

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Antipsychotic use in pregnancy and risk of attention/ deficit-hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder: a Nordic cohort study

Óskar Hálfdánarson (b), ¹ Jacqueline M Cohen (b), ^{2,3} Øystein Karlstad (b), ³ Carolyn E Cesta (b), ⁴ Marte-Helene Bjørk (b), ^{5,6} Siri Eldevik Håberg (b), ² Kristjana Einarsdóttir (b), ¹ Kari Furu (b), ^{2,7} Mika Gissler (b), ^{8,9} Vidar Hjellvik (b), ³ Helle Kieler (b), ^{4,10} Maarit K Leinonen (b), ⁸ Mette Nørgaard (b), ^{11,12} Buket Öztürk Essen, ^{11,12} Sinna Pilgaard Ulrichsen (b), ^{11,12} Johan Reutfors (b), ⁴ Helga Zoega (b), ^{1,13}

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/ebmental-2021-300311).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Helga Zoega, Centre for Big Data Research in Health, Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; h. zoega@unsw.edu.au

Received 28 July 2021 Accepted 31 October 2021 Published Online First 22 November 2021

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Hálfdánarson Ó, Cohen JM, Karlstad Ø, *et al. Evid Based Ment Health* 2022;**25**:54–62. ABSTRACT

Background Antipsychotics are increasingly used among women of childbearing age and during pregnancy.

Objective To determine whether children exposed to antipsychotics *in utero* are at increased risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or autism spectrum disorder (ASD), accounting for maternal diagnoses of bipolar, psychotic and other psychiatric disorders.

Design

Population-based cohort study, including a sibling analysis.

Setting

Nationwide data on all pregnant women and their liveborn singletons in Denmark (1997-2017), Finland (1996-2016), Iceland (2004-2017), Norway (2004-2017), and Sweden (2006-2016).

Participants

4 324 086 children were eligible for inclusion to the study cohort.

Intervention

Antipsychotic exposure *in utero*, assessed by pregnancy trimester, type of antipsychotic, and varying patterns of use.

Main outcome measures

Non-mutually exclusive diagnoses of ADHD and ASD. We used Cox proportional hazard models to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) controlling for maternal psychiatric disorders and other potential confounding factors.

Findings Among 4 324 086 singleton births, 15 466 (0.4%) were exposed to antipsychotics *in utero*. During a median follow-up of 10 years, we identified 72 257 children with ADHD and 38 674 children with ASD. Unadjusted HRs were raised for both outcomes but shifted substantially towards the null after adjustment;

1.10 (95%CI 1.00 to 1.27) for ADHD and 1.12 (0.97 to 1.29) for ASD. Adjusted HRs remained consistent by trimester of exposure and type of antipsychotic. Comparing *in utero* exposure with pre-pregnancy use yielded HRs of 0.74 (0.62 to 0.87) for ADHD and 0.88 (0.70 to 1.10) for ASD. Sibling analyses yielded HRs of 1.14 (0.79 to 1.64) for ADHD and 1.34 (0.75 to 2.39) for ASD.

What is already known on this topic

- Indications for antipsychotic use have expanded in recent years with frequent off-label use.
- Antipsychotics are increasingly used among women of reproductive age and during pregnancy.
- Long-term safety data are sparse and little is known about the consequences of exposure to antipsychotics *in utero* for child neurodevelopment.

What are the new findings?

- The findings of this multinational cohort study of over 4 million births, suggest little or no increased risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder or autism spectrum disorder among children exposed to antipsychotics *in utero* when accounting for maternal psychotic or bipolar disorder and other psychiatric disorders.
- Our results do not support a recommendation to discontinue treatment for women who need antipsychotics during pregnancy.

Discussion Our findings suggest little or no increased risk of child ADHD or ASD after *in utero* exposure to antipsychotics.

Clinical implications Results regarding child neurodevelopment are reassuring for women who need antipsychotics during pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

Although the primary indications for antipsychotic medications are schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, these medications are also used to treat other conditions such as anxiety, depression, insomnia, substance abuse, pain and nausea.^{1–4} In a multinational study covering 10 countries, we recently



demonstrated increasing use of newer (atypical) antipsychotics in pregnancy,⁵ which may have fewer adverse effects and be less likely to affect fertility than older (typical) antipsychotics.⁶

The risks of congenital anomalies and short-term neonatal complications associated with antipsychotic exposure *in utero* have been evaluated in epidemiologic studies,^{7–9} however, data on the risk of neurodevelopmental disorders during childhood are sparse.^{10–13} This is despite potential neurotoxic effects of some antipsychotics in vitro and in vivo,¹⁴ and evidence that these substances cross the placenta.¹⁵ Two recent systematic reviews^{11 12} concluded that there was no clear evidence of long-term effects after exposure to antipsychotics *in utero*. Some evidence suggested an effect on short-term developmental delay in childhood, but this was based on limited data and larger studies with long-term follow-up are needed.^{1 10 12}

Given the paucity of safety evidence, we aimed to examine the risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in children who were exposed to antipsychotics *in utero*. We leveraged nationwide register data from five Nordic countries covering more than four million births to evaluate associations between exposure to antipsychotics *in utero* and subsequent risks of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in children. We conducted analyses stratified by maternal psychiatric disorders, for which antipsychotics are indicated, to account for confounding by indication as well as sibling analyses to account for shared genetic and environmental factors.

METHODS

Study design and data sources

We conducted a population-based cohort study based on nationwide population data and health registers from the five Nordic countries. Personal identification numbers, assigned to each resident at birth or immigration, enabled individual-level data linkage across prospectively collected data on prescription fills, health conditions, sociodemographic characteristics, pregnancy characteristics and birth outcomes. Reporting to the Nordic registers is mandatory and regulated by national laws.¹⁶

Study population

According to data availability in each country, the study population included all live-born singletons in the Medical Birth Registers of Denmark 1997–2017, Finland 1996–2016, Iceland 2004–2017, Norway 2004–2017 and Sweden 2006–2016. We also identified siblings born to the same mother during these periods. We excluded children with missing or invalid data on gestational age and those with a chromosomal anomaly or fetal alcohol-spectrum disorder diagnosed in the first year of life (online supplemental figure 1).

