

Modulation of the Naked-Eye and Fluorescence Color of a Protonated Boron-Doped Thiazolothiazole by Anion-Dependent Hydrogen Bonding

Stephan Hagspiel,^[a, b] Felipe Fantuzzi,^[a, b, c, d] Merle Arrowsmith,^[a, b] Annalena Gärtner,^[a, b] Maximilian Fest,^[a, b] Jonas Weiser,^[a, b] Bernd Engels,^[c] Holger Helten,^[a, b] and Holger Braunschweig^{*[a, b]}

Abstract: The reaction of a cyclic alkyl(amino)carbene (CAAC)stabilized thiazaborolo[5,4-*d*]thiazaborole (TzbTzb) with strong Brønsted acids, such as HCl, HOTf (Tf=O₂SCF₃) and [H(OEt₂)₂][BAr^F₄] (Ar^F=3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃), results in the protonation of both TzbTzb nitrogen atoms. In each case X-ray crystallographic data show coordination of the counteranions (Cl⁻, OTf⁻, BAr^F₄⁻) or solvent molecules (OEt₂) to the doubly protonated fused heterocycle via hydrogen-bonding interactions, the strength of which strongly influences the ¹H NMR

Introduction

Small molecules capable of displaying a range of visible or fluorescence colors in response to external analytes are particularly sought-after as sensors for applications in water pollution monitoring and biosensing.^[1,2] Such colorimetric probes work either by an on-off fluorescence switch or a chromatic shift of their absorption or emission wavelength as they either bind to or undergo a chemical reaction with the

[a]	Dr. S. Hagspiel, Dr. F. Fantuzzi, Dr. M. Arrowsmith, A. Gärtner, M. Fest,
	J. Weiser, Prof. Dr. H. Helten, Prof. Dr. H. Braunschweig
	Institute for Inorganic Chemistry
	Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg
	Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany)
	E-mail: h.braunschweig@uni-wuerzburg.de

- [b] Dr. S. Hagspiel, Dr. F. Fantuzzi, Dr. M. Arrowsmith, A. Gärtner, M. Fest, J. Weiser, Prof. Dr. H. Helten, Prof. Dr. H. Braunschweig Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany)
- [c] Dr. F. Fantuzzi, Prof. Dr. B. Engels Institute for Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg Emil-Fischer-Str. 42, 97074 Würzburg (Germany)
- [d] Dr. F. Fantuzzi Current address: School of Physical Sciences Ingram Building, University of Kent Park Wood Rd, CT2 7NH Canterbury (UK)
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202201398
- © 2022 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

shift of the NH protons, enabling tuning of both the visible (yellow to red) and fluorescence (green to red) colors of these salts. DFT calculations reveal that the hydrogen bonding of the counteranion or solvent to the protonated nitrogen centers affects the intramolecular TzbTzb-to-CAAC charge transfer character involved in the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition, ultimately enabling fine-tuning of their absorption and emission spectral features.

contaminant or biological target of interest. As anions are ubiquitous in biological systems and aqueous environments, the development of new anion sensors remains an area of high research interest, in which heterocyclic chromophores play an important part.^[3-7]

The highly electron-deficient thiazolo[5,4-d]thiazole (TzTz) building block, which is comprised of two 1,3-thiazole units fused at the C--C bond, has generated increasing interest in small-molecule and materials chemistry for its highly tunable optoelectronic properties.^[8-10] Their light-harvesting properties make TzTz-based materials excellent candidates for solar cell applications^[11-13] and photocatalysis.^[14-16] Furthermore, TzTz push-pull compounds and viologens display strong solvatochromism and electrochromism covering a large fluorescence spectral range. $^{\left[17-19\right] }$ In the area of chemosensing, TzTz-based fluorophores have been used to detect various metal cations, including Hg^{2+,[20]} Cu^{2+,[18,21]} Cr^{3+} and Al^{3+,[22]} Cr_2O_7^{\,2-} and MnO_4^{-} anions,^[23] as well as glucose.^[24] The mode of detection in these sensing applications varies, ranging from fluorescence quenching through coordination,^[20] non-coordinative electron transfer^[23] or radical cation formation,^[18] to fluorescence enhancement.^[22]

The Lewis-basic nature of the thiazole nitrogen atom can also be used to great effect, as its protonation significantly shifts both the absorption and emission wavelengths of TzTzbased compounds.^[25,26] This has been applied, in particular, to TzTz compounds substituted with 2-hydroxyaryl groups, which undergo excited-state intramolecular proton transfers through tautomerization between the thiazolium-keto and the thiazoleenol forms.^[27,28] Furthermore, the TzTz push-pull compound I depicted in Figure 1a has been shown to undergo strong changes in its fluorescence color upon protonation, both in



Figure 1. Anion-dependent tuning of the optical properties of protonated TzTz and TzbTzb heterocycles. TFA = trifluoroacetic acid (HO₂CCF₃); Dip = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃.

solution and in the solid state, dependent on the nature of the conjugate base anion. $^{\left[29\right] }$

