OPEN

Unplanned pregnancy and risk of peripartum depression: a prospective cohort study in Saudi pregnant women attending antenatal care clinic

Imtinan K. Alsahafi, MD^{a,*}, Eman H. Alblady, MD^a, Sultan F. Magliah, MD^a, Layan S. Alahmadi, MD^a, Reem J. Alshareef, MD^a, Dina S. Binmahfoodh, MD^a, Moayyad S. Alsalem, MD^{b,c,d}

Background: Few studies have been conducted on unintended pregnancies and peripartum depression in Saudi Arabia. This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between unplanned pregnancies and peripartum depression among pregnant women in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Methods: This prospective cohort study included pregnant women attending an antenatal care clinic in 2021. The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy was used to assess the prevalence of unplanned pregnancy, and the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used to assess antenatal and postnatal depression.

Results: A total of 236 participants were included, of which 25.8% had unplanned pregnancies, 36.0% had ambivalent pregnancies, and 38.1% had planned pregnancies. EPDS results revealed that 77.5% and 73.35% of the females were negative for antenatal and postnatal depression, respectively. A history of stressful events (P = 0.001), husband (P = 0.020), and family support (P = 0.007) was significantly associated with antenatal EPDS score, whereas age (P = 0.005), type of delivery (P = 0.019), and family support (P = 0.031) were significantly associated with the postnatal score.

Conclusion: Unplanned pregnancies may affect the perinatal mental health of women. We demonstrated the importance of family or husbands' support for women with perinatal depression. In addition, our research showed that pregnancy at an early age is a risk factor for postnatal depression. Therefore, these women should be closely monitored not only during their pregnancy but also during the first postpartum year.

Keywords: Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, London Measurement of Unplanned Pregnancy, perinatal depression, unplanned pregnancy

Introduction

Unplanned pregnancy is a term used to describe a wide range of pregnancy circumstances. A woman would be happy about an unplanned pregnancy if she was in a relationship that welcomed a kid, felt capable of caring for a child, or believed that the pregnancy fit within her general intentions for parenthood. Unplanned pregnancy, on the other hand, would be undesirable if

^aDepartment of Family Medicine, ^bPsychiatry Section, Department of Medicine, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Ministry of the National Guard – Health Affairs, ^cKing Abdullah International Medical Research Center and ^dCollege of Medicine, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author. Address: Department of Family Medicine, Ministry of National Guard – Health Affairs, King Abdulaziz Medical City, 21423 P.O. Box 9515, Jeddah Saudi Arabia. Tel.: +966 500 164 197. E-mail: alsahafi.imtinan@hotmail.com (I.K. Alsahafi).

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Received 14 September 2023; Accepted 21 November 2023

Published online 3 January 2024

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MS9.000000000001573

HIGHLIGHTS

- This prospective cohort study included 236 pregnant women attending an antenatal clinic.
- The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used to assess antenatal and postnatal depression.
- Based on the EPDS, 77.5% and 73.35% of subjects were negative for antenatal and postnatal depression, respectively.
- The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy was significantly associated with antenatal and postnatal EPDS scores.

a woman believed it would affect her life plans, such as graduating, leaving a career, and marrying. Unplanned pregnancies can be classified according to the degree of pregnancy intention or the mother's emotional reaction to the pregnancy, such as happy, ambivalent, or unhappy^[1]. Multiparity, young age, unemployment, and a history of abortion are risk factors associated with unplanned pregnancies^[2]. According to a recent estimate of unintended pregnancies per year are unintended^[3]. The rate of unintended pregnancies in the United States in 2011 was 45%^[4]. A meta-analysis of 20 studies conducted in the Middle East found that 26% of pregnancies in Iranian women were unwanted or unplanned^[5]. Studies in Saudi Arabia have revealed that an unplanned pregnancy is a significant barrier and is associated with multigravid parity and younger age groups^[6,7]. Unwanted

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

Annals of Medicine & Surgery (2024) 86:666-677

pregnancy is known to have a negative impact on maternal and neonatal health. According to one study, women who had an unintended pregnancy had negative attitudes toward healthcare and were at a higher risk of developing antenatal depression^[8]. In a prospective cohort study, females with unplanned pregnancies showed a 2.5-fold increase in the prevalence of perinatal depression^[9]. According to the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V), peripartum depression is defined as a major depressive disorder that occurs during pregnancy or within 4 weeks after birth^[10].

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends screening for depression and anxiety using a standardized, validated tool at least once during the perinatal and postpartum periods^[11]. The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) is recommended by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) for screening pregnant and postpartum women^[12]. Cox *et al.*^[13] developed the EPDS in 1987 to detect postpartum depression and it has been a validated tool for screening depression in the antepartum period^[14].

A meta-analysis of 23 studies confirmed the reliability of the EPDS in detecting major depressive disorders in peripartum women^[15]. Several studies have reported the prevalence of antenatal and postpartum depression in Arabic countries^[16,17]. In a study conducted in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia in 2018, 26.8% of pregnant females reported depressive symptoms, with an increased prevalence among women with unplanned pregnancy^[18]. In 2019, a cross-sectional study reported a lower rate of postpartum depression (20.9%)^[19]. Few studies have been conducted on unplanned pregnancies and postpartum depression in Saudi Arabia^[18–20]. Knowledge, epidemiological data, and the possible association between unplanned pregnancy and postpartum depression will contribute to and reinforce antenatal screening and counseling protocols. This study aimed to evaluate

Table 1

Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied population (N = 236)

Demographics	Count	%
Total	236	100.0
Age		
18–25 years	91	38.6
26–35 years	127	53.8
36–44 years	18	7.6
Level of education		
Less than bachelor's degree	85	36.0
Bachelor's degree or above	151	64.0
Occupation		
Unemployed	191	80.9
Employed	15	6.4
Student	30	12.7
Husband's occupation		
Military	215	91.1
Non-military	21	8.9
Family monthly income		
< 5000 SR	105	44.5
5000–10 000 SR	107	45.3
10 001–15 000 SR	15	6.4
> 15 000 SR	9	3.8

SR, Saudi riyal.

the relationship between unplanned pregnancies and peripartum depression among pregnant females attending antenatal clinics in Jeddah.

