



COMPUTATIONAL ANDSTRUCTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY J O U R N A L



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/csbj

## A comprehensive transcription factor and DNA-binding motif resource for the construction of gene regulatory networks in *Botrytis cinerea* and *Trichoderma atroviride*



Consuelo Olivares-Yañez<sup>a,b</sup>, Evelyn Sánchez<sup>a,c</sup>, Gabriel Pérez-Lara<sup>a,b</sup>, Aldo Seguel<sup>a,e</sup>, Pamela Y. Camejo<sup>a</sup>, Luis F. Larrondo<sup>a,e</sup>, Elena A. Vidal<sup>a,cd,\*</sup>, Paulo Canessa<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ANID – Millennium Science Initiative Program – Millennium Institute for Integrative Biology (iBio), Avda. Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 340, Santiago, Chile

<sup>b</sup> Centro de Biotecnologia Vegetal, Universidad Andres Bello, Republica 330, Santiago, Chile

<sup>c</sup> Centro de Genomica y Bioinformatica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Mayor, Camino la Pirámide 5750, Huechuraba, Santiago, Chile

<sup>d</sup> Escuela de Biotecnologia, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Mayor, Camino la Pirámide 5750, Huechuraba, Santiago, Chile

<sup>e</sup> Departamento de Genetica Molecular y Microbiologia, Facultad de Ciencias Biologicas, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Avda. Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 340, Santiago, Chile

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 August 2021 Received in revised form 11 November 2021 Accepted 11 November 2021 Available online 18 November 2021

Keywords: Botrytis cinerea Trichoderma atroviride Transcription factors Gene Regulatory Network Mycoparasitism

## $A \hspace{0.1in} B \hspace{0.1in} S \hspace{0.1in} T \hspace{0.1in} R \hspace{0.1in} A \hspace{0.1in} C \hspace{0.1in} T$

Botrytis cinerea and Trichoderma atroviride are two relevant fungi in agricultural systems. To gain insights into these organisms' transcriptional gene regulatory networks (GRNs), we generated a manually curated transcription factor (TF) dataset for each of them, followed by a GRN inference utilizing available sequence motifs describing DNA-binding specificity and global gene expression data. As a proof of concept of the usefulness of this resource to pinpoint key transcriptional regulators, we employed publicly available transcriptomics data and a newly generated dual RNA-seg dataset to build context-specific Botrytis and Trichoderma GRNs under two different biological paradigms: exposure to continuous light and Botrytis-Trichoderma confrontation assays. Network analysis of fungal responses to constant light revealed striking differences in the transcriptional landscape of both fungi. On the other hand, we found that the confrontation of both microorganisms elicited a distinct set of differentially expressed genes with changes in T. atroviride exceeding those in B. cinerea. Using our regulatory network data, we were able to determine, in both fungi, central TFs involved in this interaction response, including TFs controlling a large set of extracellular peptidases in the biocontrol agent T. atroviride. In summary, our work provides a comprehensive catalog of transcription factors and regulatory interactions for both organisms. This catalog can now serve as a basis for generating novel hypotheses on transcriptional regulatory circuits in different experimental contexts.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Living organisms constantly need to integrate external biotic and abiotic cues to adapt and survive in a changing environment. Furthermore, external signals must be integrated with internal developmental programs to generate a response. Part of this response is driven by changes at the gene expression level, where transcription factors (TFs) - among other regulatory molecules play a pivotal role. TFs are proteins characterized by the presence of one or more DNA-binding domains (DBDs) that recognize specific motifs in DNA sequences. Different experimental highthroughput approaches are used to determine the DNA binding preferences of these molecules, such as Protein Binding Microarrays (PBMs), SELEX-Seq, DAP-Seq [1–3], or ChIP-Seq. Importantly, DNA binding motifs for TFs with no experimental data can be inferred based on sequence similarity. For instance, Weirauch et al. [4] used PBMs to directly determine motifs for more than 1000 TFs over 130 species and used this data to infer motifs for 58,000 additional TFs. Information of TFs, as well as their DNA binding motifs, are collected in different databases, such as Transfac [5], JASPAR [6], HOCOMOCO [7], or CisBP [4]. In these, the DNA binding specificity of a given TF is represented as a Position Weight Matrix (PWM) that summarizes the observed frequencies within the motif of each nucleotide at each position. Therefore, these

\* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.11.012

*E-mail addresses:* elena.vidal@umayor.cl (E.A. Vidal), paulo.canessa@unab.cl (P. Canessa).

<sup>2001-0370/© 2021</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

PWMs can be used to scan genomic sequences to identify genomewide TF binding sites (TFBSs), and thus, putative target genes for the corresponding TF. This TF-target relationship is crucial for understanding transcriptional control mechanisms underlying most biological processes.

Genome-scale characterization of regulatory interactions is one of the goals of systems biology. One approach to describe the complex interactions between TFs and their target genes is by building Gene Regulatory Network (GRN) models, which are graphical representations denoting TFs and non-TF genes as nodes connected with edges depicting the regulatory interconnections [8]. Although TFBS prediction using PWMs is a valuable resource, especially for organisms with few experimental data, more sophisticated GRN inference approaches benefit from high-throughput gene expression data to derive possible regulatory interactions [9]. These approaches include statistical dependencies between gene expression patterns (as correlations or mutual information), boolean logic functions, and Bayesian or regression models, among others. Integration of TFBS knowledge and GRN modeling algorithms have allowed the reconstruction of GRNs in several species of bacteria [10,11], plants [12–14], animals [15–18], and fungi [19–24].

In fungal systems, the reconstruction of GRNs has been limited by the availability of experimentally characterized TF-target interactions and high-throughput gene expression datasets. Thus, most GRNs come from studies in the model species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Aspergillus nidulans*, and *Neurospora crassa* [25–30]. To overcome these limitations, recent studies have adopted homologybased approaches, in which TF-target relationships are inferred from experimentally validated interactions occurring between orthologous TFs, to dissect GRNs in lesser-known species such as *Ustilago maydis* and *Penicillium* spp. [23,24]. Information obtained from these fungal GRNs has allowed the identification of TFs and gene modules controlling essential fungal processes including, but not limited to, sexual reproduction, degradation of complex carbon compounds, production of mycotoxins, cell death, and stress responses.

Fungal organisms constitute one of the largest groups of plant pathogens, and the emergence of new fungicide-resistant strains is compromising human food security and wildlife biodiversity [31]. On the other hand, fungi can also benefit plant growth and productivity, improve nutrient uptake, generate plant growth regulators, boost the plant immune response, or act as biocontrollers of harmful pathogenic fungal organisms. Some fungal species, including mycorrhizae or other rhizospheric or endophytic fungi, are used as biofertilizers to promote crop productivity. However, despite the evident relevance of having reference GRNs to study organismal function and responses, to date, no genome-wide GRNs are available for fungal species with either negative or positive impact on agriculture and crop production, with only small-scale networks reported for the plant pathogen Fusarium graminareum [32,33]. Among detrimental fungal phytopathogens, the grey mold fungus Botrytis cinerea occupies a position of distinction, being ranked as the second most important fungal phytopathogen worldwide [34] while among fungal biocontroller agents, Trichoderma has been recently syndicated as the fungal genus with the greatest biocontrol potential [35].

*B. cinerea* can infect over 1000 plant species, including numerous crops. It has a predominant necrotrophic lifestyle, co-opting the host programmed cell death response to achieve infection [36]. *B. cinerea* is troublesome to control in agricultural fields due to its diverse attack modes and a broad range of hosts. Besides, it can survive as mycelia, conidia, or sclerotia under extended unfavorable periods, and the appearance of fungicide-resistant isolates has been well documented [34,37,38]. Recent investigations have provided evidence of dynamic events occurring during the progression of the infection, involving protein secretion of cell-wall degrading (CWD) enzymes, bidirectional microvesicle sRNAs exchange with plants, and time-of-the-day dependent events that impact virulence [39–43].

Biological control agents like *Trichoderma spp.*, a natural antagonist of *B. cinerea* and other fungal phytopathogens, could reduce or prevent the use of environmentally unfriendly chemical pesticides, avoiding the upsurge of new fungicide-resistant strains. *T. atroviride* is a fast-growing ascomycete that can be found in soil as free-living or associated with plants, favoring advantageous outcomes such as plant growth, strengthening abiotic stress tolerance, and enhancing resistance to pathogens [44,45]. Through mycoparasitism, antibiosis, and competition, *T. atroviride* obtains nutrients employing CWD enzymes, among diverse strategies that include antimicrobial compounds [38]. Its success as a biocontroller can also be attributed to its ability to survive in unfavorable conditions, its high reproductive capacity, efficient nutrient utilization, and a strong mycoparasitic response [44,46–49].

To leverage the molecular understanding of the events shaping transcriptional responses in both B. cinerea and T. atroviride, we constructed reference GRNs employing their latest genome assemblies. We inferred whole-genome TFBS by first compiling a manually curated TF dataset for both fungi. These networks were refined using public high-throughput gene expression data. To assess the relevance and applicability of these GRNs, and considering the major transcriptional impact of light on fungal physiology [50], we integrated gene expression datasets from B. cinerea and T. atroviride grown in constant light and darkness with our reference GRN to build light-dependent GRNs for both organisms. In addition, we carried out fungal confrontation experiments between the biocontroller agent and the phytopathogen to build confrontationdependent GRNs. These results provide both fungal communities with an unprecedented resource, facilitating a standardized strategy to formulate data-derived hypotheses.

### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Identification of transcription factors in B. cinerea and T. atroviride from proteome data

Protein sequences for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* (genome assemblies ASM83294v1 and TRIAT\_v2.0, respectively) were retrieved from EnsemblFungi [51]. The sequences were queried using InterProScan (V5.44-79) [52] to determine associated Inter-Pro and PFAM IDs. To determine proteins that correspond to TFs, a list of IPR and PFAM IDs that have been previously utilized to determine proteins that correspond to TFs was gathered from information obtained from AnimalTFDB, PlantTFDB [53;54] as well as from a previous report of fungal TF DBDs [55] (Supplementary File 1). Proteins from B. cinerea and T. atroviride containing at least one of these IDs were selected for further analysis. In parallel, a custom Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile for TF DBDs was generated (Supplementary File 2) and used to scan the proteomes using hmmsearch (HMMER V.3.3.1) [56]. Proteins selected as candidate TFs according to the InterProScan and/or hmmsearch analysis were functionally annotated using information from BLAST2GO [57] and FungiFun [58]. Finally, manual curation of the sequences was performed. This procedure consisted of a careful case-by-case revision of each protein assigned as TF, based on its BLAST2GO name and description and FungiFun information (name and GO annotation). Proteins having annotations related to enzymatic activities (e.g., dehydrogenases, kinases, acetyltransferases), proteins related to molecular processes other than transcription (e.g., DNA replication, DNA repair, splicing, translation), proteins involved in transcription control other than TFs (e.g., basal transcription factors, RNA polyadenylation factors), subunits of chromatin remodeling complexes, actin-binding proteins, RNA binding proteins, as well as centromere, histone-related, ribosomal, scaffold, transporter, and tRNA related proteins were discarded as potential TFs. All the annotations and criteria employed in each case are indicated in Supplementary File 3 (for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, respectively).

## 2.2. Position Weight Matrix (PWM) assignment describing the DNA binding preference of each TF

To assign a DNA binding motif to each identified and manuallycurated TF, the "Protein Scan" web tool at CisBP (http://cisbp.ccbr. utoronto.ca/TFTools.php) was used to query the full-length protein sequences. The highest scoring motif considered for each TF was selected. Only motifs belonging to fungal TFs were retrieved. Therefore, motifs derived from TFs of non-fungal organisms were discarded. In the case of TFs with more than one DBD, we retrieved PWMs describing both DBD preferences by searching each DBD at the "Protein Scan" web tool (Supplementary File 4).

For TF sequences with no identified motif in the CisBP "Protein Scan" web tool [4], an orthogroup classification was conducted. For this, a custom set of proteins consisting only of TFs was generated, including those from *S. cerevisiae*, *A. nidulans*, and *N. crassa* (S288C Sacce1, AspGD Aspnid1, and OR74A v2.0 Neucr2 proteomes, respectively), species that harbor the largest dataset of fungal TFs with direct experimental determination of DNA binding preferences [4]. *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* TFs were classified into different TF orthogroups by first performing an all-against-all BLASTp analysis followed by automatic orthogroup definition carried out by OrthoFinder software (v 2.4.0) [59]. Based on this classification, PWMs were assigned to this particular group of TFs.

