

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Prevalence of burnout among university students in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Mark Mohan Kaggwa<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Kajjimu<sup>2\*</sup>, Jonathan Sserunkuma<sup>2</sup>, Sarah Maria Najjuka<sup>3</sup>, Letizia Maria Atim<sup>1</sup>, Ronald Olum<sup>3</sup>, Andrew Tagg<sup>4,5</sup>, Felix Bongomin<sup>6</sup>

**1** Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda, **2** Faculty of Medicine, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda, **3** College of Health Science, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda, **4** Emergency Department, Western Hospital-Footscray, Footscray, Victoria, Australia, **5** School of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, **6** Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Gulu University, Gulu, Uganda

\* [jonathkebenz37@gmail.com](mailto:jonathkebenz37@gmail.com)



## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Kaggwa MM, Kajjimu J, Sserunkuma J, Najjuka SM, Atim LM, Olum R, et al. (2021) Prevalence of burnout among university students in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 16(8): e0256402. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402>

**Editor:** Sabeena Jalal, McGill University, CANADA

**Received:** April 20, 2021

**Accepted:** August 5, 2021

**Published:** August 30, 2021

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402>

**Copyright:** © 2021 Kaggwa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its [Supporting information](#) files.

## Abstract

### Background

Burnout is common among university students and may adversely affect academic performance. Little is known about the true burden of this preventable malady among university students in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs).

### Objectives

This study aimed to systematically estimate the prevalence of burnout among university students in LMICs.

### Methods

We searched PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, Web of Science, African Journals Online, and Embase from the inception of each database until February 2021. Original studies were included. No study design or language restrictions were applied. A random-effects meta-analysis was performed using STATA version 16.0. Heterogeneity and publication bias were assessed using Q-statistics and funnel plots, respectively.

### Results

Fifty-five unique articles, including a total of 27,940 (Female: 16,215, 58.0%) university students from 24 LMICs were included. The Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) was used in 43 studies (78.2%). The pooled prevalence of burnout was 12.1% (95% Confidence Interval (CI) 11.9–12.3;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $Q = 21,464.1$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The pooled prevalence of emotional exhaustion (feelings of energy depletion), cynicism (negativism), and reduced professional efficacy were, 27.8% (95% CI 27.4–28.3;  $I^2 = 98.17\%$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), 32.6 (95% CI: 32.0–

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

33.1;  $I^2$ : 99.5%;  $p = < 0.001$ ), and 29.9% (95% CI: 28.8–30.9;  $I^2$ : 98.1%;  $p = < 0.001$ ), respectively.

## Conclusion

Nearly one-third of university students in LMICs experience burnout. More studies are needed to understand the causes of burnout in this key population. There is a need to validate freely available tools for use in these countries.

## 1. Introduction

Burnout is a psychological syndrome that may arise as a response to chronic interpersonal stressors at work [1]. It is characterized by feelings of energy depletion and emotional exhaustion (EE). These feelings of exhaustion can be caused by educational demands, increased mental distance from one's studies, and feelings of cynicism related to one's studies/job. They may be coupled with reduced personal/professional efficacy (PE)—the feeling of incompetence as a student [2]. Burnout can happen to anyone involved in a psychologically engaging activity like higher education [3].

University education is an intrinsically demanding time in many students' lives [4–6]. There are several demands on a student's time including course work, relationships, examinations, part-time work, internship, pressure from parents and guardians, and practical/ward work for medical students [5, 6]. This puts many students at risk of burnout [5]. The literature has grouped burnout risk factors into 3 groups: *individual factors*, including sociodemographic variables; *education characteristics*, such as workload, time pressure, the course offered, part-time work, and *emotional demands* such as relationships; university characteristics. These include hierarchies, operating rules, resources, values, management model, culture, psychological support, and curricular factors [7–9]. Burnout is associated with poor academic performance, sleep disturbance, risk of severe mental illness or substance use disorder, an increased likelihood of cardiovascular disease, and neglect of physical and mental health [6, 10].

There have been disparities between recent studies [11–13] regarding the prevalence of burnout. In high-income countries (HICs), such as Saudi Arabia, the prevalence was noted 30.5% in a population of students doing healthcare-related courses [14]. In a similar cohort in Uganda, the prevalence was 54.5% [15].

Such discrepancies in prevalence rate were attributed to different exposures to socio-economic, political, health, and conflict-related stress factors [16]. Most systematic reviews on burnout have been performed among students doing health-related programs, predominantly in high-income countries (HICs) [17–20]. These overshadow the findings of students' wellness and burnout in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). The few reviews done in LMICs are from middle-income countries (MICs) and have all been among students pursuing medical-related courses [21].

In this study, the research aimed to provide an insight into the burden of burnout syndrome among university students in LMICs by conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the prevalence of burnout and its different sub-components.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Study design

The researchers used the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines for systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies [22], in addition to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [23]. The study protocol was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42021232487).

### 2.2 Search strategy

With the help of a qualified medical librarian, relevant databases (PubMed, Google Scholar, CINAHL, Web of Science, African Journals Online, and Embase) were used for literature search, from the inception of each database until February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021. The search strings used were; burnout, burned out, emotional exhaustion, compassion fatigue, excess depersonalization, personal accomplishment, personal burnout, studies-related burnout, colleague related burnout, teacher-related burnout. Also, prevalence, burden, incidence, University Students, college students, Medical Students, and the list of all countries in Low Middle Income Countries (LMICs) [24] according to the World Bank Country and Lending Groups, 2021 (S1 File). Additional articles were obtained from a manual search of the references of the selected articles. The corresponding authors whose articles were not freely available were contacted by phone and via emails.

The articles included were published peer-reviewed articles of all languages, around the prevalence of burnout among university students in LMICs. Other languages were translated using Google translator. Cross-sectional, cohort, and case-control studies were included. Review articles, single case reports, and small case series were excluded.

### 2.3 Study selection process

All identified eligible articles were imported into Endnote 9 to ascertain duplicates. After removal of duplicates, two independent reviewers (LMA and JK) selected articles and abstracts. Any discrepancy in the included articles was settled by MMK. Articles were included for full article review by MMK and FB. The remaining articles were included for qualitative and quantitative synthesis checks. These articles were divided into pairs among (LMA and JS) and (JK and SMN). Any disagreement among the individuals was settled by the lead investigator (MMK), (Fig 1).

### 2.4 Data management and extraction


A pre-piloted Google form was used for data extraction. The following information were captured: The first authors, title, year of data collection, country, sample size, individuals with burnout and features of burnout, age, sex, year of study, the tool used, and study population.

### 2.5 Quality assessment

The risk of bias of the included articles was evaluated using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist [25]. JBI uses a 4-point Likert scale with answers being “no”, “yes”, “unclear”, or “not applicable”, for the following questions (1) appropriateness of the sample frame; (2) recruitment procedure; (3) adequacy of the sample size; (4) description of subjects and setting; (5) description of the identified sample; (6) validity of the methods used to screen for burnout; (7) reliability of the methods used to screen for burnout; (8) adequacy of statistical analyses; and (9) response rate. Articles were assigned one point per yes. Articles with a score less than 5



## PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram



**Fig 1. The PRISMA flow diagram.** From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:[10.1371/journal.pmed1000097](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed1000097) For more information, visit [www.prisma-statement.org](http://www.prisma-statement.org).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402.g001>

were excluded. All the selected articles were included for further synthesis. The results are presented in [S2 File](#).