Methods

Study design, setting, and participants

This was a single-center prospective cohort study of pregnant women attending an antenatal care clinic in King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, in 2021, in agreement with the STROCSS 2021 guideline^[21]. Adult (>18-year-old) pregnant women attending a low-risk outpatient antenatal care clinic (no history of any medical condition, controlled medical comorbidities including asthma, pre-existing hypertension, and anemia) were eligible for inclusion in the study. The exclusion criteria included highrisk pregnancy (history of gestational diabetes mellitus, maternal autoimmune disease, consumption of teratogenic medications, twin pregnancy), history of mental illness or use of psychotropic medications, and refusal to give consent.

Table 2

Medical histories of the studied patients (N = 236)

Variables	Count	%
Total	236	100.0
Past medical history		
No comorbidities	211	89.4
Hx of one comorbidity	19	8.1
Multiple comorbidites	6	2.5
Number of total pregnancies		
Primigravida	82	34.7
Multigravida (> 1)	154	65.3
Number of total deliveries		
Nulliparity	96	40.7
Multiparity (2 or more births)	129	54.7
Grand parity (>5 births)	11	4.7
History of previous abortion		
Yes	63	26.7
No	173	73.3
Gestational age at initial screening		
27–30 weeks	54	22.9
31–35 weeks	104	44.1
36–40 weeks	77	32.6
> 40 weeks	1	0.4
Type of delivery		
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	155	65.7
Cesarean section	66	28.0
Instrumental delivery	15	6.4
Hx of maternal complications		
Pre-eclampsia	8	3.4
Antepartum hemorrhage	1	0.4
Postpartum hemorrhage	10	4.2
None	214	90.7
Others	3	1.3
Hx of fetal complications		
Pre-term <37 weeks	7	3.0
Low birth weight <25 kg	11	4.7
NICU admission	21	8.9
None	194	82.2
Others	3	1.3

Hx, history; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

Table 3

Pregnancy-related	characteristics	of the	studied	patients
(N = 236)				

Variables	Count	%
Total	236	100.0
History of any stressful life events? (death, accid	dent, domestic viole	ence, marital conflicts
victim of abuse)	100	FF A
NO	130	55.1
Yes, in the last year	39	16.5
Yes, more than 1 year ago	67	28.4
Family hx of depression or other psychiatric illn	esses:	
Yes	34	14.4
No	202	85.6
My Husband is supportive of me during my pre	gnancy:	
Strongly agree	113	47.9
Agree	79	33.5
Neutral	28	11.9
Disagree	13	5.5
Strongly disagree	3	1.3
My Family is supportive of me during my pregn	iancy:	
Strongly agree	129	54.7
Agree	85	36.0
Neutral	15	6.4
Disagree	5	2.1
Strongly disagree	2	0.8
London measure of unplanned pregnancy LMU	Р	
Unplanned pregnancy	61	25.8
Ambivalent	85	36.0
Planned pregnancy	90	38.1
Antenatal Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scal	e (EPDS)	
Positive for depression ≥ 13	53	22.5
Negative for depression <13	183	77.5
Postnatal EPDS		
Positive for depression ≥ 13	63	26.7
Negative for depression <13	173	73.3

LMUP, The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy.

Sample size

Using the OpenEpi website, sample size calculation is done based on the prevalence of unplanned pregnancy and postpartum depression reported in a similar local study done in the Eastern region^[18].



Figure 1. Summaries the distribution of antenatal and postnatal Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) for different pregnancy types. LMUP, London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. The sample size inputs were a ratio of 1.36 for unexposed to exposed, 19% for exposed with the outcome, an odds ratio of 2.42, an alpha error of 0.05, and a power of 80%. The study would require a total sample size of 236 patients using Fleiss with the CC formula.

Data collection

Data collection sheets and structured questionnaires were used to collect data. A serial study code was used to identify participants. Information on the collection sheet was obtained from our institution's electronic medical records and included the following information (maternal medical history and obstetric history, including the number of previous pregnancies/abortions, type of delivery, and potential obstetric or neonatal complications of the current pregnancy). Participants were also interviewed in the antenatal care clinic using a structured questionnaire that included sociodemographic data (educational level, employment status, family income, husband, and family support) as well as information about pregnancy planning and peripartum depression.

Pregnancy planning assessment

The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP) was used to assess the prevalence of unplanned pregnancy^[22]. Each item consisted of six questions that addressed the following topics: contraception use, pregnancy timing, intention, desire to have a baby, partner discussions, and preconception preparation. Each response is scored from 0 to 2, with a maximum score of 12. A score of less than 3 indicated an unplanned pregnancy, a score of 4–9 indicated an ambivalent pregnancy, and a score of 10–12 indicated a planned pregnancy. The Arabic version was used because its validity and dependability have been confirmed in Saudi Arabia^[23].

Peripartum depression screening

The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used to assess antenatal and postnatal depression. This is a 10-statement scale with four potential responses scored from 0 to 3 depending on severity, with a maximum total score of 30. We used the Arabic version of the EPDS that has been validated in an Emirati female population^[24]. With a cutoff score of 13, depression was considered present. Following childbirth, the participants were interviewed between the third and fourth weeks of postpartum depression screening using the EPDS.

