

Review

Genomic-Wide Analysis with Microarrays in Human Oncology

Kenichi Inaoka, Yoshikuni Inokawa and Shuji Nomoto *

Department of Surgery, Aichi-Gakuin University School of Dentistry, 2-11 Suemori-dori, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8651, Japan; E-Mails: inaokakn@dpc.agu.ac.jp (K.I.); yinokawa@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp (Y.I.)

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: snomoto@dpc.agu.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-52-7592167; Fax: +81-52-7592107.

Academic Editor: Massimo Negrini

Received: 24 July 2015 / Accepted: 13 October 2015 / Published: 16 October 2015

Abstract: DNA microarray technologies have advanced rapidly and had a profound impact on examining gene expression on a genomic scale in research. This review discusses the history and development of microarray and DNA chip devices, and specific microarrays are described along with their methods and applications. In particular, microarrays have detected many novel cancer-related genes by comparing cancer tissues and non-cancerous tissues in oncological research. Recently, new methods have been in development, such as the double-combination array and triple-combination array, which allow more effective analysis of gene expression and epigenetic changes. Analysis of gene expression alterations in precancerous regions compared with normal regions and array analysis in drug-resistance cancer tissues are also successfully performed. Compared with next-generation sequencing, a similar method of genome analysis, several important differences distinguish these techniques and their applications. Development of novel microarray technologies is expected to contribute to further cancer research.

Keywords: microarray; gene expression; combination array

1. Introduction

Microarray technology has been widely used for various fields such as medical science and basic biology. These methods allow the analysis of exhaustive gene expression changes in specimens which were carried out for their genome analysis. Over the last decade, the number of studies using DNA

microarray has rapidly increased, and a PubMed search for “DNA microarray” reveals more than 80,000 publications in May 2015. In particular, many studies using this technology are in the field of oncology, and these studies have identified a number of critical genes in cancer progression. In this review, we provide an overview of the development of principle microarrays and the application of each array, and we introduce initial findings using the combination array. The last section describes the application, limitations, association with next-generation sequencing, and future prospects.

2. The Initial History of Microarrays

The original DNA array was created with the colony hybridization method of Grunstein and Hogness [1]. In this method, the DNA of interest is cloned into *Escherichia coli* plasmids, and *E. coli* colonies with different hybrid plasmids can be screened to determine a specified DNA sequence or gene. DNA prints of the colonies are then hybridized to radioactive RNA, and are analyzed by autoradiography. This method can be used to isolate any gene.

Using this approach, Gergen *et al.* [2] reported a method for making paper filter replicas of such an ordered collection and developed a strategy for creating a high-density (10,000 colonies/petri) unordered collection. These different mixtures of probes could be used for nucleic acid hybridization screens of recombinant DNA colonies.

In 1980, Crampton *et al.* [3] compared RNA populations derived from normal human lymphocytes and fibroblasts by hybridizing each RNA to cDNA derived from the other RNA population. The isolation of cloned cDNA sequences revealed the differentially expression between two samples.

Schena *et al.* [4] published a high-capacity system that was developed to analyze the gene expression in parallel. Microarray technologies which were prepared by high-speed robotic printing of complementary DNAs on glass were useful for quantitative expression analysis of the corresponding genes. Differential gene expression measurements were obtained using simultaneous, two-color fluorescence hybridization.

In 1996, DeRisi *et al.* [5] published a method describing very high density cDNA microarrays on glass substrates using fluorescent probes, and these arrays were used to search for differences in gene expression associated with tumor suppression.

Since these initial studies, DNA microarray technologies have developed rapidly in a variety of fields.

2.1. Microarray Devices (DNA Chip Synthesis)

2.1.1. *In Situ* Synthesized Type

The methods for preparing DNA chips combine photochemistry and photolithography with solid-phase DNA synthesis chemistry to generate a high-density oligonucleotide probe array *in situ* [6–8]. These two-dimensional arrays containing hundreds or thousands of oligonucleotide probes provide a powerful DNA sequence analysis tool [6]. For example, this method is now used to produce the high-density gene chip probe arrays, which are used for the detection and analysis of point mutations and SNPs and for gene expression studies [9]. The change of the carried probe number in expression array by Affymetrix shows Table 1.

Table 1. The change Probe/GeneChip number in expression arrays by Affymetrix.

| Date | Feature Size | Probe/GeneChip |
|------|--------------|----------------|
| 1994 | 100 | 16,000 |
| 1996 | 50 | 65,000 |
| 1998 | 24 | 256,000 |
| 2000 | 20 | 400,000 |
| 2002 | 18 | 505,000 |
| 2003 | 11 | 1,354,000 |
| 2004 | 8 | 2,560,000 |
| 2005 | 5 | 6,553,000 |

2.1.2. Spotting Type

Many microarray spotting technologies and techniques have been successfully developed. DNA chips can be produced using the spot method, in which cDNAs are selectively deposited on specific positions on a glass slide using a spotter. Two of the more important spotting techniques used are the pin-based fluid transfer systems [10–13] and the piezo-based inkjet dispenser systems [14]. Table 2 shows the change of the coverage of genes and transcripts in expression array by Agilent. The microarray devices have rapidly developed.

Table 2. The change of the coverage of the genes and transcripts in expression array by Agilent.

| Date | Gene Transcript |
|------|-----------------|
| 2000 | 12,814 |
| 2002 | 15,217 |
| 2006 | 20,356 |
| 2010 | 41,000 |
| 2014 | 56,689 |

3. Microarray Types

3.1. Expression Array

The major application of DNA microarrays has been for the measurement of gene expression levels. RNA is extracted from cells, directly fluorescently labeled, and converted to labeled cDNA. The labeled cDNA is hybridized to the microarray, the array is washed, and the signal is detected by measuring fluorescence at each spot. The intensity of the signal on each spot is taken as a measure of the expression level of the corresponding gene [9,15].

Multiple studies have successfully used these techniques to evaluate gene expression levels in human diseases, including cancers. Shim *et al.* [16] performed an expression profile of genes associated with human cervical cancer using cDNA expression arrays. Rhee *et al.* [17] showed molecular evidence of the qualitative and quantitative high heterogeneity in gene expression among three human glioblastoma cell lines using cDNA expression arrays.

3.2. Methylation Array

Cancers often exhibit aberrant methylation status of gene promoter regions associated with loss of gene function [18,19]. This epigenetic process acts as an alternative strategy to mutations to disrupt tumor suppressor gene function. CpG island hypermethylation has been shown to be a common event in cancers [20,21]. To detect hypermethylation status, the demethylating agent 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine is used [22] and gene expression changes are subsequently measured by microarrays [23]. Methylation arrays can also aid in the identification of three tumor suppressor genes including *CRIP-1*, *Apolipoprotein D*, and *Neuromedin U* by comparing the methylation of CpG islands of promoter regions in cancer tissue and corresponding normal tissue [24]. Notably, the demethylation status of particular genes is also related to carcinogenesis. Genes upregulated by demethylation can play a clinically significant role in cancer tissues [25].

3.3. Comparative Genomic Hybridization (CGH) Array

The CGH method measures genomic changes such as deletions of chromosome copy number and amplification [26]. The CGH array was developed as a method to detect genome abnormalities such as the minute gene amplification, deletion, and DNA copy number alterations [27–33].

3.4. Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) Array

A SNP, a variation at a single site in DNA, is the most frequent type of variation in the genome [34,35], with an estimated 10 million SNPs in the human genome [36]. SNPs have been associated with disease and drug metabolism. The SNP array is a type of DNA microarray used to detect polymorphisms within a population [37–40] and this array can detect SNPs associated with diseases [41,42], genotyping [40,43–45], copy number variation [46], and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) [38,39].

