

OPEN



Reciprocal interactions between osteoclasts and nociceptive sensory neurons in bone cancer pain

Amanda S. Andriessen^a, Christopher R. Donnelly^{a,*}, Ru-Rong Ji^{a,b}

Abstract

Many common cancers such as breast, prostate, and lung cancer metastasize to bones at advanced stages, producing severe pain and functional impairment. At present, the current pharmacotherapies available for bone cancer pain are insufficient to provide safe and efficacious pain relief. In this narrative review, we discuss the mechanisms used by cancer cells within the bone tumor microenvironment (TME) to drive bone cancer pain. In particular, we highlight the reciprocal interactions between tumor cells, boneresorbing osteoclasts, and pain-sensing sensory neurons (nociceptors), which drive bone cancer pain. We discuss how tumor cells present within the bone TME accelerate osteoclast differentiation (osteoclastogenesis) and alter osteoclast activity and function. Furthermore, we highlight how this perturbed state of osteoclast overactivation contributes to bone cancer pain through (1) direct mechanisms, through their production of pronociceptive factors that act directly on sensory afferents; and (2) by indirect mechanisms, wherein osteoclasts drive bone resorption that weakens tumor-bearing bones and predisposes them to skeletalrelated events, thereby driving bone cancer pain and functional impairment. Finally, we discuss some potential therapeutic agents, such as denosumab, bisphosphonates, and nivolumab, and discuss their respective effects on bone cancer pain, osteoclast overactivation, and tumor growth within the bone TME.

Keywords: Nociceptor, neuroimmune interactions, osteoclast, bone cancer, cancer pain

1. Introduction

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States and affects several million people around the world every year.²⁵ In addition to being a life-threatening illness in and of itself, cancer frequently presents with many neurological comorbidities such as pain, depression, and anxiety, all of which culminate in substantially reduced quality of life. Approximately 75% of patients with late-stage cancer experience moderate or severe pain,^{21,23,31} and at least half of all patients with metastatic cancer report insufficient pain relief using existing pharmacotherapies.⁸² Despite these startling numbers, the therapeutic options available to treat cancer pain remain limited, with significant limitations in both the efficacy and long-term safety.^{31,82} For example, given the ongoing opioid epidemic,

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

^a Department of Anesthesiology, Center for Translational Pain Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA, ^b Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

*Corresponding author. Address: Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University Medical Center, Box DUMC 3094, Durham, NC, 27710, United States. Tel.: 248-961-1430. E-mail address: Christopher.donnelly@duke.edu (C.R. Donnelly).

PR9 6 (2021) e867

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PR9.000000000000867

healthcare providers are hesitant to prescribe opioid analgesics due to their potential for addiction, abuse, and misuse, especially as the long-term prognosis for cancer patients is improving.⁷¹

Breast, prostate, and lung cancers are not only among the most common types of cancer but also have a high likelihood to metastasize to bone, leading to severe bone cancer pain and other comorbidities.^{22,84} In addition, bone metastases are difficult to treat and have poor long-term prognosis.84,92,93 The bone marrow presents a favorable site for cancer metastasis due to its slower blood flow and high vascularization.^{22,60} In addition, the bone marrow is regarded as an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME).⁶⁷ potentially offering a refuge that shields cancer cells from immune surveillance and antitumor immunity. As the bone marrow becomes increasingly infiltrated and populated by locally aggressive cancer cells, they produce mediators that alter the phenotype and function of resident cells that occupy this niche, such as bone-forming osteoblasts (OBs), bone-resorbing osteoclasts (OCLs), and nerve fibers from painsensing sensory neurons (nociceptors).⁵⁸ As the bone cancer progresses, it leads to complications referred to as skeletalrelated events (SREs), which can include skeletal fractures, spinal cord compression/instability, and systemic hypercalcemia and anemia. SREs themselves are associated with pain, functional impairment, reduced mobility, diminished quality of life, and significantly worse overall survival.84,92,93

Importantly, bones are extensively innervated by afferent fibers from sensory neurons whose cell bodies are located in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) or trigeminal ganglion, which extend central projections to the spinal cord or brainstem to relay this sensory

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The International Association for the Study of Pain. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

information to the central nervous system. In particular, DRG nociceptors densely innervate both the external and internal surfaces of long bones, where they are poised to detect potentially hazardous stimuli such as fractures or neoplasms. Bone cancer pain is frequently described as a polymodal pain condition, presenting somewhat uniquely with aspects of inflammatory, neuropathic, compression, and ischemic pain, and for that reason, it is frequently regarded as its own entity.³¹ In this narrative review, we discuss the molecular mechanisms that cancer cells, osteoclasts, and sensory nerve fibers use to interact with one another within the bone marrow TME to drive bone cancer pain. In particular, we highlight (1) how tumor cells perturb and hijack the bone marrow microenvironment and (2) the mechanisms that osteoclasts use to drive bone cancer pain through direct mechanisms (eg. production of pronociceptive mediators that act on nearby sensory nerve fibers themselves) and indirect mechanisms (eg, bone resorption leading to increased SREs; Fig. 1). We conclude with a discussion of potential therapeutic targets for bone cancer pain.

2. Osteoclasts: specialized bone-resident phagocytes with many functions

OCLs are specialized myeloid-derived phagocytes that reside on the surface of bones where they are responsible for bone resorption, aiding in homeostatic bone turnover under steady-state conditions.⁹⁴ OCLs are large multinucleated cells that may reach a diameter of >100 µm. OCLs differentiate from cells of the monocyte/macrophage lineage through a process that is tightly regulated by macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) and receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-ß ligand (RANKL) signaling.^{7,54,64} Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-ß ligand and M-CSF are produced by nearby stromal cells and OBs and are necessary for osteoclastogenesis, driving the upregulation of osteoclast-specific genes and fusion of precursor cells to form mature, multinucleated osteoclasts.^{7,87} Even once formed, RANKL signaling promotes continued survival and activation states of mature osteoclasts and hence is an important target for regulating osteoclast activity.7,39,87 Skeletal maintenance and homeostasis under steady-state conditions requires a tight balance between OBmediated bone formation and OCL-mediated bone resorption. Pathological overactivation or underactivation of either component can lead to bone pathologies.³² To perform bone resorption, OCLs create a local acidic and lytic environment at the bone-OCL interface within resorption lacuna (Howship lacunae), leading to breakdown of the bone mineral structure.⁵⁹ The vacuolar-ATPase (V-ATPase) proton pump on the osteoclast border along with a chloride ion/ HCO₃ exchanger creates a proton and hydrochloric acid-rich microenvironment to dissolve the inorganic bone matrix. Lysosomal enzymes such as acid hydrolases released by the osteoclast into the lacuna break down the collagen fibers and OCLs phagocytose the degraded materials.¹³

