Multiple Sclerosis Journal 2022, Vol. 28(10) 1658–1659 DOI: 10.1177/ 13524585221079044

© The Author(s), 2022.



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions Response: No evidence for association between polygenic risk for multiple sclerosis and MRI phenotypes in approximately 30,000 healthy adult UK Biobank participants

Date received: 18 January 2022; accepted: 20 January 2022

Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup> published a study investigating the relationship between polygenic risk for multiple sclerosis (MS) and white matter (WM) alterations in adults from the UK Biobank (UKB) study, with a large sample size of ~30,000 adults. They reported no association between polygenic risk for MS and fractional anisotropy (FA) measures in several WM tracts in the brain after correcting for multiple testing. These results are in contrast with our earlier studies, where we describe a significant association between polygenic risk scores for MS and FA in children from the general population.<sup>2,3</sup>

The findings from Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup> are in line with other studies investigating the relationship between genetic MS risk and WM integrity in adults.<sup>4,5</sup> These earlier studies report similar non-significant associations between MS polygenic risk and FA. Due to the substantial larger sample size, the study by Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup> provides more robust evidence against the presence of subclinical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain abnormalities in adults with a high polygenic burden for MS.

An obvious difference between our work and the recent study by Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup> is the age of the study population.<sup>2,3</sup> Both the UKB and the Rotterdam Study involved recruitment of adults older than 40 years of age, whereas our sample included children of 9–11 years. Studies dating back to the 1967 landmark study of Yakolev and Lecours highlight that the neurodevelopment of WM continues throughout childhood and into early-to-middle adulthood.<sup>6</sup> Within a neurodevelopmental framework, there are multiple explanations for our findings which are not mutually exclusive with the findings of Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup> For example, accelerated WM maturation associated with the MS polygenic risk, without an influence in the endpoint in adult WM development.

By including children at a young age in our earlier studies, we were able to investigate possible WM alterations in participants at high polygenic risk of MS before a possible diagnosis of MS later in life.<sup>2,3</sup> Because of the high median age of the participants in the UKB study, a proportion of the participants was already diagnosed with MS and excluded (around 1 in

240 participants). In addition, in this cohort, brain WM FA is largely influenced by age-related atrophy. Altogether, these factors could explain the differences between study results. Still the possibility remains that children, at risk of being diagnosed with MS later in life, have radiological alterations early in life, a hypothesis that is not possible to validate in the study by Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup>

In summary, we agree with the observation by Jacobs et al.<sup>1</sup> that there is little evidence for microstructural MRI alterations in older adults with no diagnosis of MS. However, we believe that their study is neither a replication, nor that their findings and ours are mutually exclusive. We argue that the answer lies in the question of development and that studies investigating possible microstructural brain alterations during development and prior to the "main" risk window of MS will be of great value to understand the pathophysiology behind MS.

# **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### **Funding**

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Our studies are financially supported by the Dutch Multiple Sclerosis Research Foundation. Neuroimaging in the Generation R study was supported by Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) TOP project 91211021. The general design of the R Study was made possible by financial support from the Erasmus Medical Center, the Erasmus University Rotterdam, the ZonMw, the NWO, and the Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Sport.

# **ORCID iD**

C Louk de Mol https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3733

#### References

- Jacobs B, Watson C, Marshall C, et al. No evidence for association between polygenic risk of Multiple Sclerosis and MRI phenotypes in ~30,000 healthy adult UK Biobank participants. *Mult Scler J*, https://qmro.qmul.ac.uk/xmlui/ handle/123456789/76333
- de Mol CL, Neuteboom RF, Jansen PR, et al. White matter microstructural differences in children and genetic risk for multiple sclerosis: A population-based

1658 journals.sagepub.com/home/msj

- study. *Mult Scler J*. Epub ahead of print 11 August 2021. DOI: 10.1177/13524585211034826.
- de Mol CL, Jansen PR, Muetzel RL, et al. Polygenic multiple sclerosis risk and population-based childhood brain imaging. *Ann Neurol* 2020; 87(5): 774–787.
- 4. Ikram MA, Vernooij MW, Roshchupkin GV, et al. Genetic susceptibility to multiple sclerosis: Brain structure and cognitive function in the general population. *Mult Scler J* 2016; 23: 1697–1706.
- 5. Brown RB, Traylor M, Burgess S, et al. Do cerebral small vessel disease and multiple sclerosis share common mechanisms of white matter injury. *Stroke* 2019; 50(8): 1968–1972.
- 6. Yakovlev PI and Lecours A-R. The myelogenetic cycles of regional maturation of the brain. In: *Regional development of brain in early life*, 1967, http://www.sciepub.com/reference/145890

C Louk de Mol<sup>1,2</sup> , Rinze F Neuteboom<sup>1</sup>, Philip R Jansen<sup>2,3,4</sup> and Tonya White<sup>5,6</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, MS Center ErasMS, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands <sup>2</sup>The Generation R Study Group, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Complex Trait Genetics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands <sup>4</sup>Department of Human Genetics, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands <sup>5</sup>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands <sup>6</sup>Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

#### Correspondence to:

# T White

Associate Professor of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Associate Professor of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, Erasmus MC-Sophia/Kamer KP-2869, Postbus 2060, Rotterdam 3000 CB, The Netherlands.

t.white@erasmusmc.nl

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/msj

**\$** SAGE journals

journals.sagepub.com/home/msj 1659