[Data in Brief 8 \(2016\) 1433](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.07.060)–1437

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/23523409)

Data in Brief

journal homepage: <www.elsevier.com/locate/dib>

Data Article

Summary data of potency and parameter information from semi-mechanistic PKPD modeling of prolactin release following administration of the dopamine $D₂$ receptor antagonists risperidone, paliperidone and remoxipride in rats

Amit Taneja ^{a, 1}, An Vermeulen ^b, Dymphy R.H. Huntjens ^b, Meindert Danhof^c, Elizabeth C.M. De Lange^c, Iohannes H. Proost $a,*$

^a Division of Pharmacokinetics, Toxicology and Targeting, Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy, University of Groningen, Antonius Deusinglaan 1, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands **b** Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacometrics, Janssen Research & Development, A Division of Janssen

Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium

^c Department of Pharmacology, Leiden Academic Center for Drug Research, Leiden University, The Netherlands

article info

Article history: Received 8 July 2016 Received in revised form 23 July 2016 Accepted 28 July 2016 Available online 6 August 2016

ABSTRACT

We provide the reader with relevant data related to our recently published paper, comparing two mathematical models to describe prolactin turnover in rats following one or two doses of the dopamine $D₂$ receptor antagonists risperidone, paliperidone and remoxipride, "A comparison of two semi-mechanistic models for prolactin release and prediction of receptor occupancy following administration of dopamine D_2 receptor antagonists in rats" (Taneja et al., 2016) [\[1\].](#page-4-0) All information is tabulated. Summary level data on the in vitro potencies and the physicochemical properties is presented in [Table 1.](#page-2-0) Model parameters required to explore the precursor pool model are presented in [Table 2.](#page-2-0) In [Table 3](#page-3-0), estimated parameter comparisons for both models are

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2016.07.005

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.07.060>

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: j.h.proost@rug.nl (J.H. Proost).

¹ Present address: Pharmacometrics and Biometrics, Kinesis Pharma BV, Breda, The Netherlands.

^{2352-3409/} \odot 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

presented, when separate potencies are estimated for risperidone and paliperidone, as compared to a common potency for both drugs. In [Table 4](#page-4-0), parameter estimates are compared when the drug effect is parameterized in terms of drug concentration or receptor occupancy.

 \odot 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specifications Table

Value of the data

Data can be used

- To compare experimental findings in literature with our model-based approach.
- As prior information, especially when the available data is scarce.
- For exploratory modeling.
- For translation from rat to humans.

1. Data

The information is presented in 4 tables. [Table 1](#page-2-0) presents the in vitro inhibition constant (KI) values in rat and humans and physicochemical characteristics of the antipsychotics risperidone, paliperidone and remoxipride. [Table 2](#page-2-0) presents the pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic model

Table 1

Overview of literature KI values and physicochemical characteristics of risperidone, paliperidone and remoxipride.

* Data on file.

** Data from Richtand et al. [\[2\]](#page-4-0). Values depicted for D_2 and D_2 long receptor in vitro experimental KI.

Table 2

Model parameters used for the simulations in exploratory model analysis. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters obtained from Kozielska et al. [\[3\]](#page-4-0) and Stevens et al. [\[4\]](#page-4-0), respectively.

Parameter	Estimate
CL $(l \cdot h^{-1})$	1.62
VI(1)	1.29
$Q(l \cdot h^{-1})$	0.0882
V2(1)	0.169
F	1
<i>Ka</i> (h^{-1})	2.84
$C_{prl,0}$ (ng · ml ⁻¹)	6.2
R_{form} (ng \cdot ml ⁻¹ \cdot h ⁻¹)	$35.3*$
K_{base} (h ⁻¹)	0.57
K_{out} (h ⁻¹)	5.7
E_{max}	25
EC_{50} (ng · ml ⁻¹)	0.08
γ	1
E_{max_pf}	3.5
EC_{50_pf} (ng \cdot ml ⁻¹)	12.4

 $CL =$ clearance from the central compartment, $VI =$ volume of the central compartment, $Q =$ intercompartmental clearance, $V2 =$ volume of the peripheral compartment, $F =$ bioavailability, $Ka =$ absorption constant, $C_{prl,0} =$ plasma concentration of prolactin in the absence of antipsychotic drug, R_{form} = zero-order rate constant for prolactin synthesis, K_{base} = first-order rate constant of prolactin release from the pool, K_{out} = first-order rate constant of elimination of prolactin from plasma, E_{max} = maximum increase in the prolactin release from the pool, $EC_{50} =$ drug concentration at half-maximal effect, γ = slope parameter, E_{max_pf} = maximum prolactin feedback, EC_{50_pf} = plasma prolactin concentration at half-maximal effect.