Recent work has demonstrated that the incorporation of boron centers into conjugated scaffolds is an efficient strategy for opening up new chemical space and modifying the optoelectronic properties of the resulting compounds and materials.^[30-32] The electrophilic nature of boron makes boron-containing chromophores particularly apt at sensing anions, with the majority of organoboron-based sensors being based on triarylboranes.^[33-40]

We have recently reported the first boron-doped TzTz analogue, the thiazaborolo[5,4-d]thiazaboroles (TzbTzb) 1 and 1' (Figure 1b), in which the 2- and 2'-positions of the TzTz core have been replaced by boron atoms.^[41] Stabilized by two π accepting cyclic alkyl(amino)carbene (CAAC) ligands^[42] at the boron centers, these compounds display moderate aromaticity and strong absorptions around 675 nm, giving them a deep blue colour. The replacement of the covalent exocyclic C-C bonds with $C_{CAAC} \rightarrow B$ donor bonds makes these compounds both isosteric and isoelectronic to their TzTz counterparts. We show herein that doubly protonated TzbTzb dications display a pronounced dependence of their colorimetric and fluorescence properties upon the nature of the hydrogen-bonded counteranions, covering a larger spectral range than their TzTz analogues. DFT-based computations on these systems reveal that the hydrogen bonding of the counteranions to the



Scheme 1. Coordination of $Cu(C_6F_5)$ to 1'. Crystallographically-derived molecular structure of **2**. Atomic displacement ellipsoids at 50% probability level. Ellipsoids on the CAAC ligand periphery and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. See Table 1 for selected bond lengths and angles.

protonated nitrogen centers of 1' affects intramolecular TzbTzb-to-CAAC charge transfer (ICT) character involved in the S₀ \rightarrow S₁ π - π * transition, enabling a fine-tuning of their absorption and emission spectral features.

Results and Discussion

Treatment of 1' with 0.55 equivalents of $[Cu(C_6F_5)]_4$ resulted in an immediate color change from blue to purple and quantitative formation of **2** (Scheme 1). While the ¹¹B NMR spectrum remained virtually unchanged (**2**: δ_{11B} =33.0 ppm, **1**': δ_{11B} = 32.5 ppm), the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum showed three higher-order multiplets at -111.16, -162.49 and -164.39, upfield-shifted compared to $[Cu(C_6F_5)]_4$ (δ_{19F} =-107.2, -153.4, -162.3 ppm),^[43] indicating coordination to **1**'. Slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution afforded deep blue crystals of **2** in 92% isolated yield.

An X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that coordination of $Cu(C_6F_5)$ takes place at both endocyclic nitrogen atoms N2/N2' (Scheme 1, Table 1). Compared to those of 1', the C1–B1 and

	1′	2	3-Cl	3-OTf	3-OTf · HOTf	3-BAr ^F ₄ · Et ₂ O	3-BAr ^F 4
N1-C1	1.325(2)	1.316(2)	1.305(3)	1.301(3)	1.299(2)	1.296(3)	1.300(2)
C1-B1	1.543(2)	1.577(3)	1.581(3)	1.578(3)	1.576(3)	1.579(4)	1.569(2)
B1–N2	1.419(2)	1.422(3)	1.415(3)	1.417(4)	1.410(3)	1.407(4)	1.417(2)
N2-C2	1.332(2)	1.377(2)	1.377(2)	1.386(3)	1.381(3)	1.380(3)	1.376(2)
C2–C2′	1.421(3)	1.377(4)	1.366(4)	1.347(5)	1.353(4)	1.358(5)	1.358(3)
C2'-S1	1.7481(16)	1.7371(19)	1.7324(18)	1.736(3)	1.735(2)	1.726(3)	1.7298(16)
B1–S1	1.8598(18)	1.851(2)	1.838(2)	1.817(3)	1.821(3)	1.809(3)	1.8251(19)
N2–H2 ^[a]	-	-	0.88(2)	0.94(4)	0.82(2)	0.92(3)	0.86(2)
H2…Y	-	-	2.28(2) ^[b]	2.03(3) ^[c]	2.16(2) ^[c]	2.05(3) ^[c]	2.33(3) ^[d]
N1-C1-B1-N2	170.13(16)	163.33(18)	170.98(17)	147.6(3)	137.7(2)	163.3(3)	166.16(16)

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202201398 (2 of 8)

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



N2–C2 bonds (1.577(3) and 1.377(2) Å, respectively) are longer by 2–3%, whereas the N1–C1 and C2–C2′ bonds (1.316(2) and 1.377(4) Å, respectively) are shorter by 1–3%, indicating a lower degree of π delocalization in **2**. The UV-vis absorption spectrum of **2** in CH₂Cl₂ shows two maxima of equal intensity at 573 and 621 nm (Table 2, see Figure S47 in the Supporting Information), accounting for the deep purple color, blueshifted compared to **1**′ (680 nm).

