RESEARCH PAPER



OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Construction of an immune-related IncRNA pairs model to predict prognosis and immune landscape of lung adenocarcinoma patients

Junhui Liu^{a,b}, Hao Wu^b, Zhaojia Gao^{a,c}, Ming Lou^a, and Kai Yuan^{a,c}

^aDivision of Thoracic Surgery, The Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Changzhou, China; ^bSchool of Medicine, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China; ^cHeart and Lung Disease Laboratory, The Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Changzhou, China

ABSTRACT

The model of immune-related IncRNA pairs (IRLPs) seems to be an available predictor in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patients. The aim of our study was to construct a model with IRLPs to predict the survival status and immune landscape of LUAD patients. Based on TCGA-LUAD dataset, a risk assessment model with IRLPs was established. Then, ROC curves were used to assess the predictive accuracy and effectiveness of our model. Next, we identified the difference of survival, immune cell infiltration, immune checkpoint inhibitor-related (ICIrelated) biomarkers, and chemotherapeutics between high-risk group and low-risk group. Finally, A nomogram was built for predicting the survival rates of LUAD patients. 464 LUAD samples were randomly and equally divided into a training set and a test set. Six IRLPs were screened out to construct a risk model. K-M analysis and risk-plot suggested the prognosis of high-risk group was worse than low-risk group (p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis shows risk score was independent risk factor of LUAD (p < 0.001). In addition, the expression of immune cell infiltration, ICI-related biomarkers, chemotherapeutics all demonstrate significant difference in two groups. A nomogram was built that could predict the 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates of LUAD patients. Our immune-related IncRNA pairs risk model is expected to be a reliable model for predicting the prognosis and immune landscape of LUAD patients.



ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 May 2021 Revised 1 July 2021 Accepted 2 July 2021

KEYWORDS

TCGA; immune-related IncRNA; lung adenocarcinoma; immune cell infiltration; ICI-related biomarkers; chemotherapeutics

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CONTACT Kai Yuan 🖾 yuankai1978@163.com 🖃 Division of Thoracic Surgery, Heart and Lung Disease Laboratory, the Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, 29 Xinglong Lane, Changzhou 213003, China 🖃 Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common type of tumor-related diseases that causes death [1]. In addition, lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), which accounts for approximately 40% of lung cancer, has long been come into focus worldwide [2]. Over the past decade, although treatment of LUAD has been increasing and the clinical outcome has been improved, the 5-year survival rate of LUAD patients is still low with only 18%, which may put down to that lung adenocarcinoma tends to tumor metastasis at an early stage. Therefore, it is urgent for us to explore a better way to evaluate the prognosis of LUAD patients.

LncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs, which account for approximately 80% of the human transcriptome are more than 200 nucleotides in length [3]. LncRNAs perform a wide range of biological functions, such as chromatin interactions, cell differentiation, transcriptional regulation, and RNA processing [4]. In addition, increasing evidence shows that lncRNAs cannot only play vital roles in tumorigenesis and metastasis, but also involve in some immune activities, such as immune activation, immune escape, and immune cell infiltration [5].

Study shows that Long noncoding epidermal growth factor receptor (lnc-EGFR) can promote hepatocellular carcinoma growth by inducing Treg differentiation, which offering a potential therapeutic target for hepatocellular carcinoma [6]. Chen et al. found that with the help of C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 2(CCL2) and vascular endothelial growth factor C (VEGF-C) excretion, Lymph Node Metastasis Associated Transcript 1 (LNMAT1, a kind of lncRNA) can recruit macrophages into the tumor, then promotes lymphatic metastasis in bladder cancer [7]. On the basis of that, more and more researches demonstrate that lncRNAs were related to immune-related genes. Researchers try to construct the model about this aspect and further analysis the function of the model in tumors. For instance, Jiang et al. screened out three immune-related lncRNAs (irlncRNAs, IRLs) to construct an immunerelated risk score model and demonstrated the

prognostic value of this model in renal cell carcinoma [8]. Further, Li et al. constructed two irlncRNA clusters and indicated the significant difference of immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) and mutation frequency between two clusters, which would be conducive to understanding immune molecular mechanisms of LUAD. They also made a comparison on the expression of different immune cells (Macrophages M0, Macrophages M2, Mast cells activated, Neutrophils) between two clusters, and all the results presented the significant difference [9]. Additionally, Xu et al. establish a prognostic model with three immune-related genes in stage I-II LUAD patients, and indicate the model has a significant correlation with some biomarkers of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) [10].

