

# Rhizosphere 16S-ITS Metabarcoding Profiles in Banana Crops Are Affected by Nematodes, Cultivation, and Local Climatic Variations

Aurelio Ciancio<sup>1\*</sup>, Laura Cristina Rosso<sup>1</sup>, Javier Lopez-Cepero<sup>2</sup> and Mariantonietta Colagiero<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto per la Protezione Sostenibile delle Piante, Bari, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Departamento Técnico de Coplaca S.C., Organización de Productores de Plátanos, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Inmaculada Larena, Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria (INIA), Spain

### Reviewed by:

Laith Khalil Tawfeeq Al-Ani, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Antonieta De Cal, Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria (INIA), Spain Johannes Zimmermann, University of Kiel, Germany

#### \*Correspondence:

Aurelio Ciancio aurelio.ciancio@ipsp.cnr.it Mariantonietta Colagiero mariantonietta.colagiero@ipsp.cnr.it

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbe and Virus Interactions with Plants, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 14 January 2022 Accepted: 13 April 2022 Published: 09 June 2022

#### Citation:

Ciancio A, Rosso LC, Lopez-Cepero J and Colagiero M (2022) Rhizosphere 16S-ITS Metabarcoding Profiles in Banana Crops Are Affected by Nematodes, Cultivation, and Local Climatic Variations. Front. Microbiol. 13:855110. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.855110 Agriculture affects soil and root microbial communities. However, detailed knowledge is needed on the effects of cropping on rhizosphere, including biological control agents (BCA) of nematodes. A metabarcoding study was carried out on the microbiota associated with plant parasitic and other nematode functional groups present in banana farms in Tenerife (Canary Islands, Spain). Samples included rhizosphere soil from cv Pequeña Enana or Gruesa and controls collected from adjacent sites, with the same agroecological conditions, without banana roots. To characterize the bacterial communities, the V3 and V4 variable regions of the 16S rRNA ribosomal gene were amplified, whereas the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was used for the fungi present in the same samples. Libraries were sequenced with an Illumina MiSeq<sup>TM</sup> in paired ends with a 300-bp read length. For each sample, plant parasitic nematodes (PPN) and other nematodes were extracted from the soil, counted, and identified. Phytoparasitic nematodes were mostly found in banana rhizosphere. They included *Pratylenchus goodeyi*, present in northern farms, and Helicotylenchus spp., including H. multicinctus, found in both northern and southern farms. Metabarcoding data showed a direct effect of cropping on microbial communities, and latitude-related factors that separated northern and southern controls from banana rizosphere samples. Several fungal taxa known as nematode BCA were identified, with endophytes, mycorrhizal species, and obligate Rozellomycota endoparasites, almost only present in the banana samples. The dominant bacterial phyla were Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi, and Acidobacteria. The ITS data showed several operational taxonomic units (OTUs) belonging to Sordariomycetes, including biocontrol agents, such as Beauveria spp., Arthrobotrys spp., Pochonia chlamydosporia, and Metarhizium anisopliae. Other taxa included Trichoderma harzianum, Trichoderma longibrachiatum, Trichoderma virens, and Fusarium spp., together with mycoparasites such as Acrostalagmus luteoalbus. However, only one *Dactylella* spp. showed a correlation with predatory nematodes. Differences among the nematode guilds were found, as phytoparasitic, free-living, and predatory nematode groups were correlated with specific subsets of other bacteria and fungi. Crop cultivation method and soil texture showed differences in taxa

representations when considering other farm and soil variables. The data showed changes in the rhizosphere and soil microbiota related to trophic specialization and specific adaptations, affecting decomposers, beneficial endophytes, mycorrhizae, or BCA, and plant pathogens.

Keywords: 16S rRNA, Helicotylenchus multicinctus, ITS, Pratylenchus goodeyi, microbiota

## INTRODUCTION

Banana (Musa acuminata) is an economically important crop in the Canary Islands (Spain), where it represents a source of income for many small holders, with around 50% of cultivated areas and yields that supply around 70% of national consumption. Dwarf Cavendish (Pequeña Enana, AAA) and derived selections represent the most widespread genotype (>90% of plants) due to its productivity and commercial performance. The crop is cultivated in small parcels, with alkaline volcanic soils often proceeding from different sites, amended with organic matter in part originating from old leaves left rotting on the soil surface. The main adversities of this cultivation include nematode pests, banana weevil (Cosmopolites sordidus), and Fusarium wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense (Foc). The latter disease affects 2-12% of plants, with some farms reaching up to 30% prevalence (Gómez-Lama Cabanás et al., 2021). Pest and Foc management include a conventional approach, based on nematicides and insecticides, pheromone traps or products based on cloripirifos, spirodiclofen, and other active components. Alternative organic approaches for pest and disease management include the use of natural products with nematocidal or fungicidal properties, combined with pheromone traps (Supplementary Table 1).

PPN found in the Canary Islands banana farms include lesion nematodes *Pratylenchus* spp., spiral nematodes *Helicotylenchus* spp., and occasionally root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. These parasites are widespread in banana cultivated areas of the world (Moens et al., 2006; Coyne et al., 2018). Fusarium wilt is the most severe soil-borne vascular disease encountered in the Canary Islands. Its virulence depends on the race as well as on plant tolerance level (Bubici et al., 2019; Gómez-Lama Cabanás et al., 2021). The races reported in the Canary Islands are the subtropical races 4 (SR4), R2, and R1 (vegetative compatibility group 0120), highly virulent on cv. Gros Michel but not on Grand Naine (Domínguez et al., 2001). The low virulence of those races appears to be related to suppressive soil factors, such as the scarcity of iron and high levels of sodium and clay (Domínguez et al., 2001).

Plant and soil microbiota have an important effect on crop productivity. They include species with a varying degree of specialization, underpinning fundamental services such as nutrient recycling and pest or disease regulation. Is it recognized that many species, including BCA, contribute significantly to crop production by sustaining the rhizosphere and plant health (Bulgarelli et al., 2013; Granzow et al., 2017; Jacoby et al., 2017; Berg et al., 2020). The rhizosphere microbiota may hence represent a natural reservoir of BCA and a possible alternative tool for PPN management (Berg et al., 2017). However, due to the complexity of the rhizosphere environment, natural pest/disease regulation in intensive crops is not as frequent as expected. This often occurs in the case of PPN in intensive cropping systems (Topalović and Heuer, 2019; Topalović et al., 2020). The severity of PPN attacks mostly depends on the changes induced in soil by agriculture, such as the environmental pressure of monocultures and/or the low genetic diversity of crops. These conditions occur, and are particularly evident, in banana crops, in which all plants are usually clones of one or few lineages (mostly Cavendish), selected for commercial or technical reasons including resistance to one or more Foc diseases.

Knowledge of soil and rhizosphere microbial diversity and composition is hence fundamental to implement sustainable crop management, as well as to determine the impact of one or more biological/technical factors on the indigenous BCA. Progress has been achieved in determining the links between Fusarium wilt and banana-associated microorganisms (Effendi et al., 2019; Kaushal et al., 2020; Gómez-Lama Cabanás et al., 2021; Ravi et al., 2021). However, the potential of soil microorganisms to manage plant production in a more sustainable way, relying solely on indigenous species, is not yet fully exploited. Intensive banana crop management still remains highly dependent on frequent applications of synthetic pesticides. In particular, it is unknown if and how co-occurring microbial species, as well as their interactions with the BCA present, act on soil pests such as PPN. Soil-inhabiting organisms form a complex food web system, whose final outcomes may range from the natural regulation of noxious organisms to the insurgence of severe pest attacks (Bardgett et al., 1999; Ram et al., 2008).

Soil microbial communities, including BCA with other cryptic co-occurring species, may reveal undetected but useful interactions with the farm and the local agroenvironment (i.e., soil physical properties and pests), or depending on other external variables (i.e., selection of cropping practices and climate). In this regard, belowground links among species and trophic groups are becoming more and more informative as a huge amount of data is made available through deep sequencing and -omics technologies (Berg et al., 2020; Martínez Arbas et al., 2021). A detailed knowledge of soil microbial profiles may allow the setup of information-based crop management practices, exploiting natural pest/disease regulation, reinforcing or sustaining soil, and rhizosphere health.

Beneficial microorganisms that contribute to plant production include species acting as generalists or, on the contrary, inhabiting specific trophic niches, ranging from endophytes to pathogens or BCA, which affect plant health and thus farm productivity (Bulgarelli et al., 2013; Xue et al., 2015; Granzow et al., 2017; Kaushal et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Gómez-Lama Cabanás et al., 2021; Ravi et al., 2021). Apart from plant growth promoters, soil harbors endosymbionts or BCA often specifically associated with their hosts. Some isolates are already exploited as active ingredients in a number of commercial products, worldwide. These include, for example, host-specific PPN antagonists such as the bacteria *Pasteuria* spp. or *Bacillus* spp. (Tian et al., 2007; Mohan et al., 2020), and ubiquitous fungi such as *Pochonia chlamydosporia*, a root endophyte and a parasite of nematode eggs (Manzanilla-López et al., 2013). As a root endophyte, *P. chlamydosporia* also elicits the expression of a wide range of plant defense genes (Larriba et al., 2015; Mingot-Ureta et al., 2020; Tolba et al., 2021; Zhuang et al., 2021).