Given the nucleophilic nature of the endocyclic nitrogen atoms N2/N2' in 1', we envisaged that their protonation could lead to interesting changes in the optoelectronic properties of the B,N,S-containing heterocycle. Indeed, treatment of 1' with 2.0 equivalents of anhydrous HCI (0.1 M in toluene) resulted in an instant color change from blue to red. After workup, **3-CI** (δ_{11B} =32.8 ppm) was isolated as a red solid in 86% yield (Scheme 2a). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3-CI** in CD₂Cl₂ showed one symmetrical set of CAAC resonances as well as a broad, highly deshielded 2H singlet at 13.00 ppm, confirming the protonation of N2/N2' and indicating a very electron-poor TzbTzb heterocycle (Figure 2). Furthermore, an X-ray crystallographic analysis of single crystals of **3-CI** (see Scheme 2 and Table 1) shows pronounced hydrogen bonding between Cl⁻ and the nitrogen-bound protons (H2--Cl1 2.28(2) Å).

To our surprise, the product of twofold protonation of 1' with 2.0 equivalents of HOTf, **3-OTf**, was orange rather than red. Like that of **3-CI**, the solid-state structure of **3-OTf** (see Figure S52 in the Supporting Information) shows hydrogen bonding between one oxygen atom of OTf⁻ and the nitrogenbound protons (H2…O1 2.03(3) Å). It is worth mentioning that, while the addition of a large excess of HOTf to 1' resulted in protonation of the CAAC ligands and decomposition of the TzbTzb heterocycle, the use of 5 equiv. HOTf led to the formation of a HOTf adduct of **3-OTf**. **3-OTf**. HOTf, in which the additional HOTf molecules interact with the OTf⁻ anions via O–H…O hydrogen bonding (see Figure S53 in the Supporting Information). The equimolar reaction of 1' and **3-OTf**. HOTf

Table 2. Photophysical data for 1', 2 and 3-X in CH_2CI_2 and TD-DFT results at the ω B97X-D/def2-SVP level of theory (vert. = vertical, adiab. = adiabatic).						
Compound	$\lambda_{\text{max-abs}} \left[\textbf{nm} \right]$	$\lambda_{max-calcd}$ [nm] [error (eV)]	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ Attribution	f _{osc}	$\lambda_{\text{max-em}} \text{ [nm]}^{\text{[b]}} \text{ [calcd.]}$	$\Phi_{\text{fluor}}{}^{[\text{c}]}$
1′	680	579 [0.3] (vert.) 607 [0.2] (adiab.)	HOMO→LUMO (98.4%)	0.9740	_	-
2	573, 621 ^[a]	503 [0.3] (vert.)	HOMO→LUMO (96.9%)	0.6651	-	-
3-Cl	493, 470 ^[a]	453 [0.2] (vert.)	HOMO-4→LUMO (74.8%)	0.6810	580 [527]	n.d. ^[d]
		490 [0.02] (adiab.)	HOMO-2→LUMO (20.0%)			
			HOMO→LUMO (2.1%)			
3-OTf	474	-	-	-	566	0.23
3-OTf · HOTf	471	390 [0.5] (vert.)	HOMO→LUMO (93.5%)	0.5227	562	0.27
3-BAr ^F ₄ · Et₂O	450, 473 ^[a]	383 [0.5] (vert.) ^[e]	HOMO-10→LUMO (95.4%) ^[f]	0.5812	539	0.33
3	-	373 (vert.) 408 (adiab.)	HOMO→LUMO (92.0%)	0.5155	[446]	-

[a] Second maximum of similar intensity. [b] Excited at the wavelength of the respective absorption maximum. [c] Fluorescence quantum yield determined absolutely with an integrating sphere. [d] The compound was not sufficiently stable in solution to determine its quantum yield. [e] Due to soft- and hardware limitations, the system is not fully optimized (see Supporting Information for details). [f] HOMO-10 is similar to the occupied orbitals shown in Figure 3 (see Figure S57).



Scheme 2. Double and single protonation of 1' with selected Brønsted acids. Isolated yields in parentheses. b) AgOTf (2.0 equiv.), CD₂Cl₂, rt, 1 min; c) 1' (1.0 equiv.), CD₂Cl₂, rt, 1 min; f) AgOTf (1.0 equiv.), CD₂Cl₂, rt, 1 min; f) AgOTf

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202201398 (3 of 8)

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH





Figure 2. Stack-plot of ¹H NMR spectra of **3-CI**, **3-OTf**, **3-Otf +HOTf** and **3-BAr^F**₄ **•Et**₂**O** in CD₂Cl₂ showing the gradual upfield shift of the framed NH resonance.

resulted in the selective formation of **3-OTf** (Scheme 1c), which can alternatively be obtained by salt metathesis of **3-CI** with AgOTf (Scheme 1b). While the ¹¹B NMR shift of **3-OTf** and **3-OTf** •HOTf (δ_{11B} =33.1 and 33.5 ppm, respectively) barely differs from that of **3-CI**, the ¹H NMR resonance of the N*H* protons at 10.50 and 9.20 ppm, respectively, is upfield-shifted by 2.5 and 3.8 ppm, respectively, compared to **3-CI**, indicating a gradual increase in the electron density of the TzbTzb heterocycle (Figure 2).