Nowadays, the research of immunosuppressive therapy is a hot topic, and several ICIs have already been used in clinical practice and have played an effective role in some tumors. Ipilimumab, a kind of ICI that target the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) became the first immunocheckpoint inhibitor approved for the treatment of inoperable or advanced melanoma [11]. Nivolumab and Pembrolizumab, which can target programmed cell death 1 (PD-1, PDCD1), and Durvalumab, antiprogrammed cell death-ligand 1 (CD274, PD-L1) inhibitors that are all approved for the treatment of some NSCLC patients with disease progression after targeted therapy [12]. These immunocheckpoint inhibitors was also used in other tumors, such as hepatocellular carcinoma [13] and esophagus cancer [14].

Research shows that it is more accurate to predict the prognosis of cancer patients through combing two biomarkers together than only simple genes [15]. Compare to the model constructed by Liu et al in LUAD [16], we construct a novel immune-related lncRNA model with the way of 'pairing'. The present study aims construct a reliable risk level model based on immune-related lncRNA pairs for the prognosis of LUAD, explore the role of our model in LUAD immune infiltration and clinical pharmacotherapy, develop a viable nomogram to predict prognosis of LUAD.

Materials and Methods

Data Source and Processing

Transcriptome profiling (RNA-seq) FPKM (reads per kilobase per million) data (including 535 tumor sample and 59 normal sample) and clinical data of LUAD were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, https://tcgadata.nci.nih. gov/tcga/;LUAD), then were processed by the Perl software (v5.32.1.1, https://www.perl.org/). For distinguishing the mRNAs and lncRNAs, GTF files were obtained from Ensembl (http:// asia.ensembl.org). The ImmPort database (http:// www.immport.org) was used to retrieve immunerelated genes (ir-genes) list. Next, the ir-genes and lncRNAs were merged, then immune-related lncRNAs (irlncRNAs, IRLs) were filtered out by the R software (v4.0.3, https://www.r-project.org/). After that, we performed a differential coexpression analysis to classify differentially expressed irlncRNAs (DEirlncRNAs) (FDR <0.01, logFC >2). We constructed gene-pairs with DEirlncRNAs. Then immune-related these lncRNAs pairs (IRLPs) were used to construct a 0-or-1 matrix by the following methods: the IRLPs were scored as '1' if the expressions were IRL1> IRL2, otherwise, they would '0.' If the number of IRLPs of which expression quantity was 0 or 1 come up to 20% of total pairs, it was considered a valid match.

Development of a Prognostic Risk Assessment Model

IRLPs and clinical data were combined, then the univariate Cox regression analysis was presented for selecting out prognostic-related IRLPs. Then, the 464 samples were classified into training set and test set by the 'caret' package of R software in a 5:5 ratio, A Lasso regression method was applied to filter optimal candidates and multivariate Cox regression analysis were performed to establish the Cox risk assessment model by R packages ('survminer,' 'glmnet') in the training set. The risk score model for patients was established as follows: IRLP $1 \times$ Expression IRLP 1 + IRLP $2 \times$ Expression IRLP $2 + \ldots +$ IRLP $n \times$ Expression IRLP n was the correlation coefficient of the Cox regression IRLP

n was the expression value of each optimal prognostic IRLP). Finally, the samples were classified into high-risk group and low-risk group respectively according to the median risk score in the two sets.