Other fungi of interest for exploitation as BCA are the members of genera *Metarhizium* and *Trichoderma*. The former, phylogenetically close to *Pochonia*, is characterized by the root endophytism and soil insect parasitism. Within the genus *Trichoderma*, several BCA and/or endophytes are present, including its teleomorph genus *Hypocrea* (Sivan and Chet, 1986; Chaverri and Samuels, 2002). However, data are needed on the competence and persistence of these BCA in the soil and rhizosphere, in particular when they are naturally present, as well as on their interactions with associated bacteria.

A further factor impacting the rhizosphere environment, and thus natural pest or disease regulation, originates from anthropic activities including, i.e., practices adopted by producers through organic or conventional farming, and the related aboveground cover, which also affect belowground microbiota profiles (Leff et al., 2018). Few data are available on the effect of organic and low-impact agriculture, soil properties, climate, on the microbiota diversity and, indirectly, on BCA species distribution, prevalence, and co-occurrence.

Considering the important role played by soil microorganisms in crop production and pest regulation, the focus of this study was to evaluate the interactions of the banana crop with the rhizosphere microbiota and the indigenous BCA of nematodes present in the soil, also to evaluate additional variables. In this study, we produced and analyzed metabarcoding data to: (1) determine the effect of cropping on rhizosphere bacteria and fungi in banana farms, in a subtropical environment, (2) investigate the effect of farm properties on rhizosphere microbial profiles, (3) estimate the impact that factors, such as climate or soil properties, and nematodes have on soil microbiota profiles, and (4) evaluate the crop effects on naturally occurring BCA species as well as their potential for PPN regulation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 38 samples were collected in Tenerife (Canary Islands, Spain) from the rhizosphere of *M. acuminata* var. Pequeña Enana or Gruesa (Cavendish clones), on farms applying conventional (only synthetic chemicals), integrated pest management (IPM, using chemical pesticides and natural products), or organic cropping techniques (EU regulation n. 848/2018) (**Supplementary Table 1**). A total of 36 samples were collected in February 2018 (mean month temperature =  $18^{\circ}$ C,

humidity = 65%). Rhizosphere soil samples (ca. 300 ml) were collected at an average depth of 20 cm with banana root fragments. Other local control samples, with mostly grass or weed roots, were collected on the same farms from adjacent, banana root-free sites, within 5-10 m from the sampled banana plants, at the same depth. The three replicate banana samples and corresponding controls (each formed by three or more nearby subsamples, mixed to form a sample) were collected in six farms, located in the northern and southern areas of the island (Supplementary Table 1). Two other samples from banana rhizosphere, collected from a northern farm in September 2017 and stored at 4°C, were also included in this study. A subsample (300 g of mixed soil and roots) from each soil bag was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C before processing for subsequent analyses. The remaining soil used for nematode and soil analysis was then stored at room temperature.

Samples were classified by latitude (northern or southern coast farm location), farm of origin and cultivation method, soil texture (measured by decanting and weighting of the three soil fractions), pH (Kettler et al., 2001; Schoeneberger et al., 2012) and number of PPNs, free-living, and predatory nematodes. The soil sieving and decanting technique was used for the extraction of nematodes by suspending a 200-ml soil subsample in tap water, followed by filtering and decanting, with a set of 500- and 75-µm sieves. The filtered suspension was then examined for nematode identification and density measurement with light microscopy, using a Hawksley counting chamber at 50×, in three replicates. PPN were identified at the species level using available taxonomic keys (Boag and Jairajpuri, 1985; Handoo and Golden, 1989; Uzma et al., 2015; see Table 1 for variables considered) with a Leitz Orthoplan light microscope, at 312-500×. Hand-picked nematode specimens were placed on slides in temporary water mounts. We classified the free-living (Rhabditida and Aphelenchoides) and predatory nematodes (Mononchida and non-plant parasitic Dorylaimida) during counts using a Hawksley counting chamber at 40-100× and available nematode descriptions (Goodey, 1963). Freeliving (bacterial and fungal feeders) and predatory nematodes (mononchids and dorylaims) were counted as groups. The remaining soil was then used to measure soil texture and pH, for each sample. Spearman's correlations among nematode and soil variables were calculated using PAST (Hammer et al., 2001).

## **Metabarcoding Analyses**

Rhizosphere soil samples were analyzed for the presence of bacteria and fungi using a metabacoding sequencing approach. For metabacoding analyses, 2 g of soil collected from banana roots or from controls were used from each sample. Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy PowerSoil<sup>®</sup> Total RNA kit (Qiagen<sup>®</sup>, UK—MoBio Laboratories, Inc.), following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined with a Nanodrop<sup>TM</sup> spectrometer at 260 nm. The extracted material was subjected to reverse transcription according to the Illumina<sup>TM</sup> sequencing protocol, using SuperScript III or IV (Invitrogen, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol. The material obtained was then purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen<sup>®</sup>, UK). The nucleic acid integrity was

TABLE 1 | Variables used for the identification of plant parasitic nematodes.

Nematode taxa	Variables used	References/keys
Helicotylenchus multicinctus	Adults tail and head shape hemispherical; presence of males; spermatheca functional and slightly offset; stylet length $< 24 \mu$ m; $< 5$ lip annules; phasmids anterior to anus; lateral fields without striae; habitus = open C; V = 61–76; L = 400–673.	Boag and Jairajpuri, 1985; Uzma et al., 2015
Pratylenchus goodeyi	Presence of males; $V = 73-75\%$ ; posterior uterine branch short, around one body width at vulva; tapering and almost pointed tail; four lip annules.	Handoo and Golden, 1989

checked by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel. The cDNA was then subjected to PCR amplification of the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene and the fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions.

#### 16S Data Analysis

Both ends of the V3-V4 hypervariable region were used for the amplification of the 16S rRNA ribosomal gene, which is considered capable of yielding sufficient information for taxonomic classification (Yang et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2007, 2008; Caporaso et al., 2010). The primers 341F (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and 805R (5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'), with affinity for flanking conserved motifs, were used to amplify the V3-V4 of the 16S hypervariable region (Van de Peer et al., 1996; Baker et al., 2003; Clarridge, 2004; Takahashi et al., 2014). MiSeq System Illumina platforms, provided by a commercial service (IGA-Technology Services, Udine, Italy<sup>1</sup>), were used for sequencing. Two amplification steps were used in the library workflow: an initial PCR amplification using locus-specific PCR primers and a subsequent amplification integrating the relevant flow-cell binding domains and unique indices (NexteraXT Index kit FC-131-1001/FC-131-1002), used to amplify the variable V3 and V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene. Libraries were sequenced on a MiSeq run in paired end features with a 300-bp read length<sup>2</sup>.

For the bioinformatic assembly of the single read contigs, raw sequences were processed using the PandaSeq<sup>3</sup> pipeline (Masella et al., 2012). Forward and reverse 16S reads were merged by applying the following PandaSeq parameters: sequences with unidentified nucleotides = filtered; lengths of overlapping region  $(\min-\max) = 100-180$  nt; contig lengths  $(\min-\max) = 200-180$ 450 nt (Claesson et al., 2010). For each sample, the single fasta format file of high-quality assembled sequences was obtained by merging data, and then used as the first input for processing with QIIME 1.9 (Caporaso et al., 2010), on a Linux emulator in a Windows® 7 environment. QIIME was applied to filter the chimeras, to assemble the replicate sequences and to analyze the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) assigned through the implementation of UCLUST, applying a 97% identity threshold to discriminate at the species level (Caporaso et al., 2010). Next, an OTU table was constructed using a combined fasta file generated by *add\_qiime\_labels.py* using labels from a metadata mapping

file, and then using pick\_de\_novo\_otus.py. An OTUs.biom file was obtained by picking OTUs defined based on 97% sequence similarity, and taxonomy was assigned to individual OTUs through the Greengenes data set (ver.\_gg 13.5) (McDonald et al., 2012). The HDF5 OTU.biom file with sequence abundance per sample and treatments was converted to JSON.biom format using the BiomCS 1.0.6 online conversion server<sup>4</sup> (ver. 1.0.6). OTUs were filtered using the sum of five sequences per OTU in total (sum of all samples) as minimum threshold, and analyzed with the graphical interface provided by Statistical Analysis of Metagenomic Profiles<sup>5</sup> (STAMP, ver. 2.1.3) (Parks and Beiko, 2010; Parks et al., 2014). To compare sample pairs or samples organized into two or more groups identified by treatment and/or other traits listed in the mapping file (such as farm, crop cultivation method, soil pH or texture, density levels of phytoparasitic, free-living or predatory nematodes, sample latitude, or their combinations), the entire samples were used as the parent level with different profile levels, applying a twotailed Student's t-test, with other comparative statistics. We kept unclassified OTUs and their higher levels in the analyses, by identifying the latter in the hierarchy (and eventually in STAMP plots) using the OTUs codes as tags of the higher, unclassified taxonomic levels. Heatmap plots of only significantly different OTUs [analysis of variance (ANOVA, with a 0.95 post*hoc* Tuckey–Kramer test, filtering threshold: p < 0.05] were produced with the average neighbor UPGMA algorithm and a 0.65 dendrogram clustering threshold. Two-group comparisons were performed by applying a two-sided, equal variance t-test  $(p \le 0.05, \text{ effect size as ratio of proportions} = 0.8)$ . Principal component analysis for all samples (except the outlier sample N1) was also performed with STAMP.