Finally, PWMs corresponding to each assigned motif were obtained from CisBP. Each TF-PWM pair (when available) for both *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* is described in Supplementary Files 4 and 5.

## 2.3. Genome-wide mapping of TF binding sites in promoter regions

To determine putative target genes for the *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* TFs, promoter sequences for both fungi were obtained by extracting a genomic sequence of 1000 bp upstream from the transcription start site (TSS) of each gene. TSS information was obtained from gene annotation files from both fungi, available on EnsemblFungi (ASM83294v1 and TRIAT\_v2.0 for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, respectively). PWMs for each TF were then used to scan promoter sequences using the Find Individual Motif Occurrences (FIMO) tool from the MEME Suite (v 4.11.2) [60], employing default parameters (p-value < 1 × 10e<sup>-4</sup>).

## 2.4. Fungal strains and culture conditions employed in confrontation assays

Strain B05.10 of *B. cinerea* Pers. Fr. [*Botryotinia fuckeliana* (de Bary) Whetzel] was originally isolated from *Vitis vinifera* (Germany) [61], whereas strain IMI206040 of *T. atroviride* was first isolated from a plum tree in southern Sweden [62]. Both fungal strains were maintained in Petri plates containing potato dextrose agar (PDA, Becton Dickinson). Confrontation assays were performed as described [63]. Briefly, a 6 mm diameter mycelial plug of each fungus was placed on opposite sides of a PDA-containing Petri dish, allowing fungi to grow. Control plates were inoculated only with *B. cinerea* or *T. atroviride*. To facilitate mycelia harvesting, the culture media was covered with a cellophane overlay. Cultures were incubated for 3 days in Percival incubators (Percival Scientific, U.S.A.) at 20 °C in constant light (light intensity up to 100 Â  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s; wavelength 400–720 nm) until both fungi reached

each other (Supplementary Fig. 1). Constant light was used since circadian regulation and light have a significant impact on *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* physiology and interaction ([64;42], and unpublished results).

# 2.5. High-quality RNA extraction, preparation of Illumina libraries, and sequencing

Approximately 10 mg of tissue was collected from the Botrytis-Trichoderma interaction zone (Supplementary Fig. 1) or the B. cinerea and T. atroviride plates, dried, and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen mycelia were ground to powder, and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (cat n° 15596026, Invitrogen) [65] according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 ml of TRIzol reagent was added to each sample and processed as reported earlier [66]. Total RNA quantity and quality were determined using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) followed by fluorescence-based capillary electrophoresis (Fragment Analyzer; Advanced Analytical). The RNA Integrity Number (RIN) of all analyzed samples was higher than 7. Thereafter, poly-A-containing mRNA was obtained from the aforementioned total RNA. According to the manufacturer's instructions, Illumina libraries were constructed using the TruSeq Stranded RNA Sample Preparation Kit (cat n° 20020595, Illumina). Library integrity and size were assessed by fluorescence-based capillary electrophoresis. Sequencing of the libraries was carried out in a HiSeq2000 sequencer, using 150 bp Paired-End mode (Macrogen Inc., Seoul, South Korea). Three independent biological replicates were sequenced for each condition.

### 2.6. Analysis of differential gene expression

Low-quality reads and adapter sequences were filtered out from FASTQ files using BBDuk (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/) (v38.18; ktrim = r k = 23 mink = 11 hdist = 2 qtrim = rl trimq = 10 ftm = 5 maq = 15 minlength = 50 tpe tbo). Filtered reads were mapped to each fungal genome using HiSat2 [67], with default parameters. Mapped read counts for each gene were determined employing Rsubread [68]. For determining differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between control and treatment conditions, likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) were performed using DESeq2 [69]. A Benjamini-Hochberg method for multiple testing was applied to adjust p-values [70], and genes were filtered based on adjusted p-values < 0.05 and log2 (fold-change) greater than 1.

#### 2.7. Gene regulatory network construction

To generate a reference GRN for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, we combined the TF-target information obtained by FIMO with regulatory interactions predicted by GENIE3 (v 1.14.0, running in R 4.1.0), a widely used expression-based GRN inference algorithm [9]. To build the GENIE3 GRNs, we first generated a curated RNA-Seq dataset for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* from data obtained from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (Supplementary File 8). For this purpose, the entire dataset was quality-filtered using BBDuk, as mentioned above. Only samples with at least 1 million reads mapped to each fungal genome were considered for downstream analysis. Gene expression in the datasets was determined by pseudoalignment of the reads to *B. cinerea* or *T. atroviride* transcripts using Kallisto (v.0.44.0) [71] under standard settings for Single End (-single -b 100 -l 100 -s 20) or Paired-End (-b 100) reads. The gene expression matrices, including the newly generated transcriptomic data obtained from confrontation assays reported herein, were used as input for GENIE3, and regulatory interactions were generated using default settings. The reference networks contain FIMO TF-target interactions corresponding to the 20% highest scoring TF-target pairs reported by GENIE3. A TF-target interaction was considered if at least one TF binding site (TFBS) was found in the promoter region of each gene. The resulting networks were visualized using Cytoscape (v 3.8.0) [72], and their properties were determined using the NetworkAnalyzer tool. Constructed clusters of genes in the reference network and sub-networks were determined using ClusterMaker2 [73], employing Community Clustering (GLay).

To test our reference GRNs, we obtained the list of DEGs for six loss-of-function TF mutants for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*. In the case of previously published microarray experiments, we retrieved DEGs between each mutant and the wild-type genotype from each publication [74-77]. In the case of RNA-seq experiments, data was downloaded [78] and analyzed as described in the former section. After that, we compared the lists of predicted direct targets for each TFs to the list of DEGs in the mutant genotype. Significant overlap between lists was calculated with the R package "GeneOverlap" (version 1.30.0; p < 0.05, Fisher's exact test) (http://shenlab-sinai.github.io/shenlab-sinai/).

## 2.8. Functional annotation of genes and functional term enrichment analysis

To perform functional term enrichment analyses of the data, we first carried out a whole-genome functional annotation of *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* using the BLAST2GO functional annotation pipe-line [57] to complement the information available in FungiFun [58]. Briefly, the whole protein dataset of both fungi was retrieved from EnsemblFungi to conduct a BLASTp search against the NCBI non-redundant (NR) database (fungi subset) employing BLAST2GO default parameters (OmicsBox software v1.4.11). An InterPro domain and an eggNOG database search were finally combined in BLAST2GO as described [57]. For each fungus, a gene annotation file (gaf) was constructed and used in BiNGO (v.3.0.3) [79] to determine Gene Ontology (GO) enriched terms. Alternatively, we also used the FungiFun web tool software [58]. A false discovery rate (FDR) correction (p < 0.05) was applied to the overrepresented GO terms after performing a hypergeometric test in both tools.

### 2.9. RNAseq datasets analyses

Publicly available RNA-Seq data was downloaded from the NCBI SRA database. In the case of the publicly available experiments in constant light for *T. atroviride*, this corresponds to the SRA accession number SRP069026 [80], while in the case of *B. cinerea* to accession number SRP235144. The new data reported herein was deposited in SRA with the following accession number: PRJNA756518.

### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Defining the repertoire of transcription factors for B. cinerea and T. atroviride and their respective DNA-binding preferences

Despite the significant increase in the number of fully sequenced fungal genomes surpassing 1000 species several years ago [81] and the major regulatory significance of TFs across phyla, there is no current fungal initiative addressing the systematic categorization of these regulatory proteins. For example, the last update of the Fungal Transcription Factor Database [82] was more than 10 years ago, in July 2009. Thus, to start building reference GRNs for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, we first established a manually-curated full repertoire of TFs (TFome) for both fungi by *de novo* annotation of their reported proteins using different protein annotation tools and criteria, as depicted in Fig. 1A and as

explained in the Materials and Methods section. To conduct the annotation of TFs, we generated a list of InterPro and PFAM identifiers, as well as HMM profiles corresponding to DBDs (Supplementary Files 1 and 2), not considering IDs associated with proteins related to the basal transcription machinery or RNA binding that have been previously included in other studies [23,55]. Classification of fungal protein domains predicted 811 and 891 TFs for B. cinerea and T. atroviride, respectively (Supplementary File 3). These numbers significantly differ from previous TF annotations, including the CisBP database (397 and 466 for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, respectively) and the work of Shelest, 2017, which reports 411 and 577 TFs, for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, respectively. Thus, a manual inspection of the predicted TF list was undertaken to filter proteins erroneously assigned as TFs, as described in Materials and Methods (e.g., components of basal transcription factors such as subunits of TFIID, RNA polyadenylation, splicing factors, among others, Supplementary File 3). Manual inspection of each sequence generated a final curated TF list consisting of 471 and 561 sequences for B. cinerea and T. atroviride, respectively (Supplementary File 5). For B. cinerea, 378 of these TFs were also reported in CisBP, while 93 additional TFs were found by our annotation pipeline (Fig. 1B). In addition, 18 CisBP-annotated protein sequences were manually discarded. For example, Bcin10g03410, annotated as TF in CisBP, has a CENP-B N-terminal DNA-binding domain. However, its function is related to centromere organization [83,84]. For T. atroviride, 428 TFs are also found in CisBP, and 133 new TFs were identified by our procedure. After manual curation, 35 sequences previously defined as TFs in CisBP were discarded (Fig. 1B). On the aggregate, at least for B. cinerea, the number of TFs found is similar to other reported Ascomycota TFomes (366 TFs on average [85]).

Based on InterPro IDs, we found a total of 30 and 25 TF families for B. cinerea and T. atroviride, respectively (Table 1). Protein family classification based on PFAM IDs is provided in Supplementary Table 1. The most represented TF domains in both fungi correspond to the "Zn(2)-C6 fungal-type" DBD (IPR001138), also known as the Zn cluster domain (38.2% and 39.1% of all the TF domains in B. *cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, respectively) (Table 1: Fig. 2A). Consistent with this result, the expansion of the Zn cluster family has been previously reported as a feature of Ascomycete TFomes [55,85]. Zn cluster family members are found in all fungal species [86-88]. Due to their prevalence and high representation in fungal organisms, Zn cluster TFs participate in crucial fungal pathways, including sugar and nitrogen metabolism, respiration, vitamin synthesis, mitosis and meiosis, chromatin remodeling, stress response, and multidrug resistance, among others [89]. For instance, in B. cinerea, Zn cluster TFs BcBOA13 and BcBOT6 are involved in the biosynthesis of important secondary metabolites that function as virulence factors, botcinic acid (BOA) and botrydial (BOT) [90,91]. Also, BcSMR1 and BcZTF1/2, Zinc cluster TFs, participate in sclerotial melanin biosynthesis [92,93]. The fungal-specific IPR identifiers "Transcription factor domain, fungi" (IPR007219), and "Fungal transcription factor" (IPR021858) also represent a relevant part of the identified domains (27% in B. cinerea and 37.5% in T. atroviride), as well as the "Zinc finger C2H2-type" domain (IPR013087), present in all eukaryotes (Table 1; Fig. 2A).

The remaining identified domains are typically found in fungal genomes [55;85] and include DBDs shared with other eukaryotes, such as bZIP, Homeobox, bHLH, and GATA domains, or fungal-specific DBDs, such as APSES, Copper-Fist, Ste12, or MAT alpha 1 (Table 1). Unlike the Zn cluster-containing domain, these fungal-specific domains are less represented (3% or less of the DBDs found). However, they play important roles in fungal development, including mating, morphogenesis, yeast-hyphal transitions, and cell cycle [94-101].