Given their origin within the monocyte/macrophage lineage of innate immune cells, it is perhaps unsurprising that OCLs are now recognized to be highly plastic cells that play important roles in immunosuppression or immunoactivation, depending on the cellular context. Under steady-state conditions, OCLs produce immuno-suppressive cytokines such as interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor- β , which induce immunosuppressive regulatory T-cells (Treg), which also inhibit OCL differentiation. However, like macrophages, osteoclasts possess the ability to sense and respond to infection, tissue damage, and local inflammation, possessing similar phagocytic and antigen-presenting capabilities. Within this capacity, pathological inflammation drives macrophages to produce

proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β , IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor, both through direct secretion and indirectly through activation of proinflammatory T cells.^{26,49,54,62} Thus, OCLs are uniquely positioned, both physically and functionally, to participate in both bone resorption and immunoregulation depending on the status of the surrounding microenvironment, and they use both of these capabilities concurrently in many diseases of the bone, including bone cancer.⁵⁹

3. Cancer cells drive osteoclast overactivation, leading to "the vicious cycle" of bone destruction

Many studies have established that osteoclasts play a key role in bone cancer progression and bone cancer pain. Under steadystate conditions, bone is turned over through a balanced cycle of bone resorption and bone formation through the reciprocal actions of OCLs and OBs, respectively. However, as tumor cells populate the bone marrow microenvironment, they deregulate the normal bone remodeling cycle, pushing the balance towards osteoclast-mediated bone resorption, thereby facilitating further cancer cell invasion of the bone tissue, a model that is termed the "vicious cvcle." 1,59,75 Osteolytic tumor (eg, those that promote bone destruction) cells increase osteoclast activity through secretion of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1B, IL-6, and TNF, and chemokines such as chemokine C-C motif ligand 2 (CCL2), which act directly on osteoclasts. In addition, tumor cells increase osteoclastogenesis through secretion of parathyroid hormone-related protein, a paracrine regulator of osteoclastogenesis that induces RANKL secretion, a critical regulator of osteoclast differentiation.^{10,50,61,89,98} In fact, osteolytic cancer cells engage in osteomimicry in many respects, mimicking OBs, the normal positive regulator of OCLs under homeostatic conditions.4,45,68 In return, osteoclasts, both increased in numbers and in activation, degrade the bone matrix and facilitate local invasion of cancer cells into the bone tissue while concurrently releasing growth factors, chemokines, and cytokines that also promote tumor growth, thus completing "the vicious cycle." 4,10,59 Thus, osteolytic cancer cells drive a selfserving cycle of osteoclast proliferation and hypertrophy at the bone-tumor interface, leading to accelerated bone destruction.^{43,59} This accelerated bone destruction leads to decreased bone mass and increased fragility, increasing the likelihood of subsequent nerve compression and SREs such as fractures, thereby indirectly producing bone cancer pain,^{44,97} as opposed to direct engagement of nociceptive nerve fibers by osteoclasts through the production of pronociceptive mediators (Fig. **1**).^{1,22,44,56,97}

Dysregulation of OCLs is also observed in osteoblastic tumor types (eg, those involving ectopic or excessive bone formation). In contrast to osteolytic cancers, osteoblastic cancer cells increase OB activity and decrease OCL formation and/or activity.90 Endothelin-1 (ET-1), a 21-amino acid peptide released from endothelial cells under steady-state conditions and hypersecreted by tumor cells, is a known regulator of OB function and a potent contributor to cancer-induced nociception.33,66,99 In cocultures of human osteoblastic prostate cancer cells with bone slices, osteoclastic bone resorption is significantly attenuated, an effect which could be rescued after application of an ET-1 neutralizing antibody. ET-1 was also shown to regulate osteoclast motility and bone resorption in a concentrationdependent manner.^{2,19,96} Thus, in primarily osteoblastic cancers, such as metastatic prostate cancer,⁹⁰ ET-1 may negatively regulate osteoclast function while directly contributing to cancerinduced nociceptor sensitization.



Figure 1. Direct and indirect mechanisms of osteoclasts underlying the pathogenesis of cancer pain. (A) Direct mechanisms by which OCLs produce pain include the induction of local acidosis, mediated by the action of V-ATPase, which activates acid-sensing receptors on peripheral nociceptor terminals in the bone tumor microenvironment, including TRPV1, ASIC1b, and ASIC3. OCLs also release chemokines, such as CCL2, at the absorption lacuna, which activate CCR2 receptors on local nociceptor terminals. OCLs' sustained activation of nociceptors leads to peripheral sensitization, central sensitization, spinal glia activation, and severe pain. In addition to factors released by osteoclasts, tumor cells release a host of factors that contribute directly to nociceptor sensitization and nerve sprouting, including cytokines (IL-1β and tumor necrosis factor), VEGF ligands, NGF, G-CSF, and GM-CSG (not shown). Tumor cells also increase the acidity of the extracellular environment, further activating proton-sensing nociceptors. (B) Indirect mechanisms by which OCLs produce bone cancer pain include tumor-induced induction of osteoclastsogenesis, osteoclast hypertrophy, and accelerated osteoclast absorption of bone. This leads to decreased bone mass, enabling further tumor invasion of the bone tissue, and increased likelihood for skeletal-related events such as bone fracture and nerve compression, leading indirectly to bone cancer-related pain. NGF, nerve growth factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

4. Osteoclasts produce pronociceptive mediators to drive bone cancer pain

4.1. Extracellular acidity as an activator of nociceptors

In addition to producing bone cancer pain indirectly through accelerated bone destruction and increased risk of painful SREs, osteoclasts also directly engage nerve fibers from nociceptive sensory neurons to produce pain through multiple mechanisms. Both overactivation of osteoclasts and local tumor growth creates a highly acidic extracellular TME that extends far beyond the resorbing lacunae, activating acid-sensing channels such as those present on the local peripheral terminals of nociceptors, such as transient receptor potential channel-vanilloid subfamily-1 (TRPV1) and acidsensing ion channels (ASICs), including ASIC1a, 1b, and 3^{1,31,53,97} (**Fig. 1**). In mice, inhibiting the osteoclast proton pump (V-ATPase) with an inhibitor of H⁺ secretion, bafilomycin A1, reduced pain behaviors in mice inoculated with intratibial multiple myeloma cells or Lewis lung cancer (LLC) cells, suggesting that the V-ATPase contributes to bone cancer pain.^{36,88} Moreover, mice lacking TRPV1, a nonselective cation channel expressed in nociceptive neurons that can be activated by heat, capsaicin, and protons,⁸⁰ exhibited reduced LLC-induced bone cancer pain compared with WT mice.⁸⁸

Acid-sensing ion channels are neuronal proton-gated cation channels that are also proposed to be responsible for detecting extracellular acidosis in bone cancer states.¹⁰¹ ASIC1a and ASIC2 subunits are primarily expressed in the central nervous system, whereas ASIC1b and ASIC3 are highly expressed by peripheral sensory neurons, including nociceptors.⁹⁷ ASIC3 is activated in bone cancer and found in peripheral nociceptive nerve fibers in the bone TME.^{3,97} Interestingly, mRNA and protein expression for ASIC1a, 1b, and 3 subunits were all upregulated in rodent bone cancer models,^{63,97,104} suggesting possible role in bone cancer pain. Interestingly, a selective ASIC3 antagonist, APETx2, was able to markedly reduce bone pain in a murine intratibial multiple myeloma model and decreased excitability of DRG neurons cocultured with human multiple myeloma cells,³⁶ providing functional evidence for the role of ASIC3.

