



# Article Ac/Ds-Induced Receptor-like Kinase Genes Deletion Provides Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Bacterial Blight in Rice

Qiong Mei<sup>+</sup>, Yu Wen Fu<sup>+</sup>, Tian Miao Li and Yuan Hu Xuan \*D

College of Plant Protection, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang 110866, China; meiqiong@syau.edu.cn (Q.M.); 2020220492@stu.syau.edu.cn (Y.W.F.); 2021220476@stu.syau.edu.cn (T.M.L.) \* Correspondence: xuanyuanhu115@syau.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-24-88342065

+ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Rice bacterial blight caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) seriously affects rice yield production. The discovery and application of broad-spectrum resistance genes are of great advance for disease resistance breeding. Previously, we identified that multiple receptor-like kinase (RLK) family gene deletions induced by the Ac/Ds system resulted in a lesion mimic symptom. In this study, the mutant #29 showed that this lesion mimic symptom was isolated. Further analysis identified that four RLK genes (RLK19-22) were deleted in the #29 mutant. The #29 mutant exhibited broad-spectrum resistance to Xoo and subsequent analyses identified that pathogenesis-related genes PR1a, PBZ1, and cellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels were significantly induced in the mutant compared to wild-type plants. A genetic analysis revealed that reconstruction of RLK20, RLK21, or RLK22 rescued the lesion mimic symptom of the #29 mutant, indicating that these three RLKs are responsible for broad-spectrum resistance in rice. Further yeast two hybrid and bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays demonstrated that RLK20 interacts with RBOHB, which is a ROS producer in plants. Compared to wild-type plants, the #29 mutant was more, while #29/RLK20 ox was less, susceptible to MV (methyl-viologen), an ROS inducer. Co-expression of RLK20 and RBOHB reduced RBOHB-promoted H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in the cells. Taken together, our research indicated that the RLKs may inhibit RBOHB activity to negatively regulate rice resistance to Xoo. These results provide the theoretical basis and valuable information about the target genes necessary for the successful breeding of rice cultivars resistant to bacterial blight.

Keywords: Ac/Ds; chromosomal deletion; receptor-like kinase; broad-spectrum resistance; rice

# 1. Introduction

Rice is an important crop that feeds more than 50% of the world's population. Rice bacterial blast (BB) caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) is a serious disease that severely threatens yield production. In the 1980s, large outbreaks of the disease were frequent [1]. The disease has been effectively controlled with the application of resistance genes such as *Xa3/Xa26* and *Xa4* during the breeding process [2,3]. More than 40 resistance genes have been identified to date and 11 of these genes have been successfully cloned [4,5]. These resistance genes encode different types of proteins. For example, *Xa3/Xa26, Xa4,* and *Xa21* encode receptor-like kinase; *Xa13, Xa25,* and *Xa41* encode sugar and are eventually exported as a transporter (SWEET); *Xa10, Xa23,* and *Xa27* encode executor proteins; and *Xa1* and *Xa5* encode other types of proteins [6].

*Xa3/Xa26, Xa4,* and *Xa21* encoding receptor-like kinase are involved in PAMP- Triggered Immunity (PTI) [3,7,8]. Both *Xa3/Xa26* and *Xa21* confer broad-spectrum resistance to various *Xoo* races [8,9]. *Xa26* was first identified in the rice *indica* variety Minghui 63 [9]. It is the same gene as *Xa3* identified in the *japonica* variety Wase Aaikolu 3 [7]. XA3/XA26 interacts with somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase 2 (OsSERK2) and triosephosphate isomerase 1.1 (OsTPI1.1) to further regulate rice resistance to *Xoo*. Suppression of *OsTPI1.1* 



Citation: Mei, Q.; Fu, Y.W.; Li, T.M.; Xuan, Y.H. *Ac/Ds*-Induced Receptor-like Kinase Genes Deletion Provides Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Bacterial Blight in Rice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 4561. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms23094561

Academic Editors: Yongmei Bao and Shimin Zuo

Received: 8 April 2022 Accepted: 18 April 2022 Published: 20 April 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). in rice weakens its resistance to Xoo [10]. Similar to the role of OsTPI1.1, OsSERK2 positively regulates the rice resistance via the interaction with XA3/XA26 [11]. XA21 originated from Oryza longistaminata, is a transmembrane immune receptor that responds to sulfated derivatives from Xoo, and induces XA21-mediated immunity X (RaxX) in rice [12]. While Xa21-mediated resistance is not sustained throughout the entire growing season, the rice plants achieve full resistance only at the adult stage [13]. The XA21/RaxX interaction fits the "gene-for-gene relationship" theory, like plants without XA21 that are susceptible to Xoo strains even when RaxX is produced. Furthermore, rice plants that harbor XA21 in the genome also fail to respond to Xoo strains without RaxX production [14-17]. Xa4 encodes a kinase, which belongs to the subfamily of receptor-like kinases (RLKs), which are localized on the cell wall. Unlike Xa3/Xa26 and Xa21, Xa4 is a race-specific resistance gene to Xoo and strengthens the cell wall during the entire growing season of rice [3,18]. Xa4 is one of the most widely used genes in *Xoo* resistance breeding in rice, since it does not compromise yield production [3]. Incompatible interactions of rice-Xoo that induce Xa4 expression further increase cellulose synthase (CesA) levels to strengthen the cell wall, leading to X00 resistance. In addition, Xa4 induces the production of phytoalexins sakuranetin and momilactone A to inhibit Xoo [19–21].