The .biom OTU table was used for further analyses with the R library  $mctoolsr^6$  ver. 0.1.1.2 (R Core Team, 2013) for the production of samples Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrices and MDS plots, for the whole data set or selected taxonomic lineages or sample groups, and for Kruskal–Wallis *t*-test and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). PAST was used to calculate sample  $\alpha$ -diversity indices. Further R libraries used included *phyloseq* (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013), *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016), and *boxplot* for graphics, *psych*, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>www.igatechnology.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-marketing/documents/ products/appnotes/16S-Metagenomic-Library-Prep-Guide.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://github.com/neufeld/pandaseq

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://biomcs.iimog.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>http://kiwi.cs.dal.ca/Software/STAMP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Leff J. W. (2016). mctoolsr: Microbial Community Data Analysis Tools. R package version 0.1.0.12. Available online at: https://github.com/leffj/mctoolsr/.

**TABLE 2** Spearman's rank correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) among nematode population densities and other soil variables<sup>\*</sup>.

	Pratylenchus goodeyi	Predatory nematodes	Helicotylenchus spp.	Free living	рН	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)
Pratylenchus goodeyi		0.59213	0.00116	0.14411	0.55280	0.00031	0.00735	0.00026
Predatory nematodes	-0.08973		0.10610	0.93726	0.30108	0.24468	0.73659	0.00932
Helicotylenchus spp.	0.50705	-0.26628		0.02984	0.18466	0.00000	0.00364	0.00001
Free living	0.24150	0.01321	0.35276		0.34169	0.00077	0.00059	0.08825
рН	-0.09937	-0.17225	0.21990	0.15856		0.47186	0.97493	0.39637
Sand (%)	-0.55431	0.19339	-0.67035	-0.52229	-0.12030		0.00000	0.00000
Loam (%)	0.42803	-0.05641	0.46034	0.53208	-0.00527	-0.87657		0.00055
Clay (%)	0.55959	-0.41634	0.66588	0.28033	0.14162	-0.81552	0.53408	

\*All samples (n = 37). Upper matrix shows p levels (italics, significant values at  $p \le 0.05$  are shown in bold). Lower matrix shows p values (significant coefficients are shown in bold).

*corr.test* for correlations. Venn diagrams were produced using an online public service<sup>7</sup> (Heberle et al., 2015).

## **ITS Data Analysis**

Two amplification steps were used in the library workflow: an initial PCR amplification using locus-specific PCR primers and a subsequent amplification, integrating the relevant flow-cell binding domains and unique indices (NexteraXT Index kit FC-131-1001/FC-131-1002), used to amplify the ITS RNA gene. Libraries were sequenced in a MiSeq run in paired end with a 300-bp read length. The primers ITS1 5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3' and ITS4 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3' were used for the ITS locus (White et al., 1990). ITS sequences were processed by the sequencing provider using fast length adjustment of short (FLASH) reads, filtering out the sequences with unidentified nucleotides by applying 15-250 nt (min-max) lengths of the overlapping region (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011). For each sample, the single fasta format file of assembled sequences was obtained by merging data, and then used as the first input for processing with QIIME 1.9 (Caporaso et al., 2010), on a Linux emulator in a Windows<sup>®</sup> 7 environment. Next, an OTU table was constructed using *pick\_otus.py* based on 97% sequence similarity and an OTUs.biom file was obtained. Taxonomy was assigned to individual OTUs using the UNITE data set (ver. 7.1) using UCLUST (Edgar, 2010; Tedersoo et al., 2018). The resulting Excel® database was then edited to eliminate redundancies at the species or genus level by summing all reads counts into single representative OTUs or taxa. Unclassified OTUs were kept in the data set by adding the highest taxonomic descriptor to the unclassified, lower level tags. The same statistics and software tools applied for the 16S analysis were then used for the ITS data.

## **Sequence Data Deposition**

All sequence data were deposited in the sequence read archive (SRA) of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under accession number BioProject PRJNA540248.

## RESULTS

#### Nematodes

Plant parasitic nematodes found in the banana rhizosphere included *Pratylenchus goodeyi* Sher and Allen, and *Helicotylenchus* spp. (*H. multicinctus* Cobb, Golden, and *H. abunaamai* Siddiqi), found in 45% (density range: 67–1,750 × 100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>) and 70% (60–2,300 × 100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>) of samples, respectively. *Pratylenchus goodeyi* is a severe root endoparasite of banana worldwide, with a migratory phase in the soil on or around the roots. This species is considered to have been introduced to the Canary Islands, likely through the infested plant propagation material. *Helicotylenchus multicinctus*, a further severe banana ecto–endoparasite, was more prevalent in banana samples. In control samples, *P. goodeyi* was not detected, whereas *Helicotylenchus* spp. were only found in one sample (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Free-living nematodes included Rhabditidae as bacterial feeders and fungal feeders such as *Aphelenchoides* spp. Microbial feeders were present in 79% of the samples (density range: 120–13,533 nematodes × 100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>) (**Supplementary Table 2**). Predatory nematodes belonged to Dorylaimida and Mononchida. They were found in 26% of the samples (60–360 nematodes × 100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>) (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Soil profiles showed most prevalent texture class as sandy clay, with a mean pH for all samples around 7.4 (min-max: 6.3–8.8) (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Spearman's correlations of *P. goodeyi* and *Helicotylenchus* densities with soil variables showed a significant inverse relationship with sand and a positive correlation with the other soil fractions. A significant positive correlation also occurred between the density of *P. goodeyi* and the number of *Helicotylenchus* spp., as well as between the latter and the density of free-living nematodes. Predatory nematodes were only negatively correlated with soil clay content (**Table 2**).

## 16S Data

A total of 4,426,081 single reads of the 16S V3-V4 region were obtained from the 37 samples analyzed (one sample was discarded due to a low number of reads). PandaSeq

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/

produced 1,250,383 contigs that were analyzed with QIIME, yielding a total 469,205 sequences, used for taxonomic assignments in each sample. The 3,938 OTUs obtained after filtering were represented among the different samples

with different frequencies, of which only 68 (1.7%) were classified at the species level. The OTUs belonged to 190 classified genera (2,667 OTUs unclassified at this level), 128 families (1,166 unclassified), 400 orders (308 unclassified),



FIGURE 1 | Venn diagrams showing the distribution of bacterial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (A), classified genera (B), and fungal ITS OTUs (C), among all samples, grouped by crop and latitude.



FIGURE 2 | Principal component analysis (PCA) plots (A,B = PC1 vs. PC2, C,D = PC1 vs. PC3) showing samples classified by crop and latitude, based on 16S sequence data, at the family (A,C) and genus (B,D) levels (all samples except N1).

97 classes (31 unclassified), and 21 bacterial phyla. Archaea were represented by only 14 OTUs from phyla Crenarcheota and Euryarchaeota.

Venn diagrams showed a core microbiota of 1,681 OTUs, either classified or not, from 190 classified genera, of which 114 were in common for all samples. A higher number of OTUs were observed in northern samples, which also showed the highest number of unique OTUs (Figures 1A,B).

Principal component (PCA) plots showed distinct separations among the sample groups (Figure 2A) in relation to latitude and presence of banana roots, as did



**FIGURE 3** | Multidimensional scaling (MDS, stress = 0.14) plots of all samples based on latitude and density of *Helicotylenchus* spp. (A), and crop cultivation method (B). Nematode density levels (expressed as adult and juvenile nematodes  $\times$  100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>) are: L = low or absent (0–290), M = medium (291–804), H = high (805–1,319), VH = very high (>1,319) (mean density and standard deviation (SD) = 290 ± 514 nematodes  $\times$  100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>).



FIGURE 4 | MUS plots (stress = 0.14) of all samples when considering only Proteobacteria (rarefied to 1,800 taxa) and based on latitude and crop (A). MDS plots of only Rhizobiales (B, rarefied to 940 taxa, stress = 0.14) with crop, latitude and soil pH. MAL, moderately alcaline; N, neutral; SAC, slightly acid; SLAL, slightly alcaline; SAL, strongly alcaline.

non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses. The clusterings reflected changes in the microbiota, with groups accounting for the presence and density level of *H. multicinctus* and for the effect of the crop cultivation method and latitude (**Figures 3A,B**). A more homogeneous clustering was observed in the PCA plots at the genus level, indicative of a higher similarity among the samples, at a lower taxonomic level (**Figure 2B**). PERMANOVA analysis showed significant differences in false discovery rate (FDR) among groups, mainly related to the presence/absence of banana roots, latitude, and number of *Helicotylenchus* spp. (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Separated sample clusterings were also shown by NMDS when the analysis was limited to specific taxa, such as Proteobacteria, separating samples by crop and latitude (Figure 4A), or Rhizobiales, with clusters distinguished by latitude and soil pH (Figure 4B).