Validation of the Risk Model in the Testing Set

First, in the training set, the ROC curve was plotted and the AUC value of our model was calculated for validating the sensitivity and specificity of the model by the R packages ('survival ROC'). K-M survival curve and risk curve was plotted for analyzing the prognostic correlation of high- or low-risk group. The 'survival' R package, 'survminer' R package were used in these operations. Additionally, the univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to confirm the clinical validation of the constructed risk model. The R packages 'ComplexHeatmap,' 'limma,' and 'ggupbr' were used in these steps. The ROC curve of different clinical characteristics was plotted, and 'survival ROC' R package was used. The procedures above were all performed in the testing set for validating our model.

Clinical Correlation Analysis of the Model

For calculating the association between our risk model and clinicopathological characteristics, the X^2 test was performed and the band diagram was plotted, which was marked as follows: <0.001 = ***, <0.01 = ** *, and <0.05 = *. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was also used to analyze the risk score difference in different clinicopathological characteristics.

Exploration of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells and ICI-related biomarkers

The expression of tumor-infiltrating immune cells associated with LUAD from TCGA were obtained through the online website (http://timer.cistrome. org). Several currently acknowledged methods such as XCELL, TIMER, QUANTISEQ, MCPCOUNTER, EPIC, CIBERSORT-ABS, CIBERSORT in this website were used to explore the relationship between the risk score and the immune infiltration statues. The bubble chat and the box chat were plotted. In the procedure, the R packages such as ggplot2 and ggtext were used. In addition, the expression situation of ICI-related biomarkers in the LUAD were presented with vioplot by the R ggpubr package.

Excavation of the Function of Risk Model to the Chemotherapeutics

Several commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs such as Docetaxel, Gefitinib, and Paclitaxel are recommended for LUAD treatment. The sensitivity difference of high- or low-risk group to these drugs were analyzed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The R packages pRRophetic and ggplot2 were used.

Building and Validation of a Nomogram

A nomogram was built for survival prognosis and A calibration curve plot was used to validate the predictive accuracy and the concordance index (C-index). The 'rms' package was used here.

Results

The present study aims to identify the correlation between a risk assessment model based on immune-related lncRNA pairs and prognosis of LUAD patients, and explore the potential role of the model in immune cells infiltration and clinical therapy. First, six prognosis-related immune lncRNA pairs were screened out to construct a risk assessment model. Then, ROC curve, K-M survival curve, and risk status curve were plotted. The model was validated by internal cohort. Next, the impact of the model in clinicopathologic features were analyzed. Further, the correlation immune infiltration, between Immunosuppressive Molecules, and Chemotherapeutics were investigated. Finally, a prognostic nomogram was developed and calibrated.

Data Acquisition and Establishment of a Risk Assessment Model

Figure 1 presents the flow diagram of this study. First, the transcriptome profiling data (including

535 tumor and 59 normal sample) and clinical data of LUAD (include 464 samples) were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Then, the data were annotated and 734 irlncRNAs were identified by the coexpression analysis between known ir-genes and lncRNAs. 27 differentially expressed irlncRNAs pairs were screened out among them (Figure 2(a-b)). Next, according to the iteration loop and a 0-or-1 matrix screening, 295 valid differentially expressed IRLPs were identified (Table S1). We combined these IRLPs with the clinical data then 20 prognosisrelated IRLPs were retrieved (Table S2). Next, we divided the 464 LUAD samples into training set and test set. In the training set, a modified Lasso regression analysis and multiple regression analyperformed (Figure sis were 2(c-d)).6 DEirlncRNAs pairs were extracted to construct a Cox proportional hazards model (Table 1).