3.5. MicroRNA(miRNA) Array

In 1993, Lee *et al.* [47] discovered that *lin-4*, a gene known to control the timing of *Caenorhabditis elegans* larval development, does not code for a protein but instead produces a pair of small RNAs approximately 22 and 61 nt in length. The shorter *lin-4*RNA is now recognized as the founding member of an abundant class of short regulatory RNAs called microRNAs or miRNAs [48–50]. The importance and the role of miRNA-directed gene regulation are coming into focus as their regulatory targets and functions [51]. Liu *et al.* [52] described the using of the first miRNA microarray. After that, the miRNA microarrays revealed their functions in control of cell proliferation, cell death associated with carcinogenesis [53,54], fat metabolism in flies [55,56], and modulation of hematopoietic lineage differentiation in mammals [57]. For example, miR-21 was detected by miRNA array in various cancers [58], and high miR-21 expression is associated with the poor survival and poor therapeutic outcome in colon cancer [59].

3.6. Long-Noncoding RNA (LncRNA) Array

More recently, lncRNAs, generally defined as short RNAs greater than 200 nt in length, have risen to prominence with important roles in a broad range of biological processes [60]. LncRNAs regulate gene expression at the level of post-transcriptional processing such as protein synthesis, RNA maturation, transport, cell differentiation, immune responses, and activity and localization of protein coding genes [61,62]. They also exert their effects in transcriptional gene silencing through the regulation of chromatin structure [60,63]. Dysregulation of lncRNAs is associated with many human diseases, including various types of cancers [64]. Many studies have used lncRNA microarrays to demonstrate lncRNA gene expression profiles and the prognostic potential of lncRNA profiles in various cancers [65,66].

3.7. Platform Description

The presently available and most used platforms show Table 3. These platforms are used widely in many laboratories.

Table 3. List of the main platforms ion the each microarrays.

| Vendor | Expression Array | Methylation Array | SNP Array | miRNA Array |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Affymerix | Human GenomeU133 Plus 2.0 Array | - | Genome-wide human SNP Array 6.0 | GeneChip® miRNA 4.0 Array |
| | HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip Array | Human Menthylation450 BeadChip | HumanOmniExpress BeadChip Kit | - |
| Agilent | SurePrint G3 Human Gene Expression v3 8 × 60K Microarray Kit | Human DNA Methylation Microarray 244K | SurePrint G3 CGH + SNP Microarray Kit, 2 × 400K | SurePrint G3 Human miRNA Microarray 8 × 60K |

4. Applications of Microarrays

4.1. Comparison with Cancer Tissue and Corresponding Normal Tissue

Many studies have used microarrays to compare cancer regions with non-cancer regions and detected many cancer-related genes such as oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes [67–69]. For example, expression arrays have been successfully used to examine esophageal cancer [70,71], gastric cancer [72,73], colorectal cancer [67], breast cancer [74], and HCC [75–78], and methylation arrays were used in esophageal cancer [79], gastric cancer [80–82], colorectal cancer [83], breast cancer [21,84], and HCC [85–87].

4.2. Combination Array

Recently, the new microarray methodology have reported more effective. Nomoto *et al.* [88] developed the “double-combination array” by combining expression array analysis and SNP array analysis to effectively gain whole genome information. The gene expression profile provides a snapshot

of the transcriptional state of noncancerous and tumor tissues. The SNP array is a useful tool for surveying LOH, a prominent characteristic of many human cancers. The authors combined the use of these two microarrays in one representative surgical sample and effectively identified several, novel tumor-specific gene alterations [89].

First, in one cancer sample, novel target genes that are downregulated in cancer regions are detected by expression array. The silencing mechanism is then analyzed using the SNP array, and the results confirm the absence of copy number variation and LOH. Assuming that the observed downregulation may be due to epigenetic changes, the target genes are validated in clinical specimens using methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction. Positive results can suggest that the gene is downregulated in cancer tissues through promoter hypermethylation and may have a role as a candidate tumor suppressor gene. This technique has detected many novel candidate tumor suppressor genes.

Using this double-combination array, many important genes have been detected, including metallothionein 1G (*MT1G*), epidermal growth factor-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix protein 1 (*EFEMP1*), A kinase anchor protein 12 (*AKAP12*), and leukemia inhibitory factor receptor (*LIFR*) genes as tumor suppressor genes in hepatocellular carcinoma, and reelin (*RELN*) as a key regulatory gene associated with the recurrence of HCC [88–92]. Likewise, Kobayashi *et al.* [93] detected the suppressor of cytokine signaling 4 (*SOCS4*) as a novel gastric cancer suppressor gene using a double-combination array in gastric cancer.

To further evaluate hypermethylation of the promoter CpG islands, methylation array can be added to complete the triple-combination array method, which is designed to more efficiently search for epigenetic alterations [94,95]. The triple-combination array has detected many genes, including bleomycin hydrolase gene (*BLMH*), estrogen receptor 1 gene (*ESR1*), dynamin 3 (*DNM3*), doublecortin domain-containing 2 (*DCDC2*), collagen type 1 alpha 1 gene (*COL1A1*), protein tyrosine kinase 7 (*PTK7*), and cyclin J (*CCNJ*) as candidate cancer-related genes in HCC [94–100].

4.3. Combination Array in other Groups

Other studies have successfully used the combination of expression array and SNP array in examining infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the breast [101], the combination of methylation array and expression array in prostate cancer [102], and the combination of expression array and CGH array in renal cell carcinoma [103].

4.4. Selection of Comparison Samples

In general, microarrays are typically used to compare tumor tissue and corresponding normal tissue. However, when used to compare precancerous tissue with the corresponding normal tissue, it can identify alterations in gene expression and methylation events that lead to carcinogenesis, and thus may have the potential to evaluate the risk of carcinogenesis and recurrence.

Nomoto *et al.* [104] examined adjacent nonneoplastic liver tissue from a patient with hepatocellular carcinoma comparing with supernormal liver (SN) samples taken from metastatic secondary malignancies of the liver. The tissue of SN was actual normal liver. Therefore, it seemed that there was no molecule which showed fundamentally abnormal. However, it could not be denied that there was the individual feature. Then they thought that this individual projection was erased by mixing 11 SN

samples. Expression profiling and methylation arrays revealed that expression of the thimet oligopeptidase (*THOP1*) gene in the background liver of HCC is likely to be a good biomarker for risk of HCC development.

To validate genome-wide DNA methylation profiles during multistage hepatocarcinogenesis, Ammerpohl *et al.* [105] revealed that the methylation status have changed gradually from normal to cirrhosis and further to HCC using a methylation array. Nagashiro *et al.* [106] established criteria for carcinogenetic risk estimation based on DNA methylation array profiling to compare samples of noncancerous liver tissue obtained from HCC patients with normal liver tissue samples. Arai *et al.* [85] performed bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) array-based methylated CpG island amplification using a microarray of 4361 BAC clones in normal liver tissue obtained from patients without HCC, noncancerous liver tissue obtained from patients with HCC, and HCC samples. The DNA methylation status of the 41 BAC clones was correlated with the cancer-free and overall survival rates of patients with HCC.

Okamoto *et al.* [107] classified patients with hepatitis C-positive HCC into two groups: the single nodular HCC group and multicentric (MC) HCC group. The authors compared gene expression patterns of the noncancerous liver tissue specimens using cDNA microarrays, and created a scoring system to estimate the risk for MC hepatocarcinogenesis. Utsunomiya *et al.* [108] performed miRNA microarrays to compare the miRNA expression patterns in the non-cancerous liver tissues between the MC recurrence group and no MC recurrence group to identify miRNAs related to MC recurrence. The authors detected 20 differently expressed miRNAs, 18 of which were downregulated in the MC group and 2 of which were upregulated.

Sato *et al.* [109] performed genome-wide DNA methylation array analysis in normal lung tissue obtained from patients without any primary lung tumor, non-cancerous lung tissue obtained from patients with lung adenocarcinomas, and tumorous tissue. DNA hypermethylation at precancerous tissues was strengthened during progression to lung adenocarcinomas.

4.5. Analysis of Drug-Resistant Cancer Tissues

Microarray technology has been successfully used to define the molecular changes associated with the drug-resistant phenotype in drug-resistant cancer cells [110]. These changes may be useful as biomarkers of drug sensitivity, molecular target medicines and prediction factors of chemotherapy response.