Statistical analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 26 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA) was used to encode and present data. Using publicly available syntax, SPSS was used to calculate the overall and subscale scores on the Quality of Life Inventory – Disability (QLI-D). The frequencies and percentages of the categorical variables are presented. The QLI-D questionnaire scores were summarized using means and standard deviations. For categorical data with two or more levels, one-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) and unpaired *t*-tests were used to evaluate the association between sociodemographic characteristics and QLI-D scores (overall score and subscales). The association between sociodemographic characteristics, and QoL was assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. The

Association between the antenatal and postnatal Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) and London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP) among the studied patients (N = 236)

		London Meas	ure of Unplanned Pregna	planned Pregnancy (LMUP)		
Variables	Total	Unplanned pregnancy	Ambivalent	Planned pregnancy	Р	
Total	236	61 (25.8%)	85 (36.0%)	90 (38.1%)	_	
Antenatal Edinburgh Postnatal Depress	ion Scale (EPDS)					
Positive for depression ≥ 13	53	26 (49.1%)	16 (30.2%)	11 (20.8%)	< 0.001 ^a	
Negative for depression <13	183	35 (19.1%)	69 (37.7%)	79 (43.2%)		
Postnatal EPDS						
Positive for depression ≥ 13	63	25 (39.7%)	20 (31.7%)	18 (28.6%)	0.012 ^a	
Negative for depression <13	173	36 (20.8%)	65 (37.6%)	72 (41.6%)		

^aSignificant using Chi-square tests at <0.05 level.

average score for each domain was calculated by averaging the scores of items with no missing data.

Results

A total of 236 respondents completed the questionnaires. Most females were aged 26–35 years (53.8%), unemployed (80.9%), had husbands working in the military (91.1%), and had a family monthly income of 5000–10 000 Saudi riyals (45.3%) (Table 1).

The medical history of the patients was also recorded. According to the findings shown in Table 2, 89.4% of females had no history of comorbidities, whereas only 8.1% had one comorbidity. In terms of total pregnancies and total deliveries, 65.3% were multigravida or females who became pregnant for the second time, and 54.7% were multiparous or had two or more births. Most females were in the 31–35 weeks of gestation (44.1%) at initial screening, and 65.7% of the females had spontaneous vaginal delivery. Most of the participants had no history of previous abortion (73.3%), maternal complications (90.7%), or fetal complications (82.2%).

In the present study, 55.1% of participants had no history of any stressful event, but 28.4% had one more than a year ago. In addition, 85.6% had no family history of depression or other psychiatric diseases. In terms of having support, most participants strongly agreed that their husbands and families were supportive during pregnancy, with rates of 47.9% and 54.7%, respectively. The London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP) was used to determine the prevalence of unplanned pregnancy. Results showed that only 25.8% of participants had an unplanned pregnancy, 36.0% had an ambivalent pregnancy, and 38.1% had a planned pregnancy. EPDS revealed that 77.5% and 73.35% of the females were negative for depression based on antenatal and postnatal EPDS, respectively (Table 3). Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of antenatal and postnatal EPDS based on LMUP results.

Statistical computations were performed to determine the factors contributing to antenatal and postnatal depression. Table 4 shows that LMUP was significantly associated with the antenatal (P < 0.001) and postnatal (P = 0.012) EPDS scores. Based on antenatal EPDS scores, only 49.1%, 30.2%, and 20.8% of respondents with an unplanned, ambivalent, or planned pregnancy were positive for depression, respectively. On the contrary, only 39.7%, 31.7%, and 28.6% of individuals with an unplanned, ambivalent, or planned pregnancy were positive for postnatal depression.

Associations were determined between sociodemographic characteristics, medical history of the participants, and LMUP. Only family income was associated with LMUP (P = 0.026) (Table 5).

The association of sociodemographic and medical history with antenatal and postnatal EPDS scores was explored in this study. Tables 6, 7 show that only a history of stressful events (P = 0.001), husbands (P = 0.020), and family support (P = 0.007) were significantly associated with antenatal EPDS.

Chi-square test at 0.05 significance level shows that age (P = 0.005), type of delivery (P = 0.019), and family support (P = 0.031) were significantly associated with postnatal depression. Further analysis revealed significant associations among ages 18–25 years, caesarian delivery, and postnatal depression (Table 8).

Discussion

This study investigated unplanned pregnancies and the risk of perinatal depression in pregnant women in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. LMUP scores showed that only 25.8% of participants had an unplanned pregnancy, which was lower than in Namibia $(54.5\%)^{[25]}$, India $(38.5\%)^{[26]}$, and the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia $(26.4\%)^{[20]}$, but higher than in the Netherlands $(5.8\%)^{[27]}$ and Iran $(18.2\%)^{[28]}$. The observed differences in the results could be explained by differences in healthcare systems and cultural differences in sexual education and contraception use. It is possible that one geographical area has more healthcare institutions or that necessary interventions have been carried out within the geographical area. For example, in Namibia, family planning services are centered only on mothers and children and have limitations, especially for adolescents and males^[25]. In the Netherlands, sex education programs are available in schools^[27].

Studies have confirmed the association between unplanned pregnancy and higher rates of depression during and after pregnancy^[27]. Faisal-Cury *et al.*^[9] reported that women who had unplanned pregnancy were 2.5 times more likely to have depression than those who had planned pregnancy. In this study, 97% of the women were diagnosed or treated for depression. However, only 22.5% and 26.7% experienced antenatal and postnatal depression, respectively. This was lower than the findings of Atif *et al.*^[29] who found that 37% and 30% of Pakistani females reported prenatal and postnatal depression, respectively. In a study by Mersha *et al.*^[30], 25.8% of females

Association between the socio-demographic characteristics and London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP) among the studied patients (N = 236)