Validation of the Risk Assessment Model

In the training set, AUCs for 1-, 3-, and 5-year OSs were 0.7216, 0.736, and 0.598, respectively (Figure (3a)), indicating that the risk signature could predict the 1-, 3-year survival rates for the LUAD patients better than the 5-year OS rates. Similar results were found in the testing set, of which the AUCs for 1-, 3-, and 5-year OSs were 0.679, 0.659, and 0.602, respectively (Figure 3 (b)). Next, K-M survival analysis was performed, the survival time of patients in the high-risk group was significantly shorter than that in the low-risk group (p < 0.001 in the training set, and p = 0.006 in the test set) (Figure 3(c-d)). As the risk score increased, the death count increased, which suggest that the clinical outcome of highrisk group was inferior to that of low-risk group either in training set or testing set (Figure 3(ef)). Further, univariate and multivariate prognostic analysis were performed in the training set, and the result of multivariate independent prognosis analyses shows that Т stage (p = 0.021) and risk score (p < 0.001) were predicted as independent prognostic factors (Figure 4(a)), while only risk score (p < 0.001)showed a significant correlation with prognosis in the test set (Figure 4(b)).



Figure 1. The framework of this study.

Clinical Analysis between the Risk Assessment Model and the Other Clinical Variables

In the training set, the relationship between clinicopathological features and risk score was analyzed by X^2 text and the T stage, clinical Stage showed the significant difference in two different risk groups (Figure 5(a). Further, the T stage, N stage, and clinical Stage were presented significantly correlation to the risk score by Wilcoxon signed-rank test (Figure 5(bd)). The ROC curves of different clinical characteristics and risk score were plotted. It shows that our risk score curve has the maximum AUC value (AUC = 0.679), which suggests that our model is superior to other clinical traits in predicting survival of LUAD patient (Figure 5(e)).

Evaluation of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells and Immunosuppressive Molecules by the Risk Model

As shown in Figure 6a, the high-risk group was more positively correlated with macrophages M0, Neutrophil, T cell CD4+ memory activated, while negatively correlated with B cell, T cell CD8+ et al. In addition, ICIs are administered for treating LUAD in clinical practice, therefore, we excavated the correlation between ICI-related biomarkers and risk model, and the expression difference only found in integrin-associated protein (CD47, IAP) (p < 0.01), CD274 (p < 0.05), Lymphocyteactivation gene 3 (LAG3) (p < 0.05) while not in CTLA4, PDCD1 (Figure 6(b-f)).

Estimation of the Function of Risk Model to Chemotherapeutics

In order to estimate the function of our model in the clinical, we attempted to investigate associations between the risk model and some common chemotherapeutics, such as Docetaxel, Gefitinib, Paclitaxel, Rapamycin, Gemcitabine, Cisplatin. The low-risk group was associated with a higher half inhibitory centration (IC50) in these chemotherapeutics, namely, the high-risk group was more sensitive



Figure 2. The differentially expressed immune-related IncRNAs (DEirIncRNAs) gained, and LASSO analysis used. (a, b) The 27 DEirIncRNAs were shown in heatmap and volcano plot, respectively. The green, red, and black dots mean downregulated and upregulated genes and no differential expression, respectively. (c, d) Cross-validation for the selection of optimal parameter (lambda) and LASSO coefficient profiles of the candidate DEirIncRNAs pairs, respectively.

| Table 1. | Six IRLPs | and | coefficients | in | the | prognostic | risk | assessment | model. |
|----------|-----------|-----|--------------|----|-----|------------|------|------------|--------|
|----------|-----------|-----|--------------|----|-----|------------|------|------------|--------|

| Gene pairs | Coef | Gene pairs | Coef |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| AL590226.1 ITGB1-DT | -0.272620431506035 | ITGB1-T AC131971.1 | 0.143614111251972 |
| ITGB1-DT AL157838.1 | 0.0193198554782944 | LINC00941 LINC02362 | 0.189921097107668 |
| AC026369.3 HIF1A-AS3 | -0.444557438396472 | ITGB1-DT LINC00513 | 0.119044206656088 |

IRLPs: immune-related IncRNA pairs

to these chemotherapeutics (all p < 0.001), which implied that the model expected to be a potential predictor for chemosensitivity (Figure 7(a-f)).