As expected, a portion of the TFs displayed two DBDs (approximately 2–3% of the TFs in both fungi) (Table 2, Fig. 2B). The most





**Fig. 1.** Transcription factor annotation, DNA binding motif assignment, and manual curation process overview for *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*. (A) Schematic representation of the bioinformatics pipeline used to generate a dataset of TFs with their assigned PWMs describing their putative DNA-binding motifs for both fungi. Green boxes denote databases (DBs), while light blue boxes indicate employed software. Curved arrows show DBs feed into software. Romboids represent critical decision steps. The final outputs (blue boxes) are displayed at the bottom. (B) Venn diagrams of the data presented in (A) showing the overlap of TFs before manual curation ("All predicted"), the "CisBP TFome", and the final dataset of curated TFs ("Reported TFome") described herein. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 1
---------

InterPro ID	DBD family names (InterPro)	B. cinerea	% of DBD	T. atroviride	% of DBD
IPR001138	Zn_Cluster	236	38.2	294	39.1
IPR007219	Transcription factor domain, fungi	107	17.3	196	26.1
IPR021858	Fungal transcription factor	60	9.7	86	11.4
IPR013087	Zinc finger C2H2-type	89	14.4	71	9.4
IPR004827	bZip	22	3.6	24	3.2
IPR009057	Homeobox-like domain superfamily	23	3.7	20	2.7
IPR011598	Myc-type, basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain	9	1.5	10	1.3
IPR009071	High mobility group box domain	9	1.5	7	0.9
IPR000571	Zinc finger, CCCH-type	8	1.3	6	0.8
IPR000679	Zinc finger, GATA-type	7	1.1	6	0.8
IPR001878	Zinc finger, CCHC-type	9	1.5	5	0.7
IPR003163	Transcription regulator HTH, APSES-type DNA-binding domain	4	0.6	5	0.7
IPR001766	Forkhead	4	0.6	4	0.5
IPR000232	HSF	3	0.5	3	0.4
IPR008967	p53-like transcription factor, DNA-binding	3	0.5	3	0.4
IPR001083	Copper fist DNA-binding	3	0.5	2	0.3
IPR002100	Transcription factor, MADS-box	3	0.5	2	0.3
IPR000818	TEA/ATTS domain	1	0.2	1	0.1
IPR001606	ARID DNA-binding domain	3	0.5	1	0.1
IPR003120	Transcription factor Ste12	1	0.2	1	0.1
IPR003150	DNA-binding RFX-type winged-helix domain	2	0.3	1	0.1
IPR003656	Zinc finger, BED-type	1	0.2	1	0.1
IPR007396	Transcriptional regulator PAI 2-type	2	0.3	1	0.1
IPR007604	CP2 transcription factor	1	0.2	1	0.1
IPR017956	AT hook, DNA-binding motif	2	0.3	1	0.1
IPR000967	Zinc finger, NF-X1-type	1	0.2	0	0.0
IPR004181	Zinc finger, MIZ-type	1	0.2	0	0.0
IPR004198	Zinc finger, C5HC2-type	1	0.2	0	0.0
IPR005172	CRC domain	1	0.2	0	0.0
IPR006856	Mating-type protein MAT alpha 1, HMG-box	1	0.2	0	0.0
	Total	617	100	752	100

represented combinations found were "Zinc finger C2H2-type"/"Zn Cluster" and the "Zinc finger C2H2-type"/"Homeobox-like domain superfamily" families of TFs (Fig. 2B). The proportion of TFs with two DBDs (also termed "dual-specificity TFs") is in agreement with that previously reported for other fungi (1–4% of the TFs, [55]).

With the defined repertoire of TFs for each fungus, we established the DNA binding preference for each of them. The CisBP database [4] is the most complete source of DNA sequence binding preferences for eukaryotic TFs, comprising 734 species from which 310 correspond to fungi. However, most of the fungal DNA binding motifs in CisBP obtained by direct experimental determination correspond to TFs of the model fungi N. crassa, A. nidulans, and S. cerevisiae. For B. cinerea, only one TF, Bcin05g07400, has a directly determined binding preference, and for T. atroviride, no direct motifs are available. Nevertheless, a total of 106 and 104 TFs for B. cinerea and T. atroviride, respectively, have an automatically inferred motif derived from homologous TFs, as the DNA binding specificity can be inferred following general rules that depend on each TF family and the degree of similarity among DBDs. Since most TFs from our curated catalog do not possess any automatically inferred DNA binding motif, we assigned a motif for these TFs using the "Protein Scan" web tool from CisBP or by performing an orthogroup classification as detailed in Methods (Fig. 1A). We were able to assign a putative DNA binding motif for 375 (79.6%) and 423 (75.4%) of the predicted TFs from B. cinerea and T. atroviride, respectively (Supplementary File 5). In the case of dualspecificity TFs, we assigned two different PWMs (one to each DBD) for four out of 16 TFs in B. cinerea and eight out of ten TFs in the biocontroller fungus (Supplementary File 4).

## 3.2. Generation of reference GRNs for B. cinerea and T. atroviride

To identify TFs target genes, we inferred regulatory interactions based on the distance between a given TFBS and the transcription start site (TSS) of each annotated gene of *B. cinerea* or *T. atroviride*,

using FIMO, considering a distance threshold of 1 Kb between the TFBS and the TSS. We found 370B. cinerea TFs and 420 T. atroviride TFs (represented by 232 and 251 unique PWMs, respectively) having at least one binding site in promoters. The network of TFtargets predicted with FIMO comprises 606,188 putative TFtarget interactions for *B. cinerea* and 891,966 potential TF-target interactions in the case of *T. atroviride* (Table 3). To improve FIMO predictions with experimentally derived data, we used GENIE3 (Gene Network Inference with Ensemble of trees 3) [9], a treebased machine-learning algorithm to infer regulatory interactions from gene expression data. GENIE3 has been widely used to derive GRNs in various species (e.g. [102;103;104;105;106]) and was the highest-scoring algorithm in the Dialogue for Reverse Engineering Assessments and Methods (DREAM) challenges 4 and 5 [107]. GENIE3 generates a rank of regulatory connections, ranging from the most confident to the least confident interaction. These are available as Supplementary Files 6 and 7. We conducted an exhaustive search for publicly available RNA-Seq data for both fungi from the NCBI SRA. After filtering files for mean quality and a minimum number of mapped reads to both genomes (see Methods), we obtained a final dataset of 228 samples for *B. cinerea* and 163 samples for T. atroviride (Supplementary File 8). Samples were processed as described in Methods and expression matrices, as well as the list of TFs for both fungi, were given as input for GENIE3. The GENIE3-generated network comprised 5,516,823 gene interactions for B. cinerea and 6,603,528 gene interactions in the case of T. atroviride. To remove unlikely interactions, we constrained the network to the top 20% better scoring GENIE3 TFtarget interactions. This represents approximately the top one million interactions, a cutoff that has been previously used for filtering GENIE3-generated GRNs [106,108,109]. We used this information to filter FIMO-derived predictions, obtaining reference GRNs for both fungi. The FIMO network and the GENIE3-filtered reference network have a similar number of nodes. However, the number of regulatory connections (edges) observed in the FIMO GRN subC. Olivares-Yañez, E. Sánchez, G. Pérez-Lara et al.

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 19 (2021) 6212-6228



Zinc finger C2H2-type/AT hook, DNA-binding motif

Fig. 2. Distribution of InterPro domains observed among *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* curated transcription factors. (A) Based on an InterProScan search, 30 IPR identifiers were recognized in the case of *B. cinerea* while 25 in *T. atroviride*. (B) Combination of the DBDs of dual-specificity TFs identified in *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*. Each DBD combination is described in Table 2.

#### Table 2

Combination of dual DBDs transcription factors.

InterPro DBD Combination (IDs)	Name	T. atroviride	B. cinerea
IPR001138-IPR013087	Zinc finger C2H2-type/Zn_Cluster	3	7
IPR001606-IPR004198	ARID DNA-binding domain/Zinc finger, C5HC2-type	0	1
IPR001606-IPR009057	ARID DNA-binding domain/Homeobox-like domain superfamily	1	1
IPR001606-IPR003150	ARID DNA-binding domain/DNA-binding RFX-type winged-helix domain	0	1
IPR009057-IPR009071	Homeobox-like domain superfamily/High mobility group box domain	0	1
IPR009057-IPR013087	Zinc finger C2H2-type/Homeobox-like domain superfamily	4	2
IPR013087-IPR017956	Zinc finger C2H2-type/AT hook, DNA-binding motif	0	1
IPR003656-IPR013087	Zinc finger C2H2-type/Zinc finger, BED-type	1	1
IPR003120-IPR013087	Zinc finger C2H2-type/Transcription factor Ste12	1	1
	TF with 2 DBD Domains	10	16

stantially decreased by incorporating expression data in the case of *B. cinerea* (by 3.8-fold) and *Trichoderma* (by 4.9-fold) (Table 3). The reference networks include all (100%) reported *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* TFs, indicating that they include relevant information for transcriptional regulatory inferences for both organisms. All networks are available as Supplementary Files 9 to 12 (Cytoscape .cys format).

To characterize the topology of the reference GRNs, their properties were analyzed. Both reference networks comprised a single connected component, indicating that there is a sequence of nodes and edges (path) connecting each node in the network. However, the average clustering coefficient of the networks is low (0.082 in *B. cinerea* and 0.083 in *T. atroviride*). In fact, a relevant proportion of nodes have a clustering coefficient of 0 (see Supplementary Files 9 and 11), indicating neighborhoods of nodes are sparsely connected. Low clustering coefficients have also been reported for other large-scale fungal GRNs [23,24].

For the phytopathogen, the reference network comprises 11,700 nodes and 158,374 edges. The Botrytis network's top 10 connected nodes (hubs) correspond to TFs from different families

(Table 3), with the most connected node corresponding to Bcin01g08840, an HMG box TF. This TF presents 3340 targets, corresponding to approximately 29% of the genes in the B. cinerea network. HMG box-containing TFs are conserved among eukaryotes, and in fungi, they have important roles in mating [110]. However, no reported function for Bcin01g08840 is currently available. Moreover, from the top 10 hubs, only Bcin02g08650 (bcskn7) has been characterized as a homolog to the Skn7 response regulator of S. cerevisiae, a stress-responsive TF involved in the oxidative stress response, cell cycle, and cell wall biosynthesis and highly conserved among fungi [111]. BcSKN7 is involved in conidiation and sclerotial formation and oxidative and ionic osmotic stress [112]. On the other hand, the gene with the highest indegree was Bcin07g05430. Harboring a cytochrome P450 domain, its function is unknown. Bcin07g05430 is predicted to be controlled by 60 TFs of the *B. cinerea* network.

In the case of *Trichoderma*, the network was comprised of 11,700 nodes and 181,571 interactions (Table 3). The most connected TF was TRIATDRAFT\_140885, annotated as "transcription factor SFP1" by the BLAST2GO analysis. In yeast, split-finger pro-

#### Table 3

Gene regulatory networks statistics. The FIMO-only GRN, as well as the reference (FIMO + GENIE3) GRN, are described.