Finally, the twofold protonation of 1' with Brookhart's acid, $[H(OEt_2)_2][BAr_4^F]$ (Ar = 3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3) resulted in the formation of yellow-colored **3-BAr**^F₄·**Et**₂**O** (δ_{11B} =33.7 ppm). The solid-state structure showed that in this case the NH protons are hydrogen-bonded to the two diethyl ether molecules generated by the $H(OEt_2)_2^+$ cation, rather than to the $BAr_4^{F_-}$ anion (see Figure S54 in the Supporting Information). Again, the ¹H NMR NH resonance is shifted another 2.3 ppm upfield from 3-OTf · HOTf, as expected from the weaker N-H-O interaction (Figure 2). Removal of Et₂O in vacuo afforded ether-free, yellowcolored 3-BAr^F₄, which displays N-H-F hydrogen bonding to a CF_3 group of the $\mathsf{BAr}^{\mathsf{F}_4^-}$ anion in the solid state (see Figure S55 in the Supporting Information). The ¹H NMR NH resonance at 6.84 ppm is similar to that of $3-BAr_4 \cdot Et_2O$, suggesting that the $N\!\!-\!\!H\!\cdots\!OEt_2$ and $N\!\!-\!\!H\!\cdots\!F_3C$ hydrogen bonds are of similar strength. These results show that the electron density and color of the diprotonated TzbTzb heterocycle can be easily tuned by varying the nature of the hydrogen-bonded anion or donor solvent (see photographs of solutions of 3-X in Figure 3a).

The solid-state IR spectra of **3-X** showed a broad N–H stretching band in the 3000–3400 cm⁻¹, which is shifted to higher frequencies in the order of X=Cl < OTf < OTf · HOTf < BAr^F₄·Et₂O, indicating a gradual strengthening of the N–H bond, concomitant with a weakening of the H…X hydrogen bond. This correlates well with the trend observed in the ¹H NMR shifts of the N*H* protons.

The crystallographically-derived solid-state structures of **3-X** were all centrosymmetric and display hydrogen bonding between the diprotonated core and either the two counter-

anions or the solvent (see Scheme 2 and Figures S52-S55 in the Supporting Information). The bond lengths in the π -delocalized (N1-C1-B1-N2-C2)₂ scaffold change significantly upon protonation of N2/N2' (see Table 1). The N1-C1 (avg. 1.30 Å) and C1–B1 (avg. 1.58 Å) are indicative of a purely σ -donating interaction between the CAAC ligands and the TzbTzb unit. While the B1-N2 bond length (avg. 1.41 Å) remains essentially unchanged, the N2–C2 bond (avg. 1.38 Å) is slightly lengthened and the B1–S1 (avg. 1.82 Å) and C2–C2' bonds (avg. 1.34 Å) are shortened, the latter now being a double bond. This results in a disruption of the π delocalization, similar to that observed in the copper complex 2. Similar changes in the endocyclic bond lengths were observed upon protonation of the related TzTz compound I (Figure 1a) with trifluoroacetic acid.^[29] Whereas the CAAC ligands in 1' are oriented so as to maximize the π overlap between the N1-C1 bonds and the TzbTzb heterocycle, as shown by the N1-C1-B1-N2 torsion angle (170.13(16)°) tending towards 180°, the degree of rotation of the CAAC ligands away from coplanarity in 3-X increases, as seen in the N1–C1–B1–N2 torsion angle decreasing from 170.98(17)° to 137.7(2)°, in the order $X = CI > BAr_4^F > BAr_4^F \cdot Et_2O > OTf >$ OTf · HOTf. The pronounced rotation of the CAAC ligands in the triflate derivatives is in agreement with the absence of B1-to-C1 π backbonding. The lack of steric or electronic trend in the variation of the N1-C1-B1-N2 torsion angle, however, suggests that this may simply be a crystal packing effect in the solid state rather than an electronic effect.

The UV-vis spectra of 3-X recorded in CH₂Cl₂ each display one structured absorption band with a maximum in the λ_{max} = 450–493 nm range, gradually blueshifted in the order X = CI > $OTf \approx OTf \cdot HOTf > BAr_4 \cdot Et_2O$ (Figure 3c, Table 2). The absorption spectra of 3-Cl and 3-BAr^F₄·Et₂O display a second maximum, λ_{2} , of slightly lower intensity, blueshifted by 20 nm for 3-Cl and redshifted by 20 nm for 3-BAr^F₄·Et₂O. The ether-free species 3-BAr^F₄ was not sufficiently stable in solution to acquire photospectroscopic data. Remarkably, under UV irradiation, solutions of 3-X in CH₂Cl₂ were brightly fluorescent, with emission colors ranging from red for 3-CI to green for 3-BAr^F₄·Et₂O, via yellow for 3-OTf and 3-OTf·HOTf (see photographs of irradiated solutions of 3-X in Figure 3a). The fluorescence spectra of 3-X showed an unstructured emission band, gradually blueshifted in the same sequence as the absorption spectra, from 580 for 3-CI to 539 nm for 3-BAr^F₄·Et₂O (Table 2). This hypsochromic shift reflects the gradual decrease in the electron-accepting strength of the diprotonated TzbTzb core, in line with the upfield shift of the ¹H NMR resonances of the NH protons (Figure 2). A similar conjugate base-dependence has been observed with the doubly protonated form of the TzTz compound I (Figure 1a), the absorption and emission maxima of which are bathochromically shifted by 27 nm upon switching from HCl $(\lambda_{max}\!=\!492$ (absorption), 600 (emission) nm) to trifluoroacetic acid (λ_{max} = 517 (absorption), 627 (emission) nm) as the proton source.^[29] The isosteric and isoelectronic replacement of the exocyclic C-C bonds of I with the $C_{CAAC} \rightarrow B$ donor bonds of 1 thus alters both the direction of the emission shift and its spectral range. In the TzTz case, the authors speculated that the emission shift reflects an increase in the electron-accepting nature of the TzTz core as Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202201398





