GENOME SEQUENCES





Draft Genome Sequence of a *Lactobacillus gasseri* Strain Isolated from the Catheterized Urine of a Healthy Postmenopausal Woman

James A. Johnson,^a Jennifer L. Modliszewski,^b Nazema Y. Siddiqui,^c Datyana A. Sysoeva^a

^aDepartment of Biological Sciences, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama, USA ^bDuke University Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Department of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA ^cDivision of Urogynecology and Reconstructive Pelvic Surgery, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA

ABSTRACT Urinary microbiome composition has been found to associate with health status and to change with age. *Lactobacillus gasseri* is one of the most frequently found lactic acid bacteria in the vaginal and urinary tracts of women. Here, we report a draft genome sequence of a urinary *L. gasseri* strain isolated from a healthy postmenopausal woman.

M any lactobacilli—lactic acid-forming bacteria—have long been considered human commensals; they are found in abundance in the oral, vaginal, and gastrointestinal tracts of healthy individuals (1–3). Recent studies indicate the presence of these bacteria in the lower urinary tract (the urethra and bladder) (4–6) and note a possible connection between urinary health and the presence of lactobacillus species (2, 4, 7, 8). In particular, *Lactobacillus gasseri* is one of the four most abundant lactobacilli found in the vaginal and urinary tracts of women. It also was found to be associated with urinary incontinence in one study but not in another (9, 10). No genetic determinants of *L. gasseri* from different tracts have been identified so far.

In a previously published study by Vaughan and colleagues (2), Lactobacillus strain 5006-2 was isolated using Enhanced Quantitative Urine Culture (6) from the catheterized urine of an asymptomatic postmenopausal 66-year-old female patient. Using mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF), the strain was typed as a group Lactobacillus gasseri/acidophilus. The strain was cultured on blood tryptic soy plates and grew robustly in liquid Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth at 35°C without agitation. The stock strain was preserved in 14% (wt/vol) glycerol stock at -80° C. To obtain more details, we sequenced the whole genome of the isolate. For that purpose, genomic DNA was isolated using the Qiagen UltraClean kit and sequenced using an Illumina platform (NextSeg; 150-bp paired-end reads) at the Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Core Facility of the Duke University Center for Genomic and Computational Biology. The sequencing produced a total of 15.8 million reads. The raw paired-end read sequences were trimmed of adapter and low-quality sequences using the Trim Galore v0.4.4 toolkit (11) with default settings, which employs Cutadapt v1.16 (12). The quality of the raw reads was assessed using FastQC v0.11.7 (13), and the genomes were assembled using Unicycler v0.4.4 (14). To evaluate the assembly statistics and completeness, QUAST v4.5 (15) and BUSCO v3.0.2 (16) were used to search for conserved single-copy orthologs using the most precise species-appropriate database available—lactobacillales_odb9. The strain was identified as Lactobacillus gasseri. To ensure the absence of contamination in the final assembly, command line blastn (BLAST+ v2.7.1) (17) was run in MegaBLAST mode against the nucleotide (nt) database. The L. gasseri 5006-2 assembly is an approximately 1.79-Mbp genome with a GC content of 34.9%. The assembly consists of 21 contigs with maximum and minimum lengths of 955,358 bp and 124 bp, respectively, and an N₅₀ value of 955,358 bp. Only the 16 contigs larger than 200 bp were deposited at GenBank.

Editor Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

Copyright © 2022 Johnson et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Tatyana A. Sysoeva, tatyana.sysoeva@uah.edu. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 13 January 2022 Accepted 24 April 2022 Published 9 May 2022 The draft genome was uploaded and annotated using the automated Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v5.1 (18). To determine whether *L. gasseri* 5006-2 can produce bacteriocins, we used BAGEL4 (19), which identified a putative cluster for helveticin J (20) homolog production. No CRISPR/Cas loci were detected in the assemblies using CRISPRCasFinder (21). BLAST searches for the new lactobacilli genome identified three closely related strains: *L. gasseri* strains ATCC 33323 (22), DSM 14869 (23), and 4M13 (24). While the source of human ATCC 33323 type isolate is unclear, the DSM 14869 strain was isolated from the vagina, and 4M13 was isolated from infant feces.

No plasmids were identified in *L. gasseri* 5006-2 using PlasmidFinder 2.1 (25), possibly due to the poor knowledge of lactobacilli plasmids in general and in urinary isolates in particular (26, 27). An examination of assembly graphs using BANDAGE v0.8.1 (28) and the BLAST search results showed the presence of at least one plasmid approximately 40 kB long with a copy number of \sim 2.2 to 2.4. The BLAST results showed similarity with plasmids pEB01-1 and pEB01-2 (GenBank accession numbers CP008839.1 and CP008840.1) found in the above-mentioned strain DSM 14869, as well as several unpublished plasmids from other *L. gasseri* isolates (CP044414.1, CP087762.1, and CP072658.1). The plasmid-related contigs encode plasmid replication protein A, toxin/antitoxin pairs, recombination enzymes, and transporters.

Data availability. The draft genome assembly for *L. gasseri* strain 5006-2 has been deposited at GenBank under the accession number JAGEKM000000000.1 and the raw sequencing data at the Sequence Read Archive under the accession number SRR13983850.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Core Facility of the Duke University Center for Genomic and Computational Biology for providing Illumina sequencing services. This study was in part sponsored by the K12 Duke KURe (DK100024) NIDDK award.