## 2.6 Data analysis

Based on the number of students who had burnout, a random effect meta-analysis was performed using STATA version 16.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The Q statistics and  $I^2$  accounted for the heterogeneity among the studies [26]. A random-effects model was used to determine the overall pooled estimates of effect sizes (prevalence), when heterogeneity was  $> 50$ , the researchers used fixed-effect models when the heterogeneity was lower. The results were presented on forest plots. The Funnel plots were generated to visually assess for publication bias. The study tools were summarized and a comparison between the different tools made concerning the relation to pooled burnout prevalence. P-values were two-tailed, and were considered statistically significant if the P-value was  $\leq 0.05$ .

## 3. Results

A total of 2245 studies were retrieved. Of these, 55 articles including a total of 28,206 (Male—11,121 and Female—16,398) university students and published between the year 2006 to 2020 met our inclusion criteria. Most of the studies were conducted in Brazil ( $n = 18$ ), or China ( $n = 7$ ). Many were from upper-middle-income countries ( $n = 45$ ), with 10 from lower-middle-income countries and 3 from lower-income countries. The majority of studies were from South America ( $n = 30$ ), Asia ( $n = 14$ ), Africa ( $n = 10$ ) and the least were from Europe ( $n = 3$ ). A total of 3 studies were conducted among students undertaking non-medical related programs. 21 studies were conducted among postgraduate students. Participants were taken from the breadth of their training program. For details about the participants and study characteristics see [Table 1](#).

### 3.1 Prevalence of burnout

55 studies reported the prevalence of burnout. 8,966 participants in LMICs had a burnout syndrome. The pooled prevalence, from the 24 countries, was 12.1% (95% CI 11.9–12.3;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $Q = 21,464.1$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ). There was marked heterogeneity between studies. The pooled prevalence in Upper Middle-Income countries (UMIC), Lower middle-income countries (LMIC), and Lower income countries was 9.8% (95% CI 9.6–10.0;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $Q = 15444.6$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ), 42.9% (95% CI 41.9–43.9;  $I^2 = 99.3\%$ ,  $Q = 1251.5$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ), and 20.1% (95% CI 19.4–20.9;  $I^2 = 98.7\%$ ,  $Q = 156.8$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ), respectively [Fig 2](#). The funnel plot by countries' income status is presented in [S3 File](#). Publication bias is seen especially among studies done in LMIC and LIC.

### 3.2 The prevalence of emotional exhaustion/feelings of energy depletion in LMICs

A total of 6,412 students displayed exhaustion, over 29 studies. The pooled prevalence was 27.8% (95% CI 27.4–28.3). There was significantly ( $p = <0.001$ ) high level of heterogeneity  $I^2 = 98.17\%$ . and  $Q = 12,756.3$ . The pooled prevalence in UMIC, LMIC, and LIC was 30.1% (95% CI 29.4–30.7;  $I^2 = 99.8\%$ ,  $Q = 4,642.7$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ), 8.6% (95% CI 7.8–9.4;  $I^2 = 99.8\%$ ,  $Q = 1,345.6$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ), and 77.6% (95% CI 76.1–79.0;  $I^2 = 94.6\%$ ,  $Q = 36.8$ ,  $p = <0.001$ ), respectively. [Fig 3](#) shows the forest plot of the 30 studies and its funnel plot in [S4 File](#).

Table 1. Characteristics of the studies.

Author	Country	Year of data collection	Sample Size	Gender Male Female	Age	Years of study	Study population	burnout n (%)	Emotional exhaustion n (%)	Cynicism n (%)	Reduced professional efficiency n (%)	The tool used to assess burnout
Franco et al., 2011 [27]	Brazil	2004	16	1 Male 15 Female	25.8		P, M	1	2	2	3	MBI
Waldman et al., 2009 [28]	Argentina	2007	106	70 Male 36 Female	29.1		P, M	85	76	72	12	MBI
Costa et al., 2012 [29]	Brazil	2009	369	186 Male 183 Female			U, M	38	231	175	64	MBI
Jovanović et al., 2016 [30]	Belarus	2009	14	2 Male 12 Female	25.1(1.1)		P, M	5				MBI
Jovanović et al., 2016 [30]	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2009	20	6 Male 14 Female	33.6(3.4)		P, M	3				MBI
Jovanović et al., 2016 [30]	South Africa	2010	20	9 Male 11 Female	31.8(5.9)		P, M	12				MBI
Martins et al., 2011 [31]	Argentina	2011	74	14 Male 60 Female			P, M	49				MBI
Nikodijević et al., 2012 [32]	Serbia	2011	376	159 Male 217 Female	-	-	U, N	78	88	83	93	MBI
Tavares et al., 2014 [33]	Brazil	2011	48	4 Male 44 Female	26(2.9)	Year 2 (48)	P, M	10	16	16	32	MBI
Mason & Nel, 2012 [34]	South Africa	2011	80	7 Male 73 Female	22.4	year 1 (33), year 2 (24), year 3 (23)	U, M	10				ProQOL R-IV
Mafla et al., 2015 [35]	Colombia	2012	5647	1719 Male 3928 Female	Range 18–24; Under 18 (665), 18–21 (2371), 22–24 (1793), >24 (818)	Year 1 (1348), Year 2 (1294), Year 3 (1178), Year 4 (1144), & Year 5 (683)	U, M	394				MBI
Neves et al., 2016 [36]	Brazil	2013	105		21.25(2.53)		U, M	11				MBI
Talih et al., 2016 [37]	Lebanon	2013	118	62 Male 56 Female	18–25(26), 26–35 (92)	Year 1 (33), Year 2 (31), Year 3 (26), Year 4 (28)	P, M	32				MBI
Bera et al., 2013 [38]	India	2013	596	529 Male 67 Female			U, M	310				Not clear
Almeida et al., 2016 [39]	Brazil	2013	376	151 Male 216 Female	<21(119), 21–25 (209), 26> (39)	Year 1 (101), Year 2 (76), Year 3(100), Year 4(89)	U, M	56				MBI
Parra-Osorio et al., 2015 [40]	Colombia	2013	201	90 Male 111 Female	20.9 (2.9)		U, M	1				MBI
Pereira-Lima et al., 2017 [41]	Brazil	2014	305	159 Male 146 Female	28(2.530)	Year 1& 2 (156), Year 3–5 (149)	P, M	32	211	161	36	OLBI