 R_{form} is calculated as the product of $C_{prl,0}$. K_{out} (equation (5) of Taneja et al. [\[1\]\)](#page-4-0).

Table 3

Parameter comparison (relative standard error in %) of the pool model with different ECu_{50} for risperidone and paliperidone vs. single ECu_{50} and the interaction model with different KI for risperidone and paliperidone vs single KI.

 R_{form} = zero-order rate constant for prolactin synthesis, K_{base} = first-order rate constant of prolactin release from the pool, K_{out} = first-order rate constant of elimination of prolactin from plasma, E_{max} = maximum increase in the prolactin release from the pool, EC_{u50} = unbound drug concentration at half-maximal effect, γ = slope parameter, IIV = inter-individual variability, $K_{in,0} =$ basal prolactin release rate, $K_{DA} =$ first-order turnover constant for hypothetical dopamine, $DAs_0 =$ hypothetical scaled dopamine concentration at baseline, $K I =$ drug potency parameter.

parameters used to perform exploratory model simulations of the precursor pool model, as referred to in Section 3.2, Fig. 5 of Taneja et al. [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Table 3 presents the model parameters assuming equal or different potency of risperidone and paliperidone. [Table 4](#page-4-0) presents the model parameters obtained with different parameterizations, assuming either unbound drug concentration or dopamine $D₂$ receptor occupancy as the driving force for drug effect.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Details of the experimental procedures have been described previously [\[1,5,6\].](#page-4-0)

Table 4

Pool model: Comparison of parameter estimates with parameterization of drug effects as ECu_{50} as compared to RO_{50} (relative standard error in %).

 R_{form} = zero-order rate constant for prolactin synthesis, K_{base} = first-order rate constant of prolactin release from the pool, K_{out} = first-order rate constant of elimination of prolactin from plasma, E_{max} = maximum increase in the prolactin release from the pool, EC_{u50} = unbound drug concentration at half-maximal effect, RO_{50} = receptor occupancy at half-maximal effect, γ = slope parameter, IIV = inter-individual variability.

 $NA = not applicable.$

 $NF = not$ estimated.

 $*$ KI risperidone/paliperidone = 2.55 nM, KI remoxipride = 370.66 nM (fixed to in vitro experimental values).

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Dutch Top Institute Pharma (TI Pharma) (Project no. D2-501) PK-PD Platform 2.0. A. Vermeulen and D.R.H. Huntjens are employees of Janssen Research and Development. The authors have no other conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] A. Taneja, A. Vermeulen, D.R.H. Huntjens, M. Danhof, E.C.M. De Lange, J.H. Proost, A comparison of two semi-mechanistic models for prolactin release and prediction of receptor occupancy following administration of dopamine $D₂$ receptor antagonists in rats, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 789 (2016) 202–214. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2016.07.005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2016.07.005)
- [2] N.M. Richtand, J.A. Welge, A.D. Logue, P.E. Keck Jr., S.M. Strakowski, R.K. McNamara, Dopamine and serotonin receptor binding and antipsychotic efficacy, Neuropsychopharmacology 32 (8) (2007) 1715-1726. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301305) [npp.1301305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1301305).
- [3] M. Kozielska, M. Johnson, V. Pilla Reddy, A. Vermeulen, C. Li, S. Grimwood, R. de Greef, G.M. Groothuis, M. Danhof, J. H. Proost, Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling of the D(2) and 5-HT(2A) receptor occupancy of risperidone and paliperidone in rats, Pharm. Res. 29 (7) (2012) 1932–1948. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11095-012-0722-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11095-012-0722-8)
- [4] J. Stevens, B.A. Ploeger, M. Hammarlund-Udenaes, G. Osswald, P.H. van der Graaf, M. Danhof, E.C. de Lange, Mechanismbased PK-PD model for the prolactin biological system response following an acute dopamine inhibition challenge: quantitative extrapolation to humans, J. Pharmacokinet. Pharmacodyn. 39 (5) (2012) 463–477. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10928-012-9262-4) [s10928-012-9262-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10928-012-9262-4)
- [5] J. Stevens, D.J. van den Berg, S. de Ridder, H.A. Niederlander, P.H. van der Graaf, M. Danhof, E.C. de Lange, Online solid phase extraction with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry to analyze remoxipride in small plasma-, brain homogenate-, and brain microdialysate samples, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 878 (13-14) (2010) 969–975. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2010.02.024>.
- [6] J. Stevens, B.A. Ploeger, P.H. van der Graaf, M. Danhof, E.C. de Lange, Systemic and direct nose-to-brain transport pharmacokinetic model for remoxipride after intravenous and intranasal administration, Drug Metab. Dispos. 39 (12) (2011) 2275–2282. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.111.040782.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.111.040782)