Evolution, global spread, and pathogenicity of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4

Dong-Hun Lee¹, Kateri Bertran¹, Jung-Hoon Kwon², David E. Swayne^{1,*}

¹U.S. National Poultry Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Athens, GA 30605, USA ²Avian Diseases Laboratory, College of Veterinary Medicine, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea

Novel subtypes of Asian-origin (Goose/Guangdong lineage) H5 highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) viruses belonging to clade 2.3.4, such as H5N2, H5N5, H5N6, and H5N8, have been identified in China since 2008 and have since evolved into four genetically distinct clade 2.3.4.4 groups (A–D). Since 2014, HPAI clade 2.3.4.4 viruses have spread rapidly via migratory wild aquatic birds and have evolved through reassortment with prevailing local low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses. Group A H5N8 viruses and its reassortant viruses caused outbreaks in wide geographic regions (Asia, Europe, and North America) during 2014–2015. Novel reassortant Group B H5N8 viruses caused outbreaks in Asia, Europe, and Africa during 2016–2017. Novel reassortant Group C H5N6 viruses caused outbreaks in Korea and Japan during the 2016–2017 winter season. Group D H5N6 viruses caused outbreaks in China and Vietnam. A wide range of avian species, including wild and domestic waterfowl, domestic poultry, and even zoo birds, seem to be permissive for infection by and/or transmission of clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses. Further, compared to previous H5N1 HPAI viruses, these reassortant viruses show altered pathogenicity in birds. In this review, we discuss the evolution, global spread, and pathogenicity of H5 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses.

Keywords: epidemiology, influenza in birds, poultry, transmission, virulence

Emergence and Evolution of HPAI H5Nx Clade 2.3.4.4

Wild birds, particularly those belonging to the orders Anseriformes (waterfowl, i.e., ducks, geese, and swans) and Charadriiformes (gulls and shorebirds), have long been recognized as natural hosts and reservoirs for all type A influenza viruses, also known as low pathogenicity avian influenza (LPAI) viruses [51]. These LPAI viruses have been isolated from more than 100 wild bird species belonging to more than 25 families, which confirms that LPAI viruses have a global distribution within free-living aquatic avian populations [8]. Highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) viruses are not normally present in wild bird host reservoirs [38]. The first cases of HPAI, previously termed fowl plague, were reported in 1878 in chickens in northern Italy, which were followed by geographically dispersed outbreaks through the late 1800s to the 1950s in Europe, Asia, Africa, and North and South America [45]. In general, since 1959, HPAI outbreaks have been more geographically restricted with 42 distinct HPAI epizootics. The HPAI viruses arose from H5 or H7 LPAI viruses [46] after circulation for weeks to years in gallinaceous poultry and have developed specific mutations in the hemagglutinin (HA) gene that have conferred the phenotypic trait of high pathogenicity [47]. Most previous HPAI virus epizootics have been geographically limited, involving farm-to-farm spread, and were eradicated from poultry by stamping-out programs; *i.e.*, the HPAI virus did not circulate in wild birds. However, an H5N1 HPAI virus was detected in 1996 in a domestic goose in Guangdong China (Gs/GD lineage) and, to date, unlike previous HPAI outbreaks, this lineage caused deaths in wild birds, poultry, and humans and has spread to over 80 countries in Asia, Europe, Africa, and North America, drastically changing the perspective on HPAI biology. The severity, size, and broad geographic distribution of the Gs/GD epizootic merits the term panzootic [45].

The H5N1 Gs/GD lineage HPAI virus has evolved into 10 genetically distinct virus clades (0–9) and multiple subclades [52]. Since 2008, HPAI subtypes H5N2, H5N5, and H5N8 bearing the genetic backbone of the Gs/GD lineage H5 clade 2.3.4 have been identified in poultry in China, especially in domestic ducks and poultry in live poultry markets, and those

pISSN 1229-845X

elSSN 1976-555X

Received 30 Jun. 2017, Accepted 22 Jul. 2017

Journal of Veterinary Science \cdot \odot 2017 The Korean Society of Veterinary Science. All Rights Reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author: Tel: +1-706-546-3433; Fax: +1-706-546-3161; E-mail: David.Swayne@ars.usda.gov

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

subtypes have subsequently evolved into different subclades including 2.3.4.4 [12,53,55]. The H5 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses have undergone genetic reassortment with other clades of H5N1 viruses and with other local LPAI virus subtypes. In early 2014, outbreaks of novel reassortant H5N6 and H5N8 of clade 2.3.4.4 were reported in poultry and wild birds in China, Laos, and Vietnam [48] and in Japan and Korea [28], respectively. Previous studies have confirmed the wide geographic dispersion of Gs/GD lineage H5 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses since late 2014 and the movement of this virus from East Asia to North America, West Asia, and Europe [10,26]. Genetic analyses have recently indicated that, since late 2012, the H5 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses have evolved into four distinct genetic groups [22]. Group A comprises H5N8 viruses identified in China in early 2014 and Korea, Japan, Taiwan, Canada, the United States (US), and European countries in 2014-2015. Group B comprises H5N8 viruses identified in China in 2013-2014 and Korea in 2014. Group C comprises H5N6 viruses identified in China and Laos in 2013-2014, and H5N1 viruses identified in China and Vietnam in 2014. Group D comprises H5N6 viruses identified in China and Vietnam in 2013-2014.

Spread of H5N8 HPAI Clade 2.3.4.4 Group A, 2014–2015

The Korean H5N8 outbreak in January 2014 was the first H5N8 epizootic reported outside China [28]. Migratory birds were suspected to have a key role in the introduction of groups A and B from Eastern China and in the subsequent spread during the 2014 Korean outbreak [14]. During the initial stage of this outbreak, identical viruses from each group were identified in poultry farms and wild birds near Donglim Lake, a wintering site for migratory birds in Korea, thereby suggesting that two different H5N8 HPAI viruses were introduced into Korea by wild birds during the same period [32]. As time passed, outbreaks caused by the Group A H5N8 HPAI virus predominated in the western region of Korea. Subsequently, the Group A H5N8 virus was identified in a long-distance migrant (Eurasian wigeon, Anas penelope) in Eastern Siberia in September 2014 [31] and in multiple wild bird species in East Asia, Europe, and the west coast of North America in November and December 2014 (Fig. 1) [10,26]. Results of phylogenetic studies indicated that Group A viruses further evolved into three distinct subgroups in circumpolar regions and disseminated to various regions: icA1 (Europe/East Asia), icA2 (North America/ East Asia), and icA3 (East Asia) subgroups. In Korea, Group A H5N8 viruses induced continuous outbreaks for 28 months, from January 2014 to April 2016, and mainly affected domestic duck farms [13,40]. Three subgroups of H5N8 viruses (icA1-3) were re-introduced to Korea by migratory birds, and another subgroup of Group A H5N8 viruses was identified in Korea; a subgroup that had been maintained in poultry farms in Korea