Duan *et al.* [110] showed that paclitaxel- and adriamycin-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines had significant overexpression of at least one cytokine/chemokine compared with their drug-sensitive parent line. Liu *et al.* [111] detected that maternally expressed gene 3 (*MEG3*) expression was markedly decreased in cisplatin-resistant A549/DDP cells compared with parental A549 cells as shown by an lncRNA microarray. Patients with lower levels of *MEG3* expression also showed worse responses to cisplatin-based chemotherapy. Thus, *MEG3* may represent a new marker of poor response to cisplatin and could be a potential therapeutic target for lung cell adenocarcinoma chemotherapy. Gao *et al.* [112] showed that cluster of differentiation 44 (*CD44*) was overexpressed in drug-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines, and the authors performed a unique ovarian cancer tissue microarray constructed with paired primary, metastatic, and recurrent tumor tissues from individual patients. Both the metastatic and recurrent ovarian cancer tissues expressed higher levels of *CD44* than the primary tumor. Additionally, *CD44* knockdown in ovarian cancer cells increased sensitivity to the anticancer drug paclitaxel. Thus, these findings demonstrated that upregulation of *CD44* was a crucial event in the development of the

recurrence, metastasis, and acquisition of drug resistance in ovarian cancer. Fang *et al.* [113] studied miRNA expression profiles in colorectal cancer, comparing chemoresistant and chemosensitive groups by microarray analysis. Overexpression of miRNA-17-5p was found in chemoresistant patients. The authors also found that *PTEN* was a target of miR-17-5p in colon cancer cells, and their context-specific interactions were responsible for multiple drug resistance. Akcakaya *et al.* [114] performed a miRNA array in drug-resistant gastrointestinal stromal tumors, and Maeda *et al.* [115] validated the gene alterations in drug-resistant gastric cancer by expression and methylation arrays.

5. The Present and Future of Microarrays

5.1. Effective use of Public Databases for Microarray Data

Microarray expression studies are producing massive quantities of gene expression and other functional genomic data, which will help provide key insights into gene function. It is widely acknowledged that there is a need for public repositories for microarray data [116] whose functions would include providing free access to supporting data for publications based on microarray experiments. Such repositories are under development by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (which has developed the Gene Expression Omnibus) [117], the DNA Database of Japan [118], and the European Bioinformatics Institute (which has developed ArrayExpress) [119]. The miRBase database is also a searchable database of published miRNA sequences and annotation [120].

In addition, the system can search for a specific gene and a specific disease and use properly for each purpose. It is necessary for other researchers to be careful to have access to the underlying data. Even the most carefully conducted studies should require intensive review and consideration of previously published data before embarking on new studies [121].

Although many microarray results have been derived from public databases, one problem was the lack of standards for presenting and exchanging such databases. To address these issues, the members of the Function Genomics Data Society created the MIAME (Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment) standards for the description of microarray experiments [122]. Making microarray data public in a MIAME-compliant manner has become a precondition for publication for many journals [117]. Publishing original data and protocols facilitates independent evaluation of results and re-analysis, and maintains the spirit of open access [123].

5.2. The Relevance of Microarray Quality Control

DNA microarray technologies have had some problems regarding reproducibility and comparability between laboratories and across inter- and intra-platforms of gene expression measurements [124–130]. The MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project was initiated to address these concerns and showed intra-platform consistency across test sites as well as a high level of inter-platform concordance in terms of genes identified as differentially expressed by microarray methods. This study provides a resource that represents an important first step toward establishing a framework for the use of microarrays in clinical and regulatory settings [131,132]. International organizations such as External RNA Control Consortium [133], the Microarray Gene Expression Data Society [123], and the MAQC project are providing the microarray community with standardization of data reporting, common analysis tools, and

useful controls that can help provide confidence in the consistency and reliability of these gene expression platforms [131].

5.3. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) Compared with Microarrays

Recently, the advent of NGS, or massively parallel sequencing, has precipitated the discovery of variants in the human genome [134], allowed whole-genome sequencing of microorganisms [135], and has led the way towards novel applications in the fields of human genetics [136], cancer [137,138], and infectious diseases [139,140]. NGS technologies have had a great impact on the field of expression research. Compared to microarray technology, the NGS method has several distinct advantages. The detection range of NGS is not limited to a set of predetermined probes as with the microarray technology, therefore NGS is capable of identifying new genes. And, the analysis of a microarray is limited to the gene level for most arrays, whereas NGS can detect expression at the gene, transcript, and coding DNA sequence levels. Finally, NGS can be used for traditional transcriptome profiling [141,142], identification of novel transcripts [143], identification of expressed SNPs [144,145], alternative splicing, and for the detection of gene fusion events [146–149]. However, in comparison with a microarray, NGS provides enormous gene information and thus requires significant costs for analysis [132,150,151]. Therefore, it will be necessary to use each characteristic effectively.

6. Conclusions

Development of microarray technologies and their applications have been rapidly advancing, and significant amounts of raw data have already been generated. Using these data effectively will enable researchers to further studies in the areas of understanding human disease, with the aim of improving diagnosis and developing effective treatments for many diseases, including cancer.

Author Contributions

Kenichi Inaoka performed literature searches and contributed to the writing of this review; Yoshikuni Inokawa and Shuji Nomoto conceived and wrote this review.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Fodor, S.P.; Read, J.L.; Pirrung, M.C.; Stryer, L.; Lu, A.T.; Solas, D. Light-directed, spatially addressable parallel chemical synthesis. *Science* **1991**, *251*, 767–773.
2. Pease, A.C.; Solas, D.; Sullivan, E.J.; Cronin, M.T.; Holmes, C.P.; Fodor, S.P. Light-generated oligonucleotide arrays for rapid DNA sequence analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 5022–5026.
3. Schena, M. Genome analysis with gene expression microarrays. *Bioessays* **1996**, *18*, 427–431.

4. Schena, M.; Shalon, D.; Heller, R.; Chai, A.; Brown, P.O.; Davis, R.W. Parallel human genome analysis: Microarray-based expression monitoring of 1000 genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, *93*, 10614–10619.
5. Shalon, D.; Smith, S.J.; Brown, P.O. A DNA microarray system for analyzing complex DNA samples using two-color fluorescent probe hybridization. *Genome Res.* **1996**, *6*, 639–645.
6. Schena, M.; Heller, R.A.; Theriault, T.P.; Konrad, K.; Lachenmeier, E.; Davis, R.W. Microarrays: Biotechnology's discovery platform for functional genomics. *Trends Biotechnol.* **1998**, *16*, 301–306.
7. Solinas-Toldo, S.; Lampel, S.; Stilgenbauer, S.; Nickolenko, J.; Benner, A.; Dohner, H.; Cremer, T.; Lichter, P. Matrix-based comparative genomic hybridization: Biochips to screen for genomic imbalances. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **1997**, *20*, 399–407.
8. Pinkel, D.; Se Graves, R.; Sudar, D.; Clark, S.; Poole, I.; Kowbel, D.; Collins, C.; Kuo, W.L.; Chen, C.; Zhai, Y.; *et al.* High resolution analysis of DNA copy number variation using comparative genomic hybridization to microarrays. *Nat. Genet.* **1998**, *20*, 207–211.
9. Hackett, C.S.; Hodgson, J.G.; Law, M.E.; Fridlyand, J.; Osoegawa, K.; de Jong, P.J.; Nowak, N.J.; Pinkel, D.; Albertson, D.G.; Jain, A.; *et al.* Genome-wide array CGH analysis of murine neuroblastoma reveals distinct genomic aberrations which parallel those in human tumors. *Cancer Res.* **2003**, *63*, 5266–5273.
10. Squire, J.A.; Pei, J.; Marrano, P.; Beheshti, B.; Bayani, J.; Lim, G.; Moldovan, L.; Zielenska, M. High-resolution mapping of amplifications and deletions in pediatric osteosarcoma by use of CGH analysis of cDNA microarrays. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **2003**, *38*, 215–225.
11. Watson, S.K.; deLeeuw, R.J.; Ishkanian, A.S.; Malloff, C.A.; Lam, W.L. Methods for high throughput validation of amplified fragment pools of BAC DNA for constructing high resolution CGH arrays. *BMC Genomics* **2004**, *5*, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-5-6.
12. Ishkanian, A.S.; Malloff, C.A.; Ho, J.; Meng, A.; Albert, M.; Syed, A.; van der Kwast, T.; Milosevic, M.; Yoshimoto, M.; Squire, J.A.; *et al.* High-resolution array cgh identifies novel regions of genomic alteration in intermediate-risk prostate cancer. *Prostate* **2009**, *69*, 1091–1100.
13. Suda, T.; Hama, T.; Kondo, S.; Yuza, Y.; Yoshikawa, M.; Urashima, M.; Kato, T.; Moriyama, H. Copy number amplification of the *PIK3CA* gene is associated with poor prognosis in non-lymph node metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* **2012**, *12*, doi:10.1186/1471-2407-12-416.
14. Sapolsky, R.J.; Hsie, L.; Berno, A.; Ghandour, G.; Mittmann, M.; Fan, J.B. High-throughput polymorphism screening and genotyping with high-density oligonucleotide arrays. *Genet. Anal.* **1999**, *14*, 187–192.
15. Matsuzaki, H.; Loi, H.; Dong, S.; Tsai, Y.Y.; Fang, J.; Law, J.; Di, X.; Liu, W.M.; Yang, G.; Liu, G.; *et al.* Parallel genotyping of over 10,000 SNPs using a one-primer assay on a high-density oligonucleotide array. *Genome Res.* **2004**, *14*, 414–425.
16. Dong, S.; Wang, E.; Hsie, L.; Cao, Y.; Chen, X.; Gingeras, T.R. Flexible use of high-density oligonucleotide arrays for single-nucleotide polymorphism discovery and validation. *Genome Res.* **2001**, *11*, 1418–1424.
17. Kennedy, G.C.; Matsuzaki, H.; Dong, S.; Liu, W.M.; Huang, J.; Liu, G.; Su, X.; Cao, M.; Chen, W.; Zhang, J.; *et al.* Large-scale genotyping of complex DNA. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *21*, 1233–1237.