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Author	Country	Year of data collection	Sample Size	Gender		Age	Years of study	Study population	burnout n (%)	Emotional exhaustion n (%)	Cynicism n (%)	Reduced professional efficiency n (%)	The tool used to assess burnout
				Male	Female								
Galdino et al., 2016 [42]	Brazil	2014	129	13	116	32.3	Masters (79), Ph.D. (50)	P, M	15	90	35	32	MBI
Tian et al., 2019 [43]	China	2014	2008	593	1218		Masters' Year 1 (391), Master's Year 2 (554), Master's Year 3 (609), Ph.D. Year 1 (79), Ph.D. Year 2 (73), Ph.D. Year 3 (68)	P, M	1516				MBI
Pu et al., 2021 [44]	China	2014	1814	596	1218		Master's Year 1 (391), Master's Year 2 (554), Master's Year 3 (609), Ph.D. Year 1 (79), Ph.D. Year 2 (73), & Ph.D. Year 3 (68)	P, M	1482				MBI
Stein et al., 2016 [45]	South Africa	2015	93				Year 4 (93)	U, M	29				CBI
Barbosa et al., 2018 [46]	Brazil	2015	399	177	222	21(3.6)	-	U, M	48				MBI
Fares et al., 2016 [16]	Lebanon	2015	165	88	77	18–24 (161) >25 (4)	Year 1 (80), year 2 (85)	U, M	124				MBI
Wickramasinghe et al., 2018 [47]	Sri Lank	2015	796	356	440	18.4 (0.32)	-	U, N	293				MBI
Fontana et al., 2020 [48]	Brazil	2015	121	68	53	25	Year 1 (33) & year 2 (35)	P, M	67	38	52	46	MBI
Liu et al., 2018 [49]	China	2016	453	199	254	20.21(1.46)	Year1(129), Year2 (27), Year3(280), Year4 (16), Year5(1)	U, M	42				MBI
Malik et al., 2016 [50]	Pakistan	2016	133	98	35		Year1(46), Year2(45), Year3(22), Year4(19)	P, M	77	67	66	27	MBI
Wing et al., 2018 [6]	Malaysia	2016	538	312	226	22.3 (1.3)		U, M, N	126				CBI
Mathias et al., 2017 [51]	South Africa	2016	67	13	54	20–24(57), 25–29(5), 30–34(4), >35(1)	Year 3 (26), Year 4 (41)	U, M	4				ProQOL R-IV
Atam, 2018 [52]	Egypt	2016	672	232	440	<22 (41), >21 (261)		U, M	537				CBI
Serrano et al., 2016 [53]	Colombia	2016	180	94	86	20(19–22)		U, M	18	66	67	46	MBI

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Author	Country	Year of data collection	Sample Size	Gender		Age	Years of study	Study population	burnout n (%)	Emotional exhaustion n (%)	Cynicism n (%)	Reduced professional efficiency n (%)	The tool used to assess burnout
				Male	Female								
Lee et al., 2020 [54]	China	2017	731	323	408	20.54 (2.07)	Year 1 (118), Year 2 (289), Year 3 (90), Year 4 (148), Year 5 (167), & Year 6 (37)	U, M	204	360	393	520	MBI
Calciades et al., 2019 [55]	Brazil	2017	184	83	101	25.9(3.9)		P, M	66	98	96	35	MBI
Haile et al., 2019 [56]	Ethiopia	2017	144	123	98	30(3)	Year 1 (17), Year 2 (28), Year 3 (28), Year 4 (39), Year 5 (32)	U, M	49	89	69	86	MBI
Lopes et al., 2020 [57]	Brazil	2017	284	28	256			U, M	17	103	107	80	MBI
Tlili et al., 2021 [58]	Tunisia	2017	368	49	319		Master's (40), others (328)	P, U, M	252	130	75	128	MBI
Vidhukumar & Hamza, 2020 [59]	India	2017	375	142	233		Year 2 (60), Year 3 (73), Year 4 (34), Year 5 (44), & Interns (60)	U, M	182				CBI
Vasconcelos et al., 2020 [60]	Brazil	2017	100	9	91	18-27 (87), 27-57 (13)	Year 1 (36), Year 2 (16), Year 3 (24), & Year 4 (24)	U, M	20	75	29	33	MBI
Boni et al., 2018 [61]	Brazil	2017	330	96	183	21.4(2.7)	Year1(118), Year2 (59), Year3 (51), Year4 (49)	U, M	119	187	140	58	MBI
Müller et al., 2020 [62]	Brazil	2017	126	53	73	18-20 (32), 21-25 (81), 26-30 (11), & > 31 (2)	Year 1 (32), Year 2 (28), Year 3 (27), & Year (39)	U, M	8	50	45	44	MBI
Alhaffar et al., 2019 [63]	Syria	2018	3350	1477	1873	21-25 (1139), 26-30 (1994), 31-35 (217)	Year 1 (1311), Year 2 (887), Year 3 (510), Year 4 (358), & Year 5 (284)	P, M	646	2609	1829	2160	MBI
Tavares et al., 2020 [64]	Brazil	2018	419	148	271	22.1(4.3)		U, M	40	161	123	137	MBI
Ji et al., 2020 [65]	China	2018	380	79	301	26-29 (214), >29 (166)	Year 1 & 2 (160), Year 3 (73), Year 4 & 5 (147)	P, M	233				MBI
Bolatov et al. 2021 [66]	Kazakhstan	2018	771	193	578	20.7	Year 1 (218), Year 2 (137), Year 3 (125), Year 4 (62), Year 5 (60), & Year 6 (169)	U, M	216	451	429	98	CBI

(Continued)



Table 1. (Continued)

Author	Country	Year of data collection	Sample Size	Gender		Age	Years of study	Study population	burnout n (%)	Emotional exhaustion n (%)	Cynicism n (%)	Reduced professional efficiency n (%)	The tool used to assess burnout
				Male	Female								
Daud et al., 2020 [67]	Malaysia	2019	182	53	129		Year 1 (37), Year 2 (40), Year 3 (33), Year 4 (35), & Year 5 (37)	U, M	67	100		58	CBI
Magri et al., 2019 [68]	Brazil	2019	57	18	39	23(2,5)		U, M	5	19	19	19	MBI
Pharasi et al., 2020 [8]	India	2019	196	133	63	20.35 (1.50)	Year 1 (48), Year 2 (50), Year 3 (50), Year 4 (48)	U, M	33	3	94	165	MBI
Pokhrel et al., 2020 [69]	Nepal	2019	651	496	156	25(4)	Year 1(66), Year2 (68), Year3(71), Year4(63), Year5 (63)	U, P, M	318	266	210	105	CBI
Aghajari et al., 2019 [70]	Iran	2019	223					U, M	159				BABI
Geng et al., 2020 [71]	China	2019	563	221	342	<27 (215), >26 (348)		P, M	93	313	167	234	MBI
Khosravi et al., 2021 [72]	Iran	2019	400	156	244	<24 (222), >23 (178)	Year 1 (68), Year 2 (58), Year 3 (80), Year 4 (77), & Others (117)	U, M	102				BABI
Ogbohodo et al., 2020 [73]	Nigeria	2019	448	279	169	33.9(4.0)	Year 1 (111), Year 2 (138), Year 3 (94), Year 4 (105)	P, M	187				MBI
Kajimu et al., 2021 [15]	Uganda	2020	145	102	43	18–23 (91), 24–40 (54)	Year 1(22), Year 2 (28), Year 3 (38), Year 4 (26), Year 5 (31)	U, M	79	135	141	90	MBI
Zhang et al., 2021 [11]	China	2020	684	290	234	20(17–24)	Year 2 (310), Year 3 (234), Year 4 (& above)139	U, M	315	370	328	300	LBS
Ogoma, 2020 [12]	Kenya	2020	182	98	78	26.4(8.28)	Year 2 (95), Year 4 (50), Year 6 (37)	U, M	32				MBI
Rodrigues et al., 2020 [13]	Brazil	2020	350	160	169		Year 1 (66), Year 2 (62), Year 3 (57), Year 4 (63), Year 5 (50), Year 6 (55)	U, M	13				MBI
Total			279	10935	16215				8966	6412	5031	4741	