Although there have been many resistance-breeding studies over recent years, complete control of the disease remains a challenge. One important reason for the disease outbreaks may be due to the production of new toxic effectors or the loss of avirulence (Avr) function of the effector belonging to *Xoo*, which can further cause the loss of resistance of a previously resistant cultivar [22,23]. The phenomenon has been reported that, due to the large-scale cultivation of rice varieties with a single resistance background, selection pressure was increased, thus further inducing *Xoo* mutation and breakthrough variety resistance [24,25]. The polymerization of resistance genes is an effective strategy for disease resistance breeding, however, it is time-consuming. The discovery of broad-spectrum resistance genes to multiple races and elucidation of their resistance mechanisms can provide resources and a theoretical basis for disease control.

In rice, 29% of all predicted 37,544 genes are family genes clustered on the genome (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project, 2005). However, the evolutionary significance and function of these family genes remain largely unknown. Due to the redundant function of these family genes, mutation of a single gene has often failed to generate an identifiable phenotype, making gene function studies challenging. The *Ac/Ds* system [26] was put forward as an excellent tool for functional studies and germplasm innovation. The maize *Ac* (*Activator*) element encodes a transposase, which catalyzes the transposition of *Ds* (*Dissociation*) elements. In general, the transposition results in the excision of the element from a donor site and insertion into a target site. However, recognition of the 5' and 3' ends of different *Ac/Ds* elements by Ac transposase could induce alternative transposition events, including deletions, duplications, inversions, and other sequence rearrangements [27–29]. In addition, the transposition of *Ac/Ds* preferentially occurs in the genic regions, which would shuffle the coding and regulatory sequences, and thereby generate new genes [30]. The frequency of transposon-induced chromosomal rearrangements increases by at least three times than found in natural populations of maize regenerated via tissue culture [26].

In this study, *Ac/Ds*-induced chromosomal deletions at RLK locus were identified. Among the deletion mutants, *RLK* (19–22) mutant #29 with four *RLKs* deletions exhibited broad-spectrum resistance to *Xoo* races. PR genes and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were largely induced in the #29 mutant. Genetic analysis indicated that *RLK20*, *RLK21*, *RLK22* have redundant functions in regulating the lesion mimic phenotype of the #29 mutant. Furthermore, RLK20 was identified to interact with RBOHB. Compared to the wild-type plants, the #29 mutant plants were more, while #29/*RLK20* ox plants were less, susceptible to MV, which is an ROS inducer. Furthermore, co-expression of *RBOHB* and *RLK20* reduced RBOHB-promoted H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generation. These results indicated that the RLKs negatively regulate rice broad-spectrum resistance to multiple races of *Xoo* by controlling the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and PR gene levels. These results provide useful information for using the *Ac/Ds* system to study clustered gene families in plants through the identification of the RLK functions in rice defense.

#### 2. Results

# 2.1. A Pair of Ds Elements Generate Diverse Chromosomal Rearrangements

The amount of various family genes that display redundant function clustering at the chromosomes hampers the study of gene function in rice. *Ac/Ds* transposable elements generate chromosomal rearrangements including deletions, inversions, and duplications via the alternative transposition mechanism in rice [29]. To study the function of the redundant family genes, many chromosome fragment rearrangement/deletion mutants induced by the *Ac/Ds* system were developed. The T-DNA provided for transposase was constructed containing the *CaMV 35S* promoter to drive the *Ac* cDNA. Another T-DNA was constructed containing a modified *Ds* element (Figure 1a). A schematic diagram of the mechanism of a pair of closely located *Ds*-induced deletion/rearrangements and homologous recombination on chromosomes is presented in Figure 1 b–e. The mechanism was analyzed in detail in a previous study [28].



**Figure 1.** Models for transposon *Ac/Ds*-induced chromosomal rearrangements. (**a**) Models of *Ac* and *Ds* T-DNA vectors and the *OsRLG5::Ds* allele. (**b**) Models of sister chromatid transposition-deletion/duplication. (**c**) Models of single chromatid transposition-inversion/deletion I. (**d**) Models of single chromatid transposition-deletion II. (**e**) Models of the homologous recombination of the chromatid.

The 3' and 5' ends from two different *Ds* elements were re-inserted into the *OsRLG1*-36 region after being cut by the *Ac* transposase, which is known to be the alternative transposition [29]. *OsRLG1*-36 homologous genes encoding receptor-like kinases clustered on the short arm of rice chromosome 1. One line, *OsRLG5::DS*, was isolated by screening the transformed rice plants. This line possessed a single copy *Ds* insertion in the promoter region of OsRLG5 (Receptor Like Kinase Gene 5) (Figure 1a), which is related to *Leaf rust resistance 10* (*Lr10*).

### 2.2. Ac/Ds-Induced RLK Deletion Mutants Exhibited Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Xoo

In a previous study, we identified a *Ds* element at the *RLK19/RLG5* locus. We found that this *RLK* family consists of 36 members and contains a cluster located on chromosome 1 [29]. To test the *RLK* family function, two *Ds* elements closely located at the *RLK19* locus were first generated and the large fragment deletions with one to 11 *RLKs* deletions were further identified. Among them, the deletion mutants with a loss of eight and 11 *RLKs* exhibited lesion mimic symptoms. In this study, the deletion lines were further analyzed and the #29 mutant with the *RLK19*-22 deletion was identified (Figure 2a). The #29 mutant displayed lesion mimic symptoms (Figure 2b). To analyze whether the #29 mutant had the characteristics of autoimmunity, mutant plants were first inoculated with five different *Xoo* races (PXO61, PXO71, PXO79, PXO86, PXO99). The lesion lengths were measured two weeks after the inoculation and the results demonstrated that the #29 mutant plants exhibited high and broad-spectrum resistance to *Xoo* (Figure 2c,d).