18. Hu, N.; Wang, C.; Hu, Y.; Yang, H.H.; Giffen, C.; Tang, Z.Z.; Han, X.Y.; Goldstein, A.M.; Emmert-Buck, M.R.; Buetow, K.H.; *et al.* Genome-wide association study in esophageal cancer using genechip mapping 10K array. *Cancer Res.* **2005**, *65*, 2542–2546.
19. Lagos-Quintana, M.; Rauhut, R.; Lendeckel, W.; Tuschl, T. Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 853–858.
20. Lau, N.C.; Lim, L.P.; Weinstein, E.G.; Bartel, D.P. An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory roles in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 858–862.
21. Lee, R.C.; Ambros, V. An extensive class of small RNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 862–864.
22. Bertucci, F.; Van Hulst, S.; Bernard, K.; Lloriod, B.; Granjeaud, S.; Tagett, R.; Starkey, M.; Nguyen, C.; Jordan, B.; Birnbaum, D. Expression scanning of an array of growth control genes in human tumor cell lines. *Oncogene* **1999**, *18*, 3905–3912.
23. Smid-Koopman, E.; Blok, L.J.; Chadha-Ajwani, S.; Helmerhorst, T.J.; Brinkmann, A.O.; Huikeshoven, F.J. Gene expression profiles of human endometrial cancer samples using a cDNA-expression array technique: Assessment of an analysis method. *Br. J. Cancer* **2000**, *83*, 246–251.
24. Iizuka, N.; Oka, M.; Yamada-Okabe, H.; Mori, N.; Tamesa, T.; Okada, T.; Takemoto, N.; Tangoku, A.; Hamada, K.; Nakayama, H.; *et al.* Comparison of gene expression profiles between hepatitis B virus- and hepatitis C virus-infected hepatocellular carcinoma by oligonucleotide microarray data on the basis of a supervised learning method. *Cancer Res.* **2002**, *62*, 3939–3944.
25. Okabe, H.; Satoh, S.; Kato, T.; Kitahara, O.; Yanagawa, R.; Yamaoka, Y.; Tsunoda, T.; Furukawa, Y.; Nakamura, Y. Genome-wide analysis of gene expression in human hepatocellular carcinomas using cDNA microarray: Identification of genes involved in viral carcinogenesis and tumor progression. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 2129–2137.
26. Shirota, Y.; Kaneko, S.; Honda, M.; Kawai, H.F.; Kobayashi, K. Identification of differentially expressed genes in hepatocellular carcinoma with cDNA microarrays. *Hepatology* **2001**, *33*, 832–840.
27. Smith, M.W.; Yue, Z.N.; Geiss, G.K.; Sadovnikova, N.Y.; Carter, V.S.; Boix, L.; Lazaro, C.A.; Rosenberg, G.B.; Bumgarner, R.E.; Fausto, N.; *et al.* Identification of novel tumor markers in hepatitis C virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* **2003**, *63*, 859–864.
28. Matsusaka, K.; Kaneda, A.; Nagae, G.; Ushiku, T.; Kikuchi, Y.; Hino, R.; Uozaki, H.; Seto, Y.; Takada, K.; Aburatani, H.; *et al.* Classification of epstein-barr virus-positive gastric cancers by definition of DNA methylation epigenotypes. *Cancer Res.* **2011**, *71*, 7187–7197.
29. Ushijima, T.; Hattori, N. Molecular pathways: Involvement of helicobacter pylori-triggered inflammation in the formation of an epigenetic field defect, and its usefulness as cancer risk and exposure markers. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2012**, *18*, 923–929.
30. Yamanoi, K.; Arai, E.; Tian, Y.; Takahashi, Y.; Miyata, S.; Sasaki, H.; Chiwaki, F.; Ichikawa, H.; Sakamoto, H.; Kushima, R.; *et al.* Epigenetic clustering of gastric carcinomas based on DNA methylation profiles at the precancerous stage: Its correlation with tumor aggressiveness and patient outcome. *Carcinogenesis* **2015**, *36*, 509–520.
31. Revill, K.; Wang, T.; Lachenmayer, A.; Kojima, K.; Harrington, A.; Li, J.; Hoshida, Y.; Llovet, J.M.; Powers, S. Genome-wide methylation analysis and epigenetic unmasking identify tumor suppressor genes in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* **2013**, *145*, 1424–1435.