during 2014–2016 [19,21]. After dissemination from Korea to various regions, these Group A H5N8 HPAI viruses generated a variety of reassortant viruses that shuffled gene segments with those of prevailing LPAI viruses from wild aquatic birds in North America and Taiwan [22,27]. In North America, the Gs/GD lineage Group A H5N8 virus underwent reassortment with North American LPAI viruses to produce H5N1, H5N2, and H5N8 reassortant HPAI viruses [22]. The reassortant H5N2 HPAI virus predominated in the 2014–2015 US outbreak, mainly affecting commercial turkey and layer farms. This strain was eradicated from US poultry in June 2015 and was rarely detected in wild birds in 2016 [25]. In Taiwan, H5N2, H5N3, and H5N8 subtypes bearing the HA segment of the H5 clade 2.3.4.4 Group A have caused outbreaks in poultry and wild birds since January 2015 [27].

Spread of H5N8 HPAI Clade 2.3.4.4 Group B, 2014–2017

The Group B H5 clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses have been detected in China since 2013 and are phylogenetically distinct from Group A H5N8 viruses that spread globally during 2014-2015 [7,52]. In early 2014, outbreaks of H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 groups A and B were reported in Korea, followed by outbreaks of Group A H5N8 in East Asia, Europe, and North America in late 2014 (Fig. 1) [26,28]. Interestingly, there have been no further reports of Group B virus in Korea since the initial report in January 2014 [26]. In China, several Group B viruses reassorted with LPAI viruses and were reported during 2013-2014 mostly from domestic ducks and wild aquatic birds [7,29,41,53,56]. Novel reassortant Group B H5N8 viruses containing 5 Eurasian LPAI segments (PB2, PB1, PA, NP, and M) were identified from wild birds found dead in Qinghai Lake, China during May 2016 [30] and at Uvs-Nuur Lake in Siberia during June 2016 [24]. Subsequently, this novel reassortant Group B H5N8 strain has further reassorted with Eurasian LPAI viruses and disseminated from Siberia to be detected over a broad geographic region that includes Europe [36]. Africa [39]. the Middle East [9], and Asia [18,32]. That dissemination may be the result of the southward autumn migration of waterfowl during 2016 and was similar in the spread of H5N1 clade 2.2 in 2005-2006 [35] and H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 Group A in 2014 [26,31] from Siberia to various countries in Eurasia.

Spread of H5N6 HPAI Clade 2.3.4.4 Group C, 2014–2017

While Group A and B H5N8 viruses caused global outbreaks during 2014–2015 and 2016–2017, the Group C H5N6 viruses have caused outbreaks in China since 2013 [6,37], and Group C viruses have been isolated from various host species including wild birds, poultry, pigeons, pigs, cats, and humans [4]. The



Fig. 1. Geographic map showing movement patterns of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) clade 2.3.4.4 viruses.

Group C H5N6 viruses disseminated to Laos and Vietnam in 2014 and Hong Kong in 2015 [54]. Recently, Bi *et al.* [4] reported that at least 34 distinct genotypes of H5N6 HPAI virus have been identified through surveillance of live poultry markets in China during 2014–2016. In autumn 2016, Group C H5N6 viruses belonging to the G1.1.9 genotype were identified in Korea [15,20,25] and Japan [34], followed by continuous outbreaks in domestic poultry and wild birds in Korea (Fig. 1) [4]. Multiple novel reassortant H5N6 viruses have been reported from Korea, and those novel viruses seem to have likely originated from the H5N6 viruses circulating in Guangdong province of China [25].

Pathogenicity of H5Nx HPAI Clade 2.3.4.4 in birds

Numerous experimental infections have been performed to

investigate the pathogenicity of clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI viruses in different bird species. To date, the majority of characterized viruses are Asian (Table 1) and North American (Table 2), but there are a few studies describing the pathobiology of natural cases of H5N8 HPAI virus infection in Europe.

Asian H5 HPAI clade 2.3.4.4

Subtypes H5N2, H5N6, and H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses isolated from apparently healthy ducks and geese in China were characterized in 4-week-old domestic Pekin ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos domesticus*) and layer chickens [44]. All reassortants caused systematic infection in ducks when challenged at 6 log_{10} mean egg infectious dose (EID₅₀) and could be efficiently contact-transmitted with high titers shed consistently through oropharynx and cloaca. The infected ducks showed severe neurologic signs. Severe hemorrhages and necrosis in the heart and pancreas were observed at necropsy. Acute necrosis of cardiac muscle cell, neuronophagia, and

| infections |
|-------------|
| xperimental |
| .4.4 e |
| le 2.3 |
|) clac |
| (HPAI |
| ienza |
| ı influ |
| aviar |
| ogenic |
| / patho |
| highly |
| H5 ا |
| Asiar |
| e 1. |
| Tabl |