4.2. Nociceptor sensitization in bone cancer pain

Osteoclasts also release chemokines such as CCL2, which activates C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CCR2) receptors on local peripheral nociceptor terminals (Fig. 1).⁸⁹ In addition, tumor cells also release a variety of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1ß and tumor necrosis factor, which also act on their receptors that are present on peripheral nociceptor terminals.⁵ The continual activation of nociceptor afferents present within the bone TME causes spontaneous pain and leads to sensitization of both peripheral and central nociceptors (termed peripheral sensitization and central sensitization), leading to increased sensitivity to sensory stimuli and hallmarks of neuropathic pain such as mechanical allodynia (eg, pain evoked by a normally innocuous stimulus such as light touch).24,46,56 Implantation of fibrosarcoma cells into and around the calcaneus bone in mice leads to the development of spontaneous activity in a subset of Cnociceptors and increased firing in response to heat stimulus at early stages.¹¹ Notably, this study also demonstrated a timedependent alteration in nerve fiber sprouting, with increased epidermal nerve fiber density in the skin overlying the implanted tumor at early stages, but a sharp loss of epidermal nerve fibers in later stages, indicative of neuropathy.¹¹

ET-1 is present in many human cancer types that have a high incidence of metastasis to bone, including prostate, lung, and breast cancers, and has also been demonstrated to contribute to C-nociceptor sensitization. ET-1 is upregulated in animal models of cancer-induced hyperalgesia and allodynia,65,72,86 and antaqonists selective for the ET-1 receptor, ETA, were shown to attenuate cancer pain in mice^{66,72,86} and humans.¹⁴ ET-1 has also been shown to contribute to peripheral sensitization in murine bone cancer models. In mice implanted with fibrosarcoma cells into and around the calcaneus bone, ET-1 injected into the receptive fields of C-nociceptors innervating the hind paw evoked an increase firing rate in control and tumor-bearing mice, whereas application of the ET_A receptor antagonist BQ-123 attenuated tumor-evoked spontaneous activity and sensitization to heat in Cnociceptors of tumor-bearing mice.33 Thus, ET-1 seems to contribute to peripheral sensitization in a variety of cancers, including bone cancer. Notably, activation of ET_B receptor was also shown to produce local analgesic effect.⁴⁷

Osteolytic tumor cells have also been shown to release ligands of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor 1 (VEGFR1), including VEGF-A, VEGF-B, and PLGF-2. Interestingly, intraosseous implantation of osteolytic sarcoma cells in mice produced robust pain, peripheral nerve remodeling, and nociceptor sensitization, including increased TRPV1 expression in distal branches of the sciatic nerve. Notably, this was due to cancer cell release of VEGF ligands binding to VEGFR1 on sensory afferent fibers, as sensory neuron-specific deletion of VEGFR1 and pharmacological blockade of VEGFR1/VEGF signaling suppressed cancer pain and attenuated peripheral nerve sprouting into the tumor stroma.⁷⁴ In addition, bone cancer cells also sensitize nerve fibers and induce peripheral sprouting through the release of granulocyte-colonystimulating factor (G-CSF) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), whose receptors are afferents. present on local peripheral nociceptor Granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor and GM-CSF activation of their receptors led to peripheral sensitization and pain behaviors, as well as increased nerve sprouting and accelerated tumor growth. In mice, loss of this signaling axis through pharmacologic inhibition of G-CSF or GM-CSF signaling led to reduced bone cancer pain, reduced peripheral nerve sprouting, and attenuated tumor growth. Furthermore, sensory neuron-selective knockdown of GM-CSFR using RNA interference attenuated bone cancer pain and intratumoral nerve sprouting, providing direct evidence of a tumor-nerve signaling mechanism.⁷³ Notably, whether this also altered osteoclast numbers, osteoclast activity, or bone destruction was not tested, but it is likely that G-CSF or GM-CSF may also alter osteoclastogenesis, as has been demonstrated in a noncancer model in mice.⁴⁸ In addition to understanding nerve sprouting in the context of cancer pain, it is noteworthy that nociceptive sensory neurons have been shown to contribute to tumor growth and progression in a variety of preclinical cancer models.55,69,70,103 Thus, nerve sprouting has important implications for both cancer pain and cancer progression. Cancer-induced sensory nerve sprouting has been observed in a variety of preclinical models, ^{6,41,42,51} and is controlled by a variety of factors that also contribute to peripheral sensitization and pain, including bradykinins, endothelins, and growth factors.^{42,57} In particular, nerve growth factor (NGF) released from macrophages and cancer cells seems to play a critical role in nerve sprouting, engaging its receptor tropomyosin receptor kinase A, which is present on sympathetic and nociceptive sensory nerve fibers.^{41,42} In a mouse model of prostate cancer-induced bone pain, administration of an anti-NGF neutralizing antibody preemptively (eg, before nerve sprouting) or at late stages could attenuate nerve sprouting and cancer pain.⁴² Phase 2 clinical trials with intravenous administration of tanezumab, an anti-NGF monoclonal antibody, provided significantly improved pain scores over a 16week period in patients with metastatic cancer concurrently taking daily opioids, validating efforts aimed at further development of anti-NGF therapies.77

Interestingly, central sensitization resulting from bone cancer in the periphery also leads to activation of spinal microglia and astrocytes, which sustain central sensitization and augment bone cancer pain pathogenesis,^{91,95,102} as well as chronic pain in a variety of other injury conditions.^{17,40} In a rat model of CIPB in which MRMT-1 mammary tumor cells are administered through intratibial injection, the receptive field size and ratio of wide dynamic range (WDR) and nociceptive-specific neurons was significantly increased, a change that reflects local plasticity indicative of central sensitization.⁸¹ Tumor-bearing animals exhibited an increased number of WDR neurons in the superficial dorsal horn (SDH), increasing the probability of response to low-threshold peripheral inputs, likely contributing to the clinical features of allodynia.⁸¹ In rats,

the emergence of cancer-induced behavioral hypersensitivity closely parallels altered plasticity in the superficial SDH.^{27,95} Interestingly, sustained treatment with gabapentin, a potent modulator of spinal calcium channel activity leading to decreased neurotransmitter release from afferents, was shown to reverse these pathological changes in plasticity, suggesting that gabapentin may be of therapeutic value for cancer-induced bone pain.²⁸ In theory, therapeutics targeting osteoclasts, nociceptors, cancer cells, or any other cell type that leads to reduced input from peripheral afferents is likely to aid in attenuating the pathological changes that occur in central sensitization, such as glial cell activation and neural plasticity in the superficial SDH. In mice, intrafemoral injection of 2472 osteolytic sarcoma cells induced robust bone destruction, spontaneous pain, and astrocyte activation. Interestingly, administration of osteoprotegerin (OPG), a soluble decoy receptor of RANKL, sharply reduced osteoclastogenesis, bone destruction, cancer-induced pain behaviors, and spinal astrocyte activation.³⁷ This study also nicely demonstrates the difficulty in parsing out the relative contributions of tumor cells, osteoclasts, and activated spinal glial cells to nociceptor activation and pain in bone cancer because each of these components are intricately linked and it is difficult to alter one without reciprocally influencing the others.