32. Villanueva, A.; Portela, A.; Sayols, S.; Battiston, C.; Hoshida, Y.; Mendez-Gonzalez, J.; Imbeaud, S.; Letouze, E.; Hernandez-Gea, V.; Cornella, H.; *et al.* DNA methylation-based prognosis and epidrivers in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* **2015**, *61*, 1945–1956.
33. Okamura, Y.; Nomoto, S.; Kanda, M.; Li, Q.; Nishikawa, Y.; Sugimoto, H.; Kanazumi, N.; Takeda, S.; Nakao, A. Leukemia inhibitory factor receptor (*LIFR*) is detected as a novel suppressor gene of hepatocellular carcinoma using double-combination array. *Cancer Lett.* **2010**, *289*, 170–177.
34. Hayashi, M.; Nomoto, S.; Kanda, M.; Okamura, Y.; Nishikawa, Y.; Yamada, S.; Fujii, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Takeda, S.; Kodera, Y. Identification of the a kinase anchor protein 12 (*AKAP12*) gene as a candidate tumor suppressor of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **2012**, *105*, 381–386.
35. Okamura, Y.; Nomoto, S.; Kanda, M.; Hayashi, M.; Nishikawa, Y.; Fujii, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Takeda, S.; Nakao, A. Reduced expression of reelin (*RELN*) gene is associated with high recurrence rate of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **2011**, *18*, 572–579.
36. Hishida, M.; Nomoto, S.; Inokawa, Y.; Hayashi, M.; Kanda, M.; Okamura, Y.; Nishikawa, Y.; Tanaka, C.; Kobayashi, D.; Yamada, S.; *et al.* Estrogen receptor 1 gene as a tumor suppressor gene in hepatocellular carcinoma detected by triple-combination array analysis. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2013**, *43*, 88–94.
37. Inokawa, Y.; Nomoto, S.; Hishida, M.; Hayashi, M.; Kanda, M.; Nishikawa, Y.; Takeda, S.; Fujiwara, M.; Koike, M.; Sugimoto, H.; *et al.* Dynamin 3: A new candidate tumor suppressor gene in hepatocellular carcinoma detected by triple combination array analysis. *Oncol. Targets Ther.* **2013**, *6*, 1417–1424.
38. Inokawa, Y.; Nomoto, S.; Hishida, M.; Hayashi, M.; Kanda, M.; Nishikawa, Y.; Takeda, S.; Sugimoto, H.; Fujii, T.; Yamada, S.; *et al.* Detection of doublecortin domain-containing 2 (*DCDC2*), a new candidate tumor suppressor gene of hepatocellular carcinoma, by triple combination array analysis. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **2013**, *32*, doi:10.1186/1756-9966-32-65.
39. Hishida, M.; Inokawa, Y.; Takano, N.; Nishikawa, Y.; Iwata, N.; Kanda, M.; Tanaka, C.; Kobayashi, D.; Yamada, S.; Nakayama, G.; *et al.* Protein tyrosine kinase 7: A hepatocellular carcinoma-related gene detected by triple-combination array. *J. Surg. Res.* **2014**, *195*, 444–453.
40. Takano, N.; Hishida, M.; Inokawa, Y.; Hayashi, M.; Kanda, M.; Nishikawa, Y.; Iwata, N.; Kobayashi, D.; Tanaka, C.; Yamada, S.; *et al.* *CCNJ* detected by triple combination array analysis as a tumor-related gene of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2015**, *46*, 1963–1970.
41. Frantz, S. An array of problems. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2005**, *4*, 362–363.
42. Marshall, E. Getting the noise out of gene arrays. *Science* **2004**, *306*, 630–631.
43. Michiels, S.; Koscielny, S.; Hill, C. Prediction of cancer outcome with microarrays: A multiple random validation strategy. *Lancet* **2005**, *365*, 488–492.
44. Irizarry, R.A.; Warren, D.; Spencer, F.; Kim, I.F.; Biswal, S.; Frank, B.C.; Gabrielson, E.; Garcia, J.G.; Geoghegan, J.; Germino, G.; *et al.* Multiple-laboratory comparison of microarray platforms. *Nat. Methods* **2005**, *2*, 345–350.
45. Dobbin, K.K.; Beer, D.G.; Meyerson, M.; Yeatman, T.J.; Gerald, W.L.; Jacobson, J.W.; Conley, B.; Buetow, K.H.; Heiskanen, M.; Simon, R.M.; *et al.* Interlaboratory comparability study of cancer gene expression analysis using oligonucleotide microarrays. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2005**, *11*, 565–572.
46. Larkin, J.E.; Frank, B.C.; Gavras, H.; Sultana, R.; Quackenbush, J. Independence and reproducibility across microarray platforms. *Nat. Methods* **2005**, *2*, 337–344.

47. Kuo, W.P.; Liu, F.; Trimarchi, J.; Punzo, C.; Lombardi, M.; Sarang, J.; Whipple, M.E.; Maysuria, M.; Serikawa, K.; Lee, S.Y.; *et al.* A sequence-oriented comparison of gene expression measurements across different hybridization-based technologies. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2006**, *24*, 832–840.
48. Chao, H.H.; He, X.; Parker, J.S.; Zhao, W.; Perou, C.M. Micro-scale genomic DNA copy number aberrations as another means of mutagenesis in breast cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e51719.
49. Wang, K.; Singh, D.; Zeng, Z.; Coleman, S.J.; Huang, Y.; Savich, G.L.; He, X.; Mieczkowski, P.; Grimm, S.A.; Perou, C.M.; *et al.* Mapslice: Accurate mapping of RNA-seq reads for splice junction discovery. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38*, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq622.
50. Sultan, M.; Schulz, M.H.; Richard, H.; Magen, A.; Klingenhoff, A.; Scherf, M.; Seifert, M.; Borodina, T.; Soldatov, A.; Parkhomchuk, D.; *et al.* A global view of gene activity and alternative splicing by deep sequencing of the human transcriptome. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 956–960.
51. Pan, Q.; Shai, O.; Lee, L.J.; Frey, B.J.; Blencowe, B.J. Deep surveying of alternative splicing complexity in the human transcriptome by high-throughput sequencing. *Nat. Genet.* **2008**, *40*, 1413–1415.
52. Grunstein, M.; Hogness, D.S. Colony hybridization: A method for the isolation of cloned DNAs that contain a specific gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1975**, *72*, 3961–3965.
53. Gergen, J.P.; Stern, R.H.; Wensink, P.C. Filter replicas and permanent collections of recombinant DNA plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1979**, *7*, 2115–2136.
54. Crampton, J.; Humphries, S.; Woods, D.; Williamson, R. The isolation of cloned cDNA sequences which are differentially expressed in human lymphocytes and fibroblasts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1980**, *8*, 6007–6017.
55. Schena, M.; Shalon, D.; Davis, R.W.; Brown, P.O. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. *Science* **1995**, *270*, 467–470.
56. DeRisi, J.; Penland, L.; Brown, P.O.; Bittner, M.L.; Meltzer, P.S.; Ray, M.; Chen, Y.; Su, Y.A.; Trent, J.M. Use of a cDNA microarray to analyse gene expression patterns in human cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **1996**, *14*, 457–460.
57. Cronin, M.T.; Fucini, R.V.; Kim, S.M.; Masino, R.S.; Wespi, R.M.; Miyada, C.G. Cystic fibrosis mutation detection by hybridization to light-generated DNA probe arrays. *Hum. Mutat.* **1996**, *7*, 244–255.
58. Heller, M.J. DNA microarray technology: Devices, systems, and applications. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* **2002**, *4*, 129–153.
59. Hughes, T.R.; Mao, M.; Jones, A.R.; Burchard, J.; Marton, M.J.; Shannon, K.W.; Lefkowitz, S.M.; Ziman, M.; Schelter, J.M.; Meyer, M.R.; *et al.* Expression profiling using microarrays fabricated by an ink-jet oligonucleotide synthesizer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2001**, *19*, 342–347.
60. Lockhart, D.J.; Dong, H.; Byrne, M.C.; Follettie, M.T.; Gallo, M.V.; Chee, M.S.; Mittmann, M.; Wang, C.; Kobayashi, M.; Horton, H.; *et al.* Expression monitoring by hybridization to high-density oligonucleotide arrays. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **1996**, *14*, 1675–1680.
61. Shim, C.; Zhang, W.; Rhee, C.H.; Lee, J.H. Profiling of differentially expressed genes in human primary cervical cancer by complementary DNA expression array. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **1998**, *4*, 3045–3050.