Construction and Validation of a Nomogram

As show in Figure 8, T stage and risk score, which presented as independent prognostic factors in multivariate Cox regression analysis in training set were used to develop a prognostic nomogram for predicting 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival probability of LUAD patients. The results indicated that the prediction performance of the nomogram was good (The C-index of the nomogram was 0.769 and the calibration of plots showed good agreement between the actual observations and the predictions).

Discussion

LUAD is a common carcinoma with a very low mortality 5-year survival rate [2]. The treatment outcome is also unsatisfactory in LUAD patients because of the high mortality. In recent years, more and more researchers have found that lncRNAs played a potential role as diagnostic



Figure 3. 1-, 3-, and 5-year ROC curves, K-M survival curves, and risk-plots were presented in the training set and testing set. (a, b) ROC curves show that the AUC value of 1- and 3-year were better than 5-year in the training set and testing set. (c, d) K-M survival analysis in the training set and testing set. (e, f) Risk scores and survival outcome of each case are shown in the training set as well as testing set.

biomarkers, oncogenic functions and drivers of tumor suppressive in LUAD [17,18]. LncRNAs

were found to participate in 33 kinds of cancers. For example, in the study of prostate cancer, Hu

Figure 4. Multivariate independent prognosis analyses of training set and testing set. (a) T stage and risk score were independent predictor in the training set. (b) only risk score was independent predictor in the testing set.

et al. found that lncRNAs have a regulatory role on immune response, especially the interaction to memory resting CD4 + T cells [19].

In addition, the importance of immune-related IncRNAs in tumor progression and immunotherapies has become apparent [20,21]. They can not only distinguish cancer subtypes according to specific immunological characteristics but also play a part in evaluating the development of tumor combined with the tumor immune microenvironment [22-24]. The value of immune-related lncRNA has emerged in many cancers, such as glioblastoma, ovarian cancer, bladder cancer, and human hepatocellular carcinoma [25]. Li et al. constructed a five immune-related lncRNA signature and proved that signature can as a prognostic and potential therapeutic approach for glioblastoma [26]. The novel irlncRNAs signature of bladder cancer established by Zhang et al. might be a predict method in the immunotherapy [27]. These novel fields can make a progress in the era of immunotherapy. However, the signatures of survival prediction still remain many limitations. Therefore, it is necessary to construct a suitable risk model for prognosis to enhance the survival rate of LUAD. Additionally, prognostic model that related to tumor immune infiltration in LUAD are still lacking and the analysis methods of these study were commonly based on a single immunerelated lncRNA. In our study, we were attempted to establish a model as a valid predictor of LUAD by using another way - 'immune-related lncRNA

pairs,' and investigate the role of this novel model in LUAD.

There are two sets in our study, training set and test set, the former used for constructing model, and the later use for further validating the model. Lasso regression analysis was commonly used for improving the accuracy and efficacy on risk prediction [28]. Hence, we used LASSO analysis to help us generate risk model. In our study, a siximmune-related lncRNA pairs finally participated in model construction. According to the risk model formula and the median risk scores, the samples in the training set were divided into a high-risk group and a low-risk group. In order to verify the efficiency of our model, we used a K-M survival curve and risk plot to illustrate the survival time of the two groups. The p value <0.001 in K-M analysis, which indicate that the high-risk group prone to worse prognosis as well as a higher proportion of deaths. Then the results been confirmed in the testing set. have Multivariate analysis show that risk score was independent prognostic factor either in training set or test set, which disclose that our model has a vital impact on prognosis of LUAD. In clinical work, we only need to obtain the expression of the six immune-related lncRNAs pairs, calculate the risk score based on the coefficients determine whether the patients are classified as low or high risk, then the prognosis of patients could be predicted. With advances in gene sequencing technology, this could soon become a reality.

Figure 5. Analysis between clinicopathological features and the risk model. (a-d) A strip chart along with the scatter diagram showed that T stage and N stage, and clinical stage were significantly associated with the risk score. (e) Risk score curve has the maximum AUC value (AUC = 0.679) compared to the other clinicopathological features.