		London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP)					
Variables	Total	Unplanned pregnancy	Ambivalent	Planned pregnancy	Р		
Total	236	61 (25.8%)	85 (36.0%)	90 (38.1%)	_		
Age							
18–25 years	91	27 (29.7%)	31 (34.1%)	33 (36.3%)	0.327		
26–35 years	127	30 (23.6%)	44 (34.6%)	53 (41.7%)			
36–44 years	18	4 (22.2%)	10 (55.6%)	4 (22.2%)			
Level of education							
Less than bachelor's degree	85	21 (24.7%)	34 (40.0%)	30 (35.3%)	0.627		
Bachelor's degree or above	151	40 (26.5%)	51 (33.8%)	60 (39.7%)			
Occupation							
Unemployed	191	45 (23.6%)	71 (37.2%)	75 (39.3%)	0.333		
Employed	15	7 (46.7%)	3 (20.0%)	5 (33.3%)			
Student	30	9 (30.0%)	11 (36.7%)	10 (33.3%)			
Husband's occupation		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Military	215	52 (24,2%)	82 (38,1%)	81 (37.7%)	0.057		
Non-military	21	9 (42.9%)	3 (14.3%)	9 (42.9%)			
Family monthly income							
< 5000 SR	105	27 (25.7%)	43 (41.0%)	35 (33,3%)	0.026 ^a		
5000–10 000 SB	107	22 (20.6%)	39 (36 4%)	46 (43 0%)	01020		
10 001–15 000 SB	15	8 (53.3%)	3 (20.0%)	4 (26 7%)			
> 15 000 SB	q	4 (44 4%)	0 (0 0%)	5 (55 6%)			
Past medical history	0	1 (1111)0)	0 (0.070)	0 (00.070)			
No comorbidities	211	51 (24 2%)	80 (37 9%)	80 (37 9%)	0 337		
Hy of one comorbidity	10	8 (12 1%)	A (21.1%)	7 (36 8%)	0.007		
Multiple comorbidites	6	2 (33 3%)	1 (16 7%)	3 (50.0%)			
Number of total prograpcies	0	2 (33.370)	1 (10.770)	3 (30.070)			
Drimigrovido	00	10 (22 20/)	21 (27 00/)	22 (20 00/)	0.704		
Multigravida (> 1)	02	19 (23.270)	51 (57.0%)	52 (39.0%)	0.764		
$\frac{1}{1}$	104	42 (27.3%)	04 (30.1%)	56 (57.7%)			
Nullipority	06	21 (21 09/)	26 (27 50/)	20 (40 69/)	0.264		
Nulliparity (2 or more birthe)	90	21 (21.9%)	30 (37.3%)	59 (40.0%)	0.204		
Grand parity (2 of more births)	129	30 (27.9%)	43 (33.3%)	5U (38.8%)			
Gianu panty (> 5 bittis)	11	4 (30.4%)	0 (34.3%)	1 (9.1%)			
History of previous abortion	00	14 (00.09())		04 (00 10()	0.005		
Yes	63	14 (22.2%)	25 (39.7%)	24 (38.1%)	0.685		
	173	47 (27.2%)	60 (34.7%)	66 (38.2%)			
Gestational age at initial screening	- 4			00 (10 70)	0.500		
27-30 weeks	54	13 (24.1%)	19 (35.2%)	22 (40.7%)	0.560		
31–35 weeks	104	26 (25.0%)	34 (32.7%)	44 (42.3%)			
36–40 weeks	11	22 (28.6%)	32 (41.6%)	23 (29.9%)			
> 40 wk	1	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)			
lype of delivery							
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	155	44 (28.4%)	57 (36.8%)	54 (34.8%)	0.186		
Cesarean section	66	13 (19.7%)	26 (39.4%)	27 (40.9%)			
Instrumental delivery	15	4 (26.7%)	2 (13.3%)	9 (60.0%)			
Hx of maternal complications							
Pre-eclampsia	8	0 (0.0%)	4 (50.0%)	4 (50.0%)	0.087		
Antepartum hemorrhage	1	1 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
Postpartum hemorrhage	10	0 (0.0%)	7 (70.0%)	3 (30.0%)			
None	214	59 (27.6%)	74 (34.6%)	81 (37.9%)			
Others	3	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (66.7%)			
Hx of fetal complications							
Pre-term <37 weeks	7	0 (0.0%)	5 (71.4%)	2 (28.6%)	0.244		
Low birth weight <25 kg	11	2 (18.2%)	2 (18.2%)	7 (63.6%)			
NICU admission	21	7 (33.3%)	6 (28.6%)	8 (38.1%)			
None	194	51 (26.3%)	70 (36.1%)	73 (37.6%)			
Others	3	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)	0 (0.0%)			
History of any stressful life events? (d	eath, accident, domes	tic violence, marital conflicts, victim of a	buse)	- *			
No	130	26 (20.0%)	48 (36.9%)	56 (43.1%)	0.099		
Yes, in the last year	39	16 (41.0%)	12 (30.8%)	11 (28.2%)			
Yes, more than 1 year ago	67	19 (28.4%)	25 (37.3%)	23 (34.3%)			

(Continued)

		London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP)				
Variables	Total	Unplanned pregnancy	Ambivalent	Planned pregnancy	Р	
Family hx of depression or other p	sychiatric illnesses:					
Yes	34	9 (26.5%)	10 (29.4%)	15 (44.1%)	0.651	
No	202	52 (25.7%)	75 (37.1%)	75 (37.1%)		
My Husband is supportive of me of	luring my pregnancy:					
Strongly agree	113	24 (21.2%)	38 (33.6%)	51 (45.1%)	0.238	
Agree	79	22 (27.8%)	29 (36.7%)	28 (35.4%)		
Neutral	28	7 (25.0%)	13 (46.4%)	8 (28.6%)		
Disagree	13	6 (46.2%)	4 (30.8%)	3 (23.1%)		
Strongly disagree	3	2 (66.7%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)		
My Family is supportive of me dur	ing my pregnancy:					
Strongly agree	129	30 (23.3%)	45 (34.9%)	54 (41.9%)	0.685	
Agree	85	23 (27.1%)	34 (40.0%)	28 (32.9%)		
Neutral	15	6 (40.0%)	3 (20.0%)	6 (40.0%)		
Disagree	5	2 (40.0%)	2 (40.0%)	1 (20.0%)		
Strongly disagree	2	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)		

^aSignificant using Chi-square tests at <0.05 level.