62. Rhee, C.H.; Hess, K.; Jabbur, J.; Ruiz, M.; Yang, Y.; Chen, S.; Chenchik, A.; Fuller, G.N.; Zhang, W. cDNA expression array reveals heterogeneous gene expression profiles in three glioblastoma cell lines. *Oncogene* **1999**, *18*, 2711–2717.
63. Baylin, S.B.; Herman, J.G. DNA hypermethylation in tumorigenesis: Epigenetics joins genetics. *Trends Genet.* **2000**, *16*, 168–174.
64. Jones, P.A.; Laird, P.W. Cancer epigenetics comes of age. *Nat. Genet.* **1999**, *21*, 163–167.
65. Esteller, M.; Corn, P.G.; Baylin, S.B.; Herman, J.G. A gene hypermethylation profile of human cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 3225–3229.
66. Yan, P.S.; Chen, C.M.; Shi, H.; Rahmatpanah, F.; Wei, S.H.; Caldwell, C.W.; Huang, T.H. Dissecting complex epigenetic alterations in breast cancer using CpG island microarrays. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 8375–8380.
67. Cameron, E.E.; Bachman, K.E.; Myohanen, S.; Herman, J.G.; Baylin, S.B. Synergy of demethylation and histone deacetylase inhibition in the re-expression of genes silenced in cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **1999**, *21*, 103–107.
68. Yamashita, K.; Upadhyay, S.; Osada, M.; Hoque, M.O.; Xiao, Y.; Mori, M.; Sato, F.; Meltzer, S.J.; Sidransky, D. Pharmacologic unmasking of epigenetically silenced tumor suppressor genes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* **2002**, *2*, 485–495.
69. Shi, H.; Maier, S.; Nimmrich, I.; Yan, P.S.; Caldwell, C.W.; Olek, A.; Huang, T.H. Oligonucleotide-based microarray for DNA methylation analysis: Principles and applications. *J. Cell. Biochem* **2003**, *88*, 138–143.
70. Ogawa, K.; Utsunomiya, T.; Mimori, K.; Yamashita, K.; Okamoto, M.; Tanaka, F.; Inoue, H.; Ikeda, Y.; Saku, M.; Murayama, S.; *et al.* Genomic screens for genes upregulated by demethylation in colorectal cancer: Possible usefulness for clinical application. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2005**, *27*, 417–426.
71. Kallioniemi, A.; Kallioniemi, O.P.; Sudar, D.; Rutovitz, D.; Gray, J.W.; Waldman, F.; Pinkel, D. Comparative genomic hybridization for molecular cytogenetic analysis of solid tumors. *Science* **1992**, *258*, 818–821.
72. Brookes, A.J. The essence of SNPs. *Gene* **1999**, *234*, 177–186.
73. Miller, J.K.; Barnes, W.M. Colony probing as an alternative to standard sequencing as a means of direct analysis of chromosomal DNA to determine the spectrum of single-base changes in regions of known sequence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1986**, *83*, 1026–1030.
74. Kruglyak, L.; Nickerson, D.A. Variation is the spice of life. *Nat. Genet.* **2001**, *27*, 234–236.
75. Dutt, A.; Beroukhi, R. Single nucleotide polymorphism array analysis of cancer. *Curr. Opin. Oncol.* **2007**, *19*, 43–49.
76. Grant, S.F.; Hakonarson, H. Microarray technology and applications in the arena of genome-wide association. *Clin. Chem.* **2008**, *54*, 1116–1124.
77. Yoshihara, K.; Tajima, A.; Adachi, S.; Quan, J.; Sekine, M.; Kase, H.; Yahata, T.; Inoue, I.; Tanaka, K. Germline copy number variations in *BRC1*-associated ovarian cancer patients. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **2011**, *50*, 167–177.
78. Lin, M.; Wei, L.J.; Sellers, W.R.; Lieberfarb, M.; Wong, W.H.; Li, C. DchipSNP: Significance curve and clustering of SNP-array-based loss-of-heterozygosity data. *Bioinformatics* **2004**, *20*, 1233–1240.

79. Lindblad-Toh, K.; Tanenbaum, D.M.; Daly, M.J.; Winchester, E.; Lui, W.O.; Villapakkam, A.; Stanton, S.E.; Larsson, C.; Hudson, T.J.; Johnson, B.E.; *et al.* Loss-of-heterozygosity analysis of small-cell lung carcinomas using single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2000**, *18*, 1001–1005.
80. Lee, R.C.; Feinbaum, R.L.; Ambros, V. The *C. Elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. *Cell* **1993**, *75*, 843–854.
81. Bartel, D.P. MicroRNAs: Genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* **2004**, *116*, 281–297.
82. Liu, C.G.; Calin, G.A.; Meloon, B.; Gamliel, N.; Sevignani, C.; Ferracin, M.; Dumitru, C.; Shimizu, M.; Zupo, S.; Dono, M.; *et al.* An oligonucleotide microchip for genome-wide microRNA profiling in human and mouse tissues. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, *101*, 9740–9744.
83. Ueda, T.; Volinia, S.; Okumura, H.; Shimizu, M.; Taccioli, C.; Rossi, S.; Alder, H.; Liu, C.G.; Oue, N.; Yasui, W.; *et al.* Relation between microRNA expression and progression and prognosis of gastric cancer: A microRNA expression analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* **2010**, *11*, 136–146.
84. Martens-Uzunova, E.S.; Jalava, S.E.; Dits, N.F.; van Leenders, G.J.; Moller, S.; Trapman, J.; Bangma, C.H.; Litman, T.; Visakorpi, T.; Jenster, G. Diagnostic and prognostic signatures from the small non-coding RNA transcriptome in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* **2012**, *31*, 978–991.
85. Brennecke, J.; Hipfner, D.R.; Stark, A.; Russell, R.B.; Cohen, S.M. Bantam encodes a developmentally regulated microRNA that controls cell proliferation and regulates the proapoptotic gene *hid* in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **2003**, *113*, 25–36.
86. Xu, P.; Vernooy, S.Y.; Guo, M.; Hay, B.A. The drosophila microRNA miR-14 suppresses cell death and is required for normal fat metabolism. *Curr. Biol.* **2003**, *13*, 790–795.
87. Chen, C.Z.; Li, L.; Lodish, H.F.; Bartel, D.P. MicroRNAs modulate hematopoietic lineage differentiation. *Science* **2004**, *303*, 83–86.
88. Meng, F.; Henson, R.; Wehbe-Janek, H.; Ghoshal, K.; Jacob, S.T.; Patel, T. MicroRNA-21 regulates expression of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene in human hepatocellular cancer. *Gastroenterology* **2007**, *133*, 647–658.
89. Schetter, A.J.; Leung, S.Y.; Sohn, J.J.; Zanetti, K.A.; Bowman, E.D.; Yanaihara, N.; Yuen, S.; Chan, T.; Kwong, D.; Au, G.; *et al.* MicroRNA expression profiles associated with prognosis and therapeutic outcome in colon adenocarcinoma. *JAMA* **2008**, *299*, 425–436.
90. Nagano, T.; Fraser, P. No-nonsense functions for long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **2011**, *145*, 178–181.
91. Mercer, T.R.; Dinger, M.E.; Mattick, J.S. Long non-coding RNAs: Insights into functions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2009**, *10*, 155–159.
92. Sun, L.; Luo, H.; Liao, Q.; Bu, D.; Zhao, G.; Liu, C.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, Y. Systematic study of human long intergenic non-coding RNAs and their impact on cancer. *Sci. China Life Sci.* **2013**, *56*, 324–334.
93. Guttman, M.; Rinn, J.L. Modular regulatory principles of large non-coding RNAs. *Nature* **2012**, *482*, 339–346.
94. Ponting, C.P.; Oliver, P.L.; Reik, W. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **2009**, *136*, 629–641.
95. Hu, Y.; Wang, J.; Qian, J.; Kong, X.; Tang, J.; Wang, Y.; Chen, H.; Hong, J.; Zou, W.; Chen, Y.; *et al.* Long noncoding RNA gaplinc regulates CD44-dependent cell invasiveness and associates with poor prognosis of gastric cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2014**, *74*, 6890–6902.