**Figure 2.** The #29 mutant plants have broad resistance to *Xoo.* (**a**) The #29 mutant was generated by the *Ac/Ds* system. WT, wild-type plants (Dongjin). (**b**) The #29 mutant and wild-type plant (Dongjin). (**c**) The leaves of #29 and wild-type plant after inoculation with *Xoo* strains (PXO61, PXO86, PXO79, PXO71 and PXO99). (**d**) Lesion length of wild-type plant and the #29 mutant after inoculation of *Xoo* strains (PXO61, PXO86, PXO79, PXO71 and PXO99). The lesion length in wild-type and the #29 plants was calculated. Data indicates average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n > 6). \*\*, p < 0.01.

#### 2.3. RLK20, RLK21, and RLK22 Regulate the Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Xoo in Rice

DAB staining showed that the level of  $H_2O_2$  was significantly higher in the #29 mutants compared to the wild-type plants (Figure 3a). In addition, the qRT-PCR results showed that relative expression levels of PR genes (*PR1a* and *PBZ1*) were significantly induced in #29 compared to those of the wild-type (Figure 3b). To investigate which RLK regulates lesion mimic and defense against Xoo in the #29 mutants, RLK19, RLK20, RLK21, or RLK22 genes were individually expressed using the non-specific promoter 35S in the #29 mutant plants. The reconstruction of *RLK20*, *RLK21*, or *RLK22* all complemented the mutant phenotype, with *RLK19* proving to be the exception (Figure 3c). Leaves of the four kinds of transgenic complementary plants were inoculated with PXO86. The results showed that #29/RLK20 ox, #29/RLK21 ox, and #29/RLK22 ox plants were susceptible to PXO86, similar to the wild-type plants (Figure 3d). The #29/RLK19 ox plants, however, were resistant to PXO86. qRT-PCR was performed to detect the gene levels in the complementation plants. The qRT-PCR results demonstrated that RLK19, RLK20, RLK21, and RLK22 were highly expressed in leaves, while no transcripts were detected in the #29 mutant plants (Figure 3e). The expression levels of *PR1a* and *PBZ1* in #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK21* ox, and #29/RLK22 ox plants were similar to the wild-type plants (Figure 3f). The #29/RLK19 ox

plants, however, demonstrated significantly higher expression compared to the wild-type. These results suggest that *RLK19*, *20*, and *21* are required for the lesion mimic and bacterial resistance in the #29 mutant plants.



**Figure 3.** *RLK20*, *21*, and *22* can rescue the lesion mimic symptoms of the #29 mutant plant. (a) DAB staining of the #29 mutant and wild-type leaves. (b) The relative expression levels of *PR1a* and *PBZ1* in WT (wild-type plants) and the #29 mutant plants. Data indicates the average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n > 6). \*\*, p < 0.01 (c) The leaves of the WT (wild-type) plant, parent (plants with two *Ds* do not have alternative transposition on chromosome), #29/*RLK19* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK21* ox and #29/*RLK22* ox plants. #29/*RLK19* ox, #29/*RLK21* ox and #29/*RLK22* ox indicate over-expression of *RLK19*, *20*, *21*, and *22* in the #29 mutant. (d) Lesion length on leaves after inoculation with PXO86. Data indicates average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n = 10). The letters a and b denote significant differences. p < 0.01. (e) The relative expression levels of *RLK19*, *20*, *21*, and *22* in #29/*RLK19* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK21* ox and #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK21* ox and #29/*RLK19* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox and #29/*RLK20* ox indicate over-expression of *RLK19*, *20*, *21*, and *22* in the #29 mutant. (d) Lesion length on leaves after inoculation with PXO86. Data indicates average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n = 10). The letters a and b denote significant differences. p < 0.01. (e) The relative expression levels of *RLK19*, *20*, *21*, and *22* in #29/*RLK19* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK20* ox, #29/*RLK22* ox plants, respectively. Data indicates average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n > 6). \*\*, p < 0.01 (f) Relative expression levels of *PR1a* and *PBZ1* in WT, #29/*RLK19*, #29/*RLK20*, #29/*RLK21*, and #29/*RLK22* OX plants. Data indicates the average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n > 6). The letters a and b denote significant differences. p < 0.01.

#### 2.4. RLK20 Interacts with RBOHB to Modulate ROS Generation

To analyze the function of RLKs, RLK20 was selected as bait for the isolation of the interacting proteins via a yeast-two hybrid (Y2H) screening. Y2H was performed to isolate the interacting protein using the kinase domain of RLK20. Among more than 20 interactors screened, one interactor was RBOHB (Figure 4a). Sequencing of the AD-RBOHB clone

identified an RBOHB fragment that contained only the N-terminal cytosolic part of the protein. To further examine the RLK20 and RBOHB interaction, the full-length RBOHB and RLK20 were analyzed in the bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) system. The results showed that RLK20 and RBOHB interacted at the plasma membrane (Figure 4b). Since *RBOHB* encodes NADPH oxidase, which catalyzes ROS production, the ROS levels in #29/*RLK20 ox*, #29 mutant, and wild-type leaves were tested using a 1µM MV treatment. The results indicated that the #29 mutant was more, while #29/*RLK20 OX* was less, sensitive to MV compared to wild-type plants (Figure 4c). Expression of *RBOHB* induced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation, while co-expression of *RBOHB* and *RLK20* reduced *RBOHB*-promoted H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation (Figure 4d). These results indicated that RLK20 interacts with and inhibits RBOHB to reduce ROS generation.