	FIMO GRN		Reference GRN				
Attribute	B. cinerea	T. atroviride	B. cinerea	TF Description	T. atroviride	TF Description	
Total number of nodes	11,706	11,771	11,700	-	11,700	-	
Total number of interactions	6,06,188	8,91,966	1,58,374	-	1,81,571	-	
Average outdegree	1,638.35	2,123.72	436.29	-	432.31	-	
Average indegree	51.78	75.77	13.53	-	15.51	-	
Maximum outdegree	6,256;	5,049;	3,340;	-	1,686;	-	
(#); gene ID	Bcin06g06480	TRIATDRAFT_10744	Bcin01g08840		TRIATDRAFT_140885		
Maximum indegree (#);	130;	175;	60;	-	62;	-	
gene ID	Bcin08g00980	TRIATDRAFT_300681	Bcin07g05430		TRIATDRAFT_55202		
Connected components	1	1	1	-	1	-	
Clustering coefficient	0.153	0.216	0.082	-	0.083	-	
Top 10 hubs for each	Bcin06g06480;	TRIATDRAFT_10744;	Bcin01g08840;	High mobility group	TRIATDRAFT_140885;	Transcription factor SFP1	
network; outdegree	6256	5049	3340	box domain TF	1686		
	Bcin13g04090;	TRIATDRAFT_173784;	Bcin13g05200;	Copper fist domain	TRIATDRAFT_234627;	C2H2 domain TF	
	5315	4708	3017	TF	1579		
	Bcin10g05560;	TRIATDRAFT_150201;	Bcin11g02190;	Bchox3 Homeobox	TRIATDRAFT_322580;	Zn_Cluster & TF domain	
	5170	4555	2983	domain TF	1543	Fungi	
	Bcin03g00710;	TRIATDRAFT_78054;	Bcin13g03910;	Zn_Cluster & C2H2	TRIATDRAFT_173231;	C2H2 domain TF	
	4497	4488	2153	domain TF	1442		
	Bcin05g04650;	TRIATDRAFT_173231;	Bcin01g10720;	bZIP TF	TRIATDRAFT_173784;	Homeobox domain and	
	3609	4258	1977		1393	Zinc finger C2H2-type	
	Bcin01g08840;	TRIATDRAFT_322580;	Bcin07g06470;	Zn_Cluster & TF	TRIATDRAFT_31689;	Zinc Cluster and fungal	
	3444	4255	1961	domain Fungi	1323	specific TF domain	
	Bcin12g03330;	TRIATDRAFT_288678;	Bcin13g00670;	Zn_Cluster & TF	TRIATDRAFT_42504;	Zn_Cluster domain TF	
	3295	4128	1934	domain Fungi	1305		
	Bcin13g05200;	TRIATDRAFT_163506;	Bcin02g08650;	Bcskn7 Response	TRIATDRAFT_22050;	Zn_Cluster & TF domain	
	3033	4099	1892	regulator TF	1301	Fungi	
	Bcin08g00680;	TRIATDRAFT_283122;	Bcin10g04060;	Bcftf1 Zn_Cluster &	TRIATDRAFT_260571;	C2H2 domain TF	
	3002	4087	1841	TF domain Fungi	1300		
	Bcin11g02190;	TRIATDRAFT_295974;	Bcin12g01230;	Zn_Cluster & TF	TRIATDRAFT_288678;	Homeobox domain and	
	3048	3917	1801	domain Fungi	1293	Zinc finger C2H2-type	

tein 1 (SFP1) is a stress and nutrient-responsive TF [113] controlling cell division and growth by directly regulating the transcription of genes required for ribosome biogenesis and growth [114]. As for *B. cinerea*, no direct functional information is available for the top 10 hubs. However, the 13th most connected TF, TRIAT-DRAFT\_83090 (see Supplementary File 11), annotated as a C2H2 domain TF harbors a 90.5% identity with ACEI, a TF encoded by the *ace1* gene in *Trichoderma reesei* [115]. ACEI can bind the promoter of the major cellulase cellobiohydrolase I (*cbh1*) gene *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Consistently, disruption of *ace1* in *T. reesei* alters its growth in cellulose medium [115]. The hypothetical protein TRIATDRAFT\_55202 has the highest indegree in the network, with 62 regulatory connections.

To further test the generated reference GRNs, and to determine how these networks allow for the prediction of potential TF-target interactions that can result in changes in gene expression, we gathered global gene expression information from different TF mutants in *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, in comparison with their wild-type counterparts [74-78]. We compared lists of DEGs in wild-type versus TF mutant fungi and lists of potential targets for each TF predicted by the reference GRNs. As shown in Supplementary Table 2, we were able to find a significant overlap between DEGs and predicted targets for four out of six TFs tested. This result indicates that our reference networks can pinpoint relevant TF-target relationships, highlighting their potential to uncover relevant regulatory functions for uncharacterized TFs.

## 3.3. Generation and analysis of GRNs during growth under constant light conditions

Having a reference GRN allows for constructing context-specific networks by mapping regulatory interactions occurring under particular experimental conditions. In fungi, light is considered a strong cue that impacts several biological processes, such as asexual and sexual developmental programs, secondary metabolism, pathogenicity, and even nutrient acquisition [50]. By direct transcriptional control and/or additional signaling pathways involving kinases, light regulates the expression of hundreds of genes. In this context, the transcriptional effects generated by light are considered fundamental, as they trigger transcriptional cascades based on the activation of several TFs [116]. In different fungal organisms, including well-established fungal photobiological models such as

N. crassa and A. nidulans, and other relevant models including B. cinerea and T. atroviride, the activation of asexual developmental programs is induced by light [117-120]. In B. cinerea, light regulates the production of reproductive structures and virulence [64], restricting sexual reproduction to darkness. In this fungus, different light TFs (termed LTFs) have been studied (reviewed in [120]), and among them, BcLTF2 has been described as necessary and sufficient for conidiation [121]. Photoinduced conidiation has also been described in T. atroviride. In this biocontroller fungus, the blue light (transcriptional) regulators 1 and 2 (BLR1 and BLR2) [122], homologs of the White-Collar (transcriptional) Complex (WCC) originally described in N. crassa [123] are required for light signaling. Nevertheless, in T. atroviride, light transcriptional signaling has been much less investigated [80]. Moreover, although some TFs involved in light signaling have been reported in both fungi, a more integrated picture of the regulatory interactions shaping fungal responses to light is still lacking. To determine the GRNs underlying light-dependent gene expression in B. cinerea and T. atroviride, we generated light-specific GRNs using available transcriptomics data. For B. cinerea and T. atroviride, we analyzed previously deposited RNA-Seq data from wild-type hyphae exposed to darkness or continuous light (SRA SRP235144 and SRP069026, respectively).

For *B. cinerea*, we determined a total number of 1610 differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) under constant light. From these, 663 genes (42.2%) were induced by the presence of constant light, whereas 947 were down-regulated (58.8%). For *T. atroviride*, 2286 DEGs were determined. Unlike the phytopathogen, where most genes were down-regulated by constant light, for *T. atroviride*, 1545 genes (67.6%) were more expressed in the mentioned culture condition (Supplementary File 13). *Trichoderma* upregulated genes have enriched GO terms associated with five biological processes: metabolic and oxidation–reduction processes, transmembrane transport, and catabolic process related to chitin, a critical structural component of fungal cell walls (Supplementary Fig. 2A). We determined six GO biological processes among repressed DEGs, with related functional categories associated with redox activities and transmembrane transport (Supplementary Fig. 2B).

In contrast, GO analysis of *B. cinerea* DEGs did not reveal any significantly enriched GO term. To determine regulatory interactions driving these changes in gene expression in both fungi, we built GRNs consisting only of DEGs, using the TF-target information

#### Table 4

Top ten most connected transcription factors in the light-specific GRNs of *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*. The outdegree (number of targets) of each indicated transcription factor is provided.

B. cinerea TF ID	Number of targets	Name	Description	Best BLASTp hit in Trichoderma; e-value; %ID
Bcin02g02300	183	n.a.	SANT/Myb & Homeobox-Like domain TF	TRIATDRAFT_289913; 4.4e-69; 83.7
Bcin02g01550	151	n.a.	Basic-leucine zipper domain TF	TRIATDRAFT_297702; 6.3e-12; 50.0
Bcin16g02090	148	BcLTF2	C2H2 domain TF. Light induced TF	TRIATDRAFT_165197; 5.9e-44; 41.8
Bcin15g05300	139	n.a.	p53-like transcription factor	TRIATDRAFT_225495; 7.6e-17; 74.5
Bcin05g04650	129	BcAbaA	TEA/ATTS domain TF. Similar to A. nidulans AbaA TF	TRIATDRAFT_322845; 1.4e-10; 64.1
Bcin02g08760	100	BcSMR1	Zn_Cluster & C2H2 domain TF. Sclerotial Melanin Regulator	TRIATDRAFT_295411; 7.6e-14; 50.9
Bcin14g03200	95	n.a.	Zn_Cluster & Fungal_TF domain TF	TRIATDRAFT_222577; 7.2e-17; 55.9
Bcin11g06200	92	n.a.	C2H2 domain TF	TRIATDRAFT_173231; 1.1e-17; 50.8
Bcin02g09340	91	n.a.	Zn_Cluster & C2H2 domain TF	TRIATDRAFT_314109; 7.1e-17; 46.7
Bcin04g03280	86	n.a.	C2H2 domain TF	TRIATDRAFT_161626; 0.00035; 56.5
T. atroviride TF ID	Number of targets	Name	Description	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID
<i>T. atroviride</i> TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845	Number of targets	Name n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF	<b>Best BLASTp hit in</b> <i>Botrytis</i> ; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1
T. atroviride TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845 TRIATDRAFT_53983	Number of targets 316 231	Name n.a. n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF	<b>Best BLASTp hit in</b> <i>Botrytis</i> ; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7
T. atroviride TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845 TRIATDRAFT_53983 TRIATDRAFT_51777	Number of targets           316           231           154	Name n.a. n.a. n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5
T. atroviride TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845 TRIATDRAFT_53983 TRIATDRAFT_51777 TRIATDRAFT_174866	Number of targets           316           231           154           154	<b>Name</b> n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5 Bcin08g00160; 6.3e-19; 36.1
T. atroviride TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845 TRIATDRAFT_53983 TRIATDRAFT_51777 TRIATDRAFT_174866 TRIATDRAFT_167723	Number of targets           316           231           154           154           154           154	Name n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF C2H2 domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5 Bcin08g00160; 6.3e-19; 36.1 Bcin16g02090; 0.005; 22.7
T. atroviride TF ID           TRIATDRAFT_322845           TRIATDRAFT_53983           TRIATDRAFT_51777           TRIATDRAFT_174866           TRIATDRAFT_167723           TRIATDRAFT_266277	Number of targets           316           231           154           154           154           154           154           154	Name n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF C2H2 domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5 Bcin08g00160; 6.3e-19; 36.1 Bcin16g02090; 0.005; 22.7 Bcin08g06990; 1.2e-07; 58.6
T. atroviride TF ID           TRIATDRAFT_322845           TRIATDRAFT_53983           TRIATDRAFT_51777           TRIATDRAFT_174866           TRIATDRAFT_167723           TRIATDRAFT_266277           TRIATDRAFT_13008	Number of targets           316           231           154           154           154           151           135	Name n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF C2H2 domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster & Fungal_TF domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5 Bcin08g00160; 6.3e-19; 36.1 Bcin16g02090; 0.005; 22.7 Bcin08g06990; 1.2e-07; 58.6 Bcin12g03530; 3.5e-28; 52.8
T. atroviride TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845 TRIATDRAFT_53983 TRIATDRAFT_51777 TRIATDRAFT_174866 TRIATDRAFT_167723 TRIATDRAFT_167723 TRIATDRAFT_13008 TRIATDRAFT_13008	Number of targets           316           231           154           154           154           154           154           153           131	Name n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster & Fungal_TF domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5 Bcin08g00160; 6.3e-19; 36.1 Bcin16g02090; 0.005; 22.7 Bcin08g06990; 1.2e-07; 58.6 Bcin12g03530; 3.5e-28; 52.8 Bcin01g11410; 4.1e-08; 39.3
T. atroviride TF ID TRIATDRAFT_322845 TRIATDRAFT_53983 TRIATDRAFT_51777 TRIATDRAFT_174866 TRIATDRAFT_167723 TRIATDRAFT_167723 TRIATDRAFT_266277 TRIATDRAFT_13008 TRIATDRAFT_19824	Number of targets           316           231           154           154           154           151           135           131	Name n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a	Description TEA/ATTS domain TF C2H2 domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF Zn_Cluster domain TF HMG domain TF	Best BLASTp hit inBotrytis; e-value; %ID Bcin05g04650; 1.3e-10; 64.1 Bcin13g04470; 5e-38; 79.7 Bcin06g03110; 1.1e-07; 64.5 Bcin08g00160; 6.3e-19; 36.1 Bcin16g02090; 0.005; 22.7 Bcin08g06990; 1.2e-07; 58.6 Bcin12g03530; 3.5e-28; 52.8 Bcin01g11410; 4.1e-08; 39.3 Bcin01g11410; 4.1e-08; 39.3



**Fig. 3.** Comparative network analysis of transcriptomics data of *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* obtained under continuous illumination. Employing publicly available RNA-seq information, *B. cinerea* (A) and *T. atroviride* (B) DEGs determined under constant light conditions were integrated as a GRN of TFs (triangle nodes) and their respective putative target genes (rectangle nodes). Colors are used to distinguish each gene in the network as induced (red) or repressed (green) upon continuous light treatment. Each network was constructed with the reference GRN of *B. cinerea* (A) and *T. atroviride* (B). Both networks only contain the top ten most connected TFs (depicted at the center of each gene module shown in the outer ring). These gene network modules (ten in each case) were grouped by topology in Cytoscape. White edges denote at least a single TFBS in the promoter of each target gene. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

from the reference GRNs. Statistics of these context-specific networks are provided in Supplementary Table 3. Remarkably, of the 1610 DEGs in *B. cinerea*, 57 were TFs, and for 53 of them, it was possible to predict putative target genes, generating a network encompassing 82.5% of DEGs. In the case of *T. atroviride*, we could infer regulatory interactions for 61 out of 68 TFs among DEGs, with a GRN that contains 75.7% of the total number of DEGs.