Figure 3. Photographs of solutions of (from left to right) **3-BAr^F₄**•**Et**₂**0**, **3-OTf** •**HOTf**, **3-OTf** and **3-Cl** under **a**) ambient light (left) and **b**) UV irradiation at 254 and 366 nm simultaneously (right). **c**) UV-vis absorption (solid lines) and fluorescence (dashed lines) spectra of **3-X** with X = Cl (red), OTf (dark orange), OTf ·HOTf (light orange), and BAr^F₄•Et₂O (yellow) in CH₂Cl₂. **d**) Computed S₀→S₁ vertical/adiabatic transitions of the naked doubly protonated dication **3** and the neutral compounds **3-X** (X=Cl, OTf ·HOTf) and **1'**. **e**) Relevant MOs (isosurface: 0.03 a. u.) associated with the S₀→S₁ transitions of the aforementioned systems. The corresponding molecular orbital (MO) energy gaps and the S₀→S₁ vertical energies in eV are shown in the white boxes. All computations are at the ω B97X-D/def2-SVP level. Non-participating hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

 π overlap with the triarylamino groups decreases due to steric constraints imposed by the hydrogen-bonded counteranion.^[29] In our case, since the comparison of the solid-state structures of **3-X** excludes steric effects, the modulation of the naked-eye and fluorescence colors must be entirely dependent on the charge stabilization effect of the hydrogen-bonded counteranions or diethyl ether.

In order to shed some light on the intriguing spectroelectronic behavior of **3-X** we conducted TD-DFT computations on selected systems amongst those described herein. We adopted the ω B97X-D^[44] functional in combination with the def2-SVP^[45] basis set, as charge transfer (CT) states may play a role and are considerably underestimated by DFT functionals that do not include range-separated corrections, such as B3LYP.^[46] The computed vertical energies, ΔE_{vert} , overestimate the measured absorption energies by 0.3 to 0.5 eV (Table 2), and motivated us to investigate photoinduced relaxation effects on the computed adiabatic excitation energies, ΔE_{adiab} , are 0.1 eV (1') to 0.3 eV (3) lower in energy than the corresponding vertical ones, and fit much better with the experimental results. The systems **3-BAr^F₄·Et₂O** and **3-OTf·HOTf** are too large for geometry

optimizations in the S₁ state. However, considering that similar effects as those observed for **3** are also at play, the computed energies would differ by only about 0.2 eV from the experimental counterparts. Based on the better agreement of the adiabatic energies, and also taking into account the small geometric variations between the equilibrium geometries of S₀ and S₁ (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information), we attribute the maximum of the measured bands to 0–0 transitions between the S₀ and the S₁ states.

Inspection of the MOs (isosurface: 0.03 a.u.) involved in the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition (see Figure 3e) shows that in all cases a π - π^* excitation is observed. Interestingly, the intramolecular TzbTzbto-carbene charge transfer (ICT) character depends on the charge state and, in the case of **3-X**, on the strength of the X···H interaction. The HOMO of 1' (Figure 3e) is extended from the TzbTzb core to the carbene C1 and C1' atoms (see Scheme 2 for atom labels). This orbital has π (C1,B1,N2); π (C2,C2') character, while nodal planes crossing the sulfur atoms are observed. In turn, the LUMO presents additional nodal planes between the B1–N2 and C2–C2' nuclei, and features a smaller participation of the TzbTzb ring. The computed MO energy gap of 1' and the S₀→S₁ vertical excitation energy are 4.97 eV and 2.14 eV,



respectively, the smallest values among the systems studied herein. At the other end, the naked, diprotonated TzbTzb molecule 3 has the largest computed values for both properties (6.73 eV and 3.33 eV, respectively). Inspection of the corresponding MOs reveals that, while the LUMO of 3 is fairly similar to that of 1', the HOMO of 3 is mainly localized on the TzbTzb ring. As a consequence, the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation of **3** has a larger ICT character than that of 1'. This is corroborated by additional computations based on the interfragment charge transfer $(\mathsf{IFCT})^{\scriptscriptstyle[47-49]}$ method and the Λ diagnostic. $^{\scriptscriptstyle[50]}$ The IFCT method estimates a larger net transfer of electrons from the TzbTzb core to the CAAC ligands in 3 (0.49) than in 1' (0.39) (see Figure S59 for the corresponding charge density difference plots). Accordingly, the Λ diagnostic analysis indicates a larger hole-electron overlap degree for the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation of 1' (0.78) than for 3 (0.57).