**Figure 4.** RLK20 interact with RBOHB regulating ROS production. (**a**) Screening of RLK20 interacting protein by the yeast two-hybrid system. (**b**) Colocalization of RLK20 and RBOBH in tobacco leaves by BiFC. (**c**) The leaves of the #29 mutant, #29/*RLK20 ox*, and wild-type plants after MV treatment. (**d**) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in *RBOHB*-transformed or *RBOHB/RLK20*-transformed tobacco leaves after being treated with MV. Data indicates the average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) (n > 6). The letters a, b, c, and d denote significant differences. p < 0.05.

# 3. Discussion

Rice bacterial blight seriously threatens yield production [1]. Resistance breeding is an economically and eco-friendly way to protect crops from disease. A single resistance gene can easily induce genetic mutations in the pathogen due to the widespread growing regions of rice varieties, leading to the loss of resistance in rice varieties. The discovery of resistance genes and functional studies are the basis of durable disease control. The rice genome sequencing data demonstrate that 29% of the genes are predicted to be organized in clustered gene families (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project 2005), posing a challenge in the examination of the functions of the gene families. Furthermore, functional dissection or annotation of these clustered gene families may be of significance for use in future breeding.

Previously, we identified rice plants with eight receptor-like gene (RLK19-26) deletions (from a clustered gene family consisting of 36 RLKs), by a pair of closely located Ds transposable elements, exhibiting lesion mimic symptoms [26]. To further investigate which *RLK* was responsible for the lesion mimic symptoms, more deletion lines were isolated to identify the chromosomal regions. Eventually, the #29 deletion mutant showed the lesion mimic symptom was isolated. Similar to other lesion mimic mutants reported [31–35], the growth of #29 was seriously inhibited. Further analysis identified that four RLKs (RLK19-22) were deleted in the #29 mutant. Furthermore, RLK19 shared a 39% sequence similarity with barley Lr10 [29], a leaf rust-resistant gene, implying its potential function in plant defense. The inoculation of five different races of Xoo strains demonstrated that the #29 plants were broad-spectrum resistant mutants. These results are similar to a previous report that identifies the broad-spectrum resistance symptoms of lesion mimic mutants [34,36]. These mutants have characteristics of chlorophyll degradation, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation and apoptosis, which affect growth and development [33,34,36,37]. Furthermore, the individual *RLK* functions in the #29 mutants were analyzed by the overexpression of each *RLK* in the #29 background to ensure that the lesion mimic phenotype was caused by the loss of *RLKs*. Reconstruction of *RLKs* rescued the lesion mimic phenotype of the #29 mutant, while the *RLK19* did not rescue the mutant phenotype, indicating that three *RLKs* (*RLK20-22*) negatively regulate rice broad-spectrum resistance.

A previous study reported that PR gene expression levels and  $H_2O_2$  content are significantly higher in the lesion mimic mutant compared to wild-type plants [34,38,39]. Similar to other lesion mimic mutants, PR genes (PBZ1 and PR1b) expression levels and H2O2 content was significantly higher in the #29 mutants compared to wild-type plants. Interestingly, further yeast-two hybrid screening using RLK20 kinase domain as bait, identified that RBOHB (a ROS biogenesis enzyme) interacts with RLK20. MV (ROS inducer) treatment and subsequent DAB staining results showed that the #29 mutant contained more, while #29/RLK20 ox contained less H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> compared to wild-type plants. These results suggest that RLK20 may interact with RBOHB to inhibit its function. To further confirm this hypothesis, RLK20 and RBOHB were expressed in tobacco leaves, and the  $H_2O_2$  level was monitored. The results showed that RLK20 expression inhibited RBOHB-mediated ROS production, implying that RLK20 may phosphorylate RBOHB to inhibit ROS generation. Previous studies demonstrated that the calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) and Rac/ROP small GTPase Rac1 interact with RBOHB to activate ROS production [40,41]. RLKs may inhibit CDPK or Rac1 binding to RBOHB to reduce ROS production. However, further studies are required to explore the function of RLKs in ROS production. Alternatively, the loss of RLK20-22 may activate RBOHB-mediated ROS production to highly accumulate the ROS, by which cell death and subsequent lesion mimic symptom were produced. Further studies are required to elucidate this issue.

Isolation and utilization of resistance-related genes is an efficient way to control the disease. However, technical limitations and the complexity of the plant genome made the resistant gene isolation task even more difficult. Diverse genetic approaches have been developed, which significantly accelerate the speed of functional genomic analysis. Due to the functional redundancy, elucidation of the clustered family gene functions is still challenging. The current study analyzed the clustered RLK family functions by *Ds*-induced chromosomal deletions and proposed the potential for using *Ac/Ds*-induced deletions as a tool for future investigations of clustered gene family functions. Taken together, we identified the RLK functions in rice broad-spectrum resistance providing target genes for future resistant cultivar breeding.