96. Sorensen, K.P.; Thomassen, M.; Tan, Q.; Bak, M.; Cold, S.; Burton, M.; Larsen, M.J.; Kruse, T.A. Long non-coding RNA expression profiles predict metastasis in lymph node-negative breast cancer independently of traditional prognostic markers. *Breast Cancer Res.* **2015**, *17*, 55.
97. Lu, J.; Liu, Z.; Xiong, M.; Wang, Q.; Wang, X.; Yang, G.; Zhao, L.; Qiu, Z.; Zhou, C.; Wu, M. Gene expression profile changes in initiation and progression of squamous cell carcinoma of esophagus. *Int. J. Cancer* **2001**, *91*, 288–294.
98. Selaru, F.M.; Zou, T.; Xu, Y.; Shustova, V.; Yin, J.; Mori, Y.; Sato, F.; Wang, S.; Oлару, A.; Shibata, D.; *et al.* Global gene expression profiling in barrett's esophagus and esophageal cancer: A comparative analysis using cDNA microarrays. *Oncogene* **2002**, *21*, 475–478.
99. Hippo, Y.; Taniguchi, H.; Tsutsumi, S.; Machida, N.; Chong, J.M.; Fukayama, M.; Kodama, T.; Aburatani, H. Global gene expression analysis of gastric cancer by oligonucleotide microarrays. *Cancer Res.* **2002**, *62*, 233–240.
100. Inoue, H.; Matsuyama, A.; Mimori, K.; Ueo, H.; Mori, M. Prognostic score of gastric cancer determined by cDNA microarray. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2002**, *8*, 3475–3479.
101. Backert, S.; Gelos, M.; Kobalz, U.; Hanski, M.L.; Bohm, C.; Mann, B.; Lovin, N.; Gratchev, A.; Mansmann, U.; Moyer, M.P.; *et al.* Differential gene expression in colon carcinoma cells and tissues detected with a cDNA array. *Int. J. Cancer* **1999**, *82*, 868–874.
102. Nacht, M.; Ferguson, A.T.; Zhang, W.; Petroziello, J.M.; Cook, B.P.; Gao, Y.H.; Maguire, S.; Riley, D.; Coppola, G.; Landes, G.M.; *et al.* Combining serial analysis of gene expression and array technologies to identify genes differentially expressed in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* **1999**, *59*, 5464–5470.
103. Kuo, I.Y.; Chang, J.M.; Jiang, S.S.; Chen, C.H.; Chang, I.S.; Sheu, B.S.; Lu, P.J.; Chang, W.L.; Lai, W.W.; Wang, Y.C. Prognostic CpG methylation biomarkers identified by methylation array in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* **2014**, *11*, 779–787.
104. Inoue, A.; Okamoto, K.; Fujino, Y.; Nakagawa, T.; Muguruma, N.; Sannomiya, K.; Mitsui, Y.; Takaoka, T.; Kitamura, S.; Miyamoto, H.; *et al.* B-RAF mutation and accumulated gene methylation in aberrant crypt foci (ACF), sessile serrated adenoma/polyp (SSA/P) and cancer in SSA/P. *Br. J. Cancer* **2015**, *112*, 403–412.
105. Yang, R.; Pfütze, K.; Zucknick, M.; Sutter, C.; Wappenschmidt, B.; Marme, F.; Qu, B.; Cuk, K.; Engel, C.; Schott, S.; *et al.* DNA methylation array analyses identified breast cancer-associated hyal2 methylation in peripheral blood. *Int. J. Cancer* **2015**, *136*, 1845–1855.
106. Kanda, M.; Nomoto, S.; Okamura, Y.; Nishikawa, Y.; Sugimoto, H.; Kanazumi, N.; Takeda, S.; Nakao, A. Detection of metallothionein 1G as a methylated tumor suppressor gene in human hepatocellular carcinoma using a novel method of double combination array analysis. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2009**, *35*, 477–483.
107. Nomoto, S.; Kanda, M.; Okamura, Y.; Nishikawa, Y.; Qiyong, L.; Fujii, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Takeda, S.; Nakao, A. Epidermal growth factor-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix protein 1, *EFEMP1*, a novel tumor-suppressor gene detected in hepatocellular carcinoma using double combination array analysis. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **2010**, *17*, 923–932.
108. Kobayashi, D.; Nomoto, S.; Kodaera, Y.; Fujiwara, M.; Koike, M.; Nakayama, G.; Ohashi, N.; Nakao, A. Suppressor of cytokine signaling 4 detected as a novel gastric cancer suppressor gene using double combination array analysis. *World J. Surg.* **2012**, *36*, 362–372.

109. Hayashi, M.; Nomoto, S.; Hishida, M.; Inokawa, Y.; Kanda, M.; Okamura, Y.; Nishikawa, Y.; Tanaka, C.; Kobayashi, D.; Yamada, S.; *et al.* Identification of the collagen type 1 $\alpha 1$ gene (*COL1A1*) as a candidate survival-related factor associated with hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* **2014**, *14*, doi:10.1186/1471-2407-14-108.
110. Okamura, Y.; Nomoto, S.; Hayashi, M.; Hishida, M.; Nishikawa, Y.; Yamada, S.; Fujii, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Takeda, S.; Kodera, Y.; *et al.* Identification of the bleomycin hydrolase gene as a methylated tumor suppressor gene in hepatocellular carcinoma using a novel triple-combination array method. *Cancer Lett.* **2011**, *312*, 150–157.
111. Hawthorn, L.; Luce, J.; Stein, L.; Rothschild, J. Integration of transcript expression, copy number and LOH analysis of infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the breast. *BMC Cancer* **2010**, *10*, doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-460.
112. Yu, Y.P.; Paranjpe, S.; Nelson, J.; Finkelstein, S.; Ren, B.; Kokkinakis, D.; Michalopoulos, G.; Luo, J.H. High throughput screening of methylation status of genes in prostate cancer using an oligonucleotide methylation array. *Carcinogenesis* **2005**, *26*, 471–479.
113. Albiges, L.; Guegan, J.; Le Formal, A.; Verkarre, V.; Rioux-Leclercq, N.; Sibony, M.; Bernhard, J.C.; Camparo, P.; Merabet, Z.; Molinie, V.; *et al.* MET is a potential target across all papillary renal cell carcinomas: Result from a large molecular study of pRCC with CGH array and matching gene expression array. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2014**, *20*, 3411–3421.
114. Nomoto, S.; Hishida, M.; Inokawa, Y.; Takano, N.; Kanda, M.; Nishikawa, Y.; Fujii, T.; Koike, M.; Sugimoto, H.; Kodera, Y. Expression analysis of *THOP1* in background liver, a prognostic predictive factor in hepatocellular carcinoma, extracted by multiarray analysis. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **2014**, *21*, S443–S450.
115. Ammerpohl, O.; Pratschke, J.; Schafmayer, C.; Haake, A.; Faber, W.; von Kampen, O.; Brosch, M.; Sipos, B.; von Schonfels, W.; Balschun, K.; *et al.* Distinct DNA methylation patterns in cirrhotic liver and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* **2012**, *130*, 1319–1328.
116. Nagashio, R.; Arai, E.; Ojima, H.; Kosuge, T.; Kondo, Y.; Kanai, Y. Carcinogenetic risk estimation based on quantification of DNA methylation levels in liver tissue at the precancerous stage. *Int. J. Cancer* **2011**, *129*, 1170–1179.
117. Arai, E.; Ushijima, S.; Gotoh, M.; Ojima, H.; Kosuge, T.; Hosoda, F.; Shibata, T.; Kondo, T.; Yokoi, S.; Imoto, I.; *et al.* Genome-wide DNA methylation profiles in liver tissue at the precancerous stage and in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* **2009**, *125*, 2854–2862.
118. Okamoto, M.; Utsunomiya, T.; Wakiyama, S.; Hashimoto, M.; Fukuzawa, K.; Ezaki, T.; Hanai, T.; Inoue, H.; Mori, M. Specific gene-expression profiles of noncancerous liver tissue predict the risk for multicentric occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis C virus-positive patients. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **2006**, *13*, 947–954.
119. Utsunomiya, T.; Ishikawa, D.; Asanoma, M.; Yamada, S.; Iwahashi, S.; Kanamoto, M.; Arakawa, Y.; Ikemoto, T.; Morine, Y.; Imura, S.; *et al.* Specific miRNA expression profiles of non-tumor liver tissue predict a risk for recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol. Res.* **2014**, *44*, 631–638.
120. Sato, T.; Arai, E.; Kohno, T.; Tsuta, K.; Watanabe, S.; Soejima, K.; Betsuyaku, T.; Kanai, Y. DNA methylation profiles at precancerous stages associated with recurrence of lung adenocarcinoma. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e59444.