Other cells within the myeloid cell lineage such as microglia and macrophages have been demonstrated in preclinical studies to contribute to pain pathogenesis, but seem to do so in a sexually dimorphic manner (eg, differently in males and females).^{17,18,52,78} For example, intrathecal (eq, spinal) administration of microglial inhibitors such as minocycline and propentofylline, or microglial ablation using MAC-1-saporin toxin, is sufficient to attenuate nerve injury-induced pain in male but not female mice.⁷⁸ In the absence of microglia, female mice use a mechanism driven by adaptive immune cells.⁷⁸ Sex dimorphism may be dependent on the pathological pain condition in question, however, as microglia have been found to contribute to bone cancer pain. In female rats, intratibial inoculation with Walker 256 mammary gland carcinoma cells led to robust mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity as well as spontaneous pain, which was accompanied by robust activation of spinal microglia. In this model, inhibition of spinal microglia with intrathecal minocycline significantly attenuated mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity, suggesting microglia may contribute to bone cancer pain in both males and females in this model.⁹⁵ Similarly, macrophages seem to contribute to the genesis of pathological pain in several models,¹⁸ but may do so using distinct signaling mechanisms in males and females.⁵² At present, sexual dimorphism in the contribution of osteoclasts to cancer pain has not been demonstrated, nor have male- or female-specific pronociceptive osteoclast signaling mechanisms been identified. Thus, it will be interesting to follow this line of research to see whether osteoclasts, like microglia and macrophages, contribute to bone cancer pain or other chronic pain conditions in a sexually dimorphic manner.

5. Therapeutic targets for bone cancer pain: effects on cancer pain, bone destruction, and tumor growth

5.1. Food and drug administration (FDA) FDA-approved treatments for bone cancer pain

A limited number of therapeutic strategies directly targeting osteoclasts have been developed for primary or metastatic bone cancer to limit bone destruction and attenuate bone cancer pain (**Table 1**). Bisphosphonates such as pamidronate, clodronate, and zoledronic acid are the clinical gold-standard

treatment for metastatic bone cancer pain.^{22,29} Bisphosphonates are selectively taken up by activated osteoclasts and interfere in cellular metabolism, leading to osteoclast apoptosis and a subsequent reduction in osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and tissue acidosis.²⁹ Thus, when effective, bisphosphonates reduce both bone cancer-induced SREs and pain, but efficacy is highly variable across tumor types,⁸⁵ with only 50% of patients showing reduced SREs. In addition, bisphosphonates exhibit minimal antitumor properties, and 30% to 50% of patients taking bisphosphonates develop further metastases.^{92,100} There are also serious complications associated with long-term use of bisphosphonates, including renal insufficiency and bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw, and hence, it is recommended that they are not used for more than 2 years.^{83,100}

Beyond bisphosphonates, denosumab is currently the only other clinically approved biological treatment targeting osteoclasts for bone cancer metastases and bone cancer pain.100 Denosumab is a monoclonal antibody that works by inhibiting RANKL signaling that is required for osteoclastogenesis and maintenance of osteoclast activity, thereby interrupting osteoclastogenesis and subsequent bone resorption.35 In reviews of phase III clinical trials of patients with bone metastases, denosumab delayed the onset of SREs and development of moderate/severe pain by about 1 month in comparison with zoledronic acid (regarded as the most effective bisphosphonate).^{20,76} These effects were paired with increased quality of life and decreased use of opioids for pain management. Because denosumab has been established to have better outcomes compared with bisphosphonates in pain and SREs, it will likely continue to gain popularity as an osteoclast-targeting therapeutic for metastatic bone cancer.

5.2. Prospective bone cancer pain therapeutics emerging from preclinical studies

In addition to the currently used therapeutics with demonstrated clinical efficacy, several promising approaches to control bone cancer pain and/or SREs have emerged from preclinical studies (Table 1). As a step towards providing palliative care (eg, pain relief) for patients with poor long-term prognosis, some prospective therapeutics targets have focused on targeting nociceptors. For example, in canines with bone cancer, intrathecal administration of the TRPV1 super agonist resiniferatoxin (RTX) significantly reduced pain, lameness, and required treatment unblinding and/or adjustment of analgesic protocol significantly later than control animals.^{8,9} Intra-articular injection of RTX has also shown efficacy in reducing pain and functional impairment in canines with osteoarthritis, providing a potential rational for administration into the bone TME in canines with bone cancer.³⁸ Although these studies focused on cancer pain and functional impairment as their endpoint, nociceptors have been shown to contribute to tumor progression in many preclinical models, 55,69,70,103 and thus, it is possible that RTX or other nociceptor ablation approaches could also confer antitumor properties. However, at present, it is unknown whether RTX alters tumor progression or osteoclast resorption, so its effectiveness must be taken in the context of palliative care. In addition to ablative strategies, selective strategies targeting targets on peripheral terminals within the bone TME have also been proposed. APETx2, a selective antagonist for ASIC3 receptor, reduced bone pain in a murine model of multiple myeloma bone pain, warranting further investigation.³⁶

In the presence of a pro-osteoclastogenic microenvironment, osteoclasts have also been demonstrated to upregulate the Table 1

Therapeutic strate	gies to treat pr	rimarv or metastati	ic bone cancer pa	in.
indiapound on are	gioo to tiout pi	milling of motious		

Treatment	Model	Mechanism of action	Effects on nociception/ pain	Effects on osteoclast numbers/activity	Effects on tumor growth	Reference
FDA-approved treatments Bisphosphonates (clodronate, pamidronate, and zoledronic, acid)	Bone cancer patients	Absorbed by mature OCL, induce OCL apoptosis	Ļ	ţ	_	22,29
RANKL inhibition (denosumab and osteoprotegerin)	Bone cancer patients	Blocks osteoclastogenesis through inhibition of RANKL signaling	Ļ	ţ	_	15,20,35,76
Prospective treatments TRPV1 antagonist (resiniferatoxin)	Murine and canine models	Ablation of TRPV1-expressing neurons and/or their projections	ţ	_	_	8,9,34
ASIC3 antagonist (APETx2)	Mouse multiple myeloma model	Inhibits ASIC3 channels on peripheral nociceptor terminals	Ļ	—	—	36
Anti-PD-1 therapy (nivolumab)	Murine bone cancer model (LLC)	Inhibits PD1-mediated osteoclastogenesis; promotes antitumor immunity; and decreases CCL2 secretion	Ţ	Ļ	Ţ	89
Anti-NGF therapy	Murine bone cancer model and phase 2 clinical trials	Inhibits NGF released from cancer cells and macrophages, and reduces nerve sprouting	Ļ	-/?	_	42,77
CSFR1 inhibitor (pexidartinib)	Murine and rat cancer-induced bone pain models	Multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor that inhibits phosphorylation of CSF1R	Ļ	ţ	Ļ	79

ASIC, acid-sensing ion channel; NGF, nerve growth factor; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand; TRPV1, transient receptor potential channel-vanilloid subfamily-1.

checkpoint inhibitory molecule programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1), which signals through its receptor programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1). Notably, PD-L1/PD-1 signaling promotes an immunosuppressive environment, dampening activation of Tcell subsets required for antitumor immune responses. Thus, therapies targeting checkpoint inhibitory pathways such as the PD-L1/PD-1 signaling axis have emerged as a gold-standard cancer immunotherapy treatment of choice. 30,54 Recently, anti-PD-1 therapy with the clinically used monoclonal antibody nivolumab was demonstrated to reduce bone cancer pain and osteoclast formation in a murine model of bone cancer.⁸⁹ In addition, mice lacking PD-1 exhibited reduced bone cancer pain after intrafemoral inoculation with LLC cells. Interestingly, Pd1^{-/-} mice also exhibit pain hypersensitivity and reduced osteoclast numbers at baseline.^{16,89} Within the bone TME, PD-L1 promoted RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis through JNK activation and CCL2 secretion. In addition, CCL2 directly activated CCR2expressing DRG nociceptors, as evidenced by attenuated bone cancer pain after systemic administration of the CCR2 antagonist RS504393.89 Given that anti-PD-1 therapies are already used clinically in patients with various cancers, this may be an attractive new therapeutic option for patients with primary or metastatic bone cancer that could aid in pain control and bone destruction.