The abovementioned results exemplify the profound transcriptional effect that light has on both organisms, which is beginning to be deciphered in *B. cinerea* [124] but is less explored in *T. atroviride*. To delve into this observation, we paid particular attention to the top 10 most connected TFs for both fungi. These can be found in Table 4 and are represented in the outer ring of Fig. 3A and B. Highlighting a striking difference in the transcriptional landscape of both fungi in the presence of constant light, the majority of the top ten most connected TFs in *B. cinerea* are associated with less expressed (down-regulated) genes in contrast to *Trichoderma*, in

which most transcriptional modules (outer ring of Fig. 3B) are regulating more expressed genes during continuous illumination. Importantly, at least in the case of *B. cinerea*, the precise molecular mechanism that may explain this difference is unknown, as light transcription factors (LTFs) are light-induced but not repressed [120]. Possibly reflecting this fundamental difference, none of the most connected TFs in B. cinerea is homologous to those in Trichoderma, except for the TEA/ATTS domain TFs Bcin05g04650 and TRIATDRAFT\_322845, which show very low sequence identity between them (Table 4). Bcin05g04650 is similar to the A. nidulans AbaA regulator, a TEA-ATTS domain TF that regulates the development of conidiophores in this fungus [125], but its role in the development of these structures in *B. cinerea* has not been analyzed. In A. nidulans, the conidiation process has been extensively studied, describing a sequential cascade of TF activation formed by BlrA. AbaA. and WetA [126]. Consistent with a common transcriptional regulatory cascade occurring in *B. cinerea*, the third



**Fig. 4.** Confrontation-specific GRN pinpoints *T. atroviride*-responsive transcriptional regulators showing differential expression during the interaction with *B. cinerea.* (A) Using the GLay community clustering algorithm in Cytoscape, eight differentially expressed gene modules were identified, each of them regulated by a single TF. Transcriptional regulators are denoted as triangles located at the center of each gene module, while target genes are indicated as rectangles. *T. atroviride* confrontation-induced or repressed genes are shown as red and green nodes, respectively. Gene encoding for peptidases (in modules 1, 5, 6, and 8) are displayed as yellowed-shadowed nodes. Edges denote at least a single TFBS for each target gene. The width of the edges is proportional to the number of TFBS. (B) Overrepresented GO terms (biological processes) are indicated below each gene module shown in (A). While modules 1, 2, 3, and 6 did not deliver any enriched GO term, module 8 is enriched in protein degradation terms consistent with the presence of eight peptidase encoding genes. Bars represent the number of genes observed in each GO category (purple) and their respective percentage (blue). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

most connected TF in the phytopathogen GRN is BcLTF2 (Bcin16g02090), a key regulator of photomorphogenesis and functional counterpart of BlrA [120].

Other conserved and highly connected TF in both GRNs are BcSMR1 (Bcin02g08760) (Table 4) and TRIATDRAFT\_311296 (26th most connected TF in T. atroviride; see Supplementary File 12). The BcSMR1 TF has been characterized in B. cinerea as one of the key regulators of melanin synthesis [93]. Commonly, fungal genes that participate in secondary metabolism are encoded in gene clusters, usually containing one TF responsible for the cluster's expression [127]. In B. cinerea, melanin biosynthetic genes are encoded in two gene clusters while other genes are in noncluster genomic locations. Two polyketide synthetases (PKS), bcpks12 and bcpks13, are key enzymes for melanin production for sclerotia and conidia, respectively, and are encoded in two different clusters. *bcpks12* is clustered with the *bcsmr1* TF. while *bcpks13* is clustered with the *bcztf1* and *bcztf2* TFs and the *bcscd1* and bcbrn2 genes [128]. bcpks12 is expressed during sclerotia development, which occurs in the dark, and its expression has been reported to be controlled by the bcsmr1 TF [93]. Although bcpks12 and bcsmr1 - members of the first cluster of biosynthetic genes are repressed by light in our analysis and are nodes in the B. cinerea light-GRN, no regulatory interaction between these genes was found. However, when we analyzed the gene members of the second gene cluster, we observed that *bcztf2*, *bcbrn2*, and *bcpks13* are more expressed in the presence of constant light, and in this case, the GRN predicts that bcbrn2 is directly regulated by bcztf2. As previously suggested [93], since light induces conidiation and therefore conidia melanogenesis via bcpks13, it is expected that some additional yet uncharacterized LTFs may play a role in this process. According to the light-GRN, BcLTF15 may regulate the expression of *bcbrn1* as well as the expression of *bcpks13* (Supplementary Fig. 3), revealing a new potential link between melanogenesis and light that has not been previously experimentally determined.

3.4. Grns during the mycoparasitic interaction between B. cinerea and T. atroviride

In addition to an array of molecular tools that *Trichoderma* possesses to counteract different phytopathogens [129], this fungus displays complex inter/intra-phyla association mechanisms. For

example, Trichoderma can induce the plant's activation of complex immune responses when associated with plants. This requires phytohormones and MAP kinases signaling cascades and plant TFs acting as critical regulators of the plant response [130,131], priming the plant for future pathogen encounters. While transcriptional regulators of these responses have been determined in plants, including WRKYs, MYBs, and MYCs-type plant-TFs [131], much less is known about the transcriptional reprogramming occurring at the fungal level. On the other hand, although somewhat more studied, the Trichoderma-fungal interaction scenario is far from being entirely understood. Early efforts employing ESTs sequencing and 454 gene expression analysis contributed evidence of transcriptional changes in Trichoderma induced by B. cinerea cell-wall derivates [132] or expressed during the interaction with B. cinerea [133], and additional genes associated with the mycoparasitsm of *Rhizoctonia solani* [134]. Therefore, more attempts are needed to better depict the transcriptional responses at both sides of the equation: the mycoparasite and the fungal organism under attack.

To gain insights into the transcriptional response of the *Trichoderma-Botrytis* interaction and to identify candidate key TFs of this process, we first carried out a confrontation assay (Supplementary Fig. 1) and analyzed the changes in the whole transcriptome of both fungi using RNA-Seq. We found that the interaction between *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride* elicited a different response regarding the total number of DEGs in each fungus, being significantly stronger in the biocontroller. We determined 283 upregulated genes and 255 downregulated genes in *Trichoderma* during the interaction, and only 128 upregulated and five downregulated genes in *B. cinerea* (Supplementary File 14). During the *Trichoderma-Botrytis* interaction, enriched GO terms among *Trichoderma* induced genes include carbohydrate metabolic process, oxidative stress, and proteolysis (see below; Supplementary Fig. 4A). Nevertheless, no enriched GO terms were identified among *B. cinerea* DEGs.

To pinpoint key TFs commanding the transcriptional responses of both fungi, we next used each set of DEGs to generate confrontation-GRNs, using the reference GRN described above. In addition, we employed the Community Cluster algorithm [73] of Cytoscape to generate clusters of highly interconnected nodes (referred to as "modules") to determine groups of genes with common regulators that could be functioning in conjunction to control common biological processes among DEGs. In *T. atroviride*, eight

#### Table 5

Peptidase encoding genes identified among *T. atroviride* differentially expressed genes observed during the mycoparasitic interaction with *B. cinerea*. The table indicates predicted peptidase localization, signal peptide, and the corresponding gene modules depicted in Fig. 4. ("up" and "down": induced or repressed *T. atroviride* peptidase encoding gene during the interaction with *B. cinerea*).

Gene ID	Description	Signal peptide	SignalP pvalue	Predicted localization	Module in Fig. 4	Expression
TRIATDRAFT_142040	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.8964	extracellular	1	up
TRIATDRAFT_296893	putative amonio peptidase	no	0.0005	cytoplasm	1	down
TRIATDRAFT_297887	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9825	extracellular	5	up
TRIATDRAFT_292285	peptidase	yes	0.0112	ER	6	down
TRIATDRAFT_292325	metallocarboxypeptidase	yes	0.7240	ER	6	down
TRIATDRAFT_32938	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9970	membrana	6	down
TRIATDRAFT_50602	serine-type carboxypeptidase	yes	0.0030	lysosome	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_179435	metallopeptidase	yes	0.0122	extracellular	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_33651	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.7340	extracellular	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_298116	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.8661	extracellular	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_54454	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9441	extracellular	8	down
TRIATDRAFT_220221	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9603	extracellular	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_145909	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9623	extracellular	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_185055	metallopeptidase	yes	0.9853	extracellular	8	up
TRIATDRAFT_145930	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.0007	cytoplasm	DEG, not in modules	up
TRIATDRAFT_292296	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.8627	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	up
TRIATDRAFT_89596	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.8966	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	up
TRIATDRAFT_288190	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9125	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	up
TRIATDRAFT_291825	peptidase	yes	0.9744	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	down
TRIATDRAFT_296905	aspartic-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9792	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	up
TRIATDRAFT_188756	serine-type endopeptidase	yes	0.9885	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	up
TRIATDRAFT_137800	metallocarboxypeptidase	yes	0.9892	extracellular	DEG, not in modules	up

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 19 (2021) 6212-6228

modules of DEGs were observed, representing 37.4% of DEGs (Fig. 4A). Four out of the eight modules were enriched in genes with GO terms related to "phosphate ion transport" (Module 4), "metabolic process" (Module 5), "response to oxidative stress" (Module 7), and "proteolysis/peptidases" (Module 8) (Fig. 4B). Since peptidases represent a potential *T. atroviride* antimicrobial strategy, this latter group of genes was further analyzed. Employing SignalP [135] and DeepLoc software [136], we evaluated the presence of signal

peptides and potential extracellular localization signals, respectively, among all DEGs encoding peptidases (Table 5). Twenty-one out of 22 DEGs encoding putative peptidases displayed a predicted signal peptide, with 16 of them having a hypothetical extracellular localization. As observed in Fig. 4A, peptidases were associated with four TFs (TRIATDRAFT\_167723, TRIATDRAFT\_315146, TRIAT-DRAFT\_51934, and TRIATDRAFT\_222577). Among these TFs, TRIAT-DRAFT\_222577 was the most connected predicted regulator of



**Fig. 5.** Network analysis of *B. cinerea* transcriptomics reveals gene modules potentially involved in the interaction with the biocontroller fungus *T. atroviride.* (A) *B. cinerea* DEGs determined upon interaction with *T. atroviride* were visualized as a confrontation-specific GRN. Four gene modules were determined after clustering in Cytoscape. Triangles depict TFs, while rectangles denote target genes. *B. cinerea* genes induced or repressed upon interaction with *T. atroviride* are indicated as brown/red and green nodes, respectively. Edges denote at least a single TFBS for each target gene. The width of the edges is proportional to the number of TFBS. (B) Overrepresented GO terms (biological processes) were observed in gene modules 3 and 4 shown in (A). According to the GRN analysis, these modules are controlled by BcSMR1 and BcCPCA TFs, respectively. Purple bars represent the number of genes observed in each GO category, while blue bars indicate their respective percentage. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

peptidases (Module 8 in Fig. 4A). This TF displays a 76.3% identity with the lscL transcriptional regulator of *Trichoderma asperellum*. The IscL TF was originally described in *Purpureocillium lilacinum* [137], and its overexpression in this fungus was shown to increase the production of leucinostatins [138], lipopeptide antibiotics possessing broad biological activity, including fungi. Although several analogs of these molecules have been identified in a few fungal species, including *P. lilacinum*, there is no evidence of their production in *T. atroviride* [139]. The role of these types of TFs in controlling the expression of these peptidase-encoding genes remains to be experimentally validated.