P = Postgraduate, U = Undergraduate, M = medical-related programs, N = Non-medical related programs, MBI = The Maslach Burnout Inventory, BABI = Bresno Academic Burnout Inventory, CBI = Copenhagen Burnout Inventory, ProQOL R-IV = The fourth revision of the Professional Quality of Life Scale, OLIBI = Oldenburg Burnout Inventory, and LBS = Learning Burnout Scale

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402.t001>




Fig 2. Forest plot of the prevalence of burnout in LMICs.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402.g002>

### 3.3 The prevalence of cynicism/negativism in LMICs

5,031 participants displayed high cynicism from 28 studies, conducted in 14 countries. The pooled prevalence was 32.6 (95% CI: 32.0–33.1; I<sup>2</sup>: 99.5%; Q = 5381.3, p = <0.001). The forest plot in Fig 4 shows the distribution of the study prevalence's. S5 File shows a figure of the funnel plot of the studies.

### 3.4 The prevalence of reduced professional efficacy in LMICs

A total of 4,741 students had professional efficacy from 29 studies. The pooled prevalence was 29.9% (95% CI: 28.8–30.9; I<sup>2</sup> = 98.1%; Q = 1436.3, p = <0.001). There was a high level of heterogeneity. Fig 5 shows the forest plot and accompanying funnel plot in S6 File.




Fig 3. Forest plot of the prevalence of emotional exhaustion in LMICs.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402.g003>




Fig 4. Forest plot of the prevalence of cynicism in LMICs.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402.g004>




Fig 5. Forest plot of reduced professional efficacy.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256402.g005>

### 3.5 The tools used to assess for burnout in LMICs

The most commonly used tool was the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) (n = 42), followed by the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI) (n = 6). The Bresno Academic Burnout Inventory and the fourth revision of the Professional Quality of Life (ProQOL R-IV) Scale were each used in 2 studies. The Oldenburg Burnout Inventory (OLBI), Learning Burnout Questionnaire, and the Russian version of the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory—Student Survey (R-CBI-S) were each used in one study. The methods are shown in Table 1

Disaggregated by the tool used, the pooled prevalence of burnout was 10.8% (95% CI: 10.6–11.0; I<sup>2</sup>: 99.7%; Q = 16,051.5; p = <0.001) using MBI, 41.6% (95% CI 40.3–42.9; I<sup>2</sup>: 99.4%; Q = 823.7, p = <0.001) using CBI; and 23.5 (95% CI 22.5–24.4; I<sup>2</sup> = 99.4; Q = 939.8, p = <0.001) using other tools. Forest plot in S7 File.

### 3.6 Additional stratifications of burnout

**A. Region.** Burnout pooled prevalence was highest among African region, at 35.4% (95% CI: 34.1–36.7; Q = 1558.35; I<sup>2</sup> = 99.4%; p = <0.001) from 10 studies, followed by the Asian region with a burnout pooled prevalence of 30.2% (95% CI: 29.7–30.6; Q = 7713.33; I<sup>2</sup> = 99.7%; p = <0.001) from 22 studies, then followed by the European region with a burnout pooled prevalence of 20.7% (95% CI: 18.4–22.9; Q = 5.06; I<sup>2</sup> = 60.5%; p = 0.080) from 3 studies. The South American region had the highest number of burnout studies but had the lowest burnout pooled prevalence of 5.9% (95% CI: 5.7–6.1; Q = 1990.92, I<sup>2</sup> = 98.9%; p = <0.001) (S8 File).

**B. Field of study.** Only one study was done in both medical and non-medical students, the pooled prevalence of burnout was 2%, (95% CI: 21.3–25.5). Majority of the studies (n = 53)

were among medical students, and they had a burnout pooled prevalence of 12.1% (95% CI: 11.9–12.4;  $Q = 2396.12$ ;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ). Two studies were done among non-medical students, but the pooled prevalence of burnout was similar to that among medical students i.e., 12.6% (95% CI: 12.4–12.8;  $Q = 97.75$ ;  $I^2 = 99.0\%$ ;  $p = < 0.001$ ) (S9 File).

**C. Level of study.** Most studies were done among undergraduate students and they had a burnout pooled prevalence of 9.1% (95% CI: 8.9–9.3;  $Q = 8634.67$ ;  $I^2 = 99.6\%$ ;  $p = < 0.001$ ). Post graduate students had higher levels of burnout with a pooled prevalence of 29.2% (95% CI 28.7–29.8;  $Q = 6652.01$ ;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ) from 19 studies. Results indicating increasing burnout levels with increasing level of education. Two studies were conducted in both populations, with a burnout pooled prevalence of 54.5% (95% CI: 52.2–56.8;  $Q = 56.69$ ;  $I^2 = 98.2\%$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ) (S10 File).

**D. Pre and during the COVID-19 pandemic.** A total of 53 studies were conducted before the COVID-19 pandemic, with a burnout pooled prevalence of 12.2% (95% CI: 11.9–12.4;  $Q = 20349.64$ ;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ). During the COVID-19 pandemic, 4 studies were conducted whose pooled prevalence of burnout was 11.5% (95% CI: 10.1–11.9;  $Q = 1108.72$ ;  $I^2 = 99.7\%$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ).

## 4 Discussion

The objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to collate data surrounding the burden of burnout in university students in LMICs. The hope is that this can foster the implementation of evidence-based programs to combat burnout. To our knowledge, no other study has reviewed LMICs, and hence this study provides a great insight into levels of burnout, the tools used, and factors that could impact a student's academic performance. The results indicate a low level of burnout (12.0%), emotional exhaustion (27.8%), cynicism (32.6%), and reduced professional efficacy (29.9%). These findings suggest a lower rate than that found in high income country students as well as medical residents (26.8%–43.5%) or emergency medicine residents (55.6%–77.9%), scoring for general burnout and 31.8%–46.0% for emotional exhaustion [17, 74, 75]. Our findings show evidence of marked publication bias. This could be a reason for the low levels of burnout reported in the region, as a result of few publications on the burnout aspects [76]. The findings were mainly from middle-income countries (MICs) (Brazil and China. The public are aware of the consequences of burnout [74, 77–79]. The low-income countries (LICs), which mainly reported a higher prevalence of burnout and its components [15, 30], need to preach more about burnout to increase the number of studies with country and cultural-based interventions to reduce burnout [80]. This unevenly distributed knowledge surrounding burnout may be related to the expensive study tools used in burnout screening. This precludes their use in LICs.