Further, by exploring the expression situation of clinical characteristic in two groups, we also found that majority of high-risk patients was included in advanced stage of tumor. Finally, the tumor immune infiltration, ICI-biomarkers, susceptibility of chemotherapeutics in LUAD patients were probed. And the result of above analysis manifested our modeling algorithm was effective. Tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TIICs) are often involved in the antitumor response to lung tumorigenesis [29]. Zhang et al. found that advanced stage LUAD presented lower immune scores, less memory B cells and M0 macrophages compared to early stage LUAD [30]. Here, we used seven common methods on the website to evaluate the TIICs in LUAD, and our result shows that the

Figure 6. Risk model in tumor-infiltrating immune cells, and ICI-related biomarkers. (a) The high-risk group was more positively correlated with macrophages M0, Neutrophil, T cell CD4+ memory activated as shown in bubble chart. (b–f) The expression of CD47, CD274, and LAG3 were statistically different between high- and low-risk groups, while not in CTLA4, PDCD1 (p < 0.001 = ***, <0.01 = ***, and <0.05 = *).

Figure 7. The different sensitivity of high- and low-risk groups to chemotherapeutics drugs. (a–d) The low-risk group was associated with a higher half inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) in Docetaxel, Gefitinib, Paclitaxel, Rapamycin, Gemcitabine, and Cisplatin.

Figure 8. Building and validation of the nomogram predicting overall survival for lung adenocarcinoma patients. (a) The nomogram built based on the T stage and risk signature. (b, c) Calibration curves for predicting 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival of LUAD patients.

high-risk score was with more macrophages M0, Neutrophil and T cell CD4+ memory activated while with less B cell, T cell CD8+ compare to lowrisk score. Wang et al. reported that immune scores could forecast the effect of immunotherapy and chemotherapy [31].

Immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 axis lead to durable clinical responses in many cancer patients, such as nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Immunotherapy with anti-PD-1(PDCD1) inhibitor and anti-CTLA-4 checkpoint inhibitor have been focused on clinic. Nivolumab and Pembrolizumab, which work by target directed against PD-1 have been approved for use in treating patients with advanced NSCLC. In our study, although the expression difference of PDCD1 and CTLA-4 was not significant in two risk groups, other ICI-related biomarkers such as, CD47, CD274, and LAG3 presented the significant expression difference. CD47 can trigger evasion of tumor cells from macrophage recognition by interacting with signal regulatory protein alpha (SIRPa), has been another hot target for tumor immunotherapy [32]. Besides checkpoint blockades therapy, the efficacy of common chemotherapeutics also identified by our risk model. The results suggested that high-risk group was more sensitive to Docetaxel, Gefitinib, Paclitaxel,

Rapamycin, Gemcitabine, and Cisplatin. which indicated that our model might act as a potential predictor for chemosensitivity. The immunerelated lncRNA pairs in our model may become new targets for immunotherapy and lead to new therapeutic strategies. They may open up some avenues for the study in this aspect. In the end, we used a nomogram plot that related to our model to predict the LUAD prognosis. The nomogram showed that higher T stage and risk score were correlated with higher total score, which indicated worse prognoses.

Some limitations exist in this study: (1) We tried to use the datasets in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/geo/) as the test set; however, due to the sequencing method, we found the number of lncRNAs in the GEO datasets was too small to be the testing set. Therefore, we could only use an internal validation by classifying TCGA dataset into the training set and the testing set randomly and equally, which inevitably increased the bias in the study. (2) Some clinicopathological features data such as grade was lack. Hence, in future work, it is necessary for us to collect more complete data and use different datasets for further verification of our model.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study established a novel IRLPs model that not only predicts prognosis in patients with LUAD, but also might help in distinguishing the patients who could benefit from antitumor immunotherapy.