A higher representation of Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Chloroflexi was observed in the banana rhizosphere, when considering the most abundant taxa by comparing plant and control samples, whereas Actinobacteria were more prevalent in adjacent control sites (**Figure 5A**). This repartition was reflected in a higher prevalence of the classes Alphaproteobacteria, Clostridia, Solibacteres, and Anaerolineae in the banana rhizosphere, with Actinobacteria more represented in control sites (**Figure 5B**). At the order level, a higher frequency of Rhizobiales and Solibacterales characterized the banana rhizosphere samples, with Actinomycetales



FIGURE 5 | Top 10 more represented phyla (A), classes (B), orders (C), and 15 more represented families (D), in the samples proceeding from *Musa acuminata* rhizosphere vs. adjacent banana root-free control sites.



TABLE 3 | Most represented bacterial families and relative abundance as shown by 16S sequence reads, accounting for differences among banana rhizosphere, and other adjacent, crop-free control sites. Samples were classified by crop and latitude.

	Abundance (%)*						
Families	Banana North	Banana South	Control North	Control South	Р	Bonferroni P**	FDR P
Geodermatophilaceae	0.013	0.018	0.074	0.062	2.470368e-05	0.00027	0.00027
Bradyrhizobiaceae	0.011	0.014	0.052	0.023	1.058206e-04	0.00116	0.00058
Solibacteraceae	0.092	0.089	0.035	0.022	1.656480e-04	0.00182	0.00060
Hyphomicrobiaceae	0.114	0.116	0.039	0.036	1.671030e-04	0.00183	0.00045
Phyllobacteriaceae	0.056	0.048	0.015	0.030	2.181649e-04	0.00239	0.00047
Sphingomonadaceae	0.026	0.031	0.075	0.044	5.658774e-04	0.00622	0.00103
Micromonosporaceae	0.017	0.029	0.079	0.018	6.404280e-03	0.07044	0.01006
Nocardioidaceae	0.033	0.032	0.045	0.076	8.032507e-03	0.08835	0.01104

\*Min. 5%, in at least one sample group. Most represented families are shown in bold (analyzed with the R library mctoolsr).

\*\*p-values based on Kruskal–Wallis tests, with Bonferroni and false discovery rate (FDR) corrections (significance at  $p \le 0.05$  is shown in bold; rarefaction level applied = 4,500 sequences per sample: total samples retained = 37).

more prevalent in the control soils (**Figures 5C**, **6A**). The families Hyphomicrobiaceae and Solibacteraceae were more prevalent in the banana rhizosphere samples, whereas members of Bradyrhizobiaceae were more represented in controls (**Figure 5D**). A similar distribution was observed at the family level when samples were grouped by crop and latitude

(Table 3). Differences between banana and control samples were also observed at the genus level, with distinct clusterings (Figure 6C), more evident when considering only the northern samples (Figure 6B). The data showed a higher abundance of *Pedomicrobium, Rhodoplanes* with other unclassified taxa in banana rhizosphere, whereas *Microbispora, Kaistobacter, Ca.* 



Solibacter, and *Skermanella* were more represented among the controls (**Figure 6D**).

# **ITS Data**

A total of 1,725,692 contigs were obtained from 37 samples analyzed with QIIME, yielding 572,389 ITS sequences. After filtering out sequences from plants and other clades, taxonomic assignments for the Kingdom Fungi across all samples yielded a total of 429 OTUs classified into six phyla and 24 classes. The classification showed fungi in 60 orders (with 15 additional ones unclassified), 106 families (with 48 additional unclassified), and a total of 314 genera (65 of which were unclassified). OTUs classified to the species level were 189, whereas 175 could be only assigned at the genus level, with 65 OTUs also unclassified at the species level.

Venn diagrams showed a common core fungal microbiota of 94 OTUs, either classified or not, including BCA such as *Metarhizium anisopliae* (NCBI accession n. JN377427), *Arthrobotrys oligospora*, and *Acrostalagmus luteoalbus* (AJ292420). A higher frequency of OTUs was observed in the northern control and banana samples, which also showed

the highest number of unique OTUs (Figure 1C). Several fungal species known as predatory or parasitic on nematodes or other fungi showed, in the banana rhizosphere samples, higher frequencies and/or sequence numbers, including P. chlamydosporia, M. anisopliae, and species of genera Arthrobotrys, Beauveria, Dactylaria, Dactylella, Lecanicillium, Nematoctonus, and Trichoderma (Supplementary Tables 4, 5). OTUs also included plant pathogenic fungi such as Musicillium theobromae (EF543859; JQ647444), the causal agent of cigar end rot (found in northern and southern banana samples), Macrophomina phaseolina (KF766195) (core microbiota), or other pathogens belonging to the genera Alternaria, Cladosporium, and Fusarium. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) included Funneliformis spp. (HF970250) and the ericoid mycorrhiza Oidiodendron spp. (KF156313, AF062793 core microbiota). Species reported in the literature as human pathogens, i.e., Basidiobolus ranarum, Lichtheimia corymbifera (GQ342878), or Actinomucor elegans, were also recorded (JN205828) (Supplementary Table 5).

Internal transcribed spacer PCA plots showed a clear repartition of samples in relation to the presence/absence of



differences in mean proportions among samples (B).

banana plants and latitude, visible at different taxonomic levels (Figures 7A,B).

Differences in taxa representation between the banana and control sample groups were observed for mycoparasitic fungi from the phyla Rozellomycota (endoparasites of other fungi) and Zygomycota (i.e., *Mortierella* spp.), which were more represented in the banana rhizosphere, whereas Ascomycota and Basidiomycota were more frequent among adjacent controls (**Figure 8A**; **Supplementary Figures 2A**,**B**). Hierarchical cluster analysis of samples using ITS sequence abundance showed a major effect of banana plants and, to a lesser extent, of latitude, whereas the crop cultivation method did not appear to affect the clusterings (**Supplementary Figure 2C**). Species of nematophagous fungi within Orbiliales appeared more represented in the banana samples, although with a low proportion, mostly represented by *Arthrobotrys* (Figures 8B, 9A,B; Supplementary Table 4), together with Mortierellales and an unclassified order from the phylum Rozellomycota (Supplementary Figure 3).

The more represented fungi at the family or genus level were analyzed by comparing sequence representation (%) in samples grouped by crop and latitude, as well as by other classification variables. Differences were found for fungal families in relation to the sample origin (banana rhizosphere vs. controls), age of crops, density levels of predatory or free-living nematodes, plant germplasm, and location of farms (**Supplementary Figure 4**). When comparing banana rhizosphere vs. control samples, the most represented families



showed a higher abundance of Microascaceae, Wallemiaceae, and Hypocreaceae in the banana rhizosphere, whereas AMF (Glomeraceae and Claroideoglomeraceae) were more abundant in the control soils, as also in younger (<5 years old) plantations (**Supplementary Figures 4A,B**). Considering the location, an effect was shown for fungi associated with organic matter (with a higher abundance of Wallemiaceae in the northern samples, and Trichocomaceae in the southern ones) together with a higher abundance of Glomeraceae and Hypocreaceae in the northern farms (**Supplementary Figure 4E**).

Considering both combined factors, a higher representation was found for *Wallemia* spp. (most represented in the banana northern fields >40 years old), with Hypocreaceae, a *Petriella* sp. and an unclassified member of Claroideoglomeraceae (most frequent in the northern and southern banana samples). Unclassified members of Glomeraceae and Bolbitiaceae were more represented in the northern controls, whereas *Metarhizium* spp. with an unclassified Cystolepiota were most common in the southern controls. An unclassified member of Hypocreaceae was more represented in conventional crops when grouping samples by the cultivation method, whereas *Arthrobotrys* and *Microascus* spp. were more frequent in integrated crops (**Supplementary Table 6**). Banana cv Gruesa showed, when compared to the most prevalent cv Gran Enana and controls, a low abundance of Claroideoglomeraceae and Microascaceae, with a higher content of Vibrisseaceae and an unclassified family within Pezizomycetes (ectomycorrhizae) (**Supplementary Figure 4D**).

Grouping by soil type showed a significantly higher representation of: *Penicillium, Coniochaeta*, and an uncl. Chaetomiaceae in clay soils, *Leucoagaricus* with an uncl. Agaricales in sandy soils, *Phialocephala* and *Wallemia* in sandy-clay soils, *Sagenomella* in sandy-clay-loamy soils, and *Metarhizium* in sandy-loamy soils. For the effect of pH, *Diaporthe* was more frequent in moderately alkaline soil (pH 7.9–8.4), and an unclassified member of Zygomycota was more represented in slightly acidic soil (pH 6.3–6.5) (**Supplementary Table 6**).

Grouping by nematodes showed a higher representation of Wallemia, Petriella, Phialocephala, and Ceratobasidium with higher densities of Helicotylenchus spp., and of Diaporthe and Petriella with medium densities of P. goodevi (Supplementary Table 6). Phialocephala, Paraconiothyrium, Coniothyrium, Meyerozyma, and Beauveria, with unclassified members of Glomerales, Bionectriaceae, and Sordariomycetes, were significantly more represented in samples with high densities of free-living nematodes. An unclassified member of Tulasnellaceae, along with Zopfiella spp. and Cunninghamella spp., were more represented in samples with medium to highest densities of predatory nematodes (Supplementary Table 6). Higher densities of predatory nematodes were associated with a higher abundance of Wallemiaceae and Hypocreaceae, whereas free-living nematodes were associated with an increase in Debaryomycetaceae (budding yeasts), Vibrisseaceae, and an unclassified family within Saccharomycetales (Supplementary Figures 4C,F).