The MBI is most commonly used due to its good psychometric properties. It has been validated across many different cultures and countries [81]. Based on our synthesis, the prevalence of burnout among students, using the MBI tools, is statistically lower than that reported using other tools among other health workers [82]. The reliability of its findings appears comparable across different countries [81], but it currently remains the only tool that requires payment for use—a major hindrance in LMICs [83]. Countries should validate the freely available tools in order to have comparable results to those studies using the MBI tools. The shift to use of other instruments may increase knowledge and awareness of burnout in LMICs which could inform implementation of appropriate interventions.

This review shows that the level of burnout in LICs is higher compared to middle-income countries' economic levels among the LMICs. Perhaps, previously proven low-cost interventions among health workers should be used by students to improve coping skills and mitigate

education-related distress and burnout [84–86]. They include mindfulness practices, yoga exercises, and group discussions where individuals connect and share their experiences [84–87]. These are low-cost interventions, with online guides, that can be implemented in many LMICs. China and India have been using such methods to manage many conditions including depression, anxiety, other mental health challenges [88]. Introduction of these interventions among university students will require a context based approach to manage burnout. The role of universities in implementation of these interventions starts with the provision of education about the importance of the various interventions to all their students coupled with provision of coaches to guide students to perfect these self-administered interventions.

The African region had the highest pooled prevalence of burnout of university students at 35% (95% CI: 34–37) compared to any other region. Among health professional students, this may be due to unfavorable study conditions, high academic demands, and low training satisfaction. But whether this was due to having higher burnout in African university students or due to relatively fewer studies from Africa, remains a question to be answered by more research.

Our study found near identical pooled burnout prevalence between medical students (12.1%, 95% CI: 11.9–12.4) and non-medical students (12.6%, 95% CI: 12.4–12.8). A previous study demonstrated that overall burnout was more prevalent among medical students and residents than their age-matched colleagues not studying medicine [89]. This is most likely the underlying factor responsible for such a finding in our review because of the rigorous nature of medical training that health profession students have [15].

Our study found postgraduates to have a higher burnout pooled prevalence (29.2%, 95% CI: 28.7–29.8) compared to undergraduate students (9.1%, 95% CI: 8.9–9.3), further supporting the suggestion that the risk of students burning out increases with increase in the academic progression as previously found in a recent review by Dyrbye and Tait [7].

Our study found a lower pooled prevalence of burnout in students during the COVID-19 pandemic (11.5%, 95% CI: 10.1–11.9) compared to the burnout pooled prevalence (12.2%, 95% CI: 11.9–12.4) of students prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite individual burnout studies conducted in the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrating high burnout prevalence [80]. This could most likely have occurred due to the few burnout studies done in the pandemic which we included in our synthesis, given the COVID-19 pandemic's adverse effect on well-being of students [90]. If more burnout descriptive studies could be conducted among university students during this pandemic, a clearer picture could be determined.

#### 4.1 Strengths of the study

The literature search was done on several databases including articles in multiple languages. The studies all used standardized tools for measuring burnout. The study has a sub-analysis of burnout from different country income status, a previously not explored area by other meta-analyses.

#### 4.2 Limitations

This review had several limitations. The authors included studies from both medical and non-medical students. They may not be comparable in the levels of burnout experienced. The study included postgraduate and undergraduate students who may have differing levels of stress. The different scoring methods used to determine burnout were not considered in this study. There was still marked heterogeneity of the results mainly among countries from different economic statuses.

### 4.3 Future direction

The researchers suggest the following to increase the understanding of burnout in LMICs. Longitudinal studies to identify the impact of education on student burnout. More studies are needed in non-medical students as they have been neglected in most of the studies. There is also a need to validate more tools in the different populations of LMICs to enable more reliable studies to be conducted in such an economic environment.

## 5 Conclusion

Burnout among university students in LMICs was low. The commonest screening tool used is the MBI and it showed lower burnout levels than other tools. Few studies have been conducted among university students especially in LICs and no observed variability in the use of other screening tools. This suggests the need for more studies to understand burnout and its associated factors in LMICs as well as validation of various freely available tools for use in these countries.

## Supporting information

### S1 File. Search strategy.

(PDF)

### S2 File. Quality assessment of the included studies.

(PDF)

### S3 File. The funnel plot for burnout prevalence.

(PDF)

### S4 File. The funnel plot of the prevalence of emotional exhaustion in LMICs.

(PDF)

### S5 File. Funnel plot of the prevalence of cynicism in LMICs.

(PDF)

### S6 File. Funnel plot of the prevalence of professional efficacy in LMICs.

(PDF)

### S7 File. Forest plot for the burnout measurement tools.

(PDF)

### S8 File. Forest plot for the regional stratification of burnout pooled prevalence.

(PDF)

### S9 File. Forest plot for the stratification of burnout pooled prevalence by field of study.

(PDF)

### S10 File. Forest plot for the stratification of burnout pooled prevalence by level of study.

(PDF)

### S11 File. Forest plot for the stratification of burnout pooled prevalence by the COVID-19 pandemic.

(PDF)

### S1 Checklist. PRISMA checklist.

(DOCX)

## Acknowledgments

Liberian Wilson Adriko who assisted with the literature search, The African Centre for Suicide Prevention and Research together with the CHINTA Research Bangladesh, which was formerly known as the Undergraduate Research Organization which provided training on the conduction of systematic review and meta-analysis.

## Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu.

**Data curation:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Jonathan Sserunkuma, Sarah Maria Najjuka, Letizia Maria Atim.

**Formal analysis:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Ronald Olum, Felix Bongomin.

**Funding acquisition:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa.

**Investigation:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu.

**Methodology:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Ronald Olum, Felix Bongomin.

**Project administration:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Letizia Maria Atim.

**Resources:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu.

**Software:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu.

**Supervision:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Andrew Tagg, Felix Bongomin.

**Validation:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu.

**Visualization:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu.

**Writing – original draft:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Letizia Maria Atim.

**Writing – review & editing:** Mark Mohan Kaggwa, Jonathan Kajjimu, Ronald Olum, Andrew Tagg, Felix Bongomin.

## References

1. Kilbourne A. M. et al., "Measuring and improving the quality of mental health care: a global perspective," *World Psychiatry*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 30–38, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20482> PMID: 29352529
2. "WHO | Burn-out an 'occupational phenomenon': International Classification of Diseases," WHO, May 28, 2019. [http://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/burn-out/en/](http://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/burn-out/en/) (accessed Sep. 19, 2020).
3. Ef C., Sa S., At S., Ev M., and Tm A., "Burnout Syndrome and associated factors among medical students: a cross-sectional study," *Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil)*, 2012. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22760894/> (accessed Sep. 16, 2020).
4. Kaggwa M. M. et al., "Suicide among Ugandan university students: evidence from media reports for 2010–2020," *BJPsych Int.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 63–67, Aug. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1192/bji.2021.13> PMID: 34382950
5. Galbraith C. S. and Merrill G. B., "Academic performance and burnout: An efficient frontier analysis of resource use efficiency among employed university students," *J. Furth. High. Educ.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 255–277, 2015.
6. Wing T., Pey Y. C., Subramaniam V., Ab Raof N. A., Ting O. W., and Ahmad M. H. H., "Prevalence of Burnout in Medical and Non-medical Undergraduate Malaysian Students in Various International Universities-A Cross-Sectional Study," *J. Adv. Med. Med. Res.*, pp. 1–13, 2018.
7. Dyrbye L. and Shanafelt T., "A narrative review on burnout experienced by medical students and residents," *Med. Educ.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 132–149, Jan. 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12927> PMID: 26695473