Highlights

- Six immune-related lncRNA pairs (IRLPs) were used to construct the risk assessment model.
- ROC curves suggested that our model was effective for predicting survival.
- Half inhibitory centration (IC50) of chemotherapeutics and immune cell infiltration state were significantly different between high- and low-risk group.
- Nomogram showed that higher risk score indicated worse prognosis.
- The model established by paring irlncRNAs showed a promising clinical prediction value.

Data sharing statement

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the TCGA open database (https://tcgadata. nci.nih.gov/tcga/; LUAD). GTF files were obtained from Ensembl (http://asia.ensembl.org).The the ImmPort database (http://www.immport.org) was used to retrieve immunerelated genes (ir-genes) list.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the Jiangsu Provincial Medical Youth Talent [Jiangsu Health Scientific Education 2017 no. 3]; 'Six One Project,' Research Projects of High-level Medical Personnel of Jiangsu Province [LGY2019025]; Highlevel Talent Selection and Training Project of The 16th Batch of 'Six Talent Peak' in Jiangsu Province [WSN-245]; High-Level Medical Talents Training Project [2016CZBJ042]; 333 High-Level Talent Training Project [2016, III-0719]; '333 Project' of Jiangsu Province [BRA2020157]; Medical Scientific Research Foundation of Jiangsu Commission of Health [H2018083].

Financial

This work was supported by '333 Project' of Jiangsu Province (Grant number: BRA2020157), 'Six One Project,' Research Projects of High-level Medical Personnel of Jiangsu Province (Grant number: LGY2019025), High-level Talent Selection and Training Project of The 16th Batch of 'Six Talent Peak' in Jiangsu Province (Grant number: WSN-245), Medical Scientific Research Foundation of Jiangsu Commission of Health (Grant number: H2018083), Jiangsu Provincial Medical Youth Talent (Jiangsu Health Scientific Education 2017 no.3), 333 High-Level Talent Training Project (Grant number: 2016, III-0719), and High-Level Medical Talents Training Project (Grant number: 2016CZBJ042).

Author contributions

K Yuan and J Liu were responsible for study conception and design; J Liu, M Lou, and Z Gao were responsible for acquisition of data; H Wu was responsible for statistics. J Liu was responsible for drafting and revision of the manuscript.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424.
- [2] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(1).
- [3] Yao RW, Wang Y, Chen LL. Cellular functions of long noncoding RNAs. Nat Cell Biol. 2019;21(5):542–551.
- [4] Chiu H-S, Somvanshi S, Patel E, et al. Pan-cancer analysis of lncRNA regulation supports their targeting of cancer genes in each tumor context. Cell Rep. 2018;23(1).
- [5] Atianand MK, Caffrey DR, Fitzgerald KA. Immunobiology of long noncoding RNAs. Annu Rev Immunol. 2017;35:177–198.
- [6] Jiang R, Tang J, Chen Y, et al. The long noncoding RNA lnc-EGFR stimulates T-regulatory cells differentiation thus promoting hepatocellular carcinoma immune evasion. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15129.
- [7] Chen C, He W, Huang J, et al. LNMAT1 promotes lymphatic metastasis of bladder cancer via CCL2 dependent macrophage recruitment. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):3826.
- [8] Jiang Y, Gou X, Wei Z, *et al.* Bioinformatics profiling integrating a three immune-related long non-coding RNA signature as a prognostic model for clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int. 2020;20:166.
- [9] Li J, Zhang C, Zhang C, et al. Construction of immune-related and prognostic lncRNA clusters and identification of their immune and genomic alterations characteristics in lung adenocarcinoma samples. Aging (Albany NY). 2020;12(10):9868–9881.