Plant pathogenic fungal genera *Alternaria*, *Macrophomina*, and *Pyrenochaeta* along with others were mostly found in control samples, except *Ceratobasidium* and *Chaetomium*, which were more represented in the banana rhizosphere samples (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

A number of diversity indexes were calculated for the ITS data to verify the effects of cropping and latitude on the sample groups examined. Dominance levels in all sample groups were low (0.1-0.2 range), indicating that there were no outstanding taxa (Supplementary Tables 7A,B). As shown by the mean comparisons (Student's *t*-test, p < 0.05, **Supplementary Table 7C**), the  $\alpha$ -diversity analysis for the ITS data showed no significant differences between banana samples when they were only grouped by southern vs. northern latitude. On the contrary, most indexes showed significant differences when adjacent, northern, and southern controls were compared. Comparison of northern banana plants vs. adjacent controls showed an effect on the number of taxa, richness (Menhinick, Margalef, and Fisher alpha), and Chao-1 only, indicating that the effect occurred at the level of the rarest taxa. The northern controls also differed significantly from the southern banana and control groups, for the same indexes. Comparison of the southern banana samples vs. adjacent controls showed differences for individuals, Simpson and Shannon indexes, and Menhinick and Margaleff indexes, confirming differences for species richness, as for all sample groups (Supplementary Table 7C).

## **Cooccurrence and Correlations**

The correlations between nematodes and fungi or bacteria appeared specific to each nematode species or functional group, when density data and the number of sequences were used. Spearman's correlations showed positive links of *P. goodeyi* densities with bacteria from the genera *Pedomicrobium*,

Afifella, Pilimelia, Hyphomicrobium, Rhodoplanes, Microlunatus, Clostridium, and Streptomyces, and unclassified members of Solibacterales and Rhizobiales, Rhodospirillaceae and Ellin329. Significant inverse relationship were found for this nematode with an unclassified member of Rhodobacteraceae and, among fungi, with Zopfiella (Sordariomycetes), Ceratobasidium (Cantharellales), unclassified Pezizomycetes, Spiromastix, Sordaria, Arthrographis, and Chaetomium (Supplementary Table 8).

A broader set of taxa was correlated with Helicotylenchus spp. Positive correlations included, among fungi, the plant pathogen Thanatephorus cucumeris (teleomorph of Rhizoctonia solani), Mortierella oligospora, Cyphellophora, Sarocladium, and unclassified Rozellomycota, Hypocreales, Stramenopiles, Eurotiales, and Onygenales. Among bacteria, positive correlations included members of the genera Iamia, Amaricoccus, Planctomyces, and Kribbella. Unclassified taxa positively correlated with Helicotylenchus spp. included members of different bacterial lineages, both unclassified (C111, X0319\_7L14, S085, WD2101, SAR202, Ellin6529, Ellin6075, and Ellin329) or classified (Nocardioidaceae, Sphingomonadales, Micrococcales, Solibacterales, Hyphomicrobiaceae, Hyphomonadaceae, Erythrobacteraceae, Pseudonocardiaceae, and Acidimicrobiales) (Supplementary Table 8). As for P. goodeyi, inverse correlations were also found for Helicotylenchus spp. with Zopfiella and, among the fungi, Chaetomium and Spiromastix. Further negative correlations for these nematodes included members of Acidimicrobiales, Rhodobacteraceae, and the fungus A. luteoalbus.

Fungi correlated with predatory nematodes (dorylaims and rhabdites) included *Venturia* spp., *A. luteoalbus*, an unclassified member of Mytilinidiaceae and a nematophagous *Dactylella* spp. Negative correlations involved the fungus *Malassezia globosa*, and unclassified bacterial taxa from *Streptomyces*, Caulobacteraceae, Rhodospirillales, and Acidimicrobiales (**Supplementary Table 8**).

Free-living nematodes were positively correlated with a different group of bacteria, including Cryptococcus randhawii and members of the genera Rhodoplanes, Roseomonas, Sphingomonas, Leptolyngbya, Kaistobacter, Streptomyces, and Microlunatus, with further unclassified taxa (members of Caldilineaceae, WD2101, Ellin6075, Acidimicrobiales, Bradyrhizobiaceae, and Solibacterales). Fungi positively correlated with free-living nematodes included Stemphylium herbarum, species from the genera Articulospora, Westerdykella, Stramenopiles, Cyphellophora, Mortierella, and members of Olpidiales, Chaetothyriales, and Agaricales. Inverse correlations involved Streptomyces spp., Mesorhizobium spp., and members of Nocardioidaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, Rhodobacteraceae, S085, and Ellin6529. Among fungi, negative correlations were found with Spiromastix sp. and a member of Sordariomycetes (Supplementary Table 8).

Arthrobotrys and Microascus were significantly more represented on farms applying IPM, whereas an unclassified member of Hypocreaceae was more represented on conventional farms (**Supplementary Table 6**). A plant pathogenic fungus, *Diaporthae* spp., and an organic matter decomposer, *Petriella* spp., were more represented at medium densities of *P. goodeyi* (151–533 nematodes  $\times$  100 cc soil<sup>-1</sup>). The latter was also more represented, with *Wallemia* and the endophytes *Phialocephala* and *Ceratobasidium* spp., an orchid mycorrhyza and biocontrol agent, respectively (Mosquera-Espinosa et al., 2013), in samples with higher *Helicotylenchus* spp. numbers (**Supplementary Table 6**).

Positive or negative correlations with soil pH were found within the same bacterial lineages (i.e., Acidimicrobiales and Rhodospirillaceae). Taxa most negatively correlated with pH included unclassified members of Ellin329, AKIW874, S085, Alphaproteobacteria, Rhodospirillaceae, Hyphomonadaceae, Rhodospirillaceae, with *Ca.* Solibacter, *Pilimelia* spp. and, among fungi, *Mortierella capitata* (**Supplementary Table 8**).

# DISCUSSION

Metabarcoding data showed a clear separation of microbial and fungal samples on the PCA and MDS planes, with clusterings associated with the presence/absence of banana roots, and latitude (**Figures 2–4**, **6**). The representation of the samples hence appears indicative of both anthropogenic effects due to cultivation and, to a lesser extent, farm local climate, which affects a number of microbial taxa. The local climate depends on latitude, differing between northern areas (more humid and cooler, due to exposure to trade winds and ocean currents) and southern zones (arid and more exposed to Sahara heatwaves).

Both climate and aboveground cover have been consistently recognized as key factors influencing soil microbiota profiles. The effect of the aboveground cover on belowground microorganisms has been reported under different agroecological conditions, ranging from pot trials to natural ecosystems. Cropping affects soil microorganisms through many factors including cultivation regimes, plant cover and age, root exudates, and soil types (Berg and Smalla, 2009; Reinhold-Hurek et al., 2015; Compant et al., 2019; Delitte et al., 2021). Experimental data from assays carried out under controlled conditions (with faba bean and wheat plants) for example, showed an effect of the plant species on the soil fungal communities profiles, with differences related to the sample origin (bulk soil or rhizosphere). The tested cropping regimes (monocultures vs. intercroppings) also showed an effect of rhizosphere soil on bacterial diversity and richness (Granzow et al., 2017).

The differences observed between the banana and control samples were also reflected in the different fungal families (Wallemiaceae, Microascaceae, and Agaricaceae) and genera (unclassified member of Claroideoglomeraceae), which were more represented in the banana samples (Supplementary Table 6). These changes, including organic matter decomposers and an AMF, appear to be consistent with the introduction and cultivation of the plants. The mechanisms underpinning such an effect are likely related to the farming practices applied, including an enriched organic matter content in the first soil layers, due to the practice of covering the soil surface with banana decaying leaves, adopted locally by farmers. Fertilization, organic matter decomposition, root exudates, and irrigation are likely factors responsible for the observed changes in microbiota structures. Metabarcoding data on banana root endophytes, proceeding from the same environment, showed significant differences between mother plants and suckers, with *Pseudomonas* spp. as the most prevalent endophytic bacteria (Gómez-Lama Cabanás et al., 2021). The microbial community structure originally present in the soil is hence dependent on microenvironmental changes, shifting composition profiles from soil to rhizosphere, up to plants tissues, suggesting a progressive adaptation to the changes that characterize the colonized microhabitats.