8. Pharasi S. and Patra S., "Burnout in medical students of a tertiary care Indian medical center: How much protection does resilience confer?," *Indian J. Psychiatry*, vol. 62, no. 4, p. 407, 2020. [https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry\\_681\\_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_681_19) PMID: 33165365
9. Rodriguez S. Y. S. and Carlotto M. S., "Predictors of Burnout Syndrome in psychologists," *Estud. Psicol. Camp.*, vol. 34, pp. 141–150, 2017.
10. das Mercedes M. C. et al., "Burnout syndrome and metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional population-based study," *Arch. Environ. Occup. Health*, pp. 1–9, 2020.
11. Zhang J.-Y., Shu T., Xiang M., and Feng Z.-C., "Learning Burnout: Evaluating the Role of Social Support in Medical Students," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 12, p. 277, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.625506> PMID: 33692725
12. Ogoma S. O., "Problem-focused Coping Controls Burnout in Medical Students: The Case of a Selected Medical School in Kenya," *J. Psychol.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 69–79, 2020.
13. Rodrigues C. S. et al., "Evaluation of Burnout Syndrome Prevalence in Medical Students," *Rev. Bras. Educ. Médica*, vol. 44, no. 4, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1590/1981-5271v44.4-20200032.ing>
14. Alsaad A., Alkhalaf A. B., Alali K. M., Alibrahim J. H., and Almahdi I. S., "Burnout prevalence and associated risk factors among KFU students, Alhasa, Saudi Arabia," *Med. Sci.*, vol. 25, no. 107, pp. 146–156, 2021.
15. Kajjimu J., Kaggwa M. M., and Bongomin F., "Burnout and associated factors among medical students in a Public University in Uganda: a Cross-Sectional Study," *Adv. Med. Educ. Pract.*, vol. 12, p. 63, 2021.
16. Fares J., Al Tabosh H., Saadeddin Z., El Mouhayyar C., and Aridi H., "Stress, Burnout and Coping Strategies in Preclinical Medical Students," *North Am. J. Med. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 75–81, Feb. 2016, <https://doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714.177299> PMID: 27042604
17. IsHak W. W. et al., "Burnout during residency training: a literature review," *J. Grad. Med. Educ.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 236–242, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-09-00054.1> PMID: 21975985
18. Goodger K., Gorely T., Lavallee D., and Harwood C., "Burnout in sport: A systematic review," *Sport Psychol.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 127–151, 2007.
19. Rodrigues H. et al., "Burnout syndrome among medical residents: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *PLoS One*, vol. 13, no. 11, p. e0206840, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206840> PMID: 30418984
20. Frajerman A., Morvan Y., Krebs M.-O., Gorwood P., and Chaumette B., "Burnout in medical students before residency: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Eur. Psychiatry J. Assoc. Eur. Psychiatr.*, vol. 55, pp. 36–42, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.08.006> PMID: 30384110
21. Chunming W. M., Harrison R., MacIntyre R., Travaglia J., and Balasooriya C., "Burnout in medical students: a systematic review of experiences in Chinese medical schools," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 217, Nov. 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-017-1064-3> PMID: 29145854
22. Stroup D. F. et al., "Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting," *Jama*, vol. 283, no. 15, pp. 2008–2012, 2000.
23. Moher D., Liberati A., Tetzlaff J., Altman DG, and Group T, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.*" *Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. e1000097–6, 2009.
24. "World Bank Country and Lending Groups—World Bank Data Help Desk." <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups> (accessed Mar. 02, 2021).
25. J. Martin, "© Joanna Briggs Institute 2017 Critical Appraisal Checklist for Prevalence Studies," p. 7, 2017, [Online]. [https://jbi.global/sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI\\_Critical\\_Appraisal\\_Checklist\\_for\\_Prevalence\\_Studies2017\\_0.pdf](https://jbi.global/sites/default/files/2019-05/JBI_Critical_Appraisal_Checklist_for_Prevalence_Studies2017_0.pdf)
26. Ma X., Lin L., Qu Z., Zhu M., and Chu H., "Performance of between-study heterogeneity measures in the Cochrane library," *Epidemiol. Camb. Mass*, vol. 29, no. 6, p. 821, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000857> PMID: 29847495
27. Franco G. P. and Zeitoun S. S., "Burnout in nursing residents," *Rev Esc Enferm USP*, p. 8. PMID: 21445483
28. Waldman S. V., Diez J. C. L., Arazi H. C., Linetzky B., Guinjoan S., and Grancelli H., "Burnout, perceived stress, and depression among cardiology residents in Argentina," *Acad. Psychiatry*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 296–301, 2009.
29. de O. Costa E. F., Santos S. A., de A. Santos A. T. R., de Melo E. V., and de Andrade T. M., "Burnout Syndrome and associated factors among medical students: a cross-sectional study," *Clin. Sao Paulo Braz.*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 573–580, 2012, [https://doi.org/10.6061/clinics/2012\(06\)05](https://doi.org/10.6061/clinics/2012(06)05) PMID: 22760894