In comparison with Trichoderma, the analysis of the B. cinerea confrontation-GRN was significantly smaller, presenting only four modules of DEGs, each one of them being controlled by a single TF (Fig. 5A). This network comprises mainly upregulated genes representing only 30.1% of the total numbers of DEGs. While modules 1 and 2 did not reveal any enriched GO term, module 3 was associated with protection against oxidative stress (Fig. 5B). In this latter module, confrontation-induced genes in B. cinerea include *bcccs1* and *bcprx2*, the former encoding a copper chaperone required for superoxide dismutase function, and the latter encoding a peroxiredoxin. Interestingly, module 3 is controlled by BcSMR1, one of the most connected TFs in the Botrytis light-GRN [128]. Melanin, among a myriad of functions [140], protects fungi from extremely harsh environments. Though required for sclerotia melanogenesis in B. cinerea, the constitutive expression of bcsmr1 renders melanin increase [128]. According to the confrontation-GRN, BcSMR1 is predicted to control Bcin02g04350, a hypothetical protein-encoding gene with no reported function that is adjacent (physically linked) to the melanogenic gene BcYGH1 (Bcin02g04360). Finally, GO enrichment analysis of module 4 showed overrepresented terms associated with "export" and "transport", potentially reflecting Botrytis-induced defenses to toxic molecules upon interaction with T. atroviride. In this regard, module 4 includes the B. cinerea BcBMR1 ABC transporter (Bcin01g05890) [141] and Bcin01g00180, encoding a putative ATP-dependent multidrug transporter (also controlled by BcSMR1). B. cinerea mutants deficient in bcbmr1 are more sensitive to iprobenfos (an organic thiophosphate molecule) and polyoxin [142], both used as agrochemicals. As predicted in the confrontation-GRN, the bZIP TF Bcin01g10810 in Module 4 (Fig. 5A, bottom; annotated as *bccpcA*) might control the expression of these genes. In Aspergillus, cpcA encodes a functional orthologue of S. cerevisiae Gcn4p TF, and mutants lacking cpcA are less virulent [143]. Gcn4p, originally described as critical during amino acid starvation in S. cerevisiae, plays a role in the so-called crosspathway control in A. nidulans and N. crassa [144;145], although other regulatory functions have been identified for homologs of this gene, including sexual development [146] and stress responses [147]. DEGs encoding transporters also include bcatrA (Bcin11g04460) [148], and Bcin15g00040, a predicted Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) transporter. The bcatrA gene has a low expression during vegetative growth and is induced during the initial stages of *B. cinerea* infection. When heterologously expressed in S. cerevisiae, bcatrA confers augmented resistance to cycloheximide and catechol. As suggested, in the absence of defined substrate specificity, it could also protect B. cinerea from toxic compounds during saprophytic growth [148] in contrast to *bcatrB* that protects B. cinerea against the phytoalexin camalexin during the infection of *A. thaliana* plants [149].

## 4. Conclusions

In aggregate, our work provides a highly valuable resource of regulatory interactions in *B. cinerea* and *T. atroviride*, including a curated set of TFs for both fungi, based on their latest annotation.

We show with two different examples that the reference networks can be integrated with global gene expression data to guide the development of context specific GRNs. The light and interaction networks offer novel hypotheses of transcriptional control of relevant biological processes, including attack and defense strategies in *T. atroviride* and *B. cinerea*, respectively, pinpointing key TFs that can be further experimentally validated.

## Funding

This work was supported by ANID – Millennium Science Initiative Program – ICN17\_022 to L.F.L., E.A.V., and P.C., ANID-PCI REDI170218 to C.O.Y. and REDES180097 to E.A.V., ANID FONDECYT POSTDOCTORADO N° 3190628 to C.O.Y., ANID FONDECYT POST-DOCTORADO N° 3180328 TO A.S., FONDECYT-Regular 1190611 to P.C., International Research Scholar program of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute to L.F.L. Powered@NLHPC: This research was partially supported by the supercomputing infrastructure of the NLHPC (ECM-02).

## **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Consuelo Olivares-Yañez: Conceptualization. Investigation. Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Evelyn Sánchez: Investigation, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. Gabriel Pérez-Lara: Investigation, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. Aldo Seguel: Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing review & editing. Pamela Y. Camejo: Investigation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing. Luis F. Larrondo: Resources, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Writing review & editing. Elena A. Vidal: Supervision, Resources, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Paulo Canessa: Supervision, Resources, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.11.012.

## References

- [1] Stormo GD, Zhao Y. Determining the specificity of protein-DNA interactions. Nat Rev Genet 2010;11:751–60.
- [2] Riley TR, Slattery M, Abe N, Rastogi C, Liu D, Mann RS, et al. SELEX-seq: a method for characterizing the complete repertoire of binding site preferences for transcription factor complexes. Methods Mol Biol 2014;1196:255–78.
- [3] O'Malley RC, Huang S-shan C, Song L, Lewsey MG, Bartlett A, Nery JR, et al. Cistrome and Epicistrome Features Shape the Regulatory DNA Landscape. Cell 2016;165:1280–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.038</u>.
- [4] Weirauch MT, Yang A, Albu M, Cote AG, Montenegro-Montero A, Drewe P, et al. Determination and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence specificity. Cell 2014;158:1431–43.
- [5] Matys V, Fricke E, Geffers R, Gössling E, Haubrock M, Hehl R, et al. TRANSFAC: transcriptional regulation, from patterns to profiles. Nucl Acids Res 2003;31:374–8.

- [6] Fornes O, Castro-Mondragon JA, Khan A, van der Lee R, Zhang X, Richmond PA, et al. update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. Nucl Acids Res 2020;2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz1001</u>.
- [7] Kulakovskiy IV, Vorontsov IE, Yevshin IS, Sharipov RN, Fedorova AD, Rumynskiy EI, et al. HOCOMOCO: towards a complete collection of transcription factor binding models for human and mouse via large-scale ChIP-Seq analysis. Nucl Acids Res 2017;46:D252-9. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkx1106</u>.
- [8] Chai LE, Loh SK, Low ST, Mohamad MS, Deris S, Zakaria Z. A review on the computational approaches for gene regulatory network construction. Comput Biol Med 2014;48:55–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2014.02.011</u>.
- [9] Huynh-Thu VA, Irrthum A, Wehenkel L, Geurts P. Inferring regulatory networks from expression data using tree-based methods. PLoS ONE 2010;5.
- [10] Faith JJ, Hayete B, Thaden JT, Mogno I, Wierzbowski J, Cottarel G, et al. Largescale mapping and validation of Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation from a compendium of expression profiles. PLoS Biol 2007;5:e8.
- [11] Thieffry D, Huerta AM, Pérez-Rueda E, Collado-Vides J. From specific gene regulation to genomic networks: a global analysis of transcriptional regulation in Escherichia coli. BioEssays 1998;20:433–40.
- [12] Wilkins O, Hafemeister C, Plessis A, Holloway-Phillips MM, Pham GM, Nicotra AB, et al. EGRINs (Environmental Gene Regulatory Influence Networks) in Rice That Function in the Response to Water Deficit, High Temperature, and Agricultural Environments. Plant Cell 2016;28:2365–84.
- [13] Jiang L, Ball G, Hodgman C, Coules A, Zhao H, Lu C. Analysis of Gene Regulatory Networks of Maize in Response to Nitrogen. Genes (Basel) 2018;9.
- [14] Kulkarni SR, Vaneechoutte D, Van de VJ, Vandepoele K. TF2Network: predicting transcription factor regulators and gene regulatory networks in Arabidopsis using publicly available binding site information. Nucl Acids Res 2018;46:e31.
- [15] Levine M, Davidson EH. Gene regulatory networks for development. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005;102:4936–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408031102</u>.
- [16] Zhou Q, Chipperfield H, Melton DA, Wong WH. A gene regulatory network in mouse embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:16438–43.
- [17] Ramsey SA, Klemm SL, Zak DE, Kennedy KA, Thorsson V, Li B, et al. Uncovering a macrophage transcriptional program by integrating evidence from motif scanning and expression dynamics. PLoS Comput Biol 2008;4:e1000021.
- [18] Cuesta-Astroz Y, Gischkow RG, Murgas L, SanMartín CD, Sanhueza M, Martin AJM. Filtering of data-driven gene regulatory networks using <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> as a case study. Front Genet 2021;12:649764.
- [19] Guthke R, Kniemeyer O, Albrecht D, Brakhage AA, Möller U. Discovery of Gene Regulatory Networks in Aspergillus fumigatus. Knowledge Discovery and Emergent Complexity in Bioinformatics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg; n.d., p. 22–41. 10.1007/978-3-540-71037-0\_3.
- [20] Altwasser R, Linde J, Buyko E, Hahn U, Guthke R. Genome-Wide Scale-Free Network Inference for Candida albicans. Front Microbiol 2012;3:51.
- [21] Guthke R, Gerber S, Conrad T, Vlaic S, Durmuş S, Çakır T, et al. Data-based reconstruction of gene regulatory networks of fungal pathogens. Front Microbiol 2016;7:570.
- [22] Chen C, Zhang D, Hazbun TR, Zhang M. Inferring gene regulatory networks from a population of yeast segregants. Sci Rep 2019;9:1197.
- [23] Lenz AR, Galán-Vásquez E, Balbinot E, de AFP, Souza de ON, da RLO, et al. Gene Regulatory Networks of <i>Penicillium echinulatum</i> 2HH and <i>Penicillium oxalicum</i> 114-2 Inferred by a Computational Biology Approach. Front Microbiol 2020;11:588263.
- [24] Soberanes-Gutiérrez CV, Pérez-Rueda E, Ruíz-Herrera J, Galán-Vásquez E. Identifying genes devoted to the cell death process in the gene regulatory network of <i>Ustilago maydis</i>. Front Microbiol 2021;12:680290.
- [25] Siahpirani AF, Roy S. A prior-based integrative framework for functional transcriptional regulatory network inference. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45:2221.
- [26] Jackson CA, Castro DM, Saldi GA, Bonneau R, Gresham D. Gene regulatory network reconstruction using single-cell RNA sequencing of barcoded genotypes in diverse environments. Elife 2020;9.
- [27] Monteiro PT, Oliveira J, Pais P, Antunes M, Palma M, Cavalheiro M, et al. YEASTRACT+: a portal for cross-species comparative genomics of transcription regulation in yeasts. Nucleic Acids Res 2020;48:D642–9.
- [28] Hu Y, Qin Y, Liu G. Collection and Curation of Transcriptional Regulatory Interactions in Aspergillus nidulans and Neurospora crassa Reveal Structural and Evolutionary Features of the Regulatory Networks. Frontiers in Microbiology 2018;9. 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00027.
- [29] Wu VW, Thieme N, Huberman LB, Dietschmann A, Kowbel DJ, Lee J, et al. The regulatory and transcriptional landscape associated with carbon utilization in a filamentous fungus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020;117:6003–13.
- [30] Huberman LB, Wu VW, Kowbel DJ, Lee J, Daum C, Grigoriev IV, et al. DNA affinity purification sequencing and transcriptional profiling reveal new aspects of nitrogen regulation in a filamentous fungus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021;118.
- [31] Fisher MC, Gurr SJ, Cuomo CA, Blehert DS, Jin H, Stukenbrock EH, et al. Threats Posed by the Fungal Kingdom to Humans Wildlife, and Agriculture. MBio 2020;11. 10.1128/mbio.00449-20.
- [32] Guo L, Zhao G, Xu JR, Kistler HC, Gao L, Ma LJ. Compartmentalized gene regulatory network of the pathogenic fungus Fusarium graminearum. New Phytol 2016;211:527–41.
- [33] Guo L, Ji M, Ye K. Dynamic network inference and association computation discover gene modules regulating virulence, mycotoxin and sexual reproduction in Fusarium graminearum. BMC Genomics 2020;21:179.