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Tissue Culture Regeneration and Transgenic Plant Generation

The *Ac* and *Ds* gene trap cassettes were developed according to previously published methodology [42]. *Ac* and *Ds* elements were cloned into a T-DNA vector pSB11 (Figure 1a) and transformed into LBA4404 cells [29]. The tissue culture regeneration was conducted

according to previously described methods [31,43]. Briefly, seeds were hulled and sterilized with 0.6%  $H_2O_2$ . Tissue culture media were used to produce plantlets. The regenerated plants were transplanted into bottles with solid 0.5× MS medium. The plants were then transferred to the greenhouse at 28 °C.

For the functional validation of each of the *RLK19*, 20, 21, and 22 genes, the entire ORFs were cloned and connected to the *pCAMBIA1381-Ubi* vector. The recombination vectors were then transformed into the rice cultivar Dongjin via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated methods [44]. Primers designed by Primer Premier 5 for genes cloning were as follows in Table 1:

Gene	LOC Number	Direction	Sequence
RLK19	LOC_Os01g02570	Forward	AAGCTTATGGCGATTCCTGGAGC
		Reverse	GGTACCCTAGTTACTAGCGAATTCAATTG
RLK20	LOC_Os01g02580	Forward	GAGCTCATGGCGATCCCTGGTTCG
		Reverse	GTTAACTCACTCATCCTCCTCTAAGATTTCA
RLK21	LOC_Os01g02590	Forward	AAGCTTATGGCGATTCATGGTGTGTTTC
	LOC_0501g02590	Reverse	GGTACCTCAACAGAAACCTGCAATCATCTTC
RLK22	LOC_Os01g02600	Forward	AAGCTTATGGACTTCACCAACCTTCTTATCA
		Reverse	GTTAACCTAAATCACAAGTTGATTTTGAGACG

Table 1. Primers used for genes clone.

#### 4.2. Rice Cultivation and Xoo Inoculation

Top second leaves of two-month-old rice plant were inoculated with five *Xoo* strains (PXO61, PXO71, PXO79, PXO86, PXO99, from Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences) using the leaf-cutting method according to a previous report [45]. The inoculated plants were stored on a plastic-covered shelf to keep moist for three days. To activate the *Xoo* strains before inoculation, the strains were inoculated on a potato semisynthetic agar (PSA) plate at 28 °C. The mature colony was further inoculated in 20 mL fluid PSA medium in a 50 mL centrifuge tube, shaken at 220 rpm until the bacterial suspension was ready for use when the OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.5–1.0. The length of the disease spots from the top second leaves from six different plants was measured two weeks after the inoculation.

# 4.3. Determination of $H_2O_2$ Content

The entire ORF of *RLK20* and *RBOHB* were separately cloned into the pCAMBIA1302. *RBOHB* and *RLK20* + *RBOHB* were next injected into tobacco leaf via *A. tumefaciens*mediated transformation. The tobacco leaves from different treatment groups were treated with 1  $\mu$ M MV (Sigma, St Louis, USA) or sterile water (negative control) for 4 h. The leaves treated with MV were rinsed with sterile water, then the excess moisture on the leaves was absorbed by filter paper. The hydrogen peroxide levels of the leaves were determined according to the previously described methods [46]. Leaf tissues (1 g) were homogenized in an ice bath with 10 mL 0.1% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The homogenate was first centrifuged at 12,000× g for 15 min and then 1 mL of the supernatant was removed to 1 mL of 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 2 mL of 1 M (w/v) KI. The blank control consisted of 0.1% TCA without leaf extract. After the reaction was developed for one hour in darkness, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 390 nm by spectrophotometer. The content of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was calculated using a standard curve prepared with known concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

#### 4.4. qRT-PCR Analysis

Rice leaves were collected for total RNA extraction using TRIZol reagent (Takara, Dalian, China). For qRT-PCR, RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Takara, Dalian, China) and the relative expression levels of different genes were detected using Ssofast EvaGreen Supermix (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA, USA) with Mx3005P (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) [47]. Three technical replicates for each sample

in the experiment were performed. Ubiquitin was used as an internal reference gene. All qRT-PCR primers in Table 2.

Table 2. Primers used f	for qRT-PCR.
-------------------------	--------------

Gene	LOC Number	Direction	Sequences
PR1a	LOC_Os07g03710	Forward	GTGGGTGTCGGAGAAGCAGTG
		Reverse	CGGCGAGTAGTTGCAGGTGAT
PBZ1	LOC_Os12g36880	Forward	TGGTCCGGGCACCATCTA
		Reverse	CGAGCACATCCGACTTTAGG
RLK19	LOC_Os01g02570	Forward	TTGTATCAGACAGGGCATTA
		Reverse	CCAGCCATCTCAAGTAGC
RLK20	LOC_Os01g02580	Forward	ACGCAATTACTGGAAGATAA
		Reverse	TGCCTGGAAGGAGAACAC
RLK21	LOC_Os01g02590	Forward	CCGATGACAAGGCTACAA
		Reverse	GAAGAGGGCAACTGCTAG
RLK22	LOC_Os01g02600	Forward	GTGAGTGGGAGGAGGAAC
		Reverse	GCACCATAACGCTACAATA

# 4.5. DAB Staining Assay

To detect  $H_2O_2$  levels, rice leaves were treated with 1  $\mu$ M MV or sterile water (negative control) for 24 h and stained with diaminobenzene (DAB) according to a previously published method [48]. The leaves were cut into 2 cm pieces and placed in DAB solution and incubated in a growth chamber with 25 °C for 8 h. The leaf sections were examined by light microscopy. The areas where  $H_2O_2$  production occurred were reddish-brown.