Along the same lines of denosumab in targeting regulatory molecules of OCLs, inhibitors of CSF1 and its receptors have also shown therapeutic potential. CSF1R inhibitors such as pexidartinib (PX3397) have shown clinical efficacy in several clinical trials of soft tissue cancers and have been shown to reduce pain in mouse and rat cancer-induced bone pain models. In the murine and rat prostate cancer-induced bone pain model, there was a marked reduction in pain as well as reduced tumor growth, reduced formation of new tumor colonies, and attenuated tumor-induced bone resorption by osteoclasts.⁷⁹ Pexidartinib has advanced to phase 2 clinical trials in patients with metastatic prostate cancer in bone, but these trials have yet to yield results.¹² Further research on the therapeutic potential of CSFR1 inhibitors for primary or metastatic bone cancer pain is warranted, given the positive results in preclinical and early clinical trials thus far.

5. Conclusions

As cancer therapies continue to prolong lifespan, developing increasingly safe and effective long-term therapies to treat cancer pain becomes of paramount importance. Even for patients with poor long-term prognosis, the ability to provide palliative care to patients suffering from intensely painful bone cancer pain is critical to improve their quality of life. Osteoclasts are critical players in the development and progression of bone cancer pain through indirect mechanisms involving bone destruction and SREs, and direct mechanisms involving nociceptor activation by inflammatory mediators and extracellular acidosis. In addition to contributing to bone cancer pain pathogenesis, osteoclast overactivation also promotes tumor growth. Thus, targeting osteoclasts in patients with bone cancer has the potential to provide synergistic pain relief while concurrently slowing tumor progression, and a number of therapeutics show promise. Bisphosphonates and denosumab are 2 common biologics that are approved to target osteoclasts in the context of bone cancer. but even these therapies are restricted to palliative care. Other potential therapeutics, such as resiniferatoxin and APETx2, target nociceptors directly and have started moving to phase I clinical trials or have shown efficacy in animal models. Finally, PD-1 antagonists such as nivolumab and CSFR1 inhibitors such as pexidartinib are relatively new to the field of bone cancer pain but show promising results in preclinical models that warrant further investigation. The ideal agent is one that can provide multifaceted pain relief, inhibition of osteoclast overactivation, and possesses antitumor properties, and further studies aimed at understanding reciprocal interactions between cancer cells, osteoclasts, and nociceptors are likely to generate new therapeutic targets and mechanistic insights.

Disclosures

R.R. Ji is a consultant of Boston Scientific and received research support from the company. These activities are not related to this review. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

C.R. Donnelly received support from the John J. Bonica Trainee Fellowship and NIH T32 GM008600. Illustrations were created by A.S. Andriessen and C.R. Donnelly using BioRender.

Article history:

Received 30 July 2020 Received in revised form 10 September 2020 Accepted 22 September 2020 Available online 9 March 2021

References

- Aielli F, Ponzetti M, Rucci N. Bone metastasis pain, from the bench to the bedside. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:280.
- [2] Alam AS, Gallagher A, Shankar V, Ghatei MA, Datta HK, Huang CL, Moonga BS, Chambers TJ, Bloom SR, Zaidi M. Endothelin inhibits osteoclastic bone resorption by a direct effect on cell motility: implications for the vascular control of bone resorption. Endocrinology 1992;130:3617–24.
- [3] Alvarez de la Rosa D, Zhang P, Shao D, White F, Canessa CM. Functional implications of the localization and activity of acid-sensitive channels in rat peripheral nervous system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:2326–31.
- [4] Awolaran O, Brooks SA, Lavender V. Breast cancer osteomimicry and its role in bone specific metastasis; an integrative, systematic review of preclinical evidence. Breast 2016;30:156–71.
- [5] Binshtok AM, Wang H, Zimmermann K, Amaya F, Vardeh D, Shi L, Brenner GJ, Ji RR, Bean BP, Woolf CJ, Samad TA. Nociceptors are interleukin-1beta sensors. J Neurosci 2008;28:14062–73.
- [6] Bloom AP, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Taylor RN, Castañeda-Corral G, Kaczmarska MJ, Freeman KT, Coughlin KA, Ghilardi JR, Kuskowski MA, Mantyh PW. Breast cancer-induced bone remodeling, skeletal pain, and sprouting of sensory nerve fibers. J Pain 2011;12:698–711.
- [7] Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, Lacey DL. Osteoclast differentiation and activation. Nature 2003;423:337–42.
- [8] Brown DC, Agnello K, ladarola MJ. Intrathecal resiniferatoxin in a dog model: efficacy in bone cancer pain. PAIN 2015;156:1018–24.
- [9] Brown DC, ladarola MJ, Perkowski SZ, Erin H, Shofer F, Laszlo KJ, Olah Z, Mannes AJ. Physiologic and antinociceptive effects of intrathecal resiniferatoxin in a canine bone cancer model. Anesthesiology 2005; 103:1052–9.
- [10] Buenrostro D, Mulcrone PL, Owens P, Sterling JA. The bone microenvironment: a fertile soil for tumor growth. Curr Osteoporos Rep 2016;14:151–8.
- [11] Cain DM, Wacnik PW, Turner M, Wendelschafer-Crabb G, Kennedy WR, Wilcox GL, Simone DA. Functional interactions between tumor and peripheral nerve: changes in excitability and morphology of primary afferent fibers in a murine model of cancer pain. J Neurosci 2001;21: 9367–76.
- [12] Cannarile MA, Weisser M, Jacob W, Jegg AM, Ries CH, Rüttinger D. Colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) inhibitors in cancer therapy. J Immunother Cancer 2017;5:53.
- [13] Cappariello A, Maurizi A, Veeriah V, Teti A. The great beauty of the osteoclast. Arch Biochem Biophys 2014;558:70–8.
- [14] Carducci MA, Nelson JB, Bowling MK, Rogers T, Eisenberger MA, Sinibaldi V, Donehower R, Leahy TL, Carr RA, Isaacson JD, Janus TJ, Andre A, Hosmane BS, Padley RJ. Atrasentan, an endothelin-receptor antagonist for refractory adenocarcinomas: safety and pharmacokinetics. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:2171–80.
- [15] Chen F, Pu F. Safety of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in patients with bone metastases: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Oncol Res Treat 2016;39:453–9.
- [16] Chen G, Kim YH, Li H, Luo H, Liu DL, Zhang ZJ, Lay M, Chang W, Zhang YQ, Ji RR. PD-L1 inhibits acute and chronic pain by suppressing nociceptive neuron activity via PD-1. Nat Neurosci 2017;20:917–26.
- [17] Chen G, Zhang YQ, Qadri YJ, Serhan CN, Ji RR. Microglia in pain: detrimental and protective roles in pathogenesis and resolution of pain. Neuron 2018;100:1292–311.