30. Jovanović N. et al., "Burnout syndrome among psychiatric trainees in 22 countries: Risk increased by long working hours, lack of supervision, and psychiatry not being first career choice," *Eur. Psychiatry*, vol. 32, pp. 34–41, 2016.
31. Martins A. E. et al., "Impact of a brief intervention on the burnout levels of pediatric residents," *J. Pediatr. (Rio J.)*, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 493–498, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.2223/JPED.2127> PMID: 22170452
32. Nikodijević A., Labrović J. A., and oković A., "Academic burnout among students at faculty of organizational sciences," *J. Theory Pract. Manag.*, vol. 64, pp. 64–53, 2012.
33. Tavares K. F. A., de O. Souza N. V. D., da Silva L. D., and Kestenberg C. C. F., "Prevalence of burnout syndrome among resident nurses," *Acta Paul. Enferm.*, vol. 27, pp. 260–265, 2014.
34. Mason H. D. and Nel J. A., "Compassion fatigue, burnout and compassion satisfaction: Prevalence among nursing students," *J. Psychol. Afr.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 451–455, 2012.
35. Mafla A. et al., "Burnout prevalence and correlates amongst Colombian dental students: the STRESS-CODE study," *Eur. J. Dent. Educ.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 242–250, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12128> PMID: 25470689
36. das Neves C. P. and Ribeiro D. M., "Burnout em estudantes de graduação em Odontologia," *Rev. ABENO*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 39–49, 2016.
37. Talih F., Warakian R., Ajaltouni J., Shehab A. A. S., and Tamim H., "Correlates of depression and burnout among residents in a Lebanese academic medical center: a cross-sectional study," *Acad. Psychiatry*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 38–45, 2016.
38. Bera T., Mandal A., Bhattacharya S., Biswas N. M., Ghosh A., and Bera S., "Burnout among medical students—a study across three medical colleges in Eastern India," *Ind Med Gaz*, vol. 2013, pp. 356–359, 2013.
39. de C. Almeida G., de Souza H. R., de Almeida P. C., de C. Almeida B., and Almeida G. H., "The prevalence of burnout syndrome in medical students," *Arch. Clin. Psychiatry São Paulo*, vol. 43, pp. 6–10, 2016.
40. Osorio L. P., Castaño L. R., Córdoba I. P., Ausecha G. C., and Aguirre A. V., "Correlación en Síndrome de Burnout y estrés académico en estudiantes de medicina de una facultad de salud en Cali, Colombia," *Rev. Colomb. Salud Ocupacional*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 31–34, 2015.
41. Pereira-Lima K. and Loureiro S. R., "Associations between social skills and burnout dimensions in medical residents," *Estud. Psicol. Camp.*, vol. 34, pp. 281–292, 2017.
42. Galdino M. J. Q., Martins J. T., do C. F. L. Haddad M., do C. C. Robazzi M. L., and Birolim M. M., "Síndrome de Burnout entre mestrandos e doutorandos em enfermagem," *Acta Paul. Enferm.*, vol. 29, pp. 100–106, 2016.
43. Tian L. et al., "Relationship between burnout and career choice regret among Chinese neurology post-graduates," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1601-3> PMID: 31117998
44. Zhou W. et al., "Overlap of burnout-depression symptoms among Chinese neurology graduate students in a national cross-sectional study," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2021.
45. Stein C and Sibanda T., "Burnout among paramedic students at a university in Johannesburg, South Africa | African Journal of Health Professions Education," 2016. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajhpe/article/view/147488>
46. Barbosa M. L. et al., "Burnout Prevalence and Associated Factors Among Brazilian Medical Students," *Clin. Pract. Epidemiol. Ment. Health CP EMH*, vol. 14, pp. 188–195, Aug. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.2174/1745017901814010188> PMID: 30258484
47. Wickramasinghe N. D., Dissanayake D. S., and Abeywardena G. S., "Prevalence and correlates of burnout among collegiate cycle students in Sri Lanka: a school-based cross-sectional study," *Child Adolesc. Psychiatry Ment. Health*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 26, Jun. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-018-0238-z> PMID: 29881452
48. Fontana M. C. P., Generoso I. P., Sizilio A., and Bivanco-Lima D., "Burnout syndrome, extracurricular activities and social support among Brazilian internship medical students: a cross-sectional analysis," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 81, Mar. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-01998-6> PMID: 32188433
49. Liu H., Yansane A. I., Zhang Y., Fu H., Hong N., and Kalenderian E., "Burnout and study engagement among medical students at Sun Yat-sen University, China: A cross-sectional study," *Medicine (Baltimore)*, vol. 97, no. 15, pp. e0326–e0326, Apr. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000010326> PMID: 29642167
50. Malik A. A. et al., "Burnout among surgical residents in a lower-middle income country—Are we any different?," *Ann. Med. Surg.*, vol. 9, pp. 28–32, Aug. 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2016.05.012> PMID: 27358730

51. Mathias Christina and Wentzel Dorien, "Descriptive study of burnout, compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction in undergraduate nursing students at a tertiary education institution in KwaZulu-Natal," *Curationis*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1–6, Apr. 2017, <https://doi.org/10.4102/curationis.v40i1.1784> PMID: 29041784
52. Atlam S. A., "Burnout Syndrome: Determinants and Predictors among Medical Students of Tanta University, Egypt," *Egypt. J. Community Med.*, vol. 36, no. 01, pp. 61–73, Jan. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.21608/ejcm.2018.6870>
53. Serrano F.T., Salguero-Sánchez J., Ayala-Fernández J., García M., Meza J., and Mejia C., "Síndrome de Burnout en estudiantes de seis facultades de medicina de Colombia, 2016–1: estudio multicéntrico," *Cienc. E Investig. Medico Estud. Latinoam.*, vol. 21, pp. 29–34, Aug. 2016.
54. Lee K. P., Yeung N., Wong C., Yip B., Luk L. H. F., and Wong S., "Prevalence of medical students' burnout and its associated demographics and lifestyle factors in Hong Kong," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 15, no. 7, p. e0235154, Jul. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235154> PMID: 32649681
55. Calcides D. A. P., da N. Didou R., de Melo E. V., and de Oliva-Costa E. F., "Burnout Syndrome in medical internship students and its prevention with Balint Group," *Rev. Assoc. Médica Bras.*, vol. 65, pp. 1362–1367, Dec. 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.65.11.1362> PMID: 31800898
56. Haile Y. G., Senkute A. L., Alemu B. T., Bedane D. M., and Kebede K. B., "Prevalence and associated factors of burnout among Debre Berhan University medical students: a cross-sectional study," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 413, Nov. 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1864-8> PMID: 31703674
57. Lopes A. R. and Nihei O. K., "Burnout among nursing students: predictors and association with empathy and self-efficacy," *Rev. Bras. Enferm.*, vol. 73, Feb. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2018-0280> PMID: 32049247
58. Tili M. A. et al., "Prevalence of burnout among health sciences students and determination of its associated factors," *Psychol. Health Med.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 212–220, Feb. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2020.1802050> PMID: 32835517
59. Vidhukumar K. and Hamza M., "Prevalence and Correlates of Burnout among Undergraduate Medical Students—A Cross-sectional Survey," *Indian J. Psychol. Med.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 122–127, Mar. 2020, [https://doi.org/10.4103/IJPSYM.IJPSYM\\_192\\_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/IJPSYM.IJPSYM_192_19) PMID: 32346252
60. de Vasconcelos E. M., Trindade C. O., Barbosa L. R., and de Martino M. M. F., "Predictive factors of burnout syndrome in nursing students at a public university," *Rev. Esc. Enferm. USP*, vol. 54, Jun. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1980-220X2018044003564> PMID: 32609182
61. dos S. Boni R. A., Paiva C. E., de Oliveira M. A., Lucchetti G., Fregnani J. H. T. G., and Paiva B. S. R., "Burnout among medical students during the first years of undergraduate school: Prevalence and associated factors," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 13, no. 3, p. e0191746, Mar. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191746> PMID: 29513668
62. Muller M.-E., Rusca S., and Lazor-Blanchet C., "[Burnout among physicians: a new related reason?]," *Rev. Med. Suisse*, vol. 16, no. 709, p. 1881–1885, Oct. 2020, [Online]. Available: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/33026732>
63. Alhaffar B. A., Abbas G., and Alhaffar A. A., "The prevalence of burnout syndrome among resident physicians in Syria," *J. Occup. Med. Toxicol.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 31, Dec. 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12995-019-0250-0> PMID: 31827575
64. Hana Fernandes Tavares H., Rodrigues Soares da Silva H., Melo Miranda I. M., de Oliveira Santos R., and Silva Guerra H., "Factors associated with Burnout Syndrome in medical students," *O Mundo Saúde*, vol. 44, pp. 280–289, Jan. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.15343/0104-7809.202044280289>
65. Ji L., Xiaowei Z., Ling K., Yao F., Qingkun S., and Jun Z., "Burnout level and job satisfaction in Chinese pediatrics residents: A web-based cross-sectional study," *Medicine (Baltimore)*, vol. 99, no. 8, pp. e19249–e19249, Feb. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000019249> PMID: 32080130
66. Bolatov A. K., Seisembekov T. Z., Askarova A. Zh., Igenbayeva B. B., Smailova D. S., and Hosseini H., "Burnout syndrome among medical students in Kazakhstan," In Review, preprint, Feb. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-157991/v1>
67. Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia et al., "Academic Factors Associated With Burnout in Malaysian Medical Students: A Cross-Sectional Study," *Educ. Med. J.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 49–58, Jun. 2020.
68. Magri L. V., de O. Melchior M., Jarina L., Simonaggio F. F., and Bataglion C., "Temporomandibular disorders and Burnout syndrome in students of the eighth period of dentistry course," *RGO—Rev. Gaúcha Odontol.*, vol. 67, Dec. 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1590/1981-863720190005520180011>
69. Pokhrel N. B., Khadayat R., and Tulachan P., "Depression, anxiety, and burnout among medical students and residents of a medical school in Nepal: a cross-sectional study," *BMC Psychiatry*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 298, Jun. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-02645-6> PMID: 32539732

