 5108–5121.
- 55. Core Team, R (2016) A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.*
- Randazzo,A., Spada,G.P. and da Silva,M.W. (2013) Circular dichroism of quadruplex structures. *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 330, 67–86.
- Phillips, K., Dauter, Z., Murchie, A.I., Lilley, D.M. and Luisi, B. (1997) The crystal structure of a parallel-stranded guanine tetraplex at 0.95 A resolution. J. Mol. Biol., 273, 171–182.

- Parkinson,G.N., Cuenca,F. and Neidle,S. (2008) Topology conservation and loop flexibility in quadruplex-drug recognition: crystal structures of inter- and intramolecular telomeric DNA quadruplex-drug complexes. J. Mol. Biol., 381, 1145–1156.
- 59. Do, N.Q., Lim, K.W., Teo, M.H., Heddi, B. and Phan, A.T. (2011) Stacking of G-quadruplexes: NMR structure of a G-rich oligonucleotide with potential anti-HIV and anticancer activity. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 39, 9448–9457.
- Campbell,N.H., Karim,N.H., Parkinson,G.N., Gunaratnam,M., Petrucci,V., Todd,A.K., Vilar,R. and Neidle,S. (2012) Molecular basis of structure-activity relationships between salphen metal complexes and human telomeric DNA quadruplexes. J. Med. Chem., 55, 209–222.
- Zhang, D., Huang, T., Lukeman, P.S. and Paukstelis, P.J. (2014) Crystal structure of a DNA/Ba²⁺ G-quadruplex containing a water-mediated C-tetrad. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 42, 13422–13429.
- 62. Trajkovski, M., da Silva, M.W. and Plavec, J. (2012) Unique structural features of interconverting monomeric and dimeric G-quadruplexes adopted by a sequence from the intron of the N-myc gene. J. Am. Chem. Soc., **134**, 4132–4141.
- Laughlan,G., Murchie,A.I., Norman,D.G., Moore,M.H., Moody,P.C., Lilley,D.M. and Luisi,B. (1994) The high-resolution crystal structure of a parallel-stranded guanine tetraplex. *Science*, 265, 520–524.
- Martino,L., Virno,A., Pagano,B., Virgilio,A., Di Micco,S., Galeone,A., Giancola,C., Bifulco,G., Mayol,L. and Randazzo,A. (2007) Structural and thermodynamic studies of the interaction of

distamycin A with the parallel quadruplex structure [d(TGGGGT)]₄. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **129**, 16048–16056.

- 65. Cosconati,S., Marinelli,L., Trotta,R., Virno,A., De Tito,S., Romagnoli,R., Pagano,B., Limongelli,V., Giancola,C., Baraldi,P.G. *et al.* (2010) Structural and conformational requisites in DNA quadruplex groove binding: another piece to the puzzle. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **132**, 6425–6433.
- 66. Martadinata,H. and Phan,A.T. (2014) Formation of a stacked dimeric G-quadruplex containing bulges by the 5'-terminal region of human telomerase RNA (hTERC). *Biochemistry*, 53, 1595–1600.
- Pan,B., Xiong,Y., Shi,K. and Sundaralingam,M. (2003) Crystal structure of a bulged RNA tetraplex at 1.1 Å resolution: implications for a novel binding site in RNA tetraplex. *Structure*, 11, 1423–1430.
- Pan,B., Shi,K. and Sundaralingam,M. (2006) Base-tetrad swapping results in dimerization of RNA quadruplexes: implications for formation of the *i*-motif RNA octaplex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 103, 3130–3134.
- De Nicola,B., Lech,C.J., Heddi,B., Regmi,S., Frasson,I., Perrone,R., Richter,S.N. and Phan,A.T. (2016) Structure and possible function of a G-quadruplex in the long terminal repeat of the proviral HIV-1 genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 44, 6442–6451.
- Do, N.Q., Chung, W.J., Truong, T.H.A., Heddi, B., Phan, A.T. and The Protein Data Bank (2016) G-quadruplex structure of an anti-proliferative DNA sequence. doi:10.2210/pdb2N3M/pdb.
- Schneider, B., Moravek, Z. and Berman, H.M. (2004) RNA conformational classes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 32, 1666–1677.