### 4.6. Yeast Two-Hybrid Screening

The yeast two-hybrid screening was conducted according to the Library Construction and Screening Kits (Clontech, Dalian, China) instructions. The RLK20 kinase domain sequences were cloned into pGBKT7 as a bait vector. *RLK20*-pGBKT7 and cDNA-pGADT7-Rec or lam-pGADT7 (negative control) were co-transformed into Y2H gold yeast strain for library screening. Yeast transformants were grown on synthetic dropout-Leu-Trp-His-Ade plates.

#### 4.7. BiFC Assay

*RLK20* and RBOHB were cloned into PXNGW and PXCGW, respectively [49]. For the Bi-FC assay, *RLK20*-nYFP and *RBOHB*-cCFP or cCFP (negative control) were transformed into tobacco leaves via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation [44]. Fluorescence of tobacco leaf was observed using a fluorescence microscope Olympus X1000. The entire *RBOHB* ORF was synthesized by Sangon Biotech company (China). The primers used for *RLK20*-nYFP were as follows: Forward, 5'-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTC ATGGCGATCCCTGGTTCG-3'; Reverse, 5'-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGG TGCTCATCCTCCTCTAAGATTTCA.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Y.H.X.; methodology, Y.H.X. and Q.M.; software, Q.M.; validation, Y.W.F., T.M.L. and Q.M.; formal analysis, Y.W.F.; resources, Y.H.X.; writing—original draft preparation, Q.M.; writing—review and editing, Y.H.X. and Q.M; visualization, T.M.L.; project administration, Y.H.X.; funding acquisition, Y.H.X. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Nature Science Foundation of Liaoning (2020-YQ-05), Nature Science Foundation of China (32072406).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Niño-Liu, D.O.; Ronald, P.C.; Bogdanove, A.J. Xanthomonas oryzae pathovars: Model pathogens of a model crop. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2006**, *7*, 303–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Deng, Y.; Liu, H.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Li, X.; Wang, S. Exploring the mechanism and efficient use of a durable gene-mediated resistance to bacterial blight disease in rice. *Mol. Breed.* **2018**, *38*, 18. [CrossRef]
- 3. Hu, K.M.; Cao, J.B.; Zhang, J.; Xia, F.; Ke, Y.G.; Zhang, H.T.; Xie, W.Y.; Liu, H.B.; Cui, Y.; Cao, Y.L.; et al. Improvement of multiple agronomic traits by a disease resistance gene via cell wall reinforcement. *Nat. Plants* **2017**, *3*, 17009. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Busungu, C.; Taura, S.; Sakagami, J.I.; Ichitani, K. Identification and linkage analysis of a new rice bacterial blight resistance gene from XM14, a mutant line from IR24. *Breed. Sci.* 2016, *66*, 636–645. [CrossRef]
- 5. Ji, Z.; Wang, C.; Zhao, K. Rice Routes of Countering Xanthomonas oryzae. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, *19*, 3008. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, N.; Yan, J.; Liang, Y.; Shi, Y.; He, Z.; Wu, Y.; Zeng, Q.; Liu, X.; Peng, J. Resistance Genes and their Interactions with Bacterial Blight/Leaf Streak Pathogens (Xanthomonas oryzae) in Rice (Oryza sativa L.)—An Updated Review. *Rice* 2020, 13, 3. [CrossRef]
- Xiang, Y.; Cao, Y.; Xu, C.; Li, X.; Wang, S. Xa3, conferring resistance for rice bacterial blight and encoding a receptor kinase-like protein, is the same as Xa26. TAG. Theor. Appl. Genet. Theor. Und Angew. Genet. 2006, 113, 1347–1355. [CrossRef]
- Song, W.-Y.; Wang, G.-L.; Chen, L.-L.; Kim, H.-S.; Pi, L.-Y.; Holsten, T.; Gardner, J.; Wang, B.; Zhai, W.-X.; Zhu, L.-H.; et al. A Receptor Kinase-Like Protein Encoded by the Rice Disease Resistance Gene, *Xa21. Science* 1995, 270, 1804–1806. [CrossRef]
- Sun, X.; Cao, Y.; Yang, Z.; Xu, C.; Li, X.; Wang, S.; Zhang, Q. Xa26, a gene conferring resistance to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in rice, encodes an LRR receptor kinase-like protein. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* 2004, *37*, 517–527. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Cao, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Li, X.; Wang, S. A Cytosolic Triosephosphate Isomerase Is a Key Component in XA3/XA26-Mediated Resistance. *Plant Physiol* 2018, 178, 923–935. [CrossRef]