The larger HOMO-LUMO gap of 3 relative to that of 1' suggests a blueshifted $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition for the conjugate acids of 1' (3-X) with respect to the neutral system. This is in agreement with the experimental findings. The computed $S_0 \rightarrow$ S₁ ICT character, excitation energies, and orbital energy gaps of 3-X are in between those of 1' and 3 and dependent on the effectiveness of the positive net charge stabilization of the diprotonated TzbTzb ring by X. As a consequence, less blueshifted transitions are found for systems featuring strongly coordinating counteranions, where the strong X-H interactions at play lead to a better charge equilibration that ultimately lowers the electron excitation energy. The computed blueshift for more weakly coordinating counteranions in the absorption and emission bands nicely reflects the experimental trends (see Figure 3 and Table 2), and can be fully explained by the present calculations. Finally, the secondary maxima observed for species such as 3-Cl and 3-BAr^F₄·Et₂O (Table 2) could probably be assigned to higher vibrational states of the S₁ electronic state.

In light of the larger HOMO-LUMO gap of **3** with respect to **1**' and the lack of B1-to-C1 π backbonding for both the diprotonated and metal-coordinated species, we became interested in assessing the aromaticity of the "boron-doped" thiazolothiazole derivatives described herein. Table 3 shows the computed nucleus-independent chemical shift (NICS) values,^[51-54] namely NICS(0), NICS(1), and NICS_{zz}(1), of **1**', **2**, **3-CI**, and **3**, while Figure 4 shows the anisotropy of the induced current density (ACID)^[55] plot of **3-CI**. All the computed NICS values are negative, suggesting that the TzbTzb rings are, indeed, aromatic. Likewise, a diatropic clockwise ring current circulation around the TzbTzb core, typical of aromatic systems, is observed in **3-CI** (Figure 4). We conclude that, similarly to **1**',

Table 3. Computed NICS(0), NICS(1), and NICS _{zz} (1) values of 1', 2, 3-Cl and 3 at the $\omega B97X$ -D/def2-SVP level of theory.					
Compound	NICS(0)	NICS(1)	NICS _{zz} (1)		
1′ 2 3-Cl 3	7.7 8.8 10.0 10.4	8.0 7.8 8.3 7.7	14.6 13.1 14.9 14.7		

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202201398 (6 of 8)



Figure 4. ACID plot of 3-Cl at the ω B97X-D/def2-SVP level of theory. Contour value: 0.02.

all other TzbTzb compounds investigated in this work also feature aromaticity.

Given the strong influence of the twofold protonation of 1'on the color of the resulting compounds 3-X, we wondered whether the selective single protonation of $\mathbf{1}'$ would yield compounds with intermediate optoelectronic properties. While equimolar reactions between 1' and HCl, HOTf or [H-(OEt₂)₂][BAr^F₄] did yield the desired monoprotonated compounds 4-X (Scheme 2d), the difficulty of getting the stoichiometry exactly right at such small reaction scales (typically 10-20 mg of 1') to prevent the formation of small amounts of diprotonated 3-X marred the clean isolation of 4-X following this synthetic route. In contrast, the comproportionation of 1' and 3-X in CD₂Cl₂ provided intense blue solutions of analytically pure 4-X (Scheme 2e). Alternatively, 4-OTf could be synthesized by salt metathesis of 4-CI with one equiv. AgOTf (Scheme 2f). Whereas 4-Cl shows a single broad ¹¹B NMR resonance at 32.0 ppm, 4-OTf and 4-BAr^F₄·Et₂O show two overlapping ¹¹B NMR resonances at 32.5 and 31.5 ppm, and 32.2 and 31.2 ppm, respectively, for the two slightly different boron environments of the protonated and neutral Tzb moieties, respectively. While the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **4-X** show two sets of magnetically inequivalent and partly broadened CAAC resonances, suggesting fluxional behavior in solution, this could not be probed further due to the instability of these species in solution. For 4-Cl and 4-OTf the ¹H NMR resonance of the NH proton (integrating for 1H) is shifted ca. 3.5 ppm upfield from the corresponding diprotonated species, 3-Cl and 3-OTf, to 9.42 and 7.13 ppm, respectively (see Figures S35-S37 in Supporting Information), as expected for the more electron-rich monocationic heterocycle. In contrast, the NH resonances of 3- $BAr^{F_4} \cdot Et_2O$ and $4-BAr^{F_4} \cdot Et_2O$ both appear at ca. 6.9 ppm, which suggests that N-H-OEt₂ hydrogen bonding has little influence on the electron density of the heterocycle in solution, effectively leaving the mono- or dication "naked". While 4-X and 3-X proved stable in the solid state under inert atmosphere over several months, the low stability of 4-X in solution over longer periods of time, even at -30 °C, prevented the isolation of single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction experiments. Unlike their diprotonated counterparts 3-X, compounds 4-X



were not fluorescent and their UV-vis spectra showed complex patterns of bands suggesting fluxional behavior.