121. Duan, Z.; Feller, A.J.; Penson, R.T.; Chabner, B.A.; Seiden, M.V. Discovery of differentially expressed genes associated with paclitaxel resistance using cDNA array technology: Analysis of interleukin (IL) 6, IL-8, and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 in the paclitaxel-resistant phenotype. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **1999**, *5*, 3445–3453.
122. Liu, J.; Wan, L.; Lu, K.; Sun, M.; Pan, X.; Zhang, P.; Lu, B.; Liu, G.; Wang, Z. The long noncoding RNA MEG3 contributes to cisplatin resistance of human lung adenocarcinoma. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0114586.
123. Gao, Y.; Foster, R.; Yang, X.; Feng, Y.; Shen, J.K.; Mankin, H.J.; Hornicek, F.J.; Amiji, M.M.; Duan, Z. Up-regulation of CD44 in the development of metastasis, recurrence and drug resistance of ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* **2015**, *6*, 9313–9326.
124. Fang, L.; Li, H.; Wang, L.; Hu, J.; Jin, T.; Wang, J.; Yang, B.B. MicroRNA-17-5p promotes chemotherapeutic drug resistance and tumour metastasis of colorectal cancer by repressing PTEN expression. *Oncotarget* **2014**, *5*, 2974–2987.
125. Akcakaya, P.; Caramuta, S.; Ahlen, J.; Ghaderi, M.; Berglund, E.; Ostman, A.; Branstrom, R.; Larsson, C.; Lui, W.O. MicroRNA expression signatures of gastrointestinal stromal tumours: Associations with imatinib resistance and patient outcome. *Br. J. Cancer* **2014**, *111*, 2091–2102.
126. Maeda, O.; Ando, T.; Ohmiya, N.; Ishiguro, K.; Watanabe, O.; Miyahara, R.; Hibi, Y.; Nagai, T.; Yamada, K.; Goto, H. Alteration of gene expression and DNA methylation in drug-resistant gastric cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* **2014**, *31*, 1883–1890.
127. Brazma, A.; Robinson, A.; Cameron, G.; Ashburner, M. One-stop shop for microarray data. *Nature* **2000**, *403*, 699–700.
128. Barrett, T.; Troup, D.B.; Wilhite, S.E.; Ledoux, P.; Rudnev, D.; Evangelista, C.; Kim, I.F.; Soboleva, A.; Tomashevsky, M.; Edgar, R. NCBI GEO: Mining tens of millions of expression profiles—Database and tools update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2007**, *35*, D760–D765.
129. Kosuge, T.; Mashima, J.; Kodama, Y.; Fujisawa, T.; Kaminuma, E.; Ogasawara, O.; Okubo, K.; Takagi, T.; Nakamura, Y. DDBJ progress report: A new submission system for leading to a correct annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, *42*, D44–D49.
130. Brazma, A.; Parkinson, H.; Sarkans, U.; Shojatalab, M.; Vilo, J.; Abeygunawardena, N.; Holloway, E.; Kapushesky, M.; Kemmeren, P.; Lara, G.G.; *et al.* Arrayexpress—A public repository for microarray gene expression data at the EBI. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2003**, *31*, 68–71.
131. Kozomara, A.; Griffiths-Jones, S. mirBase: Annotating high confidence microRNAs using deep sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, *42*, D68–D73.
132. Free and public expression. Available online: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v410/n6831/full/410851a0.html#> (accessed on 1 July 2015)
133. Brazma, A.; Hingamp, P.; Quackenbush, J.; Sherlock, G.; Spellman, P.; Stoeckert, C.; Aach, J.; Ansorge, W.; Ball, C.A.; Causton, H.C.; *et al.* Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)—toward standards for microarray data. *Nat. Genet.* **2001**, *29*, 365–371.
134. Ball, C.A.; Sherlock, G.; Parkinson, H.; Rocca-Sera, P.; Brooksbank, C.; Causton, H.C.; Cavalieri, D.; Gaasterland, T.; Hingamp, P.; Holstege, F.; *et al.* Standards for microarray data. *Science* **2002**, *298*, doi:10.1126/science.298.5593.539b.

135. Shi, L.; Reid, L.H.; Jones, W.D.; Shippy, R.; Warrington, J.A.; Baker, S.C.; Collins, P.J.; de Longueville, F.; Kawasaki, E.S.; Lee, K.Y.; *et al.* The microarray quality control (MAQC) project shows inter- and intraplatform reproducibility of gene expression measurements. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2006**, *24*, 1151–1161.
136. Guo, Y.; Sheng, Q.; Li, J.; Ye, F.; Samuels, D.C.; Shyr, Y. Large scale comparison of gene expression levels by microarrays and RNAseq using TCGA data. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e71462.
137. Baker, S.C.; Bauer, S.R.; Beyer, R.P.; Brenton, J.D.; Bromley, B.; Burrill, J.; Causton, H.; Conley, M.P.; Elespuru, R.; Fero, M.; *et al.* The external RNA controls consortium: A progress report. *Nat. Methods* **2005**, *2*, 731–734.
138. Altshuler, D.; Pollara, V.J.; Cowles, C.R.; Van Etten, W.J.; Baldwin, J.; Linton, L.; Lander, E.S. An SNP map of the human genome generated by reduced representation shotgun sequencing. *Nature* **2000**, *407*, 513–516.
139. Girault, G.; Blouin, Y.; Vergnaud, G.; Derzelle, S. High-throughput sequencing of *Bacillus anthracis* in france: Investigating genome diversity and population structure using whole-genome snp discovery. *BMC Genomics* **2014**, *15*, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-288.
140. Calvo, S.E.; Compton, A.G.; Hershman, S.G.; Lim, S.C.; Lieber, D.S.; Tucker, E.J.; Laskowski, A.; Garone, C.; Liu, S.; Jaffe, D.B.; *et al.* Molecular diagnosis of infantile mitochondrial disease with targeted next-generation sequencing. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2012**, *4*, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3003310.
141. Pleasance, E.D.; Cheetham, R.K.; Stephens, P.J.; McBride, D.J.; Humphray, S.J.; Greenman, C.D.; Varela, I.; Lin, M.L.; Ordonez, G.R.; Bignell, G.R.; *et al.* A comprehensive catalogue of somatic mutations from a human cancer genome. *Nature* **2010**, *463*, 191–196.
142. Lee, W.; Jiang, Z.; Liu, J.; Haverty, P.M.; Guan, Y.; Stinson, J.; Yue, P.; Zhang, Y.; Pant, K.P.; Bhatt, D.; *et al.* The mutation spectrum revealed by paired genome sequences from a lung cancer patient. *Nature* **2010**, *465*, 473–477.
143. Veenemans, J.; Overdeest, I.T.; Snelders, E.; Willemsen, I.; Hendriks, Y.; Adesokan, A.; Doran, G.; Brusio, S.; Rolfe, A.; Pettersson, A.; *et al.* Next-generation sequencing for typing and detection of resistance genes: Performance of a new commercial method during an outbreak of extended-spectrum- β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2014**, *52*, 2454–2460.
144. Sherry, N.L.; Porter, J.L.; Seemann, T.; Watkins, A.; Stinear, T.P.; Howden, B.P. Outbreak investigation using high-throughput genome sequencing within a diagnostic microbiology laboratory. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2013**, *51*, 1396–1401.
145. Kandoth, C.; Schultz, N.; Cherniack, A.D.; Akbani, R.; Liu, Y.; Shen, H.; Robertson, A.G.; Pashtan, I.; Shen, R.; Benz, C.C.; *et al.* Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature* **2013**, *497*, 67–73.
146. Mortazavi, A.; Williams, B.A.; McCue, K.; Schaeffer, L.; Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-seq. *Nat. Methods* **2008**, *5*, 621–628.
147. Djebali, S.; Davis, C.A.; Merkel, A.; Dobin, A.; Lassmann, T.; Mortazavi, A.; Tanzer, A.; Lagarde, J.; Lin, W.; Schlesinger, F.; *et al.* Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature* **2012**, *489*, 101–108.
148. Quinn, E.M.; Cormican, P.; Kenny, E.M.; Hill, M.; Anney, R.; Gill, M.; Corvin, A.P.; Morris, D.W. Development of strategies for snp detection in RNA-seq data: Application to lymphoblastoid cell lines and evaluation using 1000 genomes data. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e58815.

149. Piskol, R.; Ramaswami, G.; Li, J.B. Reliable identification of genomic variants from RNA-seq data. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2013**, *93*, 641–651.
150. Marioni, J.C.; Mason, C.E.; Mane, S.M.; Stephens, M.; Gilad, Y. RNA-seq: An assessment of technical reproducibility and comparison with gene expression arrays. *Genome Res.* **2008**, *18*, 1509–1517.
151. Zhao, W.; He, X.; Hoadley, K.A.; Parker, J.S.; Hayes, D.N.; Perou, C.M. Comparison of RNA-seq by poly(A) capture, ribosomal RNA depletion, and DNA microarray for expression profiling. *BMC Genomics* **2014**, *15*, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-419.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).