REFERENCES

- Komesu YM, Dinwiddie DL, Richter HE, Lukacz ES, Sung VW, Siddiqui NY, Zyczynski HM, Ridgeway B, Rogers RG, Arya LA, Mazloomdoost D, Levy J, Carper B, Gantz MG. 2020. Defining the relationship between vaginal and urinary microbiomes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 222:154.e1–154.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog .2019.08.011.
- Vaughan MH, Mao J, Karstens LA, Ma L, Amundsen CL, Schmader KE, Siddiqui NY. 2021. The urinary microbiome in postmenopausal women with recurrent urinary tract infections. J Urol 206:1222–1231. https://doi.org/10 .1097/JU.000000000001940.
- Pan M, Hidalgo-Cantabrana C, Goh YJ, Sanozky-Dawes R, Barrangou R. 2019. Comparative analysis of Lactobacillus gasseri and Lactobacillus crispatus isolated from human urogenital and gastrointestinal tracts. Front Microbiol 10: 3146. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.03146.
- Neugent ML, Hulyalkar NV, Nguyen VH, Zimmern PE, de Nisco NJ. 2020. Advances in understanding the human urinary microbiome and its potential role in urinary tract infection. mBio 11:e00218-20. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.00218-20.
- Brubaker L, Wolfe AJ. 2017. The female urinary microbiota, urinary health and common urinary disorders. Ann Transl Med 5:34–34. https://doi.org/ 10.21037/atm.2016.11.62.
- Hilt EE, McKinley K, Pearce MM, Rosenfeld AB, Zilliox MJ, Mueller ER, Brubaker L, Gai X, Wolfe AJ, Schreckenberger PC. 2014. Urine is not sterile: use of enhanced urine culture techniques to detect resident bacterial flora in the adult female bladder. J Clin Microbiol 52:871–876. https://doi .org/10.1128/JCM.02876-13.
- Wojciuk B, Salabura A, Grygorcewicz B, Kędzierska K, Ciechanowski K, Dołęgowska B. 2019. Urobiome: in sickness and in health. Microorganisms 7:548. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7110548.
- Thomas-White KJ, Kliethermes S, Rickey L, Lukacz ES, Richter HE, Moalli P, Zimmern P, Norton P, Kusek JW, Wolfe AJ, Brubaker L, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Urinary Incontinence Treatment Network. 2017. Evaluation of the urinary microbiota of women with uncomplicated stress urinary incontinence. Am J Obstet Gynecol 216:55.e1–55.e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2016.07.049.
- Pearce MM, Hilt EE, Rosenfeld AB, Zilliox MJ, Thomas-White K, Fok C, Kliethermes S, Schreckenberger PC, Brubaker L, Gai X, Wolfe AJ. 2014. The

female urinary microbiome: a comparison of women with and without urgency urinary incontinence. mBio 5:e01283-14. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01283-14.

- Price TK, Lin H, Gao X, Thomas-White KJ, Hilt EE, Mueller ER, Wolfe AJ, Dong Q, Brubaker L. 2020. Bladder bacterial diversity differs in continent and incontinent women: a cross-sectional study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 223: 729.e1–729.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.04.033.
- 11. Krueger F. 2012. Trim Galore. Babraham Bioinformatics. https://www .bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/.
- Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J 17:10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1 .200.
- 13. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
- Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595.
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics 31:3210–3212. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkw569.
- van Heel AJ, de Jong A, Song C, Viel JH, Kok J, Kuipers OP. 2018. BAGEL4: a user-friendly Web server to thoroughly mine RiPPs and bacteriocins. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W278–W281. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky383.
- Joerger MC, Klaenhammer TR. 1986. Characterization and purification of helveticin J and evidence for a chromosomally determined bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus helveticus 481. J Bacteriol 167:439–446. https://doi .org/10.1128/jb.167.2.439-446.1986.

- Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, Touchon M, Michalik J, Néron B, Rocha EPC, Vergnaud G, Gautheret D, Pourcel C. 2018. CRISPRCasFinder, an update of CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced performance and integrates search for Cas proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 46: W246–W251. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky425.
- Azcarate-Peril MA, Altermann E, Goh YJ, Tallon R, Sanozky-Dawes RB, Pfeiler EA, O'Flaherty S, Buck BL, Dobson A, Duong T, Miller MJ, Barrangou R, Klaenhammer TR. 2008. Analysis of the genome sequence of Lactobacillus gasseri ATCC 33323 reveals the molecular basis of an autochthonous intestinal organism. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:4610–4625. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00054-08.
- Marcotte H, Krogh Andersen K, Lin Y, Zuo F, Zeng Z, Larsson PG, Brandsborg E, Brønstad G, Hammarström L. 2017. Characterization and complete genome sequences of L. rhamnosus DSM 14870 and L. gasseri DSM 14869 contained in the EcoVag® probiotic vaginal capsules. Microbiol Res 205:88–98. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2017.08.003.
- 24. Oh NS, Joung JY, Lee JY, Kim Y. 2018. Probiotic and anti-inflammatory

potential of Lactobacillus rhamnosus 4B15 and Lactobacillus gasseri 4M13 isolated from infant feces. PLoS One 13:e0192021. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0192021.

- Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A, Larsen MV, Lund O, Villa L, Aarestrup FM, Hasman H. 2014. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using Plasmid-Finder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3895–3903. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14.
- Montelongo Hernandez C, Putonti C, Wolfe AJ. 2021. Characterizing plasmids in bacteria species relevant to urinary health. Microbiol Spectr 9: e0094221. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.00942-21.
- Cui Y, Hu T, Qu X, Zhang L, Ding Z, Dong A. 2015. Plasmids from food lactic acid bacteria: diversity, similarity, and new developments. Int J Mol Sci 16:13172–13202. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160613172.
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 31:3350–3352. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383.