Conclusion

The protonation of the two Lewis-/Brønsted-basic nitrogen atoms of the doubly CAAC-stabilized TzbTzb heterocycle of 1' to the salts 3-X induces a stark color change from deep blue to yellow, orange or red, the absorption redshift increasing with the electron-accepting strength of the fused heterocycle and the electron-donating ability of the hydrogen-bonded counteranions or solvent molecules X ($BAr_4^F \cdot Et_2O < OTf \cdot HOTf \approx OTf <$ Cl). Furthermore, the doubly protonated TzbTzb salts are fluorescent in solution, their emission color varying from green to yellow to red as the electron-donating strength of X increases, the emission shift and spectral range differing significantly from their diprotonated TzTz counterparts. In contrast, the monoprotonated TzbTzb heterocycles are unstable and display no fluorescence behavior. DFT calculations show that the coordination of X subtly influences the relative energy levels of the aromatic TzbTzb core in 3-X. Modulation of the X--H interaction enables fine-tuning of the HOMO-LUMO gap and the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition, which influence the absorption and emission features of 3-X and make these compounds potentially suitable for applications in anion sensing. The study also highlights the potential of the isosterism between exocyclic covalent C–C bonds and $C_{\text{carbene}} {\rightarrow} B$ bonds for altering the optoelectronic properties of conjugated molecules.

Experimental Section

Crystallographic data: Deposition Numbers 2128816 (**3-OTf**), 2128817 (**3-BAr^F**₄·**Et**₂**O**), 2128818 (**3-OTf**·**HOTf**), 2128819 (2), 2128820 (**3-Cl**), 2128821 (**3-BAr^F**₄) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for financial support. S. H. is grateful for a doctoral fellowship from the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes. F. F. thanks the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a CAPES-Humboldt Research Fellowship. H. H. thanks the DFG for funding through the Heisenberg Programme HE 6171/9-1, and M. F. thanks the Deutsche Bundesstiftung Umwelt (DBU) for a doctoral fellowship. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202201398 (7 of 8)

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords: hydrogen bonding · intramolecular charge transfer · thiazaborolothiazaborole · visible and fluorescence color modulation