The metabarcoding data also showed the presence of several known BCA species of nematodes or fungi. Microbial antagonists of nematodes have a potential to naturally regulate or even suppress PPN numbers, as well as to induce a defense reaction in plants (Oka, 2010; Liang et al., 2019; Topalović and Heuer, 2019). Although correlations may not be considered as a sufficient determinant of an antagonistic or cooperative/exclusion interaction, our data showed specific links of taxa with the variables considered. However, only a limited number of known nematophagous species was directly linked to PPN in the banana samples. Surprisingly, the co-occurrence analysis showed that, apart from the nematode trapping fungus A. oligospora, the bacterial and fungal taxa correlated to nematode densities did not include known nematophagous BCA species (Supplementary Table 8). The data instead indicated an effect of the nematode guilds as each considered group (two plant parasites, a free-living and a predatory species group) showed significant correlations with a specific subset of unique bacteria and fungi. In particular, the densities of both P. goodey and Helicotylenchus spp. showed positive correlations with different microbial species. The latter was correlated with a broader range of taxa, different from that of P. goodeyi, including, among others, the plant pathogenic fungus T. cucumeris (teleomorph of R. solani), with other unclassified and poorly studied bacterial lineages (i.e., C111, WD2101, SAR202, and Ellin6529). Moreover, a few significant negative correlations with fungi or bacteria were found for both PPN groups, that included Spiromastix spp., a genus of fungi producing antibacterial compounds (Niu et al., 2014), also inversely correlated to free-living nematodes (Supplementary Table 8). Negative correlations were found more frequently for free-living, microbiovorous nematodes, likely related to the short life cycle of microbiovorous species, to their direct exposure to fungi or bacteria and possibly to the release of microbial toxic compounds. In this case, a microbial antagonistic activity affects hosts and antagonists almost at the same time, yielding inverse relationships. As the PPN spend part of their cycle in the roots a delay usually occurs between the pathogen and nematode density shifts, affecting correlations. This may be also due to the PPN longer life cycle (4-5 weeks), and to the more complex dispersal and infection strategies of their antagonists.

Data thus indicate that the links between the nematophagous BCA species found and their target PPN hosts are more complex than expected, characterized also by a microbial trophic range and adaptive metabolic capability likely wider than known.

An example of this complexity is given by OTUs classified in the genus Beauveria, a fungus usually associated with insects, whose higher frequency in banana samples and higher representation with the highest densities of free-living nematodes (together with other BCA of fungi such as Paraconiothyrium) (Supplementary Tables 4, 6) is worth further investigation. Despite suggestive of an association, direct assays are needed to establish a dependence of Beauveria spp. on nematodes, as both may be correlated to a further, unknown factor (i.e., increased invertebrate densities in the rhizosphere due to higher fertility levels or enhanced freeder roots development). Further assays are hence needed to clarify this aspect. Few data are, moreover, available in the literature for a Beauveria nematophagous activity, apart from an isolate of Beauveria bassiana obtained from cysts of Heterodera filipjevi and successfully tested as a BCA vs. the nematode juvenile stages, in controlled conditions (Zhang et al., 2020).

The data also suggest a probable association of the entomopathogenic fungus M. anisopliae with insects other than the banana weevil C. sordidus, which may represent a secondary host, as the fungus was more represented, with similar frequency levels, in the control samples. An inverse relationship of this fungus with soil pH was also found (Supplementary Table 4). A phylogenetically close species, the endophyte P. chlamydosporia, was also found in the banana rhizosphere samples, although with a low frequency (Supplementary Table 4). As an endophyte, this species is capable to colonize banana roots, inducing plant growth promotion (Mingot-Ureta et al., 2020). The fungus is also a nematode egg parasite, and is known to activate defense-related genes in endophytically colonized roots (Manzanilla-López et al., 2013), an effect also observed in banana aboveground tissues (Tolba et al., 2021). This fungus may also produce secondary metabolites with insecticidal activities (Lacatena et al., 2019).

The nematode BCA data also showed a number of *Trichoderma* spp., which were found with a higher frequency in the banana samples (**Supplementary Table 4**). Several *Trichoderma* spp. have been reported as an efficient BCA of nematodes, including *Trichoderma harzianum* (Sharon et al., 2001; Sahebani and Hadavi, 2008; Fan et al., 2020). However, no correlation was found for *Trichoderma* spp. with PPN among the samples examined (**Supplementary Table 8**). Moreover, an effective role of *Trichoderma* spp. against plant pathogens, i.e., *Fusarium* spp., has been reported for many hosts, including *Musa* spp. (Sivan and Chet, 1986; Thangavelu and Gopi, 2015; Chaves et al., 2016; Bunbury-Blanchette and Walker, 2019).

The densities of *P. goodeyi* and *Helicotylenchus* spp. in soil varied largely among samples. The endoparasite *P. goodeyi* was only found in the northern banana fields, with a maximum density of 1,100 nematodes  $\times 100 \text{ cc soil}^{-1}$ . *Helicotylenchus* spp. were found in 90% of the northern samples and <10% in the southern samples, with a maximum of 2,300 individuals  $\times 100 \text{ cc soil}^{-1}$  (**Supplementary Table 2**; **Supplementary Figure 1**). Both nematodes are severe parasites of *Musa* spp., and may reach high densities in roots (Ssango et al., 2004; Roderick et al., 2012). Due to the low amounts of roots collected and required for metabarcoding analyses, nematode densities were preferably assessed in the soil. Density levels appeared, however, sufficient

to sustain a severe root infestation, and compatible with the field data reported on other *Musa cvs* from other regions (Talwana et al., 2000; Aguirre et al., 2016). The presence of several BCA and the observed PPN densities appear indicative of complex rhizosphere interactions, based on the BCA host preference, polyphagy, and other interactions that may affect nematode regulation.

The metabarcoding analysis also showed the occurrence of antagonists of fungi, including A. luteoalbus and unclassified species of phyla Rozellomycota and Zygomycota (Supplementary Figures 2A,B). The former has been reported as an antagonist of Alternaria, Fusarium, and Phytophthora spp. (Lv et al., 2019). It was reported as a causal agent of ginger rhizome rot (Moreira et al., 2013), as an antagonist of fungi and a mushroom pathogen (He et al., 2010; Zhang and Tang, 2015), and as an endophytic plant growth promoter (Khalmuratova et al., 2021). Rozellomycota include mostly unclassified zoosporic species characterized by thick-walled resting spores and obligate parasitism on protozoa and fungi (Doweld, 2013). They were found almost uniquely in the banana rhizosphere (Figures 7, 8). Although the biology of these taxa is still poorly investigated, a natural regulatory role may be assigned to members of these clades, which include endoparasitic species in fungi and other eukaryotes. Their density and frequency in banana samples may have been favored by the organic matter applied to plants and by irrigation, providing beneficial services in the rhizosphere such as carbon and nutrients recycling, as well as the regulation of fungal root pathogens.

Finally, the effect of cropping regimes on microbial community structures is considered to be more effective over a longer cultivation period, resulting more evident in older crops or plants (Granzow et al., 2017). Farm age, however, did not show significant differences in the sequence representations (%) of fungi, apart from *Wallemia*, a basidiomycete genus including species inhabiting highly osmotic environments including dry and hypersaline substrates (Zajc and Gunde-Cimerman, 2018), more represented in farms >40 years old (**Supplementary Table 6**).

# CONCLUSIONS

The comparison of microbiota composition between banana rhizosphere samples and close, adjacent controls deprived of banana roots showed differences affecting both bacterial and fungal profiles, indicating an effect of cropping. When considering other variables, sample clusterings also reflected latitude effects for both bacteria and fungi. Metabarcoding data showed the occurrence of taxa reported as BCA of nematodes, as well as other endophytes, mycorrhizal species, and obligate endoparasitic taxa (i.e., Rozellomycota), almost only present in the banana samples. However, apart from *a Dactylella* spp., the nematophagous fungi did not show a strict association or correlation with the two PPN species found, *P. goodeyi* and *Helicotylenchus* spp. Instead, differences were found among the nematode guilds as each phytoparasitic, freeliving, and predatory nematode group showed correlations with a specific and different subset of bacteria and fungi. Other factors considered, such as crop cultivation method and soil texture, showed differences in fungal sequence representations as a function of the different variables examined. They were mostly related to trophic specialization and specific biotic requirements or adaptations to a range of decomposers, beneficial endophytes, mycorrhizae, or BCA, as well as plant pathogens. In conclusion, the belowground bacterial and fungal microbiota profiles were affected by plants and latitude, and showed different links to the nematode taxa present. As the impact of microbial species depends not only on their relative but also on their absolute abundance, direct, and specific quantification measurements of the rhizosphere microbial loads for most differential taxa may result informative to exploit the nematode and BCA interactions detected.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets produced in this study can be found in the following online repository: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, SRA BioProject PRJNA540248.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AC, MC, and LR planned and designed the research work. JL-C, MC, and LR performed local samplings. MC performed RNA

## REFERENCES

- Aguirre, O., Chávez, C., Giraud, A., and Araya, M. (2016). Frequencies and population densities of plant-parasitic nematodes on banana (*Musa* AAA) plantations in Ecuador from 2008 to 2014. *Agron. Col.* 34, 61–73. doi: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v34n1.53915
- Baker, G. C., Smith, J. J., and Cowan, D. A. (2003). Review and reanalysis of domain-specific 16S primers. J. Microbiol. Meth. 55, 541–555. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2003.08.009
- Bardgett, R. D., Cook, R., Yeates, G. W., and Denton, C. S. (1999). The influence of nematodes on below-ground processes in grassland ecosystems. *Plant Soil* 212, 23–33. doi: 10.1023/A:1004642218792
- Berg, G., Köberl, M., Rybakova, D., Müller, H., Grosch, R., and Smalla, K. (2017). Plant microbial diversity is suggested as the key to future biocontrol and health trends. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 93, 5. doi: 10.1093/femsec/ fix050
- Berg, G., Rybakova, D., Fischer, D., Cernava, T., Champomier Vergès, M. C., Charles, T., et al. (2020). Microbiome definition re-visited: old concepts and new challenges. *Microbiome* 8, 103. doi: 10.1186/s40168-020-00875-0
- Berg, G., and Smalla, K. (2009). Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of microbial communities in the rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 68, 1–13. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00654.x
- Boag, B., and Jairajpuri, M. S. (1985). *Helicotylenchus scoticus* n. sp. and a conspectus of the genus *Helicotylenchus* Steiner, 1945 (Tylenchida: Nematoda). *Sys. Parasitol.*7, 47–58. doi: 10.1007/BF00010161
- Bubici, G., Kaushal, M., Prigigallo, M. I., Gómez-Lama Cabanás, C., and Mercado-Blanco, J. (2019). Biological control agents against Fusarium wilt of banana. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 1290. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01290
- Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., Van Themaat, E. V. L., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2013). Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 807–838. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120106

extraction, bioinformatic work, and the production of sequence data sets. AC and MC interpreted and analyzed the data and wrote this manuscript. All authors critically reviewed, revised, and approved the final version of this manuscript.