| Species (age) | Virus | Route of infection (dose) | Morbidity, % (n/total n)* | Mortality, % (n/total n) | Mean death time (d) [†] | Transmission confirmation (dose) [‡] | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Pekin duck (4 wk) | A/duck/Eastern China/ | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 0 (0/5) | 2.5 | Yes | [44] |
| Pekin duck (4 wk) | Aduck/Eastern China/ 10131/2014 (H5N2) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 0 (0/5) | 2.5 | Yes | [44] |
| Pekin duck (4 wk) | A/goose/Eastern China/ | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 0 (0/5) | 2 | Yes | [44] |
| Pekin duck (4 wk) | A/duck/Eastern China/ So711/2014 (H5N6) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 20 (1/5) | 2 | Yes | [44] |
| Pekin duck (4 wk) | A/goose/Eastern China/ 1304/2012 (H5NR) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 20 (1/5) | 1.5 | Yes | [44] |
| Pekin duck (4 wk) | A/duck/Eastern China/ S1109/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 0 (0/5) | 2 | Yes | [44] |
| Chicken – Leghorn | A/duck/Eastern China/ | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | Before 4 or 5 | Yes | [44] |
| Chicken – Leghorn | Aduck/Eastern China/ 10121/2011 (HEND) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | Before 4 or 5 | Yes | [44] |
| Chicken – Leghorn | Agoose/Eastern China/ | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | Before 4 or 5 | Yes | [44] |
| (6 wK) Chicken – Leghorn | 50513/2013 (H3N6) A/duck/Eastern China/ 50711/2014 (H1N6) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | Before 4 or 5 | Yes | [44] |
| (o wk) Chicken – Leghorn | A/goose/Eastern China/ 11204/2012 (112NB) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | Before 4 or 5 | Yes | [44] |
| (o wk) Chicken – Leghorn (6 wk) | L1204/2012 (H5N8) A/duck/Eastern China/ S1109/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | Before 4 or 5 | Yes | [44] |
| Mallard duck (4 wk) | A/goose/Jiangsu/QD5/ 2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | pu | pu | pu | Yes | [29] |
| Mallard duck | Agoose/Shandong/ WFSC1/2014 (H5NR) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | pu | nd | pu | Yes | [29] |
| (4 wk) Mallard duck (4 wk) | Agoose/Yangzhou/ Agoose/Yangzhou/ 0420/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | pu | nd | pu | Yes | [29] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | Achicken/Miyazaki/ 7/2014 (H5N8) | IN (2-7 log10 EID50) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (3 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) 25 (1/4) (4 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) 75 (3/4) (5 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (7 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (3 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 25 (1/4) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 75 (3/4) (5 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (7 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | æ. r. | nd | [49] |

Journal of Veterinary Science

| Table 1. Continued | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Species (age) | Virus | Route of infection (dose) | Morbidity, % (n/total n)* | Mortality, % (n/total n) | Mean death time (d) [†] | Transmission confirmation (dose) [‡] | Reference |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | A/duck/Chiba/26-372-48/ 2014 (H5N8) | IN (2-7 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/3) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (3 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (5 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (7 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/3) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (3 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (5 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (7 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 4. | ри | [49] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (5 and 10 wk) | A/chicken/Kumamoto/1-7/ 2014 (H5N8) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/3) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/3) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (6/6) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/3) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/3) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (6/6) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 4.7-7.3 | pu | [16] |
| Pekin duck (10 wk) | A/chicken/Kumamoto/1-7/ 2014 (H5N8) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/4) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/4) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | na | pu | [16] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (6 wk) | A/tundra swan/Tottori/ C6nk/2014 (H5N8) | IV (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 80 (4/5) | > 6.1 | pu | [50] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (6 wk) | A/mandarin duck/Gifu/ 2112D001/2014 (H5N8) | IV (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 100 (5/5) | 2.5 | pu | [50] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (3 wk) | A/Baikal teal/Korea/ K14-E016/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (8/8) | 100 (8/8) | 4.8 | Yes | [23] |
| Japanese quail (3 wk) | A/Baikal teal/Korea/ K14-E016/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (4/4) | 100 (4/4) | 2.0 | Yes | [23] |
| Korean native chicken (10 wk) | A/Baikal teal/Korea/ K14-E016/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 80 (4/5) | 60 (3/5) | pu | Yes | [23] |
| Muscovy duck (8 wk) | A/Baikal teal/Korea/ K14-F016/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (4/4) | 0 (0/4) | pu | Yes | [23] |
| Mandarin duck (adult) | A/broiler duck/Korea/ Buan2/2014 (H5N8) | IN (6.5 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) | 0 (0/5) | na | Yes | [17] |
| IN, intranasal; EID ₅₀ , 50 the highest dose tested. | % egg infectious dose; nd, not de [‡] Transmission as determined by c | termined; IV, intravenous; na, clinical signs, mortality, virus s | not applicable. *As determinec hedding, and/or seroconversior | l by clinical signs, virus sheddin 1 of contact-exposed birds. | ig, and/or seroco | nversion. [†] Mean o | beath time at |

Evolution and pathogenicity of HPAI H5Nx clade 2.3.4.4 273

www.vetsci.org

| | Tr cc |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Mean death time (d) [†] |
| ns | Mortality, % (n/total n) |
| .3.4.4 experimental infectio | Morbidity, % (n/total n)* |
| avian influenza (HPAI) clade 2 | Route of infection (dose) |
| can highly pathogenic a | Virus |
| Table 2. North Americ | Species (age) |

| Species (age) | Virus | Route of infection (dose) | Morbidity, % (n/total n)* | Mortality, % (n/total n) | Mean death time (d) [†] | Transmission confirmation (dose) [‡] | Reference |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Ruddy duck (4–8 wk) | A/northern pintail/ Washington/40964/ 2014 (H5N2) | IN (6 log ₁₀ ElD ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) | 60 (3/5) | 4.7 | pu | [43] |
| Ruddy duck (3-4 yr) | A/northern pintail/ Washington/40964/ 2014 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 75 (3/4) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/4) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 20 (1/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 3.0 | pu | [43] |
| Lesser scaup (4-8 wk) | Anorthern pintail/ Washington/40964/ 2014 (H5N2) | IN (4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (4/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/4) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | na | pu | [43] |
| Lesser scaup (4–8 wk) | Agyr-falcon/Washington/ 40188-6/2014 (H5N8) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/4) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/4) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | па | pu | [43] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | A/northern pintail/ Washington/40964/ 2014 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (3/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (3/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 3.0 | No | [3] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | Agyr-falcon/Washington/ 40188-6/2014 (H5N8) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 40 (2/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (4/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 4.1 | No | [3] |
| Turkey (8-10 wk) | A/chicken/BC/FAV-002/ 2015 (H5N1) | IN (3 log ₁₀ PFU) | 100 (10/10) | 100 (10/10) | 2-4 | Yes | [1] |
| Chinese goose | Achicken/BC/FAV-002/ 2015 (H5N1) | ON and CL (4 \log_{10} PFU) | 78 (7/9) | 44 (4/9) | 3–5 | Yes | [1] |
| Muscovy duck | A/chicken/BC/FAV-002/ 2015 (H5N1) | ON and CL (4 \log_{10} PFU) | 73 (11/15) | 27 (4/15) | 4-8 | Yes | Ε |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | A/turkey/Minnesota/ 12582/2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (3/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (8/8) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (3/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (8/8) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 2.0 | Yes (6 log10 EID50) | [5] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | A/ turkey/South Dakota/ 12511/2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 80 (4/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (7/7) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 80 (4/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (7/7) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 2.2 | ° Z | [5] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | A/chicken/lowa/13388/ 2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 20 (1/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (3/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (8/8) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 20 (1/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 60 (3/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (8/8) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 2.4 | No | [5] |
| Chicken – Leghorn (4 wk) | A/turkey/Arkansas/7791/ 2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (3/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 89 (8/9) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (3/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 89 (8/9) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 2.1 | No | [5] |