Hx, history; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; SR, Saudi riyal.

suffer from perinatal depression, with unplanned pregnancy being one of the leading causes.

This study also explored the factors and variables associated with prenatal depression. Previous research has found that multiparity, young age, unemployment, and a history of abortion are risk factors for unplanned pregnancy. According to Goossens et al.^[31], multiparity, low education, being unmarried, experiencing domestic violence from a partner, and a history of substance abuse are all associated with fewer planned pregnancies. The results of the current study indicated that only a history of stressful events (P = 0.001), husband (P = 0.020), and family support (P = 0.007) were significantly associated with antenatal EPDS. Age (P = 0.005), delivery type (P = 0.019), and family support (P=0.031) were significantly associated with postpartum depression. The results for antenatal depression are similar to the results found in studies by Dadi et al.^[32] and Yin et al.^[33]. Postnatal results in the current study are somewhat similar to those of Atuhaire et al.[34], wherein postpartum depression was associated with five factors, including limited relative social assistance, HIV status, rural residence, perinatal complications, and excessive crying of the baby. However, Inthaphatha et al.^[35]'s cross-sectional study found that unplanned pregnancy, low birth satisfaction, and prenatal depression were distinct risk factors for postnatal depression.

Notably, in this study, family or husband support was significantly associated with antenatal and postnatal depression. Previous research suggests a connection between social support and perinatal depression. Depression has been reported to be inversely related to social support in pregnant women, with women with lower family support reporting more symptoms than those with higher family support^[36]. Furthermore, studies have shown that a lack of high social support is a risk factor for postnatal depression^[37].

In addition to social support, the study showed a correlation between ages 18–25 years old, cesarean delivery, and postnatal depression. Similar findings were found in a study by Bradshaw *et al.*^[38] in which 18–24-year-old females reported the highest depression symptoms. Silverman *et al.*^[39] reported that young

women and cesarian deliveries have an increased risk of postpartum depression.

This study resolves the numerous limitations of previous research on this topic. The researchers prospectively investigated the relationship between pregnancy intention, as measured by the LMUP, a tool used to measure the prevalence of unplanned pregnancies with defined psychometric properties, and subsequent maternal and neonatal outcomes. One of the strengths of this study is the large number of participants and numerous assessments, which allowed us to assess the impact of potential confounding factors.

This study had several limitations. First, most women in the study had a non-complicated pregnancy, which may explain the lower incidence of perinatal depression in our sample. The relationship between pregnancy intention and perinatal depression may differ between women with pre-term births and those with complicated pregnancies.

Conclusion

According to the LMUP results, only 25.8% of participants had an unplanned pregnancy. A history of stressful events, husbands' support, and family support were associated with antenatal EPDS results, whereas age, delivery type, and family support were associated with postnatal EPDS scores. Our findings indicate that unplanned pregnancies may affect perinatal mental health. Furthermore, this study demonstrated the importance of family or husbands' support for women with perinatal depression. Additionally, research has shown that young age during pregnancy could be a cause of postnatal depression. A significant clinical implication of our findings is that these women should be closely monitored not only during pregnancy but also during the first postpartum year.

Ethics approval

Ethical standards established by institutional and national research committees, the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its

Association between the socio-demographic characteristics and the antenatal Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) among the studied patients (N = 236).

		Antena	tal (EPDS)	
Variables	Total	Positive	Negative	Р
Total	236	53 (22.5%)	183 (77.5%)	_
Age				
18–25 years	91	27 (29.7%)	64 (70.3%)	0.062
26–35 years	127	21 (16.5%)	106 (83.5%)	
36–44 years	18	5 (27.8%)	13 (72.2%)	
Level of education				
Less than bachelor's degree	85	18 (21.2%)	67 (78.8%)	0.723
Bachelor's degree or above	151	35 (23.2%)	116 (76.8%)	
Occupation				
Unemployed	191	40 (20.9%)	151 (79.1%)	0.500
Employed	15	4 (26.7%)	11 (73.3%)	
Student	30	9 (30.0%)	21 (70.0%)	
Husband's occupation				
Military	215	48 (22.3%)	167 (77.7%)	0.876
Non-military	21	5 (23.8%)	16 (76.2%)	
Family monthly income				
< 5000 SR	105	d (24.8%)	79 (75.2%)	0.715
5000–10 000 SR	107	22 (20.6%)	85 (79.4%)	
10 001–15 000 SR	15	4 (26.7%)	11 (73.3%)	
> 15 000 SR	9	1 (11.1%)	8 (88.9%)	
Past medical history				
No comorbidities	211	47 (22.3%)	164 (77.7%)	0.266
Hx of one comorbidity	19	6 (31.6%)	13 (68.4%)	
Multiple comorbidites	6	0 (0.0%)	6 (100.0%)	
Number of total pregnancies				
Primigravida	82	15 (18.3%)	67 (81.7%)	0.263
Multigravida (> 1)	154	38 (24.7%)	116 (75.3%)	
Number of total deliveries				
Nulliparity	96	17 (17.7%)	79 (82.3%)	0.238
Multiparity (2 or more births)	129	32 (24.8%)	97 (75.2%)	
Grand parity (> 5 births)	11	4 (36.4%)	7 (63.6%)	
History of previous abortion				
Yes	63	17 (27.0%)	46 (73.0%)	0.315
No	173	36 (20.8%)	137 (79.2%)	
Gestational age at initial screening				
27–30 weeks	54	10 (18.5%)	44 (81.5%)	0.799
31–35 weeks	104	24 (23.1%)	80 (76.9%)	
36–40 weeks	77	19 (24.7%)	58 (75.3%)	
> 40 weeks	1	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	
Type of delivery				
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	155	d (25.8%)	115 (74.2%)	0.225
Cesarean section	66	11 (16.7%)	55 (83.3%)	
Instrumental delivery	15	2 (13.3%)	13 (86.7%)	
Hx of maternal complications				
Pre-eclampsia	8	0 (0.0%)	8 (100.0%)	0.567
Antepartum hemorrhage	1	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	
Postpartum hemorrhage	10	2 (20.0%)	8 (80.0%)	
None	214	50 (23.4%)	164 (76.6%)	
Others	3	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)	
Hx of fetal complications				
Pre-term <37 weeks	7	1 (14.3%)	6 (85.7%)	0.855
Low birth weight <25 kg	11	2 (18.2%)	9 (81.8%)	
NICU admission	21	5 (23.8%)	16 (76.2%)	
None	194	45 (23.2%)	149 (76.8%)	
Others	3	0 (0.0%)	3 (100.0%)	
History of any stressful life events? (death, a	ccident, domestic violence, marita	I conflicts, victim of abuse)		
No	130	19 (14.6%)	111 (85.4%)	0.001 ^a
Yes, in the last year	39	17 (43.6%)	22 (56.4%)	
Yes, more than 1 year ago	67	17 (25.4%)	50 (74.6%)	