# FUNDING

Research funded by EU H2020 Project MUSA, Microbial uptakes for sustainable management of major banana pests and diseases, GA n. 727624.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the collaboration provided during sampling by the following producers and technicians of Plátano de Canarias: A. González Benítez, Eudosio, K. Leone, and J. Augusto Mederos. The authors also gratefully thank Colpon Agricola S. L. and Finca Siverio for their support provided during the sampling procedures, N. Centorame for assistance and funds management, and the reviewers for their helpful and constructive suggestions.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.855110/full#supplementary-material

- Bunbury-Blanchette, A. L., and Walker, A. K. (2019). Trichoderma species show biocontrol potential in dual culture and greenhouse bioassays against Fusarium basal rot of onion. Biol. Control. 130, 127–135. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.11.007
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., et al. (2010). Qiime allows analysis of high throughput community sequence data. *Nature Meth.* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Chaverri, P., and Samuels, G. J. (2002). *Hypocrea lixii*, the teleomorph of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Mycol. Progr.* 1, 283–286. doi: 10.1007/s11557-006-0025-8
- Chaves, N. P., Staver, C., and Dita, M. A. (2016). Potential of *Trichoderma* asperellum for biocontrol of Fusarium wilt in banana. *Acta Hortic.* 1114, 261–265. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2016.1114.35
- Claesson, M. J., Wang, Q., O'Sullivan, O., Greene-Diniz, R., Cole, J. R., Ross, R. P., et al. (2010). Comparison of two next-generation sequencing technologies for resolving highly complex microbiota composition using tandem variable 16S rRNA gene regions. NAR 38, e200. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq873
- Clarridge, J. E. (2004). Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 17, 840–862. doi: 10.1128/CMR.17.4.840-862.2004
- Compant, S., Samad, A., Faist, H., and Sessitsch, A. (2019). A review on the plant microbiome: ecology, functions, and emerging trends in microbial application. *J. Adv. Res.* 19, 29–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2019.03.004
- Coyne, D. L., Cortada, L., Dalzell, J. J., Claudius-Cole, A. O., Haukeland, S., Luambano, N., et al. (2018). Plant-parasitic nematodes and food security in sub-Saharan Africa. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 56, 381–403. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080417-045833
- Delitte, M., Caulier, S., Bragard, C., and Desoignies, N. (2021). Plant microbiota beyond farming practices: a review. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 5, 624203. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2021.624203
- Domínguez, J., Negrín, M. A., and Rodríguez, C. M. (2001). Aggregate waterstability, particle-size and soil solution properties in conducive and suppressive

soils to Fusarium wilt of banana from Canary Islands (Spain). *Soil Biol Biochem.* 33, 449–455.

- Doweld, A. B. (2013). Nomenclatural novelties: Rozellomycota phyl. nov. Index fungorum 43, 1.
- Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2460–2461, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461
- Effendi, Y., Pambudi, A., and Pancoro, A. (2019). Metagenomic analysis of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*-infected soil in banana plantation, Sukabumi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 20, 1939–1945. doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d200721
- Fan, H., Yao, M., Wang, H., Zhao, D., Zhu, X., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). Isolation and effect of *Trichoderma citrinoviride* Snef1910 for the biological control of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *BMC Microbiol.* 20, 299. doi: 10.1186/s12866-020-01984-4
- Gómez-Lama Cabanás, C., Fernández-González, A. J., Cardoni, M., Valverde-Corredor, A., López-Cepero, J., Fernández-López, M., et al. (2021). The banana root endophytome: differences between mother plants and suckers and evaluation of selected bacteria to control *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense. J. Fungi* 7, 194. doi: 10.3390/jof7030194
- Goodey, T. (1963). Soil and Freshwater Nematodes. Methuen, MA and London, 544.
- Granzow, S., Kaiser, K., Wemheuer, B., Pfeiffer, B., Daniel, R., Vidal, S., et al. (2017). The effects of cropping regimes on fungal and bacterial communities of wheat and faba bean in a greenhouse pot experiment differ between plant species and compartment. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 902. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00902
- Hammer, Y., Harper, D. A. T., and Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Pal. El.* 4, 4.
- Handoo, Z. A., and Golden, A. M. (1989). A key and diagnostic compendium to the species of the genus *Pratylenchus* Filipjev, 1936 (lesion nematodes). *J. Nematol.* 21, 202–218.
- He, S., Jin, X., and Wang, S. (2010). Antagonistic activity of Acrostalagmus luteo-albus against plant pathogenic fungi. J. Gansu. Agric. Univ. 45, 60–65.
- Heberle, H., Meirelles, G. V., da Silva, F. R., Telles, G. P., and Minghim, R. (2015). InteractiVenn: a web-based tool for the analysis of sets through Venn diagrams. *BMC Bioinformatics* 16, 169. doi: 10.1186/s12859-015-0611-3
- Jacoby, R., Peukert, M., Succurro, A., Koprivova, A., and Kopriva, S. (2017). The role of soil microorganisms in plant mineral nutrition – Current knowledge and future directions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1617. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01617
- Kaushal, M., Swennen, R., and Mahuku, G. (2020). Unlocking the microbiome communities of banana (*Musa* spp.) under disease stressed (Fusarium wilt) and non-stressed conditions. *Microorganisms* 8, 443. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8030443
- Kettler, T. A., Doran, J. W., and Gilbert, T. L. (2001). Simplified method for soil particle-size determination to accompany soil-quality analyses. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 65, 849–852. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2001.653849x
- Khalmuratova, I., Choi, D. H., Yoon, H. J., Yoon, T. M., and Kim, J. G. (2021). Diversity and plant growth promotion of fungal endophytes in five halophytes from the buan salt marsh. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 31, 408–418. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2012.12041
- Lacatena, F., Marra, R., Mazzei, P., Piccolo, A., Digilio, M. C., Giorgini, M., et al. (2019). Chlamyphilone, a novel *Pochonia chlamydosporia* metabolite with insecticidal activity. *Molecules* 24, 750. doi: 10.3390/molecules24040750
- Larriba, E., Jaime, M. D., Nislow, C., Martin-Nieto, J., and Lopez-Llorca, L. V. (2015). Endophytic colonization of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) roots by the nematophagous fungus *Pochonia chlamydosporia* reveals plant growth promotion and a general defense and stress transcriptomic response. J. Plant Res. 128, 665–678. doi: 10.1007/s10265-015-0731-x
- Leff, J. W., Bardgett, R. D., Wilkinson, A., Jackson, B. G., Pritchard, W. J., De Long, J. R., et al. (2018). Predicting the structure of soil communities from plant community taxonomy, phylogeny, and traits. *ISME J.* 12, 1794–1805. doi: 10.1038/s41396-018-0089-x
- Liang, L. M., Zou, C. G., Xu, J., and Zhang, K. Q. (2019). Signal pathways involved in microbe-nematode interactions provide new insights into the biocontrol of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180317–20180317. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2018.0317
- Liu, Z., DeSantis, T. Z., Andersen, G. L., and Knight, R. (2008). Accurate taxonomy assignments from 16S rRNA sequences produced by highly parallel pyrosequencers. NAR 36, e120. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn491