| Species (age) | Virus | Route of infection (dose) | Morbidity, % (n/total n)* | Mortality, % (n/total n) | Mean death time (d) [†] | Transmission confirmation F (dose) [‡] | Reference |
|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Mallard duck (2 wk) | A/turkey/Minnesota/ 12582/2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (4/4) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (7/7) (6 log ₂₀ EID ₂₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 20 (1/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 13 (1/8) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0.6 | Yes (all doses) | [5] |
| Mallard duck (2 wk) | A/chicken/lowa/13388/ 2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) (2 10810 EID50) 100 (5/5) (4 10810 EID50) 100 (8/8) (6 10610 EID50) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/8) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | па | Yes (all doses) | [5] |
| Mallard duck (2 wk) | A/northern pintail/ Washington/40964/ 2014 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (4/5) (2 10810 EID50) 80 (4/5) (2 10810 EID50) 100 (5/5) (4 10810 EID50) 100 (5/5) (6 10810 EID50) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | па | Yes (all doses) | [5] |
| Mallard duck (2 wk) | Agyr-falcon/Washington/ 40188-6/2014 (H5N8) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₂₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | na | Yes (all doses) | [5] |
| Broad-breasted white turkey (4 wk) | A/turkey/Minnesota/ 12582/2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | $\begin{array}{c} 0.0(5) (2 \log_{10} \text{EID}_{50}) \\ 0.0(5) (4 \log_{10} \text{EID}_{50}) \\ 0.0(5) (4 \log_{10} \text{EID}_{50}) \\ 100 (5/5) (6 \log_{10} \text{EID}_{50}) \end{array}$ | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 5.9 | Yes (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | [42] |
| Broad-breasted white turkey | A/chicken/lowa/13388/ 2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₂₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 100 (5/5) (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 5.6 | Yes (4 and 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | [42] |
| Broad-breasted white turkey | A/northern pintail/ Washington/40964/ | IN (2, 4, 6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 0 (0/5) (2 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) 0 (0/5) (4 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | 5.3 | Yes (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | [42] |
| (4 wk) Chicken – commerci broiler (5, 8 and > 30 wk) | 2014 (IF3N2) al A/turkey/Minnesota/ 12582/2015 (H5N2) | IN (2, 4, 6 log10 EID50) | 100 (5/5) (5 10810 EID50) 0 (0/5) (2 and 4 10810 EID50) 100 (5/5) (6 10810 EID50) | 100 (5/5) (6 log10 EID50) 0 (0/5) (2 and 4 log10 EID50) 100 (5/5) (6 log10 EID50) | 3.2-4.8 | Yes (6 log ₁₀ EID ₅₀) | [2] |
| IN, intranasal; EID ₅₀ , 50 seroconversion. [†] Mean | 3% egg infectious dose; nd, not de death time at the highest dose te: | etermined; PFU, plaque formir sted. [‡] Transmission as determi | g units; ON, oronasal; CL, cloacc ned by clinical signs, mortality, v | ון; na, not applicable. *As deterr irus shedding, and/or seroconve | nined by clinica ersion of contac | al signs, virus shedd t-exposed birds. | ing, and/or |

Table 2. Continued

www.vetsci.org

multifocal necrosis of acinar cells were present, with viral antigen detected in alveolar epithelial cells, cerebrum, brain stem, and pancreas. Interestingly, virus titers in the brains of H5N6- and H5N8- inoculated ducks were significantly higher than those of H5N2- infected ducks. Similar results were obtained in chickens [44]. However, in accordance with other studies, Sun et al. [44] concluded that these H5 reassortants have reduced virulence compared to the parental H5N1 virus as evident by a decrease in the intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI) and a lengthened mean death time. In another study, three H5N8 viruses isolated from apparently healthy domestic geese at a wholesale live-bird market in Eastern China in 2014 were demonstrated to be highly virulent to 4-week-old chickens and mallard ducks (Anas platyrhynchos) when challenged at 6 log₁₀ EID₅₀ [29]. All challenged birds were capable of efficiently transmitting to contacts, and showed systemic infection with myocardial necrosis as the main lesion [29].

Tanikawa et al. [49] compared the pathogenicity of chicken (Miyazaki/2014) and wild duck (Chiba/2014) Japanese Group A H5N8 viruses in chickens. All chickens inoculated with 4-6 log₁₀ EID₅₀ of Chiba/2014 became infected and died by 13 days post-challenge, whereas 25% and 75% of the chickens inoculated with 4 or 5 log₁₀ EID₅₀, respectively, of Miyazaki/2014 became infected and died. Both isolates replicated to high titers in both trachea and cloaca [49]. A Group A H5N8 virus isolated from a Japanese chicken farm during an outbreak in April 2014 was tested in chickens and 10-week-old Pekin ducks [16]. The challenge was lethal only in chickens inoculated with the highest dose tested (6 log_{10} EID₅₀), and the virus was systemically present in tissues. In contrast, all doses (2, 4, 6 log_{10} EID₅₀) resulted in asymptomatic infections in Pekin ducks, with consistent oral and cloacal shedding [16]. Recently, the pathogenicity of two Group A H5N8 viruses isolated from wild migratory birds in late 2014 in Japan was examined [50]. The viruses possessed atypical HA cleavage sequences: a swan isolate, Tottori/C6, had a novel polybasic cleavage sequence PLGERRRKR (the polybasic HA cleavage site is the prime virulence determinant of avian influenza viruses) and a mandarin duck (Aix galericulata) isolate, Gifu/01, had a heterogeneous mixture of consensus PLRERRRKR and variant PLRERRRRKR sequences. The Gifu/01 isolate caused higher and earlier mortality, higher viral titers systemically in tissues, and more severe lesions than those in the Tottori/C6 isolate after intravenous inoculation in chickens (6 log₁₀ EID₅₀), suggesting that the novel cleavage motif of the Tottori/C6 isolate did not directly affect the infectivity of the virus [50].