Antipsychotic exposure

We determined medication exposure through the nationwide prescription registers¹⁷ and identified antipsychotics according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC)¹⁸ classification group N05A. We divided substances into typical and atypical antipsychotics (online supplemental table 1). Lithium (N05AN01) has a different mechanism of action and was not considered as an antipsychotic in this study. Prochlorperazine (N05AB04) is almost exclusively used as an antiemetic in pregnancy,⁵ and children who were exposed to this substance and no other antipsychotic *in utero* were excluded (online supplemental figure 1). In general, antipsychotics are dispensed for a maximum of 3 months' use in the Nordic countries.

The start of pregnancy and gestational age were based on estimation of the last menstrual period (LMP) using prenatal ultrasound. We defined children as exposed *in utero* if mothers filled at least one antipsychotic prescription during pregnancy, that is, from LMP to birth. This was examined as the primary exposure window. We also assessed antipsychotic exposure by trimester of pregnancy: first (LMP to 97 days after LMP), second (98 days after LMP to 202 days after LMP) and third (203 days after LMP to birth). Children whose mothers did not fill a prescription for an antipsychotic drug from 90 days before LMP to birth were considered unexposed, and, thus, comprised the primary comparison group.

Outcome ascertainment

For child neurodevelopmental outcomes, we identified all (nonmutually exclusive) diagnoses of ADHD and ASD through records in the Nordic health registers, which cover inpatient hospital care, outpatient specialist visits and prescription fills. Children were considered to have ADHD at age 3 or older once they had: two recorded diagnoses of F90.0, F90.1 or F90.2 according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th revision (ICD-10) or one recorded diagnosis and two prescription fills for centrally acting sympathomimetics (N06BA, excluding modafinil) or guanfacine (C02AC02). For ASD, children were considered to have the outcome if at age 1 or older they had a recorded ICD-10 diagnosis of F84.0, F84.1 or F84.5. Previous studies suggest a high specificity of these diagnoses in the Nordic registers, with positive predictive values over 90%, and that prescription fills are a valid indicator of ADHD diagnosis.¹⁹⁻²² By requiring two recorded ADHD diagnoses or one diagnosis and two prescription fills, we further enhanced the specificity of the outcome.

Covariates

We considered several demographic and clinical factors in our analyses. As covariates, we included country, birth year, maternal birth country, education, age, cohabitation, smoking status and body mass index (BMI) in early pregnancy, parity and sex of child (eTable 2). Maternal BMI was not available in the Danish data set. We identified maternal comorbidities recorded from 12 months before LMP to birth and use of other medication, including teratogenic substances, from 90 days before LMP to birth. As indications for antipsychotic use, we considered recorded maternal diagnoses of (1) psychotic or bipolar disorder, (2) other psychiatric disorder, (3) no recorded psychiatric condition. Online supplemental table 2 lists all data sources, ICD-10 and ATC codes, variable categories and assessment windows we used to define covariates.

Data analysis

We used Cox proportional hazard regression models in all analyses, with children's age as the underlying timescale, to estimate both crude and adjusted HRs and 95% CIs for the association between ADHD and ASD and antipsychotic exposure *in utero*. The two distinct outcomes were analysed separately. Follow-up started at the date of birth and ended at the date when the outcome definition was met, emigration, death or end of the study period, whichever came first.

In the primary analysis, we compared risks of ADHD and ASD among children exposed and unexposed to antipsychotics *in utero*. We performed multivariable analyses with two levels of adjustments: (1) country, birth year, sex of child, maternal age and parity and (2) all measured confounding variables (table 1,