- [34] Dean R, Van KJA, Pretorius ZA, Hammond-Kosack KE, Di PA, Spanu PD, et al. The Top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol 2012;13:414–30.
- [35] Thambugala KM, Daranagama DA, Phillips AJL, Kannangara SD, Promputtha I. Fungi vs. Fungi in Biocontrol: An Overview of Fungal Antagonists Applied Against Fungal Plant Pathogens. Front Cell Infection Microbiol 2020;10. 10.3389/fcimb.2020.604923.
- [36] Veloso J, van KJAL. Many shades of grey in botrytis-host plant interactions. Trends Plant Sci 2018;23:613–22.
- [37] Williamson B, Tudzynski B, Tudzynski P, van KJA. Botrytis cinerea: the cause of grey mould disease. Mol Plant Pathol 2007;8:561–80.
- [38] Vos CM, De CK, Cammue BP, De CB. The toolbox of Trichoderma spp. in the biocontrol of Botrytis cinerea disease. Mol Plant Pathol 2015;16:400–12.
- [39] Blanco-Ulate B, Morales-Cruz A, Amrine KC, Labavitch JM, Powell AL, Cantu D. Genome-wide transcriptional profiling of Botrytis cinerea genes targeting plant cell walls during infections of different hosts. Front Plant Sci 2014;5:435.
- [40] Weiberg A, Wang M, Lin FM, Zhao H, Zhang Z, Kaloshian I, et al. Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA interference pathways. Science 2013;342:118–23.
- [41] Cai Q, Qiao L, Wang M, He B, Lin F-M, Palmquist J, et al. Plants send small RNAs in extracellular vesicles to fungal pathogen to silence virulence genes. Science 2018;360:1126–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar4142</u>.
- [42] Hevia MA, Canessa P, Müller-Esparza H, Larrondo LF. A circadian oscillator in the fungus Botrytis cinerea regulates virulence when infecting Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112:8744–9.
- [43] Ingle RA, Stoker C, Stone W, Adams N, Smith R, Grant M, et al. Jasmonate signalling drives time-of-day differences in susceptibility of Arabidopsis to the fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. Plant J 2015;84:937–48.
- [44] Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M. Trichoderma speciesopportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004;2:43–56.
- [45] Druzhinina IS, Seidl-Seiboth V, Herrera-Estrella A, Horwitz BA, Kenerley CM, Monte E, et al. Trichoderma: the genomics of opportunistic success. Nat Rev Microbiol 2011;9:749–59.
- [46] Samuels GJ. Trichoderma: systematics, the sexual state, and ecology. Phytopathology 2006;96:195–206.
- [47] Atanasova L, Le CS, Gruber S, Coulpier F, Seidl-Seiboth V, Kubicek CP, et al. Comparative transcriptomics reveals different strategies of Trichoderma mycoparasitism. BMC Genomics 2013;14:121.
- [48] Adnan M, Islam W, Shabbir A, Khan KA, Ghramh HA, Huang Z, et al. Plant defense against fungal pathogens by antagonistic fungi with Trichoderma in focus. Microb Pathog 2019;129:7–18.
- [49] Zapparata A, Baroncelli R, Brandström DM, Kubicek CP, Karlsson M, Vannacci G, et al. Fungal cross-talk: an integrated approach to study distance communication. Fungal Genet Biol 2021;148:103518.
- [50] Yu Z, Fischer R. Light sensing and responses in fungi. Nat Rev Microbiol 2018;17:25–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0109-x</u>.
- [51] Howe KL, Contreras-Moreira B, De SN, Maslen G, Akanni W, Allen J, et al. Ensembl Genomes 2020-enabling non-vertebrate genomic research. Nucl Acids Res 2020;48:D689–95.
- [52] Mitchell AL, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Blum M, Bork P, Bridge A, et al. InterPro in 2019: improving coverage, classification and access to protein sequence annotations. Nucl Acids Res 2019;47:D351–60.
- [53] Hu H, Miao YR, Jia LH, Yu QY, Zhang Q, Guo AY. AnimalTFDB 3.0: a comprehensive resource for annotation and prediction of animal transcription factors. Nucl Acids Res 2019;47:D33–8.
- [54] Tian F, Yang DC, Meng YQ, Jin J, Gao G. PlantRegMap: charting functional regulatory maps in plants. Nucl Acids Res 2020;48:D1104–13.
- [55] Shelest E. Transcription factors in fungi: TFome dynamics, three major families, and dual-specificity TFs. Front Genet 2017;8:53.[56] Mistry J, Finn RD, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Punta M. Challenges in homology
- [56] Mistry J, Finn RD, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Punta M. Challenges in homology search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. Nucl Acids Res 2013;41:e121.
- [57] Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, et al. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucl Acids Res 2008;36:3420–35.
- [58] Priebe S, Kreisel C, Horn F, Guthke R, Linde J. FungiFun2: a comprehensive online resource for systematic analysis of gene lists from fungal species. Bioinformatics 2015;31:445–6.
- [59] Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. Genome Biol 2019;20:238.
- [60] Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS. FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 2011;27:1017–8.
- [61] Büttner P, Koch F, Voigt K, Quidde T, Risch S, Blaich R, et al. Variations in ploidy among isolates of Botrytis cinerea: implications for genetic and molecular analyses. Curr Genet 1994;25:445–50.
- [62] EFSA. Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance Trichoderma atroviride strains IMI-206040, T11. EFSA Journal 2015;13. 10.2903/j.efsa.2015.3056.
- [63] Bell DK. In Vitro Antagonism of Trichoderma species Against Six Fungal Plant Pathogens. Phytopathology 1982;72:379. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/phyto-72-379</u>.
- [64] Canessa P, Schumacher J, Hevia MA, Tudzynski P, Larrondo LF. Assessing the effects of light on differentiation and virulence of the plant pathogen Botrytis cinerea: characterization of the White Collar Complex. PLoS ONE 2013;8: e84223.

- [65] Chomczynski P, Sacchi N. The single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanatephenolchloroform extraction: twenty-something years on. Nat Protoc 2006;1:581–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.83</u>.
- [66] Chen CH, Ringelberg CS, Gross RH, Dunlap JC, Loros JJ. Genome-wide analysis of light-inducible responses reveals hierarchical light signalling in Neurospora. EMBO J 2009;28:1029–42.
- [67] Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol 2019;37:907–15.
- [68] Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. Nucl Acids Res 2019;47:e47.
- [69] Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014;15:550.
- [70] Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc: Ser B (Methodol) 1995;57:289–300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x</u>.
- [71] Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNAseq quantification. Nat Biotechnol 2016;34:525–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ nbt.3519</u>.
- [72] Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res 2003;13:2498–504.
- [73] Morris JH, Apeltsin L, Newman AM, Baumbach J, Wittkop T, Su G, et al. clusterMaker: a multi-algorithm clustering plugin for Cytoscape. BMC Bioinf 2011;12:436.
- [74] Simon A, Dalmais B, Morgant G, Viaud M. Screening of a Botrytis cinerea onehybrid library reveals a Cys2His2 transcription factor involved in the regulation of secondary metabolism gene clusters. Fungal Genet Biol 2013;52:9–19.
- [75] Temme N, Oeser B, Massaroli M, Heller J, Simon A, Collado IG, et al. BcAtf1, a global regulator, controls various differentiation processes and phytotoxin production in Botrytis cinerea. Mol Plant Pathol 2012;13:704–18.
- [76] Schumacher J, Simon A, Cohrs KC, Viaud M, Tudzynski P. The transcription factor BcLTF1 regulates virulence and light responses in the necrotrophic plant pathogen Botrytis cinerea. PLoS Genet 2014;10:. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004040</u>e1004040.
- [77] Brandhoff B, Simon A, Dornieden A, Schumacher J. Regulation of conidiation in Botrytis cinerea involves the light-responsive transcriptional regulators BcLTF3 and BcREG1. Curr Genet 2017;63:931–49.
- [78] Pola-Sánchez E, Villalobos-Escobedo JM, Carreras-Villaseñor N, Martínez-Hernández P, Beltrán-Hernández EB, Esquivel-Naranjo EU, et al. A global analysis of photoreceptor-mediated transcriptional changes reveals the intricate relationship between central metabolism and DNA repair in the filamentous fungus <i>Trichoderma atroviride</i>. Front Microbiol 2021;12:724676.
- [79] Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M. BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. Bioinformatics 2005;21:3448–9.
- [80] Cetz-Chel JE, Balcázar-López E, Esquivel-Naranjo EU, Herrera-Estrella A. The Trichoderma atroviride putative transcription factor Blu7 controls light responsiveness and tolerance. BMC Genomics 2016;17:327.
- [81] Grigoriev IV, Nikitin R, Haridas S, Kuo A, Ohm R, Otillar R, et al. MycoCosm portal: gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. Nucl Acids Res 2013;42: D699-704. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1183</u>.
- [82] Park J, Park J, Jang S, Kim S, Kong S, Choi J, et al. FTFD: an informatics pipeline supporting phylogenomic analysis of fungal transcription factors. Bioinformatics 2008;24:1024–5.
- [83] Aravind L, Anantharaman V, Balaji S, Babu MM, Iyer LM. The many faces of the helix-turn-helix domain: transcription regulation and beyond. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2005;29:231–62.
- [84] Tanaka Y, Nureki O, Kurumizaka H, Fukai S, Kawaguchi S, Ikuta M, et al. Crystal structure of the CENP-B protein-DNA complex: the DNA-binding domains of CENP-B induce kinks in the CENP-B box DNA. EMBO J 2001;20:6612–8.
- [85] Todd RB, Zhou M, Ohm RA, Leeggangers HA, Visser L, de VRP. Prevalence of transcription factors in ascomycete and basidiomycete fungi. BMC Genomics 2014;15:214.
- [86] Iyer LM, Anantharaman V, Wolf MY, Aravind L. Comparative genomics of transcription factors and chromatin proteins in parasitic protists and other eukaryotes. Int J Parasitol 2008;38:1–31.
- [87] Ruiz-Trillo I, Lane CE, Archibald JM, Roger AJ. Insights into the evolutionary origin and genome architecture of the unicellular opisthokonts Capsaspora owczarzaki and Sphaeroforma arctica. J Eukaryot Microbiol 2006;53:379–84.
- [88] Scazzocchio C. Fungal biology in the post-genomic era. Fungal Biol Biotechnol 2014;1:7.
- [89] MacPherson S, Larochelle M, Turcotte B. A fungal family of transcriptional regulators: the zinc cluster proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2006;70:583–604.
- [90] Porquier A, Morgant G, Moraga J, Dalmais B, Luyten I, Simon A, et al. The botrydial biosynthetic gene cluster of Botrytis cinerea displays a bipartite genomic structure and is positively regulated by the putative Zn(II) <sub>2</sub>2</sub>6</sub> transcription factor BcBot6. Fungal Genet Biol 2016;96:33–46.
- [91] Porquier A, Moraga J, Morgant G, Dalmais B, Simon A, Sghyer H, et al. Botcinic acid biosynthesis in Botrytis cinerea relies on a subtelomeric gene cluster

surrounded by relics of transposons and is regulated by the Zn<sub>2</sub>Cys<sub>6</sub> transcription factor BcBoa13. Curr Genet 2019;65:965–80.