(Continued)

		Antena		
Variables	Total	Positive	Negative	Р
Family hx of depression or other psychia	tric illnesses:			
Yes	34	8 (23.5%)	26 (76.5%)	0.871
No	202	45 (22.3%)	157 (77.7%)	
My Husband is supportive of me during r	my pregnancy:			
Strongly agree	113	d (14.2%)	97 (85.8%)	0.020 ^a
Agree	79	22 (27.8%)	57 (72.2%)	
Neutral	28	8 (28.6%)	20 (71.4%)	
Disagree	13	5 (38.5%)	8 (61.5%)	
Strongly disagree	3	2 (66.7%)	1 (33.3%)	
My Family is supportive of me during my	pregnancy:			
Strongly agree	129	19 (14.7%)	110 (85.3%)	0.007 ^a
Agree	85	29 (34.1%)	56 (65.9%)	
Neutral	15	5 (33.3%)	10 (66.7%)	
Disagree	5	0 (0.0%)	5 (100.0%)	
Strongly disagree	2	0 (0.0%)	2 (100.0%)	

^aSignificant using Chi-square tests at <0.05 level.

Hx, history; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; SR, Saudi riyal.

Table 7

Predictors of antenatal Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) among the studied patients.

Variables in the equation				95% CI	for Exp (B)	
Dependent variable: antenatal (EPDS)	В	SE	Exp (B)	Lower	Upper	Р
Step 1 ^a						
History of any stressful life events?						0.005 ^b
History of any stressful life events? (No)	0.372	0.408	1.450	0.652	3.223	0.362
History of any stressful life events? (Yes, in the last year)	- 1.100	0.471	0.333	0.132	0.838	0.020 ^b
My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnancy						0.087
My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Strongly agree)	2.766	1.276	15.890	1.303	193.770	0.030 ^b
My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Agree)	2.924	1.331	18.621	1.371	252.845	0.028 ^b
My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Neutral)	2.900	1.376	18.181	1.225	269.895	0.035 ^b
My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Disagree)	1.449	1.442	4.260	0.252	71.907	0.315
My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy						0.088
My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Strongly agree)	- 19.685	27 534.192	0.000	0.000		0.999
My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Agree)	- 20.952	27 534.192	0.000	0.000		0.999
My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Neutral)	- 20.962	27 534.192	0.000	0.000		0.999
My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy (Disagree)	1.078	32 099.358	2.940	0.000		> 0.999
Constant	18.863	27 534.192	155 638 917.538			0.999

^aVariable(s) entered on step 1: History of any stressful life events?, My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnancy, My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy. ^bSignificant using Binary Logistic Regression Model, with Backward Conditional Elimination with Enter Criteria = 0.05, Elimination = 0.10.

associated regulations, and comparable ethical principles were followed in this cross-sectional study involving human subjects. The Institutional Review Board of King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, approved this study, with reference number IRBC/1793/21 and protocol registration number NRJ21J/136/06.

Consent

All study participants provided written informed consent before agreeing to participate.

Sources of funding

Funding bodies or organizations in the public, private, or nonprofit sectors did not provide any financial support for the study, writing, or publication of the submitted work.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to the conception and design of this study. The materials were prepared, data were gathered, and the analysis was carried out by Imtinan Khalid Alsahafi, Eman Alblady, and Layan Shaker Alahmadi. The first draft of the paper

Association between the socio-d	lemographic characteristics	and the postnatal Edinbu	urgh Postnatal Depression	Scale (EPDS) among the	studied patients (N = 236).