Pregnancy and peri-partum

	Full study population		Maternal psychotic or bipolar disorders		
	Exposed (n=15 466)	Unexposed (n=4 308 620)	Exposed (n=4385)	Unexposed (n=8356)	
laternal characteristics	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Age at delivery, years					
<20	446 (2.9)	76 196 (1.8)	92 (2.1)	190 (2.3)	
20–24	2564 (16.6)	580 868 (13.5)	655 (14.9)	1493 (17.9)	
25–29	4285 (27.7)	1 367 998 (31.8)	1141 (26.0)	2562 (30.7)	
30–34	4448 (28.8)	1 447 387 (33.6)	1283 (29.3)	2413 (28.9)	
35–39	2822 (18.2)	692 412 (16.1)	903 (20.6)	1351 (16.2)	
≥40	901 (5.8)	143 759 (3.3)	311 (7.1)	347 (4.2)	
Education	501 (5.0)	135 (3.5)	511 (7.1)	547 (4.2)	
Compulsory	3732 (24.1)	477 050 (11.1)	1000 (22.8)	2227 (26.7)	
Secondary	3760 (24.3)	1 305 399 (30.3)	1053 (24.0)	2886 (34.5)	
•					
Postsecondary	2024 (13.1)	1 010 008 (23.4)	469 (10.7)	1490 (17.8)	
Postgraduate	359 (2.3)	263 335 (6.1)	92 (2.1)	276 (3.3)	
Missing	5591 (36.2)	1 252 828 (29.1)	1771 (40.4)	1477 (17.7)	
Cohabiting					
Yes	11 133 (72.0)	3 508 251 (81.4)	3039 (69.3)	5963 (71.4)	
No	4249 (27.5)	783 164 (18.2)	1325 (30.2)	2365 (28.3)	
Missing	84 (0.5)	17 205 (0.4)	21 (0.5)	28 (0.3)	
Mother born within source country					
Yes	12 170 (78.7)	3 478 423 (80.7)	3473 (79.2)	7016 (84.0)	
No	2574 (16.6)	701 117 (16.3)	675 (15.4)	1135 (13.6)	
Missing	722 (4.7)	129 080 (3.0)	237 (5.4)	205 (2.5)	
BMI*, early pregnancy					
<18.5	418 (2.7)	99 837 (2.3)	94 (2.1)	261 (3.1)	
18.5–24	5097 (33.0)	1 735 832 (40.3)	1364 (31.1)	3337 (39.9)	
25–29	3038 (19.6)	682 603 (15.8)	1043 (23.8)	1743 (20.9)	
30–34	1525 (9.9)	246 832 (5.7)	562 (12.8)	819 (9.8)	
≥35	922 (6.0)	117 031 (2.7)	387 (8.8)	476 (5.7)	
Missing	4466 (28.9)	1 426 485 (33.1)	935 (21.3)	1720 (20.6)	
Smoking, early pregnancy					
Yes	4600 (29.7)	475 860 (11.0)	1515 (34.5)	2031 (24.3)	
No	9310 (60.2)	3 499 645 (81.2)	2570 (58.6)	5782 (69.2)	
Missing	1556 (10.1)	333 115 (7.7)	300 (6.8)	543 (6.5)	
	1550 (10.1)	555 115 (7.7)	500 (0.0)	545 (0.5)	
Parity 0	7090 (45.8)	1 850 721 (43.0)	2235 (51.0)	4174 (50.0)	
1	4473 (28.9)	1 545 695 (35.9)	1154 (26.3)	2440 (29.2)	
≥2	3770 (24.4)	889 425 (20.6)	953 (21.7)	1709 (20.5)	
Missing	133 (0.9)	22 779 (0.5)	43 (1.0)	33 (0.4)	
Maternal comorbidity	(225 (2.2)		(10 (0 5)		
Yes	1395 (9.0)	161 014 (3.7)	418 (9.5)	571 (6.8)	
No	14 071 (91.0)	4 147 606 (96.3)	3967 (90.5)	7785 (93.2)	
Maternal psychiatric disorders					
Psychotic or bipolar disorders	4385 (28.4)	8356 (0.2)	4385 (100.0)	8356 (100.0)	
Other psychiatric disorders	5729 (37.0)	415 906 (9.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
No recorded psychiatric conditions	5352 (34.6)	3 884 358 (90.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Use of known teratogens					
Yes	531 (3.4)	9503 (0.2)	291 (6.6)	250 (3.0)	
No	14 935 (96.6)	4 299 117 (99.8)	4094 (93.4)	8106 (97.0)	
Use of suspected teratogens					
Yes	3211 (20.8)	127 660 (3.0)	1508 (34.4)	2675 (32.0)	
No	12 255 (79.2)	4 180 960 (97.0)	2877 (65.6)	5681 (68.0)	
Other medications during pregnancy					
Yes	9937 (64.3)	647 209 (15.0)	2747 (62.6)	4171 (49.9)	
No	5529 (35.7)	3 661 411 (85.0)	1638 (37.4)	4185 (50.1)	

Hálfdánarson Ó, et al. Evid Based Ment Health 2022;25:54-62. doi:10.1136/ebmental-2021-300311

Table 1 Continued

	Full study population	on	Maternal psychotic or	Maternal psychotic or bipolar disorders		
	Exposed (n=15 466)	Unexposed (n=4 308 620)	Exposed (n=4385)	Unexposed (n=8356)		
Children characteristics	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
Sex						
Male	7758 (50.2)	2 211 376 (51.3)	2289 (52.2)	4284 (51.3)		
Female	7708 (49.8)	2 097 244 (48.7)	2096 (47.8)	4072 (48.7)		
Calendar year of birth						
1996–2005	2338 (15.1)	1 148 146 (26.6)	600 (13.7)	764 (9.1)		
2006–2008	3645 (23.6)	808 282 (18.8)	612 (14.0)	1020 (12.2)		
2009–2011	3040 (19.7)	851 673 (19.8)	1010 (23.0)	1876 (22.5)		
2012–2014	3429 (22.2)	830 200 (19.3)	1175 (26.8)	2446 (29.3)		
2015–2017	3014 (19.5)	670 319 (15.6)	988 (22.5)	2250 (26.9)		

*BMI was not obtained from Denmark.

BMI, body mass index.

online supplemental table 2). We included country and birth year as strata variables in the models as these variables did not satisfy the proportional hazard assumption. Other variables were not found to seriously violate the proportional hazard assumption, judged from visual inspection of log cumulative hazard plots and smoothed plots of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals.²¹ To account for missing covariate values, we used the simplified two-stage calibration method proposed by Hjellvik *et al.*²³ With this method, partly adjusted effect estimates from the full population are calibrated by fully adjusted effect estimates from a subset of the population that has no missing covariate values. Clustered robust SEs were used to account for clustering of siblings.

We conducted stratified analyses by timing of antipsychotic exposure (first trimester only; second or third trimester only; throughout pregnancy, that is, first trimester and second or third trimester) and type of antipsychotic (typical only, atypical only). To evaluate the impact of confounding by indication, we performed analyses stratified by maternal psychiatric disorder.

We pooled data from Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden and analysed these as one cohort while data from Denmark were analysed separately, in compliance with national data protection regulations. The resulting effect estimates from the pooled cohort and the Danish cohort were combined at the final stage by a fixed effects meta-analysis approach.

Secondary analyses

We conducted four separate analyses to further explore the impact of potential confounding by indication and unmeasured confounding. First, we compared risks of ADHD and ASD in children whose mothers used antipsychotics during pregnancy versus those who only used antipsychotics prepregnancy (a prescription fill from 12 months to 90 days before LMP). Furthermore, we stratified this analysis by maternal psychiatric disorder. Second, to quantify the influence of maternal psychiatric disorder, we compared the risks of ADHD and ASD in children whose mothers had discontinued antipsychotic use before pregnancy (prepregnancy use only) versus those who had no recorded use of antipsychotic use before or during pregnancy. Third, to isolate the association between maternal psychotic or bipolar disorder and child neurodevelopment, we restricted the analysis to children whose mothers did not use any antipsychotics before or during pregnancy and compared risks of ADHD and ASD among those whose mothers had a psychotic or bipolar disorder versus those who did not. Finland only provided prescription data from

90 days before LMP until birth and, therefore, did not contribute to these secondary analyses.

Finally, we conducted a sibling analysis to further adjust for unmeasured confounding from family-related factors not captured in the study data, for example, genetic and social factors. We restricted the cohort to women with at least two children in our data and analysed siblings who were discordant for both exposure and outcome. We then compared the risks of ADHD and ASD in sibling clusters exposed and unexposed to antipsychotics *in utero*.

Sensitivity analyses

To reduce the possibility of exposure misclassification, we redefined the primary exposure definition requiring at least two filled antipsychotic prescriptions (from LMP to birth) for a child to be categorised as exposed in utero. Furthermore, as data were not available from the Norwegian Patient Registry before 2008, we conducted a sensitivity analysis restricting the Norwegian cohort to births after 2008 to test the robustness of the data included in the primary analysis from Norway. Finally, we conducted *post hoc* sensitivity analyses to elucidate whether the sibling analysis was impacted by selection bias, as the sibling comparison was by necessity restricted to a highly selected sample, that is, children with at least one sibling, and who were discordant on exposure *in utero* (see details in Supplement, online supplemental table 11, table 12).

All analyses were conducted in R (V.4.0.0). The research was approved by applicable ethics review boards and/or register controllers in all study countries.

RESULTS

We identified 4 324 086 children eligible to be included in the study cohort (online supplemental figure 1). Overall, 15 466 children (0.4%) were exposed to antipsychotics *in utero*; thereof 6478 (41.9%) in the first trimester only, 3349 (21.7%) in the second or third trimester only and 5639 (36.4%) throughout the pregnancy period. Maternal age, smoking, BMI, education, cohabitation and use of other medications in pregnancy differed between exposed and unexposed children (table 1). Among children, whose mothers had a diagnosis of psychotic or bipolar disorder, 4385 (34.4%) were exposed to antipsychotics *in utero*; among those whose mothers had other psychiatric disorders, 5729 (1.4%) were exposed.

Table 2 HRs and 95% CIs comparing the risk for ADHD in exposed and unexposed children by timing and type of antipsychotic exposure

	Number with	Number with		Hazard ratio (95% CI)		
	ADHD/N	Person-years at risk	Crude	Model 1*	Model 2†	
Antipsychotic exposure						
Unexposed	71775/4 308 620	41 863 339	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	482/15 466	130 211	2.28 (2.08 to 2.49)	2.00 (1.83 to 2.19)	1.10 (1.00 to 1.21)	
Timing of antipsychotic exposure						
First trimester only	210/6478	56 144	2.17 (1.90 to 2.49)	1.72 (1.51 to 1.97)	1.07 (0.93 to 1.23)	
Second/third trimester only	103/3349	28 534	2.24 (1.84 to 2.72)	2.09 (1.72 to 2.54)	1.08 (0.89 to 1.32)	
First trimester and second/third trimester	169/5639	45 534	2.45 (2.10 to 2.85)	2.46 (2.11 to 2.85)	1.06 (0.90 to 1.25)	
Type of antipsychotic exposure						
Typical antipsychotics only	272/6896	75 179	1.84 (1.63 to 2.08)	1.71 (1.51 to 1.93)	1.11 (0.98 to 1.26)	
Atypical antipsychotics only	166/7752	48 100	3.11 (2.67 to 3.62)	2.40 (2.06 to 2.79)	1.00 (0.85 to 1.17)	

*Adjusted for source country, birth year, sex of child, maternal age, and parity.

†Adjusted for source country, birth year, sex of child, maternal age, parity, maternal education, cohabitation, mother born within source country, BMI, smoking, maternal comorbidity, use of known teratogens, use of suspected teratogens, other medications during pregnancy.

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

Antipsychotics and ADHD

During 41 993 550 years of total follow-up time with a median of 9.8 years (25th to 75th percentile 5.5-14.3 years), we identified 72 257 children with ADHD, of whom 482 (3.1%) were exposed to antipsychotics in utero and 71 775 unexposed (1.7%). Comparing the risk of ADHD among exposed and unexposed children yielded a crude HR of 2.28 (95% CI 2.08 to 2.49). As presented in table 2, the association was attenuated with full adjustment (HR, 1.10; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.21). The HRs for ADHD remained similar across trimester of exposure and type of antipsychotics (table 2).

Stratifying by maternal psychiatric disorder yielded adjusted HRs of 0.90 (95% CI 0.70 to 1.15), 0.96 (95% CI 0.82 to 1.12) and 1.44 (95% CI 1.25 to 1.66), respectively, for psychotic or bipolar disorders; other psychiatric disorders; or no recorded psychiatric conditions (figure 1, online supplemental table 3).

Antipsychotics and ASD

During 42 113 726 years of total follow-up time with a median of 9.9 years (25th to 75th percentile, 5.5-14.3 years), we identified 38 674 children with ASD, of whom 238 (1.5%) were exposed to antipsychotics in utero and 38 436 (0.9%) unexposed. Comparing the risk of ASD among exposed and unexposed children yielded a crude HR of 2.12 (95% CI 1.86 to 2.41) and a fully adjusted HR of 1.12 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.29). The HRs for ASD remained similar across trimester of exposure but was slightly elevated with atypical antipsychotic exposure (table 3). Stratifying by maternal psychiatric disorder yielded fully adjusted HRs of 1.28 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.71), 1.09 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.37) and 1.27 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.60), respectively, for psychotic or bipolar disorders; other psychiatric disorders and no recorded psychiatric conditions (figure 2, online supplemental table 7).

Secondary analyses

To explore the role of confounding by indication, we conducted several secondary analyses implementing different comparisons with varying patterns of maternal antipsychotic use and underlying psychiatric disorders as well as sibling comparisons.

(1) Use in pregnancy versus prepregnancy use only: the risk of neurodevelopmental outcomes in children was decreased when maternal use of antipsychotics in pregnancy was compared with prepregnancy use only, yielding a fully adjusted HR 0.74 (95%

CI 0.62 to 0.87) for ADHD (figure 1, online supplemental table 4) and 0.88 (95% CI 0.70 to 1.10) for ASD (figure 2, online supplemental table 8). The estimates remained similar, when stratified by maternal psychiatric disorder.

(2) Pre-pregnancy use only versus no use during or before pregnancy: comparing maternal prepregnancy antipsychotic use with no use before or during pregnancy yielded a fully adjusted HR of 1.44 (95% CI 1.29 to 1.60) for ADHD (figure 1, online supplemental table 4) and 1.39 (95%CI, 1.19 to 1.63) for ASD (figure 2, online supplemental table 8).

(3) No use with psychotic disorder versus no use without psychotic disorder: restricting the analysis to children whose mothers had no use of antipsychotics before or during pregnancy yielded a fully adjusted HR of 1.79 (95% CI 1.52 to 2.11) for ADHD (figure 1, online supplemental table 4) and 1.89 (95% CI 1.54 to 2.30) for ASD (figure 2, online supplemental table 8).

(4) Sibling analysis: restricting the analysis to the 1 832 862 sibling clusters in our data, we identified 7424 siblings who were discordant for maternal antipsychotic use (online supplemental figure 1). Of these, 644 and 254 sibling clusters were discordant for both maternal antipsychotic use and ADHD and ASD, respectively. Comparing these siblings, we observed a fully adjusted HR of 1.14 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.64) for ADHD (figure 1, online supplemental table 4) and 1.34 (95% CI 0.75 to 2.39) for ASD (figure 2, online supplemental table 8).

Sensitivity analyses

The sensitivity analyses yielded results that were consistent with those observed in the primary analysis. Redefining antipsychotic exposure *in utero* as at least two filled prescriptions for an antipsychotic yielded HRs consistent with those observed in the primary analysis (online supplemental table 5, table 9). Restricting the Norwegian cohort to births after 2008 yielded results that were similar to those from the primary analyses (online supplemental table 6, table 10).

DISCUSSION

Main findings

In a multinational cohort study of over 4 million singleton births, followed through the Nordic health registers for a median of 10 years, we did not find convincing evidence of an increased risk of ADHD or ASD in children exposed to antipsychotics in

		-	
Pregnanc	1 0 0 0	DOK!	

Antipsychotic exposure	
------------------------	--

No. with ADHD/N Person-Years at risk

Fully adjusted HR (95% Cls)

Maternal psychiatric disorder

Psychotic or bipolar disorder					
Unexposed	222 / 8356	57727			1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	126 / 4385	33788	⊢ _∎		0.90 (0.70 - 1.15)
Other psychiatric disorders					
Unexposed	7751 / 415006	3078602			1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	162 / 5729	41816	—		0.96 (0.82 - 1.12)
lo recorded psychiatric conditions					
Unexposed	63802 / 3884358	38727009			1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	194 / 5352	54606		⊢∎⊣	1.44 (1.25 - 1.66)
Secondary analyses					
Pre-pregnancy use only	355 / 9743	76387			1.00 [Reference]
Use in pregnancy	303 / 10273	83827	⊢∎⊣		0.74 (0.62 - 0.87)
No use before or during pregnancy	48736 / 3134152	27326861			1.00 [Reference]
Pre-pregnancy use only	355 / 9743	76387		⊢∎⊣	1.44 (1.29 - 1.60)
No use before or during pregnancy, without psychotic or bipolar disorder	r 48593 / 3128500	27290564			1.00 [Reference]
No use before or during pregnancy, with psychotic or bipolar disorder	143 / 5652	36299		⊢∎	1.79 (1.52 - 2.11)
Sibling analysis					
Unexposed	354 / 835	8888			1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	185 / 483	3927	⊢		1.14 (0.79 - 1.64)
			0.50 0.75 1	.0 1.5 2.0	

Figure 1 HRs for child ADHD comparing the risk by varying patterns of maternal antipsychotic use and psychiatric disorders and in siblings exposed and unexposed to antipsychotics *in utero*. ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

utero. We observed an adjusted hazard estimate of 1.10 (95% CI 1.00 to 1.21) for ADHD and 1.12 (95% CI 0.97 to 1.29) for ASD with any antipsychotic exposure *in utero*. When accounting for maternal psychotic, bipolar and other psychiatric disorders in stratified analyses, there was, however, no indication of increased risk with pregnancy exposure.

Overall, our findings did not vary by trimester of exposure. We observed a slightly elevated hazard estimate for ASD with use of atypical antipsychotics warranting further investigation. The main findings remained consistent across several secondary and sensitivity analyses, where we implemented different comparisons in different subgroups, including siblings discordant for

Table 3 HRs and 95% CIs comparing the risk for ASD in exposed and unexposed children by timing and type of antipsychotic expo	Table 3	HRs and 95% Cls compa	aring the risk for ASD in ex	xposed and unexposed children	by timing and type	of antipsychotic expos
---	---------	-----------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------	------------------------

			Hazard ratio (95% C	I)		
	Number with ASD/N	Person-years at risk	Crude	Model 1*	Model 2†	
Antipsychotic exposure						
Unexposed	38436/4 308 620	41 982 697	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	238/15 466	131 029	2.12 (1.86 to 2.41)	2.11 (1.86 to 2.40)	1.12 (0.97 to 1.29)	
Timing of antipsychotic exposure						
First trimester only	105/6478	56 420	2.14 (1.76 to 2.59)	1.96 (1.62 to 2.38)	1.19 (0.97 to 1.44)	
Second/third trimester only	47/3349	28 781	1.96 (1.47 to 2.61)	2.11 (1.58 to 2.80)	1.11 (0.83 to 1.48)	
First trimester and second/third trimester	86/5639	45 826	2.24 (1.80 to 2.78)	2.35 (1.90 to 2.91)	1.04 (0.82 to 1.30)	
Type of antipsychotic exposure						
Typical antipsychotics only	113/6896	75 823	1.60 (1.33 to 1.93)	1.66 (1.38 to 2.00)	1.06 (0.87 to 1.28)	
Atypical antipsychotics only	110/7752	48 166	3.09 (2.56 to 3.73)	2.91 (2.41 to 3.52)	1.26 (1.03 to 1.54)	

*Adjusted for source country, birth year, sex of child, maternal age, and parity.

tAdjusted for source country, birth year, sex of child, maternal age, parity, maternal education, cohabitation, mother born within source country, BMI, smoking, maternal comorbidity, use of known teratogens, use of suspected teratogens, other medications during pregnancy.

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

Fully adjusted HR (95% CIs)

Maternal psychiatric disorder

Antipsychotic exposure

Psychotic or bipolar disorder				
Unexposed	139 / 8356	57891		1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	91 / 4385	33851	H	1.28 (0.95 - 1.71)
Other psychiatric disorders				
Unexposed	3829 / 415906	3089835		1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	76 / 5729	42108	⊢ _	1.09 (0.86 - 1.37)
No recorded psychiatric conditions				
Unexposed	34468 / 3884358	38834971		1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	71 / 5352	55070		1.27 (1.00 - 1.60)
Secondary analyses				
Pre-pregnancy use only	174 / 9743	76873		1.00 [Reference]
Use in pregnancy	174 / 10273	84178	⊢ ∎	0.88 (0.70 - 1.10)
No use before or during pregnancy	30815 / 3134152	27377539		1.00 [Reference]
Pre-pregnancy use only	174 / 9743	76873	⊢∎1	1.39 (1.19 - 1.63)
No use before or during pregnancy, without psychotic or bipolar disord	der 30716 / 3128500	27341143		1.00 [Reference]
No use before or during pregnancy, with psychotic or bipolar disorder	99 / 5652	36395	⊢ ∎i	1.89 (1.54 - 2.30)
Sibling analysis				
Unexposed	115 / 304	3042		1.00 [Reference]
Exposed anytime during pregnancy	82 / 186	1504	—	1.34 (0.75 - 2.39)

Figure 2 HRs for child ASD comparing the risk by varying patterns of maternal antipsychotic use and psychiatric disorders and in siblings exposed and unexposed to antipsychotics *in utero*. ASD, autism spectrum disorder; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

maternal antipsychotic use and outcome, and varied the exposure definition. Notably, our results clearly show an association between underlying maternal psychotic and bipolar disorders, that is, the main indications for antipsychotic use and children's risk of neurodevelopmental outcomes.

Interpretation and previous studies

Published data on the association between prenatal antipsychotic use and the risk of neurodevelopmental outcomes in children are lacking. The patterns observed in our study align with population-based data from Petersen et al showing that women prescribed antipsychotics in pregnancy were at increased risk of giving birth to a child with neurodevelopmental disorders, but once health and lifestyle factors and concomitant medication had been accounted for the association disappeared.¹³ While our results do not suggest an increased risk of child ADHD or ASD following in utero antipsychotic exposure, these outcomes were strongly associated with maternal diagnosis of psychotic and bipolar disorders. Having a parent with a severe mental illness such as schizophrenia or bipolar disorder has been shown to significantly increase the risk of ASD and ADHD.²⁴ Furthermore, previous studies have reported that maternal bipolar disorder and schizophrenia are associated with adverse birth outcomes, including preterm birth,^{24–26} which in itself has been proposed as a risk factor for ADHD in children.²⁷ Our results firmly suggest that shared familial environment and genetics play a critical role when evaluating the long-term safety of antipsychotic use in pregnancy. Recent epidemiologic and genetic research points to high heritability of both ADHD and ASD and shared genetic risk for these neurodevelopmental disorders with schizophrenia, other psychotic and bipolar disorders.^{28–30} Interestingly, we observed a decreased risk of ADHD and ASD with continuation of antipsychotic treatment in pregnancy compared with prepregnancy use only. There may be no clear explanation for this, but treatment continuation in pregnancy could be a marker for a well-treated stable disease without breakthrough symptoms.

Indications for antipsychotics have expanded in recent years with frequent off-label use. The elevated HR for both ADHD and ASD, observed among children whose mothers used antipsychotics during pregnancy without a recorded psychiatric disorder, might be explained by unmeasured confounding due to unspecific psychiatric symptoms not captured in our data, for example, anxiety, insomnia or nausea.^{1–4}

Strengths and limitations

Our study overcomes many of the limitations associated with prior observational studies of medication safety during pregnancy. With nationwide cohorts pooled across five countries and over 41 million years of follow-up of children from birth onwards, the study represents some of the largest cohorts assembled for the study of medication safety in pregnancy. Information on medication exposures was based on filled prescriptions and, thus, free from recall bias and primary non-adherence. We addressed the issue of confounding by indication with analyses stratified by maternal psychotic and bipolar disorders (main indications) and other psychiatric disorders (other possible indications). Our findings are further strengthened by secondary analyses suggesting positive associations between maternal psychiatric disease and children's risk of ADHD, but not between treatment with antipsychotics during pregnancy and ADHD in children. In sibling analyses, we were able to address residual confounding by time-invariant factors shared within a family, for example, genetic predisposition and social environment. But a sibling analysis reduces the size of the study cohort since only sibling pairs discordant for both exposure and outcome are informative and contribute to the effect estimate. leading to less precise effect estimates with wider CIs. While the sibling sample may represent a selected part of the population, for example, in terms of maternal fertility, age and severity of illness, our post hoc sensitivity analyses suggested that selection bias did not substantially affect these estimates (online supplemental table 11, table 12). Children's exposure status did not appear to be related to birth order and residual confounding by unmeasured familial factors appeared unsubstantial once we had accounted for all measured confounders.

The study also has limitations. First, even though the data sources contained vast amounts of patient-level information. data on maternal education, parity, cohabitation, maternal country of birth, maternal smoking and BMI were not complete. To counteract these limitations, we implemented a two-stage calibration method to address missing covariate values.²³ Furthermore, we lacked direct information on the underlying indication for which antipsychotics were prescribed and measurements about change in psychiatric disorders over the course of pregnancy. Second, although we minimised the influence of confounding by indication in stratified analyses and by adjusting for recorded maternal psychiatric disorders, some residual confounding by indication is still possible because of potential confounding factors not captured in the Nordic registers. Third, the cohort was restricted to live births, which could have resulted in underestimation of HRs due to selection bias. This bias would occur if in utero antipsychotic exposure was positively related to terminations and stillbirth, which in turn could lead to a diminished risk of ADHD and ASD in childhood (because children had not survived long enough to experience the outcome). However, the differences in the proportion of non-live births among women who received antipsychotics during pregnancy versus those who did not would have to be greater than seems plausible to fully account for the observed null findings. Fourth, we did not have reliable data on dispensed doses of antipsychotics, which hindered our ability to evaluate a potential dose-response association. Finally, as in all studies based on data on filled prescriptions, it remains uncertain whether and when the women consumed the dispensed medication. We addressed the possibility of misclassified medication exposure by conducting a sensitivity analysis requiring at least two prescription fills during the relevant exposure window, which did not lead to meaningful change of the primary results.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings suggest little or no increased risk of ADHD or ASD with exposure to antipsychotics *in utero*. The increased risk observed among children whose mothers had non-specified conditions is likely due to residual confounding. Corroborating previous research, we observed a strong association between the neurodevelopmental outcomes and maternal psychotic and bipolar disorders. Results regarding child neurodevelopment are reassuring for women who need antipsychotics during pregnancy.

Author affiliations

¹Centre of Public Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

²Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway ³Department of Chronic Diseases and Ageing, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

⁴Centre for Pharmacoepidemiology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

⁶Department of Neurology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway ⁷Department of Chronic Diseases and Ageing, Norwegian Institute of Public Helath, Oslo, Norway

⁸Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

⁹Research Centre for Child Psychiatry, University of Turku, Turku, Finland ¹⁰Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

 ¹¹Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark
¹²Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
¹³Centre for Big Data Research in Health, Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it first published. Buket Öztürk Essen has been changed to Buket Öztürk Esen.

Twitter Helga Zoega @HelgaZoega

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the Statistical Consulting Center at the University of Iceland's School of Health Sciences for their advice regarding the data analysis in the study. We would also like to thank the Icelandic Directorate of Health and other relevant data authorities in each country for providing data to this study.

Contributors HZ and OH contributed to all the aspects of this study. JMC, ØK, CEC, ML, VH and SPU were involved in preparation of analytic datasets, designing the study, and preparation of the final manuscript. JMC and JR were involved in drafting the manuscript. KF, KE, HK, JR, MG, M-HB, MN, BO and SEH were involved in designing the study and preparation of the final manuscript. HZ, OH, JMC, ØK, CEC, ML, VH, SPU, KF, HK, MG, MN and SPU had full access to all of the data (including statistical reports and tables) in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. HZ and OH are the guarantors. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Funding This study was funded by NordForsk as part of the Nordic Pregnancy Drug Safety Studies (NorPreSS), project number 83539 and by the Research Council of Norway as part of the International Pregnancy Drug Safety Studies (InPreSS), project number 273366. The study was also partly supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme, project number 262700, and by NordForsk as part of the Scandinavian Multi-Registry Study of Antiepileptic drug Teratogenicity Study (SCAN-AED), project number 83796. Mika Gissler and Maarit Leinonen received a grant from the Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI ConcePTION, grant agreement number 821520) during preparation of the manuscript. HZ was supported by a UNSW Scientia Program Award during the conduct of the study.

Disclaimer The funding source had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Competing interests CEC, HK, and JR are employees of the Centre for Pharmacopidemiology at Karolinska Institutet, which receives funding from pharmaceutical companies and regulatory authorities for drug safety/utilisation studies, unrelated to the submitted work. ØK, KF and JMC are employees of an institution, which received funding from pharmaceutical companies to conduct post-authorisation safety studies (PASS) of drugs unrelated to the submitted work (no personal fees). HZ is an employee of the Centre for Big Data Research in Health, UNSW Sydney which received funding from AbbVie Australia to conduct postmarketing drug utilisation research, unrelated to the submitted work.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This research and access to the Nordic data was approved by the National Bioethics Committee, the Data Protection Authority in Iceland, the Directorate of Health, and all other relevant data custodians; the Norwegian Data Inspectorate in Norway and the Regional Ethics Committee for Medical Research of South/East Norway in Oslo, Norway; the Regional Ethical Research Board in Stockholm, Sweden; the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare and the Social Insurance Institute in Finland. No ethics approval was required in Denmark and Finland.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed. Data availability statement No data are available. No additional data available.

Pregnancy and peri-partum

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Óskar Hálfdánarson http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4564-6126 Jacqueline M Cohen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7300-048 Øystein Karlstad http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1204-787X Carolyn E Cesta http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5759-9366 Marte-Helene Bjørk http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5745-1094 Siri Eldevik Håberg http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2199-5225 Kristjana Einarsdóttir http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4931-7650 Kari Furu http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2245-0179 Mika Gissler http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8254-7525 Vidar Hjellvik http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2379-9906 Helle Kieler http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9338-7133 Maarit K Leinonen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7631-4749 Mette Nørgaard http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6110-5891 Sinna Pilgaard Ulrichsen http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8679-5163 Johan Reutfors http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1372-4262 Helga Zoega http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0761-9028

REFERENCES

- Alexander GC, Gallagher SA, Mascola A, et al. Increasing off-label use of antipsychotic medications in the United States, 1995-2008. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2011:20:177–84.
- 2 Gjerden P, Bramness JG, Tvete IF, et al. The antipsychotic agent quetiapine is increasingly not used as such: dispensed prescriptions in Norway 2004-2015. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2017;73:1173–9.
- 3 Murray-Brown F, Dorman S. Haloperidol for the treatment of nausea and vomiting in palliative care patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;11:CD006271.
- 4 Seidel S, Aigner M, Ossege M. Antipsychotics for acute and chronic pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;8:CD004844.
- 5 Reutfors J, Cesta CE, Cohen JM, et al. Antipsychotic drug use in pregnancy: a multinational study from ten countries. Schizophr Res 2020;220:106–15.
- 6 Horacek J, Bubenikova-Valesova V, Kopecek M, et al. Mechanism of action of atypical antipsychotic drugs and the neurobiology of schizophrenia. CNS Drugs 2006;20:389–409.
- 7 Coughlin CG, Blackwell KA, Bartley C, et al. Obstetric and neonatal outcomes after antipsychotic medication exposure in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2015;125:1224–35.
- 8 Huybrechts KF, Hernández-Díaz S, Patorno E, et al. Antipsychotic use in pregnancy and the risk for congenital malformations. JAMA Psychiatry 2016;73:938–46.

- 9 Vigod SN, Gomes T, Wilton AS, et al. Antipsychotic drug use in pregnancy: high dimensional, propensity matched, population based cohort study. BMJ 2015;350:h2298.
- 10 Galbally M, Snellen M, Power J. Antipsychotic drugs in pregnancy: a review of their maternal and fetal effects. *Ther Adv Drug Saf* 2014;5:100–9.
- 11 Gentile S, Fusco ML. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in infants exposed in utero to antipsychotics: a systematic review of published data. CNS Spectr 2017;22:273–81.
- 12 Ornoy A, Weinstein-Fudim L, Ergaz Z. Antidepressants, antipsychotics, and mood stabilizers in pregnancy: what do we know and how should we treat pregnant women with depression. *Birth Defects Res* 2017;109:933–56.
- 13 Petersen I, McCrea RL, Sammon CJ, *et al.* Risks and benefits of psychotropic medication in pregnancy: cohort studies based on UK electronic primary care health records. *Health Technol Assess* 2016;20:1–176.
- 14 Vucicevic L, Misirkic-Marjanovic M, Paunovic V, et al. Autophagy inhibition uncovers the neurotoxic action of the antipsychotic drug olanzapine. Autophagy 2014;10:2362–78.
- 15 Newport DJ, Calamaras MR, DeVane CL, et al. Atypical antipsychotic administration during late pregnancy: placental passage and obstetrical outcomes. Am J Psychiatry 2007;164:1214–20.
- 16 Ludvigsson JF, Håberg SE, Knudsen GP, et al. Ethical aspects of registry-based research in the Nordic countries. Clin Epidemiol 2015;7:491–508.
- 17 Furu K, Wettermark B, Andersen M, et al. The Nordic countries as a cohort for pharmacoepidemiological research. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2010;106:86–94.
- World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. ATC/DDD index, 2020. Available: https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/ [Accessed Aug 2020].
- 19 Atladottir HO, Gyllenberg D, Langridge A, et al. The increasing prevalence of reported diagnoses of childhood psychiatric disorders: a descriptive multinational comparison. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2015;24:173–83.
- 20 Suren P, Havdahl A, Oyen AS. Diagnosing autism spectrum disorder among children in Norway. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2019;139.
- 21 Lampi KM, Sourander A, Gissler M, et al. Brief report: validity of Finnish registry-based diagnoses of autism with the ADI-R. Acta Paediatr 2010;99:1425–8.
- 22 Surén P, Bakken IJ, Aase H, et al. Autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, epilepsy, and cerebral palsy in Norwegian children. *Pediatrics* 2012;130:e152–8.
- 23 Hjellvik V, De Bruin ML, Samuelsen SO, et al. Adjusting for unmeasured confounding using validation data: simplified two-stage calibration for survival and dichotomous outcomes. Stat Med 2019;38:2719–34.
- 24 McCoy BM, Rickert ME, Class QA, et al. Mediators of the association between parental severe mental illness and offspring neurodevelopmental problems. Ann Epidemiol 2014;24:629–34. 34 e1..
- 25 Bodén R, Lundgren M, Brandt L, et al. Risks of adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes in women treated or not treated with mood stabilisers for bipolar disorder: population based cohort study. BMJ 2012;345:e7085.
- 26 Vigod SN, Fung K, Amartey A, et al. Maternal schizophrenia and adverse birth outcomes: what mediates the risk? Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2020;55:561–70.
- 27 Sucksdorff M, Lehtonen L, Chudal R, et al. Preterm birth and poor fetal growth as risk factors of Attention-Deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics* 2015;136:e599–608.
- 28 Cheng C-M, Chang W-H, Chen M-H, et al. Co-Aggregation of major psychiatric disorders in individuals with first-degree relatives with schizophrenia: a nationwide population-based study. *Mol Psychiatry* 2018;23:1756–63.
- 29 Sullivan PF, Magnusson C, Reichenberg A, et al. Family history of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder as risk factors for autism. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012;69:1099–103.
- 30 Faraone SV, Larsson H. Genetics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* 2019;24:562–75.