- [18] Chen O, Donnelly CR, Ji RR. Regulation of pain by neuro-immune interactions between macrophages and nociceptor sensory neurons. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2020;62:17–25.
- [19] Chiao JW, Moonga BS, Yang YM, Kancherla R, Mittelman A, Wu-Wong JR, Ahmed T. Endothelin-1 from prostate cancer cells is enhanced by bone contact which blocks osteoclastic bone resorption. Br J Cancer 2000;83:360–5.
- [20] von Moos R, Body JJ, Egerdie B, Stopeck A, Brown JE, Damyanov D, Fallowfield LJ, Marx G, Cleeland CS, Patrick DL, Palazzo FG, Qian Y, Braun A, Chung K. Pain outcomes in patients with advanced breast cancer and bone metastases: results from a randomized, double-blind study of denosumab and zoledronic acid. Cancer 2013;21:3497–507.
- [21] Cleeland CS, Portenoy RK, Rue M, Mendoza TR, Weller E, Payne R, Kirshner J, Atkins JN, Johnson PA, Marcus A. Does an oral analgesic protocol improve pain control for patients with cancer? An intergroup study coordinated by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Ann Oncol 2005;16:972–80.
- [22] Coleman RE. Metastatic bone disease: clinical features, pathophysiology and treatment strategies. Cancer Treat Rev 2001;27:165–76.
- [23] Costantini M, Ripamonti C, Beccaro M, Montella M, Borgia P, Casella C, Miccinesi G. Prevalence, distress, management, and relief of pain during the last 3 months of cancer patients' life. Results of an Italian mortality follow-back survey. Ann Oncol 2009;20:729–35.
- [24] Costigan M, Scholz J, Woolf CJ. Neuropathic pain: a maladaptive response of the nervous system to damage. Annu Rev Neurosci 2009; 32:1–32.
- [25] Cronin KA, Lake AJ, Scott S, Sherman RL, Noone A-M, Howlader N, Henley SJ, Anderson RN, Firth AU, Ma J, Kohler BA, Jemal A. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, part I: national cancer statistics. Cancer 2018;124:2785–800.
- [26] Del Fattore A, Teti A. The tight relationship between osteoclasts and the immune system. Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets 2012;11:181–7.
- [27] Donovan-Rodriguez T, Dickenson AH, Urch CE. Superficial dorsal horn neuronal responses and the emergence of behavioural hyperalgesia in a rat model of cancer-induced bone pain. Neurosci Lett 2004;360:29–32.
- [28] Donovan-Rodriguez T, Dickenson AH, Urch CE. Gabapentin normalizes spinal neuronal responses that correlate with behavior in a rat model of cancer-induced bone pain. Anesthesiology 2005;102:132–40.
- [29] Drake MT, Clarke BL, Khosla S. Bisphosphonates: mechanism of action and role in clinical practice. Mayo Clin Proc 2008;83:1032–45.
- [30] Emens LA, Ascierto PA, Darcy PK, Demaria S, Eggermont AMM, Redmond WL, Seliger B, Marincola FM. Cancer immunotherapy: opportunities and challenges in the rapidly evolving clinical landscape. Eur J Cancer 2017;81:116–29.
- [31] Falk S, Dickenson AH. Pain and nociception: mechanisms of cancerinduced bone pain. J Clin Oncol 2017;32:1647–54.
- [32] Feng X, McDonald JM. Disorders of bone remodeling. Annu Rev Pathol 2011;6:121–45.
- [33] Hamamoto DT, Khasabov SG, Cain DM, Simone DA. Tumor-evoked sensitization of C nociceptors: a role for endothelin. J Neurophysiol 2008;100:2300–11.
- [34] Hanaka M, Iba K, Dohke T, Kanaya K, Okazaki S, Yamashita T. Antagonists to TRPV1, ASICs and P2X have a potential role to prevent the triggering of regional bone metabolic disorder and pain-like behavior in tail-suspended mice. Bone 2018;110:284–94.
- [35] Hanley DA, Adachi JD, Bell A, Brown V. Denosumab: mechanism of action and clinical outcomes. Int J Clin Pract 2012;66:1139–46.
- [36] Hiasa M, Okui T, Allette YM, Ripsch MS, Sun-Wada GH, Wakabayashi H, Roodman GD, White FA, Yoneda T. Bone pain induced by multiple myeloma is reduced by targeting V-ATPase and ASIC3. Cancer Res 2017;77:1283–95.
- [37] Honore P, Luger NM, Sabino MA, Schwei MJ, Rogers SD, Mach DB, O'keefe PF, Ramnaraine ML, Clohisy DR, Mantyh PW. Osteoprotegerin blocks bone cancer-induced skeletal destruction, skeletal pain and pain-related neurochemical reorganization of the spinal cord. NatMed 2000;6:521–8.
- [38] Iadarola MJ, Sapio MR, Raithel SJ, Mannes AJ, Brown DC. Long-term pain relief in canine osteoarthritis by a single intra-articular injection of resiniferatoxin, a potent TRPV1 agonist. PAIN 2018;159:2105–14.
- [39] Jacome-Galarza CE, Percin GI, Muller JT, Mass E, Lazarov T, Eitler J, Rauner M, Yadav VK, Crozet L, Bohm M, Loyher PL, Karsenty G, Waskow C, Geissmann F. Developmental origin, functional maintenance and genetic rescue of osteoclasts. Nature 2019;569:541–5.
- [40] Ji RR, Donnelly CR, Nedergaard M. Astrocytes in chronic pain and itch. Nat Rev Neurosci 2019;20:667–85.
- [41] Jimenez-Andrade JM, Bloom AP, Stake JI, Mantyh WG, Taylor RN, Freeman KT, Ghilardi JR, Kuskowski MA, Mantyh PW. Pathological

sprouting of adult nociceptors in chronic prostate cancer-induced bone pain. J Neurosci 2010;30:14649–56.