Variables	Total	Postnatal (EPDS)		
		Positive	Negative	Р
Total	236	63 (26.7%)	173 (73.3%)	
Age				
18–25 years	91	34 (37.4%)	57 (62.6%)	0.005 ^a
26–35 years	127	28 (22.0%)	99 (78.0%)	
36–44 years	18	1 (5.6%)	17 (94.4%)	
Level of education				
Less than bachelor's degree	85	19 (22.4%)	66 (77.6%)	0.258
Bachelor's degree or above	151	44 (29.1%)	107 (70.9%)	
Occupation				
Unemployed	191	48 (25.1%)	143 (74.9%)	0.414
Employed	15	6 (40.0%)	9 (60.0%)	
Student	30	9 (30.0%)	21 (70.0%)	
Husband's occupation				
Military	215	56 (26.0%)	159 (74.0%)	0.471
Non-military	21	7 (33.3%)	14 (66.7%)	
Family monthly income				
< 5000 SR	105	29 (27.6%)	76 (72.4%)	0.411
5000–10 000 SR	107	28 (26.2%)	79 (73.8%)	
10 001–15 000 SR	15	2 (13.3%)	13 (86.7%)	
> 15 000 SR	9	4 (44.4%)	5 (55.6%)	
Past medical history				
No comorbidities	211	58 (27.5%)	153 (72.5%)	0.710
Hx of one comorbidity	19	4 (21.1%)	15 (78.9%)	
Multiple comorbidites	6	1 (16.7%)	5 (83.3%)	
Number of total pregnancies				
Primigravida	82	25 (30.5%)	57 (69.5%)	0.336
Multigravida (>1)	154	38 (24.7%)	116 (75.3%)	
Number of total deliveries				
Nulliparity	96	28 (29.2%)	68 (70.8%)	0.115
Multiparity (2 or more births)	129	35 (27.1%)	94 (72.9%)	
Grand parity (> 5 births)	11	0 (0.0%)	11 (100.0%)	
History of previous abortion		0 (0.070)		
Yes	63	18 (28.6%)	45 (71.4%)	0.694
No	173	45 (26.0%)	128 (74.0%)	
Gestational age at initial screening		10 (2010/0)	120 (1 11070)	
27–30 weeks	54	12 (22 2%)	42 (77 8%)	0 693
31–35 weeks	104	31 (29.8%)	73 (70.2%)	0.000
36–40 weeks	77	20 (26 0%)	57 (74 0%)	
> 40 weeks	1	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	
		0 (0.070)	1 (100.070)	
Spontaneous vaginal delivery	155	43 (27 7%)	112 (72.3%)	0 019 ^a
Cesarean section	66	12 (18 2%)	54 (81 8%)	0.010
Instrumental delivery	15	8 (53 3%)	7 (46 7%)	
inor amontal donvory	10	0 (00.070)	1 (10.170)	

Hx of maternal complications				
Pre-eclampsia	8	4 (50.0%)	4 (50.0%)	0.166
Antepartum hemorrhage	1	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	
Postpartum hemorrhage	10	1 (10.0%)	9 (90.0%)	
None	214	56 (26.2%)	158 (73.8%)	
Others	3	2 (66.7%)	1 (33.3%)	
Hx of fetal complications				
Pre-term <37 weeks	7	1 (14.3%)	6 (85.7%)	0.872
Low birth weight <25 kg	11	4 (36.4%)	7 (63.6%)	
NICU admission	21	5 (23.8%)	16 (76.2%)	
None	194	52 (26.8%)	142 (73.2%)	
Others	3	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)	
History of any stressful life events? (death, accident,	domestic violence, marital conflicts, victim of	abuse)		
No	130	27 (20.8%)	103 (79.2%)	0.054
Yes, in the last year	39	15 (38.5%)	24 (61.5%)	
Yes, more than 1 year ago	67	21 (31.3%)	46 (68.7%)	
Family hx of depression or other psychiatric illnesses	S:			
Yes	34	13 (38.2%)	21 (61.8%)	0.100
No	202	50 (24.8%)	152 (75.2%)	
My Husband is supportive of me during my pregnan	су:			
Strongly agree	113	27 (23.9%)	86 (76.1%)	0.241
Agree	79	24 (30.4%)	55 (69.6%)	
Neutral	28	5 (17.9%)	23 (82.1%)	
Disagree	13	5 (38.5%)	8 (61.5%)	
Strongly disagree	3	2 (66.7%)	1 (33.3%)	
My Family is supportive of me during my pregnancy:	:			
Strongly agree	129	29 (22.5%)	100 (77.5%)	0.031 ^a
Agree	85	24 (28.2%)	61 (71.8%)	
Neutral	15	6 (40.0%)	9 (60.0%)	
Disagree	5	4 (80.0%)	1 (20.0%)	
Strongly disagree	2	0 (0.0%)	2 (100.0%)	

675

^aSignificant using Chi-square tests at <0.05 level. Hx, history; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; SR, Saudi riyal.

was written by Sultan Fahad Magliah and earlier drafts were reviewed by all authors. All authors examined and approved the final draft.

Conflicts of interest disclosure

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Research registration unique identifying number (UIN)

- Name of the registry: Research Registry http://www.resear chregistry.com.
- 2. Unique identifying number or registration ID: researchregistry 9510.
- Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): https://researchregistry. knack.com/research-registry#user-researchregistry/registerre searchdetails/6500a93989b2af0027794524/.

Guarantor

Imtinan Khalid Alsahafi, Department of Family Medicine, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs, King Abdulaziz Medical City, P.O. Box 9515, Jeddah 21423, Saudi Arabia; Tel.: +966 500164197. E-mail: alsahafi.imtinan@hotmail.com

Data availability statement

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available because the data are contained within our hospital's electronic medical records but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Provenance and peer review

Not invited paper.

Acknowledgements

Assistance with the study: The authors thank Lina Saleh Bazeeb, Dima Mohammed Alsulami, Nadeen Abdulkarim Alrehaili, Amjad Ahmed Alshareef, Maha Abed Alofi, Sultanah Abed Aljumayi, and Rahaf Khalid Bashamakh for their efforts in data collection.

Presentation:None.

References

- Barton K, Redshaw M, Quigley MA, *et al.* Unplanned pregnancy and subsequent psychological distress in partnered women: a cross-sectional study of the role of relationship quality and wider social support. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017;17:44.
- [2] Rizvi F, Williams J, Hoban E. Factors influencing unintended pregnancies amongst adolescent girls and young women in Cambodia. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:4006.
- [3] Bearak J, Popinchalk A, Ganatra B, *et al.* Unintended pregnancy and abortion by income, region, and the legal status of abortion: estimates from a comprehensive model for 1990–2019. Lancet Glob Health 2020; 8:e1152–61.
- [4] Finer LB, Zolna MR. Declines in unintended pregnancy in the United States, 2008–2011. N Engl J Med 2016;374:843–52.