- [1] Z. Li, J. R. Askim, K. S. Suslick, Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 231-292.
- [2] A. S. Klymchenko, Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 366–375.
- [3] N. Dey, S. Bhattacharya, Chem. Asian J. 2020, 15, 1759–1779.
- [4] D. A. McNaughton, M. Fares, G. Picci, P. A. Gale, C. Caltagirone, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2021, 427, Article 213573.
- [5] S. Kashyap, R. Singh, U. P. Singh, *Chem. Rev.* 2020, *417*, Article 213369.
 [6] N. Kaur, G. Kaur, U. A. Fegade, A. Singh, S. K. Sahoo, A. S. Kuwar, N.
- Singh, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *95*, 86–109. [7] P. A. Gale, C. Caltagirone, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 4212–4227.
- [8] M. Moral, A. Garzón, J. Canales-Vázquez, J. C. Sancho-García, J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 24583–24596.
- [9] A. Fitri, A. T. Benjelloun, M. Benzakour, M. Mcharfi, M. Sfaira, M. Hamidi, M. Bouachrine, *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 2013, 39, 2679–2695.
- [10] P. Zahradník, P. Magdolen, P. Zahradník, *Tet. Lett.* 2010, *51*, 5819–5821.
 [11] J. Wu, G. Li, J. Fang, X. Guo, L. Zhu, B. Guo, Y. Wang, G. Zhang, L.
- Arunagiri, F. Liu, H. Yan, M. Zhang, Y. Li, *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, Article 4612.
- [12] A. Dessì, M. Calamante, A. Sinicropi, M. L. Parisi, L. Vesce, P. Mariani, B. Taheri, M. Ciocca, A. Di Carlo, L. Zani, A. Mordini, G. Reginato, *Sustain. Energy Fuels* **2020**, *4*, 2309–2321.
- [13] S. Ameen, M. S. Akhtar, M. N. Mohammad, K. Nazeeruddin, H.-S. Shin, Nano Energy 2018, 49, 372–379.
- [14] W. Li, X. Huang, T. Zeng, Y. A. Liu, W. Hu, H. Yang, Y.-B. Zhang, K. Wen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1869–1874; Angew. Chem. 2021, 133, 1897–1902.
- [15] Y. Wang, H. Liu, Q. Pan, N. Ding, C. Yang, Z. Zhang, C. Jia, Z. Li, J. Liu, Y. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 46483–46489.
- [16] W. Li, Z. Zhao, W. Hu, Q. Cheng, L. Yang, Z. Hu, Y. A. Liu, K. Wen, H. Yang, Chem. Mater. 2020, 32, 8553–8560.
- [17] N. A. Sayresmith, A. Saminathan, J. K. Sailer, S. M. Patberg, K. Sandor, Y. Krishnan, M. G. Walter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 18780–18790.
- [18] G. Sathiyan, S. Chatterjee, P. Sen, A. Garg, R. K. Gupta, A. Singh, *ChemistrySelect* 2019, 4, 11718–11725.
- [19] A. N. Woodward, J. M. Kolesar, S. R. Hall, N.-A. Saleh, D. S. Jones, M. G. Walter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8467–8473.
- [20] A. Khatun, D. K. Panda, N. Sayresmith, M. G. Walter, S. Saha, *Inorg. Chem.* 2019, 58, 12707–12715.
- [21] J. Y. Jung, M. Kang, J. Chun, J. Lee, J. Kim, J. Kim, Y. Kim, S.-J. Kim, C. Lee, J. Yoon, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 176–178.
- [22] J. Y. Jung, S. J. Han, J. Chun, C. Lee, J. Yoon, Dyes Pigm. 2012, 94, 423– 426.
- [23] S. Safaei, J. Wang, P. C. Junk, J. Solid State Chem. 2021, 294, Article 121762.
- [24] S. Soylemez, H. Z. Kaya, Y. A. Udum, L. Toppare, eXPRESS Polym. Lett. 2019, 13, 845–857.
- [25] M. R. Pinto, Y. Takahata, T. D. Z. Atvars, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2001, 143, 119–127.
- [26] U. Olgun, M. Gülfen, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 25165–25171.
- [27] Z. Zhang, Y.-A. Chen, W.-Y. Hung, W.-F. Tang, Y.-H. Hsu, C.-L. Chen, F.-L. Meng, P.-T. Chou, *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 8815–8824.
- [28] Y.-C. Wei, Z. Zhang, Y.-A. Chen, C.-H. Wu, Z.-Y. Liu, S.-Y. Ho, J.-C. Liu, J.-A. Lin, P.-T. Chou, *Commun. Chem.* **2019**, *2*, Article 10.
- [29] K. Wang, S. Huang, Y. Zhang, S. Zhao, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3288–3293.
- [30] X. Yin, J. Liu, F. Jäkle, Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 2973–2986.
- [31] S. K. Mellerup, S. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 3537–3549.
- [32] M. Gon, K. Tanaka, Y. Chujo, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2019, 92, 7–18.
- [33] I. Avinash, S. Parveen, G. Anantharaman, *Inorg. Chem.* 2020, 59, 5646–5661.
- [34] P. Sudhakar, K. K. Neena, P. Thilagar, Dalton Trans. 2019, 48, 7218–7226.
- [35] A. Lik, S. Jenthra, L. Fritze, L. Müller, K.-N. Truong, H. Helten, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 11961–11972.

© 2022 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



- [36] A. L. Brazeau, K. Yuan, S.-B. Ko, I. Wyman, S. Wang, ACS Omega 2017, 2, 8625–8632.
- [37] G. Turkoglu, M. E. Cinar, T. Ozturk, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 31, 4552– 4561.
- [38] D. K. You, S. H. Lee, J. H. Lee, S. W. Kwak, H. Hwang, J. Lee, Y. Chung, M. H. Park, K. M. Lee, *RSC Adv.* 2017, *7*, 10345–10352.
- [39] S. K. Sarkar, S. Mukherjee, P. Thilagar, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 2343-2345.
- [40] H. Zhao, L. A. Leamer, F. P. Gabbaï, Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 8164-8178.
- [41] S. Hagspiel, M. Arrowsmith, F. Fantuzzi, A. Vargas, A. Rempel, A. Hermann, T. Brückner, H. Braunschweig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, *60*, 6446–6450; *Angew. Chem.* 2021, *133*, 6519–6524.
- [42] M. Melaimi, R. Jazzar, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10046–10068; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 10180–10203.
- [43] A. Cairncross, W. A. Sheppard, E. Wonchoba, Org. Synth. 1980, 59, 122– 131.
- [44] J.-D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 084106.
- [45] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297-3305.
- [46] M. Deutsch, S. Wirsing, D. Kaiser, R. F. Fink, P. Tegeder, B. Engels, J. Chem. Phys. 2020, 153, 224104.
- [47] T. Lu, F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 580-592.

- [48] W. Qiao, G. Duan, J. Wang, J. Dong, B. Pan, X. Mu, ACS Omega 2020, 5, 17275–17286.
- [49] Y. Cui, P. Zhu, X. Shi, X. Liao, Y. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. C 2021, 125, 10250– 10259.
- [50] M. J. G. Peach, P. Benfield, T. Helgaker, D. J. Tozer, J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 044118.
- [51] Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 3842–3888.
- [52] A. Stanger, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 883–893.
- [53] J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, E. Matito, J. Robles, M. Solà, J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 4359–4366.
- [54] A. C. Tsipis, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2009, 11, 8244.
- [55] D. Geuenich, K. Hess, F. Köhler, R. Herges, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3758– 3772.

Manuscript received: May 6, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: June 2, 2022 Version of record online: July 13, 2022