- [42] Jimenez-Andrade JM, Ghilardi JR, Castañeda-Corral G, Kuskowski MA, Mantyh PW. Preventive or late administration of anti-NGF therapy attenuates tumor-induced nerve sprouting, neuroma formation, and cancer pain. PAIN 2011;152:2564–74.
- [43] Jimenez-Andrade JM, Mantyh WG, Bloom AP, Ferng AS, Geffre CP, Mantyh PW. Bone cancer pain. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2010;1198:173–81.
- [44] Kato K, Morita I. Acidosis environment promotes osteoclast formation by acting on the last phase of preosteoclast differentiation: a study to elucidate the action points of acidosis and search for putative target molecules. Eur J Pharmacol 2011;663:27–39.
- [45] Keller ET, Brown J. Prostate cancer bone metastases promote both osteolytic and osteoblastic activity. J Cell Biochem 2004;91–718–29.
- [46] Khasabov SG, Hamamoto DT, Harding-Rose C, Simone DA. Tumorevoked hyperalgesia and sensitization of nociceptive dorsal horn neurons in a murine model of cancer pain. Brain Res 2007;1180:7–19.
- [47] Khodorova A, Navarro B, Jouaville LS, Murphy JE, Rice FL, Mazurkiewicz JE, Long-Woodward D, Stoffel M, Strichartz GR, Yukhananov R, Davar G. Endothelin-B receptor activation triggers an endogenous analgesic cascade at sites of peripheral injury. NatMed 2003;9:1055–61.
- [48] Lee MS, Kim HS, Yeon JT, Choi SW, Chun CH, Kwak HB, Oh J. GM-CSF regulates fusion of mononuclear osteoclasts into bone-resorbing osteoclasts by activating the Ras/ERK pathway. J Immunol 2009;183: 3390–9.
- [49] Li H, Hong S, Qian J, Zheng Y, Yang J, Yi Q. Cross talk between the bone and immune systems: osteoclasts function as antigen-presenting cells and activate CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Blood 2010;116:210–17.
- [50] Li X, Ye JX, Xu MH, Zhao MD, Yuan FL. Evidence that activation of ASIC1a by acidosis increases osteoclast migration and adhesion by modulating integrin/Pyk2/Src signaling pathway. Osteoporos Int 2017; 28:2221–31.
- [51] Lindsay TH, Jonas BM, Sevcik MA, Kubota K, Halvorson KG, Ghilardi JR, Kuskowski MA, Stelow EB, Mukherjee P, Gendler SJ, Wong GY, Mantyh PW. Pancreatic cancer pain and its correlation with changes in tumor vasculature, macrophage infiltration, neuronal innervation, body weight and disease progression. PAIN 2005;119:233–46.
- [52] Luo X, Huh Y, Bang S, He Q, Zhang L, Matsuda M, Ji RR. Macrophage toll-like receptor 9 contributes to chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain in male mice. J Neurosci 2019;39:6848–64.
- [53] Mach DB, Rogers SD, Sabino MC, Luger NM, Schwei MJ, Pomonis JD, Keyser CP, Clohisy DR, Adams DJ, O'Leary P, Mantyh PW. Origins of skeletal pain: sensory and sympathetic innervation of the mouse femur. Neuroscience 2002;113:155–66.
- [54] Madel MB, Ibáñez L, Wakkach A, de Vries TJ, Teti A, Apparailly F, Blin-Wakkach C. Immune function and diversity of osteoclasts in normal and pathological conditions. Front Immunol 2019;10:1408.
- [55] Magnon C, Hall SJ, Lin J, Xue X, Gerber L, Freedland SJ, Frenette PS. Autonomic nerve development contributes to prostate cancer progression. Science 2013;341:1236361.
- [56] Mantyh P. Bone cancer pain: causes, consequences, and therapeutic opportunities. PAIN 2013;154:S54–62.
- [57] Mantyh PW. Cancer pain and its impact on diagnosis, survival and quality of life. NatRevNeurosci 2006;7:797–809.
- [58] Mantyh PW, Clohisy DR, Koltzenburg M, Hunt SP. Molecular mechanisms of cancer pain. NatRevCancer 2002;2:201–9.
- [59] Maurizi A, Rucci N. The osteoclast in bone metastasis: player and target. Cancers (Basel) 2018;10:218.
- [60] Mundy GR. Mechanisms of bone metastasis. Cancer 1997;80: 1546–56.
- [61] Mundy GR. Metastasis to bone: causes, consequences and therapeutic opportunities. Nat Rev Cancer 2002;2:584–93.
- [62] Nagae M, Hiraga T, Wakabayashi H, Wang L, Iwata K, Yoneda T. Osteoclasts play a part in pain due to the inflammation adjacent to bone. Bone 2006;39:1107–15.
- [63] Nagae M, Hiraga T, Yoneda T. Acidic microenvironment created by osteoclasts causes bone pain associated with tumor colonization. J Bone Mineral Metab 2007;25:99–104.
- [64] Nakashima T, Takayanagi H. New regulation mechanisms of osteoclast differentiation. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2011;1240:E13–8.
- [65] Peters CM, Lindsay TH, Pomonis JD, Luger NM, Ghilardi JR, Sevcik MA, Mantyh PW. Endothelin and the tumorigenic component of bone cancer pain. Neuroscience 2004;126:1043–52.
- [66] Pickering V, Jay Gupta R, Quang P, Jordan RC, Schmidt BL. Effect of peripheral endothelin-1 concentration on carcinoma-induced pain in mice. Eur J Pain 2008;12:293–300.