- [10] Xu J-Z, Gong C, Xie Z-F, et al. Development of an oncogenic driver alteration associated immune-related prognostic model for stage I-II lung adenocarcinoma. Front Oncol. 2020;10:593022.
- [11] Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(8):711–723.
- [12] Hu Z-Y, Huang W-Y, Zhang L, et al. Expression of AKT and p-AKT protein in lung adenocarcinoma and its correlation with PD-L1 protein and prognosis. Ann Transl Med. 2020;8(18):1172.
- [13] Finn RS, Ryoo B-Y, Merle P, et al. Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma in KEYNOTE-240: a randomized, double-blind, phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38 (3):193–202.
- [14] Hong Y, Ding Z-Y. PD-1 Inhibitors in the Advanced Esophageal Cancer. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:1418.
- [15] Lv Y, Lin S-Y, Hu FF, et al. Landscape of cancer diagnostic biomarkers from specifically expressed genes. Brief Bioinform. 2020;21(6):2175–2184.
- [16] Liu Z, Sun D, Zhu Q, et al. The screening of immune-related biomarkers for prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma. Bioengineered. 2021;12(1):1273–1285.
- [17] Jia Y, Duan Y, Liu T, et al. LncRNA TTN-AS1 promotes migration, invasion, and epithelial mesenchymal transition of lung adenocarcinoma via sponging miR-142-5p to regulate CDK5. Cell Death Dis. 2019;10(8):573.
- [18] Peng F, Wang R, Zhang Y, *et al.* Differential expression analysis at the individual level reveals a lncRNA prognostic signature for lung adenocarcinoma. Mol Cancer. 2017;16(1):98.
- [19] Hu W, Wang Y, Fang Z, et al. Integrated characterization of lncRNA-immune interactions in prostate cancer. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:641891.
- [20] Ko EC, Raben D, Formenti SC. The integration of radiotherapy with immunotherapy for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(23):5792–5806.
- [21] Li Y, Jiang T, Zhou W, et al. Pan-cancer characterization of immune-related lncRNAs identifies potential oncogenic biomarkers. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1000.

- [22] Galon J, Bindea G, Mlecnik B, *et al.* [Intratumoral immune microenvironment and survival: the immunoscore]. Med Sci (Paris). 2014;30(4):439–444.
- [23] Kirilovsky A, Marliot F, El Sissy C, et al. Rational bases for the use of the Immunoscore in routine clinical settings as a prognostic and predictive biomarker in cancer patients. Int Immunol. 2016;28 (8):373-382.
- [24] Taube JM, Galon J, Sholl LM, *et al.* Implications of the tumor immune microenvironment for staging and therapeutics. Mod Pathol. 2018;31(2):214–234.
- [25] Hong W, Liang L, Gu Y, et al. Immune-related lncRNA to construct novel signature and predict the immune landscape of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2020;22:937–947.
- [26] Li X, Sun L, Wang X, et al. A five immune-related lncRNA signature as a prognostic target for glioblastoma. Front Mol Biosci. 2021;8:632837.
- [27] Zhang L, Li L, Zhan Y, et al. Identification of immune-related lncRNA signature to predict prognosis and immunotherapeutic efficiency in bladder cancer. Front Oncol. 2020;10:542140.
- [28] Sveen A, Ågesen TH, Nesbakken A, et al. ColoGuidePro: a prognostic 7-gene expression signature for stage III colorectal cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(21):6001–6010.
- [29] Brambilla E, Le Teuff G, Marguet S, *et al.* Prognostic effect of tumor lymphocytic infiltration in resectable non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34 (11):1223–1230.
- [30] Zhang L, Chen J, Cheng T, et al. Identification of the key genes and characterizations of tumor immune microenvironment in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC). J Cancer. 2020;11(17):4965–4979.
- [31] Dai G-P, Wang L-P, Wen Y-Q, et al. Identification of key genes for predicting colorectal cancer prognosis by integrated bioinformatics analysis. Oncol Lett. 2020;19 (1):388–398.
- [32] Huang L, Zhang Y, Li Y, *et al.* Time-programmed delivery of sorafenib and anti-CD47 antibody via a double-layer-gel matrix for postsurgical treatment of breast cancer. Nanomicro Lett. 2021;13(1):141.