- Chen, X.; Zuo, S.; Schwessinger, B.; Chern, M.; Canlas, P.E.; Ruan, D.; Zhou, X.; Wang, J.; Daudi, A.; Petzold, C.J.; et al. An XA21-Associated Kinase (OsSERK2) Regulates Immunity Mediated by the XA21 and XA3 Immune Receptors. *Mol. Plant* 2014, 7, 874–892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Luu, D.D.; Joe, A.; Chen, Y.; Parys, K.; Bahar, O.; Pruitt, R.; Chan, L.J.G.; Petzold, C.J.; Long, K.; Adamchak, C.; et al. Biosynthesis and secretion of the microbial sulfated peptide RaxX and binding to the rice XA21 immune receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 8525–8534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Century, K.S.; Lagman, R.A.; Adkisson, M.; Morlan, J.; Tobias, R.; Schwartz, K.; Smith, A.; Love, J.; Ronald, P.C.; Whalen, M.C. Developmental control of Xa21-mediated disease resistance in rice. *Plant J.* **1999**, *20*, 231–236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pruitt, R.N.; Schwessinger, B.; Joe, A.; Thomas, N.; Liu, F.; Albert, M.; Robinson, M.R.; Chan, L.J.G.; Luu, D.D.; Chen, H.; et al. The rice immune receptor XA21 recognizes a tyrosine-sulfated protein from a Gram-negative bacterium. *Sci. Adv.* 2015, *1*, e1500245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schwessinger, B.; Li, X.; Ellinghaus, T.L.; Chan, L.J.; Wei, T.; Joe, A.; Thomas, N.; Pruitt, R.; Adams, P.D.; Chern, M.S.; et al. A second-generation expression system for tyrosine-sulfated proteins and its application in crop protection. *Integr. Biol. Quant. Biosci. Nano Macro* 2016, *8*, 542–545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Wei, T.; Chern, M.S.; Liu, F.R.; Ronald, P.C. Suppression of bacterial infection in rice by treatment with a sulfated peptide. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2016**, *17*, 1493–1498. [CrossRef]
- 17. Pruitt, R.N.; Joe, A.; Zhang, W.G.; Feng, W.; Stewart, V.; Schwessinger, B.; Dinneny, J.R.; Ronald, P.C. A microbially derived tyrosine-sulfated peptide mimics a plant peptide hormone. *New Phytol.* **2017**, *215*, 725–736. [CrossRef]
- 18. Leach, J.E.; Vera Cruz, C.M.; Bai, J.; Leung, H. Pathogen fitness penalty as a predictor of durability of disease resistance genes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **2001**, *39*, 187–224. [CrossRef]
- 19. Liu, H.B.; Li, X.H.; Xiao, J.H.; Wang, S.P. A convenient method for simultaneous quantification of multiple phytohormones and metabolites: Application in study of rice-bacterium interaction. *Plant Methods* **2012**, *8*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Ke, Y.G.; Liu, H.B.; Li, X.H.; Xiao, J.H.; Wang, S.P. Rice OsPAD4 functions differently from Arabidopsis AtPAD4 in host- pathogen interactions. *Plant J.* 2014, 78, 619–631. [CrossRef]
- Padmavati, M.; Sakthivel, N.; Thara, K.V.; Reddy, A.R. Differential sensitivity of rice pathogens to growth inhibition by flavonoids. *Phytochemistry* 1997, 46, 499–502. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zhang, J.; Yin, Z.; White, F. TAL effectors and the executor R genes. Front. Plant Sci 2015, 6, 641. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jacques, M.A.; Arlat, M.; Boulanger, A.; Boureau, T.; Carrère, S.; Cesbron, S.; Chen, N.W.; Cociancich, S.; Darrasse, A.; Denancé, N.; et al. Using Ecology, Physiology, and Genomics to Understand Host Specificity in Xanthomonas. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2016, 54, 163–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mew, T.W.; Vera Cruz, C.M.; Medalla, E.S. Changes in race frequency of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in response to rice cultivars planted in the Philippines. *Plant Dis.* 1992, 76, 1029–1032. [CrossRef]
- Mundt, C.C. Durable resistance: A key to sustainable management of pathogens and pests. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2014, 27, 446–455. [CrossRef]
- Xuan, Y.H.; Zhang, J.; Peterson, T.; Han, C.-D. Ac/Ds-induced chromosomal rearrangements in rice genomes. *Mob. Genet. Elem.* 2012, 2, 67–71. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zhang, J.; Peterson, T. Genome rearrangements by nonlinear transposons in maize. Genetics 1999, 153, 1403–1410. [CrossRef]
- 28. Zhang, J.; Peterson, T. Transposition of reversed Ac element ends generates chromosome rearrangements in maize. *Genetics* 2004, 167, 1929–1937. [CrossRef]