- [5] Sayehmiri K, Ebtekar F, Zarei M, *et al.* Prevalence of unwanted pregnancy among Iranian women: an updated meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2019;19:491.
- [6] Abdulwahab A, Almotairi A, Alkhamis W, et al. Prevalence of unplanned pregnancy and its psychological effect among pregnant patients in King Khalid university hospitals. Egypt J Hosp Med 2017;70:943–7.
- [7] Al Daajani M, Gosadi I, Milaat W, et al. Psycho-social factors affecting antenatal care services utilization at the Ministry of Health's primary health care centers in Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2017–2018: an analytical cross-sectional study. Int J Community Med Public Health 2019;6:4611–7.
- [8] Karaçam Z, Ançel G. Depression, anxiety and influencing factors in pregnancy: a study in a Turkish population. Midwifery 2009;25:344–56.
- [9] Faisal-Cury A, Menezes PR, Quayle J, et al. Unplanned pregnancy and risk of maternal depression: secondary data analysis from a prospective pregnancy cohort. Psychol Health Med 2017;22:65–74.
- [10] American Psychiatric Association, DSM-5 Task Force. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5[™], 5th ed. American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.; 2013.
- [11] The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee Opinion no. 630. Screening for perinatal depression. Obstet Gynecol 2015;125:1268–71.
- [12] Siu AL, Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, et al. Screening for depression in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA 2016;315:380–7.
- [13] Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Br J Psychiatry 1987;150:782–6.
- [14] Adewuya AO, Ola BA, Dada AO, et al. Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale as a screening tool for depression in late pregnancy among Nigerian women. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol 2006; 27:267–72.
- [15] O'Connor E, Rossom RC, Henninger M, et al. US Preventive Services Task Force Evidence Syntheses, formerly Systematic Evidence Reviews Screening for Depression in Adults: An Updated Systematic Evidence Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2016.
- [16] Al-Azri M, Al-Lawati I, Al-Kamyani R, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of antenatal depression among Omani women in a primary care setting: cross-sectional study. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J 2016;16:e35–41.
- [17] Pampaka D, Papatheodorou SI, AlSeaidan M, et al. Postnatal depressive symptoms in women with and without antenatal depressive symptoms: results from a prospective cohort study. Arch Womens Ment Health 2019;22:93–103.
- [18] Alqahtani AH, Al Khedair K, Al-Jeheiman R, et al. Anxiety and depression during pregnancy in women attending clinics in a University Hospital in Eastern province of Saudi Arabia: prevalence and associated factors. Int J Womens Health 2018;10:101–8.
- [19] Alsayed NA, Altayyeb JF, Althuniyyan LS, et al. Prevalence of postpartum depression and associated risk factors among women in Jeddah, Western Saudi Arabia. Cureus 2021;13:e14603.
- [20] Alsafar FA, Alnaeem LS, Almzraq LA, et al. Prevalence of unplanned pregnancy and its psychological effect among pregnant females in Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia. Int J Med Dev Ctries 2022;6:1251–60.
- [21] Mathew G, Agha R. STROCSS 2021: strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies in surgery. Int J Surg 2021;96:106165. https://doi.org/:10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.106165
- [22] Barrett G, Wellings K. What is a 'planned' pregnancy? Empirical data from a British study. Soc Sci Med 2002;55:545–57.
- [23] Almaghaslah E, Rochat R, Farhat G. Validation of a pregnancy planning measure for Arabic-speaking women. PLoS One 2017;12: e0185433.
- [24] Ghubash R, Abou-Saleh MT, Daradkeh TK. The validity of the Arabic Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 1997;32:474–6.
- [25] Ameyaw EK, Budu E, Sambah F, et al. Prevalence and determinants of unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa: a multi-country analysis of demographic and health surveys. PLoS One 2019;14:e0220970.
- [26] Omani-Samani R, Ranjbaran M, Mohammadi M, et al. Impact of unintended pregnancy on maternal and neonatal outcomes. J Obstet Gynaecol India 2019;69:136–41.
- [27] Muskens L, Boekhorst M, Kop WJ, et al. The association of unplanned pregnancy with perinatal depression: a longitudinal cohort study. Arch Womens Ment Health 2022;25:611–20.

- [28] Nasrabad HBR, Saadati M, Bagheri A. Factors affecting unplanned pregnancy in Smenan Province, Iran. J Midwifery Reproductive Health 2018;6:1273–81.
- [29] Atif M, Halaki M, Raynes-Greenow C, et al. Perinatal depression in Pakistan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Birth 2021;48: 149–63.
- [30] Mersha AG, Abebe SA, Sori LM, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of perinatal depression in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Depress Res Treat 2018;2018:1813834.
- [31] Goossens J, Van Den Branden Y, Van der Sluys L, et al. The prevalence of unplanned pregnancy ending in birth, associated factors, and health outcomes. Hum Reprod 2016;31:2821–33.
- [32] Dadi AF, Wolde HF, Baraki AG, et al. Epidemiology of antenatal depression in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2020;20:251.
- [33] Yin X, Sun N, Jiang N, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of antenatal depression: systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Clin Psychol Rev 2021;83:101932.

- [34] Atuhaire C, Rukundo GZ, Nambozi G, et al. Prevalence of postpartum depression and associated factors among women in Mbarara and Rwampara districts of south-western Uganda. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2021;21:503.
- [35] Inthaphatha S, Yamamoto E, Louangpradith V, et al. Factors associated with postpartum depression among women in Vientiane Capital, Lao People's Democratic Republic: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2020;15:e0243463.
- [36] Bedaso A, Adams J, Peng W, *et al.* The relationship between social support and mental health problems during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Reprod Health 2021;18:162.
- [37] Cho H, Lee K, Choi E, et al. Association between social support and postpartum depression. Sci Rep 2022;12:3128.
- [38] Bradshaw H, Riddle JN, Salimgaraev R, et al. Risk factors associated with postpartum depressive symptoms: a multinational study. J Affect Disord 2022;301:345–51.
- [39] Silverman ME, Reichenberg A, Savitz DA, et al. The risk factors for postpartum depression: a population-based study. Depress Anxiety 2017;34:178–87.