70. Aghagari Z., Ahmad M., and Borhani F., "Prevalence of Academic Burnout and Its Related Factors among Nursing Student in Tehran Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, 2015, (Iran)," *Muq-J.*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 50–61, Oct. 2019, <https://doi.org/10.29252/qums.13.8.50>
71. Geng H. et al., "High rate of burnout among residents under standardized residency training in a tertiary teaching hospital of middle China: Results from a cross-sectional survey," *Medicine (Baltimore)*, vol. 99, no. 27, pp. e20901–e20901, Jul. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000020901> PMID: 32629681
72. Khosravi M., "Burnout among Iranian medical students: Prevalence and its relationship to personality dimensions and physical activity," *Eur. J. Transl. Myol.*, vol. 31, no. 1, p. 9411, Mar. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.4081/ejtm.2021.9411> PMID: 33709649
73. Ogboghodo, "Assessment of burnout amongst resident doctors in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria," 2020. <https://www.npmj.org/article.asp?issn=1117-1936;year=2020;volume=27;issue=3;page=215;epage=223;aulast=Ogboghodo> (accessed Aug. 18, 2021).
74. Salvagioni D. A. J., Melanda F. N., Mesas A. E., González A. D., Gabani F. L., and de Andrade S. M., "Physical, psychological and occupational consequences of job burnout: A systematic review of prospective studies," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. e0185781, Oct. 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185781> PMID: 28977041
75. Verougstraete D. and Hachimi Idrissi S., "The impact of burn-out on emergency physicians and emergency medicine residents: a systematic review," *Acta Clin. Belg.*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 57–79, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17843286.2019.1699690> PMID: 31835964
76. Rybarczyk M. M. et al., "Prevention of burn injuries in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review," *Burns*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1183–1192, Sep. 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2016.04.014> PMID: 27161088
77. Lucchetti G. et al., "Cross-cultural Differences in Mental Health, Quality of Life, Empathy, and Burnout between US and Brazilian Medical Students," *Acad. Psychiatry*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 62–67, Feb. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-017-0777-2> PMID: 28861884
78. Tang L., Zhang F., Yin R., and Fan Z., "Effect of Interventions on Learning Burnout: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 12, p. 533, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.645662> PMID: 33716914
79. Wang Y., Xiao H., Zhang X., and Wang L., "The Role of Active Coping in the Relationship Between Learning Burnout and Sleep Quality Among College Students in China," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 11, p. 647, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00647> PMID: 32425843
80. Kajjimu J., Kaggwa M. M., and Bongomin F., "Response to the Letter to the Editor Regarding Our Feature 'Burnout and Associated Factors Among Medical Students in a Public University in Uganda: A Cross-Sectional Study' [Response to Letter]," *Adv. Med. Educ. Pract.*, vol. 12, pp. 281–283, Mar. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S309770> PMID: 33776504
81. Shoman Y., Marca S. C., Bianchi R., Godderis L., van der Molen H. F., and Guseva Canu I., "Psychometric properties of burnout measures: a systematic review," *Epidemiol. Psychiatr. Sci.*, vol. 30, p. e8, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796020001134> PMID: 33436137
82. Dugani S. et al., "Prevalence and factors associated with burnout among frontline primary health care providers in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review," *Gates Open Res.*, vol. 2, p. 4, Jun. 2018, <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12779.3> PMID: 29984356
83. "Valid and Reliable Survey Instruments to Measure Burnout, Well-Being, and Other Work-Related Dimensions—National Academy of Medicine." <https://nam.edu/valid-reliable-survey-instruments-measure-burnout-well-work-related-dimensions/> (accessed Aug. 18, 2021).
84. "Impact of a Mindfulness-Based, Workplace Group Yoga Interven. . . : Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine." [https://journals.lww.com/joem/Abstract/2020/08000/Impact\\_of\\_a\\_Mindfulness\\_Based,\\_Workplace\\_Group.5.aspx#](https://journals.lww.com/joem/Abstract/2020/08000/Impact_of_a_Mindfulness_Based,_Workplace_Group.5.aspx#) (accessed Aug. 18, 2021).
85. West C. P., Dyrbye L. N., Erwin P. J., and Shanafelt T. D., "Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *The Lancet*, vol. 388, no. 10057, pp. 2272–2281, Nov. 2016, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)31279-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31279-X) PMID: 27692469
86. Goodman M. J. and Schorling J. B., "A Mindfulness Course Decreases Burnout and Improves Well-Being among Healthcare Providers," *Int. J. Psychiatry Med.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 119–128, Feb. 2012, <https://doi.org/10.2190/PM.43.2.b> PMID: 22849035
87. Kinnunen S. M., Puolakanaho A., Tolvanen A., Mäkikangas A., and Lappalainen R., "Does mindfulness-, acceptance-, and value-based intervention alleviate burnout?—A person-centered approach," *Int. J. Stress Manag.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 89–101, 2019.
88. Ansley B. M., Houchins D. E., Varjas K., Roach A., Patterson D., and Hendrick R., "The impact of an online stress intervention on burnout and teacher efficacy," *Teach. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 98 p. 103251, Feb. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2020.103251>

89. Dyrbye L.N., West C.P., Satele D., Boone S., Tan L., Sloan J. et al, 2014. Burnout among US medical students, residents, and early career physicians relative to the general US population. *Academic medicine*, 89(3), pp.443–451. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.000000000000134> PMID: 24448053
90. Jezzini-Martinez S. et al., “COVID-19 Causing Burnout Among Medical Students,” *FASEB J.*, vol. 35, no. S1, May 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.2021.35.S1.04749>