- [67] Reinstein ZZ, Pamarthy S, Sagar V, Costa R, Abdulkadir SA, Giles FJ, Carneiro BA. Overcoming immunosuppression in bone metastases. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2017;117:114–27.
- [68] Rucci N, Teti A. Osteomimicry: how tumor cells try to deceive the bone. Front Biosci 2010;2:907–15.
- [69] Saloman JL, Albers KM, Li D, Hartman DJ, Crawford HC, Muha EA, Rhim AD, Davis BM. Ablation of sensory neurons in a genetic model of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma slows initiation and progression of cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016;113:3078–83.
- [70] Saloman JL, Albers KM, Rhim AD, Davis BM. Can stopping nerves, stop cancer? Trends Neurosci 2016;39:880–9.
- [71] Schmidt BL. The neurobiology of cancer pain. Neuroscientist 2014;20: 546–62.
- [72] Schmidt BL, Pickering V, Liu S, Quang P, Dolan J, Connelly ST, Jordan RC. Peripheral endothelin A receptor antagonism attenuates carcinoma-induced pain. Eur J Pain 2007;11:406–14.
- [73] Schweizerhof M, Stosser S, Kurejova M, Njoo C, Gangadharan V, Agarwal N, Schmelz M, Bali KK, Michalski CW, Brugger S, Dickenson A, Simone DA, Kuner R. Hematopoietic colony-stimulating factors mediate tumor-nerve interactions and bone cancer pain. Nat Med 2009;15: 802–7.
- [74] Selvaraj D, Gangadharan V, Michalski CW, Kurejova M, Stosser S, Srivastava K, Schweizerhof M, Waltenberger J, Ferrara N, Heppenstall P, Shibuya M, Augustin HG, Kuner R. A functional role for VEGFR1 expressed in peripheral sensory neurons in cancer pain. Cancer Cell 2015;27:780–96.
- [75] Sims NA, Martin TJ. Coupling the activities of bone formation and resorption: a multitude of signals within the basic multicellular unit. Bonekey Rep 2014;3:481.
- [76] Smith MR, Coleman RE, Klotz L, Pittman K, Milecki P, Ng S, Chi KN, Balakumaran A, Wei R, Wang H, Braun A, Fizazi K. Denosumab for the prevention of skeletal complications in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: comparison of skeletal-related events and symptomatic skeletal events. Ann Oncol 2015;26:368–74.
- [77] Sopata M, Katz N, Carey W, Smith MD, Keller D, Verburg KM, West CR, Wolfram G, Brown MT. Efficacy and safety of tanezumab in the treatment of pain from bone metastases. PAIN 2015;156:1703–13.
- [78] Sorge RE, Mapplebeck JC, Rosen S, Beggs S, Taves S, Alexander JK, Martin LJ, Austin JS, Sotocinal SG, Chen D, Yang M, Shi XQ, Huang H, Pillon NJ, Bilan PJ, Tu Y, Klip A, Ji RR, Zhang J, Salter MW, Mogil JS. Different immune cells mediate mechanical pain hypersensitivity in male and female mice. Nat Neurosci 2015;18:1081–3.
- [79] Thompson ML, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Chartier S, Tsai J, Burton EA, Habets G, Lin PS, West BL, Mantyh PW. Targeting cells of the myeloid lineage attenuates pain and disease progression in a prostate model of bone cancer. PAIN 2015;156:1692–702.
- [80] Tominaga M, Caterina MJ, Malmberg AB, Rosen TA, Gilbert H, Skinner K, Raumann BE, Basbaum AI, Julius D. The cloned capsaicin receptor integrates multiple pain-producing stimuli. Neuron 1998;21:531–43.
- [81] Urch CE, Donovan-Rodriguez T, Dickenson AH. Alterations in dorsal horn neurones in a rat model of cancer-induced bone pain. PAIN 2003; 106:347–56.
- [82] van den Beuken-van Everdingen MH, Hochstenbach LM, Joosten EA, Tjan-Heijnen VC, Janssen DJ. Update on prevalence of pain in patients with cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pain Symptom Manage 2016;51:1070–90.e1079.
- [83] Van den Wyngaert T, Huizing Mt, Vermorken JB. Osteonecrosis of the jaw related to the use of bisphosphonates. Ann Oncol 2006;17: 1512–16.
- [84] von Moos R, Costa L, Ripamonti CI, Niepel D, Santini D. Improving quality of life in patients with advanced cancer: targeting metastatic bone pain. Eur J Cancer 2017;71:80–94.
- [85] von Moos R, Strasser F, Gillessen S, Zaugg K. Metastatic bone pain: treatment options with an emphasis on bisphosphonates. Support Care Cancer 2008;16:1105–15.
- [86] Wacnik PW, Eikmeier LJ, Ruggles TR, Ramnaraine ML, Walcheck BK, Beitz AJ, Wilcox GL. Functional interactions between tumor and peripheral nerve: morphology, algogen identification, and behavioral characterization of a new murine model of cancer pain. J Neurosci 2001; 21:9355–66.
- [87] Wada T, Nakashima T, Hiroshi N, Penninger JM. RANKL-RANK signaling in osteoclastogenesis and bone disease. Trends Mol Med 2006;12:17–25.
- [88] Wakabayashi H, Wakisaka S, Hiraga T, Hata K, Nishimura R, Tominaga M, Yoneda T. Decreased sensory nerve excitation and bone pain associated with mouse Lewis lung cancer in TRPV1-deficient mice. J Bone Mineral Metab 2018;36:274–85.

- [89] Wang K, Gu Y, Liao Y, Bang S, Donnelly CR, Chen O, Tao X, Mirando AJ, Hilton MJ, Ji RR. PD-1 blockade inhibits osteoclast formation and murine bone cancer pain. J Clin Invest 2020;130:3603–20.
- [90] Wang M, Xia F, Wei Y, Wei X. Molecular mechanisms and clinical management of cancer bone metastasis. Bone Res 2020;8:30.
- [91] Wang XW, Li TT, Zhao J, Mao-Ying QL, Zhang H, Hu S, Li Q, Mi WL, Wu GC, Zhang YQ, Wang YQ. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation in spinal astrocytes and microglia contributes to cancerinduced bone pain in rats. Neuroscience 2012;217:172–81.
- [92] Weilbaecher KN, Guise TA, McCauley LK. Cancer to bone: a fatal attraction. Nat Rev Cancer 2011;11:411–25.
- [93] Weinfurt KP, Li Y, Castel LD, Saad F, Timbie JW, Glendenning GA, Schulman KA. The significance of skeletal-related events for the healthrelated quality of life of patients with metastatic prostate cancer. Ann Oncol 2005;16:579–84.
- [94] Wu Y, Humphrey Mb, Nakamura MC. Osteoclasts the innate immune cells of the bone. Autoimmunity 2008;41:183–94.
- [95] Yang Y, Li H, Li TT, Luo H, Gu XY, Lu N, Ji RR, Zhang YQ. Delayed activation of spinal microglia contributes to the maintenance of bone cancer pain in female wistar rats via P2X7 receptor and IL-18. J Neurosci 2015;35:7950–63.
- [96] Yin JJ, Mohammad KS, Käkönen SM, Harris S, Wu-Wong JR, Wessale JL, Padley RJ, Garrett IR, Chirgwin JM, Guise TA. A causal role for endothelin-1 in the pathogenesis of osteoblastic bone metastases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:10954.
- [97] Yoneda T, Hata K, Nakanishi M, Nagae M, Nagayama T, Wakabayashi H, Nishisho T, Sakurai T, Hiraga T. Involvement of acidic microenvironment in

the pathophysiology of cancer-associated bone pain. Bone 2011;48: 100-5.

- [98] Yuan FL, Xu MH, Li X, Xinlong H, Fang W, Dong J. The roles of acidosis in osteoclast biology. Front Physiol 2016;7:222.
- [99] Yuyama H, Koakutsu A, Fujiyasu N, Fujimori A, Sato S, Shibasaki K, Tanaka S, Sudoh K, Sasamata M, Miyata K. Inhibitory effects of a selective endothelin-A receptor antagonist YM598 on endothelin-1induced potentiation of nociception in formalin-induced and prostate cancer-induced pain models in mice. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2004; 44(suppl 1):S479–482.
- [100] Zamagni E, Cavo M, Fakhri B, Vij R, Roodman D. Bones in multiple myeloma: imaging and therapy. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book 2018;38: 638–46.
- [101] Zha XM. Acid-sensing ion channels: trafficking and synaptic function. Mol Brain 2013;6:1.
- [102] Zhang RX, Liu B, Wang L, Ren K, Qiao JT, Berman BM, Lao L. Spinal glial activation in a new rat model of bone cancer pain produced by prostate cancer cell inoculation of the tibia. PAIN 2005;118:125–36.
- [103] Zhao CM, Hayakawa Y, Kodama Y, Muthupalani S, Westphalen CB, Andersen GT, Flatberg A, Johannessen H, Friedman RA, Renz BW, Sandvik AK, Beisvag V, Tomita H, Hara A, Quante M, Li Z, Gershon MD, Kaneko K, Fox JG, Wang TC, Chen D. Denervation suppresses gastric tumorigenesis. Sci Transl Med 2014;6:250ra115.
- [104] Zhu H, Ding J, Wu J, Liu T, Liang J, Tang Q, Jiao M. Resveratrol attenuates bone cancer pain through regulating the expression levels of ASIC3 and activating cell autophagy. Acta Biochim Biophys Sinica 2017;49:1008–14.