- [92] Zhou Y, Yang L, Wu M, Chen W, Li G, Zhang J. A single-nucleotide deletion in the transcription factor gene <i>bcsmr1</i> causes sclerotial-melanogenesis deficiency in <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Front Microbiol 2017;8:2492.
- [93] Schumacher J. DHN melanin biosynthesis in the plant pathogenic fungus Botrytis cinerea is based on two developmentally regulated key enzyme (PKS)-encoding genes. Mol Microbiol 2016;99:729–48.
- [94] Hall M, Johnson A. Homeo domain of the yeast repressor alpha 2 is a sequence-specific DNA-binding domain but is not sufficient for repression. Science 1987;237:1007–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2887035</u>.
- [95] Errede B, Ammerer G. STE12 a protein involved in cell-type-specific transcription and signal transduction in yeast, is part of protein-DNA complexes. Genes Dev 1989;3:1349–61. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1101/gad.3.9.1349</u>.
- [96] Jungmann J, Reins HA, Lee J, Romeo A, Hassett R, Kosman D, et al. MAC1, a nuclear regulatory protein related to Cu-dependent transcription factors is involved in Cu/Fe utilization and stress resistance in yeast. EMBO J 1993;12:5051–6.
- [97] Winge DR. Copper-Regulatory Domain Involved in Gene Expression. Progress in Nucleic Acid Research and Molecular Biology, Elsevier; 1997, p. 165–95. 10.1016/s0079-6603(08)60036-7.
- [98] Iyer LM, Koonin EV, Aravind L. Extensive domain shuffling in transcription regulators of DNA viruses and implications for the origin of fungal APSES transcription factors. Genome Biol 2002;3:RESEARCH0012.
- [99] Hoi JWS, Dumas B. Ste12 and Ste12-like proteins fungal transcription factors regulating development and pathogenicity. Eukaryot Cell 2010;9:480–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/ec.00333-09</u>.
- [100] Martin T, Lu S-W, van Tilbeurgh H, Ripoll DR, Dixelius C, Turgeon BG, et al. Tracing the origin of the fungal α 1 domain places its ancestor in the HMGbox superfamily: implication for fungal mating-type evolution. PLoS ONE 2010;5:. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015199</u>e15199.
- [101] Zhao Y, Su H, Zhou J, Feng H, Zhang K-Q, Yang J. The APSES family proteins in fungi: Characterizations evolution and functions. Fungal Genet Biol 2015;81:271–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2014.12.003</u>.
- [102] Taylor-Teeples M, Lin L, de LM, Turco G, Toal TW, Gaudinier A, et al. An Arabidopsis gene regulatory network for secondary cell wall synthesis. Nature 2015;517:571–5.
- [103] Cuesta-Astroz Y, Rucatti GG, Murgas L, SanMartín CD, Sanhueza M, Martin AJM. Filtering of Data-Driven Gene Regulatory Networks Using Drosophila melanogaster as a Case Study. Frontiers in Genetics 2021;12. 10.3389/ fgene.2021.649764.
- [104] Desai JS, Sartor RC, Lawas LM, Jagadish SVK, Doherty CJ. Improving gene regulatory network inference by incorporating rates of transcriptional changes. Sci Rep 2017;7:17244.
- [105] Redekar N, Pilot G, Raboy V, Li S, Saghai MMA. Inference of transcription regulatory network in low phytic acid soybean seeds. Front Plant Sci 2017;8:2029.
- [106] Ramírez-González RH, Borrill P, Lang D, Harrington SA, Brinton J, Venturini L, et al. The transcriptional landscape of polyploid wheat. Science 2018;361.
- [107] Marbach D, Costello JC, Küffner R, Vega NM, Prill RJ, Camacho DM, et al. Wisdom of crowds for robust gene network inference. Nat Methods 2012;9:796–804.
- [108] Harrington SA, Backhaus AE, Singh A, Hassani-Pak K, Uauy C. The wheat GENIE3 network provides biologically-relevant information in polyploid wheat. G3 Genes || Genomes || Genetics 2020;10:3675–86. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1534/g3.120.401436</u>.
- [109] Huang J, Zheng J, Yuan H, McGinnis K. Distinct tissue-specific transcriptional regulation revealed by gene regulatory networks in maize. BMC Plant Biol 2018;18:111.
- [110] Martin T, Lu SW, van TH, Ripoll DR, Dixelius C, Turgeon BG, et al. Tracing the origin of the fungal  $\alpha$ 1 domain places its ancestor in the HMG-box superfamily: implication for fungal mating-type evolution. PLoS One 2010;5:e15199.
- [111] Fassler JS, West AH. Fungal Skn7 stress responses and their relationship to virulence. Eukaryot Cell 2011;10:156–67.
- [112] Yang Q, Yin D, Yin Y, Cao Y, Ma Z. The response regulator BcSkn7 is required for vegetative differentiation and adaptation to oxidative and osmotic stresses in Botrytis cinerea. Mol Plant Pathol 2015;16:276–87.
- [113] Marion RM, Regev A, Segal E, Barash Y, Koller D, Friedman N, et al. Sfp1 is a stress- and nutrient-sensitive regulator of ribosomal protein gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:14315–22.
- [114] Albert B, Tomassetti S, Gloor Y, Dilg D, Mattarocci S, Kubik S, et al. Sfp1 regulates transcriptional networks driving cell growth and division through multiple promoter-binding modes. Genes Dev 2019;33:288–93.
- [115] Saloheimo A, Aro N, Ilmén M, Penttilä M. Isolation of the ace1 gene encoding a Cys2-His2 transcription factor involved in regulation of activity of the cellulase promoter cbh1of Trichoderma reesei. J Biol Chem 2000;275:5817–25. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.8.5817.
- [116] Wu C, Yang F, Smith KM, Peterson M, Dekhang R, Zhang Y, et al. Genomewide characterization of light-regulated genes in Neurospora crassa. G3 Genes || Genomes || Genetics 2014;4:1731–45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1534/ g3.114.012617</u>.
- [117] Schmoll M, Esquivel-Naranjo EU, Herrera-Estrella A. Trichoderma in the light of day-physiology and development. Fungal Genet Biol 2010;47:909–16.

- [118] Ruger-Herreros C, Corrochano LM. Conidiation in Neurospora crassa: vegetative reproduction by a model fungus. Int Microbiol 2019;23:97–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10123-019-00085-1</u>.
- [119] Ruger-Herreros C, Rodríguez-Romero J, Fernández-Barranco R, Olmedo M, Fischer R, Corrochano LM, et al. Regulation of conidiation by light in Aspergillus nidulans. Genetics 2011;188:809–22.
- [120] Schumacher J. How light affects the life of Botrytis. Fungal Genet Biol 2017;106:26-41.
- [121] Cohrs KC, Simon A, Viaud M, Schumacher J. Light governs asexual differentiation in the grey mould fungus Botrytis cinerea via the putative transcription factor BcLTF2. Environ Microbiol 2016;18:4068–86.
- [122] Casas-Flores S, Rios-Momberg M, Bibbins M, Ponce-Noyola P, Herrera-Estrella A. BLR-1 and BLR-2 key regulatory elements of photoconidiation and mycelial growth in Trichoderma atroviride. Microbiology 2004;150:3561–9. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27346-0</u>.
- [123] Froehlich AC. White Collar-1 a circadian blue light photoreceptor, binding to the frequency promoter. Science 2002;297:815–9. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1126/science.1073681.
- [124] Schumacher J, Gorbushina AA. Light sensing in plant- and rock-associated black fungi. Fungal Biol 2020;124:407–17.
- [125] Andrianopoulos A, Timberlake WE. The Aspergillus nidulans abaA gene encodes a transcriptional activator that acts as a genetic switch to control development. Mol Cell Biol 1994;14:2503–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/</u> mcb.14.4.2503-2515.1994.
- [126] Yu J-H. Regulation of Development inAspergillus nidulansandAspergillus fumigatus. Mycobiology 2010;38:229. <u>https://doi.org/10.4489/</u> myco.2010.38.4.229.
- [127] Keller NP. Fungal secondary metabolism: regulation function and drug discovery. Nat Rev Microbiol 2018;17:167–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> s41579-018-0121-1.
- [128] Schumacher J. DHN melanin biosynthesis in the plant pathogenic fungusBotrytis cinereais based on two developmentally regulated key enzyme (PKS)-encoding genes. Mol Microbiol 2015;99:729–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13262</u>.
- [129] Vos CMF, Cremer KD, Cammue BPA, Coninck BD. The toolbox ofTrichodermaspp. in the biocontrol ofBotrytis cinereadisease. Mol Plant Pathol 2014;16:400–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12189</u>.
- [130] Sáenz-Mata J, Salazar-Badillo FB, Jiménez-Bremont JF. Transcriptional regulation of Arabidopsis thaliana WRKY genes under interaction with beneficial fungus Trichoderma atroviride. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 2014;36:1085–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-013-1483-7</u>.
- [131] Morán-Diez ME, Martínez de AÁE, Rubio MB, Hermosa R, Monte E. <i>Trichoderma</i> and the Plant Heritable Priming Responses. J Fungi (Basel) 2021;7.
- [132] Mach RL, Peterbauer CK, Payer K, Jaksits S, Woo SL, Zeilinger S, et al. Expression of two major chitinase genes of Trichoderma atroviride (T. harzianum P1) is triggered by different regulatory signals. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65:1858–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.65.5.1858– 1863.1999</u>.
- [133] Seidl V, Song L, Lindquist E, Gruber S, Koptchinskiy A, Zeilinger S, et al. Transcriptomic response of the mycoparasitic fungus Trichoderma atroviride to the presence of a fungal prev. BMC Genomics 2009;10:567.
- [134] Reithner B, Ibarra-Laclette E, Mach RL, Herrera-Estrella A. Identification of mycoparasitism-related genes in Trichoderma atroviride. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011;77:4361–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00129-11</u>.

- [135] Almagro AJJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, et al. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. Nat Biotechnol 2019;37:420–3.
- [136] Almagro AJJ, Sønderby CK, Sønderby SK, Nielsen H, Winther O. DeepLoc: prediction of protein subcellular localization using deep learning. Bioinformatics 2017;33:3387–95.
- [137] Wang G, Liu Z, Lin R, Li E, Mao Z, Ling J, et al. Biosynthesis of Antibiotic Leucinostatins in Bio-control Fungus Purpureocillium lilacinum and Their Inhibition on Phytophthora Revealed by Genome Mining. PLoS Pathog 2016;12:e1005685.
- [138] Jiao Y, Li Y, Li Y, Cao H, Mao Z, Ling J, et al. Functional genetic analysis of the leucinostatin biosynthesis transcription regulator lcsL in Purpureocillium lilacinum using CRISPR-Cas9 technology. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2019;103:6187–94.
- [139] Niu X, Thaochan N, Hu Q. Diversity of Linear Non-Ribosomal Peptide in Biocontrol Fungi. J Fungi (Basel) 2020;6.
- [140] TA, Gessler NN, Aver'yanov AA. Melanin Pigments of Fungi. Fungal Metabolites, Springer International Publishing; 2017, p. 263-91. 10.1007/ 978-3-319-25001-4\_29.
- [141] Makizumi Y, TAKEDA S-ichi, MATSUZAKI Y, NAKAUNE R, HAMAMOTO H, AKUTSU K, et al. Cloning and Selective Toxicant-induced Expression of BMR1 and BMR3 Novel ABC Transporter Genes in Botrytis cinerea. Journal of General Plant Pathology 2002;68:338–41. 10.1007/pl00013100.
- [142] Nakajima M, Suzuki J, Hosaka T, Hibi T, Akutsu K. Functional Analysis of an ATP-Binding Cassette Transporter Gene in Botrytis cinerea by Gene Disruption. J General Plant Pathol 2001;67:212–4. 10.1007/pl00013014.
- [143] Krappmann S, Bignell EM, Reichard U, Rogers T, Haynes K, Braus GH. The Aspergillus fumigatus transcriptional activator CpcA contributes significantly to the virulence of this fungal pathogen. Mol Microbiol 2004;52:785–99.
- [144] Sachs MS. General and cross-pathway controls of amino acid biosynthesis. In: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 1996. p. 315-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-10367-8\_14</u>.
- [145] Hoffmann B, Valerius O, Andermann M, Braus GH. Transcriptional autoregulation and inhibition of mRNA translation of amino acid regulator gene cpcA of filamentous fungus Aspergillus nidulans. Mol Biol Cell 2001;12:2846–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.12.9.2846</u>.
- [146] Hoffmann B, Wanke C, LaPaglia SK, Braus GH. c-Jun and RACK1 homologues regulate a control point for sexual development in Aspergillus nidulans. Mol Microbiol 2000;37:28–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01954.</u> x.
- [147] Natarajan K, Meyer MR, Jackson BM, Slade D, Roberts C, Hinnebusch AG, et al. Transcriptional profiling shows that Gcn4p is a master regulator of gene expression during amino acid starvation in yeast. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:4347–68.
- [148] Sorbo GD, Ruocco M, Schoonbeek H-jan, Scala F, Pane C, Vinale F, et al. Cloning and functional characterization of BcatrA a gene encoding an ABC transporter of the plant pathogenic fungus Botryotinia fuckeliana (Botrytis cinerea). Mycol Res 2008;112:737–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> mycres.2008.01.005.
- [149] Stefanato FL, Abou-Mansour E, Buchala A, Kretschmer M, Mosbach A, Hahn M, et al. The ABC transporter BcatrB fromBotrytis cinereaexports camalexin and is a virulence factor onArabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 2009;58:499–510. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2009.03794.x.</u>