The pathogenicity of the Group A H5N8 virus that caused outbreaks in wild birds in Korea in 2014 was examined in a variety of bird species [23]. Although all species were permissive for infection and virus shedding at a challenge dose of 6 \log_{10} EID₅₀, severe infection was observed in layer chickens and Japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*), mild

infection in Korean native chickens, and asymptomatic infection in Muscovy ducks (*Cairnia moschata domestica*). Transmission to contact-exposed birds occurred in all tested species but was sporadic in chickens, intermediate in quail and Korean chickens, and consistent in 8-week-old Muscovy ducks [23]. When compared to the previously reported H5N1 HPAI viruses, Lee *et al.* [23] concluded that the Group A H5N8 virus has low pathogenicity and transmissibility in poultry species. Recently, a Group A H5N8 isolate from a broiler duck farm showed efficient respiratory replication in adult mandarin ducks and transmission to contacts at a challenge dose of 6.5 log₁₀ EID₅₀, along with asymptomatic infection and gross lesions only in a few tissues [17].

North American H5 HPAI clade 2.3.4.4

Representative Eurasian Group A H5N8 and its reassortant Group A H5N2 viruses detected in wild birds at the end of 2014 in North America have been tested in a variety of avian species [3,5,42,43]. Recently, the pathogenesis of these clade 2.3.4.4 wild bird viruses was investigated in juvenile and adult ruddy ducks (Oxyura jamaicensis) and juvenile lesser scaups (Aythya affinis), as representatives of wild diving duck species [43]. These species showed asymptomatic infection at relatively low challenge doses (as low as 2 log₁₀ EID₅₀ for adult ruddy ducks and 4 log₁₀ EID₅₀ for juvenile ruddy ducks and lesser scaups), with oral and cloacal virus shedding and systemic virus presence in infected tissues. Age differences in susceptibility to disease were also observed [43]. Interestingly, shorter shed duration and lower titers would suggest that these diving ducks are less susceptible to efficiently transmit the viruses than mallards [5]. In another study using these same North American wild bird viruses in chickens, pathobiological features were consistent with HPAI virus infection, but other results such as susceptibility to infection only at high challenge doses (4 or 6 log10 EID50) and lack of contact-exposed transmission indicated that these wild bird viruses were poorly adapted to chickens [3]. Interestingly, the novel reassortant H5N1 virus that was isolated from backvard chickens in British Columbia. Canada at the end of 2014 was shown experimentally to have a wide host range [1]. This virus was highly pathogenic to chickens, as determined by the IVPI value [1]. In turkeys (Meleagris gallopavo), juvenile Muscovy ducks, and adult Chinese geese (Anser cynoides domesticus), the virus caused systemic infection at challenge doses of 3-4 log₁₀ plaque forming units and was efficiently transmitted to and caused mortality in naïve contact birds [1].

Subsequently in 2015, the H5N2 HPAI virus outbreak in the US Midwest affected commercial turkey and layer farms. Experimentally, four poultry H5N2 viruses exhibited higher virus adaptation to chickens than that of the wild duck 2014 US index H5N2 virus, but they still transmitted poorly to contact-exposed chickens [5]. At the same time, these poultry isolates retained high adaptation to mallards; two of the H5N2 poultry

isolates had similarly high infectivity and transmissibility as those of the index H5N2 virus, with mortality (1 of 8 at a challenge dose of 6 log₁₀ EID₅₀) occurring with only one of two poultry H5N2 isolates. Virus antigens were present in parenchymal cells of many organs including cardiac myocytes, hepatocytes, microglial cells and neurons, lung, and kidney tubular epithelial cells. Viral antigen staining in capillary endothelial cells was uncommon and was restricted mainly to capillaries in eyelid and air capillaries of lung [5]. Experimentally, both early (2014) and late (2015) occurrences of H5N2 viruses in turkeys had unusually long mean death times, high levels of virus in feces, and increased adaptation of the later viruses, which collectively suggest that this species was permissive for infection and may have contributed to the rapid spread of the virus during the peak of the outbreak [42].

To assess potential genetic resistance of broilers and/or age-related effects that could explain the lack of affected broiler farms in the 2015 US outbreak, the pathogenesis and transmission of one of the poultry H5N2 isolates were investigated in commercial broilers of different ages [2]. In that study, chickens died, shed high virus titers, and enabled transmission only following the highest dose challenge ($6 \log_{10} \text{EID}_{50}$), but overall age was not a determinant factor in susceptibility. Taking into account all of the studies reported to date, it seems that the broiler breed is less susceptible to Midwestern US H5N2 viruses than the layer breed but similarly susceptible as turkeys. Therefore, genetic resistance of broilers to infection may account only partially for the lack of affected broiler farms in the US Midwest, with other contributing factors including fewer outside to on-farm exposure to contacts, type of production management system, and enhanced biosecurity [2].

European H5 HPAI clade 2.3.4.4

To date, there are no published reports on experimental studies of the HPAI clade 2.3.4.4 viruses that have affected European domestic and wild birds since 2014. However, two natural infections with extensive pathobiological characterization have been reported. An outbreak of Group A H5N8 virus was detected in a breeder duck farm in the United Kingdom in November 2014 [33]. The holding, with approximately 6,000 breeder ducks aged approximately 60 weeks, showed a gradual reduction in egg production and increased mortality over a seven-day period. Post-mortem examination revealed frequent fibrinous peritonitis, severely hemorrhagic ovarian follicles, occasional splenic and pancreatic necrosis, and a high incidence of mycotic granulomas in air sacs and lung. Low-to-moderate levels of virus were detected mainly in respiratory and digestive tracts, with minor involvement of other organs. Although histopathological examination confirmed the gross pathology results, intralesional viral antigens were not detected by immunohistochemical analysis. Immunolabeled cells were rarely and only present in inflamed air sacs and serosa, usually

superficial to granulomatous inflammation. Abundant bacterial microcolonies were observed in hemorrhagic ovaries and oviduct. The authors suggested that the limited viral tissue distribution and the presence of intercurrent fungal and bacterial infections may indicate a minor role for H5N8 virus in clinical disease in layer ducks [33].