- Liu, Z., Lozupone, C., Hamady, M., Bushman, F. D., and Knight, R. (2007). Short pyrosequencing reads suffice for accurate microbial community analysis. NAR 35, e120. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm541
- Lv, Y., Shen, Y., Shi, Y., Shen, F., Chen, Y., Zi, S., et al. (2019). Root-endophytic fungi diversity of fuzi (*Aconitum carmichaelii*) and their anti-fungal activity. *Appl. Ecol. Env. Res.* 17, 15289–15300. doi: 10.15666/aeer/1706\_1528915300
- Magoc, T., and Salzberg, S. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507
- Manzanilla-López, R. H., Esteves, I., Finetti-Sialer, M. M., Hirsch, P. R., Ward, E., Devonshire, J., et al. (2013). *Pochonia chlamydosporia*: advances and challenges to improve its performance as a biological control agent of sedentary endoparasitic nematodes. J. Nematol. 45, 1–7.
- Martínez Arbas, S., Busi, S. B., Queirós, P., de Nies, L., Herold, M., May, P., et al. (2021). Challenges, strategies, and perspectives for referenceindependent longitudinal multi-omic microbiome studies. *Front. Genet.* 12, 666244. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.666244
- Masella, A. P., Bartram, A. K., Truszkowski, J. M., Brown, D. G., and Neufeld, J. D. (2012). PANDAseq: paired-end assembler for Illumina sequences. BMC Bioinformat. 13, 31. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-13-31
- McDonald, D., Price, M. N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E. P., DeSantis, T. Z., Probst, A., et al. (2012). An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J.* 6, 610–618. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.139
- McMurdie, P. J., and Holmes, S. (2013). Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE* 8, e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Mingot-Ureta, C., Lopez-Moya, F., and Lopez-Llorca, L. V. (2020). Isolates of the nematophagous fungus *Pochonia chlamydosporia* are endophytic in banana roots and promote plant growth. *Agronomy* 10, 1299. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10091299
- Moens, T., Araya, M., Swennen, R., and De Waele, D. (2006). Reproduction and pathogenicity of *Helicotylenchus multicinctus*, *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pratylenchus coffeae*, and their interaction with *Radopholus* similis on *Musa*. Nematology 8, 45–48. doi: 10.1163/1568541067761 79999
- Mohan, S., Kumar, K. K., Sutar, V., Saha, S., Rowe, J., and Davies, K. G. (2020). Plant root-exudates recruit hyperparasitic bacteria of phytonematodes by altered cuticle aging: implications for biological control strategies. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 763. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00763
- Moreira, S. I., Dutra, D. C., Rodrigues, A. C., de Oliveira, J. R., Dev Dhingra, O., and Liparini Pereira, O. (2013). Fungi and bacteria associated with post-harvest rot of ginger rhizomes in Espírito Santo, Brazil. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* 38, 218–226. doi: 10.1590/S1982-56762008000300008
- Mosquera-Espinosa, A. T., Bayman, P., Prado, G. A., Gómez-Carabal,í, A., and Tupac Otero, J. (2013). The double life of *Ceratobasidium*: orchid mycorrhizal fungi and their potential for biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* sheath blight of rice. *Mycologia* 105, 141–150. doi: 10.3852/12-079
- Niu, S., Liu, D., Hu, X., Proksch, P., Shao, Z., and Lin, W. (2014). Spiromastixones A–O, antibacterial chlorodepsidones from a deep-sea-derived *Spiromastix* sp. fungus. J. Nat. Prod. 77, 1021–1030. doi: 10.1021/np5000457
- Oka, Y. (2010). Mechanisms of nematode suppression by organic soil amendments – A review. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. Appl. Soil Ecol. 44, 101–115. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2009.11.003
- Parks, D. H., and Beiko, R. G. (2010). Identifying biologically relevant differences between metagenomic communities. *Bioinformatics* 26, 715–721. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq041
- Parks, D. H., Tyson, G. W., Hugenholtz, P., and Beiko, R. G. (2014). STAMP: statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles. *Bioinformatics* 30, 3123–3124. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu,494
- R Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Available online at: https:// www.R-project.org/ (accessed March 31, 2022).
- Ram, K., Gruner, D. S., McLaughlin, J. P., Preisser, E. L., and Strong, D. R. (2008). Dynamics of a subterranean trophic cascade in space and time. *J. Nematol.* 40, 85–92.
- Ravi, S., Sevugapperumal, N., Nallusamy, S., Shanmugam, H., Mathiyazhagan, K., Rangasamy, A., et al. (2021). Differential bacterial endophytome in

Foc-resistant banana cultivar displays enhanced antagonistic activity against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense (Foc). Env. Microbiol. 24, 15800. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15800

- Reinhold-Hurek, B., Bünger, W., Burbano, C. S., Sabale, M., and Hurek, T. (2015). Roots shaping their microbiome: global hotspots for microbial activity. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 53, 403–424. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102342
- Roderick, H., Mbiru, E., Coyne, D., Tripathi, L., and Atkinson, H. J. (2012). Quantitative digital imaging of banana growth suppression by plant parasitic nematodes. *PLoS ONE* 7, e53355. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053355
- Sahebani, N., and Hadavi, N. (2008). Biological control of the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne javanica by Trichoderma harzianum. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 2016–2020. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.03.011
- Schoeneberger, P., Wysocki, D., Benham, E., and Broderson, W. (2012). Field book for describing and sampling soils, version 2.0. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Version 3.0. Lincoln, NE: Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, 298.
- Sharon, E., Bar-Eyal, M., Chet, I., Herrera-Estrella, A., Kleifeld, O., and Spiegel, Y. (2001). Biological control of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* by *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Phytopathology* 91, 687–693. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO.2001.91.7.687
- Sivan, A., and Chet, I. (1986). Biological control of *Fusarium* spp. in cotton, wheat and muskmelon by *Trichoderma harzianum*. J. Phytopathol. 116, 39–47. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0434.1986.tb00892.x
- Ssango, F., Speijer, P. R., Coyne, D. L., and De Waele, D. (2004). Path analysis: a novel approach to determine the contribution of nematode damage to East African Highland banana (*Musa* spp., AAA) yield loss under two crop management practices in Uganda. *Field Crops Res.* 90, 177–187. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2004.02.018
- Takahashi, S., Tomita, J., Nishioka, K., Hisada, T., and Nishijima, M. (2014). Development of a prokaryotic universal primer for simultaneous analysis of bacteria and archaea using Next-Generation Sequencing. *PLoS ONE* 9, e105592. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0105592
- Talwana, H. A. L., Speijer, P. R., and De Waele, D. (2000). Spatial distribution of nematode population densities and nematode damage in roots of three banana cultivars in Uganda. *Nematropica* 30,19–31.
- Tedersoo, L., Sánchez-Ramírez, S., Kõljalg, U., Bahram, M., Döring, M., Schigel, D., et al. (2018). High-level classification of the Fungi and a tool for evolutionary ecological analyses. *Fungal Divers*. 90, 135–159. doi: 10.1007/s13225-018-0401-0
- Thangavelu, R., and Gopi, M. (2015). Combined application of native *Trichoderma* isolates possessing multiple functions for the control of Fusarium wilt disease in banana cv. *Grand Naine. Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* 25, 1147–1164. doi: 10.1080/09583157.2015.1036727
- Tian, B., Yang, J., and Zhang, K. Q. (2007). Bacteria in the biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: populations, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 61, 197–213. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00349.x
- Tolba, S. R. T., Rosso, L. C., Pentimone, I., Colagiero, M., Moustafa, M. M. A., Elshawaf, I. I. S., et al. (2021). Root endophytism by *Pochonia chlamydosporia* affects defense-gene expression in leaves of monocot and dicot hosts under multiple biotic interactions. *Plants* 10, 718. doi: 10.3390/plants1004 0718
- Topalović, Н (2019).Plant-nematode O., and Heuer. interactions assisted by microbes the rhizosphere. in Curr. Mol. Biol. 30, 75-88. doi: 10.21775/cimb.030. Issues 075

- Topalović, O., Hussain, M., and Heuer, H. (2020). Plants and associated soil microbiota cooperatively suppress plant-parasitic nematodes. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 313. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00313
- Uzma, I., Nasira, K., Firoza, K., and Shahina, F. (2015). Review of the genus *Helicotylenchus* Steiner, 1945 (Nematoda: Hoplolaimidae) with updated diagnostic compendium. *Pak. J. Nematol.* 33, 115–160. doi: 10.18681/2015.v33.i02.p01201507310001
- Van de Peer, Y., Chapelle, S., and De Wachter, R. (1996). A quantitative map of nucleotide substitution rates in bacterial rRNA. NAR 24, 3381–3391. doi: 10.1093/nar/24.17.3381
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. (1990). "Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics," in *PCR Protocols:* a Guide to Methods and Applications, eds M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky, and T. J. White (New York, NY: Academic Press), 315–322.
- Wickham, H. (2016). *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.
- Xue, C., Ryan Penton, C., Shen, Z., et al. (2015). Manipulating the banana rhizosphere microbiome for biological control of Panama disease. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11124. doi: 10.1038/srep11124
- Yang, S., Lin, S., Kelen, G. D., Quinn, T. C., Dick, J. D., Gaydos, C. A., et al. (2002). Quantitative multiprobe PCR assay for simultaneous detection and identification to species level of bacterial pathogens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 3449–3454. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.9.3449-3454.2002
- Zajc, J., and Gunde-Cimerman, N. (2018). The genus *Wallemia* from contamination of food to health threat. *Microorganisms* 6, 46. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms6020046
- Zhang, G. Z., and Tang, C. Y. (2015). First report of *Acrostalagmus luteo-albus* causing red rust of needle mushroom (*Flammulina velutipes*) in China. *Plant Dis.* 99, 158. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-07-14-0728-PDN
- Zhang, J., Fu, B., Lin, Q., Riley, I. T., Ding, S., Chen, L., et al. (2020). Colonization of *Beauveria bassiana* 08F04 in root-zone soil and its biocontrol of cereal cyst nematode (*Heterodera filipjevi*). *PLoS ONE* 15, e0232770. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0232770
- Zhuang, X., Zhao, J. L., Bai, M., Ping, X. X., Li, Y. L., Yang, Y. H., et al. (2021). *Pochonia chlamydosporia* isolate PC-170-induced expression of marker genes for defense pathways in tomatoes challenged by different pathogens. *Microorganisms* 9, 1882. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9091882

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ciancio, Rosso, Lopez-Cepero and Colagiero. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.