- 29. Xuan, Y.H.; Piao, H.L.; Je, B.I.; Park, S.J.; Park, S.H.; Huang, J.; Zhang, J.B.; Peterson, T.; Han, C.-d. Transposon Ac/Ds-induced chromosomal rearrangements at the rice OsRLG5 locus. *Nucleic. Acids Res.* **2011**, *39*, e149. [CrossRef]
- 30. Zhang, J.; Zhang, F.; Peterson, T. Transposition of reversed Ac element ends generates novel chimeric genes in maize. *PLoS Genet* **2006**, *2*, e164. [CrossRef]
- Feuillet, C.; Schachermayr, G.; Keller, B. Molecular cloning of a new receptor-like kinase gene encoded at the Lr10 disease resistance locus of wheat. *Plant J.* 1997, 11, 45–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yong, Y.; Qiujun, L.; Xinyu, C.; Weifang, L.; Yuwen, F.; Zhengjin, X.; Yuanhua, W.; Xuming, W.; Jie, Z.; Chulang, Y.; et al. Characterization and Proteomic Analysis of Novel Rice Lesion Mimic Mutant with Enhanced Disease Resistance. *Rice Sci.* 2021, 28, 466–478. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, J.; Liu, P.; Li, C.; Wang, Y.; Guo, L.; Jiang, G.; Zhai, W. LMM5.1 and LMM5.4, two eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1A-like gene family members, negatively affect cell death and disease resistance in rice. *J. Genet. Genom.* 2017, 44, 107–118. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.B.; Feng, B.-H.; Wang, H.-M.; Xu, X.; Shi, Y.-F.; He, Y.; Chen, Z.; Sathe, A.P.; Shi, L.; Wu, J.-L. A substitution mutation in OsPELOTA confers bacterial blight resistance by activating the salicylic acid pathway. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 2018, 60, 160–172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yin, Z.; Chen, J.; Zeng, L.; Goh, M.; Leung, H.; Khush, G.S.; Wang, G.L. Characterizing Rice Lesion Mimic Mutants and Identifying a Mutant with Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Rice Blast and Bacterial Blight. *Mol. Plant Microbe. Interact.* 2000, 13, 869–876. [CrossRef]
- Gao, Y.; Xiang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Cao, Y.; Wang, B.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, C.; Jiang, M.; Duan, W.; Chen, D.; et al. Disruption of OsPHD1, Encoding a UDP-Glucose Epimerase, Causes JA Accumulation and Enhanced Bacterial Blight Resistance in Rice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 751. [CrossRef]
- 37. Hu, B.; Zhou, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Sun, B.; Zhou, F.; Yin, C.; Ma, W.; Chen, H.; Lin, Y. Repressed OsMESL expression triggers reactive oxygen species-mediated broad-spectrum disease resistance in rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2021**, *19*, 1511–1522. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, X.; Qiu, T.; Feng, H.; Yin, C.; Zheng, X.; Yang, J.; Peng, Y.-L.; Zhao, W. A novel glycine-rich domain protein, GRDP1, functions as a critical feedback regulator for controlling cell death and disease resistance in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2020, 72, 608–622. [CrossRef]
- 39. Nagano, M.; Ishikawa, T.; Fujiwara, M.; Fukao, Y.; Kawano, Y.; Kawai-Yamada, M.; Shimamoto, K. Plasma membrane microdomains are essential for Rac1-RbohB/H-mediated immunity in rice. *Plant Cell* **2016**, *28*, 1966–1983. [CrossRef]
- Wong, H.L.; Pinontoan, R.; Hayashi, K.; Tabata, R.; Yaeno, T.; Hasegawa, K.; Kojima, C.; Yoshioka, H.; Iba, K.; Kawasaki, T. Regulation of rice NADPH oxidase by binding of Rac GTPase to its N-terminal extension. *Plant Cell* 2007, 19, 4022–4034. [CrossRef]
- Chin, H.G.; Choe, M.S.; Lee, S.H.; Park, S.H.; Koo, J.C.; Kim, N.Y.; Lee, J.J.; Oh, B.G.; Yi, G.H.; Kim, S.C.; et al. Molecular analysis of rice plants harboring an Ac/Ds transposable element-mediated gene trapping system. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* 1999, 19, 615–623. [CrossRef]
- Park, S.J.; Piao, H.L.; Xuan, Y.-h.; Park, S.H.; Je, B.I.; Kim, C.M.; Lee, E.J.; Park, S.H.; Ryu, B.; Lee, K.H. Analysis of intragenic Ds transpositions and excision events generating novel allelic variation in rice. *Mol. Cells Springer Sci. Bus. Media BV* 2006, 21, 284–293.
- 43. Kim, C.M.; Piao, H.L.; Park, S.J.; Chon, N.S.; Je, B.I.; Sun, B.; Park, S.H.; Park, J.Y.; Lee, E.J.; Kim, M.J. Rapid, large-scale generation of Ds transposant lines and analysis of the Ds insertion sites in rice. *Plant J.* **2004**, *39*, 252–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Gao, Y.; Zhang, C.; Han, X.; Wang, Z.Y.; Ma, L.; Yuan, D.P.; Wu, J.N.; Zhu, X.F.; Liu, J.M.; Li, D.P.; et al. Inhibition of OsSWEET11 function in mesophyll cells improves resistance of rice to sheath blight disease. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2018**, *19*, 2149–2161. [CrossRef]
- Mei, Q.; Yang, Y.; Ye, S.; Liang, W.; Wang, X.; Zhou, J.; Yu, C.; Yan, C.; Chen, J. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Induces Association of RCA with the Thylakoid Membrane to Enhance Resistance of Oryza meyeriana to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. *Plants* 2019, *8*, 351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Alexieva, V.; Sergiev, I.; Mapelli, S.; Karanov, E. The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2001**, *24*, 1337–1344. [CrossRef]
- 47. Gao, Y.; Xue, C.Y.; Liu, J.M.; He, Y.; Mei, Q.; Wei, S.; Xuan, Y.H. Sheath blight resistance in rice is negatively regulated by WRKY53 via SWEET2a activation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2021**, *585*, 117–123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Thordal-Christensen, H.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, Y.; Collinge, D.B. Subcellular localization of H2O2 in plants. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in papillae and hypersensitive response during the barley—Powdery mildew interaction. *Plant J.* **1997**, *11*, 1187–1194. [CrossRef]
- 49. Kim, T.W.; Guan, S.H.; Sun, Y.; Deng, Z.P.; Tang, W.Q.; Shang, J.X.; Sun, Y.; Burlingame, A.L.; Wang, Z.Y. Brassinosteroid signal transduction from cell-surface receptor kinases to nuclear transcription factors. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *11*, 1254–1260. [CrossRef]