In Germany, a Group A H5N8 virus not only affected wild birds and commercial poultry but also birds kept in a zoo in northeastern Germany [11]. Approximately, 500 birds of 94 different species were kept in the Rostock Zoo, Germany in the autumn of 2014. Only a few individual white storks (*Ciconia ciconia*) showed clinical signs and eventually died in the course of the virus infection, although subsequent in-depth diagnostic investigations showed that other birds kept in the same compound as the white storks were acutely positive for or had undergone subclinical infection with the H5N8 virus. The most striking lesions in the three white storks found dead were necrosis in liver, kidneys, spleen, and pancreas. Only one of the 7 scarlet ibis (*Eudocimus ruber*) that were investigated tested positive for H5N8 viral RNA and showed liver and adrenal necrosis [11].

Concluding Remarks

Since 2014, HPAI clade 2.3.4.4 viruses have spread rapidly and globally through wild birds and have evolved through reassortment with prevailing local LPAI viruses. A wide range of avian species, including wild and domestic waterfowl, domestic poultry, and even zoo birds, appear to be permissive for infection by and/or transmission of clade 2.3.4.4 viruses. In general, clade 2.3.4.4-infected birds exhibit clinical disease, mortality, and pathological features that are indicative of a typical HPAI virus infection, although several studies have pointed out that these H5 reassortants have reduced virulence compared to the parental Gs/GD H5N1 virus. Interestingly, clade 2.3.4.4-infected waterfowl may either succumb to infection or act as asymptomatic spreaders, even with viruses that are optimally adapted to domestic poultry. The wide host range of clade 2.3.4.4 viruses, which can enable unrecognized virus spread, might explain the successful recent globalization of this lineage. The emergence of novel reassortant viruses and their circulation among bird populations are of great concern because of the potential for virus dissemination by intercontinental wild bird migration. Therefore, in places where HPAI has become endemic in domestic poultry, explicit efforts should be taken to eradicate HPAI by using a combination of preventive and control measures that include stamping out, appropriate vaccination with post-vaccination monitoring, movement control, and enhanced biosecurity. In addition, intensified surveillance and further characterization of HPAI viruses can provide insight into preventing future outbreaks and assessing zoonotic potential.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Berhane Y, Kobasa D, Embury-Hyatt C, Pickering B, Babiuk S, Joseph T, Bowes V, Suderman M, Leung A, Cottam-Birt C, Hisanaga T, Pasick J. Pathobiological characterization of a novel reassortant highly pathogenic H5N1 virus isolated in British Columbia, Canada, 2015. Sci Rep 2016, 6, 23380.
- Bertran K, Lee DH, Balzli C, Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Spackman E, Swayne DE. Age is not a determinant factor in susceptibility of broilers to H5N2 clade 2.3.4.4 high pathogenicity avian influenza virus. Vet Res 2016, 47, 116.
- Bertran K, Swayne DE, Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Kapczynski DR, Spackman E, Suarez DL. Lack of chicken adaptation of newly emergent Eurasian H5N8 and reassortant H5N2 high pathogenicity avian influenza viruses in the U.S. is consistent with restricted poultry outbreaks in the Pacific flyway during 2014-2015. Virology 2016, 494, 190-197.
- 4. Bi Y, Chen Q, Wang Q, Chen J, Jin T, Wong G, Quan C, Liu J, Wu J, Yin R, Zhao L, Li M, Ding Z, Zou R, Xu W, Li H, Wang H, Tian K, Fu G, Huang Y, Shestopalov A, Li S, Xu B, Yu H, Luo T, Lu L, Xu X, Luo Y, Liu Y, Shi W, Liu D, Gao GF. Genesis, evolution and prevalence of H5N6 avian influenza viruses in China. Cell Host Microbe 2016, 20, 810-821.
- DeJesus E, Costa-Hurtado M, Smith D, Lee DH, Spackman E, Kapczynski DR, Torchetti MK, Killian ML, Suarez DL, Swayne DE, Pantin-Jackwood MJ. Changes in adaptation of H5N2 highly pathogenic avian influenza H5 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses in chickens and mallards. Virology 2016, 499, 52-64.
- Du Y, Chen M, Yang J, Jia Y, Han S, Holmes EC, Cui J. Molecular evolution and emergence of H5N6 avian influenza virus in central China. J Virol 2017, 91, e00143-17.
- Fan S, Zhou L, Wu D, Gao X, Pei E, Wang T, Gao Y, Xia X. A novel highly pathogenic H5N8 avian influenza virus isolated from a wild duck in China. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 2014, 8, 646-653.
- Fouchier RA, Munster VJ. Epidemiology of low pathogenic avian influenza viruses in wild birds. Rev Sci Tech 2009, 28, 49-58.
- Ghafouri SA, GhalyanchiLangeroudi A, Maghsoudloo H, Kh Farahani R, Abdollahi H, Tehrani F, Fallah MH. Clade
 2.3.4.4 avian influenza A (H5N8) outbreak in commercial poultry, Iran, 2016: the first report and update data. Trop Anim Health Prod 2017, 49, 1089-1093.
- 10. Global Consortium for H5N8 and Related Influenza Viruses. Role for migratory wild birds in the global spread of avian influenza H5N8. Science 2016, **354**, 213-217.
- 11. Globig A, Starick E, Homeier T, Pohlmann A, Grund C, Wolf P, Zimmermann A, Wolf C, Heim D, Schlößer H, Zander S, Beer M, Conraths FJ, Harder TC. Epidemiological and molecular analysis of an outbreak of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 in a German zoo: effective disease control with minimal culling. Transbound

Emerg Dis 2016. Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12570.

- Gu M, Liu W, Cao Y, Peng D, Wang X, Wan H, Zhao G, Xu Q, Zhang W, Song Q, Li Y, Liu X. Novel reassortant highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N5) viruses in domestic ducks, China. Emerg Infect Dis 2011, 17, 1060-1063.
- Hill SC, Lee YJ, Song BM, Kang HM, Lee EK, Hanna A, Gilbert M, Brown IH, Pybus OG. Wild waterfowl migration and domestic duck density shape the epidemiology of highly pathogenic H5N8 influenza in the Republic of Korea. Infect Genet Evol 2015, 34, 267-277.
- 14. Jeong J, Kang HM, Lee EK, Song BM, Kwon YK, Kim HR, Choi KS, Kim JY, Lee HJ, Moon OK, Jeong W, Choi J, Baek JH, Joo YS, Park YH, Lee HS, Lee YJ. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N8) in domestic poultry and its relationship with migratory birds in South Korea during 2014. Vet Microbiol 2014, **173**, 249-257.
- Jeong J, Woo C, Ip HS, An I, Kim Y, Lee K, Jo SD, Son K, Lee S, Oem JK, Wang SJ, Kim Y, Shin J, Sleeman J, Jheong W. Identification of Two novel reassortant avian influenza a (H5N6) viruses in whooper swans in Korea, 2016. Virol J 2017, 14, 60.
- Kanehira K, Uchida Y, Takemae N, Hikono H, Tsunekuni R, Saito T. Characterization of an H5N8 influenza A virus isolated from chickens during an outbreak of severe avian influenza in Japan in April 2014. Arch Virol 2015, 160, 1629-1643.
- Kang HM, Lee EK, Song BM, Heo GB, Jung J, Jang I, Bae YC, Jung SC, Lee YJ. Experimental infection of mandarin duck with highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H5N8 and H5N1) viruses. Vet Microbiol 2017, 198, 59-63.
- Kim YI, Park SJ, Kwon HI, Kim EH, Si YJ, Jeong JH, Lee IW, Nguyen HD, Kwon JJ, Choi WS, Song MS, Kim CJ, Choi YK. Genetic and phylogenetic characterizations of a novel genotype of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N8 viruses in 2016/2017 in South Korea. Infect Genet Evol 2017, 53, 56-67.
- Kwon JH, Lee DH, Jeong JH, Yuk SS, Erdene-Ochir TO, Noh JY, Hong WT, Jeong S, Gwon GB, Lee SW, Choi IS, Song CS. Isolation of an H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus strain from wild birds in Seoul, a highly urbanized area in South Korea. J Wildl Dis 2017, 53, 630-635.
- Kwon JH, Lee DH, Swayne DE, Noh JY, Yuk SS, Erdene-Ochir TO, Hong WT, Jeong JH, Jeong S, Gwon GB, Lee S, Song CS. Reassortant clade 2.3.4.4 avian influenza A(H5N6) virus in a wild mandarin duck, South Korea, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 822-826.
- Kwon JH, Lee DH, Swayne DE, Noh JY, Yuk SS, Erdene-Ochir TO, Hong WT, Jeong JH, Jeong S, Gwon GB, Song CS. Highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) viruses reintroduced into South Korea by migratory waterfowl, 2014-2015. Emerg Infect Dis 2016, 22, 507-510.
- Lee DH, Bahl J, Torchetti MK, Killian ML, Ip HS, DeLiberto TJ, Swayne DE. Highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses and generation of novel reassortants, United States, 2014-2015. Emerg Infect Dis 2016, 22, 1283-1285.
- 23. Lee DH, Kwon JH, Noh JY, Park JK, Yuk SS, Erdene-Ochir TO, Lee JB, Park SY, Choi IS, Lee SW, Song CS.

Pathogenicity of the Korean H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus in commercial domestic poultry species. Avian Pathol 2016, **45**, 208-211.

- Lee DH, Sharshov K, Swayne DE, Kurskaya O, Sobolev I, Kabilov M, Alekseev A, Irza V, Shestopalov A. Novel reassortant clade 2.3.4.4 avian influenza A(H5N8) virus in wild aquatic birds, Russia, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 359-360.
- Lee DH, Torchetti MK, Killian ML, DeLiberto TJ, Swayne DE. Reoccurrence of avian influenza A(H5N2) virus clade 2.3.4.4 in wild birds, Alaska, USA, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 365-367.
- Lee DH, Torchetti MK, Winker K, Ip HS, Song CS, Swayne DE. Intercontinental spread of Asian-origin H5N8 to North America through Beringia by migratory birds. J Virol 2015, 89, 6521-6524.
- 27. Lee MS, Chen LH, Chen YP, Liu YP, Li WC, Lin YL, Lee F. Highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses H5N2, H5N3, and H5N8 in Taiwan in 2015. Vet Microbiol 2016, **187**, 50-57.
- Lee YJ, Kang HM, Lee EK, Song BM, Jeong J, Kwon YK, Kim HR, Lee KJ, Hong MS, Jang I, Choi KS, Kim JY, Lee HJ, Kang MS, Jeong OM, Baek JH, Joo YS, Park YH, Lee HS. Novel reassortant influenza A(H5N8) viruses, South Korea, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2014, 20, 1087-1089.
- Li J, Gu M, Liu D, Liu B, Jiang K, Zhong L, Liu K, Sun W, Hu J, Wang X, Hu S, Liu X, Liu X. Phylogenetic and biological characterization of three K1203 (H5N8)-like avian influenza A virus reassortants in China in 2014. Arch Virol 2016, 161, 289-302.
- Li M, Liu H, Bi Y, Sun J, Wong G, Liu D, Li L, Liu J, Chen Q, Wang H, He Y, Shi W, Gao GF, Chen J. Highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N8) virus in wild migratory birds, Qinghai Lake, China. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 637-641.
- 31. Marchenko VY, Susloparov IM, Kolosova NP, Goncharova NI, Shipovalov AV, Durymanov AG, Ilyicheva TN, Budatsirenova LV, Ivanova VK, Ignatyev GA, Ershova SN, Tulyahova VS, Mikheev VN, Ryzhikov AB. Influenza A(H5N8) virus isolation in Russia, 2014. Arch Virol 2015, 160, 2857-2860.
- 32. Nagarajan S, Kumar M, Murugkar HV, Tripathi S, Shukla S, Agarwal S, Dubey G, Nagi RS, Singh VP, Tosh C. Novel reassortant highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N8) virus in zoos, India. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 717-719.
- 33. Núñez A, Brookes SM, Reid SM, Garcia-Rueda C, Hicks DJ, Seekings JM, Spencer YI, Brown IH. Highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 virus: equivocal pathogenicity and implications for surveillance following natural infection in breeder ducks in the United Kingdom. Transbound Emerg Dis 2016, 63, 5-9.
- 34. Okamatsu M, Ozawa M, Soda K, Takakuwa H, Haga A, Hiono T, Matsuu A, Uchida Y, Iwata R, Matsuno K, Kuwahara M, Yabuta T, Usui T, Ito H, Onuma M, Sakoda Y, Saito T, Otsuki K, Ito T, Kida H. Characterization of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus A(H5N6), Japan, November 2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 691-695.
- 35. Olsen B, Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Waldenström J, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Global patterns of influenza a virus in wild birds. Science 2006, **312**, 384-388.

- 36. Pohlmann A, Starick E, Harder T, Grund C, Höper D, Globig A, Staubach C, Dietze K, Strebelow G, Ulrich RG, Schinköthe J, Teifke JP, Conraths FJ, Mettenleiter TC, Beer M. Outbreaks among wild birds and domestic poultry caused by reassorted influenza A(H5N8) clade 2.3.4.4 viruses, Germany, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 633-636.
- Qi X, Cui L, Yu H, Ge Y, Tang F. Whole-genome sequence of a reassortant H5N6 avian influenza virus isolated from a live poultry market in China, 2013. Genome Announc 2014, 2, e00706-14.
- Röhm C, Horimoto T, Kawaoka Y, Süss J, Webster RG. Do hemagglutinin genes of highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses constitute unique phylogenetic lineages? Virology 1995, 209, 664-670.
- 39. Selim AA, Erfan AM, Hagag N, Zanaty A, Samir AH, Samy M, Abdelhalim A, Arafa AA, Soliman MA, Shaheen M, Ibraheem EM, Mahrous I, Hassan MK, Naguib MM. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N8) clade 2.3.4.4 infection in migratory birds, Egypt. Emerg Infect Dis 2017, 23, 1048-1051.
- 40. Song BM, Lee EK, Lee YN, Heo GB, Lee HS, Lee YJ. Phylogeographical characterization of H5N8 viruses isolated from poultry and wild birds during 2014-2016 in South Korea. J Vet Sci 2017, **18**, 89-94.
- Song Y, Cui J, Song H, Ye J, Zhao Z, Wu S, Xu C, Jiao P, Liao M. New reassortant H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus from waterfowl in Southern China. Front Microbiol 2015, 6, 1170.
- 42. Spackman E, Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Kapczynski DR, Swayne DE, Suarez DL. H5N2 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses from the US 2014-2015 outbreak have an unusually long pre-clinical period in turkeys. BMC Vet Res 2016, **12**, 260.
- 43. Spackman E, Prosser DJ, Pantin-Jackwood MJ, Berlin AM, Stephens CB. The pathogenesis of clade 2.3.4.4 H5 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses in ruddy duck (*Oxyura jamaicensis*) and lesser scaup (*Aythya affinis*). J Wildl Dis 2017. Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.7589/2017-01-003.
- 44. Sun H, Pu J, Hu J, Liu L, Xu G, Gao GF, Liu X, Liu J. Characterization of clade 2.3.4.4 highly pathogenic H5 avian influenza viruses in ducks and chickens. Vet Microbiol 2016, **182**, 116-122.
- 45. Swayne D, Bertran K, Kapczynski D, Pantin-Jackwood M, Spackman E, Lee DH, Suarez D. Impact of emergence of avian influenza in North America and preventative measures. In: Proceedings of the Sixty-Fifth Western Poultry Disease Conference 2016; 24-27 April 2016, Vancouver, Canada.
- Swayne DE. Animal Influenza. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Ames, 2016.
- 47. Swayne DE, Pantin-Jackwood M. Pathogenicity of avian influenza viruses in poultry. Dev Biol (Basel) 2006, 124, 61-67.
- 48. Swayne DE, Suarez DL, Spackman E, Jadhao S, Dauphin G, Kim-Torchetti M, McGrane J, Weaver J, Daniels P, Wong F, Selleck P, Wiyono A, Indriani R, Yupiana Y, Sawitri Siregar E, Prajitno T, Smith D, Fouchier R. Antibody titer has positive predictive value for vaccine protection against challenge with natural antigenic-drift variants of H5N1

280 Dong-Hun Lee et al.

high-pathogenicity avian influenza viruses from Indonesia. J Virol 2015, **89**, 3746-3762.

- Tanikawa T, Kanehira K, Tsunekuni R, Uchida Y, Takemae N, Saito T. Pathogenicity of H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses isolated from a wild bird fecal specimen and a chicken in Japan in 2014. Microbiol Immunol 2016, 60, 243-252.
- 50. Usui T, Soda K, Tomioka Y, Ito H, Yabuta T, Takakuwa H, Otsuki K, Ito T, Yamaguchi T. Characterization of clade 2.3.4.4 H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses from wild birds possessing atypical hemagglutinin polybasic cleavage sites. Virus Genes 2017, 53, 44-51.
- Webster RG, Bean WJ, Gorman OT, Chambers TM, Kawaoka Y. Evolution and ecology of influenza A viruses. Microbiol Rev 1992, 56, 152-179.
- 52. WHO/OIE/FAO H5N1 Evolution Working Group. Toward a unified nomenclature system for highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N1). Emerg Infect Dis 2008, 14, e1.

- Wu H, Peng X, Xu L, Jin C, Cheng L, Lu X, Xie T, Yao H, Wu N. Novel reassortant influenza A(H5N8) viruses in domestic ducks, eastern China. Emerg Infect Dis 2014, 20, 1315-1318.
- 54. Yuan R, Wang Z, Kang Y, Wu J, Zou L, Liang L, Song Y, Zhang X, Ni H, Lin J, Ke C. Continuing reassortant of H5N6 subtype highly pathogenic avian influenza virus in Guangdong. Front Microbiol 2016, 7, 520.
- 55. Zhao G, Gu X, Lu X, Pan J, Duan Z, Zhao K, Gu M, Liu Q, He L, Chen J, Ge S, Wang Y, Chen S, Wang X, Peng D, Wan H, Liu X. Novel reassortant highly pathogenic H5N2 avian influenza viruses in poultry in China. PLoS One 2012, 7, e46183.
- Zhou LC, Liu J, Pei EL, Xue WJ, Lyu JM, Cai YT, Wu D, Wu W, Liu YY, Jin HY, Gao YW, Wang ZH, Wang TH. Novel avian influenza A(H5N8) viruses in migratory birds, China, 2013-2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2016, 22, 1121-1123.