



Article

Phenotypically supervised single-cell sequencing parses within-cell-type heterogeneity



Chen et al., iScience 24, 101991 January 22, 2021 © 2020 The Author(s). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2020.101991

iScience

Article

Phenotypically supervised single-cell sequencing parses within-cell-type heterogeneity

Kevin Chen,¹ Kivilcim Ozturk,^{2,3} Ryne L. Contreras,¹ Jessica Simon,¹ Sean McCann,¹ Wei Ji Chen,¹ Hannah Carter,^{2,3,4} and Stephanie I. Fraley^{1,4,*}

Summary

To better understand cellular communication driving diverse behaviors, we need to uncover the molecular mechanisms of within-cell-type functional heterogeneity. While single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) has advanced our understanding of cell heterogeneity, linking individual cell phenotypes to transcriptomic data remains challenging. Here, we used a phenotypic cell sorting technique to ask whether phenotypically supervised scRNAseq analysis (pheno-scRNAseq) can provide more insight into heterogeneous cell behaviors than unsupervised scRNAseq. Using a simple 3D in vitro breast cancer (BRCA) model, we conducted pheno-scRNAseg on invasive and non-invasive cells and compared the results to phenotype-agnostic scRNAseq analysis. Pheno-scRNAseq identified unique and more selective differentially expressed genes than unsupervised scRNAseg analysis. Functional studies validated the utility of pheno-scRNAseq in understanding within-cell-type functional heterogeneity and revealed that migration phenotypes were coordinated with specific metabolic, proliferation, stress, and immune phenotypes. This approach lends new insight into the molecular systems underlying BRCA cell phenotypic heterogeneity.

Introduction

Cellular heterogeneity is indispensable for population-level survival strategies of multicellular organisms, such as bet-hedging in order to achieve a better chance of survival when faced with new stresses (Grimbergen et al., 2015). In cancer, the genetic, epigenetic, transcriptional, and proteomic differences among tumor cells can give rise to diverse phenotypes, some of which can persist in dysregulated environmental conditions, survive therapeutic attempts, and migrate away from the primary tumor to form metastases (Hinohara et al., 2018; Duan et al., 2018). Linking the heterogeneity observed in cellular genomics with phenotypic heterogeneity has significant potential to inform successful population-level treatments. However, the challenges associated with measuring phenotypic heterogeneity and isolating particular cells within the complex *in vivo* environment make it difficult to identify the most basic transcriptional modules regulating individual cell behaviors.

Fortunately, significant evidence suggests that physiologically relevant phenotypes of breast cancer (BRCA) cells can be studied in less complex *in vitro* systems by embedding the cells in 3D type I collagen (COL1) hydrogels. BRCA cell lines, organoids from mouse tumors, and organoids from human tumors embedded in this model system harbor the same pattern of differentiation markers as are observed in studies of mouse mammary tumor histology and human BRCA histology (Cheung et al., 2013). Studies have also shown that BRCA cells cultured in this model system upregulate a conserved transcriptional program of 70 genes that is predictive of poor prognosis in human BRCA and eight additional cancer types, with the highest predictive value in triple-negative breast cancer (hazard ratio = 3.85, Cox p value = 0.007) (Velez et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). Thus, a growing body of evidence suggests that 3D culture of BRCA cells in COL1 is a relevant model system for studying physiologically relevant cancer phenotypes.

Importantly, BRCA cells embedded in a 3D COL1 matrix maintain heterogeneity. In particular, they can take on a range of migration phenotypes, from non-invasive to single-cell mesenchymal style migration to collective invasion (Velez et al., 2017), with the collective invasion phenotype being linked to the metastatic phenotype *in vivo* (Cheung et al., 2013, Cheung et al., 2016; Cheung and Ewald, 2016; Tabassum and Polyak, 2015; Aceto et al.,

¹Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

²Department of Medicine, Division of Medical Genetics, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

³Bioinformatics and Systems Biology Program, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

⁴Moores Cancer Center, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

*Correspondence: sifraley@ucsd.edu

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci. 2020.101991







2014). To begin to define the molecular programs underlying BRCA cell migration heterogeneity, we sought a method capable of linking cell phenotype to gene expression programs. While advances in single-cell omics technologies have significantly improved our ability to characterize cell heterogeneity, these methods involve the sequencing of individual cells from a bulk sample and determining cell clusters solely based on differences in the molecular signature. However, the biological interpretation of these complex data is only at an early stage. Inferring cell state, function, and response to treatment from such data remains highly subjective and dependent on a priori knowledge (Choi and Kim, 2019). Cell subpopulations identified from analyzing sequencing data can only be validated with experiments after clusters have been defined, and this relies heavily on the assumption that transcriptomic data maps well to functional profiles. Partitions made from unsupervised clustering methods could potentially divide the sample into groups that may have no functional biological meaning, particularly for samples that are more similar as a whole, like cells of the same type. While standards and strategies are constantly evolving, there remains a lack of consensus on how to define cell types and subtypes based on sequencing data (Kiselev et al., 2019). The field of single-cell analysis is rapidly moving toward integrative, multi-scale measurements to improve the functional interpretability of single-cell data. Thus far, transcriptome measurements have been integrated with multiple omics (Chappell et al., 2018), genotype (Dixit et al., 2016; Jaitin et al., 2016), cell electrophysiology (Cadwell et al., 2016), lineage tracing (Kester and van Oudenaarden, 2018), and spatial information (Lein et al., 2017). To more concretely link phenotype to omics data, rare cell subpopulations may also be functionally sorted using innovative physical (Beri et al., 2020) or image-guided techniques (Konen et al., 2017).

Here, we explored whether traditional single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) followed by unsupervised clustering analysis would be capable of correctly inferring migration phenotype. This would inherently require that phenotypic regulators dominate the transcriptome of the cells to enable similarity-based clustering. However, we posited that other processes might dominate single-cell transcriptomes such that phenotypic regulators could represent a much smaller signal in the data. So, we used an image-guided phenotypic sorting technique to ask whether phenotypically supervised scRNAseq (hereafter referred to as pheno-scRNAseq for ease of reference) can provide more insight into the heterogeneous cell migration behaviors of MDA-MB-231 (MDA) BRCA cells than unsupervised scRNAseq. Photoconversion-based cell labeling followed by rapid dissociation into a single-cell suspension, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), and scRNAseq enabled direct comparison of phenotype labels with unsupervised transcriptional clustering. Unsupervised clustering was not able to correctly infer migration phenotype. Accordingly, pheno-scRNAseq revealed unique molecular programs associated with the migration state. Functional experiments targeting several identified genes validated that they play an active role in regulating migration behaviors. Specifically, perturbing HSP90AB1, DEK, and F3 regulated the collective invasion phenotype. Pheno-scRNAseq further revealed that collectively invasive cells exist in a "go and grow" state, where biosynthetic processes, proliferation, oxidative stress responses, and ER stress responses are upregulated. However, non-invasive cells limit proliferation and biosynthesis and are dominated by redox homeostasis and immunomodulatory gene expression programs. These relationships were recapitulated in mouse 4T1 BRCA cells to confirm that the results were not cell line specific. Our phenotypic sorting approach also enabled reseeding experiments that probed the stability of these cellular states, revealing that invasive cells remain in a stable migration state while non-invasive cells are plastic and capable of repopulating both phenotypes. Studies of other phenotypes and cell types of interest may benefit from the unique information provided by the pheno-scRNAseg approach.

Results

BRCA cells exhibit heterogeneous migration phenotypes

MDA cells embedded in 3D COL1 matrix take on at least two distinct collective phenotypes, which develop from single cells over the course of 7 days (Figure 1A). The majority of cells, approximately 81%, formed collectively invasive cell structures (Figure 1B), a morphology characterized by a low circularity index (Figure 1D). A smaller subset of tumor cells, approximately 19%, did not invade (Figure 1C), characterized by a high circularity index (Figure 1D). Based on their morphology, we termed the invasive structures as "networks" and the non-invasive structures as "spheroids." Confocal microscopy revealed that collectively invading networks were tightly packed with cells (Figure 1B) while spherical structures were capable of forming hollow lumens reminiscent of normal breast epithelial acini (Figure 1C). Similar phenotypes were observed for mouse 4T1 mammary carcinoma cells embedded in 3D COL1 matrix (Figure 1E).

Time-lapse microscopy revealed that cells that developed into network structures (Video S1) began as single cells undergoing uniaxial elongation at early time points (Figure 1F). Proliferation appeared to support

iScience Article





Figure 1. BRCA cells exhibit heterogeneous migration phenotypes

(A) Representative brightfield image of MDA-MB-231 cells cultured in a 3D COL1 matrix after 7 days of culture. Scale bar, 200 µm.

(B and C) (B) Confocal z-slice of the network and (C) spheroid phenotypes. Scale bar, 100 $\mu m.$

(D) Quantification of the circularity of heterogeneous collective phenotypes (n = 25).

(E) Similar phenotypes are observed in 4T1 cells cultured in 3D type I collagen.

(F and G) Time-lapse microscopy depicting the different patterns of growth and morphogenesis of two structurally distinct multicellular phenotypes. (F) Single cells that eventually develop into networks display growth and migration that lead to eventual fusion into a multicellular network. (G) Single cells that eventually develop into spherical structures display localized growth and development with continual maintenance of the spherical shape. Scale bar, 50 µm.





Figure 1. Continued

(H) Quantification of the invasion of cells into the local extracellular matrix (ECM) depending on their collective phenotype (n = 13). (I) Maximum invasion of each phenotype from the initial seeding point after 60 hr of culture (n = 13). (J and K) Representative immunofluorescence z-slice images of networks (J) and spheroids (K) stained for COL4A1 and LAM5. Scale bar is 20 μ m. Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was determined by the Student's t-test and is indicated as *, **, and *** for $p \le 0.05$, $p \le 0.01$, and $p \le 0.001$, respectively.

the eventual creation of a smooth, continuous, collectively invasive clonal network (Figure 1F). Cells that developed into spherical structures did not elongate at early time points (Figure 1G and Video S2). These cells maintained a predominantly rounded morphology. In this case, proliferation enabled the eventual creation of a smooth, rounded spheroid (Figure 1G).

The collective phenotypes also displayed differential aptitudes for invading into the local matrix. The network structures were significantly more invasive than the spheroids, displaying increased spreading away from the initial seeding point over the course of several days (Figure 1H). By 2.5 days, the cells that composed network structures invaded roughly three times farther into their surroundings compared to cells in spheroid structures (Figure 1I).

Since the formation of hollow acini by normal breast epithelial cells has previously been linked to basement membrane deposition (Wang et al., 2013), we assessed whether MDA cancer cells deposited matrix proteins into the COL1 microenvironment. Immunofluorescent staining revealed that both invasive and non-invasive subpopulations deposited their own cell-derived matrix consisting of basement membrane proteins laminin-5 (LAM5) and type IV collagen (COL4A1) (Figures 1J and 1K). COL4A1 appeared to be localized more toward the cell-extracellular matrix interface in spheroids compared to invasive networks, where localization was more heterogeneous and intracellular. For LAM5, expression in spheroids was low, while invasive networks displayed heterogeneous, intracellular expression.

Phenotypic cell sorting improves transcriptome-phenotype coupling

To begin to identify the mechanisms underlying collective migration heterogeneity in MDA cells, we next sought to separate the network cells from the spheroid cells and analyze their molecular differences. Morphologically, these invasive and non-invasive tumor cell subpopulations could be clearly differentiated based on their circularity (Figure 1D), so we subsequently used circularity as a metric by which we distinguished the two phenotypes. To sort the cells based on their phenotype for direct scRNAseq analysis, we devised a technique that enables fluorescent tagging of cells of interest (Figure 2A). Building on a method recently described by Konen et al., 2017, MDAs were first transduced with Dendra2-Lifeact (MDA-Dendra). Dendra is a photoconvertible protein that changes from green to red fluorescence upon stimulation with a 405-nm laser, facilitating targeted red fluorescent tagging of specific cells. MDA-Dendra cells were embedded sparsely and cultured in COL1 matrices for one week, allowing the development of clonal collective structures. Transduction with Dendra2-Lifeact did not significantly impact collective cell migration capabilities compared to wild-type MDA cells (Velez et al., 2017). To enable higher throughput photoconversion of each cell phenotype of interest while maintaining fine spatial resolution to target individual cell structures (see Transparent methods for details), we constructed a custom widefield microscope with a galvanometer scanner and laser power source. In one experiment, spheroid structures were selectively stimulated with the 405 nm laser, inducing red fluorescence, while unexposed cells continued to fluoresce green (Figure 2B). In a separate experiment and sample, network cell structures were similarly selectively photoconverted. Subsequent rapid digestion of the matrices and sorting of the cells by FACS enabled recovery of phenotypically pure populations for direct molecular analysis (Figures 2C and 2D).

Network and spheroid cells isolated by photoconversion were directly subjected to scRNAseq. A Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plot of the scRNAseq data from both cell phenotypes aggregated together was constructed (Figure 2E). Conventionally, unsupervised clustering would be used to identify two transcriptionally distinct subpopulations of cells (Figure 2F). However, with our phenotypic labels applied to the data (Figure 2G), it was evident that agnostic transcriptional clusters were not predictive of the functional cell migration phenotypes (compare Figures 2F, 2G, and S1). Even though there was a significant enrichment of network cells in the larger cluster (cluster 0) and of spheroid cells in the smaller cluster (cluster 1) (Fisher's exact test: odds ratio = 12.51, p value = 5.37×10^{-62}), there was still a high number of spheroid cells that clustered together with network cells. Analysis on the basis of our phenotypic labels revealed a set of 178 genes that were differentially expressed (DE) between network







Figure 2. Phenotypic cell sorting improves transcriptome-phenotype coupling

(A) Schematic overview of our workflow for phenotypic cell sorting. MDA-Dendra cells are cultured in type I collagen, photoconverted, released from the matrix, and sorted based on red fluorescence for immediate scRNAseq or other downstream experiments.

(B) Images of multicellular MDA-Dendra structures before photoconversion (left) and after photoconversion (right). Scale bar, 100 µm.

(C) Fluorescent profile of a control gel, where no cells were photoconverted.

(D) Fluorescent profile of cells released from a gel after photoconversion. A fraction of cells exhibits greater red fluorescence compared to the control.

(E) A UMAP plot generated from the pooled transcriptomic signatures of the cells isolated by phenotypic cell sorting.

(F) Clusters identified based on unsupervised clustering methods.

(G) Clusters labeled by phenotype.

(H and I) Comparison of cells labeled by photoconversion (H) with non-photoconverted cells (I) that were scored by the metagene derived from the differentially expressed genes of the labeled cells. Data were corrected for batch effects and sequencing depth prior to UMAP projection.

and spheroid cells (Table S1), whereas analysis on unsupervised clusters highlighted a set of 528 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (data not shown). Importantly, 70 of the genes identified using phenotypic labeling were not identified using unsupervised clustering (Table S1, highlighted genes).

To control for the effects of our labeling and sorting process, we also sequenced MDA-Dendra cells extracted from COL1 matrices that were not stimulated or sorted. Integrating the sorted and non-sorted cell data sets by normalizing for sequencing depth and correcting for batch effects demonstrated that both have a similar data structure (Figures 2H and 2l). We then constructed a metagene from the 178 DEGs between the network and spheroid cells and applied this metagene to score and label the nonsorted cells. The 178 gene signature placed phenotypic labels on the non-sorted cells in a similar state space compared to their sorted counterparts (Figures 2H and 2l), adding further evidence that stimulation and sorting did not significantly change the transcriptional profiles of the cells. We also investigated the DEGs between the sorted and non-sorted cells, which represent some combination of sequencing batch effects and photoconversion/sorting effects. This revealed 1127 DEGs, of which only 8 were associated with a response to UV. Further analysis showed that only 2 of these 8 UV response-associated genes were contained in our list of 178 DEGs that differentiated the network and spheroid cells. This suggested that the effects of the photoconversion and sorting process are negligible for our analysis.

Biological processes that differentiate collective cell phenotypes are conserved

Gene expression analysis based on phenotypic labels revealed that 101 of the 178 DE genes were significantly upregulated in invasive network cells compared to non-invasive spheroid cells. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of these genes identified several enriched processes, including positive regulation of biosynthesis, translation in response to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, response to oxidative stress, and positive regulation of proliferation (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the remaining 77 genes upregulated in the spheroid cells were enriched for several immunomodulatory processes, negative regulation of proliferation and migration, transcriptional regulation through ER-nucleus signaling pathways, and processes promoting homeostasis, including redox equilibrium (Figure 3B).

To determine whether our findings extended to other BRCA cells, we performed scRNAseq on 4T1 murine mammary carcinoma cells. As shown in Figures 1E, 4T1s take on very similar migration phenotypes as MDAs, forming network and spheroid structures over seven days. UMAP projection and labeling by metagene scoring reveal a similar pattern to the MDA cells, where the spheroid phenotype is the predominant phenotype in the smaller cluster, while the network phenotype occupies the state space farthest from the lone cluster (Figure 3C). Differential expression analysis revealed 166 DEGs that describe the differences between scored 4T1 networks and spheroids with 1:1 human orthologs. When compared to the 149 MDA DEGs that had 1:1 mouse orthologs, 40 genes are shared between the mouse and human data sets (Figure 3D). This overlap was statistically significant (Fisher's exact test: odds ratio = 33.92, p value = 6.50×10^{-41}). GO enrichment analyses of the murine cells also reveal similarly themed biological processes being enriched compared to their human counterparts, as shown in Figures 3E and 3F.

Pheno-scRNAseq provides unique and selective information

Since pheno-scRNAseq identified a smaller set of DEGs (178) than unsupervised scRNAseq (528), we hypothesized that phenotypic labeling may provide a more selective and more functionally relevant gene set than analyses on populations identified from unsupervised clustering. To further explore this idea, we asked whether certain biological processes were uniquely enriched by phenotype labeling or consistently enriched between supervised and unsupervised analyses. Such differences could provide important guidance for prioritizing gene







Figure 3. Biological processes that differentiate collective cell phenotypes are conserved

(A) Highlighted significant GO enrichment terms based on the list of upregulated genes in the network cell population.
 (B) Highlighted significant GO enrichment terms based on the list of upregulated genes in the spheroid cell population.
 (C) UMAP of 4T1 mouse cells, labeled after scoring with the metagene derived from the DEGs between the MDA network and spheroid cells.

(D) Overlap between the 4T1 DEGs between labeled networks and spheroids with 1:1 human orthologs and the MDA DEGs between the MDA networks and spheroids with 1:1 mouse orthologs.

(E) Highlighted significant GO enrichment terms based on the list of upregulated genes in the labeled 4T1 network cell population. Similarly colored highlights between (A) and (E) denote similarly themed processes that were enriched.
(F) Highlighted significant GO enrichment terms based on the list of upregulated genes in the labeled 4T1 spheroid cell population. Similarly colored highlights between (B) and (F) denote similarly themed processes that were enriched.

modules to target in functional studies aimed at identifying meaningful associations with migration behaviors. In Figure S2, we show a Venn diagram comparing the detected DEGs using supervised pheno-scRNAseq analysis to those found using unsupervised scRNAseq analysis. We also display a Venn diagram comparing the significant GO enrichment terms detected using DEGs from supervised pheno-scRNAseq analysis to those found using DEGs from unsupervised scRNAseq analysis. A detailed list of the GO enrichment analysis is provided in Table S2. These plots reveal two distinct features of supervised analysis compared to unsupervised analysis. First, for our data set, supervised analysis narrows down the number of DEGs and GO enrichment terms that are found to be statistically significant (adjusted p value < 0.05, FDR <5%). The second feature can be seen visually in the left most partition in each Venn diagram. These are DEGs and GO terms that were uniquely detected using supervised analysis and not in unsupervised analysis. Together, these results suggest that supervised analysis is indeed more selective and provides unique information.

Invasive network cells are more proliferative and more sensitive to chemotherapy treatment

We set out to validate that gene modules identified by supervised analysis were functionally relevant. Of the GO terms that were uniquely identified by supervised analysis, we focused on "negative regulation



of cell population proliferation" (GO:0008285, Figure 4A). Expression of this group of genes was higher in the spheroid population compared to the network population of cell. Furthermore, *MKI67* (Ki-67), a known marker of proliferation, was uniquely identified as differentially regulated by supervised analysis (Table S1) and higher expressed in the network subpopulation. Immunostaining and quantification of Ki-67 showed that the percentage of actively proliferating cells was significantly higher in invasive networks (Figures 4B–4E). Cell cycle scoring on the transcriptional markers reveal that the spheroid subpopulation also occupies multiple cell cycle states and has not simply exited the cell cycle (Figure S3). Intriguingly, 34% of invasive networks contained leader cells that were Ki-67 positive (Figures 4C and 4F), suggesting that tip cells can be both invasive and proliferative (Figure 4C). The remaining 66% of networks had Ki-67-positive cells located randomly throughout the network (Figure 4F). Spherical structures were less proliferative, and many exhibited no staining for Ki-67 (Figures 4D and 4E). These results support our suggestion that supervised analysis provides distinct and important information that can effectively guide follow-up experiments compared to unsupervised analysis.

To further validate the functional relevance of this difference in proliferative state, we performed a cytotoxicity assay with a widely used chemotherapy drug that acts on the cell division process: paclitaxel. Paclitaxel stabilizes tubulin polymerization, which results in cell cycle arrest (Weaver, 2014). We hypothesized that the spheroid cells, which express relatively lower Ki-67 and exhibit less Ki-67 staining, should be less sensitive to this drug. We cultured MDAs in 3D COL1 for one week, followed by three days of drug treatment with either 1 μ M paclitaxel or vehicle control. Figures 4G and 4H show representative images of dead cell staining in treated networks versus spheroids. Representative images of vehicle control cells are shown in Figure S4. Cell death was significantly greater in drug-treated conditions compared to vehicle, and network cells died more than the spheroid cells (Figures 4I and S4). These data further confirm that the spheroid subpopulation is less proliferative and more resistant to chemotherapy (Figure 4). Taken together, these experiments serve as validation of the functional relevance of a biological process that was uniquely identified by supervised analysis, not by unsupervised analysis.

Functional validation of additional processes identified by pheno-scRNAseq

Of the 77 genes upregulated in the spheroid cells compared to network cells, GO analysis indicated significant enrichment of several immunomodulatory cellular processes (Figure 3B), including components of the innate, adaptive, and cytokine immune signaling machinery. A heatmap of the DEGs corresponding to the GO term "immune system process" (GO: 0002376) is shown Figure 5A. In particular, human leukocyte antigen class I (HLA-I) gene expression was significantly upregulated in spheroid cells and downregulated in network cells (Table S1). Unexpectedly, immunofluorescence staining of HLA-A revealed distinct patterns of localization in each cell phenotype (Figure 5B and 5C). Spheroid cells appeared to properly localize HLA-A to their plasma membrane (Figure 5B), whereas invasive cells seemed to localize HLA-A near the nucleus (Figure 5C). Quantification of this staining pattern demonstrated that spheroid cells had approximately three-fold less perinuclear co-localization of HLA-A compared to network cells (Figure 5D).

Of the 101 genes upregulated in the network cells compared to the spheroid cells, we selected three targets for functional studies: *HSP90AB1*, *DEK*, and *F3*. This was motivated by the fact that GO enrichment analysis based on supervised DEGs identified many stress response terms, many of which contained *HSP90AB1* or *F3* (Table S1). In addition, several GO enrichment terms identified were associated with the regulation of gene expression to which *DEK* is functionally associated. Based on their upregulation in networks, we hypothesized that their inhibition would negatively impact cell invasion and/or network formation, while their activation would positively promote cell invasion or network formation. Indeed, this is what we observed. Inhibition of *HSP90AB1* by radicicol and *DEK* by cordycepin significantly reduced the invasive potential of MDA cells and also reduced the rate of formation of collectively invasive networks (Figure 6). Conversely, supplementing the culture media with recombinant *F3*, which was upregulated in the network cells, increased the invasiveness of MDA cells (Figures 6A and 6B). However, adding recombinant *F3* did not increase the rate of network formation (Figures 6C and 6D). Thus, pheno-scRNAseq supervised gene expression analysis successfully predicted the roles that several DEGs played in regulating cell migration behavior.

Phenotypic sorting enables analysis of phenotype stability

Since cells that were labeled as belonging to the spheroid phenotype fell into two distinct transcriptional clusters based on unsupervised analysis, we wondered if the spheroid cells could be phenotypically











Figure 4. Invasive network cells are more proliferative

(A) A heatmap of the list of genes detected by phenotypically guided DE analysis that are in the GO:0008285 term. Spheroids display upregulation of genes associated with "negative regulation of cell population proliferation".

(B and C) Immunofluorescent staining of Ki-67 in the network cell population. Scale bar, 50 µm. (C) Some network structures display Ki-67 staining at the tips of the structures.

(D) Immunofluorescent staining of Ki-67 in the spheroid cell population. Many spheroids displayed no staining. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(E) Quantification of the percentage of cells in each collective phenotype that stained positively for Ki-67 (n = 36).

(F) Quantification of the percent of networks that had a tip cell which stained positively for Ki-67 (n = 36).

(G and H) Brightfield and fluorescence images after treatment with paclitaxel of spheroids (G) and networks (H). Scale bar is 20 µm.

(I) Quantification of cell death after treatment with paclitaxel (n = 13). Spheroids show a statistically significant decrease in sensitivity compared to networks. Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). For (E) and (F), statistical significance was determined by the Student's t-test and is indicated as *, **, and *** for $p \le 0.05$, $p \le 0.01$, and $p \le 0.001$, respectively. For (I), statistical significance was determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc analyses (Tukey) and is indicated as *, **, and *** for $p \le 0.05$, $p \le 0.01$, and $p \le 0.001$, respectively. For (I), statistical significance was determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc analyses (Tukey) and is indicated as *, **, and *** for $p \le 0.05$, $p \le 0.01$, respectively.

unstable. To determine the phenotypic stability of MDAs that formed spheroids, we labeled, sorted, and re-embedded spheroid cells as single cells (Figure 7A). This resulted in ~75% of the spheroid cells converting into network cells (Figure 7C). Conversely, sorting and re-embedding invasive network cells as single cells resulted in near 100% invasive network formation (Figures 7B and 7C). This suggests that spheroid cells retain the capacity to switch into a proliferative and invasive state, but network cells are less capable of reverting into a non-proliferative, non-invasive state. To assess whether one of these states represented a more cancer stem cell-like state, we immunostained for CD24 and CD44. Both phenotypes display a CD44 + profile (Figures 7C and 7D). However, CD24 staining was negative for the network cells (Figure 7C) and mixed for the spheroid cells (Figures 7D and 7E). A positive control was done for CD24 on Nalm6 cells to confirm the absence of CD24 staining (Figure S5). When comparing the spheroid population in the smaller lone cluster to the ones in the larger cluster, we found a list of surface markers that could potentially be used to sort the two subpopulations in future experiments (Table S3).

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that unsupervised transcriptional clustering analysis does not necessarily separate cells according to specific functions, and thus may represent lost opportunities to decipher functional intratumor heterogeneity. Precision sorting prior to scRNA-seq, as implemented here via Dendra2-based phenotype marking, can help overcome limitations of unsupervised clustering. Analysis based on unsupervised clustering resulted in the identification of 528 DEGs, whereas analysis based on phenotypic labeling of each cell subpopulation resulted in the identification of 178 DEGs, and only 108 genes overlapped. Thus, roughly 80% of the DEGs identified by unsupervised clustering were not specific to the observed cell phenotypes. Importantly, phenotypic labeling allowed for the identification of 70 unique DEGs that were not detected by unsupervised clustering. We validated the functional relevance of one of these genes, Ki-67. This suggests that phenotypic labeling allows for a more direct and relevant approach to investigating the molecular regulators of functional heterogeneity within a given cell type. However, the results of our sorting and reseeding experiments could support the view that traditional unsupervised clustering analysis is more likely to give information about how cells could behave, rather than how they are currently behaving (Tanay and Regev, 2017).

Phenotypically supervised scRNAseq based on the invasion phenotype of BRCA cells enabled us to gain a system-level view of distinct cancer cell states. Compared to confocal microscopy-based approaches, our setup significantly increased the number of cells that can be labeled and sorted within the limited time frame before photoconverted protein turnover. A previous study was only able to photoconvert and sort tens of cells (Konen et al., 2017), demanding growth-based amplification of cells prior to omics analyses. Given the plasticity and rapid adaptation of cancer cells in response to changes in their environment, which occurs on short timescales that cannot be explained by genetic evolution or clonal selection (Sharma et al., 2010; Shaffer et al., 2017), the requirement for cell amplification could skew omics data and complicate our ability to directly link omics data to phenotypes. Our custom setup enabled us to photoconvert thousands of cells, resulting in a ten-fold increase in throughput while maintaining high spatial precision through the optimization of the photoconversion parameters. This higher throughput approach powered our statistical analysis, enabling us to directly compare the differences between unsupervised and phenotypically supervised scRNAseq, and led us to discover distinct biological processes that are linked to specific migration states. Both our transcriptional and functional evidence suggests that collective invasion is associated with anabolic metabolism, proliferation, redox stress, and ER stress.

iScience Article





Figure 5. Spheroid cells display proper antigen localization

(A) A heatmap of the list of genes detected by phenotypically guided DE analysis that are in the GO:0002376 term. Spheroids display upregulation of genes associated with "immune system process".

(B) Immunofluorescent staining of HLA-A in the spheroid cell population. Spheroid cells display membrane localization of HLA-A. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(C) Immunofluorescent staining of HLA-A in the network cell population. Many network cells display perinuclear staining of HLA-A. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(D) Quantification of the perinuclear staining of HLA-A within each collective phenotype (n = 8). Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was determined by the Student's t-test and is indicated as *, **, and *** for $p \le 0.05$, $p \le 0.01$, and $p \le 0.001$, respectively.

Conversely, collective acini formation is associated with lower levels of proliferation, quality control mechanisms, homeostasis, and immunomodulatory functions. Such knowledge may provide opportunities to design multiplexed therapeutic cocktails that take advantage of the susceptibilities of each complex cancer phenotype.

In conclusion, the field of single-cell analysis is rapidly moving toward integrative, multi-scale measurements to improve the interpretability and actionable value of single-cell data. Our approach to enabling phenotypically supervised scRNAseq of BRCA cells reveals specific stress response and immunologic cellular processes that are coordinated with invasive and non-invasive phenotypes. Further, our 3D culture system and phenotypic cell sorting approach enable *in vitro* modeling of key aspects of phenotypic plasticity, which may provide a useful platform for the mechanistic dissection of these processes and identification of strategies that could effectively treat heterogeneous tumors. Understanding this plasticity may reveal ways to selectively target seemingly non-proliferative, non-invasive tumor cells while leaving quiescent noncancerous cells (such as normal stem cells) unharmed. For example, given the plasticity of the nonproliferative spheroid cells, it may be possible to identify mechanisms capable of sensitizing them to chemotherapies or preventing a phenotypic switch to a more invasive, more proliferative state. Our system also offers the opportunity to dissect the mechanisms underlying tumor cell plasticity in the expression







Figure 6. Inhibition of upregulated genes in the network phenotype reduces invasion

(A) Spider plots of cell trajectories during drug treatment.

(B) Quantification of the maximum invasion of cells within each drug condition (n = 59). Inhibition of the upregulated genes in the network phenotype reduced invasion. Adding recombinant F3, an upregulated network gene, increased invasion.

(C) Representative brightfield images of the cells after 7 days of drug treatment. Scale bar is 200 $\mu m.$

(D) Quantification of the number of network structures after 7 days of drug treatment (n = 18). Inhibition of the upregulated network genes reduced the formation of network structures. Data are represented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc analyses (Tukey) and is indicated as *, **, and *** for $p \le 0.05$, $p \le 0.01$, and $p \le 0.001$, respectively.

and localization of MHC class I molecules, which could inform therapeutic strategies complementary to immunotherapies.

Limitations of the study

While our pheno-scRNAseq method improves on prior methods for assessing within-cell-type heterogeneity of BRCA cells by providing higher throughput and additional metadata for supervised analysis, many questions remain. Several of the biological processes that were associated with migration phenotypes were not explored functionally here, and the *in vivo* relevance of our findings remains to be determined. Future work will address these concerns by translating these experiments into mouse BRCA cell lines for syngeneic mouse studies.

Resource availability

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Stephanie I. Fraley (sifraley@ucsd.edu).

iScience Article





Figure 7. Phenotypic sorting enables analysis of phenotype stability

(A) Representative brightfield image of reseeded spheroid cells after 7 days of culture in 3D type I collagen. Scale bar, 50 μ m.

(B) Representative brightfield image of reseeded network cells after 7 days of culture in 3D type I collagen.

(C) Quantification of the phenotypes that arise after reseeding from sorted populations (n = 18). Invasive network cells largely reform network structures, while non-invasive spheroids may either form network or spheroid structures.
 (D) CD44 immunostaining of network cells.

(E) CD44 staining of spheroid cells that are CD24 negative.

(F) CD44 staining of spheroid cells that are CD24 positive.

Material availability

All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact with a completed Materials Transfer Agreement.

Data and code availability

The accession number for the sequencing data reported in this paper is Gene Expression Omnibus: GSE158844.

Code generated during this study are available from the Lead Contact upon request.

Methods

All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent methods supplemental file.

Supplemental information

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101991.





Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Khoi Le for experimental assistance in the development of pheno-scRNAseq. We would like to acknowledge the Human Embryonic Stem Cell Core Facility at UCSD for technical support with flow cytometry, the IGM Genomic Core for assistance with single-cell RNAseq experimentation, and the UCSD School of Medicine Microscopy Imaging Core at UCSD for microscopy support. This work was supported by a Burroughs Wellcome Fund Career Award at the Scientific Interface to S.I.F. (1012027), NSF CAREER Award to S.I.F. (1651855), ACS Institutional Research Grant (15-172-45-IRG) provided through the Moores Cancer Center, NCI R01 (NCI 5R01CA238042), and funding supporting the UCSD School of Medicine Microscopy Core (NS047101). This publication includes data generated at the UC San Diego IGM Genomics Center utilizing an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 that was purchased with funding from a National Institutes of Health SIG grant (#S10 OD026929). All relevant data are available within the article and supplementary files or from the corresponding author upon request.

Authors contribution

K.C. and S.I.F. designed the experiments. K.C. performed most experiments and data analysis. K.O. and H.C. assisted with scRNAseq data analysis. R.L.C. conducted matrix deposition staining. J.S., S.M., and W.C. assisted with cell tracking data. Data interpretation was conducted by K.C., K.O., H.C., and S.I.F. All authors contributed to writing or editing the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: September 29, 2020 Revised: November 12, 2020 Accepted: December 21, 2020 Published: January 22, 2021

References

Aceto, N., Bardia, A., Miyamoto, D.T., Donaldson, M.C., Wittner, B.S., Spencer, J.A., Yu, M., Pely, A., Engstrom, A., Zhu, H., et al. (2014). Circulating tumor cell clusters are oligoclonal precursors of breast cancer metastasis. Cell 158, 1110–1122.

Beri, P., Popravko, A., Yeoman, B., Kumar, A., Chen, K., Hodzic, E., Chiang, A., Banisadr, A., Placone, J.K., Carter, H., et al. (2020). Cell adhesiveness serves as a biophysical marker for metastatic potential. Cancer Res. *80*, 901–911.

Cadwell, C.R., Palasantza, A., Jiang, X., Berens, P., Deng, Q., Yilmaz, M., Reimer, J., Shen, S., Bethge, M., Tolias, K., et al. (2016). Electrophysiological, transcriptomic and morphologic profiling of single neurons using Patch-seq. Nat. Biotechnol. 34, 199–203.

Chappell, L., Russell, A.J.C., and Voet, T. (2018). Single-cell (Multi)omics technologies. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. *19*, 15–41.

Cheung, K.J., and Ewald, A.J. (2016). A collective route to metastasis: seeding by tumor cell clusters. Science *352*, 167–169.

Cheung, K.J., Gabrielson, E., Werb, Z., and Ewald, A.J. (2013). Collective invasion in breast cancer requires a conserved basal epithelial program. Cell 155, 1639–1651.

Cheung, K.J., Padmanaban, V., Silvestri, V., Schipper, K., Cohen, J.D., Fairchild, A.N., Gorin, M.A., Verdone, J.E., Pienta, K.J., Bader, J.S., et al. (2016). Polyclonal breast cancer metastases arise from collective dissemination of keratin 14expressing tumor cell clusters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 113, E854–E863.

Choi, Y.H., and Kim, J.K. (2019). Dissecting cellular heterogeneity using single-cell RNA sequencing. Mol. Cells 42, 189–199.

Dixit, A., Parnas, O., Li, B., Chen, J., Fulco, C.P., Jerby-Arnon, L., Marjanovic, N.D., Dionne, D., Burks, T., Raychowdhury, R., et al. (2016). Perturb-seq: dissecting molecular circuits with scalable single-cell RNA profiling of pooled genetic screens. Cell *167*, 1853– 1866,e17.

Duan, M., Hao, J., Cui, S., Worthley, D.L., Zhang, S., Wang, Z., Shi, J., Liu, L., Wang, X., Ke, A., et al. (2018). Diverse modes of clonal evolution in HBVrelated hepatocellular carcinoma revealed by single-cell genome sequencing. Cell Res. 28, 359–373.

Grimbergen, A.J., Siebring, J., Solopova, A., and Kuipers, O.P. (2015). Microbial bet-hedging: the power of being different. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 25, 67–72.

Hinohara, K., Wu, H.J., Sebastien, V., McDonald, T.O., Igarashi, K.J., Yamamoto, K.N., Madsen, T., Fassl, A., Egri, S.B., Papanastasiou, M., et al. (2018). KDM5 histone demethylase activity links cellular transcriptomic heterogeneity to therapeutic resistance. Cancer Cell *34*, 939– 953.e9.

Jaitin, D.A., Weiner, A., Yofe, I., Lara-Astiaso, D., Keren-Shaul, H., David, E., et Salame, T.M., Tanay, A., van Oudenaarden, A., and Amit, I. (2016). Dissecting immune circuits by linking CRISPR-pooled screens with single-cell RNA-seq. Cell 167, 1883–1896 e15.

Kester, L., and van Oudenaarden, A. (2018). Single-cell transcriptomics meets lineage tracing. Cell Stem Cell 23, 166–179.

Kiselev, V.Y., Andrews, T.S., and Hemberg, M. (2019). Challenges in unsupervised clustering of single-cell RNA-seq data. Nat. Rev. Genet. 20, 273–282.

Konen, J., Summerbell, E., Dwivedi, B., Galior, K., Hou, Y., Rusnak, L., Chen, A., Saltz, W., Zhou, L.H., Vertino, P., et al. (2017). Image-guided genomics of phenotypically heterogeneous populations reveals vascular signalling during symbiotic collective cancer invasion. Nat. Commun. *8*, 15078.

Lein, E., Borm, L.E., and Linnarsson, S. (2017). The promise of spatial transcriptomics for neuroscience in the era of molecular cell typing. Science 358, 64–69.

Shaffer, S.M., Dunagin, M.C., Torborg, S.R., Torre, E.A., Emert, B., Krepler, C., Beqiri, M., Sproesser, K., Brafford, P.A., Xiao, M., et al. (2017). Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. Nature 546, 431–435.

Sharma, S.V., Lee, D.Y., Li, B., Quinlan, M.P., Takahashi, F., Maheswaran, S., McDermott, U., Azizian, N., Zou, L., Fischbach, M.A., et al. (2010).





A chromatin-mediated reversible drug-tolerant state in cancer cell subpopulations. Cell 141, 69–80.

Tabassum, D.P., and Polyak, K. (2015). Tumorigenesis: it takes a village. Nat. Rev. Cancer 15, 473–483.

Tanay, A., and Regev, A. (2017). Scaling singlecell genomics from phenomenology to mechanism. Nature *541*, 331–338. Velez, D.O., Tsui, B., Goshia, T., Chute, C.L., Han, A., Carter, H., and Fraley, S.I. (2017). 3D collagen architecture induces a conserved migratory and transcriptional response linked to vasculogenic mimicry. Nat. Commun. 8, 1651.

Wang, H., Lacoche, S., Huang, L., Bin, X., and Muthuswamy, S. (2013). Rotational motion during three-dimensional morphogenesis of mammary epithelial acini relates to laminin matrix assembly. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A *110*, 163–168, https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1201141110.

Weaver, B.A. (2014). How Taxol/paclitaxel kills cancer cells. Mol. Biol. Cell *25*, 2677–2681.

Zhang, W., Bojorquez-Gomez, A., Velez, D.O., Xu, G., Sanchez, K.S., Shen, J.P., Chen, K., Licon, K., Melton, C., Olson, K.M., et al. (2018). A global transcriptional network connecting noncoding mutations to changes in tumor gene expression. Nat. Genet. *50*, 613–620. iScience, Volume 24

Supplemental Information

Phenotypically supervised single-cell

sequencing parses within-cell-type heterogeneity

Kevin Chen, Kivilcim Ozturk, Ryne L. Contreras, Jessica Simon, Sean McCann, Wei Ji Chen, Hannah Carter, and Stephanie I. Fraley

Transparent Methods

Cell Culture

MDA-MB-231 cells were a gift from Adam Engler (UCSD Bioengineering) and 4T1 cells were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA). All cells were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Corning, Corning, NY) and 0.1% gentamicin (Gibco Thermofisher, Waltham, MA) and maintained at 37° C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified environment during culture and imaging. The cells were passaged every 2-3 days. Cells were tested for mycoplasma contamination using the Mycoalert kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland).

To generate MDA-MB-231 cells that express Dendra2, we generated viral particles by cloning a Dendra2-Lifeact-7 plasmid (Addgene #54694, Watertown, MA) into a lentiviral vector. We then transfected the plasmid into lentiX293 T cells (Clonetech, Mountain View, CA. Cat #632180) along with packaging expressing plasmid (psPAX2, Addgene #12260) and envelope expressing plasmid (pMD2.G, Addgene #12259). Viral particles were collected at 48 h after transfection and they were purified by filtering through a 0.45 µm filter. MDA-MB-231 cells were then transduced with the viral particles in the presence of polybrene (Allele Biotechnology, San Diego, CA).

3D culture in type I collagen hydrogels

Cells embedded in 3D collagen matrices were prepared by mixing cells suspended in culture medium and $10\times$ reconstitution buffer, 1:1 (v/v). Polyethylene glycol (PEG, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Gibco Thermofisher, Waltham, MA) and added to achieve a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. Soluble rat tail type I collagen in acetic acid (Corning, Corning, NY) was added to achieve a final concentration of 2.5 mg/mL. 6.25% of 1 M NaOH (volume of NaOH / volume of type I collagen) was used to normalize pH and the mixture was polymerized at 37 °C.

Phenotypic cell sorting

Collagen gels containing MDA Dendra cells were transferred to a microscope stage top incubator. Collective cell structures were identified using a Nikon TiE fluorescent microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY). Regions of interest were outlined using NIS-Elements software, and a Galvo Miniscanner (Nikon Instruments Inc.) was used to control the exposure of 405 nm laser from a Nikon LUnA power source (Nikon Instruments Inc.) to the outlined region to photoconvert the selected cells. 25% laser power with a 300 us dwell time were used to photoconvert the cells. This results in less than 1mJ of energy delivered to each multicellular structure. To ensure fidelity of converting only the desired phenotype, we did not photoconvert overlapping cell structures. The collagen gel was then digested using collagenase for 15 minutes at 37°C (Sigma-Aldrich) and the cells were resuspended in FACS buffer (1% BSA, 0.5 mM EDTA in PBS). A gel with cells that were not photoconverted was used as a sorting control. Cells were sorted at the stem cell core of Sanford Consortium of Regenerative Medicine (La Jolla, CA) using a BD Influx cell sorter (BD, Franklin lakes, NJ). The cells from the control gel were used to establish a negative gate, and cells expressing red fluorescence above that gate were collected for re-culture or sequencing.

Single cell sequencing of phenotypically sorted cells

RNA extraction and library construction were performed using the Chromium Single Cell 3' v3 kit (10x genomics, Pleasanton, CA). In one experiment, approximately 800 network cells were isolated and processed to produce cDNA. In another independent experiment, approximately 1400 spheroid cells were isolated and processed to produce cDNA. The cDNA of both network and spheroid populations were then processed in parallel to produce libraries for sequencing. The libraries were constructed with two different sample indices to distinguish reads of network cells from spheroid. The libraries were pooled into the same lane for sequencing. RNA integrity was verified using RNA Analysis ScreenTape (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA) before sequencing. The RNA was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 at a depth of > 20,000 reads per cell.

Single cell sequencing of non-sorted cells

RNA extraction and library construction were performed using the Chromium Single Cell 3' v3 kit (10x genomics, Pleasanton, CA). In one experiment, approximately 4500 MDA-MB-231 cells were isolated and processed to produce cDNA. In another independent experiment, approximately 6000 4T1 cells were isolated and processed to produce cDNA. The cDNA of both MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 cells were then processed in parallel to produce libraries for sequencing. The libraries were constructed with two different sample indices to distinguish reads of MDA-MB-231 cells from 4T1 cells. The libraries were pooled into the same lane for sequencing. RNA integrity was verified using RNA Analysis ScreenTape (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA) before sequencing. The RNA was sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at a depth of > 20,000 reads per cell.

Single cell sequencing analysis

The cellRanger analysis pipeline was used to construct the human reference genome (GRCh38) and mouse reference genome (mm10) and align reads. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using Seurat (Stuart et al., 2019). Cell expression data was filtered, log-normalized, and scaled prior to differential expression analysis using the non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test. Differentially expressed genes were filtered for those that had an absolute log-fold change of > 0.25 and expressed in at least 10% of either subpopulation with an adjusted P < 0.05 (Bonferroni). Gene orthologs were obtained using Ensembl (release 101).

Gene ontology term overrepresentation analysis

Gene ontology enrichment analysis was performed using Panther with the GO biological process complete annotation set (Mi et al., 2019). The Fisher's Exact test was used and the significance level was set at 0.05. The false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated to correct for multiple testing. The fold enrichment is the observed number of genes in our dataset associated with the term divided by the expected number of genes associated with the term.

Cell tracking and phenotypic analysis

Collagen gels containing cells were imaged every 10 min for 60 hours. Coordinates of the cell location at each time frame were determined by tracking single cells using image recognition software (Metamorph/Metavue, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). All cell tracking data comes from three independent experiments performed on different days and with different cell passages, equating to at least 30 cells per condition. Morphologic measurements and phenotype

quantification were performed in NIS-Elements using at least three independent experiments performed on different days with different cell passages.

Immunofluorescence and cell imaging

For cell imaging after 7 days of culture to visualize collective phenotypes, collagen gels were fixed with 4% PFA for 30 min at room temperature. F-actin was stained using AlexaFluor® 488 Phalloidin (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and the nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. For immunofluorescence staining the gels were incubated with the primary antibody for 24 hrs at 4°C. The antibodies used were anti-COL4A1 (1:200 dilution, NB120-6586, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO) , anti-LAMC2 (1:200 dilution, MAB19562, Millipore Sigma), anti-Ki-67 (1:400 dilution, 8D5, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-HLA-A (1:100 dilution, ab52922, Abcam, Cambridge, UK).

Paclitaxel treatment and susceptibility analysis

After 7 days of culture in collagen gels, MDAs were treated with 1 μ M Paclitaxel (Cell Signaling Technolgy) or DMSO vehicle control. Cell death was assessed 3 days later using a Live/Dead Cell Assay (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) with Hoescht counter-stain. Z-stack imaging was conducted and the total number of nuclei forming either spheroids or networks were quantified as well as the number of nuclei with red co-localization (dead cell dye). % Death is calculated as the number of nuclei with co-localized red fluorescence over the total number of nuclei. Measurements were repeated across 3 biological replicates per condition equating to ~300 cells analyzed per condition.

Radicicol, Cordycepin, and recombinant F3 treatment

MDAs were treated with 10 μ M Radicicol (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), 50 μ M Cordycepin (MedChemExpress, Monmouth Junction, NJ), 1 μ g/mL F3 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA), or DMSO vehicle control (Sigma-Aldrich) after embedment into 3D COL1 matrices. Cell invasion and the formation of the network phenotype was quantified as mentioned above. Measurements were repeated across 3 biological replicates per condition equating to at least 100 cells analyzed per condition.

Statistical Analysis

All measurements were analyzed using Prism (Graphpad, San Diego, CA). Significance (P) was indicated within the figures using the following scale: *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Unless otherwise noted, bar graphs show mean and s.e.m. of quantified variables, and measurements were repeated sampled across 3 biological replicates per condition. Statistical analyses between two groups were performed with Student's unpaired t tests and between three or more groups with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc test to determine significance. Additional relevant information is detailed in the figure captions.



A Supervised Pheno-scRNAseq DEGs

70 108 520

Unsupervised scRNAseq DEGs

Supervised Pheno-scRNAseq GO enrichment terms

В



Unsupervised scRNAseq GO enrichment terms







А

Supplementary Materials

Figure S1. TSNE projection and clustering of the scRNAseq data, related to Figure 2. Separation between network and spheroid subpopulations remains inaccurate using other projection methods.

Figure S2. Pheno-scRNAseq provides unique and selective information, related to Figure 3. (A) Venn Diagram displaying the unique and overlapping DEGs when comparing supervised to unsupervised analysis. Unsupervised analysis identified a larger number of significant DEGs. However, many of these DEGs were not significant when compared to supervised analysis. (B) Venn Diagram displaying the unique and overlapping GO enrichment terms when comparing supervised to unsupervised analysis. Unsupervised analysis identified a larger number of significant GO enrichment terms. However, many of these GO enrichment terms were not significant when compared to supervised to supervised analysis.

Figure S3. Cell cycle state analysis of MDA cells, related to Figure 4. Spheroid cells lie in multiple cell cycle states and are not simply a subpopulation that have withdrawn from the cell cycle.

Figure S4. Brightfield and fluorescence images after treatment with vehicle control of spheroids and networks corresponding to the Paclitaxel experiments, related to Figure 4. Scale bar $50 \ \mu m$.

Figure S5. CD24 staining of Nalm6 cells as a positive control for the antibody used to stain for CD24, related to Figure 7. Scale bar $100 \ \mu m$.

 Table S3. Surface Markers That Are Differentially Expressed Between the Spheroid Populations, related to Figure 7,

CD82
NT5E
CD74
PRNP
CD59
CD40
BST2
ITGA2
NRP1
CDCP1
PLAUR
CD9
MCAM
LAMP1
CD63
CD33

BSG
JAG1
ITGA